

Compilation of CAG Member Comments for Discussion:

Theme	Key Question(s)/Suggestions from CAG members	Suggested or Potential Response/Language or CAG Action
Likelihood of MSBA support for future Brown School renovation if not included in bigger school	Include/don't include skepticism expressed by the City about future MSBA consideration of funding for renovation of the Brown.	P.1: Strike language about funding likelihood
Confirmation of SPS and SC plans for the new school, timing required, and including a fallback option	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Suggestion to add that the recommendation to build the maximum capacity allowed be contingent on the SPS and School Committee having "a robust plan on staffing and management, redistricting, and programming." - Suggestion that "if the plan is not clear by some [determined] date, then the CAG recommendation should be that the new building only accommodates WHCIS, and the question of Brown is left to later." 	Discuss support for changing CAG recommendation to require plans and vision whenever mentioned, with contingency to recommend not incorporating Brown if not completed by a certain date, given timelines
Acknowledgement of implications of building a larger school on future of the K-5 Brown School	- Suggestion that the recommendation to build a larger school does not include support for (or acceptance of?) eventual closure of the Brown school. Suggestion that the CAG was not asked to advise on Brown's future.	<p>Discuss options for framing of recommendation on the eventual closure of the Brown School</p> <p>P.3-4 strike or revise language to remove mention of closing the Brown School</p>
CAG member perceptions of the City's failure to communicate accurately about the viability of the Trum Field option.	Suggestion that report include a criticism of the City for not sharing information they had a year ago that showed that Trum Field was not a favored option.	<p>Clarify issue with City</p> <p>Include concerns in section of the report naming CAG Process Concerns</p>
Whether "maximizes likelihood	Suggestion that the CAG is placing too much	P.4, Delete or move "maximizes likelihood of a

of successful override” should be included as a factor influencing support for a larger school	emphasis on speculation about multiple overrides. Disagreement that school size impacts the debt exclusion. Suggestion to include this point only in regards to location if at all.	successful override” bullet.
Whether “builds an asset for students and the community” should be included as a factor influencing support for a larger school or as an additional recommendation	Suggestion that this bullet should be framed as an opportunity rather than a fact. Suggestion to request the Building Committee to “design in spaces that could be of value to the community (a pool, community center, etc) even if outside what MSBA would pay for.”	P.4 Move to additional recommendations and specify additional community components
Whether concerns about the conditions at the Brown school should be included as a factor influencing support for a larger school?	Suggestion to remove this point as the Brown school is not in immediate danger of structural failure, and the community does not see the lack of gym or cafeteria as a problem to be fixed. Suggestion to instead highlight the creation of additional capacity enables renewal efforts in all SPS schools.	P.4 remove Discuss in context of ADA needs
Whether creating consistency of educational model should be included as a factor influencing support for a larger school?	Suggestion that there is no evidence that it would promote more uniformity or opportunity for greater mixing of racial, socio-economic, and ability levels and to remove	P.4 Focus only on alignment with K-8 and removing an outlier in the district, as suggested in the 3-CAG member initial draft
Whether efficiency and other benefits should be included as a factor influencing support for a larger school?	Suggestion that city staff time was not discussed and maintenance costs would not be reduced if Brown is still being used. Suggestion that carbon efficiency gains would occur regardless of decision	P.4 remove
Acknowledgements about	Request for clarity about outreach described in	Clarify SBC questionnaire context for CAG P.4-5.

insufficient community engagement	SBC educational questionnaire. Suggestion to remove or rewrite sentence about rejection of initial survey.	Remove sentence
Recommendations about outreach, listening, and healing	Suggestion to word more strongly Suggestion to clarify the recommendation to listen and acknowledge Suggestion to recommend better addressing the current needs at Edgerly Suggestion to remove recommendation to engage the Brown community	P.6 Include more details about historic community calls for action in the earlier acknowledgement paragraph Discuss what recommendation if any to make on listening/acknowledging Remove “the Brown Community” from paragraph on engaging in proactive community engagement.
Missing voices on CAG	Comment that missing WHCIS educator was fault of not doing proactive engagement earlier Suggestion to include call out that SEPAC was not invited to participate	Clarify Add to section of CAG process concerns
Whether to include specific design ideas for maximizing space and building features	Comment that these examples haven’t been discussed Comment that this isn’t coming from the CAG	P.6 Discuss ideas or remove
Enhance recommendations around planning for transportation	Comment that concerns about transportation for drop offs and pick ups haven’t been fully discussed	P.6 Add “transportation” to list of planning SPS/SC recommended to do Add recommendation to talk with MBTA around new bus routes
Enhance recommendation about planning for Brown building	Concern current language is too vague	Discuss more specific recommendation.
Recommend ADA upgrades for Brown (separate from second debt exclusion)	Suggestion to add statement about resolving ADA accessibility to this paragraph	Discuss recommendation vis-a-vis ADA accessibility in relation to funding implications
Recommend concurrent second debt exclusion	Question of whether there is consensus/majority support for the	Discuss and seek consensus on this recommendation

	recommendation to proceed with a second debt exclusion for ADA upgrades at Brown, proactive intervention and fully funded maintenance, and decarbonization of all buildings	
CAG comments on reasoning behind dissenting opinions about the school size recommendation	Suggestion to change the language of another CAG member's dissent re test scores and academic rigor Suggestion to expand support for perceptions of facility and fiscal risk for Brown	Discuss and revise section as agreed
Add statement of City failure to address Brown school	Suggested paragraph about failure to address renovation needs at the Brown	Add to acknowledgement or process concerns section