DCMA CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (CAR)

Reference Number:	76301-20100091	**Nonconformity Discovered Date**	21 Sep 2009
Delivery Schedule Impact:	No	**CAR Level:**	III
Prime Contract Number:	N0001904C0014	**Supplier Response Due Date:**	29 Oct 2010
NSN:			
Part Number:			
Purchase order:			
Supplier Contact First Name:	[redacted]		
Supplier Contact Last Name:	[redacted]		
CAGE-Supplier Name:	76301 - Boeing		

Supplier Response to the CAR shall address the following items:

Root causes of the discrepancy
Action taken to correct the discrepancy
Action taken to prevent recurrence of the discrepancy
Target dates for implementation of corrective action
Action taken to determine if either product or process is suffered by the same or similar discrepancy

Boeing IDS failure to control subcontractors in the procurement of titanium and failure to verify titanium supplier material certifications to specification requirements. A Superseding Indictment filed in the Southern District of California states that Western Titanium, Inc. (WTI), San Diego, CA, provided non-conforming titanium in the form of titanium billet to companies engaged in the manufacture of aircraft parts that were provided to DoD prime contractors. WTI certified this titanium billet to specifications that the material did not meet, and as a result, the Boeing Company delivered non-conforming products and parts to the Government that failed to meet Aerospace Material Specifications (AMS) or Military Specifications contained in Boeing contracts. In some instances, titanium was cut from billet and represented as spec-compliant plate which WTI considered a common practice among titanium suppliers. The non-conforming titanium initially applied to F/A-18A-F, EA-18G, F-15A-D, F-22, C-17 and AV-8B platforms, but the ramifications of falsified material certifications impacts all contracts which procured titanium products and parts certified to AMS-T-9046, AMS-T-9047, MIL-T-9047, MIL-T-9046, AMS 6931A and AMS 4928R requirements. Non-conforming titanium has been introduced into DoD inventories dating back to at least 2002, but potentially earlier. This is a serious non-compliance with Boeing's responsibility under the Inspection Clause in its contracts to maintain an acceptable quality management system.

Requestor First Name: [redacted]	**Requestor Phone Number:** [redacted]	**Supplier Notified Date:**
Requestor Last Name: [redacted]	**Requestor Fax Number:** [redacted]	30 Aug 2010