UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

 $\overline{\checkmark}$

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2005

or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number 0-3134

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

34-1867219

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

23000 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio

(Address of principal executive offices)

44117

(Zip Code)

216/692-7200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. is a successor issuer to Park-Ohio Industries, Inc.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant:

- Has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and
- 2) Has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☑ No □

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2).

Yes ☑ No □

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2).

Yes □ No ☑

Number of shares outstanding of registrant's Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share, as of October 31, 2005: 11,675,611.

The Exhibit Index is located on page 26.

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

		Page
	PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Financial Statements	3
	Consolidated balance sheets —	
	September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004	3
	Consolidated statements of income —	
	Three months and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004	4
	Consolidated statement of shareholders' equity —	
	Nine months ended September 30, 2005	5

	Consolidated statements of cash flows —	
	Nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004	6
	Notes to consolidated financial statements —	
	September 30, 2005	7
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	15
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	22
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	22
	PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	22
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	24
Item 6.	Exhibits	24
SIGNATURES		25
EXHIBIT INDEX	X	26
EX-15 Letter re: Ui	naudited Interim Financial Information	
EX-31.1 Principal	Executive Officer's Certification	
EX-31.2 Principal	Financial Officer's Certification	
EX-32 Certification	<u>1 906</u>	
	2	

PART I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

		naudited) ntember 30, 2005	De	cember 31, 2004
		(Dollars in	thousands)	
ASSETS				
Current Assets	Φ.	10.000	A	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	10,828	\$	7,157
Accounts receivable, less allowances for doubtful accounts of \$4,821 at		151.064		1.45.455
September 30, 2005 and \$3,976 at December 31, 2004		171,064		145,475
Inventories		195,170		177,294
Other current assets		12,337		14,593
Total Current Assets		389,399		344,519
Property, Plant and Equipment		237,016		229,494
Less accumulated depreciation		124,932		118,821
		112,084		110,673
Other Assets				
Goodwill		82,715		82,565
Net assets held for sale		1,992		3,027
Other		72,047		69,238
	\$	658,237	\$	610,022
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHO	LDERS' E	QUITY	-	
Current Liabilities				
Trade accounts payable	\$	110,702	\$	108,868
Accrued expenses		69,697		60,003
Current portion of long-term liabilities		4,449	-	5,812
Total Current Liabilities		184,848		174,683
Long-Term Liabilities, less current portion				
8.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014		210,000		210,000
Revolving credit		139,300		120,600
Other long-term debt		5,905		4,776
Other postretirement benefits and other long-term liabilities		25,713		27,570
		380,918		362,946
Shareholders' Equity				
Capital stock, par value \$1 a share:				
Serial Preferred Stock		-0-		-0-
Common Stock		11,665		11,547
Additional paid-in capital		57,034		56,530
Retained earnings		34,057		15,206
Treasury stock, at cost		(8,931)		(8,864)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(981)		(1,676)
Unearned compensation — restricted stock awards		(373)		(350)
		92,471		72,393
	\$	658,237	\$	610,022

Note: The balance sheet at December 31, 2004 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Mont Septeml	onths Ended mber 30,				
	 2005		2004		2005		2004			
	 	(Amoun	ts in thousands	— excep	t per share data)		<u>.</u>			
Net sales	\$ 234,247	\$	200,875	\$	691,925	\$	594,154			
Cost of products sold	198,327		169,549		585,543		498,938			
Gross profit	35,920		31,326		106,382		95,216			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	22,817		19,876		64,897		57,329			
Operating income	 13,103		11,450		41,485		37,887			
Interest expense	7,200		6,510		20,374		18,842			
Income before income taxes	 5,903		4,940		21,111		19,045			
Income taxes	751		949		2,260		2,575			
Net income	\$ 5,152	\$	3,991	\$	18,851	\$	16,470			
Amounts per common share:		_		_						
Basic	\$.47	\$.38	\$	1.73	\$	1.55			
Diluted	\$.45	\$.36	\$	1.66	\$	1.48			
Common shares used in the computation:										
Basic	 10,928		10,629		10,896		10,599			
Diluted	 11,414	_	11,225		11,385		11,164			

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

								cumulated Other		
	Common Stock	I	lditional Paid-In Capital	Retained Carnings	1	reasury Stock (Dollars in t	Com	prehensive Income (Loss)	earned ensation	 Total
Balance at January 1, 2005	\$ 11,547	\$	56,530	\$ 15,206	\$	(8,864)	\$	(1,676)	\$ (350)	\$ 72,393
Comprehensive income (loss):										
Net income				18,851						18,851
Foreign currency translation adjustment								695		695
Comprehensive income (loss)										19,546
Restricted stock award	22		393						(415)	-0-
Amortization of restricted stock									392	392
Purchase of treasury stock						(67)				(67)
Exercise of stock options										
(95,834 shares)	96		111							207
Balance at September 30, 2005	\$ 11,665	\$	57,034	\$ 34,057	\$	(8,931)	\$	(981)	\$ (373)	\$ 92,471

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 2004 (Dollars in thousands) **OPERATING ACTIVITIES** \$ 18,851 \$ 16,470 Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used by operating activities: 12,906 Depreciation and amortization 11,875 Changes in operating assets and liabilities: Accounts receivable (14,695)(41,298)Inventories and other current assets (3,812)(38,131)Accounts payable and accrued expenses (4,097)45,380 (5,300)(1,854)Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities 3,853 (7,558)**INVESTING ACTIVITIES** Purchases of property, plant and equipment, net (12,405)(8,386)Acquisition, net of cash acquired (7,000)(9,998)Proceeds from sale of assets held for sale 1,100 -0-(18,384)Net Cash Used by Investing Activities (18,305)FINANCING ACTIVITIES Proceeds from debt, net 17,983 28,623 Purchase of treasury stock (67)-0-Exercise of stock options 207 149 Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities 18,123 28,772 Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents 3,671 2,830 Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period 7,157 3,718 6,548 Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period \$ 10,828 \$ 1,623 \$ 2,191 Taxes paid \$ Interest paid 13,072 13,592

September 30, 2005 (Amounts in thousands — except share and per share data)

NOTE A — Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries (the "Company"). All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2005. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.

