



8011-01

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
(Release No. 34-89008; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2020-31)

June 4, 2020

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 2, to Modify Rule 6.60-O Regarding the Treatment of Orders Subject to Trade Collar Protection

I. *Introduction*

On April 9, 2020, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)<sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”)<sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>3</sup> a proposed rule change to modify Exchange Rule 6.60-O regarding the treatment of orders subject to Trade Collar Protection. The Exchange submitted Amendment No. 2, which superseded and replaced the proposed rule change, on April 23, 2020.<sup>4</sup> The proposed rule change was published for comment in the *Federal Register* on April 30, 2020.<sup>5</sup> The Commission received no comments on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 2.

II. *Description of the Proposal, as Modified by Amendment No. 2*

---

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>4</sup> Amendment No. 2 is available at <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysearca-2020-31/srnysearca202031-7238141-217098.pdf>. The Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1 on April 22, 2020, and withdrew it on April 23, 2020.

<sup>5</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88737 (April 24, 2020), 85 FR 24069 (“Notice”).

The Exchange states that it proposes changes to Rule 6.60-O(a) to modify functionality and to adopt enhancements to the operation of the Trading Collars.<sup>6</sup> The Exchange applies Trade Collar Protection to incoming market orders and marketable limit orders (each a “collared order” and, collectively, “Marketable Orders”) if the width of the NBBO is greater than one Trading Collar. As described more fully in the Notice, the Exchange states that Trading Collars mitigate the risks associated with orders sweeping through multiple price points (including during extreme market volatility) and resulting in executions at prices that are potentially erroneous.<sup>7</sup> According to the Exchange, by applying Trading Collars to incoming orders, the Exchange provides an opportunity to attract additional liquidity at tighter spreads and it “collars” affected orders at successive price points until the bid and offer are equal to the bid-ask differential guideline for that option (*i.e.*, equal to the Trading Collar).<sup>8</sup> Similarly, by applying Trading Collars to partially executed orders, the Exchange states that it prevents the balance of such orders from executing away from the prevailing market after exhausting interest at or near the top of book on arrival.<sup>9</sup>

Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to modify the treatment of incoming market orders when the width of the NBBO is greater than one Trading Collar (*i.e.*, a “wide market”) and there is an existing contra-side collared order. Currently, an incoming market order would immediately

---

<sup>6</sup> See Notice, *supra* note 5, 85 FR at 24070. “Trading Collars” are determined by the Exchange on a class-by-class basis and, unless announced otherwise via Trader Update, are the same value as the bid-ask differential guidelines established pursuant to Rule 6.37-O(b)(4). See Rule 6.60(a)(2).

<sup>7</sup> See Notice, *supra* note 5, 85 FR at 24070.

<sup>8</sup> See *id.*

<sup>9</sup> See *id.*

execute against an existing contra-side collared order in a wide market.<sup>10</sup> The Exchange proposes to reject a market order to buy (sell) received in a wide market if there is already a collared Marketable Order to sell (buy).<sup>11</sup> The Exchange states that the proposed rule change would prevent the execution of the market order at a potential erroneous price and provide the collared order greater opportunity to receive execution.<sup>12</sup>

The Exchange also proposes to amend the operation of the Trading Collar so that the display price would be the last execution price of the collared order.<sup>13</sup> Currently, the display price of a collared Marketable Order could be based on either the available contra-side trading interest within (or outside of) one Trading Collar or the Collar Range<sup>14</sup> of the collared order.<sup>15</sup> The Exchange states that the proposed rule change would simplify the method of selecting the display price (*i.e.*, the current collar execution price) thereby enabling investors to gauge market interest, and, by using a single standard to determine the display price, provide more certainty for order senders.<sup>16</sup>

Currently, a collared order to buy (sell) would be assigned a new collar execution price one Trading Collar above (below) the current displayed price of the collared order and processed at the updated price after the expiration of one second and absent an update to the NBBO.<sup>17</sup> The

---

<sup>10</sup> See Rule 6.60-O(a)(1)(A).

<sup>11</sup> See proposed Rule 6.60-O(a)(1)(B).

<sup>12</sup> See Notice, *supra* note 5, 85 FR at 24070.

<sup>13</sup> See proposed Rule 6.60-O(a)(5).

<sup>14</sup> A “Collar Range” is within one Trading Collar above (for buy orders) or below (for sell orders) the collar execution price. See Rule 6.60-O(a)(4)(D).

