AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve Texas’ request to revise its Beaumont/Port Arthur (BPA) 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance air quality State Implementation Plan (SIP) by replacing the previously approved motor vehicle emissions budgets (budgets) with budgets developed using EPA's Motor Vehicle Emissions Simulator (MOVES) 2010a emissions model. The BPA 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance area consists of Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange Counties in Texas. Texas submitted this request to EPA for parallel processing on June 28, 2012.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before [Insert date 30 days from date of publication in the Federal Register].

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket No. EPA-R06-OAR-2012-0435, by one of the following methods:
• Federal Rulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.


• E-mail: Mr. Guy Donaldson at donaldson.guy@epa.gov. Please also send a copy by e-mail to the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section below.

• Fax: Mr. Guy Donaldson, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), at fax number 214-665-7263.

• Mail: Mr. Guy Donaldson, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

• Hand or Courier Delivery: Mr. Guy Donaldson, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733. Such deliveries are accepted only between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. weekdays, and not on legal holidays. Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R06-OAR-2012-0435. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The
www.regulations.gov web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA’s public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically at www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air Planning Section (6PD-L), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733. The file will be made available by appointment for public inspection in the Region 6 FOIA Review Room between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. weekdays except for legal holidays. Contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT paragraph below or Mr. Bill Deese at 214-665-7253 to make an appointment. If possible, please make the appointment at least two working days in advance of your visit. There will be a fee of 15 cents
per page for making photocopies of documents. On the day of the visit, please check in at the EPA Region 6 reception area at 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas, 75202.

The State submittal is also available for public inspection during official business hours by appointment: Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality, 12124 Park 35 Circle, Austin, Texas 78753.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jeffrey Riley, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, telephone 214-665-8542; fax number 214-665-6762; e-mail address riley.jeffrey@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” and “our” means EPA.
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I. What Action is EPA Proposing?

EPA is proposing to approve new MOVES2010a-based budgets for the Beaumont/Port Arthur (BPA) 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance area. The BPA area was redesignated to attainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone standard on October 20, 2010 (75 FR 64675, effective date November 19, 2010), and the MOBILE6.2-based budgets were approved in that notice. Should EPA finalize this proposed approval, the newly submitted MOVES2010a budgets will replace the existing, MOBILE6.2-based budgets in the state's 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance plan and must then be used in future transportation conformity analyses for the area. At that time, the previously approved budgets would no longer be applicable for transportation conformity purposes.

Should EPA approve the MOVES2010a-based budgets, the BPA 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance area must use the MOVES2010a-based budgets starting on the effective date of that final approval. See 75 FR 9411-9414 for background and section II.C below for details.
II. What is the Background for this Rulemaking?

A. SIP Budgets and Transportation Conformity

Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), states are required to submit, at various times, control strategy SIP revisions and maintenance plans for nonattainment and maintenance areas for a given National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). These emission control strategy SIP revisions (e.g., reasonable further progress and attainment demonstration SIP revisions) and maintenance plans include budgets of on-road mobile source emissions for criteria pollutants and/or their precursors to address pollution from cars and trucks. SIP budgets are the portions of the total allowable emissions that are allocated to on-road vehicle use that, together with emissions from other sources in the area, will provide for attainment or maintenance. The budget serves as a ceiling on emissions from an area's planned transportation system. For more information about budgets, see the preamble to the November 24, 1993, transportation conformity rule (58 FR 62188).

Under section 176(c) of the CAA, transportation plans, Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs), and transportation projects must “conform” to (i.e., be consistent with) the SIP before they can be adopted or approved. Conformity to the SIP means that transportation activities will not cause new air quality violations, worsen existing air quality violations, or delay timely attainment of the NAAQS or delay an interim milestone. The transportation conformity regulations can be found at 40 CFR Part 93.

Before budgets can be used in conformity determinations, EPA must affirmatively find the budgets adequate. However, adequate budgets do not supersede approved budgets for the same CAA purpose. If the submitted SIP budgets are meant to replace budgets for the same
purpose, as is the case with Texas’ MOVES2010a 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance plan budgets, EPA must approve the budgets, and can affirm that they are adequate at the same time. Once EPA approves the submitted budgets, they must be used by state and Federal agencies in determining whether transportation activities conform to the SIP as required by section 176(c) of the CAA. EPA's substantive criteria for determining the adequacy of budgets are set out in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4).

