

**America East Conference  
Women's Basketball Coaches Meeting  
June 5, 2019  
12:30 to 5:30 p.m.**

**AGENDA**

Saratoga Hilton  
Whitney Room

1. Welcome.
2. America East Playoffs review.
  - a. Peer conference attendance comparison. (Supplement 1)

***Anticipated action:*** Review/discussion of Playoffs. Move any recommendations to vote

3. Commissioner's Report.

***Background:*** Discussion with Amy Huchthausen, Commissioner.

4. NCAA women's basketball topics.
  - a. NCAA WBB Strategic Plan.
  - b. WBB Oversight Committee items.
  - c. Multi-Team events. (Supplement 2)

***Background:*** Discussion with Meredith Cleaver, NCAA Director of Women's Basketball Championship.

5. Officiating Review.
  - a. Coordinator annual report. (Supplement 3)

***Background:*** Discussion with Mike Schmidt, Coordinator of Women's Basketball Officials.

6. Future schedules.

- a. 2019-20 dates. (Supplement 4)
- b. 2020-21 dates. (Supplement 5)

***Anticipated action:*** Review schedules. Move any recommendations to vote.

7. Policy review.

- a. Conference play dates.
- b. Deadline for changes to conference schedule by mutual consent of schools.

***Anticipated action:*** Review/discussion of policies. Move any recommendations to vote.

8. Television and media.

- a. ESPN report. (Supplement 6)
- b. Social media and public messaging.

***Background:*** Informational items.

9. Analytics and metrics.

- a. NCAA Team Sheets. (Supplement 7)
- b. RPI report / history. (Supplement 8)

***Background:*** Informational items.

10. WBCA report.

***Background:*** Coaches' Chair will provide report from WBCA.

11. Other business.

12. Adjournment.

#####

**America East Geographical Peer Conferences  
Men's and Women's Basketball Championship Attendance**

**SUPPLEMENT 1**

2019 Men's Basketball Championship			
Conference	Total	Games	Average
America East	18619	7	2660
Ivy League	7737	3	2579
CAA	15905	9	1767
MAAC	16748	10	1675
Patriot League	12884	9	1432
NEC	8633	7	1233

2019 Women's Basketball Championship			
Conference	Total	Games	Average
America East	8303	7	1186
MAAC	9782	10	978
Patriot League	5533	9	615
NEC	4123	7	589
CAA	2238	9	249
Ivy League	n/a	n/a	n/a

2018 Men's Basketball Championship			
Conference	Total	Games	Average
Ivy League	10983	3	3661
CAA	21941	9	2438
America East	17062	7	2437
Patriot League	16325	9	1814
NEC	11596	7	1657
MAAC	13690	10	1369

2018 Women's Basketball Championship			
Conference	Total	Games	Average
America East	9108	8	1139
MAAC	10576	10	1058
CAA	7662	9	851
Patriot League	5242	9	582
NEC	3166	7	452
Ivy League	n/a	n/a	n/a

2017 Men's Basketball Championship			
Conference	Total	Games	Average
Ivy League	8858	3	2953
America East	19723	7	2818
CAA	21247	9	2361
MAAC	22466	10	2247
NEC	13898	7	1985
Patriot League	13489	9	1499

2017 Women's Basketball Championship			
Conference	Total	Games	Average
CAA	9237	9	1026
MAAC	9435	10	944
America East	5915	7	845
Patriot League	6668	9	741
NEC	3243	7	463
Ivy League	n/a	n/a	n/a

2016 Men's Basketball Championship			
Conference	Total	Games	Average
America East	21281	7	3040
MAAC	21275	10	2128
CAA	16198	9	1800
Patriot League	14657	9	1629
NEC	9258	7	1323
Ivy League	no championship		

2016 Women's Basketball Championship			
Conference	Total	Games	Average
America East	7908	7	1130
MAAC	7555	10	756
Patriot League	4628	9	514
CAA	4079	9	453
NEC	3142	7	449
Ivy League	no championship		

2015 Men's Basketball Championship			
Conference	Total	Games	Average
America East	21292	7	3042
CAA	18754	9	2084
MAAC	18115	10	1812
Patriot League	14357	9	1595
NEC	6891	7	984
Ivy League	no championship		

2015 Women's Basketball Championship			
Conference	Total	Games	Average
MAAC	9302	10	930
NEC	6148	7	878
CAA	5475	9	608
America East	3792	7	542
Patriot League	4797	9	533
Ivy League	no championship		

*\*Sorted by average attendance*

*\*Sorted by average attendance*



SPORT: \_\_\_\_\_

QUALIFYING REGULAR-SEASON MULTIPLE-TEAM EVENT CONCEPTS

Model	Description	Anticipated Multiple-Team Event Legislative Criteria
Concept A:  Elimination of fourth contest.	28 contests + one multiple-team event (not to exceed three contests); or  29 contests.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The event is sponsored by the NCAA, an active or affiliated member, or a member conference of the Association.</li><li>• The event may not include more than three contests and concludes not later than 10 days after the first contest of the event.</li><li>• Participation is limited, by conference, to one team per conference and, by institution, to not more than once in the same event in any four-year period.</li><li>• All participating institutions must participate in same number of contests in the event.</li><li>• Each institution participating in the multiple-team event must use the same maximum playing season contest limit (i.e., 28 contests + one multiple-team event).</li><li>• The Oversight Committees will establish event certification criteria that will be administered by NCAA staff. Initial suggested components of the certification program include:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Event operator and institutional/conference sponsor must submit request for approval prior to event.</li><li>• Standardized documentation required to be submitted, such as adherence to legislative criteria, officiating assignments, and health and safety.</li></ul></li></ul> <p>Points to Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Eliminates current confusion surrounding fourth contest in qualifying regular-season multiple-team event.</li><li>• Reduces difficulties institutions face in scheduling fourth contest.</li><li>• Allows for one additional regular-season contest which may occur at any time during the season.</li></ul>

How Supportive are you of Concept A: Elimination of the Fourth Contest

- ☐ Strongly Supportive  
☐ Somewhat Supportive  
☐ Not Supportive

Comments Related to Concept A:

Model	Description	Anticipated Multiple-Team Event Legislative Criteria
Concept B:  Hybrid.	<p>28 contests + one multiple-team event (not to exceed three contests);</p> <p>29 contests + one multiple-team event (not to exceed two contests); or</p> <p>29 contests.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The event is sponsored by the NCAA, an active or affiliated member, or a member conference of the Association.</li> <li>For three game multiple-team events, the event may not include more than three contests and conclude not later than 10 days after the first contest of the event. For two game multiple-team events, the event may not include more than two contests and conclude not later than five days after the first contest of the event.</li> <li>Participation is limited, by conference, to one team per conference and, by institution, to not more than once in the same event in any four-year period.</li> <li>All participating institutions must participate in same number of contests in the event.</li> <li>Each institution participating in the multiple-team event must use the same maximum playing season contest limit (i.e., 28 contests + one multiple-team event; 29 contests + one multiple-team event.)</li> <li>The Oversight Committees will establish event certification criteria that will be administered by NCAA staff. Initial suggested components of the certification program include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Event operator and institutional/conference sponsor must submit request for approval prior to event.</li> <li>Standardized documentation required to be submitted, such as adherence to legislative criteria, officiating assignments, and health and safety.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Points to Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Eliminates current confusion surrounding fourth contest in multiple-team event.</li> <li>Reduces difficulties institutions face in scheduling fourth contest.</li> <li>Allows for one or two additional regular-season contest(s) which may occur at any time during the season.</li> <li>Provides the opportunity to schedule 29 regular season contests if participating in a two-game multiple-team event.</li> </ul>

**How Supportive are you of Conference of Concept B: Hybrid**

☐ Strongly Supportive  
☐ Somewhat Supportive  
☐ Not Supportive

**Comments Related to Concept B:**

---



---



---



---

**Please Indicate Which of the Two MTE Concepts you Prefer:**

Concept A:                      \_\_\_\_\_ Prefer                      \_\_\_\_\_ Acceptable                      \_\_\_\_\_ Unacceptable

Concept B:                      \_\_\_\_\_ Prefer                      \_\_\_\_\_ Acceptable                      \_\_\_\_\_ Unacceptable

**Please Provide any Feedback Regarding your Preference:**

---

---

---

**For Two Game Multiple-Team Events, Should the Event be Required to be Bracketed (i.e., Four-Team, Two-Game Bracketed Event)?**

\_\_\_\_\_ Yes – Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ No – Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Don't know

**For Three Game Multiple-Team Events, Should the Event be Required to be Bracketed (i.e., Eight-Team, Three-Game Bracketed Event), Round Robin Format (i.e., Four-Team, Three-Game Round Robin Event), or Neither Format?**

\_\_\_\_\_ Bracketed Event – Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Round Robin Format – Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Neither – Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

## 2019 America East Women's Basketball Coordinator Report

### Officiating Staff

- Roster - 127 officials.