NOTE B — Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On December 16, 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment", which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation". SFAS No. 123(R) supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and amends SFAS No. 95, "Statement of Cash Flows". Generally, the approach in SFAS No. 123(R) is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123. However, SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative.

On April 15, 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission provided a phased-in implementation process for SFAS No. 123(R), which will now be effective for the Company on January 1, 2006. Early adoption will be permitted in periods in which financial statements have not yet been issued. The Company will adopt SFAS No. 123(R) as of January 1, 2006. SFAS No. 123(R) permits public companies to adopt its requirements using one of two methods: (1) a "modified prospective" method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123(R) for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123 for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123(R) that remain unvested on the effective date; or (2) a "modified retrospective" method, which includes the requirements of the modified prospective method described above, but also permits entities to restate based on the amounts previously recognized under SFAS No. 123 for purposes of pro forma disclosures, either (a) all prior periods presented or (b) prior interim periods of the year of adoption. The Company plans to adopt SFAS No. 123(R) using the "modified prospective" method.

As permitted by SFAS No. 123, the Company currently accounts for share-based payments to employees using APB Opinion No. 25's intrinsic value method and, as such, generally recognizes no compensation cost for employee stock options. Accordingly, the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)'s fair value method could have a significant impact on the results of operations of the Company, although it will have no impact on the Company's overall financial position. The impact of adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) cannot be predicted at this time because it will depend on levels of share-based payments granted in the future. However, had the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) in prior periods, the impact of that standard would have approximated the impact of SFAS No. 123 as described in the disclosure of pro forma net income and earnings per share in

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) — (Continued)

Note G below. SFAS No. 123(R) also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required under current accounting guidance. This requirement will reduce net operating cash flows and increase net financing cash flows in periods after adoption. While the Company cannot estimate what those amounts will be in the future (because they depend on, among other things, when employees exercise stock options), the amount of operating cash flows recognized in prior years was zero because the Company did not owe federal income taxes due to the recognition of net operating loss carryforwards for which valuation allowances had been provided.

In the fourth quarter of 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs", an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 4. The amendments made by SFAS No. 151 clarify that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and wasted materials (spoilage) are to be recognized as current-period charges and will require the allocation of fixed production overheads to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The guidance is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. Earlier application is permitted for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after November 23, 2004. The Company's adoption of SFAS No. 151 effective on July 1, 2005, did not have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the "Jobs Act") was signed into law in October 2004. The Jobs Act provides, among other things, for a tax deduction on qualified domestic production activities and introduced a special one-time dividends received deduction on the repatriation of certain foreign earnings to a U.S. taxpayer, provided certain criteria are met. The FASB issued FASB Staff Position 109-1 to provide guidance on the application of SFAS No. 109 and FASB Staff Position 109-2 to provide accounting and disclosure guidance for the repatriation provision. The Company is reviewing the implication of the Jobs Act, recently released treasury guidance and the FASB staff positions and does not expect the Jobs Act will have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTE C — Acquisitions

On July 20, 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of the assets of Purchased Parts Group, Inc. ("PPG") for \$7,000 in cash, \$483 in a short-term note payable and the assumption of approximately \$13,255 of trade liabilities. The acquisition was funded with borrowings under the Company's bank revolving credit agreement. The purchase price and the results of operations of PPG prior to its date of acquisition were not deemed significant as defined in Regulation S-X. The results of operations for PPG have been included since July 20, 2005. The preliminary tentative allocation of the purchase price has been performed based on the assignments of fair values to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The allocation of the purchase price is as follows:

Cash acquisition price	\$ 7,000
Assets	
Accounts receivable	(10,894)
Inventories	(10,606)
Prepaid expenses	(1,201)
Equipment	(407)
Liabilities	
Accounts payable	13,255
Accrued expenses	2,370
Note payable	483
Goodwill	\$ -0-
8	
· ·	

The Company has a plan for integration activities. In accordance with FASB EITF Issue No. 95-3, "Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination", the Company recorded accruals for severance, exit and relocation costs in the purchase price allocation. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending accrual balance is as follows:

	Sev	erance	Exit	Rel	ocation	Total
Balance at June 30, 2005	\$	-0-	\$ -0-	\$	-0-	\$ -0-
Add: Accruals		250	1,335		415	2,000
Less: Payments		(125)	(379)		-0-	(504)
Balance at September 30, 2005	\$	125	\$ 956	\$	415	\$ 1,496

On August 23, 2004, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of the Automotive Components Group (the "Amcast Components Group") of Amcast Industrial Corporation. The purchase price was approximately \$10,000 in cash and the assumption of approximately \$9,000 of operating liabilities. The acquisition was funded with borrowings under the Company's bank revolving credit facility. The purchase price and the results of operations of Amcast Components Group prior to its date of acquisition were not deemed significant as defined in Regulation S-X. The results of operations for Amcast Components Group have been included in the Company's consolidated results since August 23, 2004.

