



**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
Feb 23 - 23, 2019

**PRO** CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Potoma BW	POINTS (1-30)
2	Taylor Burriss	26.5
1	Abigail Woldgebriel	25.5

Spkr	GeoDay BB	POINTS (1-30)
2	Milo Borek	26.5
1	Griffin Brown	25.5

Winner: GeoDay School/Team debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: CON won because of the cutting logical reasoning ~~PRO~~ <sup>skills</sup>

PRO: Abigail - great speaker, pts nicely laid out winning pts in Ho CF. good response defending children/contamination pt from bombings. need to speak more & better on how you get wins rather than in final ~~speech~~ speech.

Taylor: Nice rebuttal. demonstrates great listening. Needs to bring them in final four, ~~you only spoke~~ effectively.

CON: Griffin: Framework needs a bit more clarity. Stat & evidence given. great research and good data pts

Milo: Good ~~presentation~~ presentation, great listening. Final focus was delivered well convincingly. ~~Need~~ May work in developing confidence & assertiveness



**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO <sup>2nd</sup>

Spkr	Potoma CK*	POINTS (1-30)
1	Kathryn Choi	28.5
2	Kelly Harper	29

CON <sup>1st</sup>

Spkr	GeoDay GL	POINTS (1-30)
2	Sherlock Grigsby	27.5
1	Susan Lin	28

Winner: Potoma CK School/Team debating on the Pro Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? No

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Avoid questions in speeches - no one is going to answer them. Instead turn it into a statement!

↳ Don't make questions!!!

- When, tell me more about your impacts! Tell me why it matters over their impact. but your cards before hand so calling cards are faster.

- Say the analysis in cross in your speech.

- Don't go for something you didn't say in summary

- Try to make analytical arguments in speeches! You say them in cross, but forget to say them in speech.

- Be more confident! You sound great 😊

**Point Scale:**

Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO *2nd 2:00 1:45 1:15 30*

Spkr	UniPro DJ	POINTS (1-30)
<i>2</i>	Caleb Dotson	<i>24.5</i>
<i>1</i>	Ryleigh Jahmani	<i>24.5</i>

CON *1st 2:00 1:15 1:30 1:00*

Spkr	PCS(Po ML)	POINTS (1-30)
<i>1</i>	Sarah Mei	<i>25.5</i>
<i>2</i>	Hannah Luu	<i>25</i> <i>24.5</i>

Winner: PCS Po ML School/Team debating on the CON Side (PRO or CON) Low point win?

Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*evidence*  
 Con had ~~evidenced~~ proved their  
 impacts were great

**Point Scale:**  
 Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice  
 and talk to them about scores. Also,  
 RANK them 1-4.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

3

Att, Kara

Abigail Serrano

**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

**PRO**

Spkr	Nysmit MS	POINTS (1 - 30)
2	Rhea Maran 1	27.5
1	Vidhi Sharma 2	28

**CON**

Spkr	UniPro AK	POINTS (1 - 30)
4	Arjun Atreya 1	26
3	Veera Kaliyamurthy 1	27

Winner: Nysmit MS School/Team debating on the Pro Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

FW: Most beneficial for US

**Point Scale:**  
 Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

Pro	Con
Rebuttal: not as organized as wished but did have good responses	Rebuttal: had the <sup>possibility</sup> potential to go somewhere good w/ the argument but you got flustered w/ yourself
<u>CX2</u> solid questions and control	<u>CX2</u> gained confidence toward end and brought strong points that couldn't be concluded due to Time
<u>Summ</u> comparison of impacts.	<u>Summ</u> listed negatives of cutting sales
<u>FF</u> Weigh impacts econ vs. lives + society	<u>FF</u> Went back to strengthen their points

Came down to econ vs. society and pro did a better job of telling me why society was most important

Room: 22  
Start: 12:00 PM  
Junior Varsity PF

3

Koski, Cashel

GDS Lower

**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
Feb 23 - 23, 2019

2

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

Spkr	NorFai HT	POINTS (1 - 30)
1	Teresa Huang	29
2	Ria Tuli	27.5

1

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	Potoma NF	POINTS (1 - 30)
2	Ayanna Nayar	28
1	Elizabeth Forster	28

Winner: NorFai HT School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? —

Signature: Cashel Koski

Comments & Reason for Decision:  
Because the Pro's argument relied on humanitarian interests but con  
said that this would only continue + get worse.  
Pro's only argument was Saudi lives which was rebutted.

**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

**PRO** CON (Circle One)

Spkr	Potoma LL	POINTS (1-30)
2	Sharon Lo	30
1	Audrey Leyva	29

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	HolTri BB	POINTS (1-30)
1, 2	Biz Blee	28 <del>29</del>

Winner: Potoma LL School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? no

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Note aff. Defense is well extended, terminal on the neg's case. Offense was good, little contention by the neg.  
 Very good rebuttal from pro 2nd!

Neg

- In case, you should do a bit more work on how exactly ending arms sales would hurt an alliance. Sure, they may be a part of the alliance, but how significant? What exactly happens?
- Potential contradiction on 1<sup>st</sup> contention - if Saudi Arabia can switch easily, how are they good leverage?
- I feel like your rebuttal seemed to repeat your contentions a lot. Doing this a bit is fine, but you need to explain the way your argument interacts with theirs better. It also needs to be more organized.
- Summary extensions are good, but you need to respond better to their responses. Additionally, try to collapse on arguments, instead of going for everything.
- Focus more! Not every argument is worth voting on. Explain why a few are good and why I vote there, not just quick statements about every one.

Aff

- Contentions in case seemed a bit disjointed. Some could be combined. You shouldn't need four contentions.
- The Yemen argument seemed to be broken up in case - maybe put the Byman and Riedel evidence together?
- Very good rebuttal! I thought you made great responses to their case and were able to carry the Yemen argument through. One thing you can improve on is implication - what does the response mean for my ballot?
- Summary needs more offense. You do a great job talking about your answers to their case, but not enough work on why I vote for you. I hear "we need a change from the status quo" but not what that change entails, or how it gets better. What's the effect of voting aff?
- Final focus is good, needs more collapsing. Why is your argument most important?

# NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO U.S. Should End #2

Spkr	Nysmit DS	POINTS (1 - 30)
	Veer Dabbi	26
	Anish Somu	27

CON U.S. Should Not End #1

Spkr	Potoma VA	POINTS (1 - 30)
	Mimi Vornidran	28
	Moazzez Ava	28

Winner: Potomac School/Team debating on the CON Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Kara Hicks

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I was persuaded by Potomac's argument that U.S. should not end arms sales to Saudi Arabia because the ~~United States~~ <sup>Potomac team</sup> successfully demonstrated that the United States can best use its influence and leverage ~~over~~ <sup>with</sup> Saudi Arabia to insure it ~~accept~~ <sup>acts</sup> responsibly i.e. it continues its alliance with Saudi Arabia rather than risking Saudi Arabia align with Russia or other countries which may not live as high humanitarian standards

Point Scale:

Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits  
 Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker) ..4 min.  
 Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker) 4 min.  
 Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2) ..3 min.  
 Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker) ..4 min.  
 Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker) 4 min.  
 Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4) ..3 min.  
 Speaker 1 Summary ..2 min.  
 Speaker 2 Summary ..2 min.  
 Grand Crossfire (all speakers) 3 min.  
 Speaker 3 Final Focus ..2 min.  
 Speaker 4 Final Focus ..2 min.  
 Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.

Anish ~~was better~~ - You did a great job making eye contact and delivering your points well delivered.  
 Veer - Your introduction was ~~not that good~~  
 You could benefit by taking better note of your opposing teams arguments for purposes of rebuttal.

Meredith: Ava - Great job! You were well prepared. Try not to spend time on your opposing teams technical

**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
Feb 23 - 23, 2019

PRO CON (Circle One) 2

Spkr	GeoDay KS	POINTS (1-30)
1	Peter Kumar	28.5
2	Ike Song	28.5

1 (Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	CanYou ZL	POINTS (1-30)
<del>1</del> 2	Zhenghao (Eric) Zhou 2	27
<del>2</del> 1	Blair Liang 1	27

Winner: GEODAY KS debating on the CON Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: J. Metz

Comments & Reason for Decision:

CON prevails on lives by arguing persuasively that the key to ending the war in Iraq is defeating Iran.