<b>Yrs. working in AE</b>	<b>Officials</b>
1-5 years	72
6-10 years	31
11+ years	25

### Postseason Tournament

- NCAA Tournament - 28 America East officials worked NCAA postseason games.
  - Brunette (R1, 2, 3), Camy (R1, 2SB, Regional SB), Cappel (R1), Capolino (R1), Cissoko-Stephens (R1, 2), Danaher (R1, 2, 3), DoCouto (R1), S. Goode (R1), Greene (R1), Jefferson (SB), N. Jones (R1), Kuzmeski (R1), Lonergan (R1), McConnell (R1, 2, 3, SF), Morris (R1, 2SB), Mosley (SB), Osborne (R1), K. Pethtel (R1, 2, 3), Portorreal (SB), Preato (R1, 2, 3), Resch (R1, 2, Regional SB), G. Smith (R1), J. Smith (R1, 2SB), N. Thompson (R1, 2), Tieman (R1, R2SB), Tobin (R1, 2SB), Vaszily (R1, 2SB, 4, SF), Vera (R1)
- WNIT - 26 America East officials worked WNIT postseason games.
  - Berkins (R1), Brunette (R1), Camy (R1), Cappel (R1), Cumiskey (R1), Farlow (R1), A. Goode (R1), S. Goode (R1), Jones (R2), Kuzmeski (R1), Lynch (R1), McConnell (R3, SF), Miller (R1, 2), Morris (R1, 3), Mosley (R1, 2), Nunes (R2), K. Pethtel (R1, 3, SF), Portorreal (R2), Preato (R3), Reddin (R1, 2), Resch (R3), Reynolds (R1), G. Smith (R2), Sparrock (R1), Steratore (R1, 2, 3), Vaszily (R3)
- WBI - 2 America East officials worked WBI postseason games.
  - Preato (R1, 2, F), Vera (QF)

### Officiating Analysis

- NCAA Tournament officials were assigned to 41 percent (94 out of 228) of the total assignment spots, including the stand-by position, for America East women's basketball conference games.

- Coordinator reported 23 games turned back before the start of the season for other conference assignments.
- Coordinator reported zero games turned back during the season due to injury, medical, personal problems, work conflicts, etc.

### **Coordinator & Observers Evaluations**

- The coordinator attended 18 games. He also reviewed 15 games at the request of coaches and observers. The ESPN+ also offered a significant opportunity to track all America East contests.
- Additionally, included in the observers' reports were plays that the coordinator should review. These plays were reviewed by the coordinator and those plays, when warranted, were shared with the officiating crew for their feedback and discussion.
- The coordinator and/or observers attended and evaluated 4 games in the postseason tournament.

### **Observer Program**

- The observer program continues to be a valuable tool of the officiating program. The observer reports provide detailed information regarding the performance of each official. The information the report furnishes, in conjunction with the coaches' evaluations, provide the coordinator an overview of each official's performance. Additionally, the observers' report, along with the coaches' comments, are used to educate and critique the officials. This education and critique process is done in direct communication with the officials.
- The challenge that the observer program presents is quantifying the official's performance accurately. On the national level the NCAA has established a standardized system for quantifying an official's performance. That system has been implemented by the America East Conference and is used by the observers and in a modified format by the America East Conference coaches.
- Currently in the America East Conference, as in the NCAA Tournament, officials are rated on a scale of 1 to 5. It is a challenge remains to get each observer to evaluate an official in a consistent manner. Toward that goal, the coordinator conducted a pre-season conference call with our observers. The purpose of that call is to review the rating system and discuss the NCAA Performance Standards document that is used as a basis for the numeric evaluation. The pre-season call has served a valuable purpose and will be utilized again next season. The coordinator also sent each observer a rubric to assist all in determining what constitutes a "5", a "4" and so on, with the goal of trying to promote consistency in ratings across the board.
- The coordinator attempts to schedule a game visit that coincides with the observer's schedule. This is done so that he can sit with the observer during an actual observation and discuss plays and work to have each observer quantify the results in a like manner. The observers are permitted and encouraged to sit in on the pre-game meeting conducted by the referee. During that session they observe the meeting, but do not participate. At game's end, the observers are also permitted and encouraged to attend the post-game meeting.

During this meeting, they will share their observations, discuss plays of concern, and answer questions from the crew. Additionally, I am pleased to report that the America East Conference has granted permission to the NCAA Regional Observer (Alicia Walker) to participate in both pre-game and post-game meetings.

### **Coaches' Evaluations**

Below is the return rate of evaluations (via on-line Blue Zebra system) per school: The America East coaches were outstanding in completing their evaluations and provided specific feedback on plays or situations that occurred during the games. As shared with the coaches at our pre-season meetings the process of evaluations is strictly confidential. The only people that have access to the evaluations are the coordinator and the conference office. This confidentiality is essential to the coach and coordinator relationship. There are two general instances where the information will be shared. If the actions of the official are so significant that it may require discipline from the conference office or if the coach and coordinator both agree that the feedback would be useful in professional development for that particular official.

- 215 games evaluated - 3.711 average per official

### **Attrition**

In conjunction with the coordinator's observations and those of our observers, coaches' evaluations are used to educate and critique officials' performance. Officials will be ranked using the combined ratings of the coordinator, observers and coaches, as well as the coaches overall rating of officials (as was done prior to the season for assigning purposes).

- 10-15 officials will not be offered contracts based on the evaluation of their performance.
- Reasons officials are not contracted:
  - Unprofessional Conduct.
  - Inappropriate temperament or demeanor.
  - Physically unable to move to proper position on the court.
  - Failure to demonstrate good judgment and accuracy in calls and no-calls.
  - Poor communication skills with coaches, players, partners.
  - Long term failure to improve skills.
  - Officials do not enforce the NCAA rules and guidelines.

### **2019-20 Assignments - Order of Operations**

1. The roster for 2019-2020 is determined based upon officials' evaluations.
2. Actively participation in professional development.
3. Roster submitted to America East Office by August 1, 2019 for final approval.

4. Contracts distributed to officials by August 5, 2019.
5. AE officials complete and submit their conference preference list by August 12, 2019.
6. Officials submit their Conference Preference List by
7. The assigning process window is from August 19, 2019 to September 27, 2019.
8. Assignments are scheduled to be released October 4, 2019.

### **Staff Development**

- Recruitment of new officials will continue to be conducted through The Referee Academy and Referee Development Program in conjunction with the Referee Academy (RDP). The RDP and Referee Academy has been a successful model to identify, recruit, train, collegiate women's basketball officials.
- The recruitment process begins at the end of the NCAA tournament with a request to all Conferences at the Division I, II and III levels for camp dates and permission to attend. Recommendations from Division II and III coordinators are also requested for potential additions to the officiating pool. The coordinator spent 25 days last spring/summer attending various officiating camps to observe officials who have been previously observed and "unknown" officials as potential hires for the Conference. This successful recruiting program will continue throughout the spring and summer. Officials that were observed and evaluated in past summers and have "potential" are a "high priority" for summer observation. Some officials remain on the "potential" list for several years.
- Once an official is ready for the America East Conference, requests are made to the official to send a copy of their Division II or III schedules to the coordinator. The coordinator notifies the coordinator/assignor of his visit. Currently there are 10 conferences affiliated with the RDP. program, including five conferences (Division 3) for which the coordinator is also responsible.
- The coordinator will assign the newer members of the America East Conference pool, as well as potential America East Conference officials, to these games. If the coordinator/assignor is affiliated with the RDP program, they are often able to change the schedule of their staff so that the coordinator's visit will allow him to see more than one potential staff member on a visit.
- After observing that game and holding a post-game evaluation, the coordinator will make a decision regarding the contracting of the official.
- 44 visits were made to Division II or III games this season for recruitment purposes. The RDP process has worked well and continues to grow. Since the implementation of RDP program, those officials selected through the program have been more likely to meet with success and remain in the pool.
- 64 total officials (37 female and 29 male) have been identified through the RDP program since the program's inception in 2012. Three RDP program members officiated the 2019 America East Conference Championship game Maggie Tieman, Mark Resch, and the stand-by official Natasha Camy.
- The continued training and education of our current pool is of paramount importance. In the "off season" each member of the pool attends a professional development opportunity approved by the Coordinator of Officials. NCAA Division I tournament officials or those

officials with extenuating circumstances (on a case-by-case basis) are exempt from this requirement. Those officials are selected as instructors at the Referee Academy Sessions.

