School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2008-09 Published During 2009-10

Executive Summary School Accountability Report Card, 2008-09

International School of Monterey School

1720 Yosemite St., Seaside CA 93955-3914 Sean Madden 831-583-2165

This executive summary of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is intended to provide parents and community members with a quick snapshot of school accountability. The data presented in this report are reported for the 2007-08 school year. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should review the entire SARC or contact the school principal or the district office.

About This School

Mission & Programs

In 1998, a small group of educators and parents began collaborating to create the International School of Monterey to bring worldclass international education to a public school setting; integrate bes practices, established standards, and international curriculum; maintain a multicultural environment that advances understanding and respect for all; and promote strong partnerships among school, breacters, established standards, and international conficularity, maintain a indifficultural environment that advances understanding and respect for an, and promote strong partnerships among son home, and community.

ISM welcomed its first classes in grades K-4 in August 2001. The 2008-2009 year marked the school's eighth year of operation as a K-8 charter school delivering outstanding public education in

ISM welcomed its first classes in grades K-4 in August 2011. The 2008-2009 year marked the school's eighth year of operation as a K-8 charter school delivering outstanding public education in Monterey County. From its home on the Manzanita School site in Seaside, ISM served 385 students from its surrounding Monterey County communities, maintaining student-teacher ratios of 20:1 in grades 4-8.

The school's 13 board members and 40+ employees dedicate themselves to ISM's mission to educate all children toward becoming conscientious, compassionate, and responsible citizens of the world. ISM is both an International School—one of about 1,800 in 138 countries across the globe—and a public charter school—one of more than 700 in California. With this unique combination, in 2008 ISM joined 639 schools accredited by the prestigious Council of International Schools—one of just nine such schools in the United States and the first public charter school to earn this recognition showing that ISM has "achieved high standards of professional performance in international education and has a commitment to its continuing improvement."

Also fully accredited by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges, ISM teaches toward its vision of a world of understanding through academic commitments to internationalism and understanding; a broad-based curriculum that includes the arts, physical education, and Spanish beginning in kindergarten; and an inquiry-based-instruction model that fosters student curriosity, initiative, and lifelong learning skills.

Student Achievement

ISM students achieved notable success during the 2008-2009 year. They garnered a first place award in the California State History Day competition for the second year in a row. ISM students also earned honors in the regional and State Science Fair and regional math, social studies, and writing competitions.
Though the school focuses on holistic learning and does not "teach to the test" as has become the norm in some schools, ISM students do complete the same tests as other public school students, and they perform quite well. The school's score on California's Academic Performance Index (899 in 2009) place ISM among the top two elementary schools in the Monterey Peninsula Unified School District and number one among K-8 and middle schools. Half of ISM's 2009 graduates (12 of 24) matriculated to the highly regarded Monterey Academy of Oceanographic Sciences (MAOS) at Monterey High School, with about a quarter selecting other public high school options and a quarter entering private schools.

Student Enrollment

Group	Percent
African American	8.07 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.52 %
Asian	16.93 %
Filipino	5.99 %
Hispanic or Latino	13.02 %
Pacific Islander	1.04 %
White (not Hispanic)	42.71 %
Multiple or No Response	11.72 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Information not collected
English Learners	1.00 %
Students with Disabilities	%
Total Number of Students	384

Teachers

Indicator	Teachers
Teachers with full credential	21
Teachers without full credential	5
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0

Student Performance

Subject	Students Proficient and Above on California Standards Tests	
English-Language Arts	79.64%	
Mathematics	72.14%	
Science	80.00%	
History-Social Science	NA NA	

Academic Progress

Indicator	Result
2009 Growth API Score (from 2009 Growth API Report)	899
Statewide Rank (from 2008 Base API Report)	9
2009-10 Program Improvement Status (PI Year)	Not applicable

School Facilities

Summary of Most Recent Site Inspection

ISM leases facilities from the Monterey Peninsula Unified School District. The District provides site maintenance, and carries responsibility for the safety of the grounds and facilities. Accordingly, ISM submits work requests to the District when repairs and corrections become necessary.
The last full site inspection took place in September 2009. The repairs and corrective actions noted below reflect that inspection.