CON prevails on Iran impact, arguing persuasively that Iran is a bad actor.

Oil and the effect on the economy is a wash as CON fails to establish magnitude or impact; however Pro's rebuttal based on investment in tech is not on point, as CON stated.

Pro should avoid ad hominem attacks such as the oft-repeated are you okay with having blood on your hands

Pro's blamy of SA to 9-11 without evidence is not helpful to its case.





## NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO		
Spkr	Nysmit EG	POINTS (1 - 30)
3	Emily Guo	25

CON		
Spkr	Potoma BW*	POINTS (1 - 30)
1	Lucas Boulter	27.5
2	Connor Wood	27

Winner: Potomac BW debating on the CON Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Felicia Kalkman

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Emily: please speak Loudly. Please print your case in the future so it is easier to access. Good questions! Please have your computer ready before the round

**Point Scale:**  
Kids usually get between 20-30  
Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Connor: Good, clear speaking voice.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	.4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

Lucas: Good job doing direct rebuttal to her points!  
Good voter issues at the end.

RFD: The con gave good points about stopping Iran and keeping control in the middle east. Pro needs to work on organization both in the speech and during the round. Emily should be taking notes on pen and paper.



## NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

T.S : 55

i: 20

**PRO** CON (Circle One)

Spkr	GeoDay BW	POINTS (1 - 30)
2	Dalton Beach	27.5
3	Yiqing Wang	27

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Potoma SS	POINTS (1 - 30)
1	Sienna Staver	28

Winner: Potomac SS School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The con team won that ending arms sales to Saudi Arabia would give Iran a significant advantage in their support for Houthi rebels and would ultimately instigate more terrorist attacks. As both sides agree I should focus on saving lives, I think more lives would be lost in the Yemeni war if Iran had an advantage as they are more likely to support terrorist groups and kill innocent people. The con thus won that it is more important for US national security to support Saudi Arabia instead of Iran.



**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
Feb 23 - 23, 2019

0:18

0:52

AFF			NEG		
Spkr	Potoma RW	POINTS (1-30)	Spkr	Nysmit YK	POINTS (1-30)
3	Natalie Roots-Nowakowski	29	4	Hillary Yoon	27
1	Pippa Westland	28	2	Ryan Kim	26

Winner: Potomac *rw* debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I was persuaded by the multifaceted argument, as Potomac laid the logical traps during the CF that Nysmit's speaker might not, especially effective for Speaker 1. Speaker 2 could use improvement on fluidity, many stops and starts, but made improvements throughout the debate. Speaker 3 had commanding control of the argument, perhaps too aggressive in attacking opponent during Q&A. Speaker 4 nearly saved team with final focus speech, but in the end, it was a valiant effort but too little, too late.



**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO 1		
Spkr	PCS(Po Lwa)	POINTS (1-30)
1/2	Leon Wang	27

CON 2		
Spkr	Accoke ED	POINTS (1-30)
1	Allyse Espejo	28
2	Kenethryanl Desouza	29

Winner: Accoke ED debating on the CON Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? No

Signature: Emma McCabe

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Allyse - Good delivery in 1st speech. Work w/ Kenethryanl more. You need to work to ask more specific questions in CX. Think about your attention "Why am I asking this question?" Solid job just look for the holes in his flow more closely.

**Point Scale:**  
 Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Kenethryanl - Don't talk to your partner while she's speaking. You did a good job really getting the debate going through heavily a very well-structured rebuttal. Good refs on having other weapons sources + refuting electric cars. Develop the 1st point more and pay impacts. I'd like to see you work together and build off each other. You did damage on car point, more on SA.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

Leon - You started off strong but the shortness of your rebuttal speech discouraged you. Get on the offensive in CX, you contradicted yourself. <sup>Admitting</sup> saying that the Saudis will still get weapons ~~but~~ and saying the alliance is too rooted to end. Good job on the green energy point - some of your points needed more development. Oil is neg. for electric cars. Focus on specific arguments.