The final allocation of the purchase price has been performed based on the assignment of fair values to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The allocation of the purchase price is as follows:

Cash acquisition price	\$ 10,000
Assets	
Accounts receivable	(8,948)
Inventories	(2,044)
Property and equipment	(15,499)
Other	(115)
Liabilities	
Accounts payable	4,041
Compensation accruals	3,825
Other accruals	8,740
Goodwill	\$ -0-

The Company has a plan for integration activities and plant rationalization. In accordance with FASB EITF Issue No. 95-3, "Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination", the

Company recorded accruals for severance, exit and relocation costs in the purchase price allocation. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending accrual balances is as follows:

	Se	verance	Exit	Rel	ocation		Total
Balance at June 30, 2004	\$	-0-	\$ -0-	\$	-0-	\$	-0-
Add: Accruals		1,916	100		265		2,281
Less: Payments		295	-0-		2		297
Balance at December 31, 2004		1,621	100	·	263	·	1,984
Transfer		-0-	48		(48)		-0-
Less: Payments		1,009	148		102		1,259
Balance at September 30, 2005	\$	612	\$ -0-	\$	113	\$	725

On April 1, 2004, the Company acquired the remaining 66% of the common stock of Japan Ajax Magnethermic Company ("Jamco") for cash existing on the balance sheet of Jamco at that date. No additional purchase price was paid by the Company. The purchase price and the results of operations of Jamco prior to its date of acquisition were not deemed significant as defined in Regulation S-X. The results of operations for Jamco have been included in the Company's consolidated results since April 1, 2004.

NOTE D — Inventories

The components of inventory consist of the following:

	Sep 	tember 30, 2005	De	cember 31, 2004
Finished goods	\$	135,383	\$	121,832
Work in process		28,771		27,959
Raw materials and supplies		31,016		27,503
	\$	195,170	\$	177,294

NOTE E — Shareholders' Equity

At September 30, 2005, capital stock consists of (i) Serial Preferred Stock, of which 632,470 shares were authorized and none were issued, and (ii) Common Stock, of which 40,000,000 shares were authorized and 11,664,944 shares were issued, of which 10,936,599 were outstanding and 728,345 were treasury shares.

$\label{eq:park-ohio} PARK-OHIO \ HOLDINGS \ CORP. \ AND \ SUBSIDIARIES$ $NOTES \ TO \ CONSOLIDATED \ FINANCIAL \ STATEMENTS \ (UNAUDITED) \ — \ (Continued)$

NOTE F — Net Income Per Common Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine M End Septem	led		
	2	2005	2	2004	2005	2	2004
NUMERATOR							
Net income	\$	5,152	\$	3,991	\$ 18,851	\$	16,470
DENOMINATOR	_				 		
Denominator for basic earnings per share — weighted average shares		10,928		10,629	10,896		10,599
Effect of dilutive securities:							
Employee stock options		486		596	489		565
Denominator for diluted earnings per share — weighted average shares							
and assumed conversions		11,414		11,225	11,385		11,164
Amounts per common share:							
Basic	\$.47	\$.38	\$ 1.73	\$	1.55
Diluted	\$.45	\$.36	\$ 1.66	\$	1.48

NOTE G — Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and related interpretations using the intrinsic value method, which resulted in no compensation cost for options granted. Had compensation cost for stock options granted been determined based on the fair value method of SFAS Nos. 123 and 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", net income and earnings per share would have been as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,					En	e Months Ended ember 30,			
		2005		2004		2005		2004		
Net income, as reported	\$	5,152	\$	3,991	\$	18,851	\$	16,470		
Less: compensation cost determined under the fair value method, net of tax		37		71		72		225		
Pro forma net income	\$	5,115	\$	3,920	\$	18,779	\$	16,245		
Earnings per share:	_									
Basic earnings per share, as reported	\$.47	\$.38	\$	1.73	\$	1.55		
Basic earnings per share, pro forma		.47		.37		1.72		1.53		
Diluted earnings per share, as reported		.45		.36		1.66		1.48		
Diluted earnings per share, pro forma		.45		.35		1.65		1.46		

NOTE H — Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

The Company adopted SFAS No. 132 (revised 2003), "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits", which requires the disclosure of the components of net periodic benefit cost recognized during interim periods.

									Postretire	ement B	enefits	
			Pension	Benefit	s							
	 Three Ended Se	Months ptember (30,		Nine N Ended Sep	Months otember	30,	En	Months ided inber 30,		Enc	Months ded aber 30,
	 2005		2004		2005		2004	2005	2004	2	005	2004
Service costs	\$ 97	\$	72	\$	291	\$	228	\$ 35	\$ 23	\$	105	\$ 102
Interest costs	796		860		2,388		2,530	348	306		1,044	1,149
Expected return on plan assets	(2,211)		(2,099)		(6,633)		(6,285)	-0-	-0-		-0-	-0-
Transition obligation	(12)		(12)		(36)		(36)	-0-	-0-		-0-	-0-
Amortization of prior service cost	41		32		123		97	(17)	(20)		(51)	(60)
Recognized net actuarial (gain) loss	(60)		(48)		(180)		(211)	50	(43)		150	74
Benefit (income) costs	\$ (1,349)	\$	(1,195)	\$	(4,047)	\$	(3,677)	\$ 416	\$ 266	\$	1,248	\$ 1,265

NOTE I — Segments

The Company operates through three segments: Integrated Logistics Solutions ("ILS"), Aluminum Products and Manufactured Products. ILS is a leading supply chain logistics provider of production components to large, multinational manufacturing companies, other manufacturers and distributors. In connection with the supply of such production components, ILS provides a variety of value-added, cost-effective supply chain management services. Aluminum Products manufactures cast aluminum components for automotive, agricultural equipment, heavy-duty truck and construction equipment. Aluminum Products also provides value-added services such as design and engineering, machining and assembly. Manufactured Products operates a diverse group of niche manufacturing businesses that design and manufacture a broad range of high quality products engineered for specific customer applications.

Results by business segment were as follows:

		Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2005		2005 2004		2005		2004	
Net sales:									
ILS	\$	137,810	\$	112,158	\$	394,212	\$	343,272	
Aluminum products		36,816		35,741		122,800		91,591	
Manufactured products		59,621		52,976		174,913		159,291	
	\$	234,247	\$	200,875	\$	691,925	\$	594,154	
Income before income taxes:									
ILS	\$	8,200	\$	6,216	\$	24,675	\$	23,694	
Aluminum products		1,515		2,235		7,419		6,566	
Manufactured products		5,995		5,183		17,757		13,704	
		15,710		13,634		49,851		43,964	
Corporate costs		(2,607)		(2,184)		(8,366)		(6,077)	
Interest expense		(7,200)		(6,510)		(20,374)		(18,842)	
	\$	5,903	\$	4,940	\$	21,111	\$	19,045	
		12							

	September 30, 2005		cember 31, 2004
Identifiable assets were as follows:			
ILS	\$ 352,661	\$	297,002
Aluminum products	113,352		105,535
Manufactured products	181,261		163,230
General corporate	10,963		44,255
	\$ 658,237	\$	610,022

NOTE J — Comprehensive Income

Total comprehensive income was as follows:

	Enc	Months ded ıber 30,	Nine M Enc Septem	led
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Net income	\$ 5,152	\$ 3,991	\$ 18,851	\$ 16,470
Foreign currency translation	1,383	1,438	695	1,532
Total comprehensive income	\$ 6,535	\$ 5,429	\$ 19,546	\$ 18,002

The components of accumulated comprehensive loss at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 are as follows:

	September 30, 2005		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ (3,857)	\$	(3,162)
Minimum pension liability	 4,838		4,838
	\$ 981	\$	1,676

NOTE K — Restructuring Activities

The Company has responded to the economic downturn in 2001, 2002 and 2003 by reducing costs in a variety of ways, including restructuring businesses and selling non-core manufacturing assets. These activities generated restructuring and asset impairment charges in these years, as the Company's restructuring efforts continued and evolved. For further details on the restructuring activities, see Note P to the audited financial statements contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.

The accrued liability balance for severance and exit costs and related cash payments during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 consisted of:

Balance at December 31, 2004	\$ 462
Cash payments	(264)
Balance at September 30, 2005	\$ 198

NOTE L — Accrued Warranty Costs

The Company estimates the amount of warranty claims on sold products that may be incurred based on current and historical data. The actual warranty expense could differ from the estimates made by the

Company based on product performance. The following table presents the changes in the Company's product warranty liability:

	 2005		2004
Balance at January 1	\$ 4,281	\$	5,614
Claims paid during the year	(2,650)		(3,855)
Additional warranties issued during the year	2,250		2,182
Acquired warranty liabilities	 -0-		501
Balance at September 30	\$ 3,881	\$	4,442

NOTE M — Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005 was 11% compared to 14% for the corresponding period in 2004. Only foreign and state income taxes were provided for in both periods because federal income taxes were offset by net operating loss carryforwards that were not recognized previously. At December 31, 2004, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$47,700. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes", the tax benefits related to these carryforwards and other deferred tax assets have been reserved as of December 31, 2004.

The Company believes, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that all of the Company's deferred tax assets will not be realized as required by SFAS No. 109.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders Park-Ohio Holdings Corp.

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2005 and the related consolidated statements of income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, the consolidated statement of shareholders' equity for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005 and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based upon our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2004 and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended, not presented herein, and in our report dated March 10, 2005, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2004, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Cleveland, Ohio November 8, 2005

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Financial information for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005 is not directly comparable to the financial information for the same periods in 2004 primarily due to acquisitions.

Executive Overview

We are an industrial supply chain logistics and diversified manufacturing business, operating in three segments: ILS, Aluminum Products and Manufactured Products. ILS provides customers with integrated supply chain management services for a broad range of high-volume, specialty production components. ILS customers receive various value-added services, such as engineering and design services, part usage and cost analysis, supplier selection, quality assurance, bar coding, product packaging and tracking, just-in-time and point-of use delivery, electronic billing and ongoing technical support. The principal customers of ILS are in the heavy-duty truck, electrical controls, automotive and other vehicle, industrial equipment, power sports equipment, lawn and garden equipment, and semiconductor equipment industries. Aluminum Products casts and machines aluminum engine, transmission, brake, suspension and other components for automotive, agricultural equipment, construction equipment and heavy-duty truck original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"), primarily on a sole-source basis. Aluminum Products also provides value-added services such as design and engineering and assembly. Manufactured Products operates a diverse group of niche manufacturing businesses that design and manufacture a broad range of highly-engineered products including induction heating and melting systems, pipe threading systems, industrial oven systems, rubber products, and forged and machined products. Manufactured Products also produces and provides services and spare parts for the equipment it manufactures. The principal customers of Manufactured Products are OEMs and end-users in the steel, automotive, oil and gas, rail, and aerospace and defense industries. Sales, earnings and other relevant financial data for these three segments are provided in Note I to the consolidated financial statements.

Sales growth continued in 2005 at a more sustainable pace than the 30% growth recorded in 2004. Our sales were a record high \$691.9 million in the first nine months of 2005, 16% higher than the same period in 2004. This sales growth resulted both from continued growth in the manufacturing economy and from acquisitions. Four of our customer industries in particular experienced significant growth in the first nine months of 2005: heavy-duty truck, steel manufacturing, oil and gas, and aerospace. Approximately half of the sales growth resulted from the acquisitions of Amcast Components Group in August 2004 and PPG in July 2005. In the first nine months of 2005, net income increased 15% compared to the same period in 2004. Earnings were improved by increased sales, overhead efficiencies and continuing cost reductions, particularly in the Manufactured Products segment. Earnings were negatively affected by weakness in the automotive market, substantially increased energy costs, the remaining effects of steel price increases over the past eighteen months and an after-tax charge of \$.7 million resulting from the bankruptcy of a customer, Delphi Corporation ("Delphi"). This charge reflected primarily trade accounts receivable from Delphi.

