### **Print this report**

# Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

**District Name:** HIGHLAND PARK ISD **District ID:** 188903

#### Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

											Two						
			Region		African			American		Pacific	or Moro	Snocial	Econ				
		State	_		American H	lispanic	White					-		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
STAAR Percent A						-											g
Grade 3	0040	700/	700/	000/		4007	000/	*				*	000/	0.407	700/	<b>50</b> 0/	
Reading	2016 2015		73% 75%	66% 63%	*	46% 65%	80% 55%	*	83%	^	*	*	68% 63%	64%	76% 70%	58% 55%	-
	2013	7470	73%	03%		05%	55%		03%	-			03%		10%	55%	-
Mathematics	2016	74%	78%	75%	*	75%	80%	*	*	*	*	*	77%	91%	72%	78%	-
	2015	74%	77%	74%	*	70%	71%	*	100%	-	*	*	74%	86%	75%	73%	-
Grade 4	2016	740/	760/	600/	*	740/	62%	*	*		*	*	67%	*	76%	C10/	
Reading	2016 2015		76% 72%	69% 73%	*	74% 71%	85%	*	*	-	*	78%	65%	56%	68%	61% 79%	-
	2013	7 1 70	12/0	1370		7 1 70	00 /0			_		1070	0370	30 70	0070	1370	_
Mathematics	2016	72%	75%	77%	*	70%	76%	*	100%	-	*	*	74%	*	76%	79%	-
	2015	71%	74%	80%	*	86%	85%	*	86%	-	*	56%	76%	78%	75%	86%	-
Writing	2016		70%	57%	*	55%	52%	*	*	-	*	*	51%	*	64%	46%	-
	2015	67%	67%	58%	î	64%	59%	•	•	-		2	59%	56%	57%	59%	-
Grade 5																	
Reading	2016	80%	81%	81%	-	84%	82%	*	71%	-	*	54%	73%	75%	82%	81%	-
	2015	83%	85%	95%	*	95%	94%	*	100%	-	-	*	90%	100%	100%	90%	-
Mathematics	2016	85%	900/	700/		0.40/	76%	*	710/		*	460/	73%	750/	760/	010/	
Maniemancs	2016 2015		89% 79%	78% 81%	*	84% 80%	81%	*	71% *	-	_	46% *	73% 71%	75% *	76% 90%	81% 71%	-
	2010	1070	1070	0170		0070	0170						7 1 70		0070	7 1 70	
Science	2016	73%	73%	84%	-	80%	88%	*	86%	-	*	69%	80%	88%	79%	92%	-
	2015	69%	71%	94%	*	95%	91%	*	100%	-	-	*	94%	100%	94%	94%	-
Crada 6																	
Grade 6 Reading	2016	68%	68%	89%	*	95%	81%	*	100%	_	_	*	82%	*	97%	79%	_
reading	2015		72%	82%	*	83%	73%	-	100%	-	*	*	90%	*	78%	85%	-
Mathematics	2016	71%	73%	80%	*	86%	78%	*	83%	-	-	*	77%	*	89%	70%	-
	2015	72%	72%	93%	*	88%	95%	-	100%	-	*	*	97%	*	100%	88%	-
Grade 7																	
Reading	2016	69%	67%	78%	*	73%	78%	_	88%	_	*	*	83%	*	79%	77%	_
. roadii ig	2015		72%	70%	*	70%	63%	-	100%	-	*	*	72%	83%	74%	66%	-
Mathematics	2016		67%	81%	*	69%	96%	-	88%	-	*	*	80%	*	89%	74%	-
	2015	68%	69%	84%	*	85%	80%	-	100%	-	*	*	92%	*	82%	86%	-
Writing	2016	68%	67%	81%	*	77%	82%	_	75%	_	*	*	82%	*	86%	76%	_
vviimig	2015		68%	67%	*	70%	57%	-	100%	-	*	*	69%	*	77%	56%	-
	_3.3	,0	,0	/•			,						-3,0		, •		
Grade 8																	
Reading	2016		85%	84%	*	83%	84%	-	100%	-	*	64%	81%	*	94%	74%	-
	2015	84%	84%	88%	*	80%	90%	-	89%	-	*	*	85%	*	85%	92%	-

