# Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: BAILEY INGLISH
Campus ID: 074903103
District Name: BONHAM ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

There is no data for this campus.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

		African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander		Econ	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)			Total Eligible	
Performance Status - State Target Reading Mathematics Writing Science Social Studies Total	<b>State</b> 60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Performance Status - Federal Target Reading Mathematics	Federal 87%	87%	87%	87%	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	87%	87%	87%	n/a n/a			
Participation Status Target Reading Mathematics Total	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Federal Graduation S Graduation Target Met Reason Code *** Total		et: See Rea	son Codes	)									0	0 <b>0</b>	
District: Met Federal I Reading Alternate 1% Number Proficient	L <b>imits on A</b> n/a n/a	Iternative A	ssessment	s									v	Ū	
Total Federal Cap Limit Mathematics Alternate 1% Number	n/a n/a n/a														
Proficient Total Federal Cap Limit Total Overall Total	n/a												0	0	
	on Rate Ro ation Rate ear Gradu present sto	eason Code Goal of 90 ation Rate udent group	es: % Target of 8 o indicators	88% s that do	c = S d = Fi not meet	ve-year the min	· Graduati	on Rate	Target of		fference fron	n the p	orior ye	ear rate a	and the Goa

<del></del>							Two or			ELL	
All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current
Performance Rates											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_,
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard <b>Writing</b>												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme												
Number Participating		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		n/a	_
Total Students	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	
Participation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asses	- emonte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II/a	-
Number Participating	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	n/a	_
Total Students	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	n/a	-
Participation Rate			-	-	-	-	-		-		n/a	
i antioipation reate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II/a	-
* Indicates results are mas  ** When only one racial/ethr - Indicates there are no stu n/a Indicates the student grou	nic group is dents in th	s masked, t ne group.	hen the sec	ond sma	allest racial/e		oup is mask	ked (regar	dless of s	ize).		

								Two or				
	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort	Graduation Ra	te (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	15								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort	Graduation Ra	ite (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation	n Rate (Gr 9-12	): Class of 2	014									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

## District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments Reading

Number Proficient
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a n/a Mathematics
Number Proficient

n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

**Priority schools** are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports.Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A
No Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

### Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

Campus								
	Number	Percent	District	State				
			Percent	Percent				
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%				
Bachelors	6.8	69.4%	75.9%	74.7%				
Masters	3.0	30.6%	23.0%	23.6%				
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%				

### Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

#### **High Poverty**

#### Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Tota
Total Number of Teachers		10	1	11
Total Number of Classes		10	1	1.
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	10	1	1.
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	(
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	1	C
Not Highly Qualified	0	C

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2 2 3
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2 2 2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	ū	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a

Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	% At or Above Basic	% At or Above Proficient	% At or Above Advanced
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
		Limited English Proficient	92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	80
		Limited English Proficient	95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment