LD ROUND 2

Online Ballot Comments

Charlize Lopez vs Diego Arcos

Davidson, William

RFD

Affnwins framework of individuality and respecting the Declaration of Independence by enacting UBI. Neg has 2 minutes left in 2NR despite me encouraging him to not stop. Aff gave voters! Good job

Nathan Seelig vs Zubair Ali

Stephan, Michael

RFD

Neg was the only side to extend evidence (Greenstein 7) throughout the debate and thoroughly refute his opponents arguments.

Comments for Nathan Seelig

before speech, say an offtime roadmap.

good questions during cx about giving real world examples of where ubi works.

remember to extend evidence throughout the entire round.

Comments for Zubair Ali

extend evidence properly, saying where it is located, what the author name/date is, what it says, and the impact of that evidence.

Lucas Walker vs Michael Stuckert

Herrera, Joshua

RFD

The neg won the framing issues for the round

Sofia Galewski vs Vincent Jumalon

Herrera, Joshua

RFD

The neg fushed out and explained arguments more. I specifically voted on the arguemnt about infaltion and ubi being to expensive.

Seungbin Ahn vs Nicholas Shields

Round 2
Return to Schematic
Return to Round Results

HErrera, David

RFD

the aff is winning the automation advantage and that ubi will solve

Prinze Tamayo vs Thomas Hatfield

Orvananos, Alejo

RFD

neg could not hear the aff but i could hear it so i have to vote aff

James Goodman vs Valentino Vigil

Orvananos, Alejo

RFD

aff contradicts himself with multiplier affect and welfare

Lance Alonte vs Saumil Patel

Raschke, Cameron

RFD

I vote aff of off Alaska empirics. It was the only only offense successfully extended throughout the flow, so I have to vote off of it.

Phillippe Tamayo vs Christina Korman

Dixon, Zion

RFD

Neg conceded framework, and Aff wins the line by line

Javier Cisneros vs Anish Buddolla

Davidson, William

RFD

Conceded contention 2 shows aff harms the poor while negative helps poor more

Immanuel Fadairo vs Quentin Hnery

Raschke, Cameron

RFD

I vote aff. The round comes down to a question of whether UBI or current welfare and UGW works better for automation & poverty. There are many reasons why the aff is winning: 1. Neg concedes that UBI would only take up specific programs, not all welfare programs, which mitigates possible negative effects of shifting to an only-UBI system, 2. neg conceded current welfare isn't working (tanner 15), 3. UGW is not tested, where as a UBI is (Alaska empirics conceded) 4. No defense to the aff is clearly extended in the 2N.

Noah Allen vs Brandon Elwood

Stephan, Michael

RFD

Noah won because he extended evidence throughout the round and impacted it out directly to framework.

Comments for Noah Allen

Avoid sounding monotone during speeches.

Try to read cases before the round to allocate time better.

Good job in cx where you asked about studies that disprove your point.

Comments for Brandon Elwood

Speak louder and more confidently. Avoid using a monotone voice while giving speeches.

Signpost while attacking opponents case and defending your own case because it helps the judge know where to flow your arguments.

Jatin Presse vs Elizabeth Cerda

Fung, Elaine

RFD

Aff case dropped, aff established some solvency to squo problems and best upheld the util framework

Mariano Vigil vs Hunter Tran

Fung, Elaine

RFD

neg defended args on UBI feasibility