

STANDBY.

>>> LET ME SET UP OUR CAPTIONER.

I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY IT WON'T LET ME.

>> OKAY.

I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY IT IS NOT LETTING ME.

>>> SO LISTEN ME TO, THE STREAMTEXT LINK IS
IN THE CHAT IF PEOPLE WANT IT.

FOR WHATEVER REASON ZOOM ISN'T LETTING ME ASSIGN
HER.

>> IT WOULDN'T BE THAT SECURITY SYSTEM, RIGHT?

>>> I DON'T THINK SO.

>> I WILL DOUBLECHECK BEFORE -- LET EVERYBODY
START THEIR INDIVIDUAL YE IF THEY WANT TO.

>>> YEA, YOU CAN GET STARTED.

>> IS IT OKAY IF I RECORD THE MEETING?

>> OKAY.

>> RECORDING IN PROGRESS.

OKAY.

>>> ALL RIGHT.

>> THANK YOU FOR JOINING THE COMMISSION FOR
PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES MARCH 18 MEETING AND I
CALL THE MEETING TO ORDER.

THANK YOU ALL.

WE HAVE SOME NEW FACES AND NAMES HERE TONIGHT.

I AM GOING TO START BY READING THE AGENDA AND
THEN, ACTUALLY I'M GOING TO START WITH A ROLL CALL.

PAULINE HERE.

>> HARRIOTTE RANVIG HERE.

>> NICK HERE.

SHEILA HERE.

MURSHID I THINK YOUR MUTED MURSHID.

>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YES, SIR.

YES.

>> INGRID, HERE.

NIKI.

NIKI WE CAN'T HEAR YOU.

>> NOW YOU'RE UNMUTED.

>>> AUDIO BUTTON.

SHE MUST BE HAVING AUDIO ISSUES.

WELL IF YOU WANT TO RAISE YOUR HAND THAT YOU'RE
PRESENT.

ARE YOU ABLE TO HEAR ME NOW.

>> YES.

>>> PRESENT.

>> DEBORAH.
>> HERE.
>> HELEN.
>> HELEN, IF YOU CAN UNMUTE FOR A SECOND FOR
ROLL CALL.
HELEN?
I GOT IT.
THANKS.
>> THERE WE GO.
>> AND I'M HERE.
SO, WE ARE A TOTAL OF 11.
>> I'M JUST GOING TO START OFF BY WELCOMING,
NIKI, OUR NEWEST MEMBER, SHE HAS TAKEN OVER THE
EX-OFFICIO ROLE, WELCOME, YOU HAVE COME TO MEETINGS
AND HAVE VERY HAPPY TO HAVE YOU HERE.
I'M GOING TO READ THE AGENDA AND THEN WE'RE GOING
TO GET STARTED.
WE'RE GOING TO GO THROUGH AND WILL HAVE SOME
ELECTIONS TONIGHT.
WE'LL HAVE AN UPDATE ON THE SWINGS.
AND WE'LL TALK ABOUT RSJ COLLABORATION, SOME
MISSION FUNDING PROJECT.
SOME EVENTS THAT WE'RE PLANNING IN 2025 AND A
VERY SHORT MAP THERE.
WE ALSO ARE GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE MONTHLY
PARKING FINE FUND AND ANY UPDATES FROM OUR FINDINGS
HERE AND THE REPORT AND STAFF LIAISON.
SO, I SENT OUT A DOCUMENT WHEN I SENT IT TO THE
MEMBERS, OVER ROBERTS RULES OF HOW WE CAN DO
ELECTIONS.
I'M SURE EVERYONE, ARE WE HAVING SOME PROBLEMS
WITH THE LOCAL WI-FI.
>> THERE'S BEEN A CHANGE IN IT AND ONE THING
I DID WHEN I WAS MAKING SURE THAT WE CAN HAVE ELECTIONS
IS I SAID YOU HAVE SEVEN DAYS NOTICE FOR THE MEMBERS
AND I HAVE SEVEN DAYS NOTICE WHEN I SENT THIS AND
THE AGENDA, AND THEN I POSTED THE AGENDA TO THE
WEBSITE.
BUT MY AGENDA WAS REMOVED AND IT DIDN'T GET PUT
BACK UP UNTIL THE FOLLOWING DAY.
I CAN NOT SEE NOW WHERE IT SAYS THIS DOCUMENT
THAT WE NEEDED 7 DAYS TO HAVE -- I THINK WE KEPT
THE ORIGINAL AGENDA WAS POSTED WITHIN 7 DAYS.
>> I DON'T THINK IT WAS EVER POSTED BECAUSE
YOU TOLD THEM NOT TO POST IT.

>> IT WAS POSTED WITHIN SEVEN DAYS.
>> SO WE'RE GOING TO GO WITH WHAT I HAVE, AND
DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT HOW NOMINATIONS
WORK?

ALL RIGHT.

YES.

>> MADAM CHAIR, I -- WHAT I REMEMBER, ANYONE
IN THE COMMISSION CAN NOMINATE THEMSELVES OR ANOTHER
COMMISSIONER.

IS THAT RIGHT?

>> YES.

>> THAT IS CORRECT.

>> AND THEN A SECOND AND THEN WE DO AN AYE
AND THEN -- A MEMBER CAN BE NOMINATED.

AND THEN, .