B. Prior Approval of Budgets

EPA had previously approved budgets for the Beaumont/Port Arthur 8-hour ozone maintenance area for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{X}) for the year 2021 on October 20, 2010 (75 FR 64675). These budgets were based on EPA's MOBILE6.2 emissions model. The ozone maintenance plan established 2021 budgets for the Beaumont/Port Arthur area of 4.77 tons per summer day (tpd) for VOCs and 7.24 tpd for NO\textsubscript{X}. The budgets demonstrated a net reduction in emissions from the monitored attainment year and the NO\textsubscript{X} budget included a margin of safety.

C. The MOVES Emissions Model and Regional Transportation Conformity Grace Period

The MOVES model is EPA's state-of-the-art tool for estimating highway emissions. The model is based on analyses of millions of emission test results and considerable advances in the agency's understanding of vehicle emissions. MOVES incorporates the latest emissions data, more sophisticated calculation algorithms, increased user flexibility, new software design, and significant new capabilities relative to those reflected in MOBILE6.2.

EPA announced the release of MOVES2010 in March 2010 (75 FR 9411). This notice approved the use of MOVES2010 in official SIP submissions to EPA and for regional emissions analyses for transportation conformity purposes outside of California. In addition, the notice
started a two-year grace period before MOVES2010 is required to be used in new regional emissions analyses for transportation conformity determinations outside of California. EPA has since extended that grace period until March 2, 2013 (77 FR 11394).

On September 8, 2010, EPA released MOVES2010a, which included minor revisions that enhance model performance and do not significantly affect the criteria pollutant emissions results from MOVES2010. Therefore, MOVES2010a is not considered a “new model” under 40 CFR 93.111. As a result, the MOVES2010 grace period for regional conformity analyses applies to the use of MOVES2010a as well.¹

EPA encouraged Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), Departments of Transportation, and state air agencies to examine how MOVES would affect future transportation plan and TIP conformity determinations so, if necessary, SIPs and budgets could be revised with MOVES2010 or transportation plans and TIPs could be revised (as appropriate) prior to the end of the regional transportation conformity grace period. EPA also encouraged state and local air agencies to consider how the release of MOVES would affect analyses supporting SIP submissions under development.

The Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) (under contract with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ)) has used MOVES2010a emission rates with the transportation network information to estimate emissions in the years of the transportation plan and also for the SIP. Texas is revising the budgets at this time using the latest planning assumptions including population and employment updates. In addition, newer vehicle registration data has been used to update the age distribution of the vehicle fleet. Texas finds that updating the budgets with MOVES2010a will prepare the South East Texas Regional Planning Commission (SETRPC, the

¹ For more information, see 77 FR 11394.
Beaumont/Port Arthur area MPO) for the transition to using MOVES for conformity analyses and determinations. The interagency consultation group has had extensive consultation on the requirements and need for new budgets.

*D. Submission of New Budgets Based on MOVES2010a*

On June 28, 2012, Texas submitted for parallel processing replacement budgets based on MOVES2010a for the Beaumont/Port Arthur area. Texas is currently providing public review and comment at the state level. The state public comment period ended on August 3, 2012. EPA is proposing to approve the MOVES2010a budgets after completion of the public process and formal submittal of the SIP revision request.

The MOVES2010a budgets are proposed to replace the prior approved MOBILE6.2 budgets and are for the same year and pollutants/precursors. The new MOVES2010a budgets are for the year 2021 for both VOCs and NOx. Texas has also submitted MOVES2010a emissions for the attainment year of 2005 (and interim inventory years 2011, 2014 and 2017) as a comparison to the 2021 budget year. Table 4-1 in the submittal demonstrates how mobile source emissions decline from the attainment year of 2005. In 2005, the estimated NOx emissions from mobile sources is 45.60 tpd and the estimated VOC emissions from mobile sources is 11.63 tpd. The 2021 estimated emissions for NOx from mobile sources is 6.24 tpd and the VOC estimated emissions from mobile sources is 4.77 tpd.

