

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Sheb North AR Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Claire Andreason 4  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Morgan Ross 2

Team: Middleton GJ Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Hayden Jonston 1  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Jen Grooms 3

29-30 Outstanding      22-23 Below Average  
27-28 Above Average  
24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Middleton GJ representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning-side)  
Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Make sure to look up during your speeches and have clarity as well as strength of voice. Try not to get ahead of yourself when you speak, it makes you look more nervous  
  
-don't interrupt during questions

Comments to Debaters:

Overall good clarity + organization, just make sure to look up more during speeches.

These are the reasons for my decision:

I felt that although the pro side put in a valiant effort with their correlation v. causation argument they did not prove that that was what was occurring in the con side's argument. The con team was able to not only combat the pro's contentions but was also able to strengthen their own, especially with the other options debate against cost. In the first speech, the pro side argued that they needed to show a majority to win and I believe they lost this round because they admitted during cross that their percentages were not a majority, a fact which the con team was able to use against them.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Ash King Appleton East

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Madison Memorial GS Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Luke Gangler (2)  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Abhi Sandireddy (4)

Team: Middleton RR Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Mitchell Reller (3)  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Airella Rader (1)

29-30 Outstanding  
27-28 Above Average  
24-26 Average

22-23 Below Average

1 min prep } used  
1 min prep }

The team that won this debate is Middleton RR representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Articulate in speaking  
Presented statistics to support  
Relied on the education of Dr.'s too much, sighting the cost of their education leads them to upper income areas. Need to show other reasons to support cost/benefit.

Comments to Debaters:

Articulate in speaking  
Presented statistics to support  
census bureau stat. projecting future need for college degree to fulfill employment needs.

These are the reasons for my decision:

It was a very close match and both teams presented themselves well. The winning side had the stats to show the benefits:  
job projection  
benefit to society

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min X
Speaker 2	4 min X
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min X
Speaker 3	4 min X
Speaker 4	4 min X
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min X
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min X
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min X
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min X
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min X
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min X
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Kathleen Quade / H:glared

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Appleton East CN Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Sydney Courtney  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Isabelle Ngo

Team: Madison West KS Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for SaiSuraj Kandukuri  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Yang Song

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is App East CN representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:  
Interesting to me that you argue social mobility strongly in final focus/summary, but lost on it as a counter

Comments to Debaters:  
Challenge that source on the \$1 million statistic. They left it open to attack, but you don't acknowledge it.

These are the reasons for my decision:

To me, the con concept of opportunity and social mobility outweighs the \$1 covered by the pros. → Con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Delo Alex Makumaga

TP -09  
-12  
-1.28  
1.28  
32

prep -39  
t. 20

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Appleton East LL Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Elisabeth Laboy  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Sarah Larson

Team: Sheb North PL Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Owen Puls  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Grace Leppanen

29-30 Outstanding  
27-28 Above Average  
24-26 Average  
22-23 Below Average

The team that won this debate is Sheb North PL representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Very interesting framework on whether we should include experiential debate. Need more evidence that employers prefer applicants w/ degrees. There are other interpersonal skills that matter as well but not necessarily through college.

Comments to Debaters:

good argument on correlation v. causation. Should look more on the fin aid, few students pay the full price.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The framework proposed by Con is unacceptable b/c it doesn't look at the cost systematically. Costs include the costs of not setting the degree as well. Those who enroll in college assume that they will complete college & receive a degree, but even if they do drop out they still receive benefits, yet ~~institutions~~ have more costs.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] Madison West High School

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Middleton RS Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Hitesh Sarabu 2  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Claire Rossmiller 4

Team: Rhinelander High CZ Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Kayla Cook 3  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Gabby Zastrow 1

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Middleton RS representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Speaker 2. Practice the 1st speech.  
Speaker 4, might have been good to take a little prep for your 4min speech.  
Both of you have a tendency to badger the other team in cross.  
Your contentions don't really fit well together...

Comments to Debaters:

Speaker 3, when questioning statistics, it is acceptable to ask for their evidence to examine it. You need a reason why the number is bad.  
You took a little long in prep for first speech.  
Take advantage of when the opponents ~~speech~~ contentions don't quite agree with each other.  
Note: your numbers are averages, so some people will do well and some not, but on balance, college grads tend to do better.

These are the reasons for my decision:

After first 2 speeches, I expected a debate over the definition of college, since there wasn't, this became a non issue.  
Pro's contention I and It don't fit well together, but Con did not attack this.  
Comparison of high paying non jobs that don't require degree to low paying jobs is non persuasive. This is cherry picking, that the other team didn't really pick up on. Pro gets lucky here.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Sheb North CY Circle Side: Pro / Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 24 for Maitzeng Chang  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 23 for William Yang

Team: Madison West DS Circle Side: Pro / Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Thekie Degen  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Emily Somberg

29-30 Outstanding  
27-28 Above Average  
24-26 Average  
22-23 Below Average

The team that won this debate is Madison West DS representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:  
William Maitzeng - it is vital that you present your points very clearly - not only negating other teams points but defending & reinforcing yours.  
need more confidence from this team - know your material inside & out - back up your points with sources.  
Keep your data organized - helps in crossfire  
need more points - if you lose 2 - you only have 1 to stand on - or be able to negate other team statements.  
These are the reasons for my decision:

Comments to Debaters:  
- nice teamwork - you two make a nice strong team. well spoken professional.  
- something happened in speaker 2 summary - keep yourself organized - not much accomplished  
- Excellent arguments - see notes below

The team that won this round is the con teams pro team - right away the con team caught you after you said that there is a social penalty for not going to college so that stand to con - you should go there another point the pro team had been the price of room & board is too expensive, but the con team came back saying regardless of college to live you pay room & board anyway. pro team's employers will not hire you because they feel bad that you have a degree & don't have a good job - mostly - the pro team said most grads don't work in their fields but the con team came back & said its better to have a job at

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: all. w. [signature] rebotle  
[signature] Appleton East HS

Room: 2809  
Start: 8:30am

1

Thurk, John

Public Forum (Sheb North FM vs. Appleton East EP)

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Sheb North FM Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Josh Feng 4  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Chandler Meyer 2

Team: Appleton East EP Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Mira Ensley-Field 1  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Hali Peterson 3

29-30 Outstanding      22-23 Below Average  
27-28 Above Average  
24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is APPLETON EAST EP representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team)      (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

2 - NICE ORGANIZATION OF POINTS  
4 - GOOD DEFENSE OF TOUCHER ARGUMENT SIDE

Comments to Debaters:

1 - ~~GOOD~~ GOOD POINTS & FACTS  
3 - GOOD SPEAKING FORM  
GOOD REBUTAL ON ALL PROS POINTS

These are the reasons for my decision:

• VERY TOUGH DECISION AS BOTH TEAMS MADE COMPELLING ARGUMENTS  
• THAT BEING SAID, ~~THE~~ STRONGER ARGUMENTS & FACTS WERE USED BY THE CON SIDE.

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: MADISON WEST JR

Room: 2810  
Start: 8:30am

1

Gadzialinski, Jes

Public Forum (Madison West ZJ vs. Middleton ES)

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Madison West ZJ Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Tianchi Zhao 3  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Peter Ji 1

Team: Middleton ES Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Justin Essert 4  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for David Sun 2

29-30 Outstanding      22-23 Below Average  
27-28 Above Average  
24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Madison West ZJ representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:  
- good eye contact & projection!  
- Very good statistics.  
- good in comparing/contrasting

Comments to Debaters:  
- good eye contact.  
- good points of employers must pay college student more vs NS but became contradictory

These are the reasons for my decision:

Overall the Con side provided & defended their statistics. the Con side ~~referred~~ referred to the wedul benefits vs cost, while proving benefits out weighed.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jonica Gadzialinski Rhinelander High School

Room: 3810  
Start: 8:30am

1

Quade, Alissa

Public Forum (Rhineland High DL vs. Appleton East SW)

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Rhineland High DL Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Gabrielle Dutton 2  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Luke Lenard 4

Team: Appleton East SW Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Arielle Saulnier 1  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Austin Wittman 3

29-30 Outstanding  
27-28 Above Average  
24-26 Average  
22-23 Below Average

The team that won this debate is Con representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Con  
Comments to Debaters:  
- Cite your evidence in your speech  
- Organize your evidence under your three contentions or provide a tag when you move from one to the next. Don't dwell on the 45% statistic took you almost a whole minute to talk a beat  
- Make sure you are citing the sources for your figures.  
- Finish all of your sentences, don't trail off  
- Use your prep time, it will help FF be more concise  
- These are the reasons for my decision: more concise  
- doesn't have to provide solutions, focus on your arguments in grand X  
- excellent use of their cont 1 stats

Pro  
Comments to Debaters:  
1 I would try and speak up a little more, may have to slow down to do this, Try to have more than one cross question  
3 Give me a roadmap before you begin, Try to spend more time on their individual contentions, and be more concise on summing your own.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Con won this round because of the long term economic benefits gained from college.  
Pro evidence was more recession recovery specific, short term costs  
- pro had no response to societal benefits  
Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alissa Quade - Middleton

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Rhineland High KS Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Tamarah Krueger 3  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Alex Sarkauskus 1

Team: Madison West LT Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Joaquin Lara 2  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Lloyd Tripp 4

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Rhineland KS representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)  
Low Point Win? (  Yes  No )

Comments to Debaters:

1: Sound silly when you say "they didn't refute" in the first rebuttal - they never had a chance to!  
- Focus more on opp case, you unnecessarily spent too much time on your case  
3: - why not come up with own causal analysis? All you have to do is say something logical  
3: you have burden of proof for co-relation

Comments to Debaters:

2: Ever considered the risk associated with mutual funds in a bad market and insecure opinions about the treasury?  
4: Don't drop skewed framework stuff!  
4: Don't ask do you agree questions?

These are the reasons for my decision:

Why should that trust more in summary  
Con won debate b/c it even for jobs that don't need degrees, it makes one more disappointed to have fun. The risk arg they made kinda weakens mutual fund argument pro side. I thought Pro's correlation pt. of refutation against con contented but Con won the numbers of income growth vs. college expense, and Pro did not make clear that aggregate consumption increases with income levels (it would've supported the people save 5-10% arg). (lose round but the topic sadly is very skewed against the pro)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] - JMM

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

*Resolved: Costs of college education outweigh the benefits*

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Appleton East DS	Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Pts
Speaker Points (20 to 30):	<u>4</u> for Kevin Du	<u>30</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30):	<u>2</u> for Nick Strong	<u>28</u>

Team: Middleton GM	Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>	Pts
Speaker Points (20 to 30):	<u>3</u> for Alex Goodsett	<u>27</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30):	<u>1</u> for Taylor Martin	<u>30</u>

29-30 Outstanding  
 27-28 Above Average  
 24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Pro - Appleton East DS representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please Circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Alex and Taylor are definite opposites in speaking style. Taylor is very educated, uses good eye contact, is clear in her remarks, speaks with good volume and is polite. Alex is intelligent but he speaks too loudly for the room and behaves like a bully. This approach is not helpful when ~~your~~ <sup>our judge</sup> opponents are polite no matter how much you bully them. Taylor tends to be a little more of a bully in GC F with Alex. Be careful. These are the reasons for my decision:

Comments to Debaters:

Both of you are intelligent debaters and polite even when you are being bullied. You seem to know how to hold your ground without having to stoop to over-aggression. That takes skill. Nick tends to show his nervousness a little more than Kevin, but its nothing that continued debates won't cure. You will gain more confidence with ~~practice~~ experience.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

This was a tough round to judge. It started off looking like an easy win for Con. They do have many measurable facts and statistics to support their case. However, as the Pros pointed out, the facts and statistics that are being presented are skewed and don't reflect the fact that there are not enough jobs for the college grads - not enough that require a degree. Cons agreed that the economy is currently bad and there are fewer jobs but they didn't show facts that the larger paid college grads aren't the best to get cut overall when corps are making financial downsize. They agreed - never refuted - that colleges are corrupt corporations as pros claimed so corruption flows thru.

# CARDINAL CLASH

## Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 7, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Middleton OS Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Jody Oetzel 2  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Katerina Stephan

Team: Sheb North KG Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Molly Knoedles 20  
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Maggie Gentire

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Pro Sheb North KG representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Katerina = Good speech but drops prevent you from making the Arg.  
M. Jody = Extend your case  
Don't allow Pro to control Debate

Comments to Debaters:

Maggie = Good Extension & Exp. of Argmts. You really needed to extend the private college cards to win that Argmt. Excellent speaking style.  
Molly = Good Even if she's wrong, you swing class up issues in Plans + Ext. Low case requirements.

These are the reasons for my decision:

I end up voting on the Debaters Arguments. If more people debate on issues even if they even more long term they make more long term than suffer short term.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature] 99 Hired