



SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-72880; File No. SR-BYX-2014-015]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; BATS Y-Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Rule 11.13 of BATS Y-Exchange, Inc.

August 20, 2014

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on August 11, 2014, BATS Y-Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BYX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend Rule 11.13 to add an additional routing strategy.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange’s website at <http://www.batstrading.com>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Earlier this year, the Exchange and its affiliate BATS Exchange, Inc. (“BZX”) received approval to effect a merger (the “Merger”) of the Exchange’s parent company, BATS Global Markets, Inc., with Direct Edge Holdings LLC, the indirect parent of EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“EDGX”) and EDGA Exchange, Inc. (“EDGA”, and together with BZX, BYX and EDGX, the “BGM Affiliated Exchanges”).³ In the context of the Merger, the BGM Affiliated Exchanges are working to align certain system functionality, retaining only intended differences between the BGM Affiliated Exchanges. Thus, the proposal set forth below is intended to add certain system functionality currently offered by EDGA and EDGX in order to provide a consistent technology offering for users of the BGM Affiliated Exchanges.

The specific proposal set forth in more detail below would amend Rule 11.13, which describes the Exchange’s routing processes, to add the SWP routing strategies, specifically SWPA and SWPB. The Exchange notes that the proposed rule text is based on the rules of EDGA and EDGX and is different only to the extent necessary to conform to the Exchange’s current rules.⁴ The SWP routing strategies are substantively identical to those offered by EDGA

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 71375 (January 23, 2014), 79 FR 4771 (January 29, 2014) (SR-BATS-2013-059; SR-BYX-2013-039).

⁴ See EDGA Rules 11.9(b)(1)(B)(iii), 11.9(b)(2)(o), and 11.9(b)(2)(p); EDGX Rule 11.9(b)(1)(B)(iii), 11.9(b)(2)(o), and 11.9(b)(2)(p).

and EDGX with the exception that EDGA and EDGX also offer a third routing strategy, SWPC, that the Exchange is not proposing to offer at this time.

The Exchange also notes that the SWPA routing strategy is substantively identical to the Exchange's current Parallel T routing strategy.⁵ However, in order to allow a gradual migration from Parallel T to the proposed SWP routing strategies the Exchange is not proposing to eliminate Parallel T upon effectiveness of this proposal. Instead, the Exchange proposes to continue to accept orders designated for Parallel T routing and will eventually retire such routing strategy and remove reference to the routing strategy from Exchange rules once all affected Users have been migrated away from Parallel T to the SWP routing strategies. Further, adding the SWP routing strategies as proposed will ensure consistency with EDGA and EDGX with respect to the names used to describe the strategies (i.e., eventually retiring Parallel T in favor of SWP) and will allow the Exchange to add the SWPB routing strategy.

As proposed, SWP is a routing option under which an order checks the System⁶ for available displayed shares and then is sent to destinations on the System routing table.⁷ Pursuant to SWP, orders route only to Protected Quotations⁸ and only for displayed size. The System may route to multiple destinations and at multiple price levels simultaneously through SWP routing.

⁵ The Exchange does not currently offer an equivalent to the SWPB routing strategy.

⁶ The term "System" is defined in Exchange Rule 1.5 (aa) as "the electronic communications and trading facility designated by the Board through which securities orders of Users are consolidated for ranking, execution and, when applicable, routing away."

⁷ As set forth in Exchange Rule 11.13(a)(3), the term "System routing table" refers to the proprietary process for determining the specific trading venues to which the System routes orders and the order in which it routes them.

⁸ The term Protected Quotation is defined in Exchange Rule 1.5(t) and has the same meaning as is set forth in Regulation NMS Rule 600(b)(58).

As noted above, the Exchange proposes to offer two forms of SWP routing, SWPA and SWPB. A SWPA order will be routed to destinations on the System routing table even if at the time of entry there is an insufficient share quantity in the SWPA order to fulfill the displayed size of all Protected Quotations. In contrast, the entire SWPB order will be cancelled back to a User immediately if at the time of entry there is an insufficient share quantity in the SWPB order to fulfill the displayed size of all Protected Quotations.

