

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP			POINTS
Spkr	NewPar HL	Aff.	(20 - 30)
	Riya Hundal		25 <del>24</del> <del>25</del>
①	Adalia Luo		27 <del>25</del>

OPP			POINTS
Spkr	OakPar BB		(20 - 30)
①	Ciaran Ballesty		23 <del>25</del> <del>24</del>
	Rohan Bijukumar		27 <del>25</del>

Winner: Oak Park ~~Newbury Park~~ debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: NOT A GOOD DEBATE - OFF TOPIC A LOT

Adalia - Felt like she was yelling at me.  
 (Incorrect grammar & pronunciation)  
 Speaking too quickly

Riya - Not confident, stumbled over words  
 Did not talk to topic  
 Lost place

Speaking too quickly  
 not confident  
 assumed.

ROHAN - HAD THEM SET TO MAKE NOISE WHEN TIME WAS UP FOR OTHER GROUP

CIARAN - COMES OF EXTREMELY POMPUS & AT TIMES RUDE - INDICATING OTHER TEAM'S TIME OVER.  
 saying the other team was lying  
~~long~~ ~~Interim~~ Point A intermarker taken during last minute

way off

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.

Half-points are allowed.

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes

There is no preparation time between speeches.

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP		
Spkr	OakChr HS	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Andrew Henson	27
①	Allie Spaccarelli	27

OPP		
Spkr	OakPar BY	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Beshane Bans	27
	Loren Yona	27

Winner: Oaks Christian debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
 School/Team

Signature: Lana Marcus

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Opp Beshane - Team very organized - but not on topic  
 Too fast couldn't understand often what was being said

Allie - logically - arguments didn't make sense "Too many ums"  
 Loren - Not on topic  
 went back and forth prop con.  
 incorrect information

Andrew? - only person to respectfully refer to Trump as President

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Allie - ~~Too many "ums"~~  
 very clearly states argument  
 And then goes off topic  
 stumbled  
 summation not clear

Team - wrong claim that new information brought by other team in rebuttal

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

**PROP**

Spkr	Taft CR	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Nick Catalano	29
2	Winona Roulston	27

**OPP**

Spkr	NewPar CC	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	David Cho	28
1	Carter Castillo	29

Winner: NewPar cc School/Team debating on the Opp Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? No

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NewPar  
(Opp)

Both speakers had excellent delivery. Calm and deliberate. Very factual and evidence/stats based.

Based on resolution interesting that used strictly Biden as counter argument since not even officially running yes - but Prop did not counter against it and likewise used throughout debate

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Taft CR  
(Prop)

Very poised team as a whole. 1st speaker with excellent delivery. 2nd speaker at times lost the thread in arguments and stumbled on Biden/Bernie.

Presented overall less fact stats, more statements than evidence based.

Did not argue against or point out that Opp's entire argument was based on Biden being a better candidate (not in the resolution).

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP			OPP		
Spkr	DeTol GP	POINTS (20 - 30)	Spkr	Clevel EM	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Bradley Gerber	28	1	Max Edelstein	28
2	Jonah Pearl	29 <del>28</del>	2	Brandon Marks	29 <del>28</del>

Winner: Clevel EM School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? No

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Clevel EM: Excellent delivery. From beginning very focused on resolution being "best interest". 2nd speaker excellent in tying arguments to resolution and showed (both speakers) excellent understanding of the "best interest" as it relates to election outcome. Able to state yet keep personal feelings about the ethics involved with trump out of argument.

DeTol GP: Both speakers very passionate and with good organization. 2nd speaker recalled really well and refocused argument to resolution.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

In the end who goes to off because of clear concise arguments towards the resolution, not towards general moral & ethical judgement.



**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP			OPP		
Spkr	OakChr VH	POINTS (20 - 30)	Spkr	Taft GC	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Brandon Villanueva	27	1	Lailee Golesorkhi	28
1/3	Thomas Heffernan	27	2	George Chicha	28

Winner: Opp. / Taft School/Team \_\_\_\_\_ debating on the Opp. Side (Prop or Opp) \_\_\_\_\_ Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: dbm

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Good job on both sides, well prepared
- Good summary of contentions and how opponent failed to completely satisfy their own based on their observations
- Good asking if all parties ready before proceeding + thanking @ end
- Good on dealing with + addressing PBI's

Positive feedback

Suggested Areas of Growth

- Please announce topic of debate + names/introduction → both sides
- Be cognizant of negative body language - often close to being disruptive as well as talking/whispering when opponent speaking (mainly SAFF side: ie. talking or knocking on table's PBI; tone it down a bit)
- ~~Speak~~ 1 on opp. - please slow down just a little

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

# DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

**PROP**

Spkr	Moorpa CG	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Ella Chapman	
	Katie Glaab	

**OPP**

Spkr	Taft LH	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Dustin Lee	
	Srishta Hazra	

Winner: \_\_\_\_\_ debating on the \_\_\_\_\_ Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: dm

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NO SHOW

Aff wings

**Point Scale:**

- 30 .... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
  - 29 ..... Excellent.
  - 28 ..... Above average.
  - 27 ..... Average.
  - 26 ..... Below average.
  - 25 ..... Significantly below average.
  - 20-24 .... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
- Half-points are allowed.

**Speech Times:**

- First Proposition ..... 7 minutes
  - First Opposition ..... 8 minutes
  - Second Proposition ..... 8 minutes
  - Second Opposition ..... 8 minutes
  - Opposition Rebuttal ..... 4 minutes
  - Proposition Rebuttal ..... 5 minutes
- There is no preparation time between speeches.

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP			OPP		
Spkr	School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)	Spkr	School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Clevel GD			OakPar WT	
	Ethan Gutterman	29.0		Vianne Will	27.5
	Jackson Downey	29.0		Krishna Thakur	28.0

Winner: Clevel GD School/Team debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD: Both sides presented great warrants and evidence, the deciding factor was in the links to voter turnout and voter decision making. The neg team did not provide enough support that positive ideals and policies from K. Harris proves she would win the election. Prop side provided better evidence and explanation on how their arguments lead to ~~an~~ a win in an election. Always remember to keep to the "big picture" in mind. Overall, fantastic round by both sides!

**Point Scale:**

30	.... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	.... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP		
Spkr	Clevel LY	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Trudie Levin	28
2	Erik Yoo	27

OPP		
Spkr	Taft DP	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Sid Dutta	26
1	Brendan Pelikh	27

Winner: Cleveland LY School/Team debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD: This round came down to which side could most clearly articulate how their arguments were beneficial or in the best interests of the democratic party. Although both teams provided some evidence to support their claims and arguments the Affirmative team provided the most clearly articulated links to the Democratic party's benefit. Evidence is valuable but analysis and explanation of how it functions in the round is necessary to utilize the evidence.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Resolved . Bernie Sanders is Dem. #1 best hope for defeating Donald T.

Room: MB 220  
Flight 1 Start: 8:30 AM  
Parliamentary

1

Adelman, Jeffrey


Cleveland

# DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP			OPP		
Spkr	WmS BC	POINTS (20 - 30)	Spkr	GloPre LH	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Joseph Brennan	26.5	2	Candace Lee	26
3	Dominic Cortez	27	4	Muryam Hasan	27.5

Winner: WmS BC debating on the PROP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

This debate was won on the definition of "best hope" as the PROP side did not need to prove Bernie would win. Since the OPP side did not offer anyone else who had a "better" chance, I am left with Bernie.

the OPP could have pointed out that Bernie is NOT a Democrat, and is thus not that party's best hope. OPP side did not do this.

Thus, the OPP is left with pointing out ways Bernie might not win the election, which does not establish that he is not the best option.

overall, very good clash on the points as I was left with a clear and organized flow sheet.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



Pursuing pros Trump impeachment is in Democ Party best interest

Room: MB 220  
Flight 2 Start: 8:30 AM  
Parliamentary

1

Adelman, Jeffrey


Cleveland

# DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP			OPP		
Spkr	Taft MA	POINTS (20 - 30)	Spkr	GloPre SR	POINTS (20 - 30)
3	Jack Morris	28	2	Matthew Sherwood	27
1	Ryan Apostol	27.5	4	Faheem Rahman	27

Winner: Taft MA debating on the PROP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

the definitions were crucial to this debate and the opp may have lost by simply agreeing with the definitions.

