

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 6, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Madison West HS Circle Side: Pro 2nd
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Monica Hellmer 2
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Libby Scholz 34

Team: Sheb North AR Circle Side: Pro 1st
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Claire Andreason 21
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Morgan Ross 93

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Madison West HS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

4) great speaking voice, posture,
overall speaking/arguing quality
overall good debate style + didn't
get bogged down on one point

Comments to Debaters:

1st speaker ↑ volume please
+ speaking style on all parts
amt paid vs sticker price is
a great point!

These are the reasons for my decision:

The Pro team successfully refuted the cons points mostly w/ the stock market analogy - this negates all monetary arguments. Correlation vs causation is also used to negate the social/community benefits. No arguments against corrupt colleges (however ~~small~~ minor a point it is)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Brittany Newman - Hired

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 6, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Appleton East CN Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Sydney Courtney 2
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Isabelle Ngo 4

Team: Middleton FH Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Casey Hutchison 1
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Madeline Friend 3

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Middleton FH representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes - No)

Comments to Debaters:

Speaker 1: (1) College students earn more
 Good Examples.
 (2) Very well presented.
 (3) Challenge the gain of college student abilities.

Speaker 3:
 (1) Good argument about the survey result.

Comments to Debaters:

Speaker 2: (1) Good statement
 (2) Need to present clearly

Speaker 4:
 (1) need evidence or reliable research results to support your arguments.
 (2) Good data about college unemployment

These are the reasons for my decision:

- (1) Good summary about the argument, high salaries, less unemployment, other benefit.
- (2) Student loan payback time.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Carly Blyden, USDA scientist.

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 6, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Appleton East LL Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Elisabeth Laboy **3**
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Sarah Larson **1**

Team: Middleton RR Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Mitchell Reller **2**
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Ariella Rader **4**

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Middleton RR representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
 Low Point Win? (Yes NO)

Comments to Debaters:

1. workplace readiness
2. individual & benefits
3. societal & benefits
4. "College" framework = graduate

Nice 1st speech although 4th contention is really a definition, and need not be given equal weight

Good solid rebuttal.
 Nice of you to accept their definitions & frameworks - I would not have done that

Comments to Debaters:

1. College is expensive
2. money ≠ education
3. College degrees are not necessary

Good question - What about college causes the societal benefits?

Your point made that students going to college are more invested in society & likely to vote is shaky. How do you prove this? What about college dropouts?

These are the reasons for my decision:

Individual benefits - con Return on investment - con
 College causes benefits - Pro college degrees not necessary - con.
 Society (51%) benefits - pro

The con case is solid, but loses this time due to conceding to the pro framework and then not articulating how 51% benefits from college. Don't concede and you don't lose

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jake Rader Madison West

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 6, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

First →

Second ←

Team: Madison West JZ Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Peter Ji 1
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25.5 for Tianchi Zhao 2

Team: Madison Memorial LW Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Daniel Li 2
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Edwin Wu 1

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is M West JZ (Low Point) representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Overall, your case is pretty good. Your second constructive needs to be more detailed and give me more persuasive ~~reasons~~ reasons to reject their points. It is good that you addressed all of their points. Good job addressing correlation as a your summary speech.

Comments to Debaters:

You rely too heavily on your correlation vs causation argument in your 2nd constructive. I would like to hear some other reasons as well. You need to answer Con's response to your correlation argument.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The pro team acknowledged Con's points but attribute these effects to the fact that people who happen to go to college are intrinsically more motivated and qualified. By showing that a person becomes more qualified with each year of college, Con casts a considerable doubt on this argument. Beyond that, Pro even conceded that college retires skills that are already possessed by these people. This means that college retires these skills to such an extent that there

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: M. H. [Signature] Middleton

Is a causal link between college and ~~the~~ Con's arguments.

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 6, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Appleton East EP Circle Side: Pro Con *2nd*
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Mira Ensley-Field
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Hali Peterson

Team: Rhinelander High CZ Circle Side: Pro Con *1st*
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Kayla Cook
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Gabby Zastrow

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Appleton East EP representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

PRO
Comments to Debaters:

CON
Comments to Debaters:

CZ:
use hands to argument words; you do well with volume. Solid case, suggest developing impacts to the 1st contention. In summary, tell me what contention/where to put your points in the flow.
C: Lots of solid arguments in 4:00, but very little use of evidence. In the 2nd round, borderline new arguments in the focus on BIG PICTURE in #1.
These are the reasons for my decision:

MEF
Although it's a solid case, there is some redundancy towards the end and you had :10 left. Smart to keep pounding likelihood of the job being key point.
HF I love hearing refute w/ ev. Easily best and speech I heard tonight.