The Exchange also proposes to adopt the SWP routing strategies similar to EDGA and EDGX such that, in connection with the Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS under the Act (the “Limit Up-Limit Down Plan”), the System will immediately cancel orders utilizing an SWP routing strategy when an order to buy utilizing an SWP routing strategy has a limit price that is greater than the Upper Price Band or if a sell order utilizing an SWP routing strategy has a limit price that is less than the Lower Price Band.⁹ The Exchange notes that it recently amended Rule 11.18 to make clear that the Exchange will not route buy (sell) interest at a price above (below) the Upper (Lower) Price Band.¹⁰ The proposed language for the SWP routing strategies is different, however, because the Exchange proposes to immediately cancel the entirety of an order designated for an SWP routing strategy if the order’s limit price is outside of the applicable price band.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule changes are consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹¹ and further the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act¹² because they are designed to

⁹ The Upper Price Band and Lower Price Band are defined terms in the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan.

¹⁰ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 72647 (July 21, 2014), 79 FR 43522 (July 25, 2014) (SR-BYX-2014-010).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change also is designed to support the principles of Section 11A(a)(1)¹³ of the Act in that it seeks to assure fair competition among brokers and dealers and among exchange markets. In particular, the proposed change to introduce additional routing strategies will provide market participants with greater flexibility in routing orders consistent with Regulation NMS without developing order routing strategies on their own.

As noted above, the proposed rule changes to add functionality are generally intended to add certain system functionality currently offered by EDGA and EDGX in order to provide a consistent technology offering for the BGM Affiliated Exchanges. A consistent technology offering, in turn, will simplify the technology implementation, changes and maintenance by Users of the Exchange that are also participants on BZX, EDGA and/or EDGX. The proposed rule changes would also provide Users with access to functionality that may result in the efficient execution of such orders and will provide additional flexibility as well as increased functionality to the Exchange's System and its Users. As explained elsewhere in this proposal, the proposed SWPA and SWPB routing options are similar to routing strategies on other market centers, including EDGA and EDGX.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78k-1(a)(1).

Exchange provides routing services in a highly competitive market in which participants may avail themselves of a wide variety of routing options offered by self-regulatory organizations, alternative trading systems, other broker-dealers, market participants' own proprietary routing systems, and service bureaus. In such an environment, system enhancements such as the changes proposed in this rule filing do not burden competition, because they can succeed in attracting order flow to the Exchange only if they offer investors higher quality and better value than services offered by others. The Exchange reiterates that the proposed rule change is being proposed in the context of the technology integration of the BGM Affiliated Exchanges. Thus, the Exchange believes this proposed rule change is necessary to permit fair competition among national securities exchanges. In addition, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change will benefit Exchange participants in that it is one of several changes necessary to achieve a consistent technology offering by the BGM Affiliated Exchanges.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁴ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹⁵ Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it is filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹⁶

A proposed rule change filed pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6) under the Act¹⁷ normally does not become operative for 30 days after the date of its filing. However, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii)¹⁸ permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing, noting that a waiver of the operative delay will allow the Exchange to continue to strive towards a complete technology integration of the BGM Affiliated Exchanges, with gradual roll-outs of new functionality to ensure stability of the System. The Exchange also believes that the benefit to Exchange Users expected from the proposed rule change – greater flexibility in their efforts to fill orders and minimize trading costs – should not be delayed. Further, the Exchange states that introduction of the optional variations of the SWP routing strategy will not require any systems changes by Exchange Users that would necessitate a delay, as selection of the SWPA and SWPB variations is entirely optional and Users will not be affected by the change unless they select to use the newly offered variations. The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Therefore, the Commission hereby waives the operative delay and designates the proposed rule

¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). As required under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii), the Exchange provided the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and the text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change.

¹⁷ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁸ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

change operative upon filing.¹⁹

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-BYX-2014-015 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BYX-2014-015. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all

¹⁹ For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090 on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BYX-2014-015, and should be submitted on or before **[INSERT DATE 21 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]**.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁰

Kevin M. O'Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

BILLING CODE 8011-01p

²⁰ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

[FR Doc. 2014-20206 Filed 08/25/2014 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 08/26/2014]