Comprehensive Plan Report

A detailed report showing activity of the school team's work on the improvement plan including assessments, plans, tasks, monitoring, and implementation for selected time periods.

10/24/2016

LESLIE INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL NCES - 50948000595

Searcy County School District

School Success Indicators

Key Indicators are shown in RED.

School Succe	255 Illuica	ators		Rey Indicators are shown in RLD.	
School Lead	ership an	d Decision Making			
Establishing	a team s	tructure with specific dut	ies and tin	ne for instructional planning	
Indicator	ID01 - A team structure is officially incorporated into the school governance policy.(36)				
Status	Objective Met 3/30/2016				
Assessment	Level of	Development:	Initial: Li	mited Development 08/20/2015	
			Objectiv	ve Met - 03/30/2016	
	Index:		9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority	Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportu	inity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:		district policy will sheets ar	Members of the district leadership team will meet and work to create a district policy that promotes the team structure for all campuses. This policy will be presented to the board for their approval. Meeting sign-in sheets and board minutes of the approval will be evidence that this objective has been met.	
Plan	Assigned to:		Bennetta Caston		
	How it will look when fully met:		The district will have a team structure policy that has been approved by the school board.		
	Target Date:		01/19/20	016	
	Tasks:				
	1. District leadership team will that promotes a team structure			ember and December regarding the creation of district policy buses.	
		Assigned to:	Patricia S	Searight	
		Added date:		03/30/2016	
		Target Completion Date:	01/19/20	016	
		Comments:			
		Task Completed:	01/19/2016		
	2. F	Policy will presented to the so	chool board	for their approval.	
		Assigned to:	Patricia Searight		
		Added date:	03/30/20	016	
		Target Completion Date:	01/19/20	016	
		Comments:			
		Task Completed:	01/19/20	016	
Implement	Percent	Task Complete:			
	1 =				

	Objectiv	re Met:	3/30/2010	6	
	Experience:		3/30/2016 District Leadership Team created a policy for the District Team Structure. School Board approved the policy in January.		
	Sustain:		3/30/2010 Policy will	6 I be followed.	
	Evidence:		3/30/2010 Board mir	6 nutes show the policy was adopted.	
Indicator	ID04 -	All teams prepare agenda	s for their	meetings.(39)	
Status	Tasks	s completed: 0 of 2 (0%)			
Assessment	Level of	Development:	Initial: Lir	mited Development 08/20/2015	
	Index:		9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority S	Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportu	nity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:		Teachers need to make documentation of times they meet with one another and have agendas to go by so that the meetings accomplish the goals in mind.		
Plan	Assigned to:		Cindy Hor	rton	
	How it will look when fully met:		There will	be an agenda, sign in sheet, and minutes for each meeting.	
	Target Date:		05/27/20	16	
	Tasks:				
	1. 1	Maintaining the minutes of th	e meeting		
	Assigned to:		Cindy Hor	rton	
	Added date:		09/17/20	15	
		Target Completion Date:	05/27/2016		
	Comments:		Cindy will keep the minutes for the meeting or assign a designee.		
	2. 7	There will be an agenda creat	ted for each	leadership meeting.	
		Assigned to:	Bennetta	Bennetta Caston	
		Added date:	03/30/20	16	
		Target Completion Date:	05/27/20	16	
		Comments:			
Implement	Percent	Task Complete:	Tasks co	mpleted: 0 of 2 (0%)	
Indicator		ner key professional staff	sisting of the principal, teachers who lead the Instructional Teams, ff meets regularly (twice a month or more for an hour each		
Status		ve Met 3/30/2016			
Assessment	-	Development:	Initial: Lir	mited Development 08/20/2015	
			Objectiv	e Met - 03/30/2016	