Tables 4-2 and 4-3 in the submittal demonstrate trends in total estimated NOx and VOC emissions, respectively, between 2005 to 2021. In 2005, the total estimated NOx emissions from all sources (including mobile, point, area and non-road sources) is 148.04 tpd and the total VOC emissions, for the 2005 attainment year, from all sources is 210.51 tpd. The 2021 estimated emissions for total NOx from all sources is 137.24 tpd and the total VOC emissions from all
sources is 222.69 tpd. Although there is a 5.8% increase in total VOC emissions from all sources between the 2005 attainment year and the 2021 budget year, there is an offsetting 7.3% decrease in total NOx emissions from all sources between these years. Therefore, the mobile source emissions, when included with point, area and non-road sources continue to demonstrate maintenance of the 1997 8-hour ozone standard attainment level of emissions in the Beaumont/Port Arthur area.

No additional control measures were needed to maintain the 1997 ozone standard emissions in the Beaumont/Port Arthur area. The on-road MOVES2010a based budgets are in Table 4-7 of the submittal and are listed as 9.7 tpd for NOx and 3.9 tpd for VOCs in the year 2021. These budgets will continue to keep emissions in the Beaumont/Port Arthur area below the calculated attainment year of emissions.

III. What are the Criteria for Approval?

The CAA has always required that revisions to existing SIPs and budgets continue to meet applicable requirements (i.e., reasonable further progress (RFP), attainment, or maintenance). States that revise their existing SIPs to include MOVES budgets must therefore show that the SIP continues to meet applicable requirements with the new level of motor vehicle emissions contained in the budgets.

The transportation conformity rule (at 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4)(iv)) requires that “the motor vehicle emissions budget(s), when considered together with all other emissions sources, is consistent with applicable requirements for reasonable further progress (RFP), attainment, or maintenance (whichever is relevant to the given implementation plan submission).” This and the
other adequacy criteria found at 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4) must be satisfied before EPA can find submitted budgets adequate or approve them for conformity purposes.

In addition, EPA has stated that areas can revise their budgets and inventories using MOVES without revising their entire SIP if (1) the SIP continues to meet applicable requirements when the previous motor vehicle emissions inventories are replaced with MOVES base year and milestone, attainment, or maintenance year inventories, and (2) the state can document that growth and control strategy assumptions for non-motor vehicle sources continue to be valid and any minor updates do not change the overall conclusions of the SIP. For example, the first criterion could be satisfied by demonstrating that the emissions reductions between the baseline/attainment year and maintenance year are the same or greater using MOVES than they were previously. The Texas submittal meets this requirement as described below in section IV.

For more information, see EPA’s latest “Policy Guidance on the Use of MOVES2010 for State Implementation Plan Development, Transportation Conformity, and Other Purposes” available online at: www.epa.gov/otaq/statertesources/transconf/policy.htm#models.

IV. What is EPA’s Analysis of the State’s Submittal?

A. The Revised Inventories

The Texas SIP revision request for Beaumont/Port Arthur 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance plan seeks to revise only the on-road mobile source inventories and not the non-road inventories, area source inventories or point source inventories for the 2021 year for which the SIP revises the budgets. TCEQ has certified that the control strategies remain the same as in the original SIP, and that no other control strategies are necessary. This is confirmed by the complete, quality-assured 2009-2011 ozone season monitoring data for Beaumont/Port Arthur,
which shows continued attainment for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard. Thus, the current control strategies are continuing to keep the area in attainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

EPA has reviewed the emission estimates for point, area and non-road sources and concluded that no major changes to the projections need to be made. The submittal states that analysis of emissions in these source categories using more recent growth and control strategy assumptions than the original 2008 submittal resulted in lower emissions estimates of NOx and VOCs for each inventory year. Because of this, EPA concludes that the growth and control strategy assumptions for non-mobile sources for the years 2005, 2011, 2014, 2017 and 2021 continue to be valid and do not affect the overall conclusions of the original plan.

Texas confirms that the SIP continues to demonstrate its purpose of maintaining the 1997 ozone standard because the emissions are continuing to decrease from the attainment year to the final year of the maintenance plan. The total emissions in the revised SIP (which includes MOVES2010a emissions from mobile sources) are 148.04 tpd for NOX and 210.51 tpd for VOCs in the 2005 attainment year. The total emissions from all sources in the 2021 year are 137.24 tpd for NOX and 222.69 tpd for VOCs. Although there is a 5.8% increase in total VOC emissions from all sources between the 2005 attainment year and the 2021 budget year, there is an offsetting 7.3% decrease in total NOx emissions from all sources between these years. These totals demonstrate that emissions in the Beaumont/Port Arthur area are continuing to decline and remain below the 1997 8-hour ozone standard attainment levels.

Texas has submitted MOVES2010a-based budgets for the Beaumont/Port Arthur area that are clearly identified in Table 4-7 of the submittal. The budgets for 2021 are 9.7 tpd for NOX and 3.9 tpd for VOCs.

**Table 1: Beaumont/Port Arthur MOVES2010a-Based MVEBs (tpd)**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Year</th>
<th>NOx MVEB</th>
<th>VOC MVEB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. Approvability of the MOVES2010a-Based Budgets**

EPA is proposing to approve the MOVES2010a-based budgets submitted by the state for use in determining transportation conformity in the Beaumont/Port Arthur 1997 ozone maintenance area. EPA is making this proposal based on our evaluation of these budgets using the adequacy criteria found in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4) and our in-depth evaluation of the State's submittal and SIP requirements. EPA has determined, based on its evaluation, that the area's maintenance plan would continue to serve its intended purpose with the submitted MOVES2010a-based budgets and that the budgets themselves will meet the adequacy criteria in the conformity rule at 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4) after the state public hearing is completed and the SIP is formally submitted.

EPA is parallel processing this SIP revision request which means that EPA is proposing approval at the same time that the state is completing the public process at the state level. This SIP revision request will not be complete and will not meet all the adequacy criteria until the state public process is complete and the SIP revision is submitted in final with a letter from the Governor or Governor's designee. EPA is proposing to approve the SIP revision request after completion of the state public process and final submittal. If any comments are received, EPA will consider those comments received both at the state and Federal level.

EPA is moving forward with proposing approval with this parallel process because transportation projects cannot be amended to the Beaumont/Port Arthur Transportation Plan and transportation improvement program until this budget replacement is completed. The budgets
need to be updated, not only to accommodate the use of MOVES2010a, but also because of the updated planning assumptions for mobile sources.

The adequacy criteria found in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4) are as follows:

- The submitted SIP was endorsed by [the Governor/Governor's designee] and was subject to a state public hearing (§ 93.118(e)(4)(i));
- The submitted SIP underwent consultation among Federal, state, and local agencies and the state fully documented the submittal (§ 93.118(e)(4)(ii));
- The budgets are clearly identified and precisely quantified (§ 93.118(e)(4)(iii));
- The budgets, when considered with other emission sources, are consistent with applicable requirements for [reasonable further progress/attainment/maintenance] (§ 93.118(e)(4)(iv));
- The budgets are consistent with and clearly related to the emissions inventory and control measures in the SIP (§ 93.118(e)(4)(v)); and
- The revisions explain and document changes to the previous budgets, impacts on point and area source emissions and changes to established safety margins (§ 93.118(e)(4)(vi)).

Our review finds that Texas has met all of the adequacy criteria, except the public process and final submittal by the Governor or Governor's designee. The interagency consultation group, which is composed of the state air agency, state Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, EPA, and SETRPC have discussed and reviewed the budgets developed with MOVES2010a. The budgets are clearly identified and precisely quantified in the submittal in table 4-7. The budgets when considered with other emissions sources (point, area, non-road) are consistent with continued maintenance of the 1997 ozone standard. The budgets are clearly related to the emissions inventory and control measures in the SIP. The changes from the
previous budgets are clearly explained with the change in the model from MOBILE6.2 to MOVES2010a and the revised and updated planning assumptions. The inputs to the model are detailed in the Appendix to the submittal. EPA has reviewed the inputs to the MOVES2010a modeling and participated in the consultation process. The Federal Highway Administration-Texas Division and the Texas Department of Transportation have taken a lead role in working with the MPO and contractor to provide accurate, timely information and inputs to the MOVES2010a model runs. The SETRPC network model provided the vehicle miles of travel and other necessary data from the travel demand networks.

The CAA requires that revisions to existing SIPs and budgets continue to meet applicable requirements (in this case, maintenance). Therefore, states that revise existing SIPs with MOVES must show that the SIP continues to meet applicable requirements with the new level of motor vehicle emissions calculated by the new model.

To that end, Texas’ submitted MOVES2010a budgets meet EPA’s two criteria for revising budgets without revising the entire SIP:

(1) The SIP continues to meet applicable requirements when the previous motor vehicle emissions inventories are replaced with MOVES2010a base year and milestone, attainment, or maintenance year inventories, and

(2) The state can document that growth and control strategy assumptions for non-motor vehicle sources continue to be valid and any minor updates do not change the overall conclusions of the SIP.

EPA has reviewed the emission estimates for point, area and non-road sources and concluded that no major changes to the projections need to be made. The submittal states that analysis of emissions in these source categories using more recent growth and control strategy
assumptions than the original 2008 submittal resulted in lower emissions estimates of NOx and VOCs for each inventory year. Because of this, EPA concludes that the growth and control strategy assumptions for non-mobile sources for the years 2005, 2011, 2014, 2017 and 2021 continue to be valid and do not affect the overall conclusions of the original plan.

Texas confirms that the SIP continues to demonstrate its purpose of maintaining the 1997 ozone standard because the emissions are continuing to decrease from the attainment year to the final year of the maintenance plan. The total emissions in the revised SIP (which includes MOVES2010a emissions for mobile sources) decrease from 148.04 tpd for NOx and 210.51 tpd for VOCs in the 2005 attainment year to 137.24 tpy NOx and 222.69 tpd VOC in 2021. Although there is a 5.8% increase in total VOC emissions from all sources between the 2005 attainment year and the 2021 budget year, there is an offsetting 7.3% decrease in total NOx emissions from all sources between these years. These totals demonstrate that emissions in the Beaumont/Port Arthur area are continuing to decline and remain below the 1997 8-hour ozone standard attainment levels. Table 2 shows total emissions in the Beaumont/Port Arthur area including point, area, non-road, and mobile sources, and demonstrates the declining emissions from the 2005 attainment year.

**Table 2: Total Emissions with MOVES2010a Mobile Emissions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VOC (tpd)</td>
<td>210.51</td>
<td>216.60</td>
<td>217.20</td>
<td>219.14</td>
<td>222.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx (tpd)</td>
<td>148.04</td>
<td>142.80</td>
<td>138.02</td>
<td>136.27</td>
<td>137.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on our review of the SIP and the new budgets provided, EPA has determined that the SIP will continue to meet its requirements if the revised motor vehicle emissions inventories are replaced with MOVES2010a inventories.

C. Applicability of MOBILE6.2-Based Budgets

Pursuant to the State's request, EPA is proposing that, if we finalize the approval of the revised budgets, the state's existing MOBILE6.2-based budgets will no longer be applicable for transportation conformity purposes upon the effective date of that final approval.

In addition, once EPA approves the MOVES2010a-based budgets, the regional transportation conformity grace period for using MOVES2010 (and subsequent minor revisions) for the pollutants included in these budgets will end for the Beaumont/Port Arthur ozone maintenance area on the effective date of that final approval.²

V. Proposed Action

EPA is proposing in this action that the Beaumont/Port Arthur existing approved budgets for VOCs and NOX for 2021 for the 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance plan be replaced with new budgets based on the MOVES2010a emissions model. Once this proposal is finalized, future transportation conformity determinations would use the new, MOVES2010a-based budgets and would no longer use the existing MOBILE6.2-based budgets. EPA is also proposing to find that the Beaumont/Port Arthur area's maintenance plan would continue to meet its requirements as set forth under the CAA when these new budgets are included.

² For more information, see Question 11 of EPA’s “Policy Guidance on the Use of MOVES2010 for State Implementation Plan Development, Transportation Conformity, and Other Purposes” and 75 FR 9411.
VI. **Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would
be inconsistent with the CAA; and

• Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.
List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52:

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.


Samuel Coleman, P.E.,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.
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