



## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

**[EPA-R10-OAR-2016-0057; FRL-10011-28-Region 10]**

#### **Air Plan Approval; OR; 2010 Sulfur Dioxide NAAQS Interstate Transport Requirements**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approves the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submission from Oregon as meeting certain Clean Air Act (CAA) interstate transport requirements for the 2010 1-hour Sulfur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). EPA has determined that emissions from Oregon sources will not contribute significantly to nonattainment or interfere with the maintenance of the 2010 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS in any other state.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective [**insert date 30 days after date of publication in the Federal Register**].

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R10-OAR-2016-0057. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available at <https://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section for additional availability information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kristin Hall, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue – Suite 155, Seattle, WA 98101, at (206) 553-6357, or *hall.kristin@epa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, wherever “we”, “us”, or “our” is used, it means the EPA.

## **I. Background**

On May 15, 2020, we proposed to approve the October 20, 2015, SIP submission from Oregon as meeting certain Clean Air Act (CAA) interstate transport requirements for the 2010 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS (85 FR 29369). The reasons for our proposed approval were stated in the proposed rulemaking and will not be re-stated here. The public comment period for the proposed rulemaking ended on June 15, 2020. We received no comments. Therefore, we are finalizing our rulemaking as proposed.

## **II. Final Action**

In this final action, EPA approves the October 20, 2015, SIP submission from Oregon as meeting the interstate transport requirements of CAA section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) for the 2010 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS.

## **III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because it does not address technical standards; and

- Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land in Oregon and is also not approved to apply in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by **[insert date 60 days after date of publication in the Federal Register]**. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be

filed and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2)).

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: June 25, 2020.

Christopher Hladick,  
Regional Administrator,  
Region 10.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

**PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS**

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart MM—Oregon**

2. In § 52.1970, amend Table 5 in paragraph (e) by adding, under the heading “110(a)(2) Infrastructure and Interstate Transport” an entry for “Interstate Transport for the 2010 sulfur dioxide NAAQS” immediately after the entry for “Infrastructure for the 2015 ozone NAAQS” to read as follows:

**§ 52.1970 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(e) \* \* \*

**TABLE 5 – STATE OF OREGON AIR QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM APPROVED BUT NOT INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

<b>Name of SIP provision</b>	<b>Applicable geographic or nonattainment area</b>	<b>State submittal date</b>	<b>EPA approval date</b>	<b>Explanations</b>
*	*	*	*	*
<b>110(a)(2) Infrastructure and Interstate Transport</b>				
*	*	*	*	*
Interstate Transport for the 2010 sulfur dioxide NAAQS	Statewide	10/20/2015	[Insert date of publication in the Federal Register], [Insert Federal Register citation]	This action addresses CAA 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I).
*	*	*	*	*