

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIRMAN RICHARD ROSSETTI, CLERK DANIELLE EVANS ELAINE SEVERINO JOSH SAFDIE

JOSH SAFDIE
ANNE BROCKELMAN, (ALT.)
POOJA PHALTANKAR, (ALT.)

Case #: ZBA 2017-99 Site: 74 Cedar Street

Date of Decision: October 18, 2017

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u>
Date Filed with City Clerk: November 1, 2017

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Derrick Snare

Applicant Address: 158 Central Street, Somerville, MA 02143

Property Owner Name: Gregory S. Carleton

Property Owner Address: 74 Cedar Street, Somerville, MA 02143

Agent Name: N/A

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant, Derrick Snare, and Owner, Gregory S. Carleton, seek a Special

Permit under SZO §4.4.1 to extend a nonconforming structure within the

required rear yard setback by enclosing a rear porch.

Zoning District/Ward: RB zone/Ward 5

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

<u>Date of Application:</u> September 1, 2017 <u>Date(s) of Public Hearing:</u> October 18, 2017 <u>Date of Decision:</u> October 18, 2017

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2017-99 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on October 18, 2017. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



Date: November 1, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2017-99 Site: 74 Cedar Street

DESCRIPTION:

The proposal is to enclose a rear porch entry to be used as a mudroom.

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The structure is currently nonconforming with respect to the following dimensional requirements: lot area, front, rear, and left side yard setbacks, and the number of parking spaces.

The proposal will impact the nonconforming dimension of the rear yard setback. The current dimension is 13.2 feet, the proposal will decrease it to 8.2 feet, and the requirement in the district is 20 feet. This extension of a nonconforming structure requires the Applicant to obtain special permits under §4.4.1 of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance (SZO).

Section 4.4.1 states that "[1]awfully existing one-and two-family dwellings which are only used as residences, which are nonconforming with respect to dimensional requirements, may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered by special permit granted by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 or 4.5 of the SZO, the Board finds that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. The proposal has been designed with setbacks that minimally impact the neighbors and the requirements for lot area per dwelling unit, ground coverage, landscaped area, pervious area, floor area ratio (FAR), building height, and right side yard setback will continue to be conforming to the requirements of the SZO.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to protect health; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers; to conserve the value of land and buildings; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; and to preserve and increase the amenities of the municipality.

The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the RB district, which is, "to establish and preserve medium density neighborhoods of one-, two- and three-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."



Page 3

Date: November 1, 2017

Case #: ZBA 2017-99

Site: 74 Cedar Street

Surrounding Neighborhood: The subject property is located on the corner of Cedar Street and Hall Street. This section of Cedar Street and Hall Street includes structures that range from two- to three-story structures.

Impacts of Proposal (Design and Compatibility): The proposal is compatible with the characteristics of the building and unbuilt surrounding area. The proposal will not have any detriment to the design of the existing structure nor to the neighborhood.

- 5. <u>Housing Impact:</u> Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.
- 6. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> The proposal will allow the homeowner to make an improvement to his property that is within character of the neighborhood.



Date: November 1, 2017 Case #: ZBA 2017-99 Site: 74 Cedar Street

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Pooja Phaltankar, Elaine Severino, Danielle Evans, Josh Safdie, and Anne Brockelman with Richard Rossetti absent. Upon making the above findings, Danielle Evans made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Elaine Severino seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for enclosing a rear porch entry. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	ISD/Pln g.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
1	September 1, 2017	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	August 17, 2017	Plans submitted to OSPCD (Existing and proposed first floor plan)			
	Any changes to the approved <i>minimis</i> must receive SPGA a				
Con	struction Impacts			•	
2	The applicant shall post the na general contractor at the site e people passing by.		During Construction	Plng.	
3	All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.		During Construction	T&P	
Desi			1	l	l.
4	Applicant shall provide final material samples for siding, trim, windows, and doors to Planning Staff for review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit.		ВР	Plng.	
Site		<u> </u>		•	
5	Landscaping shall be installed and maintained in compliance with the American Nurserymen's Association Standards;		Perpetual	Plng. / ISD	
Mis	Miscellaneous				
6	Granting of the applied for use the provision for short term re VRBO, or the like. Separate a aforementioned uses.		Ongoing	ISD / Plng.	
7	The Applicant, its successors and/or assigns, shall be responsible for maintenance of both the building and all onsite amenities, including landscaping, fencing, lighting, parking areas and storm water systems, ensuring they are clean, well kept and in good and safe working order.		Cont.	ISD	
	lic Safety				



Page 5

Date: November 1, 2017

Case #: ZBA 2017-99

Site: 74 Cedar Street

o	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention	CO	FP	
0	Bureau's requirements.			
Fina	al Sign-Off			
	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign	Plng.	
	working days in advance of a request for a final inspection	off		
9	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was			
	constructed in accordance with the plans and information			
	submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.			



Page 6

Date: November 1, 2017

Case #: ZBA 2017-99

Site: 74 Cedar Street

Attest	by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano.	Chairman
Auesi,	by the Zohing Board of Appears.	Orsola Susali Folitalio,	Chairmar

Elaine Severino Josh Safdie Danielle Evans Pooja Phaltankar

Attest, by the case City Planner:	

Alexander C. Mello

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the dand twenty days have elapsed, and	lecision filed on	in the Office of the City Clerk
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN		
there have been no appeals fil any appeals that were filed ha FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals fil there has been an appeal filed	ve been finally dismissed or denied. ed in the Office of the City Clerk, or	
Signed	City Clerk	Date

