SNMMI-TS Membership – ABNM/ABR Proposal Survey Response Summary

Survey Distributed to: 9,495

E-mail Actually Delivered: 9,279*
*(reason some were not delivered is that people have opted out of receiving e-mails from SNMMI)

# of Individuals that Opened E-mail: 3,710

# of Individuals Completed Survey: 457

# of Individuals Completed Survey who are Certified (CNMT or RT(N)): 323

# of Individuals Completed Survey who reside in US: 321

1. Did you know about the proposed merger prior to receiving this notice?
   a. 39.61% or 181 people (YES)
   b. 60.39% or 276 people (NO)

2. Do you support the proposed merger as outlined?
   a. 31.29% or 143 people (YES)
   b. 68.71% or 314 people (NO)

3. If approved, do you think this change would affect your current job?
   a. 48.36% or 221 people (YES)
   b. 51.64% or 236 people (NO)
   c. Most common reasons why they thought it would affect their job were: (I took the open-ended responses to question #3 and summarized the most common responses below)
      i. Will lead to NMT's needing to be dual certified – RT(R)
      ii. Radiologists are not comfortable reading NM studies and often ask NMT's for their perspective – could lead to incorrect findings.
      iii. NMT's will be replaced with RT(R) – radiologists will hire radiologic technologists
      iv. Would change the entire field – not only NMT jobs – change would be larger and drastic.
      v. Growth of new nuclear medicine procedures/therapies would be stifled or non-existent – resulting in decrease in patient care.
      vi. Nuclear Medicine would no longer be a sub-specialty.
      vii. Lower pay for NMT's as NM will be just another modality under radiology.
      viii. Current ABR standards to certify NM physicians is inadequate.