Texas Education Agency

2016-17 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: LLOYD M BENTSEN EL Campus ID: 108912116 District Name: LA JOYA ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2016-17 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

		State	District	Campus	African Americar	n Hispanie		American Indian				Special Ed		ELL	Female	e Male	Migrant
STAAR Percent at	or Abo																
Grade 3					,	,		•		•	,						
Reading	2017 2016		70% 65%	83% 78%	- *	83% 78%	- *	-	-	-	-	* 50%	81% 76%	87% 75%	81% 71%	84% 87%	- *
Mathematics	2017 2016		74% 70%	85% 81%	- *	85% 81%	- *	-	-	-	-	* 50%	83% 80%	87% 86%	86% 78%	84% 85%	- *
Grade 4																	
Reading	2017 2016		68% 65%	76% 74%	*	76% 75%	*	-	-	-	-	67% 45%	73% 72%	72% 67%	72% 76%	81% 72%	*
Mathematics	2017 2016		73% 67%	85% 76%	*	85% 76%	- *	-	-	-	-	42% 45%	84% 73%	85% 75%	90% 76%	81% 76%	*
Writing	2017 2016		71% 72%	71% 83%	*	71% 83%	- *	-	-	-	-	*	69% 81%	67% 79%	80% 88%	63% 77%	*
Grade 5																	
Reading	2017 2016		81% 73%	88% 80%	-	88% 80%	-	-	-	-	-	38% 55%	88% 79%	82% 71%	89% 75%	86% 85%	* 83%
Mathematics	2017 2016		84% 78%	94% 92%	-	94% 92%	-	-	-	-	-	62% 82%	94% 92%	92% 88%	94% 92%	95% 91%	* 100%
Science	2017 2016		66% 67%	90% 82%	-	90% 82%	-	-	-	-	-	38% 55%	90% 82%	84% 75%	91% 75%	89% 91%	* 100%
All Grades All Subjects	2017 2016		68% 65%	85% 80%	*	85% 81%	- 71%	-	-	-	-	43% 53%	83% 79%	82% 77%	86% 79%	83% 82%	60% 85%
Reading	2017 2016		61% 59%	83% 77%	*	83% 77%	- *	-	-	-	-	50% 50%	81% 76%	80% 71%	82% 74%	84% 81%	* 75%
Mathematics	2017 2016		76% 71%	89% 82%	*	89% 83%	- *	_	-	-	-	46% 59%	88% 81%	88% 83%	91% 82%	87% 83%	* 92%
Writing	2017		65%	71%	*	71%	_	_	_	_	_	*	69%	67%	80%	63%	*
J	2016		62%	83%	-	83%	*	-	-	-	-	*	81%	79%	88%	77%	*
Science	2017 2016		72% 72%	90% 82%	- -	90% 82%	-	-	-	-	-	38% 55%	90% 82%	84% 75%	91% 75%	89% 91%	* 100%
STAAR Percent at	: Meets (Grade	Level (2	2017) or F	inal Leve	el II Stand	lard (20	16)									
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2017 2016		36% 31%	56% 46%	*	56% 46%	- 71%	-	-	-	-	26% 8%	53% 43%	51% 40%	54% 43%	59% 49%	33% 44%
Reading	2017 2016		32% 28%	55% 44%	*	55% 43%	- *	-	-	-	-	32% 12%	53% 41%	52% 41%	53% 43%	58% 45%	* 42%
Mathematics	2017 2016		42% 33%	63% 42%	*	63% 42%	- *	-	-	-	-	25% 3%	59% 38%	57% 36%	60% 37%	65% 48%	* 42%
Writing	2017 2016		37% 35%	30% 52%	*	30% 52%	- *	-	-	-	-	*	26% 50%	27% 50%	31% 55%	29% 49%	*
Science	2017 2016	48%	37% 33%	65% 57%	- -	65% 57%	-	- -	-	-	- -	31% 9%	63% 54%	53% 43%	58% 49%	73% 65%	* 50%

Two African Pacific More Special Econ American State District Campus American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Ed Disadv ELL Female Male Migrant STAAR Percent at Masters Grade Level (2017) or Level III Advanced (2016) All Grades All Subjects 2017 19% 14% 30% 30% 7% 27% 25% 26% 34% 7% 2016 17% 11% 23% 43% 5% 20% 26% 18% 23% 19% 20% Reading 2017 18% 12% 33% 33% 11% 29% 30% 29% 37% 2016 16% 9% 24% 24% 6% 22% 23% 23% 26% 17% 34% 31% 28% 29% 2017 21% 18% 35% 11% 41% Mathematics 8% 2016 17% 12% 21% 20% 3% 19% 16% 15% 26% Writing 2017 11% 11% 5% 5% 3% 3% 4% 6% 2016 14% 12% 26% 26% 23% 24% 25% 26% 33% Science 2017 19% 33% 0% 28% 20% 30% 2016 15% 10% 22% 22% 9% 20% 6% 18% 26% 33% STAAR Participation (All Grades) All Tests 2017 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 2016 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% Reading 2017 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 2016 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 2017 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% Mathematics 2016 100% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% Writing 2017 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 2016 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 2017 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% Science 100% 2016 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% STAAR Participation Results by Assessment Type for Students Served in Special Education Settings (All Grades) Reading Tests 98% 97% % of Participants 2017 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% % STAAR/EOC With No Accommodations 2017 13% 6% 7% 7% 7% 7% 0% 10% 6% % STAAR/EOC With 2017 73% 77% 89% 89% 89% 89% 95% 90% 89% Accommodations % STAAR Alternate 2 2017 12% 14% 4% 4% 4% 5% 0% 6% % of Non-Participants 2017 2% 3% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Mathematics Tests 99% 98% 100% 100% 2017 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% % of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No 4% 12% 0% 10% Accommodations 2017 5% 4% 4% 4% 0% % STAAR/EOC With Accommodations 2017 74% 77% 93% 93% 93% 93% 95% 90% 94% % STAAR Alternate 2 2017 13% 16% 4% 4% 4% 4% 5% 0% 6%

