

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

**ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS** 

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIRMAN RICHARD ROSSETTI, CLERK DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO JOSH SAFDIE ANNE BROCKELMAN, (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2016-20
Site: 24 Lexington Avenue
Date of Decision: May 4, 2016
Decision: Patition Approved with C

**Decision:** <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk: May 12, 2016** 

# **ZBA DECISION**

**Applicant Name**: Philip Colleran

**Applicant Address:** 24 Lexington Avenue, Somerville, MA 02144

**Property Owner Name**: Philip Colleran

**Property Owner Address:** 24 Lexington Avenue, Somerville, MA 02144

**Agent Name**: N/A

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant and Owner, Philip Colleran, seeks a Special Permit per SZO

§4.4.1 to build extend an existing non-conformity by expanding an existing shed dormer within the right side yard setback at 24 Lexington

Avenue.

Zoning District/Ward: RA zone/Ward 5

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:March 3, 2016Date(s) of Public Hearing:4/20 & 5/4/16Date of Decision:May 4, 2016

Vote: 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2016-20 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on April 20, 2016. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



Date: May 12, 2016 Case #:ZBA 2016-20 Site: 24 Lexington Avenue

# **DESCRIPTION:**

The proposal is to extend an existing dormer that sits within the right side yard setback.

#### **FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):**

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §4.4.1 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §4.4.1 in detail.

# 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §4.4.1 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

**2.** <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, the Board finds that the alteration proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. The Applicant proposes extending the existing shed dormer to cover a length that is just shy of 50% of the roof to which it is attached. The size of the existing window opening will be kept, allowing the Applicant to replace the existing window, if desired. Because the dormer sits less than three feet (3 feet) from the right yard setback, no new window openings can be included in the extended dormer unless they are filled with glass block. Instead, the Applicant proposes to include two "blind windows" on the extended dormer and two skylights will be placed on the roof of the dormer in order to allow for additional light in the dormer extension.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal to extend a shed dormer is consistent with the purpose of the RA district, which is, "to establish and preserve quiet neighborhoods of one- and two-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

**4.** <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The Board finds that the proposed dormer is in keeping with the surrounding neighborhood.

6. <u>Housing Impact:</u> Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.

The proposal will not add to the existing stock of affordable housing.

7. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> Complies with the applicable goals, policies and actions of the SomerVision plan, including the following, as appropriate: Preserve and enhance the character of Somerville's neighborhoods, transform key opportunity areas, preserve and expand an integrated, balanced mix of safe, affordable and environmentally sound rental and homeownership units for households of all sizes and types from diverse social and economic groups; and, make Somerville a regional employment center with a mix of diverse and high-quality jobs. The areas in the SomerVision map that are designated as enhance and transform should most significantly contribute



Date: May 12, 2016 Case #:ZBA 2016-20 Site: 24 Lexington Avenue

towards the SomerVision goals that are outlined in the table below. The areas marked as conserve are not expected to greatly increase the figures in the table since these areas are not intended for large scale change.

SomerVision Summary	Existing	Proposed
Dwelling Units:	n/a	n/a
Parking Spaces:	n/a	n/a

The Board finds that the proposal does not impact parking in any manner.

## **DECISION:**

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Danielle Evans, Elaine Severino, Josh Safdie and Anne Brockelman with Richard Rossetti recused. Upon making the above findings, Danielle Evans made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Elaine Severino seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the extension already within the right side	BP/CO	ISD/Plng.		
1	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	March 3, 2016	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	April 9, 2016	Updated plans submitted to OSPCD			
	Any changes to the approved site plan or elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval. Whether or not a change is <i>de minimis</i> in nature must be determined by the Planning Office.				
Cor	struction Impacts				•
2	The Applicant shall at his expense replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.		СО	DPW	



Page 4

Date: May 12, 2016 Case #:ZBA 2016-20 Site: 24 Lexington Avenue

3	All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.	During Construction	T&P	
Des	ign			
4	The dormer shall be extended exactly as presented on the approved ZBA plans and shall be clad in material that matches the existing siding in shape, color, texture, scale and design. Blind windows shall be constructed and two skylights shall be constructed on the roof of the extended dormer.	СО	Plng./ ISD	
Fina	al Sign-Off			
5	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.	Final sign off	Plng.	



Page 5

Date: May 12, 2016 Case #:ZBA 2016-20 Site: 24 Lexington Avenue

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Chairman</i> Danielle Evans Elaine Severino Josh Safdie Anne Brockelman (Alt.)
Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:	Dawn M. Pereira

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

## **CLERK'S CERTIFICATE**

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed of	in the Office of the City Clerk,
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Offic	
any appeals that were filed have been finally	dismissed or denied.
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Offic	of the City Clerk, or
there has been an appeal filed.	
Signed_	City Clerk Date

