

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Middleton RS Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Hitesh Sarabu 3

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Claire Rossmiller 1

Team: Madison West KS Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for SaiSuraj Kandukuri 4

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Yang Song 2

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Middleton RS representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Very good job!

Comments to Debaters:

You need to have cards to back up your evidence, otherwise it is your word against theirs and they can show their source! otherwise good!

These are the reasons for my decision:

It was a very close contest. The cons countered the claims that the money was being used in an inefficient manner + sufficiently proved that the benefits of going to college were greater than the costs. I had to discount one argument due to lack of evidence which weakened the Pros position.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Kimberly Wasser Muk. Highschool

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Appleton East LL Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Elisabeth Laboy ³
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Sarah Larson ¹

Team: Sheb North AR Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Claire Andreason ²
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Morgan Ross ⁴

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Sheb North AR representing the PRO CON

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

allof you ~~was~~ did a great job. You were intelligent and polite and respectful. I enjoyed your professionalism and know you will do well in life beyond high school.

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

The key word that led to my decision is ~~employed~~ found in the word "underemployed". Even in this depressed economy the fact that the college educated are getting the jobs that don't require a degree means that they are setting a new standard in the workforce and should fortunate to have a job. They still have the ability to work their way up the ladder, whereas the non-educated are ~~not~~ only eligible for jobs that don't require a degree.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Sheb North CY Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Maitzeng Chang^a
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for William Yang⁴

Team: Appleton East SW Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Arielle Saulnier¹
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Austin Wittman³

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Appleton East SW representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

think you did a fair job of answering the attacks against your case + you need to work on the offensive. u both had good questions and sponser during the crossfires.

Comments to Debaters:

Great job of attacking the other team's case and keeping eye contact during speeches. Try and find evidence that talks about having degrees equaling having the correct knowledge and skill set.

These are the reasons for my decision:

I voted for the con team because they were able to show that going to college had more benefits than costs. they were able to be offensive against the pro contention and adequately defend their own. I enjoyed the debate, and keep up the hard work.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Rachel Ferestad Mukwanago

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Middleton ES Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Justin Essert 1
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for David Sun 2

Team: Sheb North FM Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Josh Feng 1
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Chandler Meyer 3

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Sheb North FM representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:
1: A bit too fast b/c words are slowing & voice is monotone.

Comments to Debaters:
3: Don't read card names in PF, generally looked down open

These are the reasons for my decision:

Voted Con because ^{Pro} ~~Pro~~ Framework and understanding of "benefit" seemed too vague and inconsistent whereas Con's side made it clear and was backed w/ evidence.
Voters: long term income benefit outweighs stock market investment benefit

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signatures]

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Appleton East EP Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Mira Ensley-Field
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Hali Peterson

Team: Middleton RR Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Mitchell Reller
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Airella Rader

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Middleton RR representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Make sure to re-emphasize that
3rd contribution in summary +
final focus, that con was your
the road.

Comments to Debaters:

Good work proving the causal
relationship on college to society ↑
Make sure to hammer that summary
evolution in the F.F.

These are the reasons for my decision:

College gives society ↑ which is
enough to support ⇒ Con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alex Delo Middleton

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Madison Memorial GS Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Luke Gangler

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Abhi Sandireddy

Team: Madison West DS Circle Side: Pro Con 1st

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Thekie Degen

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Emily Somberg

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is MAD. West DC. representing the PRO CON

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

nice ~~not~~ speech

AS → your points about 92% of people ~~to~~ like politicians does not tie into college ed.

Comments to Debaters:

In CX, both of you seem to agree to almost anything the other side says. Remember what your position is!

Both sides had excellent final speeches → both sides seemed very reasonable. - well done.

RAD → Both sides made excellent points, esp in rebuttal. Either side could have won this one. By a very small degree, I vote con bc she convinced me that, even taking into account other factors, a college ed helps graduates compare & that it really is good for political input and understanding of our system and that fewer sides are VE

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: John Neal - ^{from speech} third a college degree.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Sheb North PL Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Owen Puls 2
Speaker Points (20 to 30): ~~28~~ 28 for Grace Leppanen 4

Team: Middleton OS Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 ~~27~~ for Jody Oetzel 1
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 20 for Katerina Stephan 3

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Sheb North PL representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

o good speaking!
o effectively argued theoretical
wst doesn't outweigh
benefits.

Comments to Debaters:

o good speaking & eye contact
o be confident! Don't use
'I feel'...

These are the reasons for my decision:

Overall the pro side provided points that the con side didn't address (underemployment)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Janice Gadzalinski Rhinelander High School

Neal, Kay

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Madison West LT Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Joaquin Lara
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Lloyd Tripp

Team: Middleton GM Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Alex Goodsett
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Taylor Martin

29-30 Outstanding
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average
 22-23 Below Average

Answer best arg. about investing i.e. you make more money in stock market than putting money in college

The team that won this debate is Middleton GM representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Con Comments

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Pro Comments

Comments to Debaters:
 Work on delivery - more passion - more eye contact for 1st speech -
 [23] - Well done - slow down a bit - Caroline from your team has a piece of evidence that you need - by age 33 - a college educated has been paid back for the college costs AND - the amt they would have made working for 4 years instead of going to college - you need that evid!

Comments to Debaters:
 Spk 2 - The correct pronunciation of the word - "Resume" - is "Rez u may" - Not "Resume" - Need more eye contact
 Wow your case better - so you can look up when reading the case -
 Spk 2 - Defaulting on student loans is the cost of that is a NEW argument in summary speech.