RFD - Oil - I didn't buy the green energy point due to a lack of consistency. I bought the economic implications of the con.

Hum. Rights - The con was effective in proving SA has other weapons sources

Room: 27  
 Start: 12:00 PM  
 Varsity PF



Foster, Laura

Potomac

**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

2nd

AFF		
Spkr	UniPro ZD	POINTS (1-30)
2	Adrielle Zindler	29
1	Jaina Dotson	21

First

NEG		
Spkr	Resili KR	POINTS (1-30)
1	Aanya Kolli	27
2	Sankrith Ramani	29.5

Winner: Nysmith School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Laura S Foster

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Resili

Speaker 1: spoke fast, read, good speaker in initial speech. Strong summary. Brought up holes in the opponents argument.

Speaker 2: Great speaker. Did not rely on tools. Persuasive and powerful. Great at relating competitive ideas.

UniPro

Speaker 1: spoke fast, read, good at asking questions. Plus some team or defense.

Speaker 2: Great speaker, passionate. Did not rely on tools. Good response to questions in crossfire. Strong support.

Prep  
 UniPro 20      30 sec.  
                     45 sec

Nysmith      1 minute  
                     1 minute

**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO 2nd

Spkr	Potoma HG*	POINTS (1-30)
2nd	Garrett Hicks	27
1st	Michael Giuliano	26

CON 1st

Spkr	Nysmit VC	POINTS (1-30)
1st	Rabia Chadha	25
2nd	Kavya Velaga	26

Winner: Potoma HG debating on the Pro Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Rabia

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Rabia

- speak up and speak slower.
- more facts and know your case -
- better questions needs to be ask.
- really good
- bring up arguments early.

Michael

- look up at the judge do see how much time.
- use your time better.
- Summary is not a rebuttle speech.
- Give impacts in your summary speech

Kavya

- very good rebuttle
- use your time fine
- really good at attack there case.
- really good at asking question and answer.
- really good impact

Garrett

- attack them by each
- contaction.
- good at flipping.

**Point Scale:**  
 Kids usually get between 20-30 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	.3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	.4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	.3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	.2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	.2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	.3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	.2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	.2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

- really good at ask presy
- really good impacts.



## NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

AFF

Spkr	NorFai NH - 2nd	POINTS (1-30)
2	Tara Nair	28.5
4	Serena Hirani	29

NEG

Spkr	Nysmit BB - CON	POINTS (1-30)
3	Tanvi Bhawe	28
1	Emma Bennett	29

Winner: NorFai NH debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? X

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Tara - Good Speaker just focus more on fluency + stick to that narrative

Serena - I think putting emphasis on rebuttals will systematically benefit your case, stick to them frontlines

Emma - I loved the constructive! Clean narrative, nice speed + good extension,

Tanvi - focus more on why US arms sales have solvency, I was swayed by the first target flowed into summary but they were dropped in aff

Three voters, Terrorism, Alt sellers of power all made my ballot go to the Aff specifically because, the logic + use of evidence to counter alternate sellers took out Nysmith's link chain, If the Pro proves that RVSS is a china won't/can't come in con doesn't have access to their impacts. DONT DROP STOCK PILES it would have given you access to your impacts

**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

AFF			NEG		
Spkr	Nysmit KM	POINTS (1-30)	Spkr	Potoma BW	POINTS (1-30)
1	Rohan Kompella	28	2	Daive Bertuzzi	<del>28</del> 29
2	Raed Mirza	29	1	Shelby Willcox	28

Winner: Potomas BW debating on the COIU Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Maxwell Zhang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Nysmith  
 1st Speaker: Good speaking overall  
 Your summary was a bit unorganized and hard to follow, you jump around on the flow  
 2nd Speaker: Good speaking overall  
 Nice weighing

\* General Comment to everyone: SIGNPOST!!!  
 Say "on their 1st cont";  
 "on our 2nd cont", etc.

Potomac  
 1st Speaker: Good speaking overall  
 Summary was a bit unorganized  
 2nd Speaker: Good speaking overall  
 Frontlining would be nice, for example you use the "Russia fill the void" argument in your case to respond to their point, but they already respond to that arg in the previous speech, so you should respond to their responses.  
 Nice weighing

RFD: I vote COIU off Russian arms, everything else was kind a muddled/nuclear.



