

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

**ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS** 

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIRMAN RICHARD ROSSETTI, CLERK DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO JOSH SAFDIE

Site: 103 Pearson Avenue
Date of Decision: October 18, 2017
Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u>
Date Filed with City Clerk: November 1, 2017

Case #: ZBA 2017-104

ANNE BROCKELMAN, (ALT.) POOJA PHALTANKAR, (ALT.)

# **ZBA DECISION**

**Applicant Name**: Candyce Dostert

**Applicant Address:** 103 Pearson Avenue, Somerville, MA 02144 **Property Owner Name:** Candyce Dostert and Donald Stevens

Property Owner Address: 103 Pearson Avenue, Somerville, MA 02144

Agent Name: N/A

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant, Candyce Dostert, and Owners, Candyce Dostert and Donald Stevens,

seek a Special Permit under SZO §4.4.1 to alter a nonconforming structure by constructing a second story rear deck on top of the existing one-story rear porch

that is within the required left side yard setback requirement.

Zoning District/Ward: RA zone/Ward 5

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

<u>Date of Application:</u> September 12, 2017 <u>Date(s) of Public Hearing:</u> October 18, 2017 Date of Decision: October 18, 2017

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2017-104 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on October 18, 2017. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



Date: November 1, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2017-104 Site: 103 Pearson Avenue

### **DESCRIPTION:**

The proposal is to install a door in the rear on the second floor to access the second level of an existing one-story rear porch and to install a new railing system.

## FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

### 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The structure is currently nonconforming with respect to the following dimensional requirements: lot area, front yard setback, left side yard setback, right side yard setback, and the number of parking spaces.

The proposal will impact the nonconforming left side yard setback. The current dimension is 3.1 for the current porch and the proposal will maintain that distance. The requirement in the district is 8 feet. This alteration to a nonconforming structure requires the Applicant to obtain special permits under §4.4.1 of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance (SZO).

Section 4.4.1 states that "[l]awfully existing one-and two-family dwellings which are only used as residences, which are nonconforming with respect to dimensional requirements, may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered by special permit granted by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, the Board finds that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. The proposal has been designed with setbacks that minimally impact the neighbors and the requirements for lot area per dwelling unit, ground coverage, landscape area, pervious area, floor area ratio (FAR), building height, rear yard setback, and street frontage will continue to be conforming to the requirements of the SZO.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to protect health; to provide adequate light and air; to conserve the value of land and buildings; to adequately protect the natural environment; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; and to preserve and increase the amenities of the municipality.

The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the RA district, which is, "to establish and preserve quiet neighborhoods of one- and two-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."



Page 3

Date: November 1, 2017

Case #: ZBA 2017-104

Site: 103 Pearson Avenue

The proposal will not have an impact on the site design. The improved railing system will enhance the aesthetics of the existing rear porch.

- 5. <u>Housing Impact:</u> Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.
- 6. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> The proposal will allow for upgrades to the property that are in keeping with the character of the neighborhood.



Date: November 1, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2017-104 Site: 103 Pearson Avenue

#### **DECISION:**

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Pooja Phaltankar, Elaine Severino, Danielle Evans, Josh Safdie, and Anne Brockelman with Richard Rossetti absent. Upon making the above findings, Danielle Evans made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Elaine Severino seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the installation of a door on the second level of a rear porch and for a new railing system. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	ISD/Pln g.	
	<b>Date (Stamp Date)</b>	Submission			
1	September 12, 2017	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	September 11, 2017	Modified plans submitted to OSPCD (A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, A7, S1, and S1)			
	September 19, 2017	Plan of Land			
	Any changes to the approved elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval.				
Con	struction Impacts		I D	D1	I
2	The applicant shall post the name and phone number of the general contractor at the site entrance where it is visible to people passing by.		During Construction	Plng.	
3	Approval is subject to the Applicant's and/or successor's right, title and interest in the property.		Cont.	Plng.	Deed submitted & application form signed
4	All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.		During Construction	T&P	
Des	ign				
5	Applicant shall provide final material samples for siding, trim, windows, and doors (to the Design Review Committee for review and comment and) to Planning Staff for review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit.		BP	Plng.	
6	An exterior light and electrical receptacle is required for the first (or all) level of the porch and an electrical receptacle is required for the second level (if there is no access to the ground).		Final sign off	Wiring Inspector	
Site			<u> </u>		1
	ville				



Date: November 1, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2017-104 Site: 103 Pearson Avenue

	Tandasanina shall ba installad and maintained in	D 1	Dla a /
7	Landscaping shall be installed and maintained in	Perpetual	Plng. / ISD
	compliance with the American Nurserymen's Association		18D
	Standards;		
Mis	cellaneous	•	
8	Granting of the applied for use or alteration does not include	Ongoing	ISD /
	the provision for short term rental uses, such as AirBnB,		Plng.
	VRBO, or the like. Separate approvals are needed for the		
	aforementioned uses.		
	The Applicant, its successors and/or assigns, shall be	Cont.	ISD
	responsible for maintenance of both the building and all on-		
9	site amenities, including landscaping, fencing, lighting,		
	parking areas and storm water systems, ensuring they are		
	clean, well kept and in good and safe working order.		
Pub	lic Safety		
10	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention	CO	FP
10	Bureau's requirements.		
	Per Somerville fire safety regulations, grills, barbecues,	Perpetual	FP/ISD
11	chimineas and the like are NOT permitted on decks or		
	porches.		
Fina	al Sign-Off		
	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign	Plng.
	working days in advance of a request for a final inspection	off	
12	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was		
	constructed in accordance with the plans and information		
	submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.		
Oth			
13	Future railing changes shall not require further ZBA	Perpetual	Plng.
	approval.		



Page 6

Date: November 1, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2017-104 Site: 103 Pearson Avenue

Attest	by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano.	Chairman
Auesi,	by the Zohing Board of Appears.	Orsola Susali Folitalio,	Chairmar

Elaine Severino Josh Safdie Danielle Evans Pooja Phaltankar

Attest, by the case City Planner:	

Alexander C. Mello

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATE**

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on	in the Office of the City Clerk
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the	he City Clerk, or
any appeals that were filed have been finally dism	nissed or denied.
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the	he City Clerk, or
there has been an appeal filed.	•
Signed_	City Clerk Date