These are the reasons for my decision:

good debate - all 4 show strong debate potential - Pro definitely has a challenging side on this resolution - I vote Con for following reasons: (1) Democratic participation, Affordable Economy, Economy - are all benefits correlated w/ a college degree that Con presents & Pro does not refute - the evid is very strong here & Pro does not have sufficient evid to counter these benefits. (2) ~~Pro~~ Current job mkt is going to employ college graduates will get better jobs - Pro cannot move it will always be like this - 30 reasons to

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Kay Neal - UW Oshkosh
 support the resolution, are not long term - (3) Con had better evid & more effective delivery & persuasive skills to win this Rd

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Rhineland High DL Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Gabrielle Dutton 1
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Luke Lenard 3

Team: Appleton East CN Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Sydney Courtney -2
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Isabelle Ngo -4

29-30 Outstanding
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average
22-23 Below Average

The team that won this debate is RHINELANDER HIGH DL representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

1- VERY GOOD FORM (SPEAKING)
- UNIQUE IDEAS BUT SOME OF THEM WERE QUESTIONABLE AND MAYBE NOT PERTINENT OR SUPPORTED.
3- YOUR FIRST STATEMENT WAS VERY PERTINENT & POWERFUL
- TRY TO LOOK UP & NOT READ OFF PAPER

Comments to Debaters:

2- TRY NOT TO READ OFF PAPER
- TRY TO ORGANIZE ALL YOUR IDEAS MORE LOGICALLY
4- TRY TO ORGANIZE YOUR THOUGHTS & IDEAS IN A MORE UNDERSTANDABLE MANNER

These are the reasons for my decision:

OVERALL THE CON TEAM PRESENTED VERY GOOD ARGUMENTS AND SUPPORTED THEM WITH EVIDENCE. THE PRO SIDE HAD THE TOUGHER ARGUMENT TO MAKE AND WITH ~~THE~~ JUST COULD NOT REFUTE THE ARGUMENTS OF CON.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: MADISON WEST *JL*

141

33

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Appleton East DS Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Kevin Du 4
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Nick Strong 2

Team: Rhinelander High CZ Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Kayla Cook 3
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Gabby Zastrow 1

29-30 Outstanding
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average
22-23 Below Average

The team that won this debate is Appleton East DS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:
- Unique case - I like! Careful about turning your 3rd cut into a policy round.
- Interesting concept = cost not monetary necessarily
- Good extentions.
- Sp4 was amazing, clear + nearly flawless delivery.
- Sp2 stumbles when nervous

Comments to Debaters:
- 245 left in spl
- Spl needed her evidence to answer most of her questions.
- WAY too much policy lingo from sp2
- I don't think the CON understood what the PRO was going for conceptually.
- Really defensive in GCF
- Sp1 really reliant on partner.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Con just kept repeating their points. They really didn't address the resolution questioning nor denounce the validity of the PRO questioning. PRO put out a mandate - CON did not address
Voted on: The moral, ethical, AND monetary costs of college outweigh the benefits of a college education.
The PRO also did a great job of speculation claim on CON case, the CON repeated their points but really didn't weigh why their contentions compete. PRO gave con job satisfaction, mostly because its a bit silly. These would have to be weighed in comparison to uncolleged working peoples. Garbage man can love their job too.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Y.M.S.A. Mukunaga - R&T Tech/Ast. D. Coach/Secretary

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Sheb North KG Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Molly Knoedles 4

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Maggie Gentire 2

Team: Madison West ZJ Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Tianchi Zhao 3

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 23 for Peter Ji 1

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Sheb North KG representing the PRO CON (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Maggie - well organized speech - show more energy / conviction in summary

Comments to Debaters:

Peter - project your voice more - well organized ~~the~~ speech - improved organization & focus will help you maximize your time in your summary - no debt is good debt - odd argument -

Molly - excellent vocal tone / quality - good eye contact / effective use of gestures

Tianchi - Your arguments about debt pay-back time frame are extremely flawed - you must consider living expenses! - all seem to misunderstand Pro arguments in cross-fire

These are the reasons for my decision:

Con Contentions:

- 1- beneficial to career - defeated with malemployment
- 2- benefits to fed. gov - washed with loan defaults
- 3- benefits to society - defeated due to correlation only - no causation

Pro Contentions:

- 1- Low grad. rates uncontested - any college better doesn't entirely / truly negate + concede life satisfaction outweighs
- 2- Lack of return answered with flawed mathematical / logic - Pros maintain contention
- 3- Questionable standards dropped - completely neglected after Pro speech so - Pro captures 2 contentions - Con 0 Pro win

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Angela R. Schaffer - RHS

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Middleton GJ Circle Side: Pro Con 2
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Hayden Jonston 4.
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Jen Grooms 2

Team: Rhinelander High KS Circle Side: Pro Con 1
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Tamarah Krueger 3
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Alex Sarkauskus 1

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Rhinelander KS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

don't agree to their framework so easily, you excluded drop outs with that concession.

Speaker 4: did pretty well in cross, be ready for these questions.

Closing speech, you should have spent all your prep. you started rambling a bit at the end.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Issues - Cost, Con seems to win this one, Pro kept backing up each time they were confronted.

Con showed that college grads are better able to find jobs, along with all the individual benefits.

I liked the suicide argument, but it wasn't a big enough issue.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: 

Comments to Debaters:

Speaker 3, good points tend to just list off points from years of the first speech, then say you have proved something. Not always true.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	