Status	classroom observation data and uses that data to make decisions about school improvement and professional development needs.(45)			
Indicator				ks at school performance data and aggregated
ASSESSINCIIL	Evider	·		and minutes frome meetings with staff. Continued meetings.
Assessment		of Development:	Initial: F	Full Implementation 08/20/2015
Status		mplementation	.c.s as a C	ondare of communication to the faculty and stail.(43)
Indicator	IDU8	- The Leadershin Team sen		and agendas of meetings along with schedule. onduit of communication to the faculty and staff.(43)
	Evidence:		3/30/20 Minutes	
	Sustain:		3/30/20 Evaluation to be ev	on of meeting times and ways to maintain the schedule needs
	Experience:		3/30/20 Minutes	16 and agendas are available for meetings.
	Objective Met:		3/30/2016	
Implement	Percent Task Complete:			
		Task Completed:	10/09/2	015
	Commence		may be but the	times that set times have to be altered due to multiple conflicts team is aware of the current schedule. times will be on Mondays-first and third Monday of each
	Comments:		10/09/2015 Meeting times were established during a meeting with the staff. There	
	Target Completion Date:		09/17/2	
		Assigned to: Added date:		a Caston
	E	stablish regular meeting times.		
	Tasks			
		t Date:	05/27/2	016
	How it	t will look when fully met:		ed meetings with agendas, sign-in sheets, and minutes. Team is will have roles to help the meeting stay on task.
Plan	Assigr	ned to:	Bennett	a Caston
	Opportunity Score: Describe current level of development:		There are lead teachers at each grade level that teachers look to for guidance. There are times for established meetings however, time restrictions and outside interferences have prevented teams from maintaining meeting twice a month. We have met as often as time has allowed and we have had smaller meetings during lunch periods.	
			1	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)
	Priority Score:		3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)
	Index:		3	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)

Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: L	Initial: Limited Development 08/20/2015		
	Index:	3	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	1	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	data thrubeen sch We do h Money a	in process of looking at our data from last year and gathering ough assessments to guide instruction. Meeting times have neduled. Have the data to review but the time to review it is not enough, allocated for substitutes or stipends for teachers to spend time g data and collecting evidence and indicators for student		
		improve			
Plan	Assigned to:	,	assigned		
Indicator	ID11 - Teachers are organiz Teams.(46)	ed into grade-	level, grade-level cluster, or subject-area Instructional		
Status	Full Implementation				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: F	full Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	Commor	n planning times. Meetings along with agendas and minutes.		
Indicator		s meet for blocks of time (4 to 6 hour blocks, once a month; whole chool year) sufficient to develop and refine units of instruction and			
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created	. ,			
Assessment	Level of Development:	ent: Initial: Limited Development 08/20/2015			
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	More tim	six hours is not the amount of time spent with cluster teams. The needs to be allowed or scheduled for teachers to meet and clussion about expectations, plans, student performance, etc. instruction.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet	assigned		
School Leade	ership and Decision Making				
Focusing the instruction	principal's role on building le	eadership capa	city, achieving learning goals, and improving		
Indicator	IE05 - The principal particip	oates actively v	vith the school's teams. (56)		
Status	Full Implementation				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: F	ull Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:		has weekly meetings with academic coaches to discuss and direction.		
			meets with teachers at least twice a month to review s about the school as well as progress of students.		
Indicator	IE06 - The principal keeps a	focus on instr	ructional improvement and student learning outcomes.		

Tualcator	(57)		
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Im	plementation 08/20/2015
	Evidence:	make reports	ews performance on intermim assessments. Teachers on students' performance. Principal has a target group ract with trying to work on their behavior.
Indicator	IE07 - The principal monitor	s curriculum and c	lassroom instruction regularly.(58)
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Im	plementation 08/20/2015
	Evidence:		omboard Activity inplemented with scheduled time to work within the Vebsite.
Indicator	IE08 - The principal spends improve instruction, including		her time working directly with teachers to vations.(59)
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited	Development 08/20/2015
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)
	Describe current level of development:		Is to keep records and make schedules to be in teachers' oserving the instructional skills and provide feedback to
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet assign	ned
Indicator	IE09 - The principal challeng correction of them.(60)	ges and monitors u	nsound teaching practices and supports the
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited	Development 08/20/2015
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)
	Describe current level of development:	documented to Teachers need principal come	needs to make sure that issues are addressed that are through observation. If to have feedback and a plan of action should the e into their classroom for observations. Guidelines need hed as per meetings with principal should a walk through
			Is to be proactive in making time to conference with ut what is observed.
Plan	Assigned to:		ut what is observed.
Plan Indicator		Not yet assign	ut what is observed.

Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full	Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	Morning ar	Honor Roll Assembly as well as announcements in the paper. Innouncements of student achievement. In of student performance.		
Indicator		quent opportunities for staff and parents to voice constructive ess and suggestions for improvement.(64)			
Status	Full Implementation		. ,		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015			
	Evidence:		ation with parents through assembly and presentation. munication between parents and school.		
School Leade	ership and Decision Making				
Aligning class	sroom observations with evalua	tion criteria a	nd professional development		
Indicator			ports from classroom observations, showing aggregate areas of approvement without revealing the identity of individual teachers.		
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: No	development or Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Index:	4	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	2	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	2	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	This is not a difficult task. The information is available. It has not been shared with curriculum teams. Identity of teachers is secure.			
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet ass	signed		
Indicator	-	reviews the principal's summary reports of classroom observations in planning professional development.(66)			
Status	Tasks completed: 0 of 1 (0%)				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Lim	ited Development 08/20/2015		
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	2	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	The Leadership Team applies what has been recognized as a need for the school when planning professional development. Summary report in narrative form are not available. Most of the communication is don orally.			
Plan	Assigned to:	Bennetta C	Caston		
	How it will look when fully met:	Principal would conduct observations of teachers patterns of practice concerning questioning and engagement in the classrooms. Professional Development addressing this pattern of practice will follow in October. In the spring an evaluation of utilizing higher level questioning as a pattern of practice will occur.			
	Target Date:	05/27/2016	5		

	professional development.		
	Assigned to:	Lori Gray, Bennetta Caston, Kenda Dearing	
	Added date:	09/17/2015	
	Target Completion Dat	re: 05/27/2016	
	Comments:		
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	Tasks completed: 0 of 1 (0%)	
Indicator	·	nent for teachers includes observations by the principal related to ing and classroom management.(67)	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Bloomboard data and observation. E-mails to teachers.	
Indicator	IF04 - Professional developm of effective teaching and cla	nent for teachers includes observations by peers related to indicators ssroom management.(68)	
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited Development 08/20/2015	
	Index:	9 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	3 (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	3 (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	Scheduled times to allow all teachers to observe classrooms. Currently there are a limited number of teachers in other classrooms. High priority to get all teachers in classrooms to observe one another and make documentation of building goal to increase level of questioning along with student engagement.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet assigned	
Indicator	IF05 - Professional developmeffective teaching and classi	nent for teachers includes self-assessment related to indicators of room management.(69)	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Bloomboard Activity and TESS	
Indicator	IF06 - Teachers are required classroom observations.(70)	to make individual professional development plans based on	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Teachers make professional plans based on observations and meetings with the principal. Continued work and documentation within Bloomboard.	
Indicator	IF07 - Professional developmeffective teaching.(71)	nent of individual teachers includes an emphasis on indicators of	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Bloomboard Activity to reflect TESS	
Indicator		t for the whole faculty includes assessment of strengths and rom classroom observations of indicators of effective teaching.	

Status	Full Implementation				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Fu	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	Conversati weakness	ard Activity based on observations using the TESS domains. tions between leadership team and principal addressing tes. Professional development based on areas of need.		
			d implementation.		
Indicator	IF10 - The principal plans o (74)	pportunities for	teachers to share their strengths with other teachers.		
Status	Full Implementation				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Fu	ll Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	handy to set aside	ners took time during in-service to express how they are the school and their team. To continue this, time needs to be during team meetings to express the good things they are I the progress they are making in their classes.		
Indicator	IF11 - The school provides a professional development.(ality, ongoing, job-embedded, and differentiated		
Status	Full Implementation	•			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Fu	ll Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	profession	ership Team uses input from various individuals to ensure the nal development meets the needs of the campus. Reports are lly and surveys are taken. Plans to continue this practice.		
School Leade	ership and Decision Making				
Expanded tin	ne for student learning and te	eacher collabora	tion		
Indicator	IH01 - The school monitors strategies related to school		extended learning time programs and other 3981)		
Status	Tasks completed: 1 of 3 (33	3%)			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Lir	nited Development 08/20/2015		
	Index:	3	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	1	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	We do ex Teachers Math pre determine	edule is implemented allowing 90 minutes per class period. tend the school day in part by offering after school tutoring. and Staff evaluate data collected from STAR, DAZE, DIBELS, and post test as well as their own classroom assessments to e student need and growth. This data is reflected upon and to the specific students' needs are implemented.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Bennetta	Caston		
	1 1 a 12 111 1 a.a.l la a.a. £ 11 a.a.l.		Page: 9 of 10		

