

Appendix M

Draft EIS Comment Responses

In the table below, we¹ have provided a summary of all submittals to the eLibrary, including the accession number, the name and organization/agency, if applicable, of the comment, and a code. Letters are classified as follows:

- FA: Federal agency
- SA: State agency
- LA: Local agency
- NA: Native American Tribe
- CO: Company & Organization
- IND: Individuals
- INT: Intervenors²
- PM: Public meeting (transcript)

Responses are provided following the table.

In total, 4,589 submittals were filed on the FERC eLibrary during the comment periods on the draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), as well as six transcripts from the draft EIS public meetings.³

¹ The pronouns “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to the environmental staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Office of Energy Projects.

² The INT classification is assigned based on a claim by the author to be an intervenor, not based on FERC’s acceptance of any individual, agency, or organization as an official intervenor in the process.

³ The comment period on the draft EIS commenced with the issuance of the Notice of Availability on July 22, 2016 and was closed on September 12, 2016. A second comment period commenced on November 4, 2016 and closed on December 5, 2016 after PennEast filed several route modifications.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Maya K. van Rossum the Delaware Riverkeeper	20160722-5146	CO1	See General 1
Michael L. Pisauo, Jr., Stony Brook Millstone Watershed Association	20160722-5191	CO2	See General 2
Jeff Tittel, Director, New Jersey Sierra Club	20160727-5083	CO3	See General 1; General 2; General 3
Maya K. van Rossum - Delaware Riverkeeper on behalf of Delaware Riverkeeper Network, Karen Feridun - Founder/Executive Director on behalf of Berks Gas Truth, David Pringle - NJ Campaign Director on behalf of Clean Water Action, Doug O'Malley - Executive Director on behalf of Environment New Jersey, Jeff Tittle - Exectuvie Director on behalf of NJ Sierra Club	20160729-5126	CO4	See General 4
Jennifer Danis, Esq. Eastern Environmental Law Center Susan Kraham, Esq. New Jersey Conservation Foundation and Stony Brook-Millstone Watershed Association	20160801-5122	CO5	See General 1; General 2; General 3; Water Resources 1; Vegetation and Wildlife 1
Delaware Riverkeeper Network (and other organizations)	20160801-5150	CO6	See General 1; General 3; General 5; General 6.
Marilyn Cummings, Delaware Township Historical Society	20160805-5054	CO7	See Cultural Resources 2
Brian MacLean, President Pivotal Utility Holdings, Inc. c/b/a Elizabethtown Gas	20160809-5119	CO8	Thank you for your comment.
Steven Richardson, Homeowners Against Land Talking-PennEast	20160810-5093	CO9	See General 1; General 3; General 5; General 6
Steven Richardson, Homeowners Against Land Talking-PennEast	20160810-5095	CO10	See General 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2
Steven Richardson, Homeowners Against Land Talking-PennEast	20160810-5095	CO11	See General 4
R. Steven Richardson	20160811-0007	CO12	See CO9

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Caroline Katmann, Executive Director, Sourland Conservancy	20160812-5143	CO13	See General 2; Soils 4; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 7; Wetlands 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 14; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 15; Reliability and Safety 9.
Caroline Katmann, Executive Director, Sourland Conservancy	20160815-5090	CO14	See Geological Resources 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 20.
John S. Watson, Jr., D&R Greenway Land Trust	20160815-5195	CO15	See General 1; General 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 1.
Caroline Katmann Executive Director Sourland Conservancy	20160815-5284	CO16	See General 1; General 2; Water Resources 2.
Gene Barr, President and CEO, Pennsylvania Chamber of Business and Industry	20160816-5179	CO17	Thank you for your comment.
Caroline Katmann Executive Director Sourland Conservancy	20160815-0188	CO18	See General 1; General 2; Alternatives 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Socioeconomics 1; Air Quality 1; Air Quality 2; Project Need
Caroline Katmann Executive Director Sourland Conservancy	20160815-0192	CO19	See Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 1
Caroline Katmann Executive Director Sourland Conservancy	20160817-5296	CO20	See General 1; General 2; Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 2; Water Resources 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Cultural Resources 3; Reliability and Safety 9
Caroline Katmann Executive Director Sourland Conservancy	20160817-5298	CO21	See General 5
Caroline Katmann Executive Director Sourland Conservancy	20160815-0175	CO22	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 1.
Michael Catania	20160817-5342	CO23	See Alternatives 9; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 5

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Clarence J Hopf, Jr., Senior Vice President Fossil Generation and Chief Commercial Officer	20160818-5186	CO24	Thank you for your comment.
Washington Crossing Audubon Society	20160818-5247	CO25	See Vegetation and Wildlife 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 46
NJ Fish and Wildlife	20160818-5252	CO26	See Vegetation and Wildlife 6
Margaret Nordstom, Highlands Council	20160823-5099	CO27	See General 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 3.
Vincent DiBianca, DiBianca Associates LLC	20160824-5033	CO28	See [Form Letter] IND1113.
Vincent DiBianca, DiBianca Associates LLC	20160824-5034	CO29	See [Form Letter] IND483.
Vincent DiBianca, DiBianca Associates LLC	20150824-5026	CO30	See [Form Letter] IND1116.
Vincent DiBianca, DiBianca Associates LLC	20160824-5038	CO31	See [Form Letter] IND457.
Caroline Katmann Executive Director Sourland Conservancy	20160824-5130	CO32	See General 2; Geological Resources 10; Soils 3; Water Resources 1; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Duplicate - CO16-1; Duplicate - CO16-2; Project Need
Lauren M. Williams For CURTIN & HEEFNER LLP	20160824-5156	CO33	See General 6
Michael Catania, Chair New jersey Natural Lands Truct	20160824-0020	CO34	See Alternatives 9; Cultural Resources 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources
Patricia P. Sziber, Executive Dirctor Friends of Hopewell Valley Open Space	20160825-5072	CO35	See General 1; General 2
Lauren Santi and Prof. T.C. Onstott Dept. of Geosciences Princeton University	20160829-5084	CO36	See Geological Resources 3
T.C. Onstott Dept. of Geosciences Princeton University	20160829-5085	CO37	See Geological Resources 7
Marilyn J. Jordan PhD Retired Ecologist/Conservation Biologist Board member of the Wildlife Information Center	20160829-5246	CO38	See General 2; General 4; Purpose and Need 1; Purpose and Need 2; Alternatives 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Socioeconomics 6; Project Need
Edward Walters, Director of Government Affairs, Chemistry Council of New Jersey	20160830-5160	CO39	Thank you for your comment.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Caroline Katmann, Executive Director, Sourland Conservancy	20160830-5235	CO40	See General 1; General 12; Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 8; Soils 3; Soils 5; Water Resources 2; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 12; Water Resources 13; Water Resources 14; Wetlands 1; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 1; Socioeconomics 3; Air Quality 5; Noise 4; Reliability and Safety 1; Cumulative Impacts 4; Project Need.
Thomas A Gilbert, New Jersey Conservation Foundation	20160902-5043	CO41	See Air Quality 1; Air Quality 6; Air Quality 7; Cumulative Impacts 6
Lauren Santi and Prof T.C. Onstott Princeton University	20160906-5247	CO42	See Geological Resources 3
Lauren Santi and Prof T.C. Onstott Princeton University	20160906-5248	CO43	See Duplicate - CO42.
Judith Lagano, President, NRG REMA LLC	20160909-5326	CO44	Thank you for your comment.
Emile DeVito, PhD New Jersey Conservation Foundation	20160902-4238	CO45	See Water Resources 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 11; Mitigation 1
Cathy Urbanski, Chair West Amwell Township Environmental Commission	20160906-5228	CO46	See Water Resources 13; Water Resources 18
Lauren Santi and Professor T.C. Onstott Department of Geosciences, Princeton University	20160906-5278	CO47	See Geological Resources 3
Lauren Santi and Professor T.C. Onstott Department of Geosciences, Princeton University	20160907-5051	CO48	See CO47
Lauren Santi and Professor T.C. Onstott Department of Geosciences, Princeton University	20160907-5050	CO49	See CO47