The opp could have made a better case that "pursuing" does not mean achieving, but this was not made clear.

I found it hard to follow the content of many opp arguments. For example, what exactly was the harm from the Clinton impeachment? Merely having voters "second guess" their position may not be bad for Democrats - I don't know.

The "unsurely" argument only weakens the Prop position, but does not defeat it. Likewise, what is in the country's best interest is not really relevant since the topic is what is in the Democratic Party's best interest.

The original Prop case was not really refuted - thus very relevant.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP		
Spkr	DeTol FE	POINTS (20 - 30)
20	Brandon Fikhman	26
21	Ariel Esmailzadeh	27

OPP		
Spkr	GloPre JG	POINTS (20 - 30)
28.5	Kate Jetter -1	28.5
28.5	Jake Gallardo -2	28.5

Winner: Kate Jetter debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
 School/Team \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Prop side was not clear in articulating clear contentions. Instead brought too many Sanders campaign points - vs clear facts. OPP had many clear contentions backed by facts and were clear in articulating those positions. I believe Prop missed the opportunity to define the resolution and were not focused in their argument.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP		
Spkr	OakChr PC	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Bella Pascarelli	28.5
1	Troy Coale	28

OPP		
Spkr	DeTol AB	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Roe Aviv	27.5
1	Hannah Baron	28

Winner: Baron Aviv debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? X  
 School/Team  
 Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Both teams did a good job presenting their arguments. Were professional and respectful in debate

- I gave the win to the opp due to the fact in their second opposition they made very clear points and supported with facts - facts I believe that the prop did not refute. Plus the Prop's 2nd contention was a flawed argument. Roe Aviv made excellent points even though he was nervous and not as confident as the other debater. His points won the debate.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP			OPP		
Spkr	WmS SS	POINTS (20 - 30)	Spkr	DeTol LG	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Leonard Shmuliver	26	1	Hannah Landau	29
1	Tyler Stone	27	2	Sarah Goldstein	28

Winner: DeTol LG debating on the OPP Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: Lalita Rahman

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Flowed well  
Strong contentions

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP			OPP		
Spkr	Taft HD	POINTS (20 - 30)	Spkr	OakPar QS	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Yasamin Haradian	29	1	Jonathan Qin	27
2	Olivia Darensbourg	28	2	Anushka Sengupta	29

Winner: Taft HD School/Team debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Lalita Rahman

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Speaker worked well together  
Strong contentions  
flowed well

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP			OPP		
Spkr	Team	POINTS (20 - 30)	Spkr	Team	POINTS (20 - 30)
	ElCam KG			Taft MuAz	
	Ved Kulkarni			Vishnu Murali	D
	Nishank Gite			Ryan Azarmi Por	D

Winner: Taft MuAZ School/Team debating on the \_\_\_\_\_ Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Anitha Johny

Comments & Reason for Decision:

No show for ElCam KG

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP			OPP		
Spkr	GloPre BM	POINTS (20 - 30)	Spkr	OakChr DB	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Cassidy Bensko	28.5		Sterling Drummond	28
	Joelle Min	28.5		Adrien Badakhsh	28

Winner: Glo Pre School/Team debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Anitha Goh

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Prop  
 → Great points and convincing about why Democrats will benefit from impeaching. Outweighed the OPP points. Good research and comebacks.  
 The first few mins had some repetition - could avoid that.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Neg (OPP)  
 Good point about asking how democrats will benefit in the beginning.  
 Also good points on policy and image.



**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

**PROP**

Spkr	AstRed RT	POINTS (20-30)
	Astor Redhead	28
	Serkan Tan	28

**OPP**

Spkr	GloPre FJ	POINTS (20-30)
	Ava Francis	27
	Kelly Jetter	28

Winner: AstRed RT debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
 School/Team  
 Signature: *AL*

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Prop closing argument clearly pointed to flaws in opps arguments, namely that anything post-election is irrelevant to this debate. Opp stated themselves that this is not a debate about policy, yet continued to discuss feasibility of Sanders policy (a way to make this relevant may have been to claim that the lack of feasibility would be exposed in debates, swaying public opinion before election). Opp also made argument that Trump was a household name, which is an argument in favor of prop.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

All strong and expressive speakers.

Astor - could enunciate more clearly at times.

Kelly - Sometimes can trip over your words... You are a fast speaker! You can slow down & take a breath if you find yourself tripping up.

Ava → More eye contact, especially for closing your speech, A little flustered at times but I know you had prepared for the wrong side which I'm sure threw you off a little.

Prop - courteous & thoughtful to allow more time after mix-up at start.

# DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP		
Spkr	Clevel AD	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Jared Adelman	28
	Diksha Dahal	28

OPP		
Spkr	CreVal HS	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Andrew Hong	27
	Kyle Schmidt	28

Winner: CreVal School/Team debating on the Opp Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: AL

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides argued well, but ultimately opp was convincing in arguing impeachment is not in Dem's best interest.

Jared → Good speaker but at times hunched over notes. Sometimes you speed through your points, making them hard to hear or follow. ~~Make~~ Make sure to emphasize your key points. Love how structured your speech was.

Kyle - Great speaking. Only comment is not to use abbreviations like "AOC" until full name has been said and abbreviations explained, even if commonly known.

Diksha - Great job. "He says dumb things" → be mindful of casual language like this.

Andrew → Good job. At times you can throw away words, so be mindful of your pace & enunciation.

Point Scale:

30	... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP			OPP		
Spkr	Clevel TS	POINTS (20 - 30)	Spkr	GloPre BT	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Brandon Tu	28.5		Malena Bloom	27.5
	Donovan Sellinger	28		Amelia Tisdale	27

Winner: Clevel TS debating on the Prop Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: Allison Schmidt

Comments & Reason for Decision: They provided much more statistics and were better speakers.

Brandon and Donovan had good eye contact and verbal pace. They gave a lot of statistics.

Amelia and Malena needed to provide a lot more statistics. Amelia said "um" a lot. Malena kept playing with her folder which was distracting.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.

Half-points are allowed.

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes

There is no preparation time between speeches.

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	GloPre SR	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Matthew Sherwood	26.5
2	Faheem Rahman	26

OPP

Spkr	Clevel LY	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Trudie Levin	27
2	Erik Yoo	27.5

Winner: Clevel LY debating on the Opp Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1st Prop  
 - Reluctantly reduce the number of um's. Slow down, let your ideas flow, calm the speaking style.

1st Opp  
 - Same issue as above, cut down the um's. Slow down, relax the hands, you're kind of everywhere.  
 - Relax, slow down. You're a bit frantic, slow your roll.

2nd Prop  
 - Relax, take your time, you have 8 minutes.  
 - Make sure to cover appropriately, you had a lot of time remaining and lots of points to cover.

2nd Opp  
 - Well done taking your time, gives you a much more relaxed and easy pace  
 - Good coverage on dropped points  
 - Find that terminal impact, it will be the cleanest way to wrap the round

Opp. Reb.  
 - Clear organization, good weighing

Prop. Reb.  
 - 6 voters are wrong too many. Boil it down to at most 3 voters, many of your voters aren't reasons to vote.  
Conclude.

Resolved: Govt. should prioritize econ. growth over environmental protection when the two conflict.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

RFD Value Raul based on Net Ben. Prag. dropped early on, didn't come up after 1st Prop. Raul was primarily decided on rhetoric. Not too many stats thrown out, so weighing became an issue. However, Opp stayed on PW and reiterated how prioritizing the environment helps everyone while Prop attempted a position that sided for both the economy and environment. In the end, Opp is able to demonstrate how the environment is in dire straits and requires immediate attention. I voted Opp.

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr		POINTS (20-30)
	Taff LH <u>Oak Park BY</u>	
1	Dustin Lee <u>Bugs</u>	28
2	Srishta Hazra <u>Yona</u>	28.5

OPP

Spkr		POINTS (20-30)
	Clevel GD	
2	Ethan Gutterman	28
1	Jackson Downey	28

Winner: Oak Park BY School/Team debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1st Prop.  
 - No need to make framework discussion a contention. I wouldn't really consider the weighing mechanism a reason to vote.