During 2004, we experienced the increased sales and profitability previously forecast, as the manufacturing economy returned to growth, particularly in three of our customer industries: heavy-duty truck, semiconductor equipment and equipment for steel manufacturing. Net sales in 2004 increased 30% compared to 2003. Profitability increased at a rate higher than that of sales, primarily due to cost reductions from our restructuring during the downturn in 2001, 2002 and 2003. During those years, we consolidated 28 supply chain logistics facilities and closed or sold 11 manufacturing plants.

During 2004, we reinforced the long-term availability and attractive pricing of our liquidity, by refinancing both of our major sources of borrowed funds: senior subordinated notes and the bank revolving credit agreement. In November 2004, we sold \$210.0 million of 8.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014. We used the net proceeds to fund the tender and early redemption of \$199.9 million of 9.25% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2007. We incurred debt extinguishment costs primarily related to premiums and other transaction costs associated with the tender and early redemption and wrote off deferred financing costs totaling \$6.0 million associated with the repurchased senior subordinated notes. In December 2004, we

amended our bank revolving credit agreement, extending its maturity to six years so that it now expires in December 2010, increasing the credit limit so that we may borrow up to \$200.0 million subject to an asset based formula, and providing lower interest rate levels. Borrowings under the bank revolving credit agreement are secured by substantially all our assets. We had approximately \$47.3 million of unused borrowing availability at September 30, 2005. Funds provided by operations plus available borrowings under the bank revolving credit agreement are expected to be adequate to meet our cash requirements.

On July 20, 2005, we completed the acquisition of the assets of PPG for \$7.0 million in cash funded with borrowings under the Company's bank revolving credit agreement, \$.5 million in a short-term note payable and the assumption of approximately \$13.3 million of trade liabilities. We acquired substantially all of the assets of the Amcast Components Group on August 23, 2004 for \$10.0 million cash and the assumption of approximately \$9 million of operating liabilities. We acquired the remaining 66% of the common stock of Jamco on April 1, 2004 for cash existing on the balance sheet of Jamco at that date.

Results of Operations

Nine Months 2005 versus Nine Months 2004

Net Sales by Segment:

		ded			
	Septen 2005	2004	Percent Change	Acquired/ (Divested) Sales	
ILS	\$ 394.2	\$ 343.3	\$ 50.9	15%	\$ 14.7
Aluminum products	122.8	91.6	31.2	34%	40.7
Manufactured products	174.9	159.3	15.6	10%	3.5
Consolidated net sales	\$ 691.9	\$ 594.2	\$ 97.7	16%	\$ 58.9

Net sales increased by 16% in the first nine months of 2005 compared to the same period in 2004. ILS sales increased primarily due to the July 20, 2005 acquisition of PPG, general economic growth, particularly as a result of significant growth in the heavy-duty truck industry, the addition of new customers and increases in product range sold to existing customers. Aluminum Products sales increased in the first nine months of 2005 primarily due to sales from manufacturing plants acquired in August 2004 from the Amcast Components Group, partially offset by volume decreases in the automotive industry. Manufactured Products sales increased primarily in the induction equipment, pipe threading equipment and forging businesses. Of this increase in Manufactured Products sales, \$3.5 million was due to the April 2004 acquisition of the remaining 66% of the common stock of Jamco.

Cost of Products Sold & Gross Profit:

	Nine Mo Ende Septemb			
	2005	2004	Change	Percent Change
Consolidated cost of products sold	\$ 585.5	\$ 498.9	\$ 86.6	17%
Consolidated gross profit	\$ 106.4	\$ 95.2	\$ 11.2	12%
Gross margin	15.4%	16.0%		

Cost of products sold increased 17% in the first nine months of 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, while gross margin decreased to 15.4% from 16.0% in 2004. ILS gross margin decreased primarily due to steel price increases and changes in product mix. Aluminum Products gross margin decreased primarily due to the addition of the lower-margin Amcast business, product mix and pricing changes and the increased cost of natural gas. Gross margin in the Manufactured Products segment increased, primarily as a result of increased

sales and overhead efficiencies achieved in the induction equipment, pipe threading equipment and forging businesses.

Selling, General & Administrative ("SG&A") Expenses:

		ne Months Ended otember 30,		
	2005	2004	- Change	Percent Change
Consolidated SG&A expenses	\$ 64.9	\$ 57.	\$ 7.6	13%
SG&A expenses as a percent of sales	9.4	% 9.	6%	

Consolidated SG&A expenses increased 13% in the first nine months of 2005 compared to the same period in 2004. Approximately \$4.3 million of the SG&A increase was due to the acquisitions of Jamco, Amcast Components Group and PPG, while bonus expenses of \$1.1 million and a \$.7 million charge relating to the Delphi bankruptcy also contributed to the increased SG&A expenses. The remainder of the increase was primarily due to increased sales and production volumes. SG&A expenses as a percent of sales decreased by .2 percentage points. SG&A expenses were reduced in the first nine months 2005 compared to the same period in 2004 by a \$.4 million increase in net pension credits, reflecting improved returns on pension plan assets.