1%

2%

2017

% of Non-Participants

0%

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2016-17 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

									Percent of
			Two or		ELL				Eligible
All African	American	Pacific	More Eco	on Special	(Current &	ELL	Total	Total	Measures
Students American Hispanic Wh	ite Indian	Asian Islander	Races Disa	adv Ed	Monitored)	+	Met	Eligible	Met

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

[&]quot;?" Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander				ELL (Current & Monitored)			Total Eligible	
Performance Status	- State														
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Υ		Υ						Υ	N	Υ	n/a	4	5	80
Mathematics	Υ		Υ						Υ	N	Υ	n/a	4	5	80
Writing	Ý		Ý						Ý		Ý	n/a	4	4	100
Science	Y		Ý						Ý		Ý	n/a	4	4	100
Social Studies	•								•			n/a	Ö	Ö	100
Total												11,4	16	18	89
Performance Status	- Federal														
Federal Target	91%	91%	91%	91%					91%	91%	91%				
Reading	N	0.70	N	0.70	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	0.70	N	n/a			
Mathematics	Ϋ́		Ϋ́		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N		Ϋ́	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ		Υ						Υ	Υ	n/a	Υ	5	5	100
Mathematics	Y		Ý						Ý	Ý	n/a	Ý	5	5	100
Total													10	10	100
Federal Graduation S	Status (Targ	et: See Rea	son Codes)											
Graduation Targe	et			•							n/a		0	0	
Met															
Reason Code *** Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal	Limits on A	Iternative A	ssessment	ts											
Reading															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number	n/a														
Proficient															
Total Federal	n/a														
Cap Limit	11/4														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number	n/a														
Proficient	II/a														
Total Federal	2/2														
	n/a														
Cap Limit															
Total													26	28	93
Overall Total															

⁺ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)
*** Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

/a Indicates the student grou	up is not appl				e minimum s							
								Two or			ELL	_
	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	(Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current
Performance Rates												
Reading												
# at Approaches Grade evel Standard	237	*	**	-	-	-	-	-	202	14	129	n/a
Total Tests	281	*	**	-	-	-	_	-	245	25	157	151
% at Approaches Grade	84%	*	84%	-	-	-	-	-	82%	56%	82%	n/a
evel Standard												
Nathematics												
# at Approaches Grade	256	*	**	-	-	-	-	-	220	13	144	n/a
evel Standard.												
Total Tests	281	*	**	-	-	-	-	-	245	25	157	151
% at Approaches Grade	91%	*	91%	-	-	-	-	-	90%	52%	92%	n/a
evel Standard.												
Vriting		*	**							*		
# at Approaches Grade	66	*	**	-	-	-	-	-	55	*	38	n/a
evel Standard	00	*	**						70	*	50	
Total Tests	89	*		-	-	-	-	-	78	*	53	52
% at Approaches Grade	74%		74%	-	-	-	-	-	71%	•	72%	n/a
evel Standard												
t at Approaches Crade	103		103						89	5	54	n/a
# at Approaches Grade evel Standard	103	-	103	-	-	-	-	-	09	J	54	II/d
ever Standard Total Tests	113	_	113						99	11	62	58
	91%	-	91%	-	-	-	-	-	99	45%	62 87%	อช n/a
% at Approaches Grade evel Standard	9170	-	9170	-	-	-	-	-	90%	4370	0170	n/a

		•			•			Two or		•	ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current
# at Approaches Grade	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Level Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Approaches Grade	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Level Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2016-2017 Assessme	nts											
Number Participating	312	*	**	-	-	-	-	-	274	28	n/a	172
Total Students	312	*	**	-	-	-	-	-	274	28	n/a	172
Participation Rate	100%	*	100%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2016-2017 Asses	sments											
Number Participating	312	*	**	-	-	-	-	-	274	28	n/a	172
Total Students	312	*	**	-	-	-	-	-	274	28	n/a	172
Participation Rate	100%	*	100%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	n/a	100%
* Indicates results are masi												

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Curren
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gra	duation Rate (Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2016									
Number Graduated	- '	· - ′	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gra	duation Rate (Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2015									
Number Graduated	- '	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Ra	ate (Gr 9-12): C	lass of 2015	i									
Number Graduated	- '	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
District: Met Federal Limits on	Alternative As	sessments										
Reading												
Number Proficient	n/a											
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a											
Mathematics												
Number Proficient	n/a											
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a											

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Source: 2017 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading and mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on Improvement Required status and reading/mathematics performance in the selected student groups. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the next lowest achieving campuses based on Improvement Required status and reading/mathematics performance in the selected student groups.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and mathematics performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percentage of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percentage of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District Percent	State Percent
No Degree	0.8	2.0%	1.5%	1.2%
Bachelors	36.1	83.6%	82.5%	74.5%
Masters	6.2	14.4%	15.8%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.2%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

Report Not Required

Source: TEA Division of Educator Preparation and Program Accountability

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percentage of total graduates during the 2013-14 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2014-15 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	% At or Above Basic	% At or Above Proficient	% At or Above Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
	-	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3

			0/	%	%	%
Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	At or Above Basic	At or Above Proficient	At or Above Advanced
Olaue .	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
	Matricinatios	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	Ü	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
		Limited English Proficient	92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	80
		Limited English Proficient	95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	81
	-	Limited English Proficient	95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment