

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS

KEVIN PRIOR, CHAIRMAN
JOSEPH FAVALORO, CLERK
DOROTHY A. KELLY GAY
MICHAEL A. CAPUANO, ESQ.
REBECCA LYN COOPER
GERARD AMARAL, (ALT.)

Case #: PB 2017-14 Site: 654 Mystic Avenue Date of Decision: July 13, 2017

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk: July 26, 2017**

PLANNING BOARD DECISION

Applicant Name: Anthony Fava & Ryan Hunt

Applicant Address: 11 Elkins Street, Suite 205, Boston, MA 02127

Property Owner Name: Fieldcom Realty Trust

Property Owner Address:P.O. Box 2307, Woburn, MA 01888Agent Name:Gordon E. Meyer & Associates, P.C.Agent Address:8 Winchester Street, Boston, MA 02116

Legal Notice: Applicants, Anthony Fava & Ryan Hunt, along with Property Owner,

Fieldcom Realty Trust, seek Design and Site Plan Review per Section 8.8 and 5.4 of the SZO for lot splits to divide one lot into three lots.

Zoning District/Ward:BB zone/Ward 4Zoning Approval Sought:§8.8 & §5.4Date of Application:May 18, 2017Date(s) of Public Hearing:July 13, 2017Date of Decision:July 13, 2017

<u>Vote:</u> 4-0

Appeal #PB 2017-14 was opened before the Planning Board at the Somerville High School Auditorium on July 13, 2017. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Planning Board took a vote.



Page 2

Date: July 26, 2017 Case #:PB 2017-14 Site: 654 Mystic Avenue

The Planning Board approved a similar project in December, 2016 as a subdivision through Design & Site Plan Review. Since that time, it was brought to the Planning Division's attention that amendments to the ordinance require the Board to review this application as "lot splits" and not a "subdivision". The language in Chapter 6: Rules & Regulations Pertaining to Design & Site Plan Review was amended to establish the procedure for lot splits. Furthermore, language in Section 8.8 of the zoning ordinance was added through an amendment approved by the Board of Aldermen in June, to clarify in plain language that lot splits are indeed reviewed under Section 5.4 of the ordinance.

DESCRIPTION:

The Applicant proposes to split this parcel at 654 Mystic Avenue into three lots. Lot 1, which would abut Moreland Street, is proposed at ~3,178 square feet. Lot 2, to the left, is proposed at ~3,251 square feet. Lot 3, which would abut 640 Mystic Avenue, is proposed at ~3,316 square feet. Further, the Applicant proposes three (3) dwelling units on each lot for a total of nine (9) dwelling units across the original parcel.

<u>Lot size/lot size per dwelling unit:</u> Since the BB zone does not have a minimum lot size requirement all of the proposed lots are buildable lots. The current proposal presents each of the nine dwelling units with a minimum of 1,000 sf each. This amount is greater than the minimum required lot area per dwelling unit ratio of 875 square feet. At 1.43, 1.40, and 1.28, the proposed dwelling units are well under the maximum-allowed FAR of 2.0 in this zone.

<u>Front, rear and side yards:</u> There are no side yard minimums required for this project as the side yards of this BB lot do not abut a residential district. The rear yard, because it abuts an RA district line, must be no less than 15 feet deep as per SZO §8.5.i footnote 12. The proposal complies with this requirement.

<u>Landscaping/pervious/ground coverage:</u> The majority of the existing parcel is covered with bituminous material, leaving approximately 1% "landscaped" under current conditions. The Applicant's proposal would bring 45% landscaping or more to each of the proposed parcels.

Section 8.5.g footnote 5 allows the reduction of front yard setbacks, but for those setbacks to be no less than 10 feet. The Applicant's proposal allows for 10' to 15' front yard setbacks.

With regard to pervious material, overall ground coverage and landscaping, the Applicant does not create any new non-conformities and the proposal improves upon the existing conditions.

<u>Building height:</u> Normally, in the BB zone, buildings may have a maximum height of 50 feet and be up to four stories. However, in cases such as 654 Mystic where the property abuts an RA district line, any structure (or portion of a structure) within thirty feet of that district line shall be limited to three stories and forty feet in height. The design of the proposed residences causes the roofline to step down as the building reaches the rear of the lot. The front-most portion of the building, which is 30-feet from the RA district line, is presented as 3 stories and 40 feet in height, complying with the district requirements.



FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §5.4):

Design and Site Plan Review under §5.4

The project meets the requirements for Design and Site Plan Review under Section 5.4. Note that the staff recommendation had used section numbers from a previous version of the ordinance, and this decision corrects those errors below.

Section 5.4.1 and 8.8 of the SZO (in the previous version Section 5.4.1(2)) allows the Planning Board to consider approval of lot splits under Section 5.4 of the Ordinance.

• SZO Section 5.4.6(A) and (B) indicates the basis under which a Design and Site Plan Review shall be approved or may be disapproved. Section 5.4.6(A) indicates that the board shall make any positive findings indicated for the specific district for which the process is applied, but no specific findings are identified in the SZO for this district. Section 5.4.6(B) (in the previous version Section 5.4.4(C)) indicates that the Board may only deny an application when:

Itom #1

The submission fails to furnish adequate information required for review (i.e. is incomplete);

A complete submission (previously identified in Section 5.4.7) is considered to be as follows:

1) All plans shall be prepared by a registered architect, landscape architect, registered land surveyor, or professional engineer unless this requirement is waived by the Planning Board because a project is unusually simple and the use of a registered or licensed professional is not necessary to enable the Planning Board to make a decision that complies with the purposes of this section.

The Planning Board finds that the submission is complete:

Architect: Khalsa Design

Surveyors/Engineers: Design Consultants, Inc.: Civil Engineers and Land Surveyors

<u>Landscape Architect</u>: Blair Hines Design Associates, Landscape architects

2) A complete submission shall include all of the information and requirements set forth in the rules and regulations of the Planning Board for site plan approval and shall include, but not be limited to, information concerning property ownership, topography, drainage, existing and proposed utilities, soil data, transportation systems, parking plans, building plans, elevations, landscaping, sewerage, storm water disposal, erosion and sedimentation controls, lighting, recreation, signage and hydrogeologic evaluation.

The Planning Board finds that the Applicant has submitted documentation satisfying the requirements outlined in item 2 above via either/both application documents, architectural, landscaping, or engineering plans and related reports.

Item #2 and #3.

The imposition of reasonable conditions would not ensure compliance to the standards, as applicable; and/or the submittal, although proper in form, includes or creates an intractable problem so intrusive on the needs of the public in one regulated aspect or another and cannot be adequately mitigated.



The Planning Board finds that the conditions outlined in the table at the end of this report to be reasonable and shall be implemented on this project. All future plans and associated documents that are submitted to the City of Somerville for review/approval/permitting henceforth regarding this project shall reflect these conditions.

Projects may also be denied if the project does not comply with other specifically applicable requirements of this Ordinance.

The Planning Board finds that the project proposal complies with the requirements of the SZO.

Based upon this standard and the above findings, the Planning Staff recommends **CONDITIONAL APPROVAL** of the requested **DESIGN AND SITE PLAN REVIEW**.

The Applicant has provided a complete application, reasonable conditions can be placed on the proposal to ensure that the project conforms to the standards and criteria set forth in Section 5.4, and the project complies with applicable requirements of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance.

The Rules and Regulations Chapter 6, Section 6.C. state that, "[w]hen an application is deemed generally acceptable, but is of a nature where specific approval of each standard or criteria of SZO Section 5.4 cannot be reached (such as in subdivision, where all physical site development plans may not be finalized at the time of the plot recording), the Planning Board may attach a condition(s) requiring recordation of deed restrictions or covenants ensuring future compliance with specifically applicable standards of SZO section 5.4."



DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Joseph Favaloro, Michael Capuano, Rebecca Lyn Cooper and Gerard Amaral with Kevin Prior and Dorothy Kelly Gay absent. Upon making the above findings, Michael Capuano made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Joseph Favaloro seconded the motion. Wherefore the Planning Board voted **4-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Co	ondition	Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
		e issued until the plan set	BP	Plng.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
1	March 7, 2016	Initial application filed with City Clerk's Office			
	May 16, 2016	Updated plans submitted to OSPCD			
	October 14, 2016	Updated plans submitted to OSPCD			
	October 20, 2016	Final plans submitted to OSPCD			
	December 6, 2016	Updated renderings submitted to OSPCD			
	July11, 2017	Updated renderings submitted OSPCD			
	ANY changes to the approved plans shall be submitted to Planning Staff for their review prior to implementation of said changes. Planning Staff shall determine if the changes proposed are <i>de minimis</i> in nature or if the proposed changes require Planning Board review.				
2		for all 9 units shall be located	Perpetual	Plng./ ISD	
3		more residential units or e Owner/Applicant is required to move trash and recycling on a	Perpetual	ISD/Plann ing	



	regular basis.		
4	All snow shall be carted off-site.	Perpetual	ISD/Plann ing
5	The Applicant shall submit to Planning Staff certified copies of the recorded/registered documents.	Building Permit	Plng.
6	There shall be no parking in the front yard of any of the parcels. All front yards shall be landscaped/patio area and shall contribute to and not detract from landscaping and pervious surface calculations.	Perpetual	Plng./ ISD
7	The Applicant shall present updated civil plans to the Planning Office and to the City's Engineering Department depicting how much fill will be added to the site, of what the fill is comprised, where the fill comes from, and detailing engineering renderings of what the actual finished grade will be. Planning and Engineering 8must sign off on these plans before any permits are issued for work on the site.	BP/site prep	Plng/Engi neering/IS D
8	The roof shall remain flat and there shall be no roof decks permitted on the site.	Perpetual	ISD/Plann ing
9	Landscaping shall be installed <u>exactly</u> as rendered on the plans dated October 20, 2016. There shall be no reduction in plant count or changes in plant type without prior submission to and approval by Planning Staff	СО	Plng/ISD
10	The applicant shall ensure that all landscape/pervious calculations match submitted plans.	BP	Plng/ISD
11	Prior to the star of construction, an engineering report shall be submitted on the retaining wall at the back of the lot. The engineering report shall:	BP	Plng/Engi neering
	 Present a plan for addressing the structural integrity of the existing retaining wall in relation to the proposed project; Be presented to the City Engineer for review and sign off prior to the issuance of a building permit. 		
	 Implementation of engineering plan: The engineering plan shall be followed exactly as signed off by the City Engineer The City Engineer shall sign off on all work performed on the existing or new retaining wall. 		
12	All environmental reports and testing shall be submitted to Planning Staff as they are completed. Staff reserves the right to ask that these reports be "peer reviewed" by a city consultant at the cost of the Applicant.	BP	Plng/Engi neering
13	ALL materials proposed for the exterior of the new construction will be presented to Planning Staff for their review and approval PRIOR TO their installation	СО	Planning/I SD