Repairs Needed

- 1) Portable 7 partially disassembled; gaps between and beneath create potential lures for curious children; disassembled ramps unsafe
 2) All portable ramps and rails should be evaluated for safety, and repaired and painted as required
 3) North Pod hallways need fire doors installed
 4) Kindergarten playground pitted areas create a tripping hazard
 5) Upper playground sand needs replenishing to ensure required depth
 6) Students have complained of smell and respiratory concerns in Portable 1
 7) Several deep pits in lawn and landscape areas create tripping hazard's; sprinkler system needs adjusting to ensure proper watering of ground cover as several areas are over or under watered
 8) No all-call or bell mechanism; campuswide notification of danger not possible

- Corrective Actions Taken or Planned

 1) Portable 7 removed from campus September 2009
 2) All portable ramps and rails repaired and repainted November 2009
 3) North Pod fire doors to be installed summer 2010
 4) Resurfacing needed; no work scheduled
 5) Upper playground sand replemished October 2009
 6) Portable 1 air filters cleaned
 7) Some holes filled; sprinklers checked and adjusted September 2009
 8) All-call or bell mechanism needed; none planned

Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Core Curriculum Areas	Pupils Who Lack Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Reading/Language Arts	0%	
Mathematics	0%	
Science	0%	
History-Social Science	0%	
Foreign Language	0%	
Health	0%	
Visual and Performing Arts	0%	
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	0%	

School Finances

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted Sources Only)
School Site	\$6,983
District	\$5,309
State	\$5,512

NAEP Reading, Grade 4

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	209
Average Scale Score - National	220
Achievement Level - Basic	30%
Achievement Level - Proficient	18%
Achievement Level - Advanced	5%

NAEP Reading, Grade 8

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	251
Average Scale Score - National	261
Achievement Level - Basic	41%
Achievement Level - Proficient	20%
Achievement Level - Advanced	2%

NAEP Mathematics, Grade 4

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	232
Average Scale Score - National	239
Achievement Level - Basic	41%
Achievement Level - Proficient	25%
Achievement Level - Advanced	5%

NAEP Mathematics, Grade 8

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	270
Average Scale Score - National	282
Achievement Level - Basic	36%
Achievement Level - Proficient	18%
Achievement Level - Advanced	5%

School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2008-09 Published During 2009-10

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access
Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2009-10) This section provides the schools contact information.

	School		District
School Name	International School of Monterey	District Name	Monterey Peninsula Unified
Street	1720 Yosemite St.	Phone Number	831-645-1200
City, State, Zip	Seaside, CA 93955-3914	Web Site	www.mpusd.k12.ca.us
Phone Number	831-583-2165	Superintendent	Marilyn Shepherd
Director	Sean Madden	E-mail Address	mshepherd@mpusd.k12.ca.us
E-mail Address	info@ismonterey.org	CDS Code	27- 66092- 6118962

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

Vision A world of understanding

Mission
Educate all children toward becoming conscientious, compassionate, and responsible citizens of the world.

Academic Commitments Internationalism ~ Inquiry ~ Understanding ~ Success

Motto
The world is our home. We will make it better.

Who We Are

A successful school created to...
Bring worldclass international education to a public school setting.
Integrate best practices, established standards, and an international curriculum.
Maintain a multicultural environment that advances understanding of and respect for all.
Promote strong partnerships among school, home, and community.

Outstanding teachers who...
Foster the holistic growth of each student.
Apply learning to the real world.
Maintain high expectations in the classroom.
Continue professional development.

Thriving students who...
Think freely and believe in self.
Develop personal potential.
Respect everyone.
Connect with the world.