	How it will look when fully m	et: A comprehensive monitoring of progress through review of STAR, Dibels, DAZE, MATH pre and Post test, and classroom assessments will be completed by June 15, 2016	
	Target Date:	06/15/2016	
	Tasks:		
	1. Improve attendance Leslie.	during after school tutoring by utilizing shuttle bus runs between Marshall and	
	Assigned to:	Bennetta Caston	
	Added date:	09/17/2015	
	Target Completion	Date: 10/01/2015	
	Comments:	One way to improve afterschool attendance is to provide means for students to get back to Marshall. Stops will be made to provide convenience for parents to pick up their children.	
	Task Completed:	10/01/2015	
	2. Periodic review of ass	essment data.	
	Assigned to:	Lori Gray	
	Added date:	03/30/2016	
	Target Completion	Date: 06/15/2016	
	Comments:		
	3. Comprehensive review	v of data based on assessments.	
	Assigned to:	Bennetta Caston	
	Added date:	03/30/2016	
	Target Completion	Date: 06/15/2016	
	Comments:	A comprehensive review of data not only whole school but students who regularly attended afterschool will be conducted.	
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	Tasks completed: 1 of 3 (33%)	
School Leade	rship and Decision Making		
Ensuring Hig	h Quality Staff - Recruitme	nt, Evaluation, and Retention	
Indicator	IIO1 - The school works of teachers to support school	ollaboratively with the district to recruit and retain highly-qualified ol improvement.(3982)	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Leadership staff attends job fairs to look for talent in the teaching field	
		Close monitoring and research of applicants.	
•	Assessment, and Instruction	-	
		n with standards and benchmarks	
Indicator	IIA01 - Instructional Teams develop standards-aligned units of instruction for each subject a grade level.(88)		
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Professional development is based on curriculum standards.	

	Evidence:	Minutes and	Coaches meet with teachers based on their curricular area. d agendas from these meetings. ith current approach.	
Indicator	IIA02 - Units of instruction include standards-based objectives and criteria for mastery.(89)			
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	instruction.	se the Common Core Standards of Arkansas to guide their Students are assessed using teacher made assessements TLI assessments.	
		Teachers us their instruc	se the data from these assessments to make adjustments in ction.	
		assessment	ith implementation with more focus on formative ts to address the standards.	
-	Assessment, and Instructiona			
Engaging tea	chers in assessing and monit	oring student ma	stery	
Indicator	IIB01 - Units of instruction objectives.(91)	include pre-/pos	t-tests to assess student mastery of standards-based	
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limi	ted Development 08/20/2015	
	Index:	2	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	2	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	1	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	TLI tests Pre and Post Tests created by Math Facilitator.		
		To continue Assess more frequently on standards utilizing teacher made tests as well as continue with what is in place.		
		Not all curricular areas address instruction based on pre and post test data. Units are currently being established within the curricular areas		
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet ass	igned	
Indicator	IIB02 - Unit pre-tests and p subject covered by the unit		ninistered to all students in the grade level and	
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created	(-	•	
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limi	ted Development 08/20/2015	
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	2	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	taken in Sc	ect areas do give pre and post tests. Time needs to be ience and Social Studies to develop these tests and make re given and standards that need remediated need to be	
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet ass	igned	

Page: 10 of 19

Indicator	IIB03 - Unit pre-test and po	nd post-test results are reviewed by the Instructional Team.(93)		
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limit	red Development 08/20/2015	
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	2	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	pre and pos	nd post test are analyzed. Since not all subject areas utilize t tests, it would be hard to review that information. After t tests have been developed, tests need to be given and the team to determine direction of instruction.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet assi	gned	
Indicator	IIB04 - Teachers individual students and enhanced lear		sed on pre-test results to provide support for some s for others.(94)	
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limit	red Development 08/20/2015	
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	2	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	Pre and pos	t tests need to be developed for all areas.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet assigned		
Indicator	IIB05 - All teachers re-teac	h based on post-to	est results.(95)	
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limit	red Development 08/20/2015	
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	2	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:		ners give pre and post tests. This issue of developing prests need to be addressed.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet assi	gned	
Curriculum, A	Assessment, and Instructiona	l Planning		
Engaging tea	chers in differentiating and a	ligning learning a	ctivities	
Indicator	IIC01 - Units of instruction	include specific le	arning activities aligned to objectives.(96)	
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full I	Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	student wor	by administration and academic coaches and evidence of k that aligns with lesson plans.	
		Continue mo	onitoring lesson plans and observing in the classroom.	
Indicator				

Indicator	IIC03 - Materials for standa for convenient use by teach	s-aligned learning activities are well-organized, labeled, and stored s.(98)		
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited Development 08/20/2015		
	Index:	2 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	1 (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	2 (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	All items are not stored properly. There needs to be some organization taking place in materials.		
		Teachers are not aware of what is on the campus and what materials other teachers have to help them.		
		Build a better library area for teacher materials where they can go to get help if they need it.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet assigned		
Curriculum,	Assessment, and Instructiona	al Planning		
Assessing stu	dent learning frequently wit	h standards-based assessments		
Indicator	IID02 - The school tests ear standards-based objectives	ch student at least 3 times each year to determine progress toward s.(100)		
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	TLI Data STAR DAta DAZE scores Dibels Assessments.		
Classroom In	estruction	Continue with current plan.		
	d monitoring sound instruction	on in a variety of modes		
Indicator		ided by a document that aligns standards, curriculum, instruction,		
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	Copies of frameworks and standards from ADE.		
		Meetings with Academic Coaches.		
		Continue with plans as made.		
Indicator	IIIA02 - All teachers develo	op weekly lesson plans based on aligned units of instruction.(111)		
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	Evidence of lesson plans maintained on the school website. Discussion with teachers about lesson plans. Evidence of student performance.		
		Continue with routine as implemented.		
Indicator	IIIA05 - All teachers mainta	ain a record of each student's mastery of specific learning objectives.		

Page: 12 of 19

Indicator	(114)			
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created			
Assessment	Level of Development:	pment: Initial: Limited Development 08/21/2015		
	Index:	4	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	2	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	2	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	maintain individual areas.	maintain records of students progress as a group and some records of students individually. As far as maintaining I records for all standards, it is not consistent across curricular nal development for teachers and a system of maintaining needs to be implemented.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet a	•	
Indicator	IIIA06 - All teachers test fro of the results.(115)	equently using	equently using a variety of evaluation methods and maintain a record	
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Fu	ıll Implementation 08/21/2015	
	Evidence:		maintain their record books on student performance as a XL, TLI and Accelerated Reading maintain individual student	
Indicator	IIIA07 - All teachers differentiate assignments (individualize instruction) in response to individual student performance on pre-tests and other methods of assessment.(116)			
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Lir	mited Development 08/21/2015	
	Index:	2	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	2	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	1	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development: Not all teachers give pre tests there fore there differentiation on other than observational data		achers give pre tests there fore there is not data to base ation on other than observational data.	
			Time to develop such pretest and a method of recording data and spend looking over data needs to be implemented.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet assigned		
Indicator	IIIA21 - All teachers re-teach following questioning.(130)		estioning.(130)	
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Li	mited Development 08/20/2015	
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	2	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:		ing is a skill that we lack implementing in our lessons. ional data needs to be collected and shared. Professional	

