



8011-01p
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34-68802; File No. SR-CHX-2013-04)

February 1, 2013

Self Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Amending CHX Article 20, Rule 10 to Extend the Effective Date of Certain Clearly Erroneous Transactions Provisions Operating under a Pilot until September 30, 2013 and to Establish Guidelines for the Handling of Clearly Erroneous Transactions in Connection with the Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹, and Rule 19b-4² thereunder, notice is hereby given that on January 28, 2013, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. (“CHX” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the CHX. CHX has filed this proposal pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6) of the Act³ which is effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

CHX proposes to amend CHX Article 20, Rule 10, entitled “Handling of Clearly Erroneous Transactions,” to extend the effective date of certain provisions operating under a pilot until September 30, 2013. The Exchange also proposes to adopt new paragraph (i) to Article 20, Rule 10 in connection with the upcoming operation of the

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS under the Act (the “Limit Up-Limit Down Plan” or “Plan”).⁴ The text of this proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at (www.chx.com) and in the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the CHX included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule changes and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The CHX has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this filing is to extend the effectiveness of the Exchange’s current rule applicable to Clearly Erroneous Transactions and to adopt a new paragraph (i) to Article 20, Rule 10 in connection with upcoming operation of the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67091 (May 31, 2012), 77 FR 33498 (June 6, 2012) (File No. 4-631) (Order Approving, on a Pilot Basis, the National Market System Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility by BATS Exchange, Inc., BATS Y-Exchange, Inc., Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc., EDGA Exchange, Inc., EDGX Exchange, Inc., Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc., NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, National Stock Exchange, Inc., New York Stock Exchange LLC, NYSE MKT LLC, and NYSE Arca, Inc).

Proposal to Extend Pilot

Portions of Article 20, Rule 10, explained in further detail below, are currently operating as a pilot program set to expire on February 4, 2013.⁵ The Exchange proposes to amend paragraph .01 of the Interpretations and Policies of Article 20, Rule 10 to extend the pilot program to September 30, 2013.

On September 10, 2010, the Commission approved, on a pilot basis, changes to CHX Article 20, Rule 10 to provide for uniform treatment: (1) of clearly erroneous transaction reviews in multi-stock events involving twenty or more securities; and (2) in the event transactions occur that result in the issuance of an individual stock trading pause by the primary market and subsequent transactions that occur before the trading pause is in effect on the Exchange.⁶ The Exchange also adopted additional changes to CHX Article 20, Rule 10 that reduced the ability of the Exchange to deviate from the objective standards set forth in Article 20, Rule 10.⁷ The Exchange believes the benefits to market participants from the more objective Clearly Erroneous Transactions rule should continue on a pilot basis through September 30, 2013, which is the date that the Exchange anticipates that the phased implementation of the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67572 (August 2, 2012), 77 FR 47481 (August 8, 2012) (SR-CHX-2012-11); see also paragraph .01 of the Interpretations and Policies of CHX Article 20, Rule 10.

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62886 (September 10, 2010), 75 FR 56613 (September 16, 2010) (SR-CHX-2010-13).

⁷ Id.

will be complete. As explained in further detail below, although the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan is intended to prevent transactions that would need to be nullified as clearly erroneous, the Exchange believes that certain protections should be maintained while the industry gains initial experience operating with the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan, including the provisions of Article 20, Rule 10 that currently operate as a pilot.

Proposed Limit Up-Limit Down Provision to Article 20, Rule 10

The Exchange proposes to adopt new paragraph (i) to Article 20, Rule 10, to provide that the existing provisions of Article 20, Rule 10 will continue to apply to all Exchange transactions, including transactions in securities subject to the Plan, other than as set forth in proposed paragraph (i). Accordingly, other than as proposed below, the Exchange proposes to maintain and continue to apply the Clearly Erroneous Transaction standards in the same way that it does today. Notably, this means that the Exchange might nullify transactions that occur within the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan to the extent such transactions qualify as clearly erroneous under existing criteria. As an example, assume that a Tier 1 security pursuant to the Plan has a reference price pursuant to both the Plan and Article 20, Rule 10 of \$100.00. The lower pricing band under the Plan would be \$95.00 and the upper pricing band under the Plan would be \$105.00. A transaction could occur on the Exchange in this security at \$96.00, as this is within the Plan's pricing bands. However, if subjected to review as potentially clearly erroneous, the Exchange would nullify a transaction at \$96.00 as clearly erroneous because it exceeds the 3% threshold that is in place pursuant to Article 20, Rule 10(c)(1) for securities priced above \$50.00 (i.e., with a reference price of \$100.00, any transactions at or below \$97.00 or above \$103.00 could be nullified as

clearly erroneous). Accordingly, this proposal maintains the status quo with respect to review of Clearly Erroneous Transactions and the application of objective numerical guidelines by the Exchange. The proposal does not increase the discretion afforded to the Exchange in connection with review of Clearly Erroneous Transactions.

