# **Texas Education Agency** 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: WILSON J H Campus ID: 146902041 **District Name: DAYTON ISD** 

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability

STAAR Percent A					African American b ory Standa		White		Asian			•		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Grade 5																	
Reading	2015 8	83%	68%	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	-	*	-
Mathematics	2015	75%	65%	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	-	*	-
Science	2015	69%	61%	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	-	*	-
Grade 6 Reading	2016	68%	54%	54%	44%	53%	56%	*	*	-	*	18%	44%	32%	63%	45%	-
Mathematics	2016	71%	64%	64%	44%	69%	63%	*	*	-	*	27%	55%	55%	62%	66%	-
Grade 7																	
Reading	2016 6 2015 7		56% 71%	56% 71%	39% 69%	56% 71%	57% 72%	*	* -	-	*	22% 22%	52% 67%	30% 35%	63% 75%	49% 68%	-
Mathematics	2016 6 2015 6		62% 70%	62% 70%	39% 62%	64% 74%	63% 68%	* -	*	-	*	32% 14%	58% 68%	46% 40%	65% 73%	59% 66%	-
Writing	2016 6 2015 6		54% 66%	54% 66%	48% 58%	55% 71%	55% 63%	*	*	-	*	18%	47% 64%	24% 35%	62% 74%	46% 58%	- -
Grade 8 Reading	2016 8 2015 8		82% 81%	82% 81%	79% 68%	81% 82%	83% 82%	- *	- -	- -	75% *	40% 32%	80% 78%	48% 55%	86% 81%	78% 80%	- -
Mathematics	2016 8 2015 7		87% 65%	87% 65%	96% 50%	88% 70%	85% 65%	- *	- -	-	88%	47% 23%	86% 63%	71% 43%	92% 66%	83% 64%	-
Science	2016 7 2015 6		64% 61%	64% 61%	62% 37%	60% 61%	66% 65%	- *	-	-	75% *	21% 16%	64% 57%	24% 23%	65% 56%	62% 65%	-
Social Studies	2016 6 2015 6		55% 49%	55% 49%	45% 33%	55% 51%	56% 50%	- *	-	-	75% *	19%	51% 43%	19% 23%	54% 43%	56% 54%	-
End of Course Algebra I	2016 7 2015 7		80% 84%	100% 100%	100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	100% 100%	- -	100% 100%		- -
All Grades All Subjects	2016 7 2015 7		67% 66%	65% 67%	56% 54%	65% 69%	66% 67%	77% 50%	90%	- -	62% 57%	27% 17%	60% 63%	39% 37%	68% 68%	61% 66%	- -
Reading	2016 2015		63% 66%	64% 76%	55% 68%	64% 76%	66% 77%	83%	* -	-	59% 71%	26% 28%		35% 45%	71% 78%	57% 75%	-
Mathematics	2016 7 2015 7		70% 68%	72% 69%	60% 56%	74% 74%	72% 69%	83%	* -	-	68%	34% 20%		56% 42%	74% 72%	71% 67%	-
Writing	2016 6 2015 6		54% 56%	54% 66%	48% 58%	55% 71%	55% 63%	*	*	- -	*	18%		24% 35%		46% 58%	- -
Science	2016 7 2015 7		73% 67%	64% 61%	62% 37%	60% 61%	66% 65%	- *	-	- -	75% *	21% 19%		24% 24%	65% 56%	62% 65%	- -
Social Studies	2016 7 2015 7		70% 66%	55% 49%	45% 33%	55% 51%	56% 50%	- *	-	-	75% *	19%	51% 43%	19% 23%		56% 54%	-

					Δ	frican			Amer	ican		Pacific	Two or More	Special	Fcon				
STAAR Percent a							Hispan	ic White						•		ELL F	emale	Male	Migrant
All Grades	it i iiiui		0. /	15010															
All Subjects	2016 2015		32% 28%			19% 21%	30% 28%	33% 31%	54° 20°		0%	- -	22% 26%	11% 4%	26% 24%	9% 8%	33% 28%	28% 29%	-
Reading	2016 2015		32% 30%			16% 23%	30% 28%	33% 34%	339	%	*	-	27% 43%	11% 1%	24% 24%	6% 4%	35% 31%	26% 30%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		32% 29%		% %	23% 24%	36% 36%	37% 33%	839		*	- -	18%	11% 5%		14% 18%	37% 33%	34% 33%	- -
Writing	2016 2015		25% 20%			26% 23%	28% 21%	26% 32%	*		*	-	*	12%	23% 22%	9% 2%	35% 34%	18% 21%	-
Science	2016 2015		37% 31%		% %	17% 20%	29% 30%	35% 33%	-		-	-	38%	12% 13%	30% 24%	5% 7%	29% 23%	34% 37%	-
Social Studies	2016 2015		30% 26%			10% 13%	20% 14%	23% 18%	-		-	-	13%	6% *	15% 10%	2% 3%	17% 12%	24% 20%	- -
STAAR Percent a	t Leve	l III Ad	vanc	ed															
All Grades All Subjects	2016		9%			4%	9%	9%	239		0%	-	6%	3%	7%	1%	9%	8%	-
Reading	2015 2016 2015	16%	8% 9% 8%	10	!% !%	6% 5% 11%	10% 9% 11%	11% 11% 14%	5% 33° *	%	*	-	4% 5% 0%	0% 4% 0%	7% 7% 8%	1% 2% 0%	9% 12% 14%	11% 8% 11%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015	17%	10% 9%	5 9	%	3% 5%	10% 11%	9% 12%	17 <sup>9</sup>	%	*	-	5% *	4% 0%	7% 9%	2% 0%	9% 10%	9% 12%	- - -
Writing	2016 2015	14%	5% 3%	4	%	4% 4%	3% 5%	5% 5%	*		*	-	*	3%	3% 3%	0% 0%	6% 7%	2% 3%	-
Science	2016 2015	15%	9% 9%	10	/% !% !%	7% 0%	9% 14%	12% 13%	- *		-	-	13%	0% 3%	9% 9%	0% 5%	9% 9%	12% 15%	- -
Social Studies		21%	9% 8%		%	3% 3%	7% 6%	8% 7%	-		-	-	0%	0% *	5% 4%	0% 0%	6% 2%	8% 10%	- -
	2013	10 /0	0 /0	•	/0	J /0	0 /6	1 /0			-	-			4 /0	0 /0	2 /0	10 /6	-
STAAR Participat	ion (A	II Grad	es)																
All Tests		20 20		99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 98%	100% 99%	99% 98%	100% 100%		% -	100% 100%	99% 97%	99% 98%	100% 100%			
Reading				99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 100%	100% 100%	99% 99%	100% 100%		-	100% 100%	100% 97%	99% 99%	100% 100%			
Mathematics		20 20		100% 99%	99% 99%	99% 97%	99% 96%	100% 98%	99% 97%	100% 100%		-	100% 100%	99% 94%	99% 97%	99% 100%			
Writing		20 20		99% 99%	99% 98%	99% 99%	100% 100%	99% 100%	99% 99%	*	*	-	100% 100%	100% 97%	100% 99%	100% 100%			
Science				99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 97%	100% 100%	99% 99%	- 100%	-	-	100% 100%	97% 100%	99% 98%	100% 100%			
Social Studies				98% 99%	99% 99%	99% 98%	100% 97%	100% 99%	98% 97%	- 100%	-	-	100% 100%	94% 100%	99% 98%	100% 98%			
STAAR Participat	ion Re	sults l	oy As	sessn	nent Tv	pe for S	Students	Serve	d in Sp	ecial E	duca	tion Set	ttings (A	ıll Grad	es)				
Reading Tests % of Participants			2016				100%		97%	_	_	_	*	97%	96%	95%	100%	96%	_
% STAAR/EOC Accommodations	With I	No		13%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	_	-	_	*	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	_
% STAAR/EOC Accommodations	With			73%			100%		88%	_	_	_	*	88%	87%	79%	89%	88%	_
% STAAR Alter % of Non-Partici			2016 2016	11%		9% 3%	0% 0%	11% 6%	9% 3%	-	-	-	*	9% 3%	10% 4%	16% 5%	11% 0%	8% 4%	-

2016	99%	98%	97%	100%	97%	96%	-	-	-	*	97%	96%	100%	100%	95%	-
2016	12%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	*	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-
2016	75%	81%	88%	100%	85%	87%	-	-	-	*	88%	86%	83%	89%	88%	-
2016	12%	9%	9%	0%	12%	9%	-	-	-	*	9%	10%	17%	11%	8%	-
2016	1%	2%	3%	0%	3%	4%	-	-	-	*	3%	4%	0%	0%	5%	-
	2016 2016 2016	2016 12% 2016 75% 2016 12%	2016 12% 7% 2016 75% 81% 2016 12% 9%	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b>	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0%	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 0% 2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 85% 2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0% 12%	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 0% 0% 0% 2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 85% 87% 2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0% 12% 9%	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 0% 0% -  2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 85% 87% -  2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0% 12% 9% -	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 0% 0% 2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 85% 87% 2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0% 12% 9%	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 0% 0% 2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 85% 87% 2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0% 12% 9%	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 0% 0% *  2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 85% 87% *  2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0% 12% 9% *	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 0% 0% * 0% 2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 85% 87% * 88% 2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0% 12% 9% * 9%	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 0% 0% * 0% 0% 2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 85% 87% * 88% 86% 2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0% 12% 9% * 9% 10%	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 0% 0% * 0% 0% 0% 0% 2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 85% 87% * 88% 86% 83% 2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0% 12% 9% * 9% 10% 17%	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 0% 0% * 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 85% 87% * 88% 86% 83% 89% 2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0% 12% 9% * 9% 10% 17% 11%	2016 12% 7% <b>0%</b> 0% 0% 0% * 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 2016 75% 81% <b>88%</b> 100% 85% 87% * 88% 86% 83% 89% 88% 2016 12% 9% <b>9%</b> 0% 12% 9% * 9% 10% 17% 11% 8%

<sup>&#</sup>x27;\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

Performance Status - State	All Student	African sAmerican	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Specia ⁄ Ed	ELL I(Current & Monitored)	ELL +		Total Eligible	
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Y	N	Y	Y	0070	00 /0	00 /0	00 /0	Υ	N	N		4	7	57
Mathematics	Ý	N	Ý	Ý					Ý	N	Ϋ́		5	7	71
Writing	Ň	.,	N	Ň					Ň	N	N		0	6	0
Science	Ϋ́	Υ	Ϋ́	Ϋ́					Ϋ́	N	Ň		5	7	71
Social Studies	Ň	N	N	N					Ň	N	N		0	7	0
Total	.,	.,	.,	.,					.,	•••	.,		14	34	41
Performance Status - Federa															
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	Ν	N	n/a			
Mathematics	N		N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%		_	
Reading	Y	Y	Y	Y					Y	Y		Y	7	7	100
Mathematics	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ		Υ	7	7	100
Total													14	14	100
Federal Graduation Status ( Graduation Target Met Reason Code ***	Target: S	ee Reason (	Codes)										0	0	
Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal Limits Reading Alternate 1% Number Proficient Total Federal Cap Limit Mathematics Alternate 1% Number Proficient	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	ative Asses	sments												
Total Federal Cap Limit Total	n/a														
Overall Total													28	48	58

<sup>+</sup> Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

							Two or			ELL		
	All	African		American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL	
	Students	American Hispan	c White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)	
Performance Rates												
3 a a al ! a a												

<sup>&#</sup>x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

<sup>&</sup>quot;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ	Special	ELL (Current &	ELL
		American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
# at Level II Satisfactory	732	43	310	360	*	*	-	12	400	29	102	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	1,114	81	470	537	*	*	-	18	658	115	216	164
% at Level II Satisfactory	66%	53%	66%	67%	*	*	-	67%	61%	25%	47%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	816	48	355	393	*	*	-	12	451	39	137	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	1,113	81	469	537	*	*	-	18	657	114	215	163
% at Level II Satisfactory	73%	59%	76%	73%	*	*	-	67%	69%	34%	64%	n/a
Standard												
Writing	000	•	o <del>-</del>	400	*	*		*	405	•	00	,
# at Level II Satisfactory	202	9	87	102	^	^	-	^	105	6	23	n/a
Standard	007	00	450	404	*	*			0.40	0.4	70	- 4
Total Tests	367	20	156	181	*	*	-	*	219	34	70	54
% at Level II Satisfactory	55%	45%	56%	56%	^	^	-	^	48%	18%	33%	n/a
Standard												
Science	248	17	98	127				6	147	6	19	2/0
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	240	17	90	127	-	-	-	O	147	0	19	n/a
Total Tests	380	27	157	189				7	226	31	47	37
% at Level II Satisfactory	65%	63%	62%	67%	-	-	-	86%	65%	19%	40%	n/a
Standard	05%	03%	02 70	07 70	-	-	-	0070	05%	1970	40%	II/a
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	214	13	89	106	_	_	_	6	118	5	14	n/a
Standard	217	10	03	100				U	110	3		IIIa
Total Tests	378	27	157	187	_	_	_	7	225	30	47	37
% at Level II Satisfactory	57%	48%	57%	57%	_	_	_	86%	52%	17%	30%	n/a
Standard	0.70	1070	01 70	01 70				0070	0270	11 70	00 /0	11/4
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme	ents											
Number Participating	1,187	86	493	576	**	*	-	22	698	124	n/a	181
Total Students	1,193	87	494	580	**	*	-	22	703	124	n/a	181
Participation Rate	99%	99%	100%	99%	100%	*	-	100%	99%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asset												
Number Participating	1,185	86	490	577	**	*	-	22	695	122	n/a	179
Total Students	1,192	87	492	581	**	*	-	22	701	123	n/a	180
Participation Rate	99%	99%	100%	99%	100%	*	-	100%	99%	99%	n/a	99%

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates			•									
4-year Longitudinal Cohort G	raduation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 201	15								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort G	raduation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 201	14								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation R	Rate (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	n/a

# District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a Mathematics Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
 When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Two or ΑII African American **Pacific** More **ELL ELL** Econ Special Students American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Disadv Ed (Ever HS) (Current)

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance, Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

# Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%
Bachelors	66.0	85.6%	78.7%	74.7%
Masters	10.2	13.3%	18.9%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.9	1.1%	1.2%	0.6%

### Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty **Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

### Core Academic Subject Areas

	General Education	Special Education	Total
re	49	5	54

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Classes		288	13	301
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	288	13	301
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of Teachers				
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12)			
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	Ó			
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0			
Non-renewable	0	0			
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0			
District Teaching	0	0			
Temporary	0	0			

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number	of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	1	1
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

# Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

#### State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	<b>Below Basic</b>	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
	_	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	<b>Below Basic</b>	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
	•	Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	•	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

<b>Grade</b> Grade 4	<b>Subject</b> Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment