



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
Feb 23 - 23, 2019

AFF

Spkr	NorFai NH	POINTS (1-30)
1	Tara Nair	28
2	Serena Hirani	28

NEG

Spkr	Resili KR	POINTS (1-30)
1	Aanya Kolli	27
2	Sankrith Ramani	27

Winner: NorFai NH debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: J. Metz

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Con's FW of cost/benefit is well contested by pro, which questions how the value of lives lost in gen's fits into the FW.

Con's concession that in its world, gen's lives will continue to be lost is damaging. Con's response that in the general scheme of things, gen's lives are not significant is not helpful to its case.

~~Pro~~ Con prevails on regional stability and the value of the US-SA alliance, although Pro limits the damage by pointing out other mutual interests. Pro prevails on lives, although con limits the damage by pointing out that there are other sources of arms other than the U.S., so lives will still be lost.



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
Feb 23 - 23, 2019

AFF ^{2nd}

Spkr	Nysmit KM	POINTS (1-30)
2	Rohan Kompella ^{1st}	28.5
1	Raed Mirza ^{2nd}	28.5

NEG ^{1st}

Spkr	UniPro ZD	POINTS (1-30)
3	Adrielle Zindler ^{1st}	28
4	Jaina Dotson ^{2nd}	28

20.5
20
30
20
40
sec 1/1

Winner: NYSMITH KM School/Team debating on the PRO Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Asfiya Marwan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

* Side CON presented ~~convincing~~ ^{reasoning and} evidence to prove that the Yemen war is better off left alone to resolve itself and that KSA's interests. CON also drove home the point that if the US withdraws support now, the US is not oil-independent enough to ~~be~~ not have a negative impact in the future. However, Side PRO was able to crystallize the debate into 2 main clashes → Yemeni Peace talks and KSA supply of oil.

Finally side PRO was able to use the clashes to ~~effectively~~ effectively prove their side was right.

PRO was able to drive home the argument that KSA ~~is~~ is the main cause for the Yemen War and that their re-sale of arms to terrorist groups was worse for the USA.

Room: 27A
Start: 9:30 AM
Varsity PF



Shenkman, Max
Chan, Leona

North Fairfax Debate Club

NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019



AFF		
Spkr	Potoma BW	POINTS (1-30)
2	Daive Bertuzzi	27.5
1	Shelby Willcox	28.5

NEG <i>Speaking Secound</i>		
Spkr	Nysmit YK	POINTS (1-30)
2	Hillary Yoon	28
1	Ryan Kim	27

Winner: Potomac BW debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: M. Shenkman

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- *chaos + Hoda's*
- *Impact & consolidate content here*
- *Don't just read basic blocks because they are unresponsive*
↳ *extend answers*
- *when weighing you have to tell me why (why/How)*
- *Use proper blocks*
- *If your impact is given impact it may*

- *No impact on Cont 1.*
- *Extend & weigh*
↳ *more responses during rebuttal!*
↳ *even if it doesn't make much sense*
- ↳ *extend a link*
why does come to business?
- ↳ *choose which impact piece you're going for*
↳ *impact piece*
Impact
- ↳ *Heidi's is useless when you don't tell us when to believe it*

Room: 26
Start: 9:30 AM
Varsity PF



Irene Do

~~Kopella, Mrs.~~

Nysmith

NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

AFF

Spkr	UniPro AA	POINTS (1-30)
1+2	Aditi Atreya	27.5

NEG

Spkr	Nysmit BB	POINTS (1-30)
2	Tanvi Bhawe	28.5
1	Emma Bennett	28.5

Winner: Nysmit BB School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aditi - Nice job moving and you have a nice narrative of your case

Tips: - I think the accountability argument on their case is a little lacking - I still buy that Yemur was a bad 2 even if the US isn't accountable I buy it's important.

- Flesh out the accountability argument, you don't tell me why it matters or why I should vote on it.

Emma - Clean debate, you had very strong crosses

- Avoid saying your argument in cross in the form of a question - give them a chance to answer it
- very clear summary, but be more clear on the two-fold argument

Tanvi - Nice job, I think you did a great job.