			1
14	A new hydrant shall be installed on Ash Avenue. Said hydrant and its <u>exact</u> location shall be shown on revised civil plans.	BP	Fire Preventio n/Plannin g/ISD
15	The electric, telephone, cable TV and other such lines and equipment shall be placed underground from the source or connection. The utilities plan shall be supplied to the Wiring Inspector before installation.	BP	Wiring Inspector/ Electrical
16	The Applicant shall meet all of Fire Prevention's requirements	СО	Fire Preventio n
17	ALL exterior lighting on ALL facades of the building shall be downcast and shall not cast light only any abutting properties.	CO/Perpetual	ISD/Plann ing
18	The Applicant shall consult with Lights and Lines to determine the need/location for transformers/conduits and the like on the property and/or the upgrade of any pole/line capacity.	BP	Lights & Lines
19	The Applicant shall work with the Sustainable Neighborhoods Initiative coordinator to review air handling and filtration systems in the building. Air intakes shall not be located between the building and Mystic Avenue, shall provide adequate filtration systems to address the particulates that typically come off I-93. The final plan for particulate handling shall be submitted to Planning/ISD prior to the issuance of a building permit.	ВР	ISD/Susta inable Neighborh oods/Plan ning
20	The project shall be subject to the city stormwater policy, including the required removal of infiltration and/or inflow based upon providing either construction to reduce the inflow/outflow or a mitigation payment as established by the City Engineer's office.	BP	Engineeri ng/Planni ng/ISD
21	Any venting pipes shall be wrapped to match the color of the roofing material through which it protrudes or shall be painted to match the color of the siding through which it protrudes.	Perpetual	Planning/I SD
22	There shall be no venting on the Mystic Avenue façade of the buildings.	Perpetual	Planning/I SD
23	All greenery shall be installed and maintained in compliance with the American Nurserymen's Association Standards;	Perpetual	Planning/I SD
24	The Applicant, its successors and/or assigns, shall be responsible for maintenance of both the building and all onsite amenities, including landscaping, fencing, lighting, parking areas and storm water systems, ensuring they are clean, well-kept and in good and safe working order.	Perpetual	Planning/I SD
25	Storm water runoff shall not be routed into the City sewer system. Plans for such runoff must be approved by the Engineering Department and shall not create storm water maintenance issues for abutting properties or the City.	BP	Engineeri ng
26	The Applicant must provide an accessibility narrative prior to the issuance of a building permit.	BP	ISD/Plng.



		1	1	
27	The Applicant shall provide notice of intent to strictly comply with applicable State and Federal regulations regarding air quality including without limitation continuous dust control during demolition and construction.	BP	Plng/OSE	
28	Notification must be made, within the time period required under applicable regulations, to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) if there is any release of oil, hazardous materials, or regulated hazardous substances at the site. The City's OSE office, Fire Department and the Board of Health shall also be notified.	BP	OSE/FP/B OH	
29	Any new curb cuts shall be poured in accordance with the specifications of the Highway Superintendent	СО	Eng./High ways/Plan ning/ISD	
30	Venting from the garage shall be on the front of the building, and not adjacent to abutting properties at the side or rear	CO/Perpetual		
31	The design of the wall (required by condition #8) shall incorporate the design of the French drain that will collect water by the wall and discharge it.	BP/Perpetual	Eng./Plan ning/ISD	
32	The garage area in the back yard shall be covered by a minimum of 18 inches of dirt.	CO/Perpetual	Planning/I SD	
33	Each unit shall include two deeded parking spaces. The remaining two parking spaces in each garage shall be available to visitors of all three of the units that share the garage.	CO/Perpetual	Planning/I SD	
34	If granted access by the abutting rear property owner at 50 Ash Avenue, the applicant shall remove the fence that is on the 50 Ash Avenue property just beyond, but alongside the adjacent property line with this property. The applicant shall then clear the area between the fence and the property line, and then the applicant shall install a new fence along the entire rear property line. Fence material and design shall be subject to Planning Staff review and approval prior to installation.	СО	Planning/I SD	



Attest, by the Planning Board:

Joseph Favaloro

Rebecca Lyn Cooper

Michael A.Capuano, Esq.

Gerard Amaral

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.



CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on	in the Office of the City Clerk
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, of	or
any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed or denied	1 .
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, of	or
there has been an appeal filed.	
Signed	ty Clark Data