Mathematics	2016	80%	82%	75%	*	71%	75%	_	100%	_	*	*	81%	*	86%	63%	_
	2015		75%	81%	*	64%	85%	-	*	-	*	*	76%	*	81%	81%	-
Science	2016	73%	71%	71%	*	78%	62%	-	100%	-	*	*	77%	*	80%	61%	-
	2015	67%	65%	72%	*	53%	72%	-	100%	-	*	*	63%	*	70%	76%	-
Social Studies	2016	62%	59%	65%	*	67%	57%	_	100%	_	*	*	68%	*	69%	61%	-
	2015	61%	55%	74%	*	53%	76%	_	89%	_	*	*	70%	*	70%	80%	-
End of Course																	
English I	2016	63%	61%	68%	*	72%	64%	_	67%	_	*	71%	61%	*	90%	53%	_
g	2015		68%	82%	*	83%	79%	_	85%	_	*	75%	77%	*	90%	74%	_
	_0.0	0070	0070			0070	. 0 / 0		00,0			. 0 , 0	,0		0070	,0	
English II	2016	66%	66%	72%	_	60%	74%	_	85%	_	_	*	64%	*	79%	64%	_
Lingilonin	2015		68%	70%	100%	71%	65%	_	67%	_	*	*	61%	*	75%	67%	_
	20.0	0070	0070	. 0 / 0	10070	1170	0070		01 70				0170		1070	01 70	
Algebra I	2016	76%	78%	84%	*	81%	87%	_	67%	_	*	80%	81%	*	90%	79%	_
, iigobia i	2015		79%	76%	*	79%	73%	_	83%	_	*	*	76%	*	82%	69%	_
	2010	1170	7070	1070		7070	1070		0070				1070		0270	0070	
Biology	2016	86%	88%	91%	*	82%	91%	_	100%	_	*	*	89%	*	97%	83%	_
blology	2015		89%	89%	*	79%	92%	-	100%	_	*	*	76%	*	100%	79%	
	2013	00 /0	0976	09 /0		1970	32 /o	_	100 /6	_			1070		100 /6	1970	-
IIC History	2016	000/	900/	050/	*	020/	0.40/		1000/		*	710/	000/	*	070/	020/	
U.S. History	2016		89%	95%	*	92%	94%	-	100%	-	*	71% *	98%		97%	93%	-
	2015	88%	87%	88%		88%	87%	-	100%	-			84%	-	86%	91%	-
All One dee																	
All Grades	0040	740/	740/	770/	700/	750/	770/	700/	000/	*	050/	440/	700/	050/	000/	700/	
All Subjects	2016		74%	77%	70%	75%	77%	79%	86%		85%	41%	76%	65%	83%	72%	-
	2015	73%	74%	78%	85%	77%	76%	78%	89%	-	78%	41%	76%	65%	80%	76%	-
D !!	0040	700/	<b>70</b> 0/		750/	700/	700/	<b>70</b> 0/	0.40/	*		4.407	700/	2001	0.407	000/	
Reading	2016		72%	76%	75%	73%	76%	78%	84%	^	77%	44%	72%	63%	84%	68%	-
	2015	74%	74%	77%	92%	77%	74%	86%	85%	-	76%	45%	74%	63%	80%	74%	-
Mathematics	2016		78%	79%	61%	76%	81%	78%	83%	*	83%	40%	77%	68%	83%	75%	-
	2015	73%	75%	81%	75%	79%	80%	71%	92%	-	80%	43%	81%	70%	83%	79%	-
Writing	2016		68%	69%	83%	67%	65%	*	69%	-	100%	*	67%	*	73%	64%	-
	2015	68%	67%	63%	*	68%	58%	*	80%	-	*	*	64%	60%	69%	57%	-
Science	2016	77%	77%	82%	*	80%	80%	*	96%	-	*	50%	82%	77%	86%	77%	-
	2015	75%	75%	85%	100%	78%	85%	*	100%	-	*	50%	79%	75%	86%	84%	-
Social Studies	2016	76%	74%	80%	71%	81%	75%	-	100%	-	*	39%	85%	71%	82%	79%	-
	2015	74%	71%	82%	88%	75%	81%	-	93%	-	100%	44%	78%	*	78%	86%	-
STAAR Percent at	Final	Level l	l or Ab	ove													
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016	42%	40%	42%	45%	35%	41%	33%	61%	*	56%	19%	40%	25%	46%	38%	-
,	2015		36%	39%	52%	33%	39%	28%	51%	_	35%	14%	36%	20%	41%	36%	_
Reading	2016	42%	39%	41%	38%	35%	41%	22%	59%	*	62%	21%	38%	26%	49%	33%	_
	2015		38%	40%	58%	35%	41%	43%	45%	_	29%	15%	35%	12%	44%	36%	_
	20.0	1070	0070	1070	0070	0070	1170	1070	1070		2070	1070	0070	.270	1170	0070	
Mathematics	2016	40%	40%	37%	33%	30%	38%	22%	59%	*	50%	18%	36%	24%	39%	35%	_
Mathematics	2015		35%	39%	56%	31%	38%	14%	59%	_	50%	17%	39%	33%	42%	35%	_
	2013	30 /0	3370	33 /0	3070	J170	30 /0	14/0	3370	_	30 /0	17 70	33 /0	3370	42 /0	33 /0	_
Writing	2016	300/	37%	30%	67%	17%	31%	*	38%	_	50%	*	28%	*	34%	24%	
vvriurig					*			*			50% *	*					-
	2015	J1%	29%	32%		29%	30%	*	53%	-			33%	20%	39%	24%	-
0::	0010	4.407	4007	E00'	*	4007	F00/	*	0407		*	0407	0407	0407	0007	E00'	
Science	2016		42%	59%	*	49%	56%		81%	-	*	21%	61%	31%	63%	53%	-
	2015	40%	38%	45%	63%	37%	44%	*	63%	-	*	13%	40%	13%	45%	45%	-
		4										4		0	0=::	=6.5	
Social Studies	2016		39%	44%	57%	55%	34%	-	59%	-	*	17%	51%	29%	35%	53%	-
	2015	41%	35%	35%	38%	33%	39%	-	29%	-	33%	13%	29%	*	25%	47%	-

