

Pedestrian & Transit Advisory Committee

City Hall, 93 Highland Ave, Somerville, MA

02143

Phone: (617) 625-6600 Ext. 2500

TTY: (617) 666-0001 Fax: (617) 625-0722

www.somervillema.gov/ptac

October 2025 Meeting Minutes

Somerville Pedestrian & Transit Advisory Committee (PTAC)

Mayor Katjana Ballantyne

Meeting Information

Thursday October 16, 2025, 6:30-8:00 PM

This meeting will be hybrid:

- In-person meeting location: 101 South Street, Somerville, MA 02143.
- Zoom info to join remotely via computers, tablets, and smartphones:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89181225932?pwd=aWpoL2FtaUh3aGdVbHNRWUJmeDRNQT09

Passcode: 152274 Or join by phone:

Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: +1 646 558 8656 Webinar ID: 891 8122 5932

Passcode: 152274

International numbers available: https://us02web.zoom.us/u/kcVy69ROJG

Members

- * = present
 - 1. *Ted Alexander
 - 2. Ginny Alverson
 - 3. Meredith Brown
 - 4. *Laura Evans
 - 5. *Christopher Ferry
 - 6. *Preston Gutelius
 - 7. *Satoko Hirai (Digital Communications Office)
 - 8. *Brenda Marvin (Secretary)
 - 9. Steven Mulder
 - 10. *Barbara Myers

- 11. Bianca Norkunas
- 12. *Vitor Pamplona (Vice Chair)
- 13. Zach Rosenberg
- 14. *Alessandra Seiter (Chair)
- 15. *Mackenzie Webb
- 16. J.T. Scott (Ex Officio, City Council, Ward 2)
- 17. *Katherine White (Ex Officio, OSPCD Mobility)
- 18. *Justin Schreiber (Ex Officio, OSPCD Mobility)

Guests

- 1. Kris Carter, Chief Possibility Officer at MassDOT
- 2. Christian MilNeil, Editor In Chief of StreetsblogMASS
- 3. Karen Molloy, Somerville Alliance for Safe Streets (SASS)
- 4. Jordan, Community Member

Acting Secretary

Laura Evans

Call to Order

6:31

Agenda

Procedural Business (5 Minutes)

- Roll call and guest introductions
- Vote on September draft minutes Unanimous vote
- Announcements
 - o Call for new members ideally, 2-5 new more
 - o Brenda, Vitor, Preston (maybe) interested in helping to interview new members
 - Group bus ride with Community Events 90, 88 routes, next Wednesday, more details to come

Subcommittee Updates (5 Minutes)

- Community Events
 - Planned group bus ride to understand routes
 - Monster Mash 2-6, tabling
 - Possible winter events: tabling at winter farmer's market
- Design Review
 - Discussed Western Pearl (see later item)
- Special Projects
 - Did not meet

Discussion on Autonomous Vehicles with MassDOT

Kris Carter, MassDOT

- Waymo is not driving autonomously in MA cars in summer 2025 were mapping
- Motional is the only permitted AV company in MA (in Boston only), but required to have a safety driver
- Various levels of automation, from driver assist to robotaxis
- Why AVs? Possibly: safety, efficiency, infrastructure
- Without good public policy, the opposite of all those things could happen
- Different states have different levels of regulation
- History of regulation in MA from 2016-2025 most testing happening in Boston Seaport so far
- Current process: Application reviewed by MassDOT, testing plan reviewed by MassDOT and roadway owners (municipalities), MOA signed by roadway owners
- No bills through the State House yet to allow vehicles without safety driver unclear when or if we
 will see new law at the state or federal level
- MassDOT coordinating discussions between municipalities and peers in other states
- Slides have a number of resources to keep up with journalists and academics working in this area
- Discussion: what are we looking for in AV regulation?
- Question: what does it mean to have consideration for first responders?
 - Some whitepapers from first responder working groups and what they're seeing AVs can't follow hand signals when humans are directing traffic at special events or funerals, for example
- Question: where are the MA proposals from and why? Is MassDOT considering proposing its own legislation?
 - MassDOT has set up the testing framework, passing their learnings up to the Governor to consider for legislation
- Question: is MassDOT thinking about how to use this technology in public services, for example on buses?
 - MassDOT isn't doing this, but RIPTA has tried it
- Question: one of the promises is that it will reduce VMT, but that was the same promise with Uber/Lyft and it didn't happen. Do we have data on this from other states?
 - Need to be shared vehicles to get any of those things
- Question: machine readable signs?
 - Most of the AV companies are focusing on reading existing signs

Review of Western Pearl Street 80% Design

- Previously reviewed these plans in June 2025
 - o Concerns included speeding, cut throughs, sidewalk conditions
 - Supported concept 1
 - Advocated for additional traffic calming, raised crosswalks, bike and ped pedestrians, neighborways
- City presents latest designs
 - More detailed version of concept 1 with some updates from feedback
 - Slower intersections, more raised features
 - Bike lane is partially two way and partially one way
 - Additional loading zones and accessible parking spaces
 - Sixteen new trees
 - Pearl at Walnut will pilot changing the light to a flashing red (full red can be summoned by button or approaching emergency vehicle)
- Design Review met and discussed this new design

- General approval
- Like the raised intersection, the new signal pattern, more trees
- Question: why bike path at street level versus sidewalk level?
 - Cost saving to not have to do full depth reconstruction everywhere
- Question: why is the yellow line only present in some places?
 - Want it near intersections and near lateral shifts, but it can be traffic calming not to have it
- Question: Some of these tactile delimiters are in really bad shape where they already exist
 - The ones on Summer are getting bumped by the plow but we have more room here
- Email Mobility or the committee if you have other comments
- Mobility would like to hear opinions on the signal change in particular

Officer Nominations

- Chair
 - Alessandra
- Vice Chair
 - o Vitor
- Secretary
 - o Brenda
- Digital Communications Officer
 - Satoko will be stepping down
 - No nominations yet

Discussion on Community Path Safety Improvements

- City presents on safety concerns raised by users
 - Averaging 3300 pedestrians per day in 2024
 - Most common concerns about conflict between faster and slower users of the path
 - Considering both short term and long term improvements
 - Collecting feedback from users and soliciting ideas of possible interventions
 - Near term solutions: next spring; long term: depends on funding
 - Possible tools: adding two or four way stops at intersections, adding speed humps or raised crosswalks, additional signage to communicate rules, bollards, signals, barriers, tactile pavements, pavement markings
- Barriers may be a problem with the volume of traffic on the Community Path
 - Especially for cargo bikes, adaptive bikes
 - Flexible bollards would be more forgiving
- Signage seems to enforce norms on other trails
- Tactile markings are of interest, especially around stations, as well as reminders that these should be slow zones
- Overhead to signal you're in a station area
- Playfulness in markings as a signal you're in the community
- A sense of place encourages slowing down

Other Business

Final Items

Action Items from Meeting

1. Review signal changes on Pearl at Walnut at a future meeting

Meeting Ended 8:03