INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Investigation No. 337-TA-955

Certain Protective Cases for Electronic Devices and Components Thereof

Notice of a Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination

Terminating the Investigation in its Entirety Based Upon Withdrawal of the Complaint


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 13) granting an unopposed motion to withdraw the complaint and terminate the investigation as to remaining respondent Tech21 UK Limited of Twickenham, United Kingdom (“Tech21”).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket

On July 22, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID granting a motion to terminate the investigation as to Speck based upon settlement. See Order No. 9. The Commission determined not to review the ID. See Notice of a Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to Speculative Product Design, LLC Based Upon Settlement (Aug. 21, 2015).

On September 8, 2015, OtterBox and Tech21 jointly moved to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon withdrawal of the complaint. No responses to the motion were received.

On September 9, 2015, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the unopposed motion. The ALJ found that the motion complied with the requirements of Commission Rule
210.21(a)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)) and further found that no extraordinary circumstances prohibited granting the motion. None of the parties petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.


By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 28, 2015.

William R. Bishop
Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer
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