Interest Expense:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	 2005		2004	C	hange	Percent Change
Interest expense	\$ 20.4	\$	18.8	\$	1.6	9%
Average outstanding borrowings	\$ 358.2	\$	323.0	\$	35.2	11%
Average borrowing rate	7.58%		7.78%	(2	20) basis points	

Interest expense increased \$1.6 million in the first nine months of 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, primarily due to higher average debt outstanding, partially offset by lower average interest rates during the first nine months of 2005. The increase in average borrowings resulted primarily from higher working capital requirements and the purchases of Amcast Components Group and PPG in August 2004 and July 2005, respectively. The lower average borrowing rate in the first nine months of 2005 was due primarily to the lower interest rate of 8.375% on our senior subordinated notes sold in November 2004 compared to the 9.25% interest rate on the senior subordinated notes outstanding during the first nine months of 2004. The lower average borrowing rate in the first nine months of 2005 included increased interest rates under our bank revolving credit agreement compared to the first nine months of 2004.

Income Tax:

Income taxes of \$2.3 million were provided in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005, an 11% effective income tax rate, compared to income taxes of \$2.6 million provided in the corresponding period of 2004, a 14% effective income tax rate. In both periods, these taxes consisted primarily of state and foreign taxes on profitable operations. In neither period did the income tax provision include federal income taxes. At December 31, 2004, our subsidiaries had \$47.7 million of net operating loss carryforwards for federal tax purposes. We have recognized a tax benefit for these loss carryforwards only to the extent that they offset our federal tax provision for the first nine months of 2005.

Third Quarter 2005 versus Third Quarter 2004

Net Sales by Segment:

Three Months Ended September 30, Acquired/ (Divested) Percent 2005 2004 Change Change Sales ILS 112.2 25.6 137.8 23% 14.7 Aluminum products 36.8 35.7 1.1 3% 3.0 12% Manufactured products 59.6 53.0 0.0 6.6 Consolidated net sales 234.2 200.9 33.3 17.7 17%

Net sales increased 17% in the third quarter of 2005, compared to the same quarter in 2004. Third quarter ILS sales increased primarily due to the July 20, 2005 acquisition of PPG, general economic growth, particularly as a result of significant growth in the heavy-duty truck industry, the addition of new customers and increases in product range sold to existing customers. Aluminum Products sales increased in the third quarter of 2005 primarily due to sales from manufacturing plants acquired in August 2004 from the Amcast Components Group, partially offset by volume decreases in the automotive industry. Manufactured Products sales increased primarily in the induction equipment, pipe threading equipment and forging businesses.

Cost of Products Sold & Gross Profit:

	End	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2005	2004	Change	Percent Change	
Consolidated cost of products sold	<u>\$ 198.3</u>	\$ 169.5	\$ 28.8	17%	
Consolidated gross profit	\$ 35.9	\$ 31.4	\$ 4.5	14%	
Gross margin	15.3%	15.6%			

Cost of products sold increased 17% in the third quarter of 2005 compared to the same quarter in 2004, while gross margin decreased to 15.3% from 15.6% in 2004. ILS gross margin increased primarily due to cost reductions and changes in product mix. Aluminum Products gross margin decreased primarily due to the addition of the lower-margin Amcast business, product mix and pricing changes and the increased cost of natural gas. Sales from the acquired Amcast business generated significantly lower margins than the existing Aluminum Products business. Gross margin in the Manufactured Products segment increased, primarily as a result of slightly higher margins on capital equipment contracts, partially offset by increased natural gas costs.

SG&A Expenses:

	Enc	Months ded nber 30,		
	2005	2004	Change	Percent Change
Consolidated SG&A expenses	\$ 22.8	\$ 19.9	\$ 2.9	15%
SG&A expenses as a percent of sales	9.7%	9.9%		

Consolidated SG&A expenses increased 15% in the third quarter of 2005 compared to the same quarter in 2004 primarily due to a \$2.0 million increase from the acquisitions of Amcast Components Group in August 2004 and PPG in July 2005 and a \$.7 million charge relating to the Delphi bankruptcy. SG&A expenses as a percent of sales decreased by .2 percentage points. SG&A expenses were reduced in the third quarter of 2005 compared to the same period in 2004 by a \$.2 million increase in net pension credits reflecting improved returns on pension plan assets.

Interest Expense:

	Three M	lonths				
	Ende	ed				
	Septemb	er 30,				
	 _					Percent
	 2005	200	4	Ch	ange	Change
Interest expense	\$ 7.2	\$	6.5	\$	0.7	11%
Average outstanding borrowings	\$ 360.0	\$ 33	4.5	\$	25.5	8%
Average borrowing rate	8.00%	7	'.78%	22	2 basis points	

Interest expense increased \$.7 million in the second quarter of 2005 compared to the same period in 2004, primarily due to higher average debt outstanding and slightly higher average interest rates during the third quarter of 2005. The increase in average borrowings resulted primarily from higher working capital requirements and the purchases of Amcast Components Group and PPG in August 2004 and July 2005, respectively. The higher average borrowing rate in the third quarter of 2005 was due primarily to the increased interest rates under our bank revolving credit agreement, resulting from increases in interest rates by the Federal Reserve Bank. This increase in interest rates was partially offset by the lower interest rate of 8.375% on our senior subordinated notes sold in November 2004 compared to the 9.25% interest rate on the senior subordinated notes outstanding during the third quarter of 2004.

Income Tax:

Income taxes of \$.8 million were provided in the three-month period ended September 30, 2005, a 13% effective income tax rate, compared to income taxes of \$.9 million provided in the corresponding period of 2004, a 19% effective income tax rate. In both periods, these taxes consisted primarily of state and foreign taxes on profitable operations. In neither period did the income tax provision include federal income taxes. At December 31, 2004, our subsidiaries had \$47.7 million of net operating loss carryforwards for federal tax purposes.