[INAUDIBLE]

ONLY CAN NOMINATE ONE PERSON OR THEMSELVES FOR
ONE OFFICE.

WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO BALLOTS.

WE'RE JUST GOING TO DO A ROLL CALL BECAUSE WE
ARE, AND ONE THING THAT'S IMPORTANT IS THAT I CLOSE
THE NOMINATIONS OFFICIALLY BEFORE WE MOVE ONTO THE
VOTING.

SO WITH THAT SAID, WE'RE GOING TO START OFF WITH
THE ROLE OF CHAIR.

ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO NOMINATE OR SELF-NOMINATE
ANYONE.

>> I NOMINATE.

[INAUDIBLE]

>> I WILL NOTE THAT HARRIOTTE RANVIG HAS
NOMINATED HOLLY.

ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS?

ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS?

ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS.

NOMINATIONS ARE CLOSED.

WE'RE GOING TO GO THROUGH A ROLL CALL VOTE.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF ELECTING ME AS CHAIR YOU
CAN ANSWER, YOUR VIDEOS ON, YOU CAN WAVE YOUR ARM
UP.

PAULINE.

AYE.

>> HARRIOTTE RANVIG AYE.

>> NICK AYE.

>> SHEILA YES.

>> MIKE I SAW THE HANDS UP.

MURSHID AYE.
>> INGRID, YUP.
>> NIKI, AYE.
>> DEBORAH AYE.
>> HELEN I SEE YOUR HAND UP.
IS THAT BECAUSE YOU HAVE A QUESTION HELEN.
>>> O SORRY, NO.
I THOUGHT YOU CALLED MY NAME.
>> HOW DO YOU VOTE IN FAVOR OF THE NOMINATION
FOR CHAIR?
>>> YES.
>> SO THAT, THAT VOTE PASSES.
AND REMAIN AS CHAIR.
OKAY, NEXT WE WILL OPEN THE NOMINATIONS FOR
VICE-CHAIR.
ANYONE FOR NOMINATE THEMSELVES OR ANOTHER
PERSON I NOMINATE MYSELF.
>> HARRIOTTE RANVIG NOMINATES HERSELF.
>> WOULD ANYONE LIKE TO NOMINATE ANOTHER
PERSON.
ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS?
ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS?

>> SEEING NONE I WILL CLOSE THE NOMINATIONS
AND WE WILL ROLL CALL VOTE AGAIN.
>> HARRIET AS VICE-CHAIR, PAULINE AYE.
>> HARRIOTTE RANVIG IS ABSTAIN -- YOU DON'T
HAVE TO.
AYE.
>> NICK SHEILA AYE, .
>> MICHAEL MURSHID.
>> AYE.
>> INGRID.
>> AYE.
>> NIKI AYE.
>> DEBORAH, AYE.
>> HELEN, AYE.
>> AND I AM ALSO AS AYE.
>> OKAY THE AYES HAVE IT.
HARRIOTTE RANVIG WILL BE THE VICE-CHAIR.
OKAY NEXT WE HAVE TREASURER.
ANYONE LIKE TO ELECT, NOMINATE ANYONE FOR
TREASURER.
>> I NOMINATE SHEILA.
THIS IS DEBORAH.

>> WE'LL MAKE A NOTE THAT YOU NOMINATED
SHEILA.
>> ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS?
OKAY.
ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS?
ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS?
>>> ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS.
CLOSING NOMINATIONS.
>> I'M GOING PUT IT TO A ROLL CALL VOTE.
SHEILA, TO BE RE-ELECTED AS OUR TREASURER.
>> PAULINE YES. HARRIOTTE RANVIG YES. NICK
YES. SHEILA YES. MIKE.
MICHAEL YES.
>> MURSHID YES.
>> INGRID.
>>> YES.
>>> NIKI.
YES.
>> DEBORAH.
YES.
>> HELEN YES.
>> AND I AM ALSO A YES. SO YOU ARE THE
TREASURER.
>> OUR LAST OFFICER IS SECRETARY, I'M GOING
TO OPEN UP NOMINATIONS FOR SECRETARY.
YOU CAN'T BE SECRETARY AND TREASURER.
>> SORRY, GO AHEAD.
I WOULD LIKE TO NOMINATE HELEN FOR SECRETARY.
>>> ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS?
>>> CAN I ASK A CLARIFYING QUESTION ABOUT THIS
COMMISSION HAS ASKED FOR SEPARATE NOTE-TAKERS AND
ASSUMING THIS POSITION WON'T TAKE MINUTES.
SO NOT A RECORDING SECRETARY.
>> CORRECT.
>> ANYONE ELSE LIKE TO NOMINATE ANYONE?
>>> I HAVE A QUESTION IF I MAY.
IF IT IS NOT NOTETAKING, THEN WHAT EXACTLY WILL
SECRETARY DO?
>>> CORRESPONDENCE I BELIEVE.
>> YES.
SO, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE RECORDING
SECRETARY, WHICH IS SOMEBODY WHO TAKES THE MINUTES
OR ANY OTHER RECORDKEEPING AT THE MEETING.
SINCE THIS BODY HAS OPTED TO OUTSOURCE THAT TO
A PROFESSIONAL.

THEN A CORRESPONDING SECRETARY COULD ASSIST THE
OTHER MEMBERS OF THE BOARD SENDING OUT CORRESPONDENCE
AND, IF THE CHAIR ASKS FOR THE MEETING, I SERVED
AS A CORRESPONDING SECRETARY, SO IT'S A LOT OF
E-MAILS.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU FOR ASKING, MICHAEL.

>> ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS?

ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS.

MURSHID YES.

>> I WANT TO NOMINATE MICHAEL.

>> OKAY.

NOMINATES MICHAEL.

EXCELLENT.

>> DOES MICHAEL ACCEPT THE NOMINATION?

>>> I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ALTHOUGH HELEN IS AN
EXCELLENT CANDIDATE.

[LAUGHTER]

>> I WILL PUT MY NAME IN, SURE.

WE SHOULD HAVE ONE -- YEA.

>> HELEN YOU ALSO ACCEPT YOUR NOMINATION?

HELEN?

>>> WE LOST HER.

>> OH, NO.

>> SO SHE'S SO EXCITED.

[LAUGHTER]

>> CAREFUL.

WE HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL SHE GETS BACK.

>> SO YOU COULD TABLE IT AND THEN -- OKAY,
MICHAEL, WE'RE GOING TO TABLE THIS FOR THE MOMENT
UNTIL THEY CAN GET HELEN TO ALSO CONFIRM THAT EVERYONE
WOULD LIKE THE POSITION.

>> CAN SHE SAY A FEW WORDS WHEN SHE GETS BACK
OR ARE WE JUST GOING TO VOTE.

>>> I THINK THAT WOULD BE LOVELY.

>>> THANKS.

SO, WE HAVE SEVERAL GUESTS TODAY.

GIVE ME ONE SECOND.

NOBODY IS HERE.

>> THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO BE HERE.

SSEPAC SERVICE WAS SUPPOSED TO BE HERE.

>> WE'LL WAIT ON THOSE TWO ITEMS.

AND WE'LL MOVE ONTO THE SWINGS.

THERE WAS A VOTE, IN FEBRUARY, JANUARY --

>>> JANUARY.

SO WE VOTED IN JANUARY AND IT WAS A CONTINGENT VOTE THAT WE WERE TO RECEIVE THE INVOICE AND THE INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT SWINGS IS BEING RECOMMENDED AS WELL AS THE INVOICE TO UNDERSTAND THE COST INVOLVED.

ALSO, TO BE ABLE TO HAVE A DISCUSSION WITH THE TEAM.

WE WERE TOLD THAT WOULD TAKE ONE OR TWO DAYS, AND IT'S A LITTLE BIT LONGER.

WHEN WE GOT IT, IT WASN'T -- IT WAS AN UPDATE AND A DECISION.

I PUSHED BACK AS THE CHAIR BECAUSE IT ALSO TALKS ABOUT THE PURCHASE ORDER READY TO GO AND OUR MONEY IS NOT BEING GIVEN WITHOUT OUR SIGNATURE.

ALSO, THE VOTE WAS TAKEN WITH CONDITIONS.

THAT WENT BACK AND FORTH A LITTLE EXHIBIT THAT ENDED UP HAVING A LARGER DISCUSSION ABOUT THE SWINGS AND ONE THING THAT WE WERE TOLD, WAS THAT THE SWINGS, THE PARTICULAR SWINGS, DID NOT HAVE THE TWO SAFETY STANDARDS IT SHOULD HAVE.

I BELIEVE THAT'S ASTM AND MY RESPONSE TO THAT WAS -- IT WAS A SWING THAT'S NOT ACTUALLY MADE IN THE USA.

THEREFORE, IT COULDN'T HAVE THOSE.

THERE WAS A LOT OF RESEARCH DONE.

WHICH WE GREATLY APPRECIATE.

AND THE DECISION WAS THAT THE.

[INAUDIBLE]

AND THEN ALSO, THE US SAFETY CONSUMER STANDARD CONSUMER SAFETY AFT -- BEAR WITH ME ONE MOMENT PLEASE.

>> AND SO WE WERE ASKED SHEILA AND I WERE AND TO GO BACK AND DISCUSS WITH THE GROUP CAN WHAT WELL LIKE IN THE SWINGS AND WE HAVE SHARED THAT, WE FELT THERE SHOULD BE BETTER DOCUMENTATION, THERE SHOULD BE TWO DIFFERENT TYPES OF SWINGS.

ONE THAT HAS MORE SUPPORT, AND MORE CONSTRUCTION AND A SWING BACK WITH A LOCKING MECHANISM.

YOU DON'T WANT THEM TO JUMP OFF IN THE MIDDLE OF THE SWING.

THAT'S MORE OF THE DESIGN OF THAT SWING.

SO, WE ASKED TO MULL THAT OVER AND GET BACK WITH THE COMMISSION.

I DID SOME RESEARCH AND I FOUND OUT THAT THERE IS -- THAT THE JEN SWING IS, IT HAS TO BE AND IT'S ALSO THE SWINGS THAT FITS IN THE PARK OVER BY --

[INAUDIBLE]

AND THEY'RE IN OTHER PLACES AS WELL.

I WANTED US TO HAVE A CONVERSATION SO THAT WE COULD GIVE PARKS SOME FEEDBACK.

SO I'M GOING TO OPEN IT UP FOR DISCUSSION. ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO START WITH THEIR THOUGHTS ARE, AND I'M GOING TO ALSO, THE TIME ON THIS. I REALIZE WE'VE LOST SOME TIME ALREADY.

OKAY.

SHE CAN DIAL IN BY PHONE.