### STAAR Percent at Level III Advanced

All Grades																
All Subjects	2016 17	% 14%	12%	13%	8%	12%	8%	25%	*	15%	4%	12%	5%	14%	10%	-
	2015 14	% 12%	12%	16%	9%	12%	6%	19%	-	23%	1%	12%	6%	14%	10%	-
Reading	2016 16	% 14%	13%	13%	10%	12%	11%	21%	*	31%	2%	12%	5%	17%	9%	-
	2015 15	5% 13%	12%	13%	8%	13%	14%	15%	-	24%	0%	12%	0%	16%	8%	-
Mathematics	2016 17	% 16%	12%	17%	5%	13%	0%	30%	*	8%	5%	12%	5%	13%	12%	-
	2015 14	% 12%	14%	31%	9%	12%	0%	31%	-	20%	0%	14%	18%	16%	11%	-
Writing	2016 14	% 11%	7%	17%	7%	6%	*	8%	-	17%	*	7%	*	13%	2%	-
	2015 89	% 6%	8%	*	9%	7%	*	7%	-	*	*	8%	0%	10%	5%	-
Science	2016 15	5% 13%	13%	*	5%	13%	*	31%	-	*	11%	16%	8%	12%	15%	-
	2015 14	% 12%	11%	25%	6%	12%	*	16%	-	*	6%	7%	0%	12%	10%	-
Social Studies	2016 21	% 16%	13%	0%	14%	11%	-	24%	-	*	0%	12%	0%	9%	16%	-
	2015 18	3% 14%	14%	0%	15%	14%	-	14%	-	33%	0%	12%	*	10%	19%	-
STAAR Participation	TAAR Participation (All Grades)															

All Tests	2016	99%	99%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	99%	-
	2015	99%	99%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Reading	2016	99%	99%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
	2015	99%	99%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Mathematics	2016	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
	2015	99%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Writing	2016	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
•	2015	99%	99%	99%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	95%	99%	100%	99%	100%	-
Science	2016	99%	99%	100%	100%	98%	100%	*	100%	-	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%	99%	-
	2015	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Social Studies	2016	98%	99%	99%	100%	98%	100%	-	100%	-	*	95%	100%	100%	100%	99%	-
	2015	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	_	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
	_																

#### STAAR Participation Results by Assessment Type for Students Served in Special Education Settings (All Grades)

Reading Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	98%	99%	99%	*	97%	100%	-	*	*	*	99%	100%	100%	100%	97%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	13%	9%	3%	*	0%	7%	-	*	*	*	3%	3%	0%	3%	3%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	73%	80%	84%	*	83%	83%	-	*	*	*	84%	85%	100%	87%	81%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	10%	12%	*	13%	10%	-	*	*	*	12%	13%	0%	10%	14%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	1%	1%	*	3%	0%	-	*	*	*	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	-
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	99%	99%	98%	*	97%	100%	-	*	*	*	98%	100%	100%	100%	97%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	12%	10%	6%	*	7%	7%	-	*	*	*	6%	8%	22%	7%	6%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	75%	79%	80%	*	76%	82%	-	*	*	*	80%	78%	78%	83%	78%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	10%	12%	*	14%	11%	-	*	*	*	12%	14%	0%	10%	14%	-

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- -' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

#### Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

	All Student	African sAmerican	ıHispanic	White	American Indian						ELL Il (Current & Monitored			Total Eligible	
Performance Status - State	000/	CO0/	000/	CO0/	CO0/	CO0/	000/	CO0/	CO0/	000/	C00/				
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%		•	7	00
Reading	Y		Y	Y		Y			Y	N	Y		6	7	86
Mathematics	Y		Y	Y		Υ			Y	N	Υ		6	7	86
Writing	Y		Y	Y		.,			Y				4	4	100
Science	Y		Y	Υ		Υ			Y	N			5	6	83
Social Studies	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ				4	4	100
Total													25	28	89
Performance Status - Federa	al														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N	Ν	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν	N		n/a			
Mathematics	N		N	Ν	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	Ν		n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ	Υ		Υ	7	7	100
Mathematics	Ϋ́		Ϋ́	Ϋ́		Ϋ́			Ϋ́	Ϋ́		Y	7	7	100
Total	·			·		·			·	·		•	14	14	100
Federal Graduation Status (	Target: Se	ee Reason (	codes)												
Graduation Target Met	Y		, , ,	Υ					Υ				3	3	100
Reason Code ***	a			a					a				ŭ	· ·	
Total	u			ŭ					ű				3	3	100
District: Met Federal Limits of Reading	on Alterna	ative Assess	sments												
Alternate 1%	N														
Number Proficient	7														
Total Federal Cap Limit	6														
Mathematics .															
Alternate 1%	N														
Number Proficient	7														
Total Federal Cap Limit	5														
Total	-												0	1	0
Overall Total													42	46	91

- + Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)
- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- \*\*\* Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88%

d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Two or ELL

	All Students	African American	Hisnanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disady	Special Ed	(Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates	Otadonto	Amonoun	Поратто	************	maian	Asian	ioiaiiaci	Mades	Disauv		Monitorea	(Guirein)
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	384	11	120	187	**	51	*	7	214	27	40	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	497	14	163	239	**	61	*	10	291	57	56	41
% at Level II Satisfactory	77%	79%	74%	78%	78%	84%	*	70%	74%	47%	71%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	341	11	107	168	**	39	*	8	195	25	38	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	416	16	135	201	**	45	*	9	244	56	47	34
% at Level II Satisfactory	82%	69%	79%	84%	78%	87%	*	89%	80%	45%	81%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	75	5	27	29	*	9	-	*	42	*	5	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	109	5	39	46	*	13	-	*	63	*	9	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	69%	100%	69%	63%	*	69%	-	*	67%	*	56%	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	157	*	42	79	*	25	-	*	87	14	20	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	187	*	53	95	*	25	-	*	104	25	23	12
% at Level II Satisfactory	84%	*	79%	83%	*	100%	-	*	84%	56%	87%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	109	**	32	53	-	16	-	*	62	7	10	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	133	**	39	68	-	16	-	*	73	16	12	6
% at Level II Satisfactory	82%	71%	82%	78%	-	100%	-	*	85%	44%	83%	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessm												
Number Participating	539	16	176	262	**	62	*	13	310	66	n/a	45
Total Students	541	16	178	262	**	62	*	13	310	67	n/a	45
Participation Rate	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	99%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asse												_
Number Participating	455	18	147	222	**	46	*	12	261	65	n/a	37
Total Students	457	18	149	222	**	46	*	12	261	66	n/a	37

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

98%

n/a

100%

99%

Participation Rate

 $\ensuremath{\text{n/a}}$  Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

100%

								Two or				
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	duation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	15								
Number Graduated	61	-	19	37	-	5	-	-	31	9	-	n/a
Total in Class	65	-	21	39	-	5	-	-	33	11	-	-
Graduation Rate	93.8%	-	90.5%	94.9%	-	100.0%	-	-	93.9%	81.8%	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	duation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14								
Number Graduated	52	-	19	27	-	**	-	*	28	3	*	n/a
Total in Class	56	-	20	30	-	**	-	*	31	5	*	*
Graduation Rate	92.9%	-	95.0%	90.0%	-	100.0%	-	*	90.3%	60.0%	*	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Ra	te (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14									
Number Graduated	52	-	19	27	-	**	-	*	28	*	*	n/a
Total in Class	55	-	20	29	-	**	-	*	31	*	*	*

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

<sup>\*\*</sup> When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

Graduation Rate 94.5% - 95.0% 93.1% - 100.0% - \* 90.3% \* \* n/a

#### District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient 7
Total Federal Cap Limit 6
Mathematics
Number Proficient 7
Total Federal Cap Limit 5

- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- \*\* When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

#### Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

**Priority schools** are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Results available at campus level only.

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

Results available at campus level only.

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

#### Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

#### Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	District		State	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	3,524.0	1.0%
Bachelors	61.0	80.9%	259,559.7	74.7%
Masters	13.4	17.8%	82,029.5	23.6%
Doctorate	1.0	1.3%	2.158.9	0.6%

## Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

#### **All Campuses**

#### **Core Academic Subject Areas**

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		60	7	67
Total Number of Classes		194	19	213
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	194	13	207
	Percent	100.00%	68.42%	97.18%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	6	6
	Percent	0.00%	31.58%	2.82%

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of Teachers				
	Elem	secondary			
	(PK-6)	(7-12)			
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0			
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0			
Non-renewable	0	1			
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0			
District Teaching	0	0			
Temporary	0	0			

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Numbe	Number of Teachers						
	General Education	Special Education						
Highly Qualified	0	0						
Not Highly Qualified	0	0						

#### **High Poverty Campuses**

**Core Academic Subject Areas** 

Report Not Required

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

Report Not Required

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

Report Not Required

**Low Poverty Campuses** 

#### **Core Academic Subject Areas**

Report Not Required

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

Report Not Required

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

Report Not Required

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

#### Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Year Enrolled in Higher Education	District	Region 16	State
2013-14	64.2%	56.0%	57.5%
2012-13	64.6%	54.9%	56.9%

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

#### Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

#### State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	<b>Below Basic</b>	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2

Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	•	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
		Limited English Proficient	92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	80
		Limited English Proficient	95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment