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## Introduction

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
2. To ensure that charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
3. To inform mid-term decision making, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its history, mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework is comprised of four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. Each section contains a number of measures intended to evaluate the school's performance against specific criteria. The scorecard pages of the framework offer a summary of the school's scores and accountability designation ranging from Honor (high) to Critical (low).

Due to significant and ongoing changes to the state's school accountability system, many of the academic measures in the performance framework could not be scored this year. Data for all of the growth measures and most of the post-secondary readiness measures was unavailable. As a result, academic framework scores cannot reflect the intended scope of information.

Additionally, although ISAT Math and English Language Arts proficiency data was available, it was gathered using an assessment that the state adopted subsequent to the framework's development. The cut scores used to establish proficiency remain under evaluation, and it cannot be determined at this time whether or not the rating categories within each framework measure are appropriate in the context of the new assessment.

For these reasons, we have eliminated academic framework scores from this report and instead provided comparisons of the public charter schools' proficiency rates to those of the state as a whole, as well as to area schools that serve similar grade ranges. In some cases, comparisons cannot be provided because the data is masked per state law or statistical irrelevance.

To facilitate a clearer context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership data provided is from the 2014-15 school year. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

Schools had an opportunity to correct or clarify their framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

Additional information about how the performance framework was developed and how results may be interpreted is available on the PCSC's website: chartercommission.idaho.gov.

## School Overview

| Mission Statement | By giving our students a firm foundation built on a core curriculum of mathematics, science, reading, writing and social studies, with an enhanced emphasis on American History and the Constitution, Taylor's Crossing Public Charter School will guide our students across the bridge from childhood into adulthood. The students will be prepared to accept challenges with the confidence, courage, and skills needed to achieve success. In a highly challenging academic environment, which nurtures respect and care for all, our students will become people of integrity, vision and virtue. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Design Elements | - School environment of kindness, respect, and responsibility. <br> - School environment that provides physical, emotional, and academic safety. <br> - Social studies program emphasizing and revering the constitution and our founding fathers. <br> - Highly challenging academics, focused on reading, English, mathematics, and writing. <br> - Emphasis on character development. <br> - Emphasis on citizenship. <br> - Emphasis on service to others. <br> - Use of a positive reward system which encourages positive behavior. |  |
| School Contact Information | Address: 1445 North Wood River Drive, Idaho Falls, ID 83401 | Phone: (208)552-0397 |
| Surrounding District | Idaho Falls School District |  |
| Neighboring District | Bonneville School District |  |
| Opening Year | 2006 |  |
| Current Term | June 17, 2014 - June 30, 2019 |  |
| Grades Served | K-12 |  |
| Enrollment | Approved: 440 | Actual: 414 |


| School Leadership (2015-2016) | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Matt Cardon | Chairman |
| Erica Radford | Vice President |
| Laila Kammerman | Secretary |
| Jared Taylor | Treasurer |
| Cameron Taylor | Member |
| Scott Gruwell | Member |
| David Montgomery | Member |
| Daniel Wendt | Administrator |


|  | School | Surrounding <br> District <br> (Idaho Falls) | Neighboring <br> District <br> (Bonneville) | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-White | $6.76 \%$ | $27.48 \%$ | $18.32 \%$ | $23.84 \%$ |
| Limited English <br> Proficiency | $0.00 \%$ | $10.06 \%$ | $5.52 \%$ | $8.61 \%$ |
| Special Needs | $8.94 \%$ | $10.70 \%$ | $10.50 \%$ | $9.76 \%$ |
| Free \& Reduced <br> Lunch | $43.72 \%$ | $50.10 \%$ | $43.47 \%$ | $47.27 \%$ |


| Academic Measure | Result |
| :--- | :---: |
| Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency <br> In Math | $61.8 \%$ |
| Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency <br> in English Language Arts | $69.9 \%$ |
| Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency <br> In Science | $81.1 \%$ |
| Graduation Rate (4-year cohort data from 2015) | $73 \%$ |




## Introduction

Idaho's charter school legislation requires each public charter school authorizer to develop a Performance Framework on which the provisions of the Performance Certificate will be based. Performance Frameworks must clearly set forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the authorizer's evaluations of each public charter school, and must contain the following:

- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic growth;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for college and career readiness (for high schools); and
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for board performance and stewardship, including compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and terms of the performance certificate.

The measurable performance targets contained within the framework must require, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. This Performance Framework was adopted by the Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) on August 30, 2013, and is intended for use with non-alternative public charter schools authorized by the PCSC.

## Performance Framework Structure

The Performance Framework is divided into four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. The Academic and Mission-Specific sections comprise the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions will be based. The Operational and Financial sections contribute additional indicators that will, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, be considered secondary.

## Academic:

A high percentage ( $60 \%$ ) of a school's total score for the Academic \& Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of academic measures. These measures are the same for all non-alternative schools. The "Meets Standard" rating for each measure is designed to align closely with state minimum standards as established in Idaho's ESEA waiver and Star Rating System.

## Mission-Specific:

A significant portion (40\%) of a school's total score for the Academic \& Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of mission-specific measures. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. The number and weighting of mission-specific measures should be established during one-on-one negotiations between the school and authorizer.

During their first Performance Certificate term only, schools authorized to open in or before Fall 2014 may choose to opt out of the Mission-Specific section of the framework. Schools choosing to opt out of Mission-Specific measures for their first term agree that the weight of those measures will be placed instead on the Academic section, which then becomes the single, primary factor considered for purposes of renewal or non-renewal.

## Operational:

Operational indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the operational section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the non-compliance with organizational expectations is severe or systemic. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal.

## Financial:

Financial indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the financial section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the school's financial state at the time of renewal is dire. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal. The PCSC may also elect to renew a financially troubled school that is clearly providing a high quality education, but notify the SDE of the situation so that the payment schedule may be modified in order to safeguard taxpayer dollars.

## Accountability Designations

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school will guide the determination of that school's accountability designation: Honor, Good Standing, Remediation, or Critical. The accountability designation will, in turn, guide the PCSC's renewal or non-renewal decision-making. Measures for which a school lacks data due to factors such as grade configuration or small size will not contribute to that school's accountability designation. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designation when making renewal or non-renewal decisions.

## Honor:

Schools achieving at this level in all categories (academic, mission-specific, operational, and financial) are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn $75-100 \%$ of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 5-star schools, high-range 4-star schools with solid missionspecific outcomes, and mid-range 4-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive an honor designation. Schools that fall into this pointpercentage category but have poor operational and/or financial outcomes will not be eligible for an honor designation.

## Good Standing:

Schools achieving at this level will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category, schools much receive the appropriate percentage of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible and have at least a 3 -star rating. The Framework places schools that earn $55-74 \%$ of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star or 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, or 5-star schools with poor mission-specific, financial, and/or operational outcomes to receive a good standing designation. Although 2 -star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes could fall into this point-percentage range, they would not be eligible to receive a good standing designation due to their star ratings; the Framework is drafted thus in recognition of Idaho's statutory provision that the performance framework shall, at a minimum, require that each school meet applicable federal and state goals for student achievement.

## Remediation:

Schools achieving at this level may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 31-54\% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes, 2-star schools, or 1-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive a remediation designation.

## Critical:

Schools achieving at this level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered. The Framework places schools that earn less than $30 \%$ of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 1-star schools or 2-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes to receive a Critical designation.

| ACADEMIC | Measure | Possible Elem / MS Points | \% of Total Points | POINTS EARNED | Possible HS Points | \% of Total Points | POINTS EARNED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State/Federal Accountability | 1 a |  |  |  | 25 | 0\% | 0.00 |
|  | 1 b |  |  |  | 25 | 0\% | 0.00 |
| Proficiency | 2a |  |  |  | 75 | 0\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2 b |  |  |  | 75 | 23\% | 35.35 |
|  | 2 c |  |  |  | 75 | 23\% | 41.48 |
| Growth | 3 a |  |  |  | 100 | 0\% | 0.00 |
|  | 3b |  |  |  | 100 | 0\% | 0.00 |
|  | 3 c |  |  |  | 100 | 0\% | 0.00 |
|  | 3d |  |  |  | 75 | 0\% | 0.00 |
|  | 3 e |  |  |  | 75 | 0\% | 0.00 |
|  | 3 f |  |  |  | 75 | 0\% | 0.00 |
|  | 3 g |  |  |  | 100 | 0\% | 0.00 |
| College \& Career Readiness | 4 a |  |  |  | 50 | 0\% | 0.00 |
|  | 4b1/4b2 |  |  |  | 50 | 0\% | 0.00 |
|  | 4 c |  |  |  | 50 | 15\% | 16.60 |
| Total Possible Academic Points |  |  |  |  | 1050 | 60\% |  |
| - Points from Non-Applicable |  |  |  |  | 850 |  |  |
| Total Possible Academic Points for This School |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| Total Academic Points Received |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93.43 |
| \% of Possible Academic Points for This School |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46.72\% |
| MISSION-SPECIFIC | Measure | Possible Points | \% of Total Points | POINTS EARNED | Possible Points | \% of Total Points | POINTS EARNED |
| Supportive Learning Environment (Elem) | 1 |  |  |  | 20 | 6\% | 0.00 |
| Supportive Learning Environ(MS-HS) | 2 |  |  |  | 16 | 5\% | 0.00 |
| Social and Emotional Safety (Elem) | 3 |  |  |  | 20 | 6\% | 0.00 |
| Social and Emotional Safety (MS-HS) | 4 |  |  |  | 16 | 5\% | 0.00 |
| Social and Civic Skills (Elem) | 5 |  |  |  | 20 | 6\% | 0.00 |
| Social and Civic Skills (MS-HS) | 6 |  |  |  | 16 | 5\% | 0.00 |
| U.S. Civics Knowledge (12th grade) | 7 |  |  |  | 25 | 7\% | 0.00 |
| Total Possible Mission-Specific Points |  |  |  |  | 133 | 40\% |  |
| Total Mission-Specific Points Received |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |
| \% of Possible Mission-Specific Points Received |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL POSSIBLE ACADEMIC \& MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS |  |  |  |  | 333 |  |  |
| TOTAL POINTS RECEIVED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93.43 |
| \% OF POSSIBLE ACADEMIC \& MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.03\% |


| OPERATIONAL | Measure | Points Possible | \% of Total Points | Points Earned |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational Program | 1 a | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |
|  | 1 b | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |
| Financial Management \& Oversight | 1 c | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |
| Governance \& Reporting | 1 d | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |
| Students \& Employees | 2 a | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |
|  | 2 b | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |
|  | 3 a | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |
|  | 3 b | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| School Environment | 4 a | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |
|  | 4 b | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |
|  | 4 c | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |
| Additional Obligations | 4 d | 25 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |  |
| TOTAL OPERATIONAL POINTS | 5 a | 25 | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |
| \% OF POSSIBLE OPERATIONAL POINTS | $5 b$ | 25 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |  |


| FINANCIAL | Measure | Points Possible | \% of Total Points | Points Earned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Near-Term Measures | 1 a | 50 | 13\% | 50.00 | The financial measures included here are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect the nuances of a school's financial status. A low score on any single measure indicates only the possibility of a problem. In many cases, contextual information that alleviates concern is provided in the notes that accompany individual measures. Please see the financial section of this framework for additional detail. |
|  | 1 b | 50 | 13\% | 50.00 |  |
|  | 1 c | 50 | 13\% | 50.00 |  |
|  | 1d | 50 | 13\% | 50.00 |  |
| Sustainability Measures | 2 a | 50 | 13\% | 50.00 |  |
|  | 2b | 50 | 13\% | 50.00 |  |
|  | 2 c | 50 | 13\% | 50.00 |  |
|  | 2 d | 50 | 13\% | 50.00 |  |
| TOTAL FINANCIAL POINTS |  | 400 | 100\% | 400.00 |  |
| \% OF POSSIBLE FINANCIAL POINTS |  |  |  | 100.00\% |  |


| ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION | Academic \& Mission-Specific |  | Operational |  | Financial |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Range | \% of Points Possible Earned | Range | \% of Points Possible Earned | Range | \% of Points Possible Earned |
| Honor <br> Schools achieving at this level in all categories are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed. | 75\%-100\% <br> of points possible |  | 90\%-100\% <br> of points possible |  | $85 \%-100 \%$ <br> of points possible | 100.00\% |
| Good Standing <br> Schools achieving at this level in Academic \& Mission-Specific will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category for Academic \& Mission-Specific, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of points and have at least a Three Star Rating. | 55\%-74\% <br> of points possible |  | 80\% - 89\% <br> of points possible | 87.50\% | $65 \%-84 \%$ <br> of points possible |  |
| Remediation <br> Schools achieving at this level in Academic \& Mission-Specific may be recommended for nonrenewal or conditional renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed. | $\begin{gathered} 31 \%-54 \% \\ \text { of points possible } \end{gathered}$ |  | 61\%-79\% <br> of points possible |  | 46\% - 64\% <br> of points possible |  |
| Critical <br> Schools achieving at this level in Academic \& Mission-Specific level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered. | 0\% - 30\% <br> of points possible | 28.03\% | 0\% - 60\% <br> of points possible |  | 0\% - 45\% <br> of points possible |  |





Measure 4a
Advanced Opportunity
Coursework

Notes

Are students participating successfully in advance opportunity coursework?