1st Opp.  
 - Interesting strategy on shifting the direction of the round  
 - Odd Criterion: Measuring by the amount of evidence is rather limiting as seems to weigh on # of comments

2nd Prop.  
 - Decent coverage  
 - FW Debate needs to be priority #1; I would appreciate greater time spent on determining how to weight the round.

2nd Opp.  
 - Interesting interp. on ambition. Skilled use of the Opp. significantly.

Opp Reb.  
 - Clear voters; nice application of ambition interp. onto AFF world

Prop. Reb.  
 - Voters could use some organization, you bounced a bit back at both toward the end.  
 - Nice clarification of the round at weighing mechanism.

Resolved: Politicians ought to be held accountable for the statements they make before assuming elected office.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

RFD | First things first, framework. Ought is a pretty clear indicator of a values topic. If Yona had comments on why the motion was required to be a facts round, I may have bought the interp. Regardless, I used Morality to weigh. It came down to 2 worlds: Transparency vs. Unbridled Ambition (I dropped T & Bugs because 2nd Opp told me to.) I ended up voting AFF. I weighed it on whichever side best upheld the will of the people. Transparency removes the blinders at honest disclosure, while leaving it alone would force the people to anticipate action rather than act. By providing clearer options, the people can make better choices.

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	CreVal HS	POINTS (20-30)
2	Andrew Hong	27
1	Kyle Schmidt	29

OPP

Spkr	Taft CR	POINTS (20-30)
1	Nick Catalano	28
2	Winona Roulston	27

Winner: Taft CR School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? yes

Signature: Beth Lieberman

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The prop's points were excellent, but opp. used resources effectively to break down the argument.

Well done, all.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

**PROP**

Spkr	Clevel EM	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Max Edelstein	28
2	Brandon Marks	29

**OPP**

Spkr	OakChr HS	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Andrew Henson	28
2	Allie Spaccarelli	27

Winner: Oak Charter HS debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? yes  
School/Team

Signature: *Beth*

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Prop began saying this was a fact based round but based their arguments on values.

However, Prop's definitions of authenticity and general case was ~~really~~ really good.

Opp more clearly presented the accountability issue.

Well done, all,

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

**PROP**

Spkr	OakPar WT	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Vianne Will	28.5
	Krishna Thakur	28.0

**OPP**

Spkr	DeTol GP	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Bradley Gerber	28.05
	Jonah Pearl	28.5

Winner: DeTol GP debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win?

Signature: Michael Tu

Comments & Reason for Decision:

This is an interesting debate. The chemistry of both teams is good, and, both teams have a wonderful job with the verbal element of structure.

At the end of the round, I had a time following their analysis and a clear idea of the arguments they are making. Working on balancing the time spent on verbal cues of structure with actual argumentation. Don't let one win over other clearly.

Since I'm looking to the ~~entire~~ overall framework, the prop team in ~~the~~ some specific arguments slightly weakened in the coverage of the ~~OPP~~'s cases. So I voted for OPP.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

2

Room: MB 206  
Flight 2 Start: 10:30 AM  
Parliamentary

2

Tu, Michael

Cleveland

# DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

### PROP

Spkr	DeTol AB	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Roe Aviv	29.0
	Hannah Baron	27.0

### OPP

Spkr	AstRed RT	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Astor Redhead	28.5
	Serkan Tan	28.0

Winner: AstRed RT debating on the OPP Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: Michael Tu

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

The prop team's case was well-structured, but the links to the criterion are not so ~~direct~~ <sup>straight forward</sup>.

The opp team case offense was solid, but the preemptive argument were not necessary, especially since most of them didn't apply to the prop.

At the end of the round, the opp team was more balanced. The prop did not effectively refute the various parts of the argument, so I voted "OPP."

#### Point Scale:

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

#### Speech Times:

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	DeTol LG	POINTS (20-30)
1	Hannah Landau	27
2	Sarah Goldstein	26

OPP

Spkr	Taft MA	POINTS (20-30)
2	Jack Morris	28
1	Ryan Apostol	27.5

Winner: TAFT School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

PROP DID NOT LAY OUT A STRONG CASE. SHOULD AVOID HYPERBOLE SUCH AS "EVERYTHING WOULD BE DESTROYED." DID NOT ADEQUATELY DEFINE TERMS.

OPP LAY OUT A STRONGER CASE, ALTHOUGH PROP'S COMMENTARY THAT OPP ~~PROPOSED~~ PROPOSED COMPROMISES TO A BINARY CHOICE WAS CORRECT.

MS. GOLDSTEIN SHOULD NOT BE OBSERVED ~~DOODLING~~ DOODLING IN THE MARGINS OF HER PAPER. HER SPEECH WAS TOO SHORT TO BE EFFECTIVE.

OPP ADDRESSED OPPOSITION'S POINTS MORE EFFECTIVELY THAN PROP DID OPPS'.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

**PROP**

Spkr	GloPre LH	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Candace Lee	27
1	Muryam Hasan	27

**OPP**

Spkr	OakChr VH	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Brandon Villanueva	27
2	Thomas Heffernan	27

Winner: OAKCHR School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

VERY CLOSE.

PROP DID NOT <sup>CONCLUSIVE</sup> CONNECT NEGATIVE STATEMENTS MADE IN THE PAST TO TANGIBLE HARM INFLECTED. MADE A FEW "AFTER THIS THEREFORE BECAUSE OF THIS" FALLACIES.

NEG'S FORCEFUL ARGUMENT THAT DUMB STATEMENTS SHOULD NOT <sup>HARM</sup> SOMEONE'S LIFE OR CAREER WAS NOT REFUTED.

BOTH SIDES GOT OFF TOPIC QUITE A BIT. NEG ASKED COOP QUESTIONS.

I APPRECIATE MS. HASAN'S ENTHUSIASM.

PROP USED THE PHRASE "SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED" QUITE A BIT BUT DID NOT SAY BY WHOM, WHICH WEAKENED THEIR CASE.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

THE ASSERTION THAT PEOPLE WHO MADE HATEFUL COMMENTS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO HOLD OFFICE WAS ABSOLUTIST AND WEAKENED THEIR CASE.

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	GloPre JG	POINTS (20-30)
1 <del>29.5</del>	Kate Jetter	29.5
2 <del>30</del>	Jake Gallardo	30

OPP

Spkr	Valenc CK	POINTS (20-30)
1 <del>29.5</del>	Denise Cruz	29.5
2 <del>29.5</del>	Rinnah Kim	29.5

Winner: GloPre JG debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: J.B. Sacks

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides were excellent and decision comes down to small items

Prop. opening was clear <sup>and effective</sup> but examples not entirely, consistently ~~but not~~ connected/explained

Opp. opening - excellent array of facts and examples but delivery was so fast that not always 100% easy to take in

2nd Prop - was a perfect blend of response to opp, restating often with novel touch and additional facts, as clear, calm, deliberate presentation

2nd Opp - also excellent rebutting & renewal of own case seemed to occasionally <sup>lot of prep case</sup> overstate or use a superlative not entirely convincing

Rebuttals - both clear excellent rebuttals with prop. slightly misstating opp's metaphor (stepping "on" rather than "over" the spht milk) but with opp. again slightly fast.

All four competitors/debaters stellar and clearly above excellent. I was honored to judge.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

**PROP**

Spkr	GloPre FJ	POINTS (20-30)
2	Ava Francis	28.5
1	Kelly Jetter	29

**OPP**

Spkr	Moorpa CG	POINTS (20-30)
? 1	Ella Chapman	28.5
? 2	Katie Glaab	28

Winner: GloPre FJ School/Team debating on the Prop. Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: J.B. Sacks

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~Proposition~~  
 All debaters should take pride in how they represented themselves (and their team and school).

Proposition side seemed to have had clearer examples, ~~displayed~~ <sup>seemed</sup> to have more composure, and clearer overview of their side.

Proposition had clear outline and contentions

Opposition scored some excellent points (e.g. accountability not in and of itself bad but not directly to prop's side - Opposition side grew stronger during debate)

Both sides

- 1) "like I/we said" should be "as I/we said"
- 2) If using title for Presidents (e.g. President Wilson), should be consistent for all presidents.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	OakPar QS	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Jonathan Qin	26.5
1	Anushka Sengupta	27

OPP

Spkr	GloPre BM	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Cassidy Bensko	29.5
2	Joelle Min	29.5

Winner: Glo Pre School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? no

Signature: Karen Brock-Davis

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Anushka - good arguments, could be a bit smoother, nice speaking voice.  
 2nd argument - choppy  
 focus was on U.S. economy but resolution went beyond just U.S. & you didn't really address.

Cassidy - very passionate, very strong voice  
 but slow down! both speeches were a bit too fast.  
 you & your partner need to whisper,  
 it was a bit distracting when prop was speaking... could be considered rude but I don't think it was intentional.

Jonathan - a little choppy, need to articulate your words more/better.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Joelle - Now very passionate, strong speaker. brought arguments around.  
 But work on your poker face! Don't react as much when opponents say something...

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP		
Spkr	OakChr DB	POINTS (20-30)
1	Sterling Drummond	29
2	Adrien Badakhsh	28.5

OPP		
Spkr	Wms BC	POINTS (20-30)
1	Joseph Brennan	29
2	Dominic Cortez	28

Winner: Wms BC School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Julie Hershkowitz

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NOTION: Government should prioritize economic growth over environmental protection when the two conflict.

STRONG Debate by all - each demonstrated confident clear speaking skills and passion for your convictions.

VOTED FOR OPP AS they demonstrated with clear examples (China, India) <sup>as top polluters</sup> that issues related to environmental pollution problems/public health are not typically temporary and have long term effects (Global warming/Climate Δ; immediate deaths)

There was a lot of discussion by the "prop" about the "curve" but few examples related to the 2nd half of the curve, This would have been helpful to strengthen your case.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP		
Spkr	NewPar CC	POINTS (20 - 30)
2nd	David Cho	29
1st	Carter Castillo	29.5

OPP		
Spkr	DeTol FE	POINTS (20 - 30)
1st	Brandon Fikhman	28
2nd	Ariel Esmailzadeh	28.5

Winner: New Par CC School/Team debating on the PROP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? NO

Signature: Julie Hershkowitz

Comments & Reason for Decision:

MOTION: Politicians ought to be held accountable for the statements they make before assuming office.

GOOD Debate by all participants!

VOTED FOR PROPOSITION as they demonstrated more clearly (with examples) the definition of "statement" and the meaning of "accountability". It was difficult to buy that "accountability" or responsibility means holding oneself accountable when the motion reads "Politicians ought to be held accountable" (implication is by someone else, i.e. the public, etc.)

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

FOR OPP - The argument that "people can change" would have been strengthened by use of examples (politicians, celebrities, public figures) who have done so. Your case rested heavily on this premise } that teens / college students should not be judged by who they once were. Show us who has made the leap to greatness. Did appreciate Ariel's example that founding fathers had slaves, we still honor them (but did also bolster prop's use of actions as state ments) Brandon - try to be more concise / clear w/ summary at end.

TIPS FOR PROP.

DAVID - enjoyed your passion but watch speed.

Carter - creative example / twist in final speech that abolitionist movement was an action that held people accountable.



DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	Taft MuAZ	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Vishnu Murali	27
2	Ryan Azarmi Por	28

OPP

Spkr	WMS SS	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Leonard Shmuliver	27
1	Tyler Stone	26.5

Winner: Taft MuAZ debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? No

Signature: Lillyjeu

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- APP - don't announce opponents speech times
- In terms of cases, I would say focus on depth not breadth. Instead of 6 contentions run 3, but with impacts extending further. For example you could have grouped C1 and C2 under tag "Discriminatory remarks" or something to garner more offense.
  - Try to get a little more expansive with the topic. Your 2 figures focused on Trump and Kavanaugh although technically Kav. isn't even elected. would have listed more individuals
  - Finally I think it would have been good to look at something like campaign promises being broken, not just looking at "taboo" statements.
  - Emphasize that in our gov. politicians always are under pressure so they shouldn't get a pass for this

- Neq
- I do think you had the access to teenage statements as app didn't define a time frame in first prop but you just needed to justify better.
  - Try to have a little more confidence when you speak.
  - Should focus on how/who determines what is a good/bad statement and look to censorship of unpopular opinions
  - Should have pushed changing beliefs because in Prop world there is no ~~opportunity~~ chance for growth.

**Point Scale:**

30	.... Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	.... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Both sides need to work on ~~linking~~ relating their arguments to their framework.

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	Taft DP	POINTS (20-30)
1	Sid Dutta	27
2	Brendan Pelikh	27

OPP

Spkr	OakChr PC	POINTS (20-30)
2	Bella Pascarelli	28.5
1	Troy Coale	28.5

Winner: OAK CHR PC School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? NO

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

winner clearly won the value argument and nicely argued how prop team was not affecting the economy over the environment

Sid - good first speech  
 laid out policy - but then could be stronger arguing the strengths of the policy

Brendan - remembers to stay engaged w body language to support your partner when you aren't speaking

Troy - nice work catching + countering the value statement -> it's what got the win  
 - good presence when speaking

Bella - good speaker presence, you were always engaged, won when not speaking  
 - good argument of ~~the~~ environmental changes leading to economic growth

Point Scale:

30	.... Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	.... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	GloPre BT	POINTS (20-30)
2	Malena Bloom	28.5
1	Amelia Tisdale	28

OPP

Spkr	Taft HD	POINTS (20-30)
1	<del>Yasamin Haradjan</del> Srihta HAZRA	27
2	<del>Olivia Darensbourg</del> DUSTIN LEE	27

Winner: GLOPRE BT debating on the PROP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? NO

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

winning side did an excellent job defining, supporting & continually reinforcing the value argument

Malena - nice job supporting your partner  
 excellent articulation of the argument of creating a clear picture of the candidate

Amelia - great job always connecting the value  
 - great final rebuttal - you addressed the opponents points very well

Srihta - great job laying out the case

Dustin - i like the question / POI you asked and how you handled the opportunity to question your opponent.  
 - be careful with statements like "from the Bronx," you really need to know your audience :)

BOTH TEAMS SHOULD IMPROVE HANDLING QUESTIONS / POI from the other teams.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	Taft GC	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Lailee Golesorkhi	27.5
3	George Chicha	28.5

OPP

Spkr	NewPar HL	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Riya Hundal	27.0
4	Adalia Luo	27.5

Winner: TAFT GC. debating on the PROP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win?

Signature: Alan Redhead

Comments & Reason for Decision:

SPK (1) - A GOOD DEFINITION OF TERMS  
 PART (A) MAYBE TOO MANY POINTS. SO  
 NOT ABLE TO DEVELOPE ARGUMENTS.  
 PART (B) - GOOD WELL ARGUED REBUTTALS

SPK (2) - GREAT MISSION AND PROJECTION:  
 WEAK EXAMPLES.

SPK (3) - VERY GOOD PROJECTION AND  
 PRO MORE OF EXAMPLES AND  
 EMBELISHING OR WEAK PROPOSAL

SPK (4) - GOOD IN ALL AREAS, ~~WAS~~ NOT TOO  
 CONFIDENT OF THEIR ~~OWN~~ OWN ARGUMENTS

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	DeTol WG	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Aidan Waldman	28.5
3	Edan Gold	27

OPP

Spkr	Clevel AD	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Jared Adelman	29
4	Diksha Dahal	28

Winner: CLEVELAND debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win?

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

① GOOD OPENER

EXCELLENT REBUTTAL

② EXTREMELY GOOD arg slight  
 WEAKNESS - "TELLING" JUDGE WHAT TO  
 DO AS OPPOSED TO STATING CASE WAY.

③ GOOD DELIVERY, NOT SURE GOOD IDEA  
 TO CHANGE DEFINITION OF TERMS

④ REBUTTAL GOOD - BERNICE ISN'T VIOLENT!!  
 WEAK ARGUMENT TO CONVINCE  
 ABOUT POLITICIANS BEHAVIOR  
 PRESENTATION EXCELLENT AS WAS REBUTTAL OF  
 OPONENTS

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	OakPar BB	POINTS (20-30)
	Ciaran Ballestry	29
	Rohan Bijukumar	27

OPP

Spkr	Clevel TS	POINTS (20-30)
	Brandon Tu	27
	Donovan Sellinger	24

Winner: Oak Park School/Team debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Ronald Blanc

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The debate was between the values and priorities ~~between~~ of economic growth <sup>vs</sup> and environmental protection.

Mr. Ballestry was confident, smooth in his delivery with special skills in eye contact, and arm and body gestures. A really excellent speaker.

Mr. Bijukumar was above average in his presentation but not nearly as persuasive as his partner.

Mr. Tu evoked a positive response of sympathy and action to protect the planet from destruction by bad action. ~~But~~ He was very effective in rebuttal. His speaking was a bit halty and not skillful in his gestures.

Point Scale:

30	..... Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Mr. Sellinger <sup>spoke</sup> ~~was~~ with no eye contact and not persuasive in his presentation.



DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	Taft HD	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Yasamin Haradian	27
2	Olivia Darensbourg	27

OPP

Spkr	Wms BC	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Joseph Brennan	28
2	Dominic Cortez	28

Winner: Wms School/Team debating on the Opp Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? ND

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Wms : Speakers both present well albeit nervous and at times misheard words and stumbled, losing track of main arguments. Their main argument of # being a factor why vs companies should not certify as it was a cost to them was not able to stand up to the counter of how a "bad plane" was much more costly to companies.

Taft : Both speakers showed very good speaking skills, composed in their arguments. Knew the facts better and had superior arguments, citing history and costs better and having a better understanding of the FAA and business.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	Taft DP	POINTS (20-30)
2	Sid Dutta	27
1	Brendan Pelikh	27

OPP

Spkr	OakPar QS	POINTS (20-30)
2	Jonathan Qin	27
1	Anushka Sengupta	28

Winner: OakPar School/Team debating on the Opp Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? No

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Taft: Both speakers presented well, but lost track in arguments and at times argued against their own arguments. Speaker 1 misused Poi as a lengthy (30sec+) speech x 2.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

OakPar: Speakers were calm and collected with good overview of their arguments and although not pointing out when Prop argued the Opp case, they never lost sight of their own case

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

*The FAA should NO LONGER let plane manufacturers self certify airplane safety*

PROP

Spkr	CreVal HS	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Andrew Hong	27
2	Kyle Schmidt	27.5

OPP

Spkr	Clevel GD	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Ethan Gutterman	27.5
2	Jackson Downey	28.0

Winner: OPP/Clevel. GD debating on the OPP Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
 School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: dbm

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Very good supporting belief on round type though differed with each other: value vs policy; opp. satisfied based on a little better (esp. in 2<sup>nd</sup> round)
- Very good giving roadmap of plan for time
- Very good stating each other's points but could of used stronger argument why each side felt other side failed to support their own contentions
- opp. - be cognizant of how you introduce POI - abruptly interrupted & permission to speak @ end.
- Very close, great job with tough resolution / topic
- good non-verbals & eye contact as well.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

*The United Kingdom should call for 2<sup>nd</sup> Brexit Ref.*

PROP

Spkr	Wms SS	POINTS (20-30)
2	Leonard Shmuliver	27
1	Tyler Stone	27

OPP

Spkr	DeTol FE	POINTS (20-30)
1	Brandon Fikhman	27.5
2	Ariel Esmailzadeh	27.5

Winner: OPP / DeTol FE debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: *d/m*

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Neither side addressed type of round
- Very good stating an argument but a little weak on supporting it with facts or proof
- obviously a difficult subject with very few contentions for either side
- Opp. was a little stronger restating argument against AFF esp. in 2<sup>nd</sup> round and final round.
- Very good sportsmanship and respect for each other except both sides made a few PoI's asking or waiting for permission. So please be cognizant of behavior there.
- Very close, great job with tough resolution/topic
- good non-verbals & eye contact as well

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP		
Spkr	DeTol AB	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Roe Aviv	28.5
	Hannah Baron	28

OPP		
Spkr	Clevel AD	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Jared Adelman	29
	Diksha Dahal	29.5

Winner: DeTol AB debating on the PROP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? YES  
 Signature: Andrea Francis

Comments & Reason for Decision:

PROP argument and value criterion of lives over profits, was well established. Removing risk of bad outcome even once outweighs costs to regulation. Bad outcome prevention (Benefits) for even one crash, lives lost/saved, strongly weighted. OPP argument of economic self-interest strong but flawed in that moral hazard dilemma unaddressed for private CO's. Track record argument not compelling - evidence too crashes recently of Boeing. Evidence for self-policing costs clearly supported for public CO's, but

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	





OPP > how do economic costs compare with the cost of lives not preserved. To make cost-benefit analysis, need to put \$ value to each life so audience can compare costs/benefits.

PROP stated even one life saved trumps all costs.

LOW POINT WIN - notwithstanding speaker skills.  
PROP's argument dominated.

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	GloPre LH	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Candace Lee	27
1	Muryam Hasan	27

OPP

Spkr	OakChr DB	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Sterling Drummond	28.5
2	Adrien Badakhsh	28

Winner: Glo Pre School/Team debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? yes

Signature: Karen Brock Davis

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Muryam - excellent eye contact, a little fast on 1st speech.  
 2nd speech you relaxed a bit

1st speech - you might have defined Brexit and WHY the need for 2nd vote.

Sterling - Amazing intro, strong presence thru out your entire speech. Not a big fan of all the questions, pen clicking VERY distracting but very persuasive speaking style. Watch the use of the word "like", it cropped up quite a bit.

Candace - great answer to Adrien's question; you handled Sterling's question well too. It's difficult to stay focused when you're being interrupted with questions.

Adrien - not a big fan of the knocking during your partners speech; distracting to everyone and in my opinion not good sportsmanship. Strong start but you muddled the middle. Good ~~eye~~ eye contact and see great potential in you.

Point Scale:

30	..... Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	OakChr VH	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Brandon Villanueva	27
	Thomas Heffernan	27

OPP

Spkr	Clevel EM	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Max Edelstein	27
	Brandon Marks	27

Winner: Clevel EM debating on the opp Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
 School/Team

Signature: AL

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Opp made effective arguments that statistics you could plausibly have net benefit of lives saved (as opposed to how their argument was characterized by prop in closing). Prop statistics on increasing/decreasing numbers was convoluted and ~~was~~ unclear.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.

Half-points are allowed.

Brandon V - Started strong but sometimes that strength drops out. Try to keep up that energy & passion throughout.

Max - A lot of tripping up. When you find yourself tripping up, slow down, take a breath, & enunciate. Be mindful of kicking feet.

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes

There is no preparation time between speeches.

Thomas -> could project a little more & sometimes runs through words.

Brandon M - Drop the pen. Lots of swaying & dancing on feet, but clear & expressive.

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

**PROP**

Spkr	OakPar WT	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Vianne Will	27
	Krishna Thakur	27

**OPP**

Spkr	DeTol WG	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Aidan Waldman	27
	Edan Gold	27

Winner: OakPark WT debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Great debate on both sides. Krishna brought a victory home with a Full & excellent rebuttal of opp arguments.  
 Strong speakers all around. Krishna, Make sure resolution is clearly stated at first and work on eye contact.  
 Vianne, you struggled to answer the opp's POI question. Make sure you really understand the stats you are using, and what they're based on.  
 Opp, you let a very shakey answer to your question go by without further review. That was an opening for an exposure of a weak argument that you let go by.  
 "Multiple Brexit" argument was not as pointed out by Prop because of how resolution was defined.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	GloPre JG	POINTS (20-30)
1	Kate Jetter	28
2	Jake Gallardo	28.5

OPP

Spkr	Clevel LY	POINTS (20-30)
1	Trudie Levin	27
2	Erik Yoo	27

Winner: GloPre JG debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? No

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- You can thank me and your opponents after the round, off time. @ opp - Prop
- I would love deeper impact analysis from both sides (magnitude, probability, timeframe, reversability)

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

RFD:

It's really close. I give it to the aff. In a purely util world, despite how improbable a plane crash is, increasing safety (even marginally) is still a net benefit. I also thought the "does Capitalism foster responsibility or not" was a wash. It wouldn't have been as close if aff gave me an average amount of plane crashes per decade.



DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	DeTol GP	POINTS (20-30)
1	Bradley Gerber	27
2	Jonah Pearl	28.5

OPP

Spkr	Clevel TS	POINTS (20-30)
1	Brandon Tu	27.5
2	Donovan Sellinger	28

Winner: Cleveland TS debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? No

Signature: AJ Moore

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Thanking ppl on time is a waste of time, thank after or by round  
 - The UN doesn't appeal to God in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights @ OPP

RFD:

- Really close, I end up going neg.  
 I think aff was right about all of neg's Brexit advantages being non-unique. Despite that, neg still has corrosion of democratic process, which I mostly buy. Meanwhile, aff's offense was gridlock (which wasn't impacted enough for me) or Brexit being bad, but as they said, the res isn't really about that. I vote on democracy. Didn't buy the "democracy must inform the people" thing.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	Taft GC	POINTS (20-30)
1	Lailee Golesorkhi	29
2	George Chicha	28.5

OPP

Spkr	OakChr HS	POINTS (20-30)
2	Andrew Henson	28
1	Allie Spaccarelli	27

Winner: Taft GC School/Team debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Lalita Rahman

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Speakers worked well together  
Strong contentions  
Flowed well

Point Scale:

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	Taft MA	POINTS (20-30)
2	Jack Morris	28
1	Ryan Apostol	29

OPP

Spkr	GloPre BM	POINTS (20-30)
1	Cassidy Bensko	30
2	Joelle Min	29.5

Winner: GloPre BM School/Team debating on the Opp Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFID: I was extremely impressed by the argumentation presented in this round by both teams. Although the Affirmative team provided a concrete/clear plan I was swayed by the counterplan provided by the opp. I believe the double solvency contention was not only very well developed and explained but went almost entirely unrefuted by the Gov/prop team. The proposition team also struggled to explain how the promotion of democracy fit into their own criterion of ~~net benefits~~ <sup>Utilitarianism</sup>. Always remember to link your impacts back to your criterion. Both teams were fantastic!

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP

Spkr	Taft MuAz	POINTS (20-30)
1	Vishnu Murali	29
2	Ryan Azarmi Por	27

OPP

Spkr	NewPar CC	POINTS (20-30)
2	David Cho	28
1	Carter Castillo	27

Winner: Taft MuAz School/Team debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Opp's main argument was that FAA + Boeing checking planes (i.e., two is better than one argument) was ultimately outdone by the Proposition rebuttal when they proposed, as they did earlier, that the NTSB would be checking the FAA, which would be checking the manufacturers, which results in a three-pronged checking system which outweighs the Opp's two-pronged one.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

**PROP**

Spkr	Valenc CK	POINTS (20-30)
1	Denise Cruz	28
2	Rinnah Kim	29

**OPP**

Spkr	GloPre BT	POINTS (20-30)
2	Malena Bloom	27
1	Amelia Tisdale	27

Winner: Valencia CK School/Team debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Opp stated that a soft Brexit would be mutually beneficial to UK + EU and gave reasons for it, but did not bring forth concrete examples of how these reasons would be achieved or provide concrete terms for the financial benefit they were claiming. The prop side highlighted this oversight saying that Opp is "living in a bubble" in proposing ideas without the necessary framework. All in all, prop side did a better job negating their opponent's contentions, while protecting their own throughout the debate.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP (AFF)

Spkr	OakPar BB	POINTS (20-30)
1	Ciaran Ballesty	29.5
2	Rohan Bijukumar	28.5

OPP (NEG.)

Spkr	AstRed RT	POINTS (20-30)
1	Astor Redhead	28.5
2	Serkan Tan	29

Winner: OakPar BB School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? NO

Signature: Julie Hershkowitz

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Motion: Federal Aviation Admin. Should no longer allow U.S. manufacturers to self-certify planes security.

Excellent debate on a topic that is very timely & relevant!

Voted For Prop as I felt they conveyed arguments more clearly & persuasively -- that said, I do believe this is a more difficult topic for neg./opp. and your team did very well!

~~AstRed~~ Much of Opp. case rested on the argument of "Human error" but no % was offered from the politico statistic. However, I did appreciate Serkan's point that the 3-6K deaths occurred in 52 yrs. - still making air travel safer than any other.

Rehan - Great examples -- 1st new info. (737 & 747 2nd & 3rd on fatality list) & explaining deaths not Human error / lack of pilot training but planes have defaults.

Ciaran - consistently eloquent. Well done! Great summation. Told / showed why we should vote for the team.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP (APP)

Spkr	Moorpa CG	POINTS (20-30)
1st	Ella Chapman	29
2	Katie Glaab	28

OPP (Opp)

Spkr	OakPar BY	POINTS (20-30)
1	Beshane Bans	29.5
2	Loren Yona	29

Winner: OakPar BY School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? NO

Signature: Julie Hershkowitz

Comments & Reason for Decision:

MOTION: UK should call for a 2nd BREXIT Referendum

Excellent debate on a difficult topic.

VOTED FOR OPP as I felt they conveyed arguments more clearly overall, persuasively (in spite of my own personal opinion!) i.e. mass immigration, sovereignty; free trade opps., Loren - good point that countries must pay to be in EU (£17 B for UK)!

Beshane - excellent summary / closing arguments

Tips for Prop:

Ella - Loved your tone, speaking style relaxed, polite ... and convincing but needed stronger examples.

Katie - Good eye contact -- felt some of your points/facts/stats were repetitive (overly so).

Good luck to all with continued debates.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

PROP		
Spkr	Taft CR	POINTS (20 - 30)
29	Nick Catalano	1
27.5	Winona Roulston	3

OPP		
Spkr	OakChr PC	POINTS (20 - 30)
28.5	Bella Pascarelli	4
28	Troy Coale	2

Winner: Taft CR School/Team debating on the PROP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Very close round. The deciding factor is that both sides agree on a "best planes" standard and the opp did not establish that self certification along would make planes safer than involving the FAA.

While the FAA may wind up with a limited number of regulations, PROP establishes that this is still a good thing.

OPP could have made more out of their last argument of "reduced efficiency" - but opp would also need to offer a "societal benefit" standard for the round rather than agreeing to a "safer planes" standard.

Witnesses spend less time repeating the prior arguments and you won't be as rushed at the end of your speech.

Overall a very good round!

Point Scale:

30	... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	... Excellent.
28	... Above average.
27	... Average.
26	... Below average.
25	... Significantly below average.
20-24	... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	... 7 minutes
First Opposition	... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

OK should call a second referendum on Brexit

Room: MB 282  
Flight 2 Start: 2:00 PM  
Parliamentary

3

Adelman, Jeffrey

Cleveland

# DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

### PROP

Spkr	GloPre FJ	POINTS (20 - 30)
28.5	Ava Francis	3
28	Kelly Jetter	1

### OPP

Spkr	DeTol LG	POINTS (20 - 30)
25	Hannah Landau	2
25	Sarah Goldstein	4

Winner: GloPre FJ debating on the PROP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: 

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

It will not come as a surprise that the PROP prevailed because their case was largely unrefuted.

OPP needed significantly better preparation, and especially needed to link your points to some tangible harm.

At a minimum the PROP establish a chance that a re-vote would result in no Brexit and that this is good. Without more from the opp, this is sufficient

opp, even if you do not have much evidence, try to go down the PROP points and refute them the best you can. You did not even try to clash with their points.

### Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

### Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
 Mar 24 - 24, 2019

**PROP**

Spkr	Taft HL	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Srishta Hazra	27
1	Dustin Lee	26

**OPP**

Spkr	NewPar HL	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Riya Hundal	27
1	Adalia Luo	28

Winner: NEW PAR School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

PROP DID NOT PROVIDE ADEQUATE EVIDENCE FOR THEIR MOST IMPORTANT POINT, THAT AIRCRAFT COMPANIES ONLY EVER PRIORITIZE PROFITABILITY OVER SAFETY.

OPP'S LOGIC WAS SOUND IN TERMS OF THE PLAN THEY PROPOSED.

MS. LUO IS A SLOW SPEAKER BUT SHE SHOULD SLOW DOWN A BIT.

PROP CONTENDED THAT EVERYONE TRUSTS THE GOVT BUT OFFERED NO EVIDENCE TOWARDS THIS.

OPP DID NOT STRESS <sup>ENOUGH</sup> THE HISTORICAL SUCCESS OF GOVT OVERSIGHT SINCE 1965 - WOULD HAVE MADE A STRONGER CASE.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Room: MB 215  
Start: 4:35 PM  
Parliamentary

Quarter

Hazra, Suratwant  
Catalano, Jennifer

Taft

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

Valenc CK (Cruz & Kim)

GloPre JG (Jetter & Gallardo)

PROP

OPP

Winner: Valenc CK debating on the PROP  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Other judges on panel: Julie Herskowitz, Anitha Johny. Please do not start until all judges are present.

① Prop led w. comprehensive summarization of points in opposition to the death penalty, though perhaps the order of priority of arguments could have been different, to appeal more forcefully from the outset.

② Opp clearly did not want to be saddled with the burden of proving a point they either did not believe in, or were not sure of a win, so introduced a clever (if valid) interpretation of the motion. However, they deflected the burden of opposition to merely proving that this was not a step forward, without attempting to ~~label it~~ <sup>imaginatively</sup> label it as a step backward. ~~Left a lot of time on the board.~~ Also may raised the issue that there was no <sup>advanced</sup> evidence to prove the moratorium was a step forward.

③ Prop repeated a lot of points, & may have exceeded their brief in summary, but were entirely valid in pointing out that opposition also had not advanced any evidence to prove that the moratorium <sup>attempted to</sup> was not a step backward.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

attempt to look at the perspective of Arizona in death row. W/ N.Y. moratorium that now ends...



4:55 pm

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

1). Denise Cruz

Valenc CK (Cruz & Kim) AFF

GloPre JG (Jetter & Gallardo) Neg

1). Kate Jetter

2). Rinnah Kim

PROP

OPP

2). Jake Gallardo

Winner: GloPre JG debating on the OPP

Signature:

Julie Hershkowitz

Other judges on panel: Jennifer Catalano, Anitha Johny. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Motion: Governor Gavin Newsom's imposition of a death penalty moratorium, is a step forward for the criminal justice system.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Excellent Debate!! Truly you are a group of eloquent & talented speakers.

VOTED FOR opp. because I do believe the motion was focused on whether Newsom's imposition of a death penalty "moratorium" is a step forward for the criminal just. sys. I don't think the neg. had the

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Obligation to prove that it's a step backwards. I elected to focus on why the moratorium is not better and that is legit! And as brilliantly as the Aff argued death penalty facts (w/stats) & numerous contentions -- I felt you missed the boat on the true theme of the debate.

Nonetheless, if speaker points were awarded in this round the AFF team would have done extremely well!!

Great job -- continue debating with much success

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

Valenc CK (Cruz & Kim)

GloPre JG (Jetter & Gallardo)

PROP

OPP

Winner: Valenc School/Team debating on the Prop Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: Anitha Johny

Other judges on panel: Jennifer Catalano, Julie Hershkowitz. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Though the neg brought good points that this is not doing much and that the current inmates on death row have to wait and may have to go back on death row, the Aff had stronger points on needing an immediate ~~action~~ step in the right direction where it takes years to abolish the law. Also giving the law makers an advantage temp of taking further steps. Also bringing a step to human rights violation. Also having 30 states abolish the penalty helps the argument that the moratorium is a step forward.

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Room: MB 230  
Start: 4:35 PM  
Parliamentary

# Quarter

# Moore, AJ

Valley International Prep

## DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

GloPre BM (Bensko & Min) vs NewPar CC (Cho & Castillo)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

GloPre NewPar  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PROP OPP

Winner: GloPre debating on the Prop  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: AJ Moore

Other judges on panel: Srinivas AnkaReddy, Andrew Lasken. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Pronouns: GloPre BM's Bensko pronouns: she/her

### Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

### Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



Room: MB 230  
Start: 4:35 PM  
Parliamentary

Quarter

Lasken, Andrew

Taft

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

GloPre BM (Bensko & Min) vs NewPar CC (Cho & Castillo)


*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

\_\_\_\_\_  
**PROP** GloPre BM **OPP** Prop  
Winner: \_\_\_\_\_ debating on the \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: [Signature]

**Other judges on panel: Srinivas AnkaReddy, AJ Moore. Please do not start until all judges are present.**

Pronouns: GloPre BM's Bensko pronouns: she/her



**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Room: MB 230  
Start: 4:35 PM  
Parliamentary

# Quarter

Nishita Bhundi  
**AnkaReddy, Srini-**

Oak Park

## DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

NewPar CC (Cho & Castillo) vs GloPre BM (Bensko & Min)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

GloPre BM (Bensko & Min) (AF)      New Par CC (Cho & Castillo) (Opp)

PROP

OPP

Winner: GloPre BM (Bensko & Min) debating on the AF - Prop

School/Team

Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: Nishita Bhundi

Other judges on panel: Andrew Lasken, AJ Moore. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Pronouns: GloPre BM's Bensko pronouns: she/her

Gov Gavin Newsom's imposition of a death penalty  
moratorium is a step forward for  
CA justice system.

### Point Scale:

30 ..... Outstanding, likely to win the  
tournament.  
29 ..... Excellent.  
28 ..... Above average.  
27 ..... Average.  
26 ..... Below average.  
25 ..... Significantly below average.  
20-24 ..... Rude, offensive, or grossly  
unprepared.  
Half-points are allowed.

### Speech Times:

First Proposition ..... 7 minutes  
First Opposition ..... 8 minutes  
Second Proposition ..... 8 minutes  
Second Opposition ..... 8 minutes  
Opposition Rebuttal ..... 4 minutes  
Proposition Rebuttal ..... 5 minutes  
There is no preparation time between  
speeches.

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

Taft GC (Golesorkhi & Chicha) vs OakPar BB (Ballesty & Bijukumar)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Oak Par BB

Taft GC

PROP

OPP

Winner: Oak Par BB debating on the Prop  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: 

Other judges on panel: Jeffrey Adelman, Karen Davis. Please do not start until all judges are present.

The prop's argument that there may be tools in the future to exonerate those on Death Row may eventually show that they were conclusively innocent, and therefore, killing all those on death row currently may result in innocent lives being taken away. The Opp later argued that there is no way to ensure that people are convicted accurately and therefore the death penalty balances the scales. As a contention on morality, opp said that most people on Death Row committed the crime - an assumption, as they did not provide any data for proof. So first the opp said that innocence cannot be certifiably judged and then said those on death row are guilty, which is a contradiction. The prop's arguments were cogent and did not negate any of their prior contentions. Ultimately the prop won on the following contention: if there is no way to ensure that people are convicted accurately, how could you strip them of their life right now instead of giving them the proper protocol to their sentence being reviewed.

Point Scale:

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

Taft GC (Golesorkhi & Chicha) vs OakPar BB (Ballesty & Bijukumar)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

OakPar BB Taft GC

PROP OPP

Winner: Oak Park BB debating on the PROP

School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Other judges on panel: Karen Davis, Steven Deutsch. Please do not start until all judges are present.

The PROP won this debate largely because the OPP completely ignored the risk of killing innocent people. The 2nd OPP state we can't change wrongful convictions, but the whole point of the PROP side is that they would not be killed.

The opp also is not persuasive on the cost issue. The cost of death row is high because of the risk of the death penalty. The PROP correctly states that without the death penalty, there is no "death" row.

The opp makes a decent point on deterrence, but does not really develop the argument or quantify the harm. This could have been the opp's best argument.

The opp argument on "morality" is tough - hard to buy that purely from a "moral" point of view - we should be killing people.

Overall, moratorium may save money but surely avoids killing innocent people - thus PROP ballot

Point Scale:

30	... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	... Excellent.
28	... Above average.
27	... Average.
26	... Below average.
25	... Significantly below average.
20-24	... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	... 7 minutes
First Opposition	... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

APP ① ② Girl Neg  
OakPar BB (Ballesty & Bijukumar) vs Taft GC (Golesorkhi & Chicha)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

~~Taft~~ Oak Park Taft

Winner: PROP Oak Park debating on the OPP Prop  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: Karen Brock-Davis

Other judges on panel: Jeffrey Adelman, Steven Deutsch. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Ballesty - excellent speaker! Great style, very poised, a pleasure to watch.

Chicha - Try to organize your thoughts a bit more, some times you were hard to follow. Good effort!

Bijukumar - well done... despite the interruptions of people coming in the room & talking during your speech. well-paced presentation.