- The Referee Academy has specific training events scheduled in Manalapan, NJ, West Chester, PA, Waterloo NY, and Baltimore, MD. The Referee Academies will be run to align with Under Armour, Adidas, and AAU tournaments. In addition, I will be attending several professional development clinics hosted by the ACC, SEC, and Big East throughout the summer months.
- This season, the NCAA hosted a regional clinic in Philadelphia, PA. The clinic included a new rules presentation by the Secretary-Rules Editor, mechanics discussions led by the National Coordinator, and a video review. Additionally, there were three breakout sessions: a “discussion test” in which the officials were divided into small groups, with each group having a play they had to properly adjudicate and share with the larger group, contact on the ball handler/dribbler and post play/rebounding. The regional clinics provide the opportunity for the Secretary-Rules Editor and National Coordinator to share the same message with Division I officials across the country. The officiating video, produced by the National Coordinator, covered all the points of emphasis and mechanics, as well as the sportsmanship initiative. For the staff meeting the night before the regional clinic, the coordinator secured the services of a sports psychologist who conducted a personality trait workshop with the staff to help them self-identify their personality types and who worked with the officials better understand how to work efficiently with partners whose personality types are different from their own.
- The NCAA also provides a consistent message to officials, not only in the America East Conference, but nationwide. The NCAA Central Hub, hosted by ArbiterSports, is the repository for all memoranda issued by the Secretary-Rules Editor, such as rule clarifications and interpretations, handouts which assist officials with different rules topics, such as monitor reviews (what they are permitted for, timeframe to use, whether a coach’s request must be honored), and “Ask the SRE”, a “bulletin-board”-type platform where the answers to questions submitted by stakeholders are posted by topic. Video bulletins from the National Coordinator are also posted on the Hub, which address officiating areas in need of attention. The Hub has been an invaluable tool in providing a consistent message in our continued attempt to officiate the game in a consistent manner nationwide.
- Blue Zebra has been an effective platform for assigning officials. Blue Zebra’s ease of use has simplified the assigning process, from uploading the games into the system to assigning the officials to each game. Officials gave Blue Zebra high marks when it came to taking care of their “off-court” responsibilities.
- During the season officials receive feedback by on-line video review (XOS and Synergy), self-evaluation, video evaluation based on observer report, video evaluation based on a coaches’ report, phone discussion based on observers’ reports, and in-person evaluation by either the coordinator, an observer, or the NCAA Regional Advisor. Officiating memos sent by the coordinator remind officials of their off-court responsibilities (updating availability, game confirmation), officiating reminders (i.e., freedom of movement, bench decorum, etc.), and weather/travel advisories. Additionally, the coordinator posted “You Make the Call” plays using a private YouTube channel, which provided video clips of correct and challenging plays to assist officials to be consistent with these plays, or the plays highlighted mechanics situations to be in the optimum position to rule on a play. These video bulletins were also

shared with the head coaches. There is constant and continuous dialogue between the coordinator, the observers, and officials during the season.

- Officials contracted for the first time in the 2018-19 season were offered a mentor if they did not already have one. Assigned mentors agreed to be available to answer any questions and consult the new staff member 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. The “new” officials were:
  - Strongly encouraged to attend their mentor’s game.
  - View and participate in the mentor’s pre-game and post-game meeting.
- All officials have access to Synergy and XOS for game review. Officials will use the system to send plays to the coordinator based on feedback from the observers or direct request from the coordinator. Coordinator requests for feedback from the officials are an attempt to further educate the officials using visual tools.

### **NCAA WBB Rules**

As this was not a rule change year, there were no major rule changes from the May 2018 rules committee meeting. There was one rule adopted for health and safety of student-athletes which permits a player to participate with a hard brace or cast below the elbow provided it is properly padded and meets the approval of the referee.

- Points of Emphasis.
  - Freedom of Movement – There is excessive physicality and a lack of movement in our game. Players must be permitted to move freely without being held, pushed, or impeded. Enforcing the current rules will permit the freedom of movement that must occur.
  - Contact On and By the Ball Handler/Dribbler – Illegal contact on the ball handler/dribbler is inhibiting the ability for teams to start their offense. The focus this year is the multiple touches with the hand by the defense as well as constant full body contact on the ball handler, both of which are fouls. At the same time, legal defenders on the ball handler/dribbler must not be penalized when the ball handler/dribbler makes illegal contact on a legally established defender. Both the offense and the defense are responsible for not creating illegal contact.
  - Contact on the Shooter – The slightest contact on a shooter’s arm can affect a shot. A defender leaning or reaching outside her vertical plane and contacting a shooter is a foul. In addition, an airborne shooter must be permitted to land without a defender moving into her.
  - Screening – Knowing what constitutes a legal screen is imperative. Screeners must establish a legal position without causing contact. Delaying or preventing a player from reaching a desired position is the purpose of a screen and while contact will most likely occur, the screener cannot cause the contact.
  - Sportsmanship – Misconduct by coaches, players, and bench personnel is not permitted. There is continued support for officials to enforce rules against misconduct by players, coaches, and bench personnel. The preseason video included presentations by Lynn Holzman, NCAA VP for Women’s Basketball and Jennifer Rizzotti, President of the WBCA, stressing the need for sportsmanship by all game participants.

- Verticality – Emphasis on post defenders walking into, and creating illegal contact with, offensive post players who are turning to shoot or are airborne. Also stressing that ball handlers have a vertical space and defenders may not infringe on it by jamming their lower torso into the opponent.
  - Rebounding – Officials are to officiate rebounding play from “start to finish”, penalizing those players who illegally displace opponents. Rebounders must adhere to the principles of verticality. Once a player has secured control of a rebound, she is now a ball handler-dribbler and the rules governing contact on her are in effect.
  - The coordinator, the NCAA Secretary-Rules Editor for women's basketball, also serves on Mechanics Committee along with coordinators Charlene Curtis, Violet Palmer, Taiqua Stewart, Tommy Salerno, Connie Perkins, and Patty Broderick.
  - Officials continued to improve in officiating contact on the ball handler-dribbler and post play from the previous season, and there is continued room to improve in these areas.
- Player/Bench Decorum.
    - There were 10 major fouls (technical, unsportsmanlike, or disqualifying) assessed in America East Conference-assigned contests:
      - 3 player-substitute technical foul for misconduct assessed to 3 to AE student-athletes.
      - 5 unsportsmanlike fouls assessed to AE student-athletes.
      - 2 Disqualifying Fouls Issued to America East student-athletes
- Clinics.
    - As this was not a rules-change year, all pool officials had been instructed by the coordinator to offer to conduct a clinic at any scrimmage/exhibition hosted by a Conference institution, particularly for the student-athletes to be able to talk informally with those officials who will be officiating their contests. The Coordinator visited 5 schools for preseason sessions.
- 2019-20 campus coordinator campus visits.
    - 2019-20 is a rules-change season, the coordinator will visit all Conference institutions prior to the start of the season to review the new rules and interpretations, and to answer any questions that the coaches and student-athletes have.
    - **The coordinator also will use this opportunity to address any senior student-athletes about any future involving basketball; those that wish to stay involved in the game will be asked about any interest they may have in officiating. The coordinator will review with those student-athletes the path necessary to officiate at the collegiate level and will assist any interested individuals in any way he can.**

### **America East - State of Officiating**

This season saw continued growth and a high number of pool officials assigned to the NCAA Tournament. This is a credit to the effort of our conference officials and the focus on professional development and accountability. Officials continually guided to focus on the process not the results. 2019-2020 is a new season and our focus, effort, communication and effective decision making must always continue to improve.

- **Crew Chief/Coaches Conference Calls.** The coordinator instituted a monthly conference call with crew chiefs for that month and all coaches. It was often timed to coincide with the Sunday immediately following the conference call conducted by the NCAA. The call provided the coordinator with the opportunity to share with the staff and coach's information provided by the national coordinator and secretary-rules editor with all coordinators. This permitted officials to have this information before the conference call notes were posted on the Arbiter Central Hub. Additionally, the coordinator recorded the conference call, thereby allowing those officials who were unavailable for the call to call in, and for officials to go back at any time and review the covered material.
- As our pool is promoted to other Conferences, the coordinator faces a continuing challenge to find quality officials to replace those who have "moved up" the officiating ranks and are no longer available for assignment on critical dates. Additionally, the coordinator furnishes the other competing conference coordinators with a copy of all the America East Conference assignments. This allows the other coordinators to "work around" the America East Conference assignments if they chose to do so.
- In recent years, the ability to use technology to assist the officiating program has dramatically increased. The coordinator, having access to many replay systems, is currently investigating the opportunity to enhance and modernize the America East Conference's replay system. As the playing rules continue to expand the role of replay, it is apparent that schools moving forward may be investing in the DVSPORT system.

### **Communication**

- There is open two-way communication between the coordinator and the America East Conference office. Mr. Dwyer has been incredibly supportive of the coordinator's efforts to become acclimated to the Conference and its institutions and has provided important information which has made the transition quite smooth. Mr. Dwyer has proven himself to be an outstanding leader. We have approached all situations in a collaborative process and always reached successful decisions.
- The lines of communication remain open and clear between coaches, administration, and the coordinator. The coaching staff has 24 hour a day, 7 days per week access to the coordinator. Calls regarding any aspect of the officiating program are always answered the same day.
- Prior to each visit the coordinator will contact the athletic director/senior women's administrator, and head coach to announce his campus visit and attendance at a game. Prior to each game and after each game the coordinator makes every attempt to visit with the head coach of the home institution and visiting coach during conference play.

- As a trusted friend and close confidant, the coordinator is in daily contact with the Secretary-Rules Editor. The discussions concerning the rules and officiating are helpful in further educating the officiating pool by providing information that may not be available until the next coordinators' conference call. Additionally, video from America East Conference contests illustrating proper officiating techniques have been used by the Secretary Rules Editor in his nation-wide video bulletins, thereby elevating the stature of the officiating pool.
- The coordinator also has a good working relationship with the other coordinators in the region. They have been most helpful to the coordinator when dealing with non-conference games with contract stipulations. Additionally, when an America East Conference institution plays a road non-conference game and through video submissions there have been questionable rulings made, the coordinator has been able to share those clips with the assigning coordinator to receive feedback from those coordinators concerning those plays.

**Event Management**

- Administrators continued to do an excellent job hosting the officials. There were no event management issues. From arrival to departure from campus there were no situations that were brought to the coordinator's attention that were not handled properly.

2019-2020 America East Conference basketball schedule						
Su	M	T	W	Th	F	Sa
29-Dec	30-Dec	31-Dec	1	2 W Game Date	3	4 M Game Date
5 W Game Date	6	7	8 M/W Game Date	9	10	11 M/WGame Date
12	13	14	15 M/WGame Date	16	17	18 M/W Game Date
19	20 MLK Day	21	22 M/W Game Date	23	24	25 M/W Game Date
26	27	28	29 M/W Game Date	30	31	
Su	M	T	W	Th	F	Sa
						1-Feb M/W Game Date
2	3	4	5 M/W Game Date	6	7	8 M/W Game Date
9	10	11	12 M/W Game Date	13	14	15 M/W Game Date
16	17	18	19 M/W Game Date	20	21	22 M/W Game Date
23	24	25	26 M/W Game Date	27	28	29 M/W Game Date
Su	M	T	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3 M Game Date	4 AE Quarters - W	5	6	7 AE Quarters - M
8 AE Semifinal - W	9	10 AE Semifinal - M	11	12	13 AE Final - W	14 AE Final - M
15	16	17 NCAA Open Rd. - M	18	19 NCAA	20 NCAA	21 NCAA

NOTE: Regular-season game dates may be changed to accommodate ESPN.

2020-2021 America East Conference basketball schedule (Option A)						
Su	M	T	W	Th	F	Sa
27-Dec	28-Dec	29-Dec	30-Dec W Game Date	31-Dec	1	2 M/W Game Date
3	4	5	6 M/W Game Date	7	8	9 M/W Game Date
10	11	12	13 M/W Game Date	14	15	16 M/W Game Date
17	18 MLK Day	19	20 M/W Game Date	21	22	23 M/W Game Date
24	25	26	27 M/W Game Date	28	29	30 M/W Game Date
31						
Su	M	T	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3 M/W Game Date	4	5	6 M/W Game Date
7	8	9	10 M/W Game Date	11	12	13 M/W Game Date
14	15	16	17 M/W Game Date	18	19	20 M/W Game Date
21	22	23	24 M/W Game Date	25	26	27 M/W Game Date
28						
Su	M	T	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2 M Game Date	3 AE Quarters - W	4	5	6 AE Quarters - M
7 AE Semifinal - W	8	9 AE Semifinal - M	10	11	12 AE Final - W	13 AE Final - M
14	15	16 NCAA Open Rd. - M	17	18 NCAA	19 NCAA	20 NCAA

NOTE: Regular-season game dates may be changed to accommodate ESPN or a facilities conflict.

2020-2021 America East conference basketball schedule (Option B)						
Su	M	T	W	Th	F	Sa
27-Dec	28-Dec	29-Dec	30-Dec	31-Dec	1	2 M/W Game Date
3	4	5	6 M/W Game Date	7	8	9 M/WGame Date
10	11	12	13 M/WGame Date	14	15	16 M/W Game Date
17	18 MLK Day	19	20 M Game Date	21 W Game Date	22	23 M Game Date
24 W Game Date	25	26	27 M/W Game Date	28	29	30 M/W Game Date
31						
Su	M	T	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3 M/W Game Date	4	5	6 M/W Game Date
7	8	9	10 M/W Game Date	11	12	13 M/W Game Date
14	15	16	17 M/W Game Date	18	19	20 M/W Game Date
21	22	23	24 M/W Game Date	25	26	27 M/W Game Date
28						
Su	M	T	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2 M Game Date	3 AE Quarters - W	4	5	6 AE Quarters - M
7 AE Semifinal - W	8	9 AE Semifinal - M	10	11	12 AE Final - W	13 AE Final - M
14	15	16 NCAA Open Rd. - M	17	18 NCAA	19 NCAA	20 NCAA

NOTE: Regular-season game dates may be changed to accommodate ESPN or a facilities conflict.

**America East Women's Basketball ESPN Report  
June 2019**

**1. America East Production highlights**

- America East Women's Basketball Championship game on ESPNU
- School productions
  - 121 women's basketball games
    - 73 on ESPN+
    - 48 on ESPN3

**2. Analytics highlights**

- America East Women's Basketball Championship game viewership: 50,173
  - Additional 5,851 unique digital views
- Averaged more than 900 unique views per women's basketball game on ESPN3/ESPN+
- Averaged nearly 3,200 unique views for women's basketball quarterfinals and semifinals on ESPN+
- UAlbany-Maine semifinal was most watched game (13,305 unique views)
- Michigan State-Hartford was the most watched regular-season game (7,154)
- Fourteen games were viewed by 1,500+
- Hartford at Maine (regular season) had the highest engagement (52 minutes/view)
- ESPN+ averaged 122 more unique views per game than ESPN3 for America East women's basketball

WOMEN'S BASKETBALL RATING PERCENTAGE INDEX

America East

	NON-DIV I		DIV I		DIV I WIN %	OPPONENT SUCCESS	OPPONENT STRENGTH OF SCHD	ROAD SUCCESS	ROAD RPI RANK	NORMAL RPI RANK	BONUS	PENALTY	SCHD	ADJ. RPI RANK
Maine					37	140	203	53	70	57	0	0	0	57
	0	0	25	8	.7576	.5046	.4862	.5810	.5387	.5735				.5735
Hartford					70	177	219	103	108	107	0	0	0	107
	0	0	23	11	.6765	.4889	.4824	.5185	.5090	.5401				.5401
Stony Brook					48	317	268	68	114	140	0	0	0	140
	1	0	22	8	.7333	.4220	.4692	.5608	.5065	.5149				.5149
Albany (NY)					217	252	271	202	218	236	0	0	0	236
	0	0	13	18	.4194	.4578	.4685	.4126	.4292	.4546				.4546
Binghamton					250	220	260	237	250	255	0	0	0	255
	2	0	10	18	.3571	.4719	.4709	.3804	.4112	.4435				.4435
Vermont					250	261	288	243	251	279	0	0	0	279
	1	0	10	18	.3571	.4532	.4650	.3732	.4112	.4274				.4274
UMBC					295	280	261	269	287	305	0	0	0	305
	3	0	7	20	.2593	.4404	.4708	.3505	.3851	.4054				.4054
New Hampshire					329	236	281	321	313	320	0	0	0	320
	1	0	5	24	.1724	.4650	.4670	.2881	.3627	.3918				.3918
UMass Lowell					311	300	299	299	307	327	0	0	0	327
	1	0	6	22	.2143	.4327	.4594	.3158	.3651	.3880				.3880
America East														
9	9	0	121	147	22	23	24	20	22	23	0	0		23
					.4386	.4596	.4710	.4201	.4354	.4599				.4599

## Albany (NY)

Through Games Of April 7, 2019

Average RPI Win:	273	WON-LOST	WINNING	LAST 10	STRENGTH OF	OPP. STRENGTH	TEAM	ADJ.	CONF.	ADJ CONF.
Average RPI Loss:	166	RECORD	PCT. RANK	GAMES	SCHEDULE	OF SCHEDULE	RPI	RPI	RPI	RPI
DIV. I ONLY	13- 18	217	1- 0	252	271	236	236	23	23	
DIV. I NON-CONF.	3- 10	276	0- 0	244	283	288	288	25	25	
TOTALS	RPI 1-25	RPI 26-50	W-L RECORDS	RPI 51-100	RPI 101-999	RPI 150+				
13- 18	0- 0	0- 1	OVERALL	0- 4	13- 13	12- 8				
7- 7	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	7- 6	7- 3				
6- 11	0- 0	0- 1	AWAY	0- 3	6- 7	5- 5				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				
3- 10	0- 0	0- 1	NON-CONFERENCE	0- 1	3- 8	3- 6				
1- 4	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 0	1- 4	1- 3				
2- 6	0- 0	0- 1	AWAY	0- 1	2- 4	2- 3				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				
10- 8	0- 0	0- 0	CONFERENCE	0- 3	10- 5	9- 2				
6- 3	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	6- 2	6- 0				
4- 5	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 2	4- 3	3- 2				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				

RPI S	Opponent	Score	RPI S	Opponent	Score	RPI S	Opponent	Score	RPI S	Opponent	Score	Team's RPI: 236
32	A Rutgers	39 65	57	A Maine	65 76	107	A Hartford	35 82				
			57	A Maine	51 66	107	H Hartford	56 68				
			57	H Maine	61 67	114	A South Fla.	37 74				
			90	A Purdue	41 53	121	H Marist	56 74				
						140	A Stony Brook	54 49				
						140	H Stony Brook	52 68				
						176	H Holy Cross	50 56				
						200	A Cornell	34 48				
						215	A Monmouth	56 64				
						248	A St. Francis Brooklyn	67 60				
						254	A Columbia	62 58				
						255	A Binghamton	71 62				
						255	H Binghamton	61 56				
						255	H Binghamton	61 56				
						267	H Manhattan	60 54				
						279	A Vermont	39 52				
						279	H Vermont	65 40				
						286	H Canisius	42 48				
						305	A UMBC	60 69				
						305	H UMBC	64 44				
						320	A New Hampshire	78 53				
						320	H New Hampshire	59 41				
						322	A Lafayette	66 67				
						327	A UMass Lowell	56 53				
						327	H UMass Lowell	63 56				
						328	H Central Conn. St.	53 60				
LAST 12 Division I GAMES:												
255	H Binghamton	61 56	57	H Maine	61 67							
57	A Maine	51 66	327	A UMass Lowell	56 53							
107	H Hartford	56 68	279	H Vermont	65 40							
320	A New Hampshire	78 53	255	A Binghamton	71 62							
305	A UMBC	60 69	320	H New Hampshire	59 41							
140	H Stony Brook	52 68	107	A Hartford	35 82							

Non-Div I Games:

Albany (NY)

■ Loss, 
 ■ Non-Conf, S Site, 
 ■ Home 
 ■ Away 
 N Neutral

Binghamton

Through Games Of April 7, 2019

Average RPI Win:	280	WON-LOST	WINNING	LAST 10	STRENGTH OF	OPP. STRENGTH	TEAM	ADJ.	CONF.	ADJ CONF.
Average RPI Loss:	164	RECORD	PCT. RANK	GAMES	SCHEDULE	OF SCHEDULE	RPI	RPI	RPI	RPI
DIV. I ONLY	10- 18	250	0- 1	220	260	255	255	23	23	
DIV. I NON-CONF.	3- 8	267	0- 0	120	225	250	250	25	25	
TOTALS	RPI 1-25	RPI 26-50	W-L RECORDS	RPI 51-100	RPI 101-999	RPI 150+				
10- 18	0- 2	0- 1	OVERALL	0- 2	10- 13	9- 9				
6- 7	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	6- 6	5- 4				
4- 11	0- 2	0- 1	AWAY	0- 1	4- 7	4- 5				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				
3- 8	0- 2	0- 1	NON-CONFERENCE	0- 0	3- 5	3- 4				
3- 2	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 0	3- 2	3- 1				
0- 6	0- 2	0- 1	AWAY	0- 0	0- 3	0- 3				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				
7- 10	0- 0	0- 0	CONFERENCE	0- 2	7- 8	6- 5				
3- 5	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	3- 4	2- 3				
4- 5	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 1	4- 4	4- 2				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				

RPI 1-25				RPI 26-50				RPI 51-100				RPI 101+ Team's RPI: 255			
RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score
2	A	Notre Dame	53 103	31	A	Ohio	49 86	57	A	Maine	66 95	107	A	Hartford	50 63
10	A	Marquette	40 93					57	H	Maine	60 67	107	H	Hartford	73 62
												130	H	Lehigh	55 65
												140	A	Stony Brook	53 68
												140	H	Stony Brook	56 65
												167	H	Rider	57 62
												200	H	Cornell	72 61
												212	A	Dartmouth	39 63
												236	A	Albany (NY)	56 61
												236	A	Albany (NY)	56 61
												236	H	Albany (NY)	62 71
												270	A	Niagara	72 79
												279	A	Vermont	59 50
												279	H	Vermont	57 58
												288	H	Army West Point	60 47
												305	A	UMBC	58 46
												305	H	UMBC	67 45
												320	A	New Hampshire	71 62
												320	H	New Hampshire	53 54
												322	A	Lafayette	50 59
												327	A	UMass Lowell	70 50
												327	H	UMass Lowell	58 53
												344	H	Fairleigh Dickinson	73 54
LAST 12 Division I GAMES:															
236	A	Albany (NY)	56 61	320	H	New Hampshire	53 54	140	A	Stony Brook	53 68				
57	H	Maine	60 67	236	H	Albany (NY)	62 71	107	H	Hartford	73 62				
107	A	Hartford	50 63	57	A	Maine	66 95	327	A	UMass Lowell	70 50				
279	A	Vermont	59 50	327	H	UMass Lowell	58 53								
327	H	UMass Lowell	58 53												
305	A	UMBC	58 46												

Non-Div I Games: Charleston (WV) 69 62 Caldwell 73 54

Binghamton

Loss, Non-Conf, S Site, H Home A Away N Neutral

Average RPI Win:	250	WON-LOST	WINNING	LAST 10	STRENGTH OF	OPP. STRENGTH	TEAM	ADJ.	CONF.	ADJ CONF.
Average RPI Loss:	81	RECORD	PCT. RANK	GAMES	SCHEDULE	OF SCHEDULE	RPI	RPI	RPI	RPI
DIV. I ONLY	23- 11	70	0- 1	177	219	107	107	23	23	
DIV. I NON-CONF.	7- 8	168	0- 1	143	106	157	157	25	25	
TOTALS	RPI 1-25	RPI 26-50	W-L RECORDS	RPI 51-100	RPI 101-999	RPI 150+				
23- 11	0- 2	0- 2	OVERALL	2- 4	21- 3	18- 2				
14- 2	0- 0	0- 2	HOME	2- 0	12- 0	10- 0				
9- 7	0- 1	0- 0	AWAY	0- 4	9- 2	8- 1				
0- 2	0- 1	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 1	0- 1				
7- 8	0- 2	0- 2	NON-CONFERENCE	1- 2	6- 2	6- 1				
4- 2	0- 0	0- 2	HOME	1- 0	3- 0	3- 0				
3- 4	0- 1	0- 0	AWAY	0- 2	3- 1	3- 0				
0- 2	0- 1	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 1	0- 1				
16- 3	0- 0	0- 0	CONFERENCE	1- 2	15- 1	12- 1				
10- 0	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	1- 0	9- 0	7- 0				
6- 3	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 2	6- 1	5- 1				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				