Page: 13 of 19

	Describe current level of development:		ent that involves teachers observing one another as well as velop higher level questioning needs to be provided.	
			essional development, more observational data needs to be and shared to show improvements that have been made.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet assigned		
Indicator	IIIA25 - All teachers encour	age students to paraphrase, summarize, and relate.(134)		
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created	ed		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Lim	lited Development 08/20/2015	
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	2	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	is taking p the teache practice.	eaching strategy that needs more data collected to prove this lace. Professional development needs to provided to allowers the opportunity to understand and develop this best Teachers should be allowed in one another's classrooms to and determine if this is going on across the campus.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet as	signed	
Indicator	IIIA26 - All teachers encourage students to check their own comprehension.(135)			
Status	In Plan / No Tasks Created	sks Created		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Lim	lited Development 08/20/2015	
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	2	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	More data to prove that this best practice is going on in the classroom needs to be collected. Professional development needs to be provided to teachers to establish best practices in the classroom. Teachers need time to observe one another and gather data that proves this is going on in the classroom or needs to be addressed.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Not yet as	signed	
Indicator	IIIA27 - All teachers verball	y praise student	es.(136)	
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full	Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	rapport do	behavior by principal. Evidence documented in respect and main of Charlott Danielson's model of teaching.	
Indicator	IIIA28 - All teachers travel to all areas in which students are working.(137)			
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full	Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Observable	e teacher behavior by administrator. Data collected showing of teachers monitoring their classrooms by walking around.	
		Continue v	vith plan as implemented.	

Page: 14 of 19

Indicator	IIIA31 - All teachers interact instructionally with students (explaining, checking, giving feedback).(140)			
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full	Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Observatio	n in classroom.	
		Data collec	ted that supports this teacher behavior.	
			s implemented. Provide teachers with a summary of the behavior based on the principal walk throughs.	
Indicator	IIIA32 - All teachers interac	t managerially with students (reinforcing rules, procedures).(141)		
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full	Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	procedures	n of teachers both in and out classroom going over rules and s. Observe evidence of students adapting to procedures. f consequences for students who do not follow established s.	
		Continue a	s implemented.	
Indicator	IIIA33 - All teachers interact socially with students (noticing and attending to an ill student, asking about the weekend, inquiring about the family).(142)			
Status	Full Implementation	iquining about the running).(1+2)		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	families. C	n of teachers building rapport with the students and opportunities for the teachers to make contact with parents s to feel comfortable with the teachers.	
			s implemented.	
Indicator	IIIA35 - Students are engag		(144)	
Status	Tasks completed: 0 of 1 (0%))		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Lim	ited Development 08/20/2015	
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	Not all studenot understevel the state increase the keep higher than the where all a	Use of Kagan strategies is a good model for engagement. Not all students are engaged. It could be they are far behind and d not understand the material. Assessments are given to determine to level the students are on and establish criteria and programs to increase their abilities. Also questioning level needs to be addressed keep higher level thinking going in the classrooms. Most of the students are engaged but we want to establish program where all are engaged and awareness when they are not.	
			ant to give the teachers time to go into other classrooms to her teachers teaching.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Bennetta C	Bennetta Caston	
	How it will look when fully met:	Students w	vill be engaged and on task.	

	HOW IC WIII TOOK WHICH TAILY THECH			
		Bell to bell learning will take place and student success will increase.		
	Target Date:	05/27/2016		
	Tasks:			
	1. Research and share differ	rent methods to allow students to be engaged in various ways.		
	Utilize projects to increase student performance.			
	Teachers will make use of digital formats to allow them to better monitor progress and student engagement.			
	Assigned to:	Various Teachers		
	Added date:	03/30/2016		
	Target Completion Date			
	Frequency:	four times a year		
	Comments:	Teachers will share different ways they have found to be successful in		
	Comments.	maintaining engagement.		
		Teachers will visit other classrooms to observe strategies and methods.		
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	Tasks completed: 0 of 1 (0%)		
Indicator	IIIA40 - All teachers assess student mastery in ways other than those provided by the computer program.(149)			
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	Observation by administration. Conversation with students and teachers. Student surveys.		
		Continue with plan as implemented.		
Classroom In	struction			
Expecting an	d monitoring sound homework	c practices and communication with parents		
Indicator	IIIB01 - All teachers maintain a file of communication with parents.(150)			
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	Communication folders are maintained with parent signatures. E-mails as well as remind text messages to keep parents informed. Teachers also work on their websites and their lesson plans.		
Indicator	IIIB02 - All teachers regular	y assign homework (4 or more days a week).(151)		
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	Evidence of student work and observation during class time. Lesson Plans indicate homework is assigned.		
		Continue implementing current routines.		
Indicator	IIIB03 - All teachers check, r	mark, and return homework.(152)		
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015		