Exceeds Standard: School earned 5 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity Meets Standard: School earned 3-4 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 2 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 1 or fewer points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Adv Oppty

Result

## Points Possible

$\begin{array}{cc}5 & 50 \\ 3-4 & 30\end{array}$
3-4
2
1

Points Earned

Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?
Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2013-14, at least 35\% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.
Meets Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 25-34\% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.)
Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 20-24\% of students met or exceeded the college eadiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.)
Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2013-14, fewer than 20\% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam
$1 \quad 0$

## Points Earned

.

$$
0
$$

Measure 4b2

## College Entrance

Exam Results

## Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?

Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, at least 45\% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.
Meets Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between $35-44 \%$ of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.
readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.
Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between 30-34\% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.
Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, fewer than 30\% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.

Result

## Points Possible

$1 \quad 0$

## Measure 4c

Graduation Rate

## Are students graduating from high school?

Exceeds Standard: At least 90\% of students graduated from high school. Meets Standard: $81-89 \%$ of students graduated from high school.
Meets Standard: $81-89 \%$ of students graduated from high school.
Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than $70 \%$ of students graduated from high school.
Due to the timing of data availability, the graduation rate is reflective of data from the 2014-15 school year.

| Result <br> (Percentage) | Possible Overall | Possible in this <br> Range | Percentile Targets | Percentile Points | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $39-50$ | 12 | $90-100$ | 11 | 0 |
| 73.00 | $26-38$ | 13 | $81-89$ | 9 | 0 |
|  | $14-25$ | 12 | $71-80$ | 10 | 17 |
|  | $0-13$ | 13 | $1-70$ | 70 | 0 |

Exceeds Standard: Students in grades 3-6 reported that TCPCS provides them with highly challenging academics and supportive teaching practices as reflected in the school's median score of 4.25 to 5.0 on the CSCI Dimension \#4 Support for Learning.
Meets Standard: Students in grades 3-6 reported that TCPCS provides them with highly challenging academics and supportive teaching practices as reflected in the school's median score of 3.65 to 4.24 on the CSCI Dimension \#4 Support for Learning.
Does Not Meet Standard: Students in grades 3-6 reported that TCPCS provides them with highly challenging academics and supportive teaching practices as reflected in the school's median score of 3.0 to 3.64 on the CSCI Dimension \#4 Support for Learning.
Falls Far Below Standard: Students in grades 3-6 reported that TCPCS provides them with highly challenging academics and supportive teaching practices as reflected in the school's median score of less than 3.0 on the CSCI Dimension \#4 Support for Learning.

Notes The Comprehensive School Climate Inventory (CSCI) Dimension \#4 addresses Support For Learning. The school will administer the survey in March each year. Ranges included in the measure were created using Spring 2014 baseline data. Results will be reported to the PCSC by October 1 of each year. Taylor's Crossing provided no data for this measure

Measure 2 Is the school providing a culture in which middle school and high school students feel both challenged and supported academically?

Exceeds Standard: Students in grades 7-11 reported that TCPCS provides them with highly challenging academics and supportive teaching practices as reflected in the school's median score of 3.85 to 5.0 on the CSCI Dimension \#4 Support for Learning.
Meets Standard: Students in grades 7-11 reported that TCPCS provides them with highly challenging academics and supportive teaching practices as reflected in the school's median score of 3.2 to 3.84 on the CSCI Dimension \#4 Support for Learning.
Does Not Meet Standard: Students in grades 7-11 reported that TCPCS provides them with highly challenging academics and supportive teaching practices as reflected in the school's median score of 2.6 to 3.19 on the CSCI Dimension \#4 Support for Learning.
Falls Far Below Standard: Students in grades 7-11 reported that TCPCS provides them with highly challenging academics and supportive teaching practices as reflected in the school's median score of less than 2.6 on the CSCI Dimension \#4 Support for Learning.

Notes The Comprehensive School Climate Inventory (CSCI) Dimension \#4 addresses Support For Learning. The school will administer the survey in March each year. Ranges included in the measure were created using Spring 2014 baseline data. Results will be reported to the PCSC by October 1 of each year. Taylor's Crossing provided no data for this measure.

