User: Michael McSwain User Role: District

RATING YEAR 2017-2018 DISTRICT NUMBER DISTRICT # SELECT AN OPTION Help Home Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2017-2018 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2016-2017 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: BROCK ISD(184909)	Publication Level 1: 8/6/2018 2: 48: 24 PM
Status: Passed	Publication Level 2: None
Rating: A = Superior	Last Updated: 8/6/2018 2:48:24 PM
District Score: 96	Passing Score: 60

Distr	rict Score: 96 Passing S	core: 60		
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?		4/20/2018 9:28:10 AM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.			
2.A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)		4/20/2018 9:28:10 AM	Yes
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)		4/20/2018 9:28:10 AM	Yes
3	Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements a year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbe on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that a uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even thougagreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes the debt.)	arance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made te not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to h payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt	4/20/2018 9:28:11 AM	Yes
4	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS (IRS), and other government agencies?), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service	4/20/2018 9:28:11 AM	Yes
5	Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretion of interest for cap Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's change of student school district passes this indicator.)		4/20/2018 9:28:11 AM	Yes
				1 Multipli Sum
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	or the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures	4/20/2018 9:28:11 AM	6
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district suffice	cient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 9:28:12 AM	10
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to si students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district process of the school district process.		4/20/2018 9:28:12 AM	10
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school	4/20/2018 9:28:12 AM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See	ranges below.)	4/20/2018 9:28:12 AM	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ra	tio? (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 9:28:13 AM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment	6/19/2018 11:25:40 AM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total	4/20/2018 9:28:13 AM	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of m local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	aterial noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to	4/20/2018 9:28:13 AM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	iscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program	4/20/2018 9:28:14 AM	10

1 of 2 8/7/2018, 2:36 PM

	96 Weighted Sum
	1 Multiplier Sum
	96 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.	
Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)	
A = Superior	90-100
B = Above Standard	80-89
C = Meets Standard	60-79
F = Substandard Achievement	<60

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

 $Home\ Page:\ \underline{\textbf{Financial Accountability}}\ |\ \ \textbf{Send\ comments\ or\ suggestions\ to\ } \underline{\textbf{Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov}}$

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 5.4.1.0

8/7/2018, 2:36 PM 2 of 2