DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-954]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) continues to determine that two companies under review had no shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR), September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018. Additionally, Commerce continues to determine that the remaining companies subject to this review are part of the China-wide entity because they failed to file no shipment statements, separate rate applications, or separate rate certifications.

DATES: Applicable [Insert date of publication in the Federal Register].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nathan James, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5305.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 16, 2019, Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from the People’s
Republic of China (China) for the period September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018.\(^1\) We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. No party submitted comments. Accordingly, the final results remain unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*.

**Scope of the Order**

The scope of the *Order* covers certain magnesia carbon bricks.\(^2\) For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

**Final Determination of No Shipments and Status of the China-Wide Entity**

Commerce preliminarily found that: (1) Fedmet Resources Corporation (Fedmet); and (2) Fengchi Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd., Fengchi Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City, Fengchi Mining Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City, and Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City (collectively, Fengchi) had no reviewable entries, shipments, or sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. As noted in Preliminary Decision Memorandum, we received no shipment statements from Fedmet and Fengchi, and these statements were consistent with the information we received from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP).\(^3\)

No party commented on our preliminary no-shipment finding with respect to Fedmet and Fengchi, and no party submitted record evidence that calls this finding into question. Therefore, for these final results, we continue to find that Fedmet and Fengchi did not have any reviewable entries, shipments, or sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

---


\(^3\) *See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 2.*
With the exceptions of Fedmet and Fengchi, we find all other companies for which a review was requested to be part of the China-wide entity because they failed to file no-shipment statements, separate rate applications, or separate rate certifications. The following companies, accordingly, are part of the China-wide entity: Liaoning Zhongmei High Temperature Material Co., Ltd., Liaoning Zhongmei Holding Co., Ltd., RHI Refractories Liaoning Co., Ltd., Shenglong Refractories Co., Ltd., Yingkou Heping Samwha Minerals, Co., Ltd., and Yingkou Heping Sanhua Materials Co., Ltd.4 Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity, and Commerce no longer considers the China-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews, we did not conduct a review of the China-wide entity. The rate previously established for the China-wide entity is 236.00 percent and is not subject to change as a result of this review.5

Assessment Rates

We have not calculated any assessment rates in this administrative review. Based on record evidence, we have determined that Fedmet and Fengchi had no shipments of subject merchandise and, therefore, pursuant to Commerce’s assessment practice, any suspended entries that entered under their case numbers will be liquidated at the China-wide entity rate.6

For all remaining companies subject to this review, which are part of the China-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to liquidate their entries at the current rate for the China-wide entity (i.e., 236.00 percent). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate published for the most recently completed period; (2) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the China-wide rate of 236.00 percent; and (3) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Administrative Protective Orders**

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written
notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction. These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).


Jeffrey I. Kessler,
Assistant Secretary
for Enforcement and Compliance.
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