- Final focus: I think it can be more organized. Yes say main arg a lot, I'd prefer if you humbled your voting issues. Nice weighing!

Decision - I vote on alt worse and US pressure good for terror treaties

Room: 25
Start: 9:30 AM
Varsity PF



Boma, Akshath
Bakshi, Ramani

University Pro Virginia

NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019



Weg
AFF

Spkr	Nysmit SR	POINTS (1-30)
1/2	Spencer Rothka	27.5

AFF
NEG

Spkr	Potoma RW	POINTS (1-30)
2	Natalie Roots-Nowakowski	29.5
1	Pippa Westland	28.5

Winner: Potoma RW debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

School/Team
Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff built a solid case, responding to all points made by the con team, which he was not able to do. He got stuck on one point about the quality of allies, as well as the implications. This was true for aff as well, but not to that extent. Overall, Aff was able to build a good case citing "Lives over money", winning on both the flow and the lay.



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

Spkr	GeoDay KS	POINTS (1-30)
1	Peter Kumar	27
2	Ike Song	27

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	NorFai HT	POINTS (1-30)
1	Teresa Huang	26
2	Ria Tuli	26

Winner: GeoDay KS debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision: CON did listen to PRO better than they did. Substantiated their will.

1. Peter Kumar → good focus on the framework
 → demonstrated listening, great questioning during CF, great delivery during GF
 → Better eye contact ~~was~~ needed
2. Ike Song → good rebuttals, good listening
 → effective use of CF time & balancing details
 → Need to sound more firm & assertive
3. Teresa Huang → good research, a lot of valid data points. Need to focus on rebutting effectively
4. Ria → More hear. lot of research. Needs to listen more for rebutting opp's contentions.



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
Feb 23 - 23, 2019

PRO CON (Circle One)

Spkr	Potoma NF	POINTS (1-30)
34	Ayanna Nayar	28
42	Elizabeth Forster	28

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	HolTri BB	POINTS (1-30)
	Biz Blee	29

Winner: HolTri BB School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: Felicia Kalkman

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Biz: Good ~~pre-done~~ pre-done questions in the round. In the rebuttal, rebut first then restate your contentions. You need to respond to her attacks to your contentions in the summary → no need to restate first

Elizabeth: good, powerful speaker. Over 45 seconds on the speech

Ayanna: good questions → work on more evidence in your rebuttal

RFD: So I go with Pro because she has three different arguments that work: journalists, Yemen, don't need other partners. Iran is too hotly contested for Con win alone.



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

1:16
20

1:26

PRO CON (Circle One)

Potomac LL (Circle One) **PRO CON**

Spkr	Potoma BW	POINTS (1-30)
3	Taylor Burriss	27
1	Abigail Woldgebriel	28

Spkr	GeoDay-IM-	POINTS (1-30)
4	Juanita Irving-Petty John Jr. ^{Sharon Co}	29.5
2	Marna McDermott ^{Audrey Leyva}	29

Winner: Potomac LL School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The con team won that cutting off arms sales would not end the war in Yemen and would instead increase the likelihood of war between Saudi Arabia and Iran. The con team won that the Saudis would buy weapons from Russia instead, which would increase the rates of civilian casualties. As both sides agree that we ought to save lives, the con team made a persuasive case that the pro team would only have a risk of resulting in even more deaths.



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
Feb 23 - 23, 2019

PRO CON (Circle One)

Spkr	GeoDay BB	POINTS
		25-30)
	Milo Borek	26
1st	Griffin Brown	28

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Potoma S*	POINTS
		25-30)
	Harper Kelly	
	Sienna Staver MAVERICK	29

Winner: POTOMA S School/Team debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

MILO

Good points, though sounded hypothetical because I didn't hear a source, assuming you placed yourself in the role of an expert on Saudi and Middle East aggression. So slight your sources

GRIFFIN

Work on applying sources to opponent, rather than conceding so quickly.

Focus on topic rather than opponent in your final summary.

