

March 31, 2015

The Honorable Scott Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street, N.E.
Washington DC 20543

Re: *Obergefell v. Hodges* and *Henry v. Hodges*, No. 14-556; *Tanco v. Haslam*, No. 14-562; *DeBoer v. Snyder*, No. 14-571; *Bourke v. Beshear* and *Love v. Beshear*, No. 14-574

Dear Mr. Harris:

Petitioners in *Obergefell v. Hodges* and *Henry v. Hodges*, No. 14-556; *Tanco v. Haslam*, No. 14-562; *DeBoer v. Snyder*, No. 14-571; and *Bourke v. Beshear* and *Love v. Beshear*, No. 14-574, respectfully submit this letter regarding oral argument in these consolidated cases. We understand that, in response to our letter proposing divided argument, you have asked the Petitioners to identify a single oralist for Petitioners on each question. Petitioners identify Mary L. Bonauto to present oral argument for Petitioners on Question One and Douglas Hallward-Driemeier to present argument for Petitioners on Question Two. We continue to request that argument on Question One be divided with the United States, and that the 45 minutes allotted Petitioners on Question One be divided 30 minutes for counsel for Petitioners and 15 minutes for counsel for the United States.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Alphonse A. Gerhardstein
Counsel of Record, *Obergefell v. Hodges* and *Henry v. Hodges*, No. 14-556

/s/

Douglas H. Hallward-Driemeier
Counsel of Record, *Tanco v. Haslam*, No. 14-562

/s/

Carole Stanyar
Counsel of Record, *DeBoer v. Snyder*, No. 14-571

/s/

Daniel J. Canon
Counsel of Record, *Bourke v. Beshear* and *Love v. Beshear*, No. 14-574

cc: Mary L. Bonauto, Esq.
Office of the Solicitor General
Counsel of record for Respondents