

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIRMAN RICHARD ROSSETTI, CLERK DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO JOSH SAFDIE ANNE BROCKELMAN, (ALT.)

POOJA PHALTANKAR, (ALT.)

Case #: ZBA 2017-60 Site: 9 Pearson Road

Date of Decision: July 12, 2017

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk: July 26, 2017**

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Robert J. Hoey & Jacalyn Anne Crowe
Applicant Address: 9 Pearson Road, Somerville, MA 02144
Property Owner Name: Robert J. Hoey & Jacalyn Anne Crowe
Property Owner Address: 9 Pearson Road, Somerville, MA 02144

Agent Name: N/A

<u>Legal Notice</u>: Applicants / Owners, Robert J. Hoey and Jacalyn Anne Crowe, seek a

Special Permit under Section 4.4.1 of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance (SZO) to alter a nonconforming three-family dwelling by constructing a

three-story open porch on the rear of the structure.

Zoning District/Ward: RA zone/Ward 6

Zoning Approval Sought:§4.4.1Date of Application:June 7, 2017Date(s) of Public Hearing:July 12, 2017Date of Decision:July 12, 2017

Vote: 5

Appeal #ZBA 2017-60 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at the Somerville High School Auditorium on July 12, 2017. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



DESCRIPTION:

The proposal is to replace the existing two-story rear porch with a slightly larger three-story rear porch with stairs down to the ground. The proposed stairs will provide a second means of egress for each unit.

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The structure is currently nonconforming with respect to the following dimensional requirements: lot area, lot area per dwelling unit, pervious area, floor area ratio (FAR), front yard setback, left side yard setback, street frontage, and the number of parking spaces.

The proposal will impact the nonconforming dimensions of pervious area. The current dimension is 29%, the proposal is to decrease it to 27%, and the requirement in the district is 35%. Information provided by the Applicants/Owners indicates that the net increase in the footprint of the porch increases by 72 square feet; reducing the pervious area from 29% to 27%. This alteration to a nonconforming structure requires the Applicant to obtain special permits under §4.4.1 of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance (SZO).

Section 4.4.1 states that "[1]awfully existing nonconforming structures other than one- and two-family dwellings may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered only by special permit authorized by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5. The SPGA must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming building. In making the finding that the enlargement, extension, renovation or alteration will not be substantially more detrimental, the SPGA may consider, without limitation, impacts upon the following: traffic volumes, traffic congestion, adequacy of municipal water supply and sewer capacity, noise, odor, scale, on-street parking, shading, visual effects and neighborhood character."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, the Board finds that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. The proposal has been designed with setbacks that minimally impact the neighbors and the ground coverage, landscaped area, rear yard setback, and building height will continue to be conforming to the requirements of the SZO. The stairs will project into the rear setback, which is allowed because Section 8.6.14 and 8.6.6 of the SZO allows steps down from the first story to project into a required yard setback up to on fourth (1/4) of the required setback.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to provide for and



Date: July 26, 2017 Case #:ZBA 2017-60 Site:9 Pearson Road

maintain the uniquely integrated structure of uses in the City; to protect health; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers; to conserve the value of land and buildings; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; and to preserve and increase the amenities of the municipality.

The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the RA district, which is, "to establish and preserve quiet neighborhoods of one- and two-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

Surrounding Neighborhood: Pearson Road is comprised of two- and three-family dwellings.

Impacts of Proposal (Design and Compatibility): The proposal will not have an impact on the design of the structure as viewable from the public way. The proposed material for the decking and railings will be AZEK, which has a good appearance, is durable, and requires little maintenance overtime.

- 6. <u>Housing Impact:</u> Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.
- 7. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> Complies with the applicable goals, policies and actions of the SomerVision plan, including the following, as appropriate: Preserve and enhance the character of Somerville's neighborhoods.



DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Evans, Josh Safdie and Anne Brockelman with Elaine Severino and Pooja Phaltankar absent. Upon making the above findings, Richard Rossetti made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Josh Safdie seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes	
	Approval is for the construction of a three-story rear porch. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	ISD/Plng.		
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission				
1	June 7, 2017	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office				
	May 16, 2017	Plans submitted to OSPCD (A100, A101, A102, A103, A104, and A105)				
	May 30, 2017	Plan of land located at 9 Pearson Road, Somerville, MA				
	Any changes to the approved elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval.					
Con	struction Impacts	1 1 1 04	ъ :	DI	1	
2	The applicant shall post the na general contractor at the site e people passing by.		During Construction	Plng.		
3	The Applicant shall at their expense replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.		СО	DPW		
4	All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.		During Construction	T&P		
Desi	ign					
5	Applicant shall provide final and railings to Planning Staff to the issuance of a building p	for review and approval prior	BP	Plng.		
Site						
6	Landscaping shall be installed compliance with the American Standards;		Perpetual	Plng. / ISD		
	Miscellaneous Somerville					



7	Granting of the applied for use or alteration does not include the provision for short term rental uses, such as AirBnB, VRBO, or the like. Separate approvals are needed for the aforementioned uses.	Perpetual	Plng. / ISD		
8	The Applicant, its successors and/or assigns, shall be responsible for maintenance of both the building and all onsite amenities, including landscaping, fencing, lighting, parking areas and storm water systems, ensuring they are clean, well kept and in good and safe working order.	Cont.	ISD		
Public Safety					
9	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention Bureau's requirements.	CO	FP		
Final Sign-Off					
10	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.	Final sign off	Plng.		



Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano, Chairman
	Richard Rossetti, Clerk
	Danielle Evans
	Josh Safdie
	Anne Brockelman (Alt.)

Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:

Dawn M. Pereira

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on	in the Office of the City Clerk
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or	
any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed or denied.	
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or	
there has been an appeal filed.	
C' (11 1 D /