Liquidity and Sources of Capital

Our liquidity needs are primarily for working capital and capital expenditures. Our primary sources of liquidity have been funds provided by operations and funds available from existing bank credit arrangements and the sale of our senior subordinated notes. On July 30, 2003, we entered into a new bank revolving credit agreement with a group of banks. On November 5, 2003, this credit agreement was amended to provide a facility for our subsidiaries in Canada and the United Kingdom. On December 29, 2004, we amended this credit agreement to extend the maturity to six years, increase the credit line, provide lower interest rate brackets and modify certain covenants to provide greater flexibility. Under the terms of the bank revolving credit agreement, as amended ("Credit Agreement"), we may borrow up to \$200.0 million subject to an asset based formula. The Credit Agreement is secured by substantially all our assets. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement, which expires on December 31, 2010, will be used for general corporate purposes.

Amounts borrowed under the Credit Agreement may be borrowed at the Company's election at either (i) LIBOR plus 75 — 225 basis points or (ii) the bank's prime lending rate. The LIBOR-based interest rate is dependent on the Company's Debt Service Coverage Ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement. Under the Credit Agreement, a detailed borrowing base formula provides borrowing availability to the Company based on percentages of eligible accounts receivable, inventory and fixed assets. As of September 30, 2005, the Company had \$139.3 million outstanding under the Credit Agreement and approximately \$47.3 million of unused borrowing availability.

Current financial resources (working capital and available bank borrowing arrangements) and anticipated funds from operations are expected to be adequate to meet current cash requirements for the next 12 months and through 2010, when the Credit Agreement matures. The future availability of bank borrowings under the Credit Agreement is based on the Company's ability to meet a Debt Service Coverage Ratio covenant, which could be materially impacted by negative economic trends. Failure to meet the financial covenant could

materially impact the availability and interest rate of future borrowings. At September 30, 2005, the Company was in compliance with the Credit Agreement's Debt Service Coverage Ratio covenant.

The ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 2.11 at September 30, 2005 versus 1.97 at December 31, 2004. Working capital increased by \$34.8 million to \$204.6 million at September 30, 2005 from \$169.8 million at December 31, 2004 primarily to support higher sales. Working capital at September 30, 2005 included \$9.1 at the acquired PPG operations.

During the first nine months of 2005, we provided \$3.9 million from operating activities compared to using \$7.6 million in the same period of 2004. The increase in operating cash provision of \$11.5 million was primarily the result of an increase in net income of \$2.4 million and smaller increases in accounts receivable and inventory in 2005 to support slower sales growth. Excluding additions related to the acquisition of PPG, accounts receivable and inventories and other current assets increased \$14.7 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, in the first nine months of 2005 compared to \$41.3 million and \$38.1 million, respectively, in the same period in 2004. Lower growth of these assets in the first nine months of 2005 was primarily due to slower sales growth compared to the same period in 2004. Cash provided by operations was reduced compared to 2004 as a result of slower sales growth, reductions in accounts payable and accrued expenses. In the first nine months of 2005, accounts payable and accrued expenses combined were reduced by \$4.1 million, compared to an increase of \$45.4 million in the same period of 2004. During the first nine months of 2005, we invested \$12.4 million in capital expenditures and paid \$7.0 million to acquire PPG. These activities, less a net increase in borrowing of \$18.1 million, resulted in an increase in cash of \$3.7 million in the first nine months of 2005.

We do not have off-balance-sheet arrangements, financing or other relationships with unconsolidated entities or other persons. From time to time, we enter into forward contracts on foreign currencies, primarily the euro, purely for the purpose of hedging exposure to changes in the value of accounts receivable in those currencies against the US dollar. However, at September 30, 2005, no such hedge contracts were outstanding. We currently use no other derivative instruments.

Seasonality; Variability of Operating Results

Our results of operations are typically stronger in the first six months than the last six months of each calendar year due to scheduled plant maintenance in the third quarter, which coincide with customer plant shutdowns, and holidays in the fourth quarter.

The timing of orders placed by our customers has varied with, among other factors, orders for customers' finished goods, customer production schedules, competitive conditions and general economic conditions. The variability of the level and timing of orders has, from time to time, resulted in significant periodic and quarterly fluctuations in the operations of our business units. Such variability is particularly evident at the capital equipment businesses, included in the Manufactured Products segment, which typically ship a few large systems per year.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains certain statements that are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. The words "believes", "anticipates", "plans", "expects", "intends", "estimates" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance and achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These uncertainties and other factors include, but are not limited to, such things as: general business conditions and competitive factors, including pricing pressures and product innovation; demand for our products and services; raw material availability and pricing; changes in our relationships with customers and suppliers; the financial condition of our customers and suppliers, including the impact of any bankruptcies; our ability to successfully integrate recent and future acquisitions into existing operations, including our recent acquisition of the assets of PPG; changes in general domestic economic conditions such as inflation rates, interest rates, tax rates and adverse impacts to us, our suppliers and customers from acts of terrorism or

hostilities; our ability to meet various covenants, including financial covenants, contained in our revolving credit agreement and the indenture governing our senior subordinated notes; increasingly stringent domestic and foreign governmental regulations, including those affecting the environment; inherent uncertainties involved in assessing our potential liability for environmental remediation-related activities; the outcome of pending and future litigation and other claims, including without limitation, asbestos claims; dependence on the automotive and heavy-duty truck industries, which are highly cyclical; dependence on key management; and dependence on information systems. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In light of these and other uncertainties, the inclusion of a forward-looking statement herein should not be regarded as a representation by us that our plans and objectives will be achieved.