RPI 1-25				RPI 26-50				RPI 51-100				RPI 101+ Team's RPI: 107			
RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score
5	N	Louisville	69 86	38	H	Michigan St.	66 74	55	A	Villanova	41 59	116	A	Providence	54 71
25	A	Miami (FL)	62 75	50	H	Princeton	38 75	57	A	Maine	48 68	140	A	Stony Brook	64 36
								57	A	Maine	58 78	140	H	Stony Brook	64 59
								57	H	Maine	49 46	140	H	Stony Brook	73 62
								76	A	New Mexico	65 72	156	N	Southern Ill.	50 72
								98	H	Harvard	73 60	164	H	William & Mary	50 45
												236	A	Albany (NY)	68 56
												236	H	Albany (NY)	82 35
												255	A	Binghamton	62 73
												255	H	Binghamton	63 50
												260	A	Rhode Island	65 51
												279	A	Vermont	76 48
												279	H	Vermont	61 32
												293	H	Bryant	67 57
												305	A	UMBC	66 52
												305	H	UMBC	65 48
												305	H	UMBC	92 47
												310	H	Morgan St.	80 59
												320	A	New Hampshire	66 48
												320	H	New Hampshire	56 49
												325	A	NJIT	84 53
												327	A	UMass Lowell	66 44
												327	H	UMass Lowell	69 45
												328	A	Central Conn. St.	54 52
LAST 12 Division I GAMES:															
116	A	Providence	54 71	236	A	Albany (NY)	68 56								
140	H	Stony Brook	64 59	255	H	Binghamton	63 50								
57	A	Maine	48 68	327	H	UMass Lowell	69 45								
305	H	UMBC	65 48	320	H	New Hampshire	56 49								
76	A	New Mexico	65 72	279	A	Vermont	76 48								
25	A	Miami (FL)	62 75	57	A	Maine	58 78								

Non-Div I Games:

Hartford

■ Loss, 
■ Non-Conf, S Site, 
■ Home 
■ Away 
N Neutral

## Maine

Through Games Of April 7, 2019

Average RPI Win:	223	WON-LOST	WINNING	LAST 10	STRENGTH OF	OPP. STRENGTH	TEAM	ADJ.	CONF.	ADJ CONF.
Average RPI Loss:	82	RECORD	PCT. RANK	GAMES	SCHEDULE	OF SCHEDULE	RPI	RPI	RPI	RPI
DIV. I ONLY	25- 8	37	0- 1	140	203	57	57	23	23	
DIV. I NON-CONF.	7- 7	152	0- 1	34	65	57	57	25	25	
TOTALS	RPI 1-25	RPI 26-50	W-L RECORDS	RPI 51-100	RPI 101-999	RPI 150+				
25- 8	0- 2	1- 0	OVERALL	3- 3	21- 3	17- 1				
13- 1	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	1- 1	12- 0	9- 0				
10- 6	0- 2	1- 0	AWAY	1- 2	8- 2	7- 0				
2- 1	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	1- 0	1- 1	1- 1				
7- 7	0- 2	1- 0	NON-CONFERENCE	3- 3	3- 2	3- 1				
2- 1	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	1- 1	1- 0	1- 0				
3- 5	0- 2	1- 0	AWAY	1- 2	1- 1	1- 0				
2- 1	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	1- 0	1- 1	1- 1				
18- 1	0- 0	0- 0	CONFERENCE	0- 0	18- 1	14- 0				
11- 0	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 0	11- 0	8- 0				
7- 1	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 0	7- 1	6- 0				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				

RPI 1-25				RPI 26-50				RPI 51-100 Team's RPI: 57				RPI 101+			
RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score
9	A	NC State	51 63	46	A	North Carolina	85 73	58	N	Penn	47 46	101	A	Northeastern	55 63
9	A	NC State	46 84					82	H	Duke	63 66	107	A	Hartford	46 49
								87	A	Fordham	64 72	107	H	Hartford	68 48
								88	A	Green Bay	39 70	107	H	Hartford	78 58
								98	A	Harvard	67 60	140	A	Stony Brook	68 54
								99	H	Toledo	73 59	140	H	Stony Brook	71 61
												175	N	Chattanooga	61 66
												198	N	N.C. A&T	58 52
												236	A	Albany (NY)	67 61
												236	H	Albany (NY)	76 65
												236	H	Albany (NY)	66 51
												255	A	Binghamton	67 60
												255	H	Binghamton	95 66
												279	A	Vermont	63 51
												279	H	Vermont	66 45
												284	H	Brown	102 96
												293	A	Bryant	74 57
												305	A	UMBC	58 45
												305	H	UMBC	84 44
												320	A	New Hampshire	69 45
												320	H	New Hampshire	67 46
												320	H	New Hampshire	69 36
												327	A	UMass Lowell	60 41
												327	H	UMass Lowell	79 45
LAST 12 Division I GAMES:															
9	A	NC State	51 63	327	A	UMass Lowell	60 41								
107	H	Hartford	68 48	140	H	Stony Brook	71 61								
320	H	New Hampshire	69 36	236	A	Albany (NY)	67 61								
236	H	Albany (NY)	66 51	107	H	Hartford	78 58								
175	N	Chattanooga	61 66	305	A	UMBC	58 45								
255	A	Binghamton	67 60	279	H	Vermont	66 45								

Non-Div I Games:

Maine

■ Loss, 
 ■ Non-Conf, 
 ■ S Site, 
 ■ Home 
 ■ A Away 
 N Neutral

# New Hampshire

Through Games Of April 7, 2019

Average RPI Win:	276	WON-LOST	WINNING	LAST 10	STRENGTH OF	OPP. STRENGTH	TEAM	ADJ.	CONF.	ADJ CONF.
Average RPI Loss:	185	RECORD	PCT. RANK	GAMES	SCHEDULE	OF SCHEDULE	RPI	RPI	RPI	RPI
DIV. I ONLY	5- 24	329	0- 1	236	281	320	320	23	23	
DIV. I NON-CONF.	2- 10	310	0- 0	227	293	326	326	25	25	
TOTALS	RPI 1-25	RPI 26-50	W-L RECORDS	RPI 51-100	RPI 101-999	RPI 150+				
5- 24	0- 0	0- 1	OVERALL	0- 3	5- 20	5- 13				
3- 10	0- 0	0- 1	HOME	0- 1	3- 8	3- 6				
2- 14	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 2	2- 12	2- 7				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				
2- 10	0- 0	0- 1	NON-CONFERENCE	0- 0	2- 9	2- 6				
2- 3	0- 0	0- 1	HOME	0- 0	2- 2	2- 2				
0- 7	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 0	0- 7	0- 4				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				
3- 14	0- 0	0- 0	CONFERENCE	0- 3	3- 11	3- 7				
1- 7	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	1- 6	1- 4				
2- 7	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 2	2- 5	2- 3				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				

RPI S	Opponent	Score	RPI S	Opponent	Score	RPI S	Opponent	Score	RPI S	Opponent	Score	Team's RPI: 320
50	H Princeton	42 90	57	A Maine	46 67	101	A Northeastern	54 69				
			57	A Maine	36 69	103	A Minnesota	47 70				
			57	H Maine	45 69	107	A Hartford	49 56				
						107	H Hartford	48 66				
						114	A South Fla.	36 79				
						140	A Stony Brook	37 67				
						140	H Stony Brook	63 82				
						176	H Holy Cross	74 62				
						178	A Boston U.	39 60				
						193	H Sacred Heart	60 71				
						212	H Dartmouth	42 62				
						236	A Albany (NY)	41 59				
						236	H Albany (NY)	53 78				
						255	A Binghamton	54 53				
						255	H Binghamton	62 71				
						279	A Vermont	60 69				
						279	H Vermont	50 51				
						284	A Brown	70 76				
						293	H Bryant	79 74				
						305	A UMBC	62 64				
						305	H UMBC	47 55				
						317	A North Dakota St.	51 56				
						327	A UMass Lowell	56 53				
						327	H UMass Lowell	54 46				
						328	A Central Conn. St.	47 56				
LAST 12 Division I GAMES:												
57	A Maine	36 69	255	A Binghamton	54 53							
305	H UMBC	47 55	327	H UMass Lowell	54 46							
236	H Albany (NY)	53 78	57	H Maine	45 69							
107	A Hartford	49 56	236	A Albany (NY)	41 59							
140	A Stony Brook	37 67	305	A UMBC	62 64							
279	H Vermont	50 51	107	H Hartford	48 66							

Non-Div I Games: U New England 68 44

New Hampshire

Loss, Non-Conf, S Site, H Home A Away N Neutral

Stony Brook

Through Games Of April 7, 2019

Average RPI Win: <b>275</b>	WON-LOST	WINNING	LAST 10	STRENGTH OF	OPP. STRENGTH	TEAM	ADJ.	CONF.	ADJ CONF.
Average RPI Loss: <b>100</b>	RECORD	PCT. RANK	GAMES	SCHEDULE	OF SCHEDULE	RPI	RPI	RPI	RPI
DIV. I ONLY	22- 8	48	1- 1	317	268	140	140	23	23
DIV. I NON-CONF.	10- 2	30	0- 0	300	287	94	94	25	25
TOTALS	RPI 1-25	RPI 26-50	W-L RECORDS	RPI 51-100	RPI 101-999	RPI 150+			
22- 8	0- 0	0- 1	OVERALL	0- 3	22- 4	21- 1			
12- 3	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	12- 2	12- 1			
10- 5	0- 0	0- 1	AWAY	0- 2	10- 2	9- 0			
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0			
10- 2	0- 0	0- 1	NON-CONFERENCE	0- 1	10- 0	9- 0			
6- 0	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 0	6- 0	6- 0			
4- 2	0- 0	0- 1	AWAY	0- 1	4- 0	3- 0			
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0			
12- 6	0- 0	0- 0	CONFERENCE	0- 2	12- 4	12- 1			
6- 3	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	6- 2	6- 1			
6- 3	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 1	6- 2	6- 0			
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0			

RPI 1-25	RPI 26-50	RPI 51-100	RPI 101+ Team's RPI: 140
RPI S Opponent Score	RPI S Opponent Score	RPI S Opponent Score	RPI S Opponent Score
	32  Rutgers 47  61	57  Maine 61  71	107  Hartford 59  64
		57  Maine 54  68	107  Hartford 62  73
		99  Toledo 48  80	107  Hartford 36  64
			147  Penn St. 81 70
			193  Sacred Heart 73 58
			200  Cornell 63 61
			233  Hofstra 77 49
			236  Albany (NY) 68 52
			236  Albany (NY) 49  54
			248  St. Francis Brooklyn 74 67
			255  Binghamton 65 56
			255  Binghamton 68 53
			267  Manhattan 79 48
			279  Vermont 67 61
			279  Vermont 58 48
			279  Vermont 69 58
			285  Ga. Southern 72 43
			305  UMBC 70 59
			305  UMBC 57 44
			318  Wagner 70 45
			320  New Hampshire 82 63
			320  New Hampshire 67 37
			324  Iona 53 51
			327  UMass Lowell 64 47
			327  UMass Lowell 76 56
			344  Fairleigh Dickinson 63 49
LAST 12 Division I GAMES:			
279  Vermont 69 58	320  New Hampshire 67 37		
107  Hartford 59  64	327  UMass Lowell 64 47		
279  Vermont 58 48	255  Binghamton 68 53		
305  UMBC 70 59	107  Hartford 62  73		
57  Maine 61  71	305  UMBC 57 44		
236  Albany (NY) 68 52	279  Vermont 67 61		

Non-Div I Games: SUNY New Paltz 87 50

Stony Brook

Loss, Non-Conf, S Site, H Home A Away N Neutral

Average RPI Win:	299	WON-LOST	WINNING	LAST 10	STRENGTH OF	OPP. STRENGTH	TEAM	ADJ.	CONF.	ADJ CONF.
Average RPI Loss:	176	RECORD	PCT. RANK	GAMES	SCHEDULE	OF SCHEDULE	RPI	RPI	RPI	RPI
DIV. I ONLY	7- 20	295	0- 1	280	261	305	305	23	23	
DIV. I NON-CONF.	4- 6	209	0- 0	285	265	260	260	25	25	
TOTALS	RPI 1-25	RPI 26-50	W-L RECORDS	RPI 51-100	RPI 101-999	RPI 150+				
7- 20	0- 1	0- 0	OVERALL	0- 3	7- 16	7- 10				
2- 10	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	2- 9	2- 6				
3- 10	0- 1	0- 0	AWAY	0- 2	3- 7	3- 4				
2- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	2- 0	2- 0				
4- 6	0- 1	0- 0	NON-CONFERENCE	0- 1	4- 4	4- 3				
0- 4	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 0	0- 4	0- 3				
2- 2	0- 1	0- 0	AWAY	0- 1	2- 0	2- 0				
2- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	2- 0	2- 0				
3- 14	0- 0	0- 0	CONFERENCE	0- 2	3- 12	3- 7				
2- 6	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	2- 5	2- 3				
1- 8	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 1	1- 7	1- 4				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				










































































RPI 1-25				RPI 26-50				RPI 51-100				RPI 101+ Team's RPI: 305			
RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score
14	A	Maryland	61 92					57	A	Maine	44 84	105	H	Towson	62 71
								57	H	Maine	45 58	107	A	Hartford	48 65
								75	A	American	42 64	107	A	Hartford	47 92
												107	H	Hartford	52 66
												140	A	Stony Brook	44 57
												140	H	Stony Brook	59 70
												205	H	UMES	67 73
												220	H	Mt. St. Mary's	52 65
												233	H	Hofstra	42 45
												236	A	Albany (NY)	44 64
												236	H	Albany (NY)	69 60
												255	A	Binghamton	45 67
												255	H	Binghamton	46 58
												279	A	Vermont	50 58
												279	H	Vermont	44 58
												292	N	Kennesaw St.	57 53
												302	N	UTSA	72 58
												310	A	Morgan St.	73 68
												313	A	Coppin St.	57 52
												320	A	New Hampshire	55 47
												320	H	New Hampshire	64 62
												327	A	UMass Lowell	41 62
												327	H	UMass Lowell	55 67
LAST 12 Division I GAMES:															
107	A	Hartford	48 65	255	H	Binghamton	46 58								
320	A	New Hampshire	55 47	57	H	Maine	45 58								
140	H	Stony Brook	59 70	107	A	Hartford	47 92								
236	H	Albany (NY)	69 60	140	A	Stony Brook	44 57								
279	A	Vermont	50 58	320	H	New Hampshire	64 62								
327	A	UMass Lowell	41 62	279	H	Vermont	44 58								

Non-Div I Games: Notre Dame (MD) 81 43 Eastern 81 42 Gettysburg 65 51

UMBC





Loss, Non-Conf, S Site, H Home A Away N Neutral

Average RPI Win:	<b>299</b>	WON-LOST	WINNING	LAST 10	STRENGTH OF	OPP. STRENGTH	TEAM	ADJ.	CONF.	ADJ CONF.
Average RPI Loss:	<b>194</b>	RECORD	PCT. RANK	GAMES	SCHEDULE	OF SCHEDULE	RPI	RPI	RPI	RPI
DIV. I ONLY	<b>6- 22</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>0- 1</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>	
DIV. I NON-CONF.	<b>3- 9</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>0- 0</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	
TOTALS	RPI 1-25	RPI 26-50	W-L RECORDS	RPI 51-100	RPI 101-999	RPI 150+				
6- 22	0- 0	0- 0	OVERALL	0- 3	6- 19	6- 13				
3- 9	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	3- 8	3- 5				
3- 13	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 2	3- 11	3- 8				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				
3- 9	0- 0	0- 0	NON-CONFERENCE	0- 1	3- 8	3- 6				
1- 3	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 0	1- 3	1- 2				
2- 6	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 1	2- 5	2- 4				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				
3- 13	0- 0	0- 0	CONFERENCE	0- 2	3- 11	3- 7				
2- 6	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	2- 5	2- 3				
1- 7	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 1	1- 6	1- 4				
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0				

RPI 1-25			RPI 26-50			RPI 51-100			RPI 101+			Team's RPI: 327
RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	
						57  Maine	45  79	107  Hartford		45  69		
						57  Maine	41  60	107  Hartford		44  66		
						67  Butler	36  89	116  Providence		47  71		
								139  Seton Hall		57  90		
								140  Stony Brook		56  76		
								140  Stony Brook		47  64		
								176  Holy Cross		54  63		
								200  Cornell		54  63		
								209  Massachusetts		62 59		
								212  Dartmouth		54  70		
								236  Albany (NY)		56  63		
								236  Albany (NY)		53  56		
								250  Colgate		52  61		
								255  Binghamton		53  58		
								255  Binghamton		50  70		
								279  Vermont		42  52		
								279  Vermont		54 51		
								293  Bryant		57  58		
								305  UMBC		67 55		
								305  UMBC		62 41		
								310  Morgan St.		49  61		
								320  New Hampshire		46  54		
								320  New Hampshire		53  56		
								347  Saint Peter's		59 57		
								351  LIU Brooklyn		69 64		
LAST 12 Division I GAMES:												
						57  Maine	41  60	320  New Hampshire		46  54		
						107  Hartford	45  69	279  Vermont		42  52		
						255  Binghamton	53  58	57  Maine		45  79		
						305  UMBC	62 41	255  Binghamton		50  70		
						236  Albany (NY)	53  56	107  Hartford		44  66		
						140  Stony Brook	47  64	236  Albany (NY)		56  63		

Non-Div I Games: Fisher 79 45

UMass Lowell

 Loss, 
  Non-Conf, S Site, 
  Home 
  Away 
 N Neutral

Average RPI Win: <b>288</b>	WON-LOST	WINNING	LAST 10	STRENGTH OF	OPP. STRENGTH	TEAM	ADJ.	CONF.	ADJ CONF.
Average RPI Loss: <b>165</b>	RECORD	PCT. RANK	GAMES	SCHEDULE	OF SCHEDULE	RPI	RPI	RPI	RPI
DIV. I ONLY	10- 18	250	0- 1	261	288	279	279	23	23
DIV. I NON-CONF.	3- 8	267	0- 0	266	288	304	304	25	25
TOTALS	RPI 1-25	RPI 26-50	W-L RECORDS	RPI 51-100	RPI 101-999	RPI 150+			
10- 18	0- 0	0- 0	OVERALL	0- 3	10- 15	10- 7			
6- 9	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 2	6- 7	6- 4			
4- 9	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 1	4- 8	4- 3			
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0			
3- 8	0- 0	0- 0	NON-CONFERENCE	0- 1	3- 7	3- 4			
2- 5	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	2- 4	2- 3			
1- 3	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 0	1- 3	1- 1			
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0			
7- 10	0- 0	0- 0	CONFERENCE	0- 2	7- 8	7- 3			
4- 4	0- 0	0- 0	HOME	0- 1	4- 3	4- 1			
3- 6	0- 0	0- 0	AWAY	0- 1	3- 5	3- 2			
0- 0	0- 0	0- 0	NEUTRAL	0- 0	0- 0	0- 0			

RPI 1-25				RPI 26-50				RPI 51-100				RPI 101+				Team's RPI: 279	
RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score	RPI	S	Opponent	Score		
								57	A	Maine	45 66	107	A	Hartford	32 61		
								57	H	Maine	51 63	107	H	Hartford	48 76		
								70	H	Drexel	44 60	114	A	South Fla.	55 102		
												140	A	Stony Brook	48 58		
												140	A	Stony Brook	58 69		
												140	H	Stony Brook	61 67		
												141	H	Yale	55 58		
												148	A	Kansas	36 77		
												167	H	Rider	54 49		
												176	H	Holy Cross	40 65		
												212	H	Dartmouth	42 66		
												236	A	Albany (NY)	40 65		
												236	H	Albany (NY)	52 39		
												255	A	Binghamton	58 57		
												255	H	Binghamton	50 59		
												260	H	Rhode Island	58 61		
												286	A	Canisius	46 67		
												305	A	UMBC	58 44		
												305	H	UMBC	58 50		
												318	H	Wagner	56 54		
												320	A	New Hampshire	51 50		
												320	H	New Hampshire	69 60		
												325	A	NJIT	71 63		
												327	A	UMass Lowell	51 54		
												327	H	UMass Lowell	52 42		
LAST 12 Division I GAMES:																	
140	A	Stony Brook	58 69	320	A	New Hampshire	51 50										
70	H	Drexel	44 60	236	A	Albany (NY)	40 65										
140	A	Stony Brook	48 58	57	A	Maine	45 66										
255	H	Binghamton	50 59	327	H	UMass Lowell	52 42										
305	H	UMBC	58 50	140	H	Stony Brook	61 67										
107	H	Hartford	48 76	305	A	UMBC	58 44										

Non-Div I Games: Norwich 78 28

Vermont

■ Loss, 
 ■ Non-Conf, S Site, 
 ■ Home 
 ■ Away 
 N Neutral

**AMERICA EAST WOMEN'S BASKETBALL RPI HISTORY**

**SUPPLEMENT 8**

<b>2019</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	23
Maine (14)	57
Hartford	107
Stony Brook	140
Albany	236
Binghamton	255
Vermont	279
UMBC	305
UNH	320
UMass Lowell	327

<b>2018</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	20
Maine (15)	73
Albany	82
Hartford	137
Binghamton	160
UNH	181
Stony Brook	189
Vermont	295
UMBC	323
UMass Lowell	348

<b>2017</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	22
UNH	90
Albany (16)	100
Maine	130
Hartford	188
Binghamton	213
UMBC	220
Stony Brook	262
Vermont	274
UMass Lowell	343

<b>2016</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	17
Albany (12)	38
Maine	67
Stony Brook	158
UMBC	182
Hartford	221
Binghamton	223
UNH	240
Vermont	277
UMass Lowell	323

<b>2015</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	23
Albany (13)	80
Maine	97
Hartford	145
Stony Brook	193
UNH	210
UMass Lowell	219
UMBC	261
Vermont	306
Binghamton	324

<b>2014</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	31
Albany (15)	104
Stony Brook	138
Maine	220
UNH	229
Hartford	237
Binghamton	328
UMass Lowell	329
Vermont	337
UMBC	341

<b>2013</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	22
Boston U.	58
Albany (14)	61
Hartford	108
Stony Brook	223
UNH	243
UMBC	293
Vermont	295
Binghamton	313
Maine	327

<b>2012</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	18
Boston U.	77
Albany (14)	81
Hartford	115
UMBC	129
UNH	194
Binghamton	221
Vermont	277
Maine	315
Stony Brook	328

<b>2011</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	29
Hartford (16)	146
UMBC	153
Binghamton	201
Boston U.	203
Albany	225
UNH	283
Vermont	311
Stony Brook	328
Maine	334

<b>2010</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	15
Hartford* (10)	34
Vermont (10)	38
Boston U.	139
UMBC	203
Stony Brook	225
Binghamton	226
Albany	269
Maine	270
UNH	277

<b>2009</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	24
Hartford	76
Boston U.	88
Vermont (16)	109
Binghamton	219
UMBC	244
UNH	288
Stony Brook	310
Albany	319
Maine	323

<b>2008</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	21
Hartford (10)	44
Vermont	111
Boston U.	132
Albany	230
Binghamton	234
UMBC	273
Stony Brook	290
Maine	299
UNH	308

<b>2007</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	16
Hartford	54
Stony Brook	86
Vermont	151
Boston U.	159
UMBC (16)	164
Maine	171
Binghamton	228
Albany	249
UNH	284

<b>2006</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	11
Hartford (11)	28
Stony Brook	46
Boston U.	80
Binghamton	112
UNH	160
UMBC	176
Vermont	200
Maine	220
Albany	289

<b>2005</b>	<b>RPI</b>
America East	16
Hartford (14)	84
Maine	89
Vermont	151
UNH	153
Northeastern	178
Boston U.	206
Albany	207
Binghamton	218
Stony Brook	246
UMBC	284

# AMERICA EAST WOMEN'S BASKETBALL RPI HISTORY

# SUPPLEMENT 8

2004	RPI
America East	16
Maine (13)	59
Boston U.	96
Binghamton	124
Hartford	150
Albany	177
Northeastern	189
Vermont	196
UNH	207
Stony Brook	274
UMBC	293

2003	RPI
America East	21
Maine	70
Vermont	125
Boston U. (16)	140
UNH	233
Northeastern	251
Binghamton	252
Hartford	255
Stony Brook	263
Albany	284

2002	RPI
America East	13
Vermont	54
Boston U.	103
Binghamton	112
Maine	115
Hartford (16)	134
UNH	134
Stony Brook	149
Northeastern	232
Albany	298

2001	RPI
America East	18
Delaware (13)	58
Vermont	106
Drexel	125
Northeastern	164
Hartford	166
Maine	190
UNH	200
Boston U.	226
Hofstra	281
Towson	303

2000	RPI
America East	13
Vermont (11)	42
Maine* (12)	52
Delaware	77
Northeastern	107
Hartford	130
UNH	131
Drexel	195
Towson	206
Hofstra	214
Boston U.	251

1999	RPI
America East	13
Maine* (10)	40
UNH	57
Northeastern (13)	67
Vermont	93
Delaware	115
Drexel	147
Towson	160
Hartford	213
Hofstra	226
Boston U.	276

1998	RPI
America East	13
Vermont	53
Maine (13)	55
Northeastern	116
Towson	136
UNH	154
Hartford	162
Drexel	191
Hofstra	208
Boston U.	220
Delaware	247

1997	RPI
America East	18
Maine (13)	49
Vermont	86
UNH	100
Hartford	128
Drexel	167
Hofstra	198
Towson	203
Delaware	229
Boston U.	255
Northeastern	285

\*- NCAA at-large

NCAA (seed)

NIT

WBI (2009- )