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Josh McNeil, Executive Director Conservation Voters of PA Ed Potosnak, Executive Director New Jersey League of Conservation Voters David Masur Executive Director PennEnvironment	20160907-5090	CO50	See General 1; General 2; Cumulative 4, General 2.
Sourland Conservancy	20160907-5164	CO51	See General 2; Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 6; Water Resources 11; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 20; Cultural Resources 1; Cultural Resources 9; Air Quality 4; Air Quality 8; Air Quality 9; Air Quality 10; Air Quality 11; Reliability and Safety 4
Mark Zakutansky, Mid-Atlantic Policy Manager Appalachian Mountain Club	20160908-5023	CO52	See Alternatives 3; Alternatives 8; Alternatives 11; Water Resources 23; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 19; Air Quality 4; Air Quality 5; Air Quality 12; Air Quality 13; Cumulative Impacts 5; Cumulative Impacts 7
HALT PennEast	20160908-5042	CO53	See Water Resources 16; Water Resources 18; Wetlands 2.
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160908-5062	CO54	See General 1; General 2; General 5; General 7.
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160908-5063	CO55	See CO54; CO54-1; CO54-3

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Sierra Club	20160908-5064	CO56	See General 2; General 5; General 9; General 20; Project Description 1; Alternatives 2; Alternatives 14; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 9; Geological Resources 11; Soils 2; Soils 3; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 11; Water Resources 13; Water Resources 21; Wetlands 1; Wetlands 3; Wetlands 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 9; Vegetation and Wildlife 10; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 11; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 22; Socioeconomics 1; Socioeconomics 2; Socioeconomics 3; Socioeconomics 9; Cultural Resources 1; Cultural Resources 13; Noise 3; Cumulative Impacts 3; Cumulative Impacts 4; Cumulative Impacts 6; Project Need.
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160908-5056	CO57	See CO54; CO54-1; CO54-3
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160908-5067	CO58	See Water Resources 7; CO54-1; CO54-3
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160908-5068	CO59	See CO54; CO54-1; CO54-3
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160908-5069	CO60	See General 1; General 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 9; CO54; CO54-1; CO54-3
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160908-5070	CO61	See CO54; CO54-1; CO54-3
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160908-5072	CO62	See CO54; CO54-1; CO54-3
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160908-5073	CO64	See CO54; CO54-1; CO54-3

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Emile DeVito, Ph.D., Manager of Science & Stewardship New Jersey Conservation Foundation	20160908-5184	CO65	See Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 7
Thomas Gilbert, Campaign Director - Energy, Climate & Natural Resources New Jersey Conservation Foundation	20160908-5047	CO66	See Socioeconomics 1; Socioeconomics 2
Washington Crossing Audubon Society	20160823-5122	CO67	See Vegetation and Wildlife 46
Patty Cronheim and Fairfax Hutter Hopewell Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160909-5171	CO68	See Geological Resources 6; Soils 1; Water Resources 6; Water Resources 19; Water Resources 20; Cultural Resources 4; Cultural Resources 13
Delaware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline, Inc.	20160912-5368	CO69	See General 1; General 2; General 5; General 7; Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 6; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 5; Cultural Resources 7; Cultural Resources 8; Cultural Resources 11; Form Letter - IND180
Nation Park Service, Northeast Region; Lower Delaware River Management Committee; Delaware River Basin Commission	20160912-5409	CO70	Thank you for your comment.
Environment New Jersey	20160912-5440	CO71	See General 1; General 5; Purpose and Need 1; Air Quality 1
Cedar Lane Farm, Inc.	20160912-5491	CO72	See Vegetation and Wildlife 1; Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 7
Holland Township Citizens Against the Pipeline and 51 other individual letters	20160912-5492	CO73	See General 2; Form Letter IND108, 181, etc; Form Letter IND116; Form Letter IND180; Form Letter IND3015; Form Letter IND3016; Form Letter IND3131; Form Letter IND457; Form Letter IND480; Form Letter IND483, 534, etc; Form Letter IND577; Form Letter IND874, IND1117, etc

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Holland Township Citizens Against the Pipeline and 49 other individual letters	20160912-5499	CO74	See Form Letter CO73-4; Form Letter IND108; Form Letter IND1116; Form Letter IND3015; Form Letter IND3016; Form Letter IND3131; Form Letter IND457; Form Letter IND480; Form Letter IND483; Form Letter IND577; Form Letter IND874
Holland Township Citizens Against the Pipeline and 37 other individual letters	20160912-5503	CO75	See Form Letter CO73-4; Form Letter IND108; Form Letter IND1116; Form Letter IND3015; Form Letter IND3016; Form Letter IND3131; Form Letter IND457; Form Letter IND480; Form Letter IND483; Form Letter IND577; Form Letter IND874
Delaware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline and 237 other individual letters	20160912-5578	CO76	See Form Letter IND3015; Form Letter IND3131; Form Letter IND457; Form Letter IND480; Form Letter IND483; Form Letter IND577; Form Letter IND874
Delaware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline and 239 other individual letters	20160912-5581	CO77	See Form Letter CO73-4; Form Letter IND108; Form Letter IND1116; Form Letter IND180; Form Letter IND3015; Form Letter IND3016; Form Letter IND577; Form Letter IND874
Patricia Ruby, Executive Director Hunterdon Land Trust	20160912-5608	CO78	See General 2; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 5; Geological Resources 11; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 5.
Maya van Rossum The Delaware Riverkeeper	20160912-5613	CO79	See General 3
Jeff Tittel, Director New Jersey Sierra Club	20160912-5614	CO80	See General 1; General 2; General 5; Water Resources 16
Steven Richardson Homeowners Against Land Taking-PennEast	20160912-5618	CO81	See Geological Resources 3
Steven Richardson Homeowners Against Land Taking-PennEast	20160912-5619	CO82	See Purpose and Need 1; Alternatives 2; Alternatives 12
Steven Richardson Homeowners Against Land Taking-PennEast	20160912-5624	CO83	See General 2; General 19; Cultural Resources 1; Cultural Resources 4