>> SO IT IS 7:48, I'M GOING TO STOP THE CONVERSATION AT 7:58.

SO, IF ANY OF THE MEMBERS WANT TO ASK ANY QUESTIONS OR FEEDBACK ABOUT THE SWINGS I THINK, WE'VE HAD ISSUES WITH THE MINUTES NOT HAVING EVERYTHING IN THEM AND, THE MINUTES DID NOT REFLECT THAT IT WAS A VOTE, BUT IT WAS ON THE VIDEO AND THE TRANSCRIPT.

AND SO I'M OPENING UP THE FLOOR IF ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO ASK ANY QUESTIONS OR HAVE ANY THOUGHTS ABOUT THE DIFFERENT SWINGS.

>>> SO, THE BIG SEAT AND THE DUAL SWINGS.

>> THERE WAS A SURVEY SENT OUT, WE WOULD HAVE TWO SWINGS IN IT, AND RED AND LONGER SWING WITH THE STRAPS, THAT HOLD YOU IN TIGHT.

>> WHEN YOU SAID.

[INAUDIBLE]

>> THERE'S ABOUT FOUR AND THERE IS ONE THAT IS A SWING THAT IS MADE IN THE US.

AND THEN THERE IS A SWING THAT WAS THE ONE THAT PARKS HAD DECIDED WAS A SAFETY ONE AND THAT EVERYBODY IS BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T KNOW THAT THAT SWING IS NOT IN OTHER PARKS.

IT TOOK ME A WHILE TO FIND IT.

HOW CAN IT BE IN ANY OTHER PARK IF IT IS NOT SAFE?

>>> THE INTERESTING PART IS, IF YOU READ THE NATIONAL SAFETY STANDARDS, ANY SWING THAT YOU ADD THE NETTING TO IT, BECOMES A CHOKING HAZARD.

IF ANYONE IS UNSUPERVISED.

BUT, THE SWING THAT IS, THAT HAS THE SEAT, THAT SWING ALSO COMES WITH THE NETTING AND WOULD ALSO POSE THE SAME CHOKING HAZARD.

SO IT IS VERY, IT'S DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND WHY THEY HAVE THEM, SO THAT THEY CAN BE SAFE, FOR PEOPLE WHO DON'T HAVE A LOT OF CONTROL.

BUT, THEY CAN CREATE IT.

>> A CHOKING HAZARD.

IF SOME CHILD WAS ON -- WAS NOT BEING WATCHED
AND DECIDED TO HANG THEMSELVES FROM THE STRAPS IN
THE PARK.

WHICH NONE OF US WOULD EVER WANT THAT TO BE.
[INAUDIBLE]

>> I ALREADY SENT THE LINK.

>> DOES ANYONE ELSE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR
COMMENTS ABOUT THE SWINGS?

I KNOW WE WOULD ALL LIKE TO HAVE SWINGS DONE
AND KIDS PLAYING.

NO.

ALL RIGHT.

[INAUDIBLE]

>> SO, THE QUESTION IS, HOW DO YOU WANT TO
PROCEED, WITH ONE PARTICULAR STYLE OR DIFFERENT STYLE
SWINGS?

I COULDN'T HEAR YOU.

>> I ASKED IF WHAT WE ARE VOTING FOR, OR TALKING
ABOUT, IS THAT WHETHER ONE SPECIFIC CHAIR WE PREFER,
OR WHETHER WE WANT TWO DIFFERENT STYLES WHICH I THINK
YOU KNOW, I DON'T THINK THERE ARE MANY SPECIFIC
CHILDREN, AND THERE ARE SOME CHILDREN THAT WOULD
DO BETTER IN ONE STYLE THAN THE OTHER AND I THINK
IF WE WERE ABLE TO PROVIDE BOTH, FAMILIES COULD HAVE
THEIR CHILDREN GO TO THE ONE THAT SERVES THEM THE
BEST.

>> NICOLE HAS HER HAND UP.

>> NICOLE.

YOU'RE MUTED.

SO SORRY.

HI EVERYONE, YEA, JUST A QUICK COUPLE OF
COMMENTS.

FIRST IS, I JUST WANT TO THANK THE MEMBERS ON
THE COMMISSION FOR JUST STICKING WITH THIS SO IT
HAS BEEN LIKE A MARATHON AND I WANT TO THANK EVERYONE
FOR ADVOCATING FOR THIS.

I THINK, IT'S IMPORTANT RIGHT FOR KIDS THAT DON'T
HAVE ANYTHING TO DO ON OUR CITY PLAYGROUNDS.

I THINK IT -- I THINK IT MAKES SENSE TO, SINCE
THERE WERE THE TWO DIFFERENT SWINGS INCLUDED IN THE
SURVEY TO HAVE THAT REPRESENTED.

SO MAYBE TO DO 50/50.

THERE MIGHT BE OTHER OPINIONS ON THIS. I THINK

WHERE THE DECISION WAS PUT THAT BOTH SHOULD BE CONSIDERED.

>> OKAY.

>> I'M JUST GOING TO SHARE IT NOW ALTHOUGH IT WOULD HAVE BEEN PART OF THE REPORT ON LEGISLATIVE MATTER.