SIENNA

Was crossfire calling on opponent to cite a source
Strong rebuttal to each argument

Solidly affirming her statements, and knowing her arguments well enough to respond intelligently

During crossfire. Opponents weren't able to respond argue back.



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	GeoDay BW	POINTS (1-30)
	Dalton Beach	28
	Yiqing Wang max	28

Spkr	CanYou ZL	POINTS (1-30)
	Zhenghao (Eric) Zhou	27
	Blair Liang	27

Winner: Geo Day BW School/Team debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
Signature: Leona Chan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The pro team wins because they have more convincing contentions backed by evidence & references. Your team fails to address con team's contention on middle East surveillance though. The con team lacks supportive evidences in their assertions. They haven't refuted on the pro's contentions except focusing on oil sale & US not responsible for Yemenese deaths. Weapons & arms are very different from sleeping pills. Con team does great job on cross fire. They ask good questions.



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO			CON		
Spkr	Nysmit GM	POINTS (1-30)	Spkr	Accoke ED	POINTS (1-30)
2	Jack Greene 1	27	1	Allyse Espejo 2	28
3	Ansh Malhotra 2	27.5	4	Kenethryanl Desouza 1	26.5

Winner: Accoke ED School/Team debating on the Con Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? No

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Framework: whose benefits outweigh

Pro	Con
+ For most of Rebuttal not organized	Went down contentions - easy to follow
Good questions	" "
Summary brought good responses and tip tied it together	Summary: turned into <u>US econ</u> Yemen and SA actions
Great clash in CX	" "
<u>FF</u> Pro v. Con world	<u>FF</u> Came into extended sum still brought good points

Point Scale:
 Kids usually get between 20-30
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

Came down to frame work: con focused on more impact and outcome



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO *1st 2:00 1:00*

spkr	Accoke HJ	POINTS (1-30)
1	Andrew Haynes	26.5
2	Madinah Jones	26

CON *1st 2nd 2:00 1:30*

Spkr	PCS(Po WW)	POINTS (1-30)
1	Heather Wang	26
2	Tiffany Wei	25.5

Winner: Accoke HJ debating on the Pro Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro had more/better evidence, proved Saudi Arabia is bad and US can trade elsewhere

Point Scale:
 Kids usually get between 20-30
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.

Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO Team A

Spkr	Nysmit EG	POINTS (1-30)
142	Emily Guo	25

CON Team B

Spkr	HolTri BB	POINTS (1-30)
1	Auggie Blee	27
2	Theodore Blomstrom	26

speaking 2nd

Winner: HolTri BB School/Team debating on the Con Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? _____

Signature: Laura E Foster

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Nysmith

Holy Trinity

- Need to look up and speak up
- Practice word pronunciation
- Don't rely on technology
- Good facts

- read too fast 1st speaker
- good facts
- read too fast 2nd speaker
- good facts

Point Scale:

Kids usually get between 20-30
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits
 Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker) .4 min.
 Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker) 4 min.
 Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2) .3 min.
 Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker) .4 min.
 Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker) 4 min.
 Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4) .3 min.
 Speaker 1 Summary .2 min.
 Speaker 2 Summary .2 min.
 Grand Crossfire (all speakers) 3 min.
 Speaker 3 Final Focus .2 min.
 Speaker 4 Final Focus .2 min.
 Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.

Prep time:

Nysmith: 2:00

Holy Trinity: 10 seconds
 40 seconds



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO			CON		
Spkr	Potoma CK*	POINTS (1-30)	Spkr	Nysmit MS	POINTS (1-30)
1	Kathryn Choi	29	4	Rhea Maran	26
3	Kelly Harper	27	2	Vidhi Sharma	28

Winner: Potoma School/Team debating on the Pro Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? _____

Signature: Howard Wang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro strong on facts, and logic, and clarity
Con strong on point attacks, miss some facts

Point Scale:
Kids usually get between 20-30
Novices have no idea, so try to be nice
and talk to them about scores. Also,
RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO			CON		
Spkr	Accoke BP	POINTS (1-30)	Spkr	Potoma VA	POINTS (1-30)
2	Tamarioyon Bush Terence Ayers	27	2	Mimi Vornidran	29
1	Aniya Paul	28	1	Moazzez Ava	28

Winner: Potoma VA debating on the Con Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Pro
 1st speaker has good points and better presentation, but less effective in rebuttal.
 2nd speaker should do better in getting their points crossed and rebuttal. He did great jobs in keeping emphasis on human loss and guilt on USA.

- Con
 1st very-clear points. Can improve in the presentation.
 2nd good starting in bring out UAE very strong in final focus.

Point Scale:
 Kids usually get between 20-30
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO CON

Spkr	Potoma WC*	POINTS (1-30)
2	Chad Ward	28
1	James Castle	27.5

CON PRO

Spkr	PCS(Po LW)	POINTS (1-30)
2	Susanna Lee	26.5
1	Jeffrey Wang	28

Winner: Chad & James School/Team debating on the CON Side (PRO or CON)

Low point win? ~~_____~~ 54.5

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

James - Great speaking skills! Lock up more. Relax!

Strongest point is U.S middle eastern alliance make sure you carry that through. Use all your time!

Jeffrey - Confidence is key. Good speaking, nice eye contact. Strongest point is citizen lives in Yemen. - Keep that strong. Use all your time!

Chad - Rebutte opponents contentions more. Instead of strengthening your partners points. Overall you are a very strong speaker and you know your points well. Use all your time! Good point on debt.

Susanna - Speak louder. Good eye contact. Nice points. Your strongest point is humanitarian laws and lives - keep that strong all throughout. Use all of your time! Speak during cross.

Point Scale:
Kids usually get between 20-30
Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:
Speeches and Time Limits
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker) .4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker) 4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2) ..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker) ..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker) 4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4) ..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary ..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary ..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers) 3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus ..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus ..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO			CON 1 st		
Spkr	GeoDay BB	POINTS (1-30)	Spkr	Nysmit MR	POINTS (1-30)
4/2	Clio Blum	26	1/3	Mishka Raja	28
2	Naomi Borek	27			

Winner: Nysmith School/Team debating on the Con Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I was persuaded by the argument presented - multiple strong points made and the rebuttals, although also strong, did not counter the points made by the Con side.

Speaker 1/3 was confident and on the attack during crossfire.

Speaker 2 presented well thought out, logical arguments but would benefit from speaking more loudly. Too easily conceded points during CF.

Speaker 4 presented well. She did not participate in the GCF.

Point Scale:
 Kids usually get between 20-30
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO		
Spkr	PCS(Po Lwa)	POINTS (1-30)
1	Leon Wang	27

CON		
Spkr	Nysmit DS	POINTS (1-30)
25	Veer Dabbi	1
28	Anish Somu	2

Winner: Nysmit DS debating on the con Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (PRO or CON)

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

110 billion dollars is fake news. Pro side was confusing arguments. Oil and lives are two different arguments.

Con is not enough to just say it not effective YOU have to say now.

To both teams look at the big impacts. it not just about what more effective.

Pro side was really good at rebutting there points.

Con was really good in there summary speech. Need more

impacts on both teams

Point Scale:
 Kids usually get between 20-30 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO		
Spkr	Nysmit DM	POINTS (1-30)
	Dilan Mehmetoglu	28.5

CON		
Spkr	UniPro DJ	POINTS (1-30)
	Caleb Dotson	27
	Ryleigh Jahmani	26

Winner: Nysmith DM School/Team debating on the Pro Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? _____

Signature: Sara Abbasi

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Ryleigh

- good organization to your case, practice reading it for fluency
- be prepared for crossfire more
- prepare your speaking points before your speech to avoid long pauses
- be more confident!

Dilan

- read your case more for fluency (good passion though!)
- excellent crossfire control
- research more responses for rebuttal to fill up time (good organization though)
- try to fill all your speaking time
- if you want to talk abt an argument in final focus, make sure it is in summary

Caleb

- practice your speaking to be more clear
- explain your arguments in rebuttal better to make them more clear
- good crossfire questions
- you should answer her rebuttal/ defend your arguments during your rebuttal if you have time
- only talk abt arguments in final focus that were made in summary

RFD

I believed that the US should take moral action because it went unresponded to by con. Since pro explained her argument about Yemen most clearly and explained how it was moral, I voted there.

Point Scale:

Kids usually get between 20-30
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO		
Spkr	Potoma BW*	POINTS (1-30)
3	Lucas Boulter	26
1	Connor Wood	26

CON		
Spkr	UniPro AK	POINTS (1-30)
4	Arjun Atreya	26
2	Veera Kaliyamurthy	27

Winner: UniPro AK School/Team debating on the Con Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? _____

Signature: Maryam Abbasi

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Connor: more eye contact
 - don't ask where they got their evidence
 - ask more strategic Qs

- have to answer their arguments
 Veera: eye contact!!
 case order: confusing, clarify order a bit

Point Scale:
 Kids usually get between 20-30
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Lucas: response abt 1973 example helped other side, don't read it eye contact!!

- good clear summary explain arguments a little more

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

ask questions
 try to fill up more time in later speeches!
 even if u read that 1 sentence, spend some more time explaining it

Arjun: more confidence + eye contact!!
 everything you said was good
 speak more in grand cross!

overall, con gets the win bc pro never responds to the fact that SA will get weapons from other countries, and only responds to oil contention, but con extended other contentions as well



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO		
Spkr	Nysmit VC 2nd	POINTS (1-30)
2	Rabia Chadha	27
1	Kavya Velaga	28

CON		
Spkr	Potoma GA 1st	POINTS (1-30)
2	Jag Gioia	26
1	Nathan Alexander	27

Winner: Nysmith VC debating on the PRO Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature: Maxwell Zhang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Nysmith (PRO)

1st Speaker:

Good job reading case, very clear

Try to rely less on a script and be more impromptu

2nd Speaker:

More prepared than the CON, still would like to see more responses to their points

Good job weighing lives over oil and allies (only 11% from Saudi Arabia, other allies exist, lives more important)

Potomac (CON)

1st Speaker:

Practice reading your case more, your reading was very choppy.

"Not our fault" is not a strong argument

2nd Speaker:

~~with prep~~ Should do more preparation, rebuttal was too short. Don't concede things in crossfire, worst case scenario just say "my partner will answer that" or something

Point Scale:

Kids usually get between 20-30
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	.4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

RFD: I vote PRO

PRO makes a compelling argument that US arms are being used to kill Yemeni civilians

CON argues 2 things in response:

1. Saudi Arabia can pivot to other countries like Russia, but PRO explain

that Saudi Arabia is locked in and cannot switch. 2. "Not our fault", but PRO also explains that it is our fault because our weapons are important. CON's arguments about Iran and oil were also responded to and did not feel as important.

Room: 16
Start: 9:30 AM
Novice Public Forum



Koski, Cashel

GDS Lower

NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

30sec 130 —

spkr	PCS(Po ML)	POINTS (1-30)
1	Sarah Mei	25
2	Hannah Luu	24

30sec 30sec 20sec

spkr	Nysmit MM	POINTS (1-30)
2	Kevin Miller	28
1	Yash Marpu	27

Winner: Nysmith MM School/Team debating on the Con Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? —

Signature: Cashel Koski

Point made by con that if we stop, saudi will lose to terrorism

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Con's speeches were better structured + they demonstrated clear understanding of topic + contentions.
All pro's contentions were rebutted + con's were left.

Point Scale:

Kids usually get between 20-30
Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.

Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.

NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO

Spkr	Potoma HG*	POINTS (1-30)
4	Garrett Hicks	28
2	Michael Giuliano	27.5

CON

Spkr	CanYou SH	POINTS (1-30)
3	Tinghao (Joseph) Shi	26.5
1	Amy Huang	27

Winner: Potomac HG debating on the Pro Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? X
 School/Team
 Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro Mac -
 Michael - I liked the speech be more relaxed, I liked your speech
 Garrett - I thought you held you down in the round but give me an impact calc, in FF

Can you -
 Joseph - you have a good speaker maybe slow down for Amy
 Amy - spend a little more time with the case you had 2:10 left but in cross did a good job responding

Point Scale:
 Kids usually get between 20-30
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	

RFD: The Pro side effectively proved that the debate should come down to oil and lives. We + the side gives me a clear narrative or solvency but pro provides evidence for their link chain.



Kara AA

NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II
 Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO		
Spkr	PCS(Po LL)	POINTS (1-30)
	David Liang	27
	Jason Liu	27

CON		
Spkr	GeoDay GL	POINTS (1-30)
	Sherlock Grigsby	27
	Susan Lin	28

Winner: GeoDay GL School/Team debating on the CON Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? _____

Signature: Kara Attick

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I was persuaded by the argument that stopping arms trade to Saudi Arabia would harm our economy and would not necessarily decrease the U.S. soft power.

Susan - great job on rebuttal. try to stay away from using the word "like".

Sherlock - you use your voice well try not to bidget and move side to side while speaking

Jason and David - you were very well prepared - try to articulate rebuttal to your opponent's more succinctly.

Point Scale:
 Kids usually get between 20-30
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	..3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	..4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	..3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	..2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	..2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	..2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	..2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	



NYSMITH DEBATE CLASSIC II

Feb 23 - 23, 2019

"The US should end its arms sales to Saudi Arabia" SIDES ARE LOCKED IN NOVICE SO KIDS WILL ONLY FLIP FOR 1st or 2nd SPEAKER

PRO			CON		
Spkr	Nysmit AB	POINTS (1-30)	Spkr	Accoke BJ	POINTS (1-30)
2	Davin Axenson	26 26.5	1	Elda Berhaneyessus	28
1	Alexandra Blake	27	2	Aaliyah Jones	28

Winner: Accoke BJ debating on the Con Side (PRO or CON) Low point win? no

School/Team

Signature: *Mable Sp*

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg case:

I think this case is pretty good, but it needs some more fleshed out work on your impacts. What exactly happens when oil prices rise? How many does a Bahrain war kill?

Att case:

Good narrative on the moral side of arms sales. I would like to see a little more on the concrete effects of ending arms. Why would the Saudis air force be grounded?

Neg rebuttal:

You made some good arguments, but I would've liked to see some backing. Which terrorists are hijacking bombs? Do you have evidence? The stuff about the alliance also seemed a bit repetitive.

Att rebuttal:

Needs more organization, the speech jumped around. You also need more substantive responses to their case. The speech was also a bit disjointed - you paused a lot, try to make it more cohesive.

Neg summary:

Pretty good extensions, but you need to do more impact work. Why does a cutoff of oil matter? Why exactly does our alliance matter?

Att summary:

This speech needs to do more on answering their arguments - they answer some contentions, and you ignore that. Try to make your story clearer - why exactly do we withdraw?

Neg FF:

Agree more impacts. Explain your story clearly - why is the alliance going away? Why does Saudi Arabia want to cut off oil?

Att FF:

Be more specific about the reasons I vote for you. This speech jumped around a bit.

Vote con, both teams need more evidence and warranting. Cross needs to be more productive as well.

Point Scale:

Kids usually get between 20-30
 Novices have no idea, so try to be nice and talk to them about scores. Also, RANK them 1-4.

Speech Times:

Speeches and Time Limits	
Speaker 1 (Team A, 1st speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 2 (Team B, 1st speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 1 & 2)	.3 min.
Speaker 3 (Team A, 2nd speaker)	.4 min.
Speaker 4 (Team B, 2nd speaker)	4 min.
Crossfire (between speakers 3 & 4)	.3 min.
Speaker 1 Summary	.2 min.
Speaker 2 Summary	.2 min.
Grand Crossfire (all speakers)	3 min.
Speaker 3 Final Focus	.2 min.
Speaker 4 Final Focus	.2 min.
Each team may use up to two minutes of prep time.	