## Measure 3 Is the school providing a culture in which elementary students feel socially and emotionally secure?

Exceeds Standard: Students in grades 3-6 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year reported a high sense of social and emotional security as reflected in the school's median score of 4.1 to 5.0 on the CSCI Dimension \#3 Sense of Social-Emotional Security.

Meets Standard: Students in grades 3-6 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year reported a high sense of social and emotional security as reflected in the school's median score of 3.5 to 4.09 on the CSCI Dimension \#3 Sense of Social-Emotional Security.

Does Not Meet Standard: Students in grades 3-6 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year reported a high sense of social and emotional security as reflected in the school's median score of 2.9 to 3.49 on the CSCI Dimension \#3 Sense of Social-Emotional Security.

Falls Far Below Standard: Students in grades 3-6 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year reported a high sense of social and emotional security as reflected in the school's median score of less than 2.9 on the CSCI Dimension \#3 Sense of Social-Emotional Security.

The Comprehensive School Climate Inventory (CSCI) Dimension \#3 addresses Sense of Social-Emotional Security. The school will administer the survey in March each year. Ranges included in the measure were created using Spring 2014 baseline data. Results will be reported to the PCSC by October 1 of each year. Taylor's Crossing provided no data for this measure.

105

84
42
See note $0 \quad 0$

| Result | Points <br> Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 85 |  |
|  | 68 |  |
| See note | 0 | 0 |

## See note

 0 0
## Measure 4 Is the school providing a culture in which middle school and high school students feel socially and emotionally secure

Exceeds Standard: Students in grades 7-11 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year reported a high sense of social and emotional security as reflected in the school's median score of 3.8 to 5.0 on the CSCI Dimension \#3 Sense of Social-Emotional Security.

Meets Standard: Students in grades 7-11 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year reported a high sense of social and emotional security as reflected in the school's median score of 3.15 to 3.79 on the CSCI Dimension \#3 Sense of Social-Emotional Security.

Does Not Meet Standard: Students in grades 7-11 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year reported a high sense of social and emotional security as reflected in the school's median score of 2.55 to 3.14 on the CSCI Dimension \#3 Sense of Social-Emotional Security.

Falls Far Below Standard: Students in grades 7-11 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year reported a high sense of social and emotional security as reflected in the school's median score of less than 2.55 on the CSCI Dimension \#3 Sense of Social-Emotional Security.

Notes The Comprehensive School Climate Inventory (CSCI) Dimension \#3 addresses Sense of Social-Emotional Security. The school will administer the survey in March each year. Ranges included in the measure were created using Spring 2014 baseline data. Results will be reported to the PCSC by October 1 of each year. Taylor's Crossing provided no data for this measure.

## Measure 5 Is the school successfully helping elementary students develop social and civic skills?

Exceeds Standard: Students in grades 3-6 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year demonstrated high social and civic skills/engagement as reflected in the school's median score of 4.25 to 5.0 on the CSCI Dimension \#5 Social and Civic Learning

Meets Standard: Students in grades 3-6 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year demonstrated high social and civic skills/engagement as reflected in the school's median score of 3.65 to 4.24 on the CSCI Dimension \#5 Social and Civic Learning.

Does Not Meet Standard: Students in grades 3-6 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year demonstrated high social and civic skills/engagement as reflected in the school's median score of 3.0 to 3.64 on the CSCI Dimension \#5 Social and Civic Learning.

Falls Far Below Standard: Students in grades 3-6 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year demonstrated high social and civic skills/engagement as reflected in the school's median score of less than 3.0 on the CSCI Dimension \#5 Social and Civic Learning.

Notes The Comprehensive School Climate Inventory (CSCI) Dimension \#5 addresses students' Social and Civic Learning. The school will administer the survey in March each year. Ranges included in the measure were created using Spring 2014 baseline data. Results will be reported to the PCSC by October 1 of each year. Taylor's Crossing provided no data for this measure.


## Measure 6 Is the school successfully helping middle school and high school students develop social and civic skills?

Exceeds Standard: Students in grades 7-11 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year demonstrated high social and civic skills/engagement as reflected in the school's median score of 3.8 to 5.0 on the CSCI Dimension \#5 Social and Civic Learning.

Meets Standard: Students in grades 7-11 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year demonstrated high social and civic skills/engagement as reflected in the school's median score of 3.15 to 3.79 on the CSCI Dimension \#5 Social and Civic Learning.

Does Not Meet Standard: Students in grades 7-11 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year demonstrated high social and civic skills/engagement as reflected in the school's median score of 2.55 to 3.14 on the CSCI Dimension \#5 Social and Civic Learning.

Falls Far Below Standard: Students in grades 7-11 who have been continuously enrolled since at least the beginning of the current school year demonstrated high social and civic skills/engagement as reflected in the school's median score of less than 2.55 on the CSCI Dimension \#5 Social and Civic Learning.

Notes The Comprehensive School Climate Inventory (CSCI) Dimension \#5 addresses students' Social and Civic Learning. The school will administer the survey in March each year. Ranges included in the measure were created using Spring 2014 baseline data. Results will be reported to the PCSC by October 1 of each year. Taylor's Crossing provided no data for this measure.

## Measure 7 Is the school fostering a strong knowledge base in U.S. Civics?

Exceeds Standard: 86\%-100\% of 12th grade students who are not foreign exchange students passed the U.S. Naturalization Civics Test with at least $80 \%$ accuracy.
Meets Standard: $70 \%-85 \%$ of 12 th grade students who are not foreign exchange students passed the U.S. Naturalization Civics Test with at least $80 \%$ accuracy
Does Not Meet Standard: 50\% - 69\% of 12th grade students who are not foreign exchange students passed the U.S. Naturalization Civics Test with at least $80 \%$ accuracy.
Falls Far Below Standard: Less than $50 \%$ of 12th grade students who are not foreign exchange students passed the U.S. Naturalization Civics Test with at least $80 \%$ accuracy.

Notes The school will administer the survey within 30 days of the end of the school year. Results will be reported to the PCSC by October 1 of each year. Taylor's
Crossing provided no data for this measure.

## Measure 1a

Implementation of
Educational Program

Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the performance certificate?
Meets Standard: The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the performance certificate, or the school has gained approval for a charter modification to the material terms.

Does Not Meet Standard: School has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without approval for a charter modification, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.

Notes

## Measure 1b

ducation Requirements

## Is the school complying with applicable education requirements?

Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and promotion requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, State assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding

Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Notes

Measure 1c
Students with Disabilities

## is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?

Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementatio of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding.
Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

| Result | Points <br> Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No instances <br> of non- | 25 | 25.00 |
| compliance <br> documented |  |  |

0
25.00

| Result | Points <br> Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No instances <br> of non- <br> compliance <br> documented | 25 | 25.00 |

15

0

| Result | Points <br> Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No instances <br> of non- <br> compliance <br> documented | 25 | 25.00 |

0

## Measure 1d

English Language Learners
Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?
Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for dentification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting of students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students. Matters of non compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.
Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Notes
INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT

## Measure 2a

Financial Reporting
and Compliance

Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?
Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable), periodic financial reports as required by the PCSC, and any reportin requirements if the board contracts with and Education Service Provider; on-time submission and completion of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.

Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board


0

Measure 3a
Governance Requirements

## Is the school complying with governance requirements?

Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; state open meetings law; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.

Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Notes

| Result | Points <br> Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No instances <br> of non- <br> compliance <br> documented | 25 | 25.00 |

15

0


## Is the school complying with reporting requirements?

## Measure 3b <br> Reporting Requirements

Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; additional information requested by the authorizer.

Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Notes
The school's annual dashboard report, due July 30,2015 was submitted August 10, 2015. The schools mission specific outcome data, due October 1, 2015, was never submitted.
INDICATOR 4: STUDENTS AND EMPLOYEES

Measure 4a
Student Rights

Is the school protecting the rights of all students?

Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students, including but not limited to: policies and practices related to recruitment and enrollment; the collection and protection of student information; due process protections, privacy, civil rights, and student liberties requirements; conduct of discipline.

Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

| Measure 4b | Is the school meeting teacher and other staff credentialing requirements? | Result | Points Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Credentialing | Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements. | No instances of noncompliance documented | 25 | 25.00 |
|  | Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. <br> Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. |  | 15 |  |
| Notes |  |  |  | 25.00 |
| Measure 4c <br> Employee Rights | Is the school complying with laws regarding employee rights? | Result | Points Possible | Points Earned |
|  | Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations, including those relating to the Family Medical Leave Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and employment contracts. | No instances <br> of non- <br> compliance documented | 25 | 25.00 |
|  | Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations or employee rights. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. <br> Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. |  | 15 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 25.00 |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |
| Measure 4d Background Checks | Is the school completing required background checks? | Result | Points Possible | Points Earned |
|  | Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals. | No instances of noncompliance documented | 25 | 25.00 |
|  | Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. <br> Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. |  | 15 0 |  |
| Notes |  |  |  | 25.00 |

Measure 5a
Facilities and Transportation
Is the school complying with facilities and transportation requirements?
Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation, including but not limited to: American's with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certificate of occupancy or other required building use authorization, documentation of requisite insurance coverage, and student transportation.

Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performanc certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, or transportation. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

Notes

| Result | Points <br> Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No instances <br> of non- | 25 | 25.00 |
| compliance <br> documented |  |  |

15

0

## Measure 5b

Health and Safety

## the school complying with health and safety requirements?

Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services.

Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety or the provision of health-related services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied with documentation, by the governing board.

Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

| Result | Points <br> Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No instances <br> of non- <br> compliance <br> documented | 25 | 25.00 |

15

0

## Measure 5 c

Information Handling

## Is the school handling information appropriately

Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of and providing access to student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; accessing documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information law and other applicable authorities; Transferring of student records; proper and secure maintenance of testing materials.

Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.
Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.

| Result | Points <br> Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No instances <br> of non- <br> compliance <br> documented | 25 | 25.00 |
|  | 15 |  |
|  | 0 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ |


| ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS |  | Result | Points Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Measure 6a | Is the school complying with all other obligations? |  |  |  |
| Additional Obligations | Meets Standard: The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to state charter law; and requirements of the State Department of Education. Matters of noncompliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. |  | 25 |  |
|  | Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. | See Note | 0 | 0.00 |
| Notes | The school's 2014-15 annual performance report was not published on the school's website in accordance with §33-5209C, Idaho Code. |  |  | 0.00 |

## Measure 1a

Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilitie
Current Ratio
Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.
Does Not Meet Standard: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.
Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.
Notes

Result
Points Possible
Current Ratio is:

| 3.84 | 50 | 50.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Measure 1b

Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense / 365)
Unrestricted Days Cash
Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. Note: Schools in their first or second year of peration must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash
Does Note Meet Standard: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash

Notes

Measure 1c Enrollment Variance

## Enrollment Variance: Actual Enrollment divided by Enrollment Projection in Charter School Board-Approved Budget

Meets Standard: Enrollment Variance equals or exceeds 95 percent in the most recent year
Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment Variance is between 85-95 percent in the most recent year.
Falls Far Below Standard: Enrollment Variance is less than 85 percent in the most recent year.
Notes
Measure 1d Default

Meets Standard: School is not in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is not delinquent with debt service payments.

Does Not Meet Standard: Not applicable
Falls Far Below Standard: School is in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is delinquent with debt service payments.

| Result | Points Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Days Cash: |  |  |
| 122 | 50 | 50.00 |
|  | 10 |  |
|  | 0 | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0}$ |


| Result | Points Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variance is: |  |  |
| $102.72 \%$ | 50 | 50.00 |
|  | 30 |  |
|  | 0 | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0}$ |

Result Points Possible Points Earned

| No instances of <br> non-compliance <br> documented | 50 | 50.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Measure 2a

## otal Margin and Aggregated

 3-Year Total Marginotal Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margin: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues

Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive
Does Not Meet Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard" Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated 3 -Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR The most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.

Notes Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.

## Measure 2b

 Debt to Asset Ratio
## Notes

Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One-Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash

Meets Standard (in one of two ways): Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. Note: Schools in heir first or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.
Does Not Meet Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard"
Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative

Notes

## Measure 2 d

Debt Service Coverage Ratio

Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)
Meets Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1
Does Not Meet Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1
Falls Far Below Standard: Not Applicable

| Year Totals: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8.71 \%$ | 50 | 50.00 |

10
0

Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets
eets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9
Does Not Meet Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0
Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0
Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Total Liabilities may be higher than expected. The restatement had a material effect on the standard outcome resulting in a "does not meet standard" (.97) rating. However, the pension liability was removed from the Total Liability calculation in the reported standard outcome.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | Points Possible | Points Earned |
| Ratio is: |  | 50.00 |
| 0.89 | 50 | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  | 30 |  |
|  | 0 |  |


| Result <br> Multi-Year <br> Cumulative is: | Points Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 453,201$ | 50 | 50.00 | 30

30
0
50.00

| Result | Points Possible | Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratio is: |  |  |
| 2.03 | 50 | 50.00 |

Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.

| ACADEMIC | Measure | Possible Points | 2013-14 <br> POINTS <br> EARNED* | 2014-15 <br> POINTS <br> EARNED | 2015-16 <br> POINTS <br> EARNED | 2016-17 <br> POINTS <br> EARNED | 2017-18 <br> POINTS <br> EARNED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State/Federal Accountability | 1a | 25 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 1 b | 25 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Proficiency | 2a | 75 | 63.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 2b | 75 | 55.54 | 28.15 | 35.35 |  |  |
|  | 2 c | 75 | 51.90 | 35.80 | 41.48 |  |  |
| Growth | 3 a | 100 | 82.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 3 b | 100 | 66.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 3 c | 100 | 58.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 3d | 75 | 47.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 3 e | 75 | 51.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 3 f | 75 | 47.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 3 g | 100 | 72.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| College \& Career Readiness | 4 a | 50 | 30.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 4b1 / 4b2 | 50 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 4 c | 50 | 0.00 | 41.60 | 16.60 |  |  |
| Total Possible Academic Points Received |  | 1050 | 712.55 | 120.55 | 93.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| \% of Possible Academic Points for This School |  |  | 71.25\% | 53.58\% | 46.72\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| *2013-14 Academic results are based on 2012-13 ISAT. Subsequent outcomes are based on the ISAT by SBAC and should not be directly compared to 2013-14 data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MISSION-SPECIFIC | Measure | Possible Points | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
|  |  |  | POINTS | POINTS | POINTS | POINTS | POINTS |
|  |  |  | EARNED | EARNED | EARNED | EARNED | EARNED |
| Supportive Learning Environment (Elem) | 1 | 105 | N/A | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Supportive Learning Environ(MS-HS) | 2 | 85 | N/A | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Social and Emotional Safety (Elem) | 3 | 105 | N/A | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Social and Emotional Safety (MS-HS) | 4 | 85 | N/A | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Social and Civic Skills (Elem) | 5 | 105 | N/A | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Social and Civic Skills (MS-HS) | 6 | 85 | N/A | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| U.S. Civics Knowledge (12th grade) | 7 | 130 | N/A | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Total Possible Mission-Specific Points Received |  | 700 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| \% of Possible Mission-Specific Points for This School |  |  | N/A | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OPERATIONAL | Measure | Possible Points | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
|  |  |  | POINTS | POINTS | POINTS | POINTS | POINTS |
|  |  |  | EARNED | EARNED | EARNED | EARNED | EARNED |
| Educational Program | 1a | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 1b | 25 | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 1 c | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 1d | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
| Financial Management \& Oversight | 2a | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 2b | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
| Governance \& Reporting | 3 a | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 3 b | 25 | 25 | 25 | 0 |  |  |
| Students \& Employees | 4 a | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 4b | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 4 c | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 4d | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
| School Environment | 5a | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 5b | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 5 c | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |
| Additional Obligations | 6a | 25 | 25 | 25 | 0 |  |  |
| Total Possible Operational Points Received |  | 400 | 400.00 | 365.00 | 350.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| \% of Possible Operational Points for This School |  |  | 100.00\% | 91.25\% | 87.50\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FINANCIAL | Measure | Possible Points | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
|  |  |  | POINTS | POINTS | POINTS | POINTS | POINTS |
|  |  |  | EARNED | EARNED | EARNED | EARNED | EARNED |
| Near-Term Measures | 1a | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |  |
|  | 1b | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |  |
|  | 1 c | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |  |
|  | 1d | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |  |
| Sustainability Measures | 2a | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |  |
|  | 2 b | 50 | 30 | 30 | 50 |  |  |
|  | 2 c | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |  |
|  | 2d | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |  |
| Total Possible Financial Points Received |  | 400 | 380.00 | 380.00 | 400.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| \% of Possible Financial Points for This School |  |  | 95.00\% | 95.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION |  |  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
|  |  |  | DESIGNATION | DESIGNATION | DESIGNATION | DESIGNATION | DESIGNATION |
| Academic \& Mission-Specific |  |  | Good Standing | Remediation | Critical |  |  |
| Operational |  |  | Honor | Honor | Good Standing |  |  |
| Financial |  |  | Honor | Honor | Honor |  |  |

"Performance-based accountability is the cornerstone of charter schools."