BUT THERE WAS A COMMITTEE MEETING, ON PUBLIC SAFETY, AND THERE WAS A LETTER THAT LOUISA HAD WROTE, IN RESPONSE TO ASKING FOR AN UPDATE ON THE SWINGS AS WELL AS THE UNIVERSAL PLAN FOR THE PART OF THE KENNEDY SCHOOL AND SEVERAL TESTIFIED AND I WOULD JUST LIKE TO REITERATE THAT I THOUGHT THAT WHOLE LETTER THAT LOUISA WROTE WAS WONDERFUL IN SO MANY WAYS, BECAUSE WHAT SHE TALKED ABOUT IS THAT ADA IS NOT ENOUGH.

JUST HAVING A PARK THAT'S ADA COMPLIANT DOESN'T GIVE KIDS ENOUGH TIME TO PLAY ON EVERYTHING.

IT DOESN'T FORCE EVERYTHING TO BE.

HAVING A ROOF TO A SWING IS NOT ENOUGH.

THAT'S A SWING TO RIDE ON.

AND SHE ALSO TALKED ABOUT HOW THEY DESIGN IN THE CITY, ACCESSIBLE PARKS.

BUT OVER TIME THINGS CAN CHANGE, I CLAIMED IN MY TESTIMONY, THAT WHAT HAPPENS IS NOT THE FAULT OF THE PARKS DEPARTMENT, THE DESIGNERS, OR ANY PEOPLE WITHIN THE CITY, IT IS A LACK OF MAINTENANCE.

IF THEY ARE NOT FIXING IT AND MAINTAINING THE EQUIPMENT THEN THEY BECOME INACCESSIBLE.

WHICH IS WHAT A LOT OF PARKS HAVE BECOME AND WHAT I ASKED INDEED SUPPORT OF MAKING SURE THAT WHATEVER IS BUILT AT THE KENNEDY AND ALL OF OUR PARKS THAT A CITY COUNSELORS PUT THROUGH TO INCLUDE SPECIFIC AMOUNTS FOR PARKS TO BE MAINTAINED.

BECAUSE IT WOULD BE A VERY BIG WASTE TO SPEND MILLIONS OF DOLLARS ON A PLAYGROUND, THAT WILL BE FULLY ACCESSIBLE AND NOT MAINTAINED.

I THINK THAT WE OWE THAT TO PEOPLE IN THE CITY THAT WORK REALLY HARD TO PLAN THESE PARKS.

TO MAINTAIN THESE PARKS.

IF THEY HAVE ENOUGH STAFF AND MATERIAL THAT THEY NEED.

BECAUSE IT IS NOT FAIR FOR LOUISA AND ALL THE PEOPLE IN THE CITY WHO DO ALL OF THIS WORK, WE'RE TOLD THIS IS INACCESSIBLE THROUGH MAINTENANCE.

I REALLY APPRECIATED WHAT SHE SAID BETWEEN THE

LINES ABOUT CALLING OUT THE ISSUE.

BUT I FEEL THE ISSUE IS MAINTENANCE AND SO AS A CITY WE NEED TO RESPOND TO THAT.

I DID ALSO SAY THAT THE SWINGS WERE IN THE COURT OF THE COMMISSION.

THIS WAS NOT ON THE PART OF THEM.

SO WHAT I AM HEARING IS THAT WE NEED TO BRING THIS INFORMATION THAT I LOCATED, ABOUT THE SWINGS TO MAKE SURE THAT LOUISA AND HER TEAM CAN REVIEW IT AND WHERE THEY WOULD NEED TO BUY THE ITEM THAT IS COMPLIANCE AND THEN TAKE OUR RECOMMENDATION WHICH IS TO PUT A MIX OF THE TWO DIFFERENT SWINGS OUT THERE.

I THINK THERE ARE ALREADY SEVERAL OF THE SWINGS OF THESE SWINGS IN THE DIFFERENT PARKS AND WE CAN JUST BALANCE IT OUT.

DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS ABOUT MY STATEMENT?

[INAUDIBLE]

I LOST MY TRAIN OF THOUGHT.

I WAS WONDERING, THE MAINTENANCE ISSUE, THAT'S AN ISSUE, IN THE LAST THING YOU SAID WAS WE'RE SUPPORTING ONE SWING OR TWO SWINGS --

[INAUDIBLE]

I DON'T KNOW WHERE TO GO.

>> I WAS SAYING THEY HAVE IN THEIR RESEARCH DID NOT FIND THAT THAT JEN SWINGS, AND IT IS COMPLIANCE WITH THOSE TWO.

THAT'S BECAUSE WHEN YOU LOOK FOR WHERE IT IS MADE, IT IS NOT IN THE PARK.

IF HER TEAM WOULD RECONSIDER HAVING TWO DIFFERENT TYPES OF SWINGS.

BUT THEN, THERE WERE SEVERAL OTHER PEOPLE WHO SPOKE AT THAT EVENT.

AT THAT SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING AND WE ACTUALLY HAD ONE WOMAN, WHO VOLUNTEERED HER DAUGHTER WHO IS A PHYSICAL THERAPIST WHO WOULD LIKE TO HELP WITH US TO UNDERSTAND WHAT WOULD FIT CHILDREN OF DIFFERENT ABILITIES, ESPECIALLY IN THINGS LIKE KENNEDY AND OTHER AREAS OF THE STATE.

DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?

>>> YES. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

SO, I'M GOING TO SEND THIS INFORMATION, AND COPY EVERYONE AND WE WILL SEND THAT BACK TO LOUISA TO LOOK AT AND SEE WHAT THEY DECIDE.

HOW IS HELEN.

>> SHE GOT A MESSAGE, ADRIENNE SENT ANOTHER LINK AND SHE'S GETTING THE SAME MESSAGE, SHE TRIED ON A DIFFERENT COMPUTER.

>> THERE SHE IS.

>> HELEN, CAN YOU HEAR US?

CAN YOU HEAR US HELEN.

>> HI, HI HELEN IS THERE YES, I CAN.

>> HI.

I'M SORRY YOU MISSED THE SWINGS UPDATE.

[LAUGHTER]

>> YEA, ARE WE GOING TO GET THEM THAT'S ALL I CARE ABOUT?

>>> WELL WE HAD ANOTHER MEETING AND WE'RE GOING BACK AND FORTH WITH, SOME INFORMATION BACK FOR THEM TO REVIEW AND THEN, HOPEFULLY, WE WILL HAVE SOME COLLABORATE AND COME TO A DECISION ON WHAT IS SAFE AND THOUGHTFUL.

SO, HELEN, I DID NOT ASK YOU, IF YOU WANTED TO ACCEPT THE NOMINATION FOR SECRETARY.

>>> YES, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ACCEPT THE NOMINATION.

>> AND THEN, WE CAN VOTE.

SO, WE HAVE SHEILA NOMINATED HELEN AND STEVE NOMINATED MICHAEL, ARE THERE ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS FOR THIS ROLE?

>>> ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS?

>> ANY OTHER NOMINATIONS?

>>> SEEING AS THERE ARE NO OTHERS I WILL CLOSE NOMINATIONS AND WE WILL VOTE ON -- ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF HELEN, I DON'T KNOW HOW TO DO THIS.

>>> THE OPERATIVE WORD IS YOU ROLL CALL EACH PERSON AND THEY TELL YOU.

NO HARD FEELINGS.

>> PAULINE HELEN.

>> HARRIOTTE RANVIG.

>> HELEN.

>> NICK.

>> HELEN.

>> SHEILA.

HELEN.

>>> MICHAEL.

I'LL VOTE FOR MYSELF.

>>> AS YOU SHOULD.

MURSHID.

>> MICHAEL.

>> INGRID.
>> MICHAEL.
>> NIKI.
I'LL ABSTAIN.
>> DEBORAH.
>> MICHAEL.
>> HELEN.
HELEN.
>>> I'M ABSTAINING FROM THIS.
[LAUGHTER]
>> I DON'T THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.
>> WE HAVE FIVE HELEN AND WE HAVE FOUR MICHAEL.
ALL RIGHT.
>> THANK YOU MICHAEL FOR BEING BRAVE.
>>> HE CAN BE AN ALTERNATE.
>> HE CAN.
OKAY.
MOVING ALONG.
>> YES MICHAEL.
>> WHAT.
NO -- UNMUTE.
>>> WHAT IS AN ALTERNATE?
>>> IT JUST MEANS THAT YOU WOULD BY DEFAULT
FILL IN IF HELEN COULD NOT.
>> OKAY.
>> WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE CONSIDERED FOR THAT?
>>> I HAVE NEVER HEARD OF THIS ALTERNATE.
>> NOR HAVE I.
I CONGRATULATE MICHAEL ON BEING WILLING TO
SERVE.
BUT I HAVE NEVER HEARD OF WHAT ADRIENNE IS
SUGGESTING.
THERE YOU GO.
SO, HELEN IS GOING TO DO A GREAT JOB.
I GUESS THAT'S THE END OF IT.
>>> THANK YOU MICHAEL.
MURSHID YOU HAVE YOUR HAND UP.
OOPS.
>> MURSHID?
>> YEA, I WAS JUST TRYING TO -- UNDERSTAND,
IS THERE ANY RULE THAT HAS TO DO WITH GENDER?
>> WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT YOU.
>> PEOPLE THAT MAYBE WOULD BE -- MAYBE
SUBSCRIBING THAT MAN OR WOMEN AND THEN YOU SAY THAT
THE WOMEN THAT ARE GOOD, THAT HAVE TAKEN IT UP.

WITHOUT THERE IS NO MEN.
>> I THINK I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING.
WELL, PRESUMABLY THE ONLY ONE MAN WAS MICHAEL
AND MICHAEL WAS NOT CHOSEN.
SO, THERE'S NO ISSUE THERE.
IT JUST HAPPENED TO BE ALL FEMALES.
>> ALL RIGHT.
>> I WOULD LIKE TO ADD, IT TOOK WOMEN A LONG
ENOUGH TIME TO GET ROLLING.
[LAUGHTER]
>> AND WE WORKED HARD.
>> I WILL SAY THERE'S MORE WOMEN ON THIS BODY
THAN THERE ARE MEN.
>> YES.
>> OKAY.
>> THANK YOU EVERYONE.
NICOLE, ARE YOU ABLE TO QUICKLY TEXT TO SEE IF
SHE CAN JUMP BACK ON?
>>> DID YOU SAY NICOLE.
>> YEA, I'LL TRY TO TEXT HER NOW.
>> I ALREADY DID.
I DID IT EARLIER.
SO I ALSO SENT HER THE NEW LINK.
>> SO SHE HAS IT.
>> UNFORTUNATELY, THEY'RE HAVING THEIR
BUSINESS MEETING RIGHT NOW.
>> YES.
>> SO I GUESS SHE'LL TRY AND GET ON AS QUICKLY
AS SHE CAN.
>> THANK YOU.
>>> SHE ALSO WAS HERE WHEN WE HAD OUR
MOTION -- OUR INAPPROPRIATE VIDEO SHOWING.
OKAY.
SO, WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ONTO OUR NEXT ITEM WHICH
IS RSJ COLLABORATION.
WE WERE ASKED ABOUT WHEN THIS MIGHT, SHEILA AND
HARRIOTTE, TO TALK ABOUT SWINGS AND AMANDA AND IT
WAS DIRECTOR OF RSJ, IT WAS LISA, AND ADRIENNE AND
IT WAS TO TALK ABOUT AN UPDATE.
IT WAS A VERY CONTENTIOUS MEETING.
AND NORMALLY YOU KNOW, THERE ARE MEETINGS THAT
HAPPEN IN SMALL GROUPS, YOU WORK ON PROJECTS LIKE
WE HAVE ON OUR AGENDA, TALK ABOUT WHERE WE ARE ON
SOCIAL EVENTS AND ACCESSIBILITY AND THE WEBSITE AND
LEGISLATIVE MATTERS AND THEN THERE ARE EVENTS LIKE

WE GO AND SPEAK AT CITY COUNCIL.

SOMETIMES, THE LEADERSHIP TEAM ALSO DIRECTLY WORKS ON THINGS LIKE BEHIND THE SCENES.

THE MAJORITY OF PEOPLE DON'T KNOW HAPPENED, AND THAT PART OF THE ROLE THAT WE HAVE.

BUT THIS WAS A VERY UNCOMFORTABLE CONVERSATION. BECAUSE MEMBERS OF MY TEAM WERE REALLY UNCOMFORTABLE WITH IT, IT FELT IT WAS IMPORTANT THAT IT WAS DISCUSSED OR IT WAS SHARED.

BECAUSE WHAT WAS, WHAT WE WERE TOLD WAS THAT WE'RE NOT HONEST.

THAT WE DON'T SHARE ALL OF THE INFORMATION BACK WITH THE MEMBERS.

AND THAT THAT FELT VERY UNCOMFORTABLE.

IT ALSO TALKS ABOUT THAT OUR MEMBERS WERE VERY INAPPROPRIATE WHEN MEG AND DAWN PRESENTED TO THE MEETING.

WHAT REALLY UPSET ME WAS THAT SOME OF US GOT UPSET FROM OCTOBER, WHY WOULD YOU WAIT UNTIL THE FIRST -- FEBRUARY TO TELL US.

BECAUSE I WOULD NEVER WANT ANYONE TO COME TO OUR MEETINGS AND BE UPSET AND I APOLOGIZED FOR THAT AND I AGAIN EXPRESSED THE SAME THING I SAID THEN, I HAVE NEVER BEEN ALLOWED TO TALK TO THAT PERSON AND I WENT BACK AND I'M SURE OTHERS DID AS WELL FROM THE GROUP AND LOOKED AT THE RECORDINGS AND, LISTENED TO WHAT WAS SAID.

THERE WAS A FEW COMMENTS THAT WERE TAKEN FROM THE PUBLIC THAT MAYBE THOUGHT THEY WERE SAYING SOMETHING THAT WASN'T VERY KIND.

THERE WAS A LOT OF THINGS THAT WENT ON AT THAT MEETING.

WE HAD A LOT OF ITEMS, I DON'T THINK IT WAS SET UP PROPERLY.

WHEN ANYONE COMES TO TALK TO OUR MEETINGS I GO OVER WITH THEM WHAT THE PRESENTATIONS ARE.

I GO OVER WITH THEM WHAT THE MOOD OF THE GROUP IS GOING TO BE, DEPENDING ON WHAT THEY'RE TRYING TO PRESENT.

WHAT THEY NEED TO GET OUT AND KEY THINGS ARE ALWAYS, WE ALREADY UNDERSTAND THIS PIECE BUT WE DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT PIECE.

ALSO DON'T HAVE A LOT OF VISUALS UNLESS PEOPLE CAN TALK AND THIS IS THE TIME-FRAME IN WHICH YOU WANT TO STAY WITHIN.

THAT PERSON I WAS NOT ALLOWED TO HAVE THAT CONVERSATION.

IT WAS NOT ANYONE'S FAULT WHO PRESENTED, IT IS NOT ANYONE'S STAFF OR EMPLOYEE THAT WANTED THIS TO HAPPEN.

I REALLY DON'T, I FEEL HORRIBLE TO SAY WE'RE UPSET BUT I'M MORE UPSET THAT WE WERE TOLD THAT WE WERE NOT HONEST AND WE DO NOT SHARE EVERYTHING WITH THE COMMISSION.

FOR THAT REASON, MEMBERS OF RSJ ARE COMMUNICATING WITH ALL OF US.

I'M GOING TO AGAIN SAY ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO THE MEMBERS GO THROUGH THE CHAIR AND THERE'S NOT TO BE CONVERSATIONS OUTSIDE OF MEETINGS, WITHOUT GOING THROUGH CHAIR.

I PRINTED OFF MESSAGES, IN THE LAST FEW HOURS OF ALL OF THE THINGS TO SEE THAT I HAVEN'T SHARED BECAUSE THERE WERE THINGS LIKE ARGUING ABOUT WHO CAN MAKE A PHONECALL ABOUT A FOOD MEAL OR WHAT NAME SHOULD BE ON AN INVOICE OR THAT NO ONE ON A COMMISSION IS ALLOWED TO SPEAK TO ANYONE WITHIN THE CITY?

EVEN AS A RESIDENT.

>> I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT COMMISSION BE WELL-KNOWN OF ALL THE THINGS THAT ARE HAPPENING THAT ARE NOT COACHED.

AGENDAS ARE MADE BY THE CHAIR, THEY ARE SET BY THE CHAIR.

EVERYONE IN THIS ROOM HAS A VOICE.

I VALUE ALL OF IT.

EVERYONE SHOULD KNOW IF YOU DON'T KNOW, IF YOU SEE SOMETHING, OR YOU HAVE AN IDEA OR YOU HAVE A QUESTION, YOU BRING IT TO ME, YOU WILL PUT IT ON THE AGENDA AND WE WILL TALK ABOUT IT. ALL INPUTS ARE WELCOME.

WE WORK ON SO MANY PROJECTS.

WE SCRAMBLE TO GET FLAG RAISED AND WE SCRAMBLED TO GET TENTS RAISED AT ART SHOWS, WE LINE UP FOLKS AT THE LIBRARY.

WE SPEND OUR OWN TIME, HOURS AND HOURS, TRYING TO ADVANCE THE NEEDS AND RECOGNIZE AND CELEBRATE PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES IN THE CITY.

WE ARE NOT BAD PEOPLE.

BUT WHOEVER IS SAYING THINGS ABOUT OUR CHARACTER, NOT IN FRONT OF, NEEDS TO STOP.

BECAUSE I WILL NOT ALLOW SOMEONE TO SAY WE HAVE

DONE SOMETHING WRONG IF WE HAVEN'T.

IF WE HAVE AND I'M NOT AWARE OF, IF I HAVE, I WILL OWN IT AND I WILL APOLOGIZE, BUT I WILL NOT BE BULLIED.

WOULD YOU LIKE TO ADD ANYTHING?

>> YES. I'M GOING TO STOP -- NO, I CAN.

BECAUSE YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT MY LEADERSHIP OF THE DEPARTMENT THE COMMISSION IS UNDER AND -- PEOPLE WHO ARE ON LEADERSHIP WHO ARE NOT HERE.

>> PLEASE LOWER YOUR TONE.

>> NO, SHEILA, STOP.

>> I CAN SHUTDOWN THIS MEETING IF I WANT TO.

>> YOU CERTAINLY CAN AND I CAN RECONVENE ANOTHER MEETING.

NO YOU CAN'T.

YOU DON'T HAVE THAT PRIVILEGE BECAUSE THESE MEETINGS HAVE TO BE RUN ON A ZOOM LINK BY THE CITY WHICH IS GIVEN TO ME.

AND I WILL NOT HAVE MY DEPARTMENT AS MUCH AS THEY SUPPORTED THIS COMMISSION -- PLEASE STOP POINTING AT ME AND STOP YELLING.

>>> THIS IS NOT APPROPRIATE.

>> I WILL ASK SECURITY TO REMOVE YOU.

WHERE?

WHAT SECURITY HOLLY.

[INAUDIBLE]

HOW BADLY WE WERE TREATED AT THAT MEETING.

I'M SPEAKING NOW.

>> PLEASE, HAVE A NICE TONE.

TONE IT DOWN.

>> NO, NO, MOVE, AGREE TO MOVE ON WITH YOUR AGENDA OR THIS MEETING ENDS AND I WILL CALL AMANDA AND TELL HER WHY.

>> I THINK WE SHOULD ALL HAVE AMANDA SPEAK WITH US OPENLY AND NOT BE TOLD THAT WE ARE DISHONEST, THAT WE ARE NOT SHARING INFORMATION TO ALL OF THE MEMBERS.

YOU CANNOT CHANGE MY AGENDA.

>> I CAN.

I HAVE THE RIGHT TO CHANGE IT.

IF THE CITY GIVES ME A NEW ZOOM ACCOUNT I HAVE TO CHANGE IT.

THAT'S NOT MY DECISION HOLLY.

>> I WOULD LIKE FOR WHAT I'M DISCUSSING, NO ONE TELLS THE CHAIR, DECIDES WHAT IS ON THE AGENDA,

WHO REMOVES MY AGENDA TODAY YOU ALSO REMOVED MY REPORT.

>> NO.

>> SO I DON'T HAVE TO PUT UP WITH --

>>> YES, YOU DO.

BECAUSE DIRECTOR WILLIAMS TOLD YOU SO, IN THE FALL.

AND YOU HAVE DEFIED THAT ALMOST TWICE NOW.

>> THAT'S BECAUSE IT IS A MEETING.

>> AND THE ADA COORDINATOR HAS A REPORT.

DO NOT SHAKE YOUR HEAD SHEILA.

>> NO, THIS MEETING IS OVER.

>> SORRY EVERYONE, THIS IS NOT HOW IT IS SUPPOSED TO GO AND I AM ENDING IT AND WE CAN ALL GO HOME.

>> HOW MANY MEMBERS DO WE STILL HAVE HERE?

>>> FIVE.

>> MEETING ENDED AT 8:18 P.M.