Review By Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The consolidated financial statements at September 30, 2005, and for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, have been reviewed, prior to filing, by Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, and their report is included herein.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk including changes in interest rates. We are subject to interest rate risk on borrowings under our Credit Agreement, which consisted of borrowings of \$139.3 million at September 30, 2005. A 100 basis point increase in the interest rate would have resulted in an increase in interest expense of approximately \$1.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Our foreign subsidiaries generally conduct business in local currencies. During the first nine months of 2005, we recorded a favorable foreign currency translation adjustment of \$.7 million related to net assets located outside the United States. This foreign currency translation adjustment resulted primarily from the weakening of the US dollar in relation to the Canadian dollar. Our foreign operations are also subject to other customary risks of operating in a global environment, such as unstable political situations, the effect of local laws and taxes, tariff increases and regulations and requirements for export licenses, the potential imposition of trade or foreign exchange restrictions and transportation delays.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision of and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report.

Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the third quarter of 2005 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to various pending and threatened lawsuits in which claims for monetary damages are asserted in the ordinary course of business. While any litigation involves an element of uncertainty, in the

opinion of management, liabilities, if any, arising from currently pending or threatened litigation will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity or results of operations.

At September 30, 2005, we were a co-defendant in approximately 1,100 cases asserting claims on behalf of approximately 10,800 plaintiffs alleging personal injury as a result of exposure to asbestos. These asbestos cases generally relate to the production and sale of asbestos-containing products and allege various theories of liability, including negligence, gross negligence and strict liability and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages.

In every asbestos case in which we are named as a party, the complaints are filed against multiple named defendants. In substantially all of the asbestos cases, the plaintiffs either claim damages in excess of a specified amount, typically a minimum amount sufficient to establish jurisdiction of the court in which the case was filed (jurisdictional minimums generally range from \$25,000 to \$75,000), or do not specify the monetary damages sought. To the extent that any specific amount of damages is sought, the amount applies to claims against all named defendants.

There are only five asbestos cases, involving 22 plaintiffs, that plead specified damages. In each of the five cases, the plaintiff is seeking compensatory and punitive damages based on a variety of potentially alternative causes of action. In three cases, the plaintiff has alleged compensatory damages in the amount of \$3.0 million for four separate causes of action and \$1.0 million for another cause of action and punitive damages in the amount of \$20.0 million for three separate causes of action and \$5.0 million for another cause of action and punitive damages in the amount of \$20.0 million. In the final case, the plaintiff has alleged compensatory damages in the amount of \$2.5 million.

Historically, we have been dismissed from asbestos cases on the basis that the plaintiff incorrectly sued one of our subsidiaries or because the plaintiff failed to identify any asbestos-containing product manufactured or sold by us or our subsidiaries. We intend to vigorously defend these asbestos cases, and believe we will continue to be successful in being dismissed from such cases. However, it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of asbestos-related lawsuits, claims and proceedings due to the unpredictable nature of personal injury litigation. Despite this uncertainty, and although our results of operations and cash flows for a particular period could be adversely affected by asbestos-related lawsuits, claims and proceedings, management believes that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity or results of operations. Among the factors management considered in reaching this conclusion were: (a) our historical success in being dismissed from these types of lawsuits on the bases mentioned above; (b) many cases have been improperly filed against one of our subsidiaries; (c) in many cases, the plaintiffs have been unable to establish any causal relationship to us or our products or premises; (d) in many cases, the plaintiffs have been unable to demonstrate that they have suffered any identifiable injury or compensable loss at all, that any injuries that they have incurred did in fact result from alleged exposure to asbestos; and (e) the complaints assert claims against multiple defendants and, in most cases, the damages alleged are not attributed to individual defendants. Additionally, we do not believe that the amounts claimed in any of the asbestos cases are meaningful indicators of our potential exposure because the amounts claimed typically bear no relation to the extent of the plaintiff's injury, if any.

Our cost of defending these lawsuits has not been material to date and, based upon available information, our management does not expect its future costs for asbestos-related lawsuits to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity or financial position.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders during the third quarter of 2005.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are included herein:

15	Letter re: unaudited interim financial information
31.1	Principal Executive Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Principal Financial Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.	Certification requirement under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

	PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. (Registrant)	
	By /s/ Richard P. Elliott	
	Name: Richard P. Elliott	
	Title: Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	
	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	
Date	November 8, 2005	
	25	

EXHIBIT INDEX

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005

Exhibit	
15	Letter re: unaudited interim financial information
31.1	Principal Executive Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Principal Financial Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification requirement under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

26 EX-15 2 116665aexv15.htm EX-15 LETTER RE: UNAUDITED INTERIM FINANCIAL INFORMATION

LETTER RE: UNAUDITED INTERIM FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Board of Directors and Shareholders Park-Ohio Holdings Corp.

We are aware of the incorporation by reference in the following Registration Statements of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp., for the registration of its common stock of our report dated November 8, 2005 relating to the unaudited consolidated interim financial statements of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp., that are included in its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.

		Shares
Registration Statement	Description	Registered
Form S-8 (33-01047)	Individual Account Retirement Plan	1,500,000
Form S-8 (333-58161)	Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term	
	Incentive Plan	550,000
Form S-8 (333-110536)	Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term	
	Incentive Plan	1,100,000

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Cleveland, Ohio November 8, 2005

EX-31.1 3 116665aexv31w1.htm EX-31.1 PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION

PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Edward F. Crawford, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2005

By /s/ Edward F. Crawford

Name: Edward F. Crawford

Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

EX-31.2 4 116665aexy31w2.htm EX-31.2 PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER'S CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Richard P. Elliott, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2005

By /s/ Richard P. Elliott

Name: Richard P. Elliott

Title: Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

EX-32 5 116665aexv32.htm EX-32 CERTIFICATION 906

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), each of the undersigned officers of the Company certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to such officer's knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Report.

Date: November 8, 2005

By /s/ Edward F. Crawford

Name: Edward F. Crawford

Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

By /s/ Richard P. Elliott

Name: Richard P. Elliott

Title: Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 and is not being filed as part of the Report or as a separate disclosure document.