The Limit Up-Limit Down Plan is designed to prevent transactions from occurring outside of dynamic price bands disseminated to the public by the single plan processor as defined in the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan.⁸ The possibility remains that the Exchange could experience a technology or systems problem with respect to the implementation of the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Plan. To address such possibilities, the Exchange proposes to adopt language to make clear that if an Exchange technology or systems issue results in any transactions occurring outside of the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Plan, an Officer of the Exchange or senior level employee designee, acting on his or her own motion or at the request of a third party, shall review and declare any trades null and void. Absent extraordinary circumstances, any such action of the Officer of the Exchange or other senior level employee designee shall be taken in a timely fashion, generally within thirty (30) minutes of the detection of the erroneous transaction. When extraordinary circumstances exist, any such action of the Officer of the Exchange or other senior level employee designee must be taken by no

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67091 (May 31, 2012), 77 FR 33498 (June 6, 2012) (File No. 4-631) (Order Approving, on a Pilot Basis, the National Market System Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility by BATS Exchange, Inc., BATS Y-Exchange, Inc., Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc., EDGA Exchange, Inc., EDGX Exchange, Inc., Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc., NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, National Stock Exchange, Inc., New York Stock Exchange LLC, NYSE MKT LLC, and NYSE Arca, Inc).

later than the start of the Regular Trading Session⁹ on the trading day following the date on which the transaction(s) under review occurred. Although the Exchange will act as promptly as possible and the proposed objective standard (i.e., whether a transaction occurred outside the band) should make it feasible to quickly make a determination, there may be circumstances in which additional time may be needed for verification of facts or coordination with outside parties, including the single plan processor responsible for disseminating the price bands and other market centers. Accordingly, the Exchange believes it necessary to maintain some flexibility to make a determination outside of the thirty (30) minute guideline. In addition, the Exchange proposes that a transaction that is nullified pursuant to new paragraph (i) would be appealable in accordance with the provisions of Article 20, Rule 10(e)(2). In addition, the Exchange proposes to make clear that in the event that a single plan processor experiences a technology or systems problem that prevents the dissemination of price bands, the Exchange would make the determination of whether to nullify transactions based on Article 20, Rule 10(a)-(h).

The Exchange believes that cancelling trades that occur outside of the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Plan is consistent with the purpose and intent of the Plan, as such transactions are not intended to occur in the first place. If transactions do occur outside of the price bands and no exception applies – which necessarily would be caused by a technology or systems issue – then the Exchange believes the appropriate result is to nullify such transactions.

2. Statutory Basis

⁹ The Regular Trading Session commences at 9:30 a.m. Eastern Time. See CHX Article 20, Rule 1(b).

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.¹⁰ In particular, the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹¹ because it would promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of, a free and open market and a national market system. The Exchange believes that the pilot program promotes just and equitable principles of trade in that it promotes transparency and uniformity across markets concerning review of transactions as clearly erroneous. More specifically, the Exchange believes that the extension of the pilot would help assure that the determination of whether a clearly erroneous trade has occurred will be based on clear and objective criteria, and that the resolution of the incident will occur promptly through a transparent process. The proposed rule change would also help assure consistent results in handling erroneous trades across the U.S. markets, thus furthering fair and orderly markets, the protection of investors and the public interest. Although the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan will be operational during the same time period as the proposed extended pilot, the Exchange believes that maintaining the pilot for at least through the phased implementation of the Plan is operational will help to protect against unanticipated consequences. To that end, the extension will allow the Exchange to determine whether Article 20, Rule 10 is necessary once the Plan is operational and, if so, whether improvements can be made. Further, the Exchange believes it consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest to adopt objective criteria to nullify transactions that occur outside of the Plan's price bands when

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

such transactions should not have been made but were due to a systems or technology issue.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change implicates any competitive issues. To the contrary, the Exchange believes that FINRA and other national securities exchanges are also filing similar proposals, and thus, that the proposal will help to ensure consistent rules across market centers.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the proposed rule change does not (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹² and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder.¹³

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)¹⁴ normally does not become operative for 30 days after the date of filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii)¹⁵

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii). As required under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii), the Exchange provided the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and the text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission.

¹⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing.

The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, as it will allow the pilot program to continue uninterrupted, thereby avoiding the investor confusion that could result from a temporary interruption in the pilot program. For this reason, the Commission designates the proposed rule change to be operative upon filing.¹⁶

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form

(<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

¹⁶ For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has also considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CHX-2013-04 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CHX-2013-04. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer

to File Number SR-CHX-2013-04 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

Kevin M. O'Neill
Deputy Secretary

[FR Doc. 2013-02706 Filed 02/06/2013 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 02/07/2013]

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).