Involved parents who...
Maintain high expectations at home.
Express enthusiasm for learning.
Participate in each child's learning every day.
Support the school.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

A primary reason for ISM's success is the involvement of parents/guardians. The school asks them to support their children's learning each and every day, and requires that each family contribute 30 hours of service to the school each year. During the 2008-2009 school year, the 284 ISM families contributed 12,600 hours of service, with 99 percent participating and 95 percent fully meeting the goal of three hours per month. The hundreds of service opportunities include daily classroom support, site workdays, annual fundratising and special events, school committees, and much more. The ISM Family Association manages the volunteer process, ensuring that all families can find meaningful and workable opportunities to meet their commitment.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09) This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	40
Grade 1	40
Grade 2	40
Grade 3	40
Grade 4	50
Grade 5	50
Grade 6	50
Grade 7	50
Grade 8	24
Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 9	0
Grade 10	0
Grade 11	0
Grade 12	0
Ungraded Secondary	0
Total Enrollment	384

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	8.07 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.52 %
Asian	16.93 %
Filipino	5.99 %
Hispanic or Latino	13.02 %
Pacific Islander	1.04 %
White (not Hispanic)	42.71 %
Multiple or No Response	11.72 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Data not collected
English Learners	1.00 %
Students with Disabilities	Data not reported

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Grades K-6)
This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

		2006-07				2007-08				2008-09		
		Numi	per of Classro	oms		Num	ber of Classro	ooms		Num	ber of Classr	ooms
Grade Level	Avg. Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Avg. Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Avg. Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
K	20.5	1	1		20.0	2			20.0	2		
1	20.0	2			20.0	2			20.0	2		
2	20.0	2			20.0	2			20.0	2		
3	20.5	1	1		19.5	2			20.0	2		
4	25.0		2		25.0		2		25.0		2	
5	25.0		2		24.5		2		25.0		2	
6	26.0		1		25.0		2		25.0		2	

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Grades 7-8)

at fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom)

		2006-07				2007-08				2008-09		
		Numb	er of Classro	oms		Num	ber of Classro	ooms		Num	ber of Classr	ooms
Subject	Avg. Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Avg. Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Avg. Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	25.0		2		25.0		2		25.0		3	
Mathematics	16.7	4			16.7	4			16.7	5		
Science	25.0		2		25.0		2		25.0		3	
Social Science	25.0		2		25.0		2		25.0		3	

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan

ISM is committed to providing and maintaining a healthy and safe work environment for all employees and learning environment for students.

The school expects all staff members and students and their families to know and comply with ISM's general safety rules and to follow safe and healthy practices at all times. ISM also requires that staff members report immediately to the administration any potential health or safety hazards, and all injuries or accidents.

ISM regularly updates comprehensive safety and security plans, policies, and procedures, based on best practices and school experience. Please consult the official documents section of the ISM website for specific components.

Suspensions and Expulsions This table displays the rate of suspensions

tions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

		School		District			
Rate	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	
Suspensions	NA	NA	NA	17.0	13.2	15.3	
Expulsions	0	0	0	0.2	0.1	0.2	

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-10) This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds buildings and contract and conditions and contract and conditions are

n about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

ISM leases surplus facilities from the Monterey Peninsula Unified School District. The District provides site maintenance, and carries responsibility for the overall safety of the grounds and facilities. Accordingly, ISM submits work requests to the District when repairs and corrections become necessary to meet codes and ensure the wellbeing of students. The ISM custodial staff handles day-to-day cleaning and management of the facilities and furnishings. Also, ISM families contribute thousands of hours to improvement (e.g. painting, repairing, planting) and upkeep (e.g. landscape maintenance, major cleaning) of the buildings and grounds.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status

	Rep	air Stat	tus		
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		х			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		х			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation		х			
Electrical: Electrical			х		Facility lacks adequate outlets
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains			х		Outside fountain broken and inoperable
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		х			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs		х			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences			х		Outdated playground equipment does not meet current standards
Overall Rating		Good			

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE <u>DataQuest</u> Web page.

	School		District	
Teachers	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
With Full Credential	16	18	21	567
Without Full Credential	10	9	5	12
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	N/A

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximatory 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page.

	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects			
Location of Classes	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers		
This School	100.0	0.0		
All Schools in District	85.1	14.9		
High-Poverty Schools in District	89.8	10.2		
Low-Poverty Schools in District	84.9	15.1		

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1.0	384
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	Handled by family volunteers	N/A
Psychologist	0.2	N/A
Social Worker	N/A	N/A
Nurse	Handled by front office staff	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.1	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.5	N/A
Instructional Aides	2.0	192

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)
This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials are unconsulted in the construction of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials. rials used at the school, and information about the school's use

	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional
Core Curriculum Area	Materials	Materials
Reading/Language Arts	Current & good quality	0%
Mathematics	Current & good quality	0%
Science	Science Current & good quality 0%	
History-Social Science	Current & good quality	0%
Foreign Language	Current & good quality	0%
Health	Current & good quality	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	Current & good quality	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	Current & good quality	0%

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Despending who page and teacher salaries are not be found on the CDE Current Expense of Education & Percupil Spending Web page and teacher salaries are lost on the following the salaries are salaries and the CDE Current Expense of Education & Percupil Spending Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,983	NA	\$6,983	\$45,100
District	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$57,856
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	N/A	22%
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,512	\$65,905
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	LEA Provided	32%

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)
This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

During the 2008-2009 school year, ISM offered middle school sports for grades 6-8, the After-School International program for grades K-8, and fresh lunch for all students.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)
This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$29,564	\$40,786
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$56,600	\$65,726
Highest Teacher Salary	\$75,817	\$85,230
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$90,960	\$106,548
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$96,169	\$112,237
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$103,304	\$121,617
Superintendent Salary	\$170,000	\$191,155
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	35.20 %	40.60 %
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.40 %	5.30 %

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through elevers, solven in grades the sight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Students cores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison
This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards)

	School			District			State		
Subject	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	70	77	80	40	42	45	43	46	50
Mathematics	67	70	69	40	40	40	40	43	46
Science	71	76	80	35	43	44	38	46	50
History-Social Science	61	72	52	27	30	35	33	36	41

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group (School Year 2008-09) This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the s

state standards) for the most recent testing period

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science		
African American	63	60	*	*		
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*		*		
Asian	91	80	92	*		
Filipino	81	71	*	*		
Hispanic or Latino	47	40		*		
Pacific Islander	*	*	*			
White (not Hispanic)	89	78	86	42		
Male	80	71	81	61		
Female	80	67	79	*		
Economically Disadvantaged	*	*	*	*		
English Learners	*	*				
Students with Disabilities	*	*				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2008-09)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness Testing (PFT) Web page. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones					
Grade Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards			
5	10.0	42.0	36.0			
7	10.2	38.8	46.9			

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index
The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE Academic Performance Index (API) Web page.

Academic Performance Index Ranks — Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from one to ten. A statewide rank of one means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of ten means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools" as imilar schools rank of one means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of ten means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2006	2007	2008
Statewide	9	9	9
Similar Schools	4	5	8

"N/A"

means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

means this is either an LEA or an Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) school. Schools participating in the ASAM do not currently receive growth, target information, or statewide or similar schools rankings on this report in recognition of their markedly different educational missions and populations served. ASAM schools are covered under the Alternative Accountability system as required by Education Code Section 52052 and not the API accountability system. However, API information is needed to comply with the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) law.

Growth, target and rank information are not applicable to LEAs.

means this is a special education school. Statewide and similar schools ranks are not applicable to special education schools.

means this API is calculated for a small school or a small LEA, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid STAR Program test scores included in the API. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted. Similar schools ranks are not calculated for small schools.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API chang numerically significant. es in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not

	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
Group	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009
All Students at the School	14	23	9	899
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				942
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)	22	32	4	928
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				

means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program test scores included in the API. The API is asterisked if the school was small either in 2008 or 2009. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted.

Adequate Yearly Progress
The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- · Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- · Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- · API as an additional indicator
- · Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)
This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

"Yes "No"

Met 2009 AYP Criteria

Did not Meet 2009 AYP Criteria

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)
Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	8
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	34.8

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development
This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

ISM has averaged 7 full days of staff development time annually over the past three fiscal years.

XIII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with solabilities and English language learners is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessess). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Web page (Outside Source).

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California caademic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments for not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Web page.

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight

	Average Scale Score		State Percent at Achievement Level			
Subject and Grade Level	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	
Reading 2007, Grade 4	209	220	30	18	5	
Reading 2007, Grade 8	251	261	41	20	2	
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5	
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5	

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – Aggregated This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English

language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate		
and Grade Level	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners	
Reading 2007, Grade 4	74	93	65	80	
Reading 2007, Grade 8	78	92	66	77	
Mathematic s 2009, Grade 4	79	96	84	94	
Mathematic s 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92	