Golesorkhi - Hard to follow your position, there were a couple of times I thought you were arguing the wrong side... kind of rambling.  
Keep it up, you're going to only improve.

Point Scale:

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	







Room: MB 282  
Start: 4:35 PM  
Parliamentary

Quarter

Lipetzky, James


Oak Park

**DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL**  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

Taft MuAz (Murali & Azarmi Por) vs WmS BC (Brennan & Cortez)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

---

<b>PROP</b>	<b>OPP</b>
Winner: <u>Taft MuAz</u> debating on the <u>proposition</u>	
<small>School/Team</small>	<small>Side (Prop or Opp)</small>
Signature: <u></u>	

Other judges on panel: Beth Lieberman, Charles Weis. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Both Team were very good!!  
Incredibly good speakers, had a confident attitude, loud voice, and arguments were well organized.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



Room: MB 215  
Start: 4:40 PM  
Parliamentary

# Semi Bloom, Gitte

Global Prep

## DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL


Mar 24 - 24, 2019

Valenc CK (Cruz & Kim) vs OakPar BB (Ballesty & Bijukumar)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

OakPark PROP                      Valencia OPP

Winner: Valencia School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: 

Other judges on panel: Deborah Gerber, AJ Moore. Please do not start until all judges are present.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	



Room: MB 215  
Start: 4:40 PM  
Parliamentary

# Semi

# Moore, AJ

Valley International Prep


## DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

Valenc CK (Cruz & Kim) vs OakPar BB (Ballesty & Bijukumar)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Oak Park \_\_\_\_\_ Valencia \_\_\_\_\_  
PROP \_\_\_\_\_ OPP \_\_\_\_\_  
Winner: Valencia \_\_\_\_\_ debating on the Opp \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature:  \_\_\_\_\_

Other judges on panel: Gitta Bloom, Deborah Gerber. Please do not start until all judges are present.

### Point Scale:

30	...	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	.....	Excellent.
28	.....	Above average.
27	.....	Average.
26	.....	Below average.
25	.....	Significantly below average.
20-24	....	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.		

### Speech Times:

First Proposition	.....	7 minutes
First Opposition	.....	8 minutes
Second Proposition	.....	8 minutes
Second Opposition	.....	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	.....	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	.....	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.		


DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

Taft MuAz (Murali & Azarmi Por) vs GloPre BM (Bensko & Min)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Taft MuAz \_\_\_\_\_ PROP  
GloPre Bu \_\_\_\_\_ OPP

Winner: Taft MuAz \_\_\_\_\_ debating on the Prop \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature:  \_\_\_\_\_

Other judges on panel: Julie Hershkowitz, Jyothi Pakanati. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Pronouns: GloPre BM's Bensko pronouns: she/her

Opp argued that by changing legacy plan to one that works in the favor of legacy minorities that it can solve the educational gap/opportunities between them and the majority students. Affirmative action, as the prop noted, is already in place to address these concerns, to increase minority enrollment. In doing so, it is giving minorities an advantage regardless of the existence of the legacy system. Therefore, a tweaking of the system, to benefit minorities, does not as effectively nullify the policy, as abolishing it. Abolishing would rid of the system that both sides see as detrimental, whether to a whole group or a partial group. A partial modification will further stoke the conversation, especially when there is a policy that already addresses that concern. If the policy were to be abolished, there would be an even playing field with the running of affirmative action. Learning with an inability for the wealthy majority to influence admission procedures and widen the gap. Therefore, the prop's argument to rid of it completely prevails.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

## DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

GloPre BM (Bensko & Min) vs Taft MuAz (Murali & Azarmi Por)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Taft MuAz	GloPre BM
PROP	OPP
Winner: <u>Taft MuAz</u> debating on the <u>Prop</u>	
School/Team	Side (Prop or Opp)
Signature: <u>Jyothi</u>	

Other judges on panel: Steven Deutsch, Julie Hershkowitz. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Pronouns: GloPre BM's Bensko pronouns: she/her

Ass :-

1st Good points with statistics & well presented.  
Liked the way the clarifications are answered.  
would like to improve on rebuttal for future

2nd Speaker - Brought up all opp points and very well laid out own teams contentions. Noted that Neg missed a point to rebut. Good speaker as such.

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Neer

1st speaker - Liked the way counter plan was put for the resolution. However no statistics on how minorities are benefited currently have not been provided. Organisation skills for speech needs improvement. Also would have been good if Ass's concerns were atleast listened to. Overall good speaker and has potential.

2nd Speaker - Started with Georgetown example ~~but that does not~~ which was good but further points should have been added. Point about transportation for minorities should have come with some stats.

6:50 pm

DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL  
Mar 24 - 24, 2019

AFF. (TAFT)  
1) Vishnu MU  
2) Ryan Azarmi Por

GloPre BM (Bensko & Min) vs Taft MuAz (Murali & Azarmi Por)  
Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Neg.  
1) Cassidy Bensko  
2) Joelle Min

PROP OPP  
Winner: (AFF) Murali & Azarmi Por debating on the AFF Side (Prop or Opp)  
School/Team

Signature: Julie Hershkowitz

Other judges on panel: Steven Deutsch, Jyothi Pakanati. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Pronouns: GloPre BM's Bensko pronouns: she/her

Motivation: College Univ. should ban legacy preferences in the Undergrad. admissions process

Excellent debate on such a timely & relevant topic. Each of you displayed eloquent speaking skills & the ability to persuade your audience. Congrats!

**Point Scale:**

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Overall, I felt the AFF team more thoroughly supported & explained their case.

Wasn't clear to me why the Counter Plan was better/diff. than AFF. Action benefits for minorities already in place? Also felt Neg. did not address same issues like (4) student Backlash - students themselves @ Harvard & Cornell protesting to remove legacy (were minorities part of this too? left to stand)

**Speech Times:**

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Continued success to all of you!



DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

Taft MuAz (Murali & Azarmi Por) vs Valenc CK (Cruz & Kim)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Valenc CK

Taft MuAz

PROP

OPP

Winner: Valenc Cruz & Kim debating on the Prop  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: *Tim Meyer*

Other judges on panel: Beth Lieberman, Megan Noel. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Overall

- Both sides need to work on organization and signposting. Cases def. could have been shorter and more concise
- Aff wins on the perms. Neg didn't do enough to justify fiat badly or harms inherent in the differences between Plan & Fiat vs Counterplan (specifically funding).

Point Scale:

30	Outstanding; likely to win the tournament.
29	Excellent.
28	Above average.
27	Average.
26	Below average.
25	Significantly below average.
20-24	Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.
Half-points are allowed.	

Speech Times:

First Proposition	7 minutes
First Opposition	8 minutes
Second Proposition	8 minutes
Second Opposition	8 minutes
Opposition Rebuttal	4 minutes
Proposition Rebuttal	5 minutes
There is no preparation time between speeches.	

Aff

- Restructure C3 (2nd Am. spike) by just using it as an example of feasibility in the constructive rather than a spike.

Neg

- When running a PII, make sure there is something to make your Mandate/Actor mutually exclusive as to avoid perms
- Always push the ground you are winning on. If you had brought up harms unique to either funding or time with better responses to fiat, you would have won overall



## DE TOLEDO HIGH SCHOOL INVITATIONAL

Mar 24 - 24, 2019

Valenc CK (Cruz & Kim) vs Taft MuAz (Murali & Azarmi Por)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Valencia Taft  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PROP OPP  
Winner: Taft debating on the opp  
School/Team Side (Prop or Opp)

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Beth Lieberman, Timothy Meyer. Please do not start until all judges are present.

hard to choose - came down to effectiveness of congress in recent years vs. loss of life.

**Point Scale:**

30	..... Outstanding, likely to win the tournament.
29	..... Excellent.
28	..... Above average.
27	..... Average.
26	..... Below average.
25	..... Significantly below average.
20-24	..... Rude, offensive, or grossly unprepared.

Half-points are allowed.

**Speech Times:**

• First Proposition	..... 7 minutes
• First Opposition	..... 8 minutes
• Second Proposition	..... 8 minutes
• Second Opposition	..... 8 minutes
• Opposition Rebuttal	..... 4 minutes
• Proposition Rebuttal	..... 5 minutes

There is no preparation time between speeches.

