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## Background: Repetition-type polar answers

- 'Repetition-type’ polar answers:
answers repeat same lexical content (e.g. verb or auxiliary) as the polar question ${ }^{1}$
- Two types of 'repetition' affirmative polar answers with a TAM auxiliary in Javanese:
(1) Q: Kuna'ah iso ngelangi toh?

Kuna'ah CIRC.POS AV.swim FOC
'Can Kuna'ah swim?'

A1: Iso.
CIRC.POS
'Yes.' (Lit. 'Can.')

A2: Kuna'ah iso.
'subj+aux'
Kuna'ah CIRC.pos
'Kuna'ah can.'

Other possibilities are a clause with basic word order S-Aux-V-O, or with a yes/no particle

## Background: Types of ellipsis in polar answers

- Assuming 'repetition-type' polar answers have a full syntactic clause structure ${ }^{2}$

Two main strategies for verb or aux-only answers (cf. Holmberg 2016)
I. IP-DOMAIN ELLIPSIS

II. VP-ELLIPSIS
(+ SUBJECT ElLIPSIS)
$\left[{ }_{\text {PoIP }} \mathrm{Pol}^{0}{ }_{[+\mathrm{PoII}]}\left[{ }_{\mathrm{TP}}\right.\right.$ [SUBU] $\mathrm{T}^{0}$ [Aux $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{vP}}$ VERB] $]$

Cross-linguistic Variation $\rightarrow$ Does the verb or auxiliary move? If so, where to?
$\rightarrow$ Does the language have pro-drop/arg. ellipsis?

## The puzzle: Which derivation strategy is used?

- Two types of 'repetition' affirmative polar answers with a TAM auxiliary:
(2) Q: ‘Can Kuna’ah swim?’

A1: Iso. 'aux-only'
CIRC.POS
'Yes.' (Lit. 'Can.')

A2: Kuna’ah iso. 'subj+aux'
Kuna'ah circ.pos
'Kuna'ah can.'

- In principle, either derivation is possible for both A1 and A2 answers since Javanese independently has aux-movement ${ }^{3}$, VP-ellipsis ${ }^{4}$, and argument ellipsis ${ }^{5}$

| Derivational strategies | A1. Aux-only answer | A2. Subj+aux answer |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| IP-domain ellipsis | Compatible | Compatible <br> (plus subject-movement) |
| VP-ellipsis | Compatible <br> (plus subject ellipsis) | Compatible |

Table 1.

## Proposal: Javanese A1 'aux-only' and A2 'subj-aux' answers use two distinct strategies

## A1. aux-only answers use 'IP-domain ellipsis' <br> (à la Holmberg 2016)



- Auxiliary has moved to Focus ${ }^{0}$
- PoIP is elided (under identity with the PoIP of the polar question)


## Proposal: Javanese A1 'aux-only' and A2 'subj-aux' answers use two distinct strategies

## A1. aux-only answers

 use 'IP-domain ellipsis'(à la Holmberg 2016)

## 

- Auxiliary has moved to Focus ${ }^{0}$
- PoIP is elided (under identity with the PolP of the polar question)


## A2. subj+aux answers

use 'vP-topic-drop' via a Matching Analysis (à la Thoms \& Walkden 2019)


- lower vP is elided under identity with the higher, base-generated vP
- a null operator (base-generated with the subject of lower vP) moves to a position above TopP and links the two vPs
- higher vP is elided (under identity with the vP of the polar question)


## Evidence for 'Aux-only' answers as IP-domain ellipsis

- Support for auxiliary movement to a position in the Left Periphery:
- optional overt head-movement of any TAM auxiliary to above an epistemic/evidential adverb
- This unexpected TAM word order is only licensed in polar answers.
(2) Q: ‘Can Kuna'ah swim?’

$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { A3: Iso } & \text { koyoke } & \text { Kuna'ah } & \text { ngelangi. } \\ \text { can } & \text { DIR.EVID } & \text { Kuna'ah } & \text { AV.swim }\end{array}$
'Kuna’ah can likely swim.'


## Evidence for 'Subj+Aux' answers as VP-ellipsis via Matching

- A2 'Subj+Aux' answers share the same auxiliary restrictions as with vP-preposing, in contrast to:
- A1. 'Aux+only’ answers
- VP-ellipsis

| East Javanese auxiliaries | A1. Aux-only answer | A2. Subj+Aux answer | Overt VP- <br> Preposing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VP- } \\ & \text { ellipsis } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tau 'EXIST.PST' | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| iso 'CIRC.POS' | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| oleh 'DEON.POS' | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| kudu 'ROOT.NEC' | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| lagek 'PROG' | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |
| wes 'already' | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Wider implications of the Javanese data

- A1 'aux-only' and A2 'Subj+Aux' answers have two distinct strategies:
- A1 as IP-domain ellipsis (cf. Holmberg 2016)
- A2 as VP-ellipsis via Matching (cf. Sailor 2014; Thoms \& Walkden 2019)
$\rightarrow$ adds to a more fine-grained syntactic typology of ellipsis types in polar answers
- But neither use VP-ellipsis plus argument ellipsis as a strategy, despite their independent availability (cf. Sato 2015; Vander Klok 2016)
$\rightarrow$ Why? I suggest that the information-structure mapping in Javanese is crucial to understand how the different ellipsis strategies are licensed (cf. Kertz 2013; Sailor 2014)
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