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Steven Richardson Homeowners Against Land Taking-PennEast	20160912-5628	CO84	See General 2
Sourland Conservancy	20160912-5634	CO85	See Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 18; Noise 4
Caroline Katmann, Executive Director Sourland Conservancy	20160912-5642	CO86	Thank you for your comment.
Laura Belleville, Senior Director of Conservation Appalachian Trail Conservancy	20160912-5664	CO87	See General 2; General 14; Alternatives 3; Alternatives 7; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 19; Cumulative Impacts 3; Cumulative Impacts 6.
Cooks Creek Watershed Association Submitted by: Jordan Yeager and Lauren Williams CURTIN & HEEFNER LLP	20160912-5695	CO88	See General 1; General 2; Alternatives 13; Geological Resources 2; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 9; Water Resources 11; Water Resources 12; Water Resources 13; Water Resources 22; Wetlands 1; Wetlands 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 9; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Cumulative Impacts 4. Recommended change is reflected in the final EIS.
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160912-5759	CO89	See Water Resources 12
Patricia Sziber, Executive Director Friends of Hopewell Valley Open Space	20160912-5772	CO90	See General 2; Geological Resources 10; Vegetation and Wildlife 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 45. Recommended change is reflected in the final EIS.
Emile DeVito, Manager of Science & Stewardship New Jersey Conservation Foundation	20160912-5574	CO91	See General 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 45

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline and 238 individuals	20160912-5799	CO92	See Form Letter 3131; Form Letter 457; Form Letter 480; Form Letter 483; Form Letter IND3015; Form Letter IND577; Form Letter IND874
Don Cunningham, President and CEO Lehigh Valley Economic Development	20160912-5812	CO93	Thank you for your comment.
Delware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline and 238 individuals	20160912-5813	CO94	See Form Letter CO73-4; Form Letter IND108; Form Letter IND116; Form Letter IND180; Form Letter IND3015; Form Letter IND3016; Form Letter IND577; Form Letter IND874
Maya van Rossum Delaware Riverkeeper Network	20160912-5816	CO95	See General 1; General 2; General 14; Alternatives 1; Alternatives 14; Geological Resources 2; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 5; Soils 1; Soils 3; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 9; Water Resources 13; Water Resources 20; Socioeconomics 1; Socioeconomics 3; Socioeconomics 4; Air Quality 1; Air Quality 3; Reliability and Safety 12; Cumulative Impacts 4; Project Need.
Cooks Creek Watershed Association Submitted by Jordan B. Yeager Lauren M. Williams for Curtin & Heefner LLP	20160912-5827	CO96	Thank you for your comment.
Joseph Minott, Esq., Executive Director Clean Air Council	20160912-5850	CO97	See General 2; Purpose and Need 1; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Air Quality 1; Air Quality 8; Cumulative Impacts 4.
Elizabeth Kohler, owner Cedar Lan Farm, Inc.	20160912-5863	CO98	See Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 8
Elizabeth Kohler, owner Cedar Lan Farm, Inc.	20160912-5863	CO99	See General 1.
Karen Kohler, owner Cedar Lan Farm, Inc.	20160912-5878	CO100	See CO99.
James Benton New Jersey Petroleum Council	20160912-5889	CO101	Thank you for your comment.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
John Dillon, Senior Corporate Attorney - Utility Operations SUEZ Water New Jersey Inc.	20160912-5897	CO102	See Water Resources 18; Vegetation and Wildlife 14; Reliability and Safety 11
Emile DeVito, Ph.D., Manager of Science & Stewardship New Jersey Conservation Foundation	20160912-5898	CO103	See General 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 9
Emile DeVito, Ph.D., Manager of Science & Stewardship New Jersey Conservation Foundation	20160912-5986	CO104	See Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 9; CO103-1; CO103-2
Michael Risauo, Jr., Policy Director Stonybrook Millstone Watershed Association	20160912-5990	CO105	See General 1; General 21; Purpose and Need 1; Alternatives 14; Soils 3; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 9; Water Resources 11; Water Resources 12; Water Resources 17; Wetlands 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 14; Vegetation and Wildlife 17; Cumulative Impacts 4.
Anthony Cox PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC	20160912-5996	CO106	Thank you for your comment.
Michael Helbing, Staff Attorney Citizens for Pennsylvania's Future Thomas Au, Conservation Chair Sierra Club, Pennsylvania Chapter Jeff Tittel, Chapter Director Sierra Club, New Jersey Chapter	20160912-5997	CO107	See General 2; Alternatives 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Air Quality 3; Air Quality 8; Air Quality 14; Cumulative Impacts 4; Project Need.
New Jersey Conservation Foundation	20160912-6002	CO108	See General 2; General 11; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 15; Vegetation and Wildlife 31; Vegetation and Wildlife 33; Vegetation and Wildlife 47; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 22; Socioeconomics 8; Cultural Resources 12.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Delaware Riverkeeper Network	20160912-6008	CO109	See General 2; Purpose and Need 2; Air Quality 6; Air Quality 15; Reliability and Safety 1; Reliability and Safety 4
New Jersey Conservation Foundation	20160912-6009	CO110	See Alternatives 2; Wetlands 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 9; Air Quality 16; Cumulative Impacts 4; Project Need
Trout Unlimited	20160913-5092	CO111	See Water Resources 3; Water Resources 11; Water Resources 13; Vegetation and Wildlife 16
New Jersey Conservation Foundation	20160913-5104	CO112	See Duplicate: CO108
HALT PennEast	20160913-5124	CO113	See General 2; General 13; General 17; Alternatives 14; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Project Need
National Parks Conservation Association	20160913-5133	CO114	See Water Resources 1; Water Resources 12; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 19
HALT PennEast	20160913-5175	CO115	Thank you for your comment.
Delaware Riverkeeper Network	20160913-5177	CO116	See Water Resources 1; Water Resources 8; Wetlands 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 3
Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160908-5071	CO117	See General 2
Plainstown Fire Department	20160823-5018	CO118	See Geological Resources 1
Plainstown Fire Department	20160823-5029	CO119	See Duplicate of CO118-1
HALT PennEast	20160913-5217	CO120	See Duplicate of CO115-1
New Jersey State Chamber of Commerce	20160912-0022	CO121	Thank you for your comment.
Sierra Club - New Jersey Chapter	20160913-5291	CO122	See General 1; General 2; General 5
Sierra Club	20160915-5113	CO123	Thank you for your comment.
Chemistry Council of New Jersey	20160914-0071	CO124	Thank you for your comment.
West Amwell Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160916-5159	CO125	See General 9
Solution Geosciences LLC, Michael Serfes, P.G., Ph.D.	20160919-5027	CO126	See Geological Resources 3
Greater Reading Chamber of Commerce & Industry	20160916-0007	CO127	Thank you for your comment.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Association of New Jersey Environmental Commissions	20160919-0007	CO128	See General 5; Air Quality 1; Air Quality 7; Cumulative Impacts 4.
Hopewell Township Citizens Against the PennEast Pipeline, Inc.	20160912-5460	CO129	See General 1; General 2; Alternatives 24; Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 2; Geological Resources 5; Geological Resources 6; Geological Resources 11; Water Resources 18; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 10; Reliability and Safety 2; Reliability and Safety 3; Reliability and Safety 5
Michael Spille	20160901-5166	CO130	See General 2; Water Resources 13; Water Resources 18
Ray Krov, Holland Township Committee	20160909-5137	CO131	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 3.
Robert M. Wolfert	20160912-5545	CO132	See Water Resources 8; Water Resources 17
Jeffrey W. Hutton	20160912-5583	CO133	See General 1; General 2; General 5; General 7.
Delaware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160908-5278	CO134	See General 1; General 2; General 5; General 8; [Form Letter] IND457; Project Need
Delaware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160912-5616	CO135	See General 1; General 2; General 5; General 7; Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 12; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Cultural Resources 1; Cultural Resources 4; Cultural Resources 7; Cultural Resources 8; Cultural Resources 11; Cultural Resources 13; [Form Letter] IND677; Cultural 4
R. Steven Richardson, Homeowners Against Land Taking-PennEast	20160912-5623	CO136	See General 1; General 2; General 5; General 7; General 8; Socioeconomics 3; Mitigation 1.
Citizens Against the Pipeline x4	20160912-5487	CO137	See [Form Letter] CO73-4; [Form Letter] IND1116; [Form Letter] IND181; [Form Letter] IND3015; [Form Letter] IND3016; [Form Letter] IND3131; [Form Letter] IND457; [Form Letter] IND480; [Form Letter] IND534; [Form Letter] IND577; [Form Letter] IND874