Two solid cases made for a great round from the start. Easily best round tonight; it came down to the last 4 speeches. With a round with such thorough clash, quantity and quality of ev. becomes critical (as well as debate skills)... and that was very close call. One team could have ran away with it by extending/developing arguments with MORE ev. I must vote CON becu PRO contention #1 is a wash and CON's

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Stauber Soik - Shop North/teacher
outweigh PRO #2 w/ stressing having a job is better than not. I also firmly believe that CON did much better job of condensing round in last speeches.

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 6, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

20 45

Team: Sheb North KG Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Molly Knoedler 3
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Maggie Gentine 1

Team: Madison West CF Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Cindy Cai 2
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Rachel Furlow 4

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Madison West CF / PRO representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

to guys read a lot of cards, but I'd like to see more analysis. Read less + tell me what your cards mean!
NR was very disjointed + inefficient. Work on making more arguments in less time.
Good ZNR.

Comments to Debaters:

Be sure to ask questions in CX, just make statements. Also, 4 contentions is a bit much - ~~simplify~~ simplify it! Be a little less aggressive in CX, too.
USE ALL YOUR PREP!!!
Great ZAR!

These are the reasons for my decision:

This is probably the best round of PF I've seen in a good long time, maybe ever. Rarely do I give 30's, and I've never given out more than one in a round. Simply an amazing job.

The round pretty much comes down to the 1st Con's summary, which is too disjointed, non-responsive and inefficient to really hammer points across. It is the one time either side made a mistake, and sadly, it costs the round. I buy the private colleges are scans argument, and that the debt will be too high to overcome. I'm also buying the argument that experience is just as valuable as the degree. I wish I could give both sides a win here, but because the INR was so problematic, I

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Tim Maylander / Bearded Party Member
Foster

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 6, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Appleton East DS Circle Side: Pro Con 2
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Kevin Du 4
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Nick Strong 2

Team: Middleton GJ Circle Side: Pro Con 1
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Jen Grooms 3
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Hayden Johnston 1

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Middleton GJ representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Note: it took War to really pull us out of the depression.
interesting case, this doesn't change our burden of defending Cons attacks.

Comments to Debaters:

Speaker 1, during your cross, don't just reply to their rebuttal, ask a question
Speaker 3. good final focus, you could have reiterated your points, but decided to fight the morality argument head on.

These are the reasons for my decision:

morality? Students or fixing a corrupt institution, necessary for society. ~~not~~ Students seem more important.

If voting Con is ~~not~~ voting against morality, so would voting for politicians? When you tell me to think about my morality, it opens the door for me to think and you don't want that.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 6, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Middleton SR Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Hitesh Sarabu
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Claire Rossmiller

Team: Madison West LT Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Joaquin Lara
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Lloyd Tripp

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	


The team that won this debate is Middleton SR representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:
Claire - you speak so well in public. Very easy to understand. Conversational. This is a great team - keep up the good work.
Nice job addressing all details of other team. Excellent history.

Comments to Debaters:
Lloyd - it seemed your nerves were setting the rest of you - you know what you want to say - just breathe and let it out. You got this.
I feel a little too much time spent rebutting other team - make sure you take the time to really push your points.

These are the reasons for my decision: Good round.
The team that won this round is the con team: the biggest problem for the pro team came when you agreed that an employer would rather hire a person with a degree vs a high school diploma. You could have negotiated with a person that has more experience would get the job, but you didn't. The team stated that an investment in stocks would have a greater return, but the con team had stats that the return of a degree is much higher & stocks can fluctuate & is a gamble. Lastly, the pro team stated our job market is not made for so many college grads, but the con team came back with stats that college grad unemployment is at 4.2 % & no degree 9.4 percent.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min ✓
Speaker 2	4 min ✓
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min ✓
Speaker 3	4 min ✓
Speaker 4	4 min ✓
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min ✓
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min ✓
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min ✓
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min ✓
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min ✓
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min ✓
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:
 Middleton East HS

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 6, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Rhineland High DL Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Gabrielle Dutton 1
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Luke Lenard 3

Team: Middleton LL Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Carly Lundt 4
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Caroline Liu 2

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Middleton LL representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

S1: good clarity & organization

S3: speak up more, you got flustered during cross (a bit understandingly) ~~and~~ but stayed quiet the rest of the debate

Comments to Debaters:


S2:

S4: stay calm during 1st cross, you were better in the grand cross though

These are the reasons for my decision:

This was a very organized, well debated round. I feel that both sides brought a lot to the debate but the pro side captivated my attention and held it throughout the round whereas the con side remained timid. The pro side negated mostly all of the con side's arguments while strengthening their own (well done!). While the con side debated some of the pro's contentions, they seemed to be backtracking a lot in attempts to defend their own case instead of working on breaking down the opposing case.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:  Appleton East

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 6, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Madison West AS Circle Side: Pro 2nd Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Annabelle Arney
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Maddie Schumacher

Team: Middleton ES Circle Side: Pro 1st Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Justin Essert 3rd
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for David Sun 1st

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Middleton ES representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side.)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters: Show how 15.2% investment ^{return} would solve for costs & be the best benefits. Did those menial jobs pay them more b/c of their degree or not? Correlation vs Causation arg is not great when the CON is going for something else. You can't divide ~~500,000~~ 500,000 by 76 + divide by 12 to get to avg where, you have to take off 18 years + realize that it's 500,000 more. -I would go down this road at all. 10-15 years of debt does not mean you can't do anything. I'm not sure what "our point" is, let me know what you're talking about.

Comments to Debaters: Evidence for more middle-skill jobs? Examples? Good analysis is Summary. Tell me what to vote for! Benefits, or debt? Good GCF questions, not sure they could back up w/ evidence. -I would hit on the health benefits more, weigh the round on a larger scale.

These are the reasons for my decision: Alrighty, got a little weird near the end, but it's still possible to evaluate this debate. On the good investment return evidence, it goes undisputed, 15.2% is pretty good. \Rightarrow CON Jobs, PRO has failed to prove that there are ~~less~~ less opportunities for graduates + that costs outweigh. Over-qualification is not necessarily a bad thing. The whole forced courses debate is not thought out all that well, it's kind of a (K) of the institution more than an evidence-based arg upholding the resolution. Mostly, the FW wasn't touched by the PRO, + CON was able to uphold that the benefits of education will lead to debt being paid + therefore more success, + the benefits outweighing the costs \Rightarrow CON

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] Shep North Coach

CARDINAL CLASH

Middleton Cross-Plains High School

January 6, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Sheb North GW Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Taylor Garbe
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Sam Wang *→ very persuasive speaker!*

Team: Rhinelander High KS Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Tamarah Krueger
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Alex Sarkauskus

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Rhinelander KS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
 Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:
 Alex: College society and individuals
 Civic Participation
 Smoking Health Care and Savings @ 22k a year
 Cost of not going ↑ Work Ethic Intelligence
 Options to pay debt
 Crisis fire: costs of college @ quality exaggerated
 college students healthier, linked to college?
 mind individual, not college
 mirah: ① risk of failure, but education ② exaggerated
 since education in specific area ③ income increase
 degrees, better resume, ④ not healthy 15 pounds - college
 not eating disorders, stress, sleeping disorders, mood swings,
 credit society, less public assistance, for whole nation,
 eth benefits, retirement plan, more money.
 Crossfire - not healthy drinking, drugs, stressed, schoolwork
 id funds, unemployment, underemployment, alternatives,
 late college.
 Benefits to Society higher, voting involvement refutes, money
 ed, people stressed in high school too, benefits money, connections
 and Crossfire: 71% college drink, vote college - coincidence?
 x significant amount vote

Comments to Debaters:
 Sam: Affirm outweigh benefits ① Increasing cost
 assess risk ② failure 40% ③ Inflation
 2x every 9 years, federal aid same, ④ equality
 of college exaggerated, ⑤ critical thinking
 ⑥ College board takes 14 years to pay debt
 delayed house and children, ⑦ Degree, technical
 managerial ⑧ Jobs can take without college
 degree, college degree a burden ⑨ college students
 alcohol parties, eating disorders, 35% of
 female students, diseases on campuses, sleeping
 disorders, stress
 Taylor: ① societal civic education
 ② health benefits - other factors
 ③ 1/3 students overweight
 ④ work ethic -
 ⑤ Tuition average \$9,000 double
 every 9 years.
 ⑥ Federal and scholarship \$23,000
 ⑦ Costs, drop outs, no benefit
 Debt ⑧ Quality of Education
 ⑨ Long-term 14 years, delayed home and
 children, ⑩ waitress cashiers.
 ⑪ stressed, drugs

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:
 for: societal benefits, health benefits, list not back up
 degree makes employers think higher work ethic
 14 years to pay off costs of college

Sam: benefits society other factors, doesn't drinking stress suicide
 education, degree, tuition, inflation, 71% college drinking
 tuition, \$25,000 debt