**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
Feb 23 - 23, 2019

1st.

AFF		
Spkr	UniPro AA	POINTS (1-30)
1	Aditi Atreya	29

2nd.

NEG		
Spkr	Nysmit SR	POINTS (1-30)
2	Spencer Rothka	28.5

Winner: UniPro AA School/Team debating on the Pro (Aff) Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Jack Wei

Comments & Reason for Decision:

pro: 1. strong arguments  
2. very focus.  
3. not effective to answer portal blockage question

con: 1. good presentation, eye contact.  
2. 9/11 should be prepared as rebuttal  
3. less effective in rebuttal.  
4. good question on portal blockage has nothing to do with arm sale.  
5. spend more time in rebuttal during summary time than restating his points.  
6. did not use his prep time effectively.



**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should and its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

**PRO** <sup>2nd</sup>

Spkr	Nysmit AB	POINTS (1 - 30)
2	Davin Axenson	25
1	Alexandra Blake <sup>1st</sup>	26

**CON**

Spkr	PCS(Po LL)	POINTS (1 - 30)
4	David Liang <sup>2nd</sup>	25
3	Jason Liu <sup>1st</sup>	25

Winner: NYSMITH AB School/Team debating on the PRO Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Asfiya Marwan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

+ Oral Comments

Side ~~Con~~ <sup>Pro</sup> was able to center the debate on the ethical and moral reasons on why they US should stop arm sales. Additionally, side Pro were able to present convincing evidence to prove that ~~the~~ Saudi Arabia were behind ~~the~~ war activities

**Point Scale:**  
 Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	



**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO			CON		
Spkr	PCS(Po LW)	POINTS (1-30)	Spkr	Accoke BP	POINTS (1-30)
3	Susanna Lee	26	2	Tamarioyon Bush	26
1	Jeffrey Wang	27	4	Aniya Paul	26

Winner: PCS LW School/Team debating on the Pro Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Maryam Abbasi

Comments & Reason for Decision:

**PRO:**  
 - LOVE the case!!  
 Jeffrey: good presentation  
 - don't make your questions too long  
 Susanna:  
 ① interact w/ other team's arguments more  
 ② speak louder  
 ③ great eye contact

**Con:**  
 1st speaker:  
 - great presentation  
 - awesome, clear cases, might want to add more impact cards  
 - ask more questions in cross  
 Aniya: good rebuttal, could've addressed more of their case  
 good cross questions

**Point Scale:**  
 Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	.4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

I don't feel comfortable voting pro however neither side had strong responses / weighing so I had to do my own and I felt morally inclined to vote pro!  
 Great round everyone!!

# NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO <sup>2</sup>

Spkr	Nysmit GM	POINTS (1 - 30)
1	Jack Greene	27
2	Ansh Malhotra	28

CON <sup>1</sup>

Spkr	HolTri BB	POINTS (1 - 30)
1	Auggie Blee	26
2	Theodore Blomstrom	26.5

Winner: Nysmith GM School/Team debating on the PRO Side (PRO or CON) Low point win?

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Auggie - Nice eye contact! Remain strong on your points. Listen closely to questions asked by opponents. Confidence! Listen to your partners point and expand on them. Strongest point is economy.

Jack - Nice speaking pace! Eyecontact to opponents and judge is key. Take your time! Use Jamal K example. Concise to partners points and responses - Great job! Speak in cross.

Theodore - Slow down! Used closed statements when refuting opponents contention(s). Manage time. Listen closely to questions. Dont let points go in Summary.

Ansh - Speak a little louder. Good strong points mentioned. Strongest argument is Saudi instability. → Keep it throughout. Overall fantastic speaking.

**Point Scale:**  
 Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	



**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO		
Spkr	GeoDay BB	POINTS (1 - 30)
2	Clio Blum	28
1	Naomi Borek	28

CON		
Spkr	Potoma WC*	POINTS (1 - 30)
2	Chad Ward	29.5
1	James Castle	29

Winner: Potoma WC School/Team debating on the CON Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? No

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

All contentions made by them on the  
 CON team were left unanswered  
 by the pro team; con had very  
 good point about jobs + oil  
 which essentially did not countered  
 Pro's argument. ~~I think that the~~  
~~CON team has a lot of potential.~~  
 Con's great argument and arguments  
 net them the win

**Point Scale:**  
 Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice  
 and talk to them about scores. Also,  
 RANK them 1-4.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	



**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO		
Spkr	Accoke HJ	POINTS (1 - 30)
	Andrew Haynes	27.5
	Madinah Jones	27.5

CON		
Spkr	Nysmit MM	POINTS (1 - 30)
	Kevin Miller	26.5
	Yash Marpu	26.5

Winner: Accoke HJ School/Team debating on the PRO Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Sara Abbasi

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Yash

- make your impacts more clear
- explain your arguments more (try to fill up all your time)
- more confidence/emotion
- be more assertive in crossfire, ask more questions
- fill all your time, address their rebuttal

Kevin

- have clearer responses to their case, respond to all of their arguments
- use all your speaking time
- bring up responses in your speech, not crossfire
- talk about your impacts more

Andrew

- make the structure of your case clearer
- fill all your time in case (discuss impacts maybe)
- don't use subpoints if you only have one
- ask stronger questions in crossfire, good job asserting control though (ask less about your opponent's opinion and more about the facts of the case)
- fill all your time, address their rebuttal

Madinah

- tell me what arguments you are responding to
- interact w/ the judge, not the opponents
- use all your speaking time
- good passion, don't get too aggressive
- good job using emotion to be convincing

**Point Scale:**  
 Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	.4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	.3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.

Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.

RFD: The round was messy on who won what argument. I ended up voting on Yemen and terrorism because pro extended and explained it most clearly. I believe that Saudi is killing people in Yemen now and that pro has the only chance to solve, no matter what the impacts for Saudi are. I also believed pro's turn that US weapons to Saudi are going to terrorists because con never responded to it.



**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER  
*2nd team.*

*.15 for pro*

PRO		
Spkr	Nysmit DM	POINTS (1-30)
2	Dilan Mehmetoglu	27

CON		
Spkr	Accoke BJ	POINTS (1-30)
1	Elda Berhaneeyessus	28
3	Aaliyah Jones	26

Winner: Accoke BJ debating on the CON Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
 School/Team

Signature: *[Signature]*

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams were articulate and forceful in the points they made.

Both teams left too much time on the table, especially the PRO team. While this isn't a problem in itself, it is valuable time that can be used to provide evidence for or bolster an argument.

First cross was at cross purposes. The case was decided on the cross fire. The con case was argued more convincingly.

Important - if you see a problem with your opponent's case, unless you call it out, they will get that point.

Overall, very good quality of debate

**Point Scale:**

Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits  
 Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker) .4 min.  
 Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker) 4 min.  
 Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2) ..3 min.  
 Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker) ..4 min.  
 Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker) 4 min.  
 Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4) ..3 min.  
 Speaker 1 Summary ..2 min.  
 Speaker 2 Summary ..2 min.  
 Grand Crossfire (all speakers) 3 min.  
 Speaker 3 Final Focus ..2 min.  
 Speaker 4 Final Focus ..2 min.  
 Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.

**NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II**  
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

**PRO**

Spkr	PCS(Po WW)	POINTS (1 - 30)
2	Heather Wang	26.
3.	Tiffany Wei	25.

**CON**

Spkr	POINTS (1 - 30)
Nysmit MR	
1 Mishka Raja	27

Winner: Nysmith MR. School/Team debating on the CON Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Deeba Chadha

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Nysmith

Speaker 1 - eye contact, thorough with contentions, had valid points in crossfire and was confident, provided evidence with conviction in second contentions.

**Point Scale:**  
 Kids usually get between 20-30  
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

**Speech Times:**

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

PCS

Speaker 1 - Confident, clarity of topic, unable to defend in crossfire.

Speaker 2 - Needed organization of material. Eye contact with opponent. More relevant questions in crossfire.

Decision

Nysmith speaker had clarity of thought and concept. Provided evidence. Answered questions confidently and defended her cons well. Therefore she wins the debate.