<sup>15</sup> See Rule 6.60-O(a)(5)(A)-(B).

<sup>16</sup> See Notice, *supra* note 5, 85 FR at 24071.

<sup>17</sup> See Rule 6.60-O(a)(6)(C).

Exchange states that the current rule is silent as to the impact of any portion of the collared order routing to an away market as well as which side of the NBBO needs to update during the one-second time period.<sup>18</sup> Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to define an “Expiration” as when a collared order displays without executing, routing, or repricing and there is no update to the same-side NBBO price for a period of at least one second.<sup>19</sup> The Exchange states that the proposed modification makes clear that any such routing or same-side NBBO updates would restart the one-second timer for repricing purposes, and that collared orders subject to conditions that qualify as a proposed Expiration would be repriced as set forth in Rule 6.60-O(a)(6)(C).<sup>20</sup> Relatedly, the Exchange proposes to add a new paragraph that provides that a market order that is collared will cancel after it is subject to a specified number of Expirations, to be determined by the Exchange and announced by Trader Update.<sup>21</sup>

Finally, the Exchange states that it will announce the implementation of the proposed rule change in a Trader Update to be published no later than 60 days following a Commission approval.<sup>22</sup>

### III. *Discussion and Commission Findings*

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 2, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations

---

<sup>18</sup> *See id.*

<sup>19</sup> *See* proposed Rule 6.60-O(a)(6)(C).

<sup>20</sup> *See* Notice, *supra* note 5, 85 FR at 24071.

<sup>21</sup> *See* proposed Rule 6.60-O(a)(6)(C)(i).

<sup>22</sup> *See* Notice, *supra* note 5, 85 FR at 24071.

thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.<sup>23</sup> In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 2, is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>24</sup> which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Commission notes that the Exchange believes that the proposal to reject incoming market orders when there is a contra-side collared order would allow the collared order to continue to seek liquidity while providing the latter-arriving, contra-side order protection from execution in a wide market, which could be indicative of unstable market conditions or market dislocation.<sup>25</sup> The Exchange also believes that rejecting the incoming market order rather than collaring it while there is a collared order on the contra-side would provide greater execution opportunities for the collared order.<sup>26</sup>

In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposal to select the display price of a collared order based on the current collar execution price would provide order senders with more certainty and enable them to gauge indications of market interest.<sup>27</sup>

The Commission notes that the Exchange believes that the proposed definition of “Expiration” and the proposal to limit the number of Expirations per collared market order would

---

<sup>23</sup> In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>24</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>25</sup> *See* Notice, *supra* note 5, 85 FR at 24071.

<sup>26</sup> *See id.*

<sup>27</sup> *See id.*

improve the operation of the Trading Collar functionality because canceling back market orders that have persisted for a certain number of Expirations, which could be indicative of unstable market conditions, should provide order senders more certainty of the handling of such orders and help avoid such orders receiving bad executions in times of market dislocation.<sup>28</sup>

In addition, the Commission notes that the Exchange states that the proposed rule modifications to the Trading Collar functionality are similar to functionality available on other options exchanges.<sup>29</sup>

The Commission believes that the operation of the Trade Collar Protection mechanism set forth in the proposal is consistent with the Act. In addition, the Commission believes that the proposed changes should provide more certainty for investors with respect to how their orders will be handled on the Exchange. Accordingly, the Commission believes that the proposal is reasonably designed to help prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, protect investors and the public interest.

---

<sup>28</sup> *See id.*

<sup>29</sup> Specifically, the Exchange compares the Trading Collar functionality, as proposed, with NASDAQ Options Market and NASDAQ OMX BX, Options 3, Section 15 (Risk Protections) (b)(1), Acceptable Trade Range. The Exchange states that these exchanges provide a risk protection feature for quotes and orders, which prevents executions (partial or otherwise) of orders beyond an “acceptable trade range” (as calculated by the exchange) and that when an order (or quote) reaches the limits of the “acceptable trade range”, it posts for a period not to exceed one second and recalculated a new “acceptable trade range”. *See id.* 85 FR at 24072.

IV. *Conclusion*

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>30</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR-NYSEArca-2020-31), as modified by Amendment No. 2, be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>31</sup>

**J. Matthew DeLesDernier,**  
*Assistant Secretary.*

---

<sup>30</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>31</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

[FR Doc. 2020-12515 Filed: 6/9/2020 8:45 am; Publication Date: 6/10/2020]