	Evidence:	Observation of students homework being graded and returned. Conversation with teachers about performance of students.	
		Continue as implemented.	
Indicator	IIIB06 - All teachers systematically report to parents the student's mastery of specific stand based objectives.(155)		
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Parents are given progress reports and conferences are held at minimum twice a year to keep parents up to date on progress. Star reports are sent home as well as graded homework.	
		Continue the plan as implemented.	
Classroom In			
Expecting an	d monitoring sound classroo	om management	
Indicator	IIIC01 - When waiting for related activities provided	assistance from the teacher, students are occupied with curriculum- by the teacher.(156)	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Teacher observation and discussion with principal. Also supervision by the Academic Coaches. We need to continue to monitor this from the administrative perspective.	
Indicator	IIIC04 - Students raise ha	nds or otherwise signal before speaking.(159)	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Observation by principal and consequences as per classroom rules.	
Indicator		Continue with set practices. use a variety of instructional modes (whole-class, small group, computer-mework, for example).(160)	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Principal observation and evidence of student work.	
		Continue observing and developing teacher capacity in this area.	
Indicator	IIIC06 - All teachers main	tain well-organized student learning materials in the classroom.(161)	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
	Evidence:	Observation in classrooms.	
Indicator	IIICOS - All teachers displa	Continue as implemented. ay classroom rules and procedures in the classroom.(163)	
Status	Full Implementation	a, classicom raico ana procedares in the classicom(105)	
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015	
ASSESSINGIL	Evidence:	Observation of classrooms.	
	Lyiderice.	Continue as implemented.	
Indicator		22	

Indicator	IIIC09 - All teachers correct students who do not follow classroom rules and procedures.(164)			
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	Rules in handbooks. Agendas which are marked for inappropriate behavior. Teachers will mark agendas as students misbehave and consequences will follow the handbook.		
Indicator	IIIC10 - All teachers reinfo	rce classroom rules and procedures by positively teaching them.(165)		
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015		
	Evidence:	Begiining of school procedures. Meetings with teachers to discuss implementing procedures and front end loading.		
Family Engag	ement in a School Commun	ity		
Explain and o	communicate the purpose an	d practices of the school community		
Indicator	FE04 - The school's Title I Compact (Or Non-Title I schools roles and expectations for parents, students, and teachers) includes responsibilities (expectations) that communicate what parents (families) can do to support their students' learning at home (curriculum of the home, with learning opportunities for families to develop their curriculum of the home). (3983)			
Status	Tasks completed: 0 of 1 (0	%)		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited Development 08/20/2015		
	Index:	4 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	2 (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	2 (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	Completion date for reviewing the Parent Compact to establish more specific suggestions for all parties involved will be May 27, 2016.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Bennetta Caston		
	How it will look when fully me	The school has a parent-school compact for this school year. A team meets to review this compact annually. At the upcoming annual review of this compact the team will discuss the general statements of the plan to determine if there is a need in clarifying these statements. The team will also meet to add a section in the plan for the students.		
	Target Date:	05/27/2016		
	Tasks:			
	1. Review Parent Compac	t Annually		
	Assigned to:	Bennetta Caston		
	Added date:	03/30/2016		
	Target Completion D	ate: 05/27/2016		
	Comments:			
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	Tasks completed: 0 of 1 (0%)		
High School:	Opportunity to Learn			
Ensure conte	nt mastery and graduation			
Indicator	HS04 - The school provides all students with guidance and supports (academic, financial, etc.) to prepare them for college and career.(4541)			
Status	Full Implementation			

Page: 18 of 19

Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 08/20/2015
	Evidence:	Counselor meets with students and has a unit prepared to discuss with them concerning plans and goals for their future.
		Continue as implemented.