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Oil Change International	20160808-5124	CO138	See Air Quality 1; Air Quality 2; Air Quality 3; Air Quality 4
Paul Boudreau, President, Morris County Chamber of Commerce	20160907-4002	CO139	See Air Quality 17.
Ciro Scalera, Director of Government Relations for the New Jersey Laborers' - Employers' Cooperation and Education Trust (NJ LECET)	20160907-4002	CO140	Thank you for your comment.
Jayana Shah, Managing Director of Gas Supply, New Jersey Natural Gas (NJNG)	20160907-4002	CO141	See Air Quality 17.
Gene Barr, President and CEO, Pennsylvania Chamber of Business and Industry	20160907-4002	CO142	See Air Quality 17.
David N. Taylor, President, Pennsylvania Manufacturers' Association Association	20160907-4002	CO143	Thank you for your comment.
Joe Leighton, Associate Director, Associated Petroleum Industries of Pennsylvania	20160907-4002	CO144	See Air Quality 17.
Rob Wonderling, President and CEO, The Chamber of Commerce for Greater Philadelphia	20160907-4002	CO145	Thank you for your comment.
David Horn, LECET Representative, Laborers' International Union of North America (LIUNA!)	20160907-4002	CO146	Thank you for your comment.
Chuck Clarke, Laborers Local 158	20160907-4002	CO147	Thank you for your comment.
Jacob Hyder, Laborers' International Union of North America (LIUNA!)	20160907-4002	CO148	Thank you for your comment.
Carl A. Marrara, Vice President, Government Affairs, Pennsylvania Manufacturers' Association	20160907-4002	CO149	Thank you for your comment.
Diane Dreier, Gas Drilling Awareness Coalition of Luzerne County, Inc.	20160907-4002	CO150	See Air Quality 1; Air Quality 8; Air Quality 18; [Form Letter] IND4706-1 and IND4706-4

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Andrew Hendry, President and CEO, New Jersey Utilities Association (NJUA)	20160907-4002	CO151	Thank you for your comment.
John Scarlata, Vice President of Gas Supply, PSEG Energy Resources & Trade (ER&T)	20160907-4002	CO152	Thank you for your comment.
Aidan Sander, Policy Director, New Jersey Society for Environmental, Economic Development (NJD)	20160907-4002	CO153	See Air Quality 17.
Jane Asselta, Vice President, Southern NJ Development Council	20160907-4002	CO154	Thank you for your comment.
New Jersey State Building & Construction Trades Council	20160907-4002	CO155	See Air Quality 17.
William T. Mullen, President, New Jersey State Building & Construction Trades Council	20160907-4002	CO156	Thank you for your comment.
Debra P. DiLorenzo, President and CEO, Chamber of Commerce of Southern New Jersey	20160907-4002	CO157	Thank you for your comment.
Anthony Russo, Executive Vice President, Commerce and Industry Association of New Jersey	20160907-4002	CO158	Thank you for your comment.
Daniel Ortega, Community Affairs, Engineers Labor-Employer Cooperative (ELEC 825)	20160907-4002	CO159	Thank you for your comment.
Kevin Shivers, Executive State Director, NFIB/Pennsylvania	20160907-4002	CO160	Thank you for your comment.
Jim Welty, Vice President of Government Affairs, Marcellus Shale Coalition	20160907-4002	CO161	See Air Quality 17.
Appalachian Mountain Club (AMC)	20160907-4002	CO162	See Alternatives 3; Alternatives 7; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 19; Mitigation 1

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Carolyn Lange, MT (ASCP), Treasurer/Membership Secretary, Aquashicola/ Pohopoco Watershed Conservancy (APWC)	20160907-4002	CO163	See Water Resources 4; Water Resources 7; Wetlands 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Socioeconomics 3.
Dan Kunkle, Executive Director, Wildlife Information Center	20160907-4002	CO164	See General 4; Purpose and Need 1; Purpose and Need 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4.
Michael D. Helbing, Esq.	20161110-5057	CO165	Thank you for your comment.
Maya K. van Rossum - Delaware Riverkeeper	20161115-5164	CO166	See General 1; General 2; Alternatives 30; Wetlands 5.
Lorraine Crown, Citizens Against the Pipeline	20161121-5085	CO167	See Alternatives 30; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 23; Wetlands 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 2
Patricia Ruby, Hunterdon Land Trust	20161121-5122	CO168	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4
Lorraine Crown, Citizens Against the Pipeline	20161128-5059	CO169	See Purpose and Need 1; Alternatives 2; Alternatives 30; Geological Resources 11; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 13; Vegetation and Wildlife 31
Michael Cantania, The New Jersey Natural Lands Trust	20161129-5067	CO170	See General 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Project Need
David Oleska, President, Durham Historical Society	20161115-5004	CO171	See General 19
Maya K. van Rossum, the Delaware Riverkeeper	201611130-5119	CO172	See Alternatives 30.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
New Jersey Conservation Foundation	20161201-5105	CO173	See General 1; General 2; General 9; Alternatives 2; Alternatives 6; Alternatives 14; Geological Resources 11; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 9; Water Resources 16; Cultural Resources 1; Air Quality 1; Air Quality 8; Air Quality 9; Air Quality 10; Air Quality 11; Air Quality 56; Cumulative Impacts 4; Cumulative Impacts 6; Cumulative Impacts 7; Project Need.
C. Sharyn Magee, President, Washington Crossing Audubon Society	20161202-5203	CO174	See Alternatives 23; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Vegetation and Wildlife 43; Vegetation and Wildlife 46; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Noise 4; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources.
Friends of Hopewell Valley Open Space. Submitted by Washington Crossing Audubon Society	20161202-5221	CO175	Thank you for your comment.
Jeff Tittel, Director, New Jersey Sierra Club	20161205-5153	CO176	See General 1; General 2; Alternatives 23; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 16; Vegetation and Wildlife 6.
Sharyn Magee, Washington Crossing Audobon Society	20161205-5174	CO177	See General 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 46
Michael Spille, Board of Trustees West Amwell Citizens Against the Pipeline	20161205-5180	CO178	Thank you for your comment.
Citizens Against the Pipeline	20161205-5183	CO179	See Water Resources 12; Vegetation and Wildlife 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 25.
Washington Crossing Audubon society	20161205-5193	CO180	Thank you for your comment.
Mark Manning, Physical Science Teacher, Hopewell Valley Central High School	20161205-5199	CO181	See Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 9

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Mark Manning, Physical Science Teacher, Hopewell Valley Central High School	20161205-5203	CO182	See Duplicate - CO181
Patricia P. Sziber, Executive Director, Friends of Hopewell Valley Open Space	20161205-5267	CO183	See Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 4
Alice R. Baker, Staff Attorney, Citizens for PA's Future; Joanne Kilgour, Chapter Director, Sierra Club, PA Chapter; Jeff Tittle, Chapter Direction, Sierra Club, NJ	20161205-5381	CO184	See General 2.
Delaware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20161205-5449	CO185	See General 2; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 11; Water Resources 1; Cultural Resources 11; Project Need.
Caroline Katmann, Sourland Conservancy	20161205-5450	CO186	See Water Resources 1; Project Need
Maureen Syrnick, Kingwood Township Citizens Against the Pipeline	20161205-5459	CO187	See General 1; Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8.
Michael Spille, West Amwell Citizens Against the Pipeline	20161205-5464	CO188	See Alternatives 23; Water Resources 18; Vegetation and Wildlife 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 31; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 5; Project Need.
Michael L. Pisauro, Jr., Stony Brook Millstone Watershed Association, Policy Director	20161205-5465	CO189	See General 2; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 11; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 10; Vegetation and Wildlife 27.
Maya K. van Rossum, the Delaware Riverkeeper	20161205-5448	CO190	See Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 7; Geological Resources 11; Geological Resources 12; Water Resources 1
Maya K. van Rossum, Delaware Riverkeeper Network	20161205-5405	CO191	See General 1; General 2; Water Resources 2; Water Resources 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 10; Vegetation and Wildlife 19; Vegetation and Wildlife 20; Vegetation and Wildlife 26; Vegetation and Wildlife 27; Vegetation and Wildlife 40; Vegetation and Wildlife 41.
Lou Barletta Member of Congress	20160801-0067	FA1	See Reliability and Safety 12.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Matt Cartwright, Member of Congress	20160801-0065	FA2	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 5.
USEPA	20160913-5144	FA3	See General 12; Alternatives 15; Alternatives 16; Alternatives 17; Alternatives 18; Alternatives 19; Alternatives 20; Alternatives 21; Alternatives 22; Alternatives 23; Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 2; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 5; Geological Resources 6; Geological Resources 7; Geological Resources 9; Geological Resources 11; Geological Resources 12; Geological Resources 13; Geological Resources 14; Soils 2; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 6; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 9; Water Resources 14; Water Resources 19; Water Resources 20; Water Resources 22; Water Resources 24; Wetlands 1; Wetlands 2; Wetlands 3; Wetlands 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 1; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 42; Vegetation and Wildlife 43; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Socioeconomics 12; Cultural Resources 4; Cultural Resources 7; Cultural Resources 14; Air Quality 1; Air Quality 7; Air Quality 8; Air Quality 19; Air Quality 20; Air Quality 21; Air Quality 22; Air Quality 23; Air Quality 24; Air Quality 26; Air Quality 28; Air Quality 29; Air Quality 30; Air Quality 36; Air Quality 38; Air Quality 41; Air Quality 69; Noise 4; Noise 9; Noise 10; Noise 11; Mitigation 1; Cumulative Impacts 4.
Leonard Lance, Member of Congress	20160810-0044	FA4	See General 4; Purpose and Need 1
Charles W. Dent, Congress - House of Representatives - 15th District, Pennsylvania	20160921-0008	FA6	Thank you for your comment.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Frank R. Hays, Associate Regional Director, National Park Service	20160913-5110	FA7	See General 2; Alternatives 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 19; Socioeconomics 7; Cumulative Impacts 2; Cumulative Impacts 3. Recommended change is reflected in the final EIS.
Eric Schrading, Field Supervisor, USFWS - New Jersey Field Office	20160913-5213	FA8	See General 2; General 8; Geological Resources 2; Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 6; Water Resources 13; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Vegetation and Wildlife 19; Vegetation and Wildlife 20; Vegetation and Wildlife 21; Vegetation and Wildlife 22; Vegetation and Wildlife 23; Vegetation and Wildlife 24; Vegetation and Wildlife 25; Vegetation and Wildlife 26; Vegetation and Wildlife 27; Vegetation and Wildlife 28; Vegetation and Wildlife 29; Vegetation and Wildlife 30; Vegetation and Wildlife 31; Vegetation and Wildlife 32; Vegetation and Wildlife 33; Vegetation and Wildlife 34; Vegetation and Wildlife 35; Vegetation and Wildlife 36; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Noise 4.
Scott Perry, Congress - House of Representatives - 4th District, Pennsylvania	20160913-0008	FA9	Thank you for your comment.
John R. Pomponio, Division Director, USEPA	20160916-0013	FA11	See Duplicate - FA3
Michael F Keady, Vice Chairman, Planning Board Holland Township	20160805-5040	LA1	Thank you for your comment.
Michael F Keady, Vice Chairman, Planning Board Holland Township	20160805-5058	LA2	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4
Cathy Urbanski, Chair, West Amwell Township Environmental Commission	20160805-5053	LA3	See General 2; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 14
Kingwood Township	20160812-5109	LA4	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4
Borough of Riegelsville, Pennsylvania	20160815-5145	LA5	See General 1; General 2

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Borough of Riegelsville, Pennsylvania	20160815-5156	LA6	See Geological Resources 8; Geological Resources 9; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 6; Water Resources 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 5; Socioeconomics 2; Socioeconomics 3; Cultural Resources 4; Reliability and Safety 2
Kevin D Kuchinski, Mayor, Hopewell Township	20160824-5125	LA7	See General 2
Irving J MacConnell Jr., Kingwood Township Office of Emergency Management	20160826-5094	LA8	See Reliability and Safety 2
Irving J MacConnell Jr., Kingwood Township Office of Emergency Management	20160826-5187	LA9	See Socioeconomics 7
Irving J MacConnell Jr., Kingwood Township Office of Emergency Management	20160829-5231	LA10	See Reliability and Safety 3; Reliability and Safety 8; LA9-1, LA10-1
Cathy Urbanski, Chair, West Amwell Township Environmental Commission	20160805-5001	LA11	See General 2; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 14
Diana Haywood, Mayor, Kingwood Township	20160902-5239	LA12	See General 2; Cultural Resources 1
Plains Township Fire Department	20160906-5168	LA13	See Geological Resources 1
Irving MacConnell, Jr., Emergency Management Coordinator Kingwood Township Office of Emergency Management	20160906-5256	LA14	See Water Resources 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; Reliability and Safety 2; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 9; Reliability and Safety 13; Reliability and Safety 14
Sharon A Dragan, Twp. Attorney for Alexandria Township	20160906-5392	LA15	See General 2; Alternatives 7; Alternatives 14; Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 11; Water Resources 17; Wetlands 5
Sharon A Dragan, Twp. Attorney for Alexandria Township	20160906-5394	LA16	See LA15
Ray Krov, Mayor Township of Holland	20160906-5401	LA17	See General 2; General 19; Cultural Resources 1

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Sharon A Dragan, Twp. Attorney for Alexandria Township	20160907-5052	LA18	See LA15
PennEast Pipeline Committee of the City of Lambertville City of Lambertville	20160907-5098	LA19	See General 1; General 2; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 13; Water Resources 14; Water Resources 18; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 10; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 20; Socioeconomics 8
Susan Lockwood, Mayor Delaware Township Committee	20160906-0032	LA20	See LA12
Carbon County Board of Commissioners	20160906-0029	LA21	See General 13; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2
Francis Banish III, PP/AICP, President Banisch Associates, Inc.	20160913-5095	LA22	See General 2; General 13
Ray Krov, Mayor Township of Holland	20160909-5148	LA23	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 25; Reliability and Safety 12
Ray Krov, Mayor Township of Holland	20160909-5167	LA24	See Alternatives 9
Ray Krov, Mayor Township of Holland	20160909-5169	LA25	See General 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 7
Ray Krov, Mayor Township of Holland	20160909-5170	LA26	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4
Ray Krov, Mayor Township of Holland	20160909-5166	LA27	See Water Resources 8
Maser Consulting - Bethlehem Authority	20160909-5219	LA28	See General 10; Alternatives 4; Water Resources 10; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 9; Socioeconomics 4
Board of Trustees of Wellington Manor, Hopewell Township, NJ	20160912-5381	LA29	See Soils 1; Water Resources 8; Wetlands 2; Noise 2; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 5; Project Need.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Lower Saucon Township	20160912-5515	LA30	See General 2; General 12; General 13; General 14; Alternatives 2; Alternatives 10; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 11; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 13; Water Resources 16; Water Resources 17; Water Resources 23; Wetlands 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 1; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 12; Vegetation and Wildlife 48; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Cultural Resources 4; Cultural Resources 10; Air Quality 1; Air Quality 6; Air Quality 7; Air Quality 8; Air Quality 28; Air Quality 60; Reliability and Safety 5; Reliability and Safety 10; Reliability and Safety 12; Mitigation 1; Cumulative Impacts 4; Project Need. Recommended change is reflected in the final EIS.
Kingwood Township Environmental Commission	20160912-5523	LA31	See General 1; General 2; General 5; General 7
Kingwood Township Environmental Commission	20160912-5528	LA32	See General 2; Cultural Resources 1; Cultural Resources 5; Project Need
Kingwood Township Environmental Commission	20160912-5529	LA33	See General 2; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 14; Air Quality 55; Project Need
Kingwood Township Committee	20160912-5611	LA34	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4
Kingwood Township Committee	20160912-5612	LA35	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 10
Kingwood Township Committee	20160912-5615	LA36	See General 11; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 9; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 10.
Kingwood Township Committee	20160912-5620	LA37	See General 18
Kingwood Township Committee	20160912-5632	LA38	See Cumulative Impacts 1
Holland Township Committee	20160912-5636	LA39	See Cultural Resources 1

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Kingwood Township Committee	20160912-5639	LA40	See Geological Resources 5. Recommended change is reflected in the final EIS.
Kingwood Township Committee	20160912-5657	LA41	See General 2; Geological Resources 2
Mayor Susan Lockwood, Delaware Township	20160912-5678	LA42	See General 2; General 18; Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 2; Soils 2; Soils 3; Soils 6; Water Resources 6; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Socioeconomics 5
Mayor Susan Lockwood, Delaware Township	20160912-5696	LA43	See Water Resources 4; Water Resources 9; Water Resources 11; Socioeconomics 4
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Hopewell Township	20160912-5737	LA44	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 11
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Hopewell Township	20190912-5739	LA45	See Soils 1
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Hopewell Township	20160912-5740	LA46	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 11
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Hopewell Township	20160912-5747	LA47	See General 2; Cultural Resources 1.
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Hopewell Township	20160912-5749	LA48	See Water Resources 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 5
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Hopewell Township	20160912-5750	LA49	See Water Resources 5; Water Resources 9
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Hopewell Township	20160912-5751	LA50	See Alternatives 5

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Durham Township Planning Commission	20160912-5753	LA51	See General 1; General 11; Geological Resources 2; Geological Resources 5; Geological Resources 6; Soils 1; Soils 2; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 13; Water Resources 22; Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 9; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 24; Socioeconomics 3; Cultural Resources 5; Reliability and Safety 2; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 8
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Hopewell Township	20160912-5762	LA52	See Alternatives 6; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 12; Project Need
Alexandria Citizens Against the Pipeline, Delaware Township Citizens Against the Pipeline, HALT PennEast, Holland Township Citizens Against the Pipeline, Kingwood Citizens Against the Pipeline, Kingwood Township Environmental Commission, Lambertville Coalition Against the Pipeline, West Amwell Township, and West Amwell Citizens Against the Pipeline	20160912-5856	LA53	See General 2; Purpose and Need 1; Alternatives 2; Alternatives 6; Alternatives 12; Socioeconomics 6; Socioeconomics 11; Air Quality 67; Project Need.
Leslie R. Floyd, County of Mercer, Department of Planning	20160912-5925	LA54	See General 2; Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 13; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 15; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 20; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 25; Cultural Resources 11. Recommended change is reflected in the final EIS.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-5944	LA55	See Duplicate - CO41

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
The Kingwood Township Environmental Commission	20160912-5955	LA56	See General 2; Alternatives 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 45; Project Need
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Township of Hopwell	20160912-5984	LA57	See General 2; Soils 6
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Township of Hopwell	20160912-5988	LA58	See General 1; General 2; Water Resources 8
Kingwood Township Environmental Commission	20160912-6016	LA59	See General 2; Purpose and Need 1; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 6; Water Resources 9; Water Resources 11; Water Resources 13; Water Resources 23; Wetlands 1; Wetlands 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 23.
Geoffrey A. Reese, P.E., Director of Environmental Planning, Lehigh Valley Planning Commission	20160912-6017	LA60	See General 2; General 13; Water Resources 2; Water Resources 10; Wetlands 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 4; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 8; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 16; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 20; Cultural Resources 4; Mitigation 1.
Paul E. Pogorzelski, P.E., Hopewell Township Administrator/Engineer	20160913-5276	LA61	See General 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 24.
Durham Township Supervisor - Kathleen Gentner	20160912-5379	LA62	See General 11; Alternatives 14; Geological Resources 2; Geological Resources 5; Soils 1; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 20; Water Resources 22; Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 9; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 24; Socioeconomics 3; Cultural Resources 4; Cultural Resources 6; Reliability and Safety 14.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Susan Lockwood, Mayor Delaware Township	20160912-5531	LA63	See General 2; Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 2; Geological Resources 3; Soils 2; Water Resources 6; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 24; Socioeconomics 5
Susan Lockwood, Mayor Delaware Township	20160912-5532	LA64	See Water Resources 6
Jacquelyn Freedman; Alexandria Township Environmental Commission	20160913-5212	LA65	See Geological Resources 3
Durham Township Supervisor - Kathleen Gentner	20160912-5459	LA66	See CO62
Durham Township Supervisor - Kathleen Gentner	20160912-5463	LA67	See Water Resources 7; Water Resources 22
Durham Township Supervisor - Kathleen Gentner	20160912-5466	LA68	See CO67
Kevin D. Kuchinski	20160912-5744	LA69	See Water Resources 3; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 9; Water Resources 23; Vegetation and Wildlife 3
Kevin D. Kuchinski	20160912-5745	LA70	See Cultural Resources 11
Carl Baker, Fire Chief, Plains Township Fire Department	20160907-4002	LA71	See Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 13; Reliability and Safety 4.
Leslie R. Floyd, County of Mercer, Department of Planning	20160907-4002	LA72	See General 1; General 2; Noise 4.
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Township of Hopewell	20160907-4002	LA73	See General 2
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor Hopewell Township	20160912-5737	LA74	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 11
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor Hopewell Township	20160912-5751	LA75	See General 2; Alternatives 5

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Zachary Rich, Mayor; John Dale, Deputy Mayor; Steve Bergenfeld, Committeeman; Robert E. Tomenchok, Planning Board Chair; Cathy Urbanski, Environmental Commission Chair (speaking for and working for all the residents of West Amwell Township)	20161201-5310	LA76	See General 2; General 11; Purpose and Need 1; Purpose and Need 2; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 11; Geological Resources 13; Soils 1; Soils 7; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 11; Water Resources 12; Water Resources 18; Wetlands 1; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 31; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 10; Cultural Resources 4; Reliability and Safety 1; Reliability and Safety 10; Reliability and Safety 11.
The Pipeline Committee of the City of Lambertville	20161205-5167	LA77	See General 1; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 6; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 18; Wetlands 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 30; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 10; Socioeconomics 2; Socioeconomics 3; Socioeconomics 8; Reliability and Safety 9; Reliability and Safety 10; IND4044-2, IND4044-3; IND5000-1, IND5000-2, IND5000-4, IND5000-5; IND5000-6; INT105-1; INT105-2, INT105-3; Project Need

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Susan Lockwood, Mayor Delaware Township	20161205-5220	LA78	See General 2; General 8; Project Description 1; Purpose and Need 1; Alternatives 1; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 11; Geological Resources 12; Soils 3; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 9; Water Resources 13; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 8; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 10; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 12; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 26; Socioeconomics 3; Noise 11; Reliability and Safety 1; Reliability and Safety 2; Reliability and Safety 9; Project Need.
Deborah J. Kratzer, Kratzer Environmental Services	20161205-5224	LA79	See General 1; General 2; Geological Resources 11; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 2; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 6; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Reliability and Safety 2; Reliability and Safety 4; Duplicate - IND3999; Duplicate - LA33; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Project Need.
Ray Krov, Mayor, Township of Holland, Hunterdon County	20161205-5232	LA80	See Alternatives 9; Alternatives 30; Water Resources 6; Water Resources 23; Socioeconomics 8; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources.
Richard Dodds, Kingwood Township Committee	20161205-5281	LA81	See Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 12; Soils 5; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 2; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 9; Water Resources 13; Wetlands 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 9.
Richard Dodds, Kingwood Township Committee	20161205-5283	LA82	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 10.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Maureen Syrnick, Kingwood Township Planning Board Chair	20161205-5285	LA83	See General 1
Richard Dodds, Kingwood Township Committee	20161205-5286	LA84	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 10
Richard Dodds Kingwood Township Committee	20161205-5299	LA85	See General 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 10
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Township of Hopewell	20161205-5432	LA86	See General 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 11
Richard Dodds Kingwood Township Committee	20161205-5308	LA87	See General 1
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Township of Hopewell	20161205-5421	LA88	See General 2; Soils 1; Water Resources 8; LA45.
Kevin D. Kuchinski, Mayor, Township of Hopewell	20161205-5423	LA89	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 11
Township of Hopewell	20161205-5319	LA90	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 11.
Michael Spille	20160722-5095	IND1	See Alternatives Responses.
Micah Rasmussen	20160722-5164	IND2	See General Responses.
Lorraine Crown	20160722-5164	IND3	See General Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160725-5021	IND4	See General Responses.
Lynn Doria	20160725-5036	IND5	See Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
William Mealey	20160722-0018	IND6	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Marie Christman	20160722-0019	IND7	See [Form Letter] IND6-1 Responses.
Vincent Smith	20160722-0020	IND8	See [Form Letter] IND6-1 Responses.
Janine Carazo	20160722-0021	IND9	See [Form Letter] IND6-1 Responses.
Michael Spille	20160725-5044	IND10	See General Responses.
Barry Hahn	20160722-0022	IND11	See [Form Letter] IND6-1 Responses.
Paul W. Hoppel	20160722-0023	IND12	See [Form Letter] IND6-1 Responses.
Sid Sawah	20160722-0024	IND13	See [Form Letter] IND6-1 Responses.
Kimberly Bubbenmoyer	20160722-0025	IND14	See [Form Letter] IND6-1; Project Need Responses.
Michael Spille	20160725-5047	IND15	See Project Description Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Michael Loncoski	20160725-5079	IND16	See General Responses.
Michael Spille	20160725-5194	IND17	See General Responses.
Debra Bradley	20160725-5200	IND18	See General; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Stephen Garofalini	20160726-5006	IND19	See Project Description; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
N Ponter	20160726-5008	IND20	See Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Alex Cole	20160727-5015	IND21	See Project Description; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160727-5068	IND22	See Project Description; Water Resources Responses.
Joan Kager	20160727-5114	IND23	See General Responses.
Betty S Kenny	20160728-5001	IND24	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Joe Zuccaro	20160727-0007	IND25	See General Responses.
Al Zabicki	20160727-0007	IND26	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Scott McQuarole	20160727-0007	IND27	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Joao M Melo	20160727-0007	IND28	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Gregory Eldridge	20160727-0007	IND29	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
James Messina	20160727-0007	IND30	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Felix Lugo	20160727-0007	IND31	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Al Frontauria	20160727-0007	IND32	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Nick Boneante	20160727-0007	IND33	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Alex Yarembinsky	20160727-0007	IND34	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Philip Mavo	20160727-0007	IND35	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Gary Wasilewski	20160727-0007	IND36	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Philip Ortense	20160727-0007	IND37	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Mike Tomasi	20160727-0007	IND38	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Vincent Laterza	20160727-0007	IND39	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Todd Frey	20160727-0007	IND40	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Stephen Winzinger Jr	20160727-0007	IND41	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Fermin Carino	20160727-0007	IND42	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Sergio Correia	20160727-0007	IND43	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Justin Kozdron	20160727-0007	IND44	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Diogo M Clemente	20160727-0007	IND45	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Luther L Watson Jr	20160727-0007	IND46	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Ramon D Rodriguez	20160727-0007	IND47	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Wilner Bardett	20160727-0007	IND48	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Charles McBride	20160727-0007	IND49	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
William Shenba	20160727-0007	IND50	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Will Kohmuench	20160727-0007	IND51	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Fernando Perez	20160727-0007	IND52	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Allan B Charles	20160727-0007	IND53	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Lukasz Kzeczowski	20160727-0007	IND54	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Robert Dammann	20160727-0007	IND55	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Robert Cane	20160727-0007	IND56	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Lance Del Nero	20160727-0007	IND57	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Vincent J Kyzima	20160727-0007	IND58	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Jesus H Cabrera	20160727-0007	IND59	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Jose M Soto	20160727-0007	IND60	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Matthew Holden	20160727-0007	IND61	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
David Piatkowski	20161107-0103	IND62	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Cynthia and Robert Magill	20160727-0009	IND63	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Stephen Santanello	20160728-5056	IND64	See General Responses.
Thomas Kenny	20160729-5003	IND65	See General; Project Need Responses.
Jeffrey R. Shafer	20160728-5160	IND66	See General Responses.
Karen A. Crovicz	20170729-5199	IND67	See General; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Fairfax Hutter	20160729-5222	IND68	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160801-5000	IND69	See General Responses.
Stephen Santanello	20160801-5002	IND70	See General Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160801-5003	IND71	See General; Alternatives; Wetlands; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160801-5005	IND72	See General Responses.
Rebecca Canright	20160801-5006	IND73	See General Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160801-5009	IND74	See General; Project Description Responses.
Davina J Lapczynski	20160801-5011	IND75	See [Form Letter] IND74-1; [Form Letter] IND74-2; [Form Letter] IND74-4; [Form Letter] IND74-5; [Form Letter] IND74-6 Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160801-5012	IND76	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Carla Kelly Mackey	20160801-5014	IND77	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Lucy Gorelli	20160801-5015	IND78	See General Responses.
Joan Kager	20160801-5016	IND79	See General Responses.
Betty & Thomas Kenny	20160801-5017	IND80	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160804-5097	IND81	See Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Noreen Kemether	20160801-5025	IND82	See General Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160801-5029	IND83	See General; Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160801-5030	IND84	See General Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160801-5031	IND85	See General Responses.
Melissa Sohayda	20160801-5045	IND86	See Water Resources Responses.
Patricia Wittig	20160801-5046	IND87	See General Responses.
Patricia Wittig	20160801-5046	IND88	See General Responses.
Alexandra Dickey	20160801-5053	IND89	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Amit Mitra	20160802-5001	IND90	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160802-5003	IND91	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160802-5004	IND92	See Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Martin S Wissig	20160802-5017	IND93	See General; Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
T.C. Onstott	20160802-5034	IND94	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Kyle Hochenberger	20160803-5002	IND95	See Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Bernadette Hunsicker	20160803-5003	IND96	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Bernadette Hunsicker	20160803-5004	IND97	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality Responses.
Bernadette Hunsicker	20160803-5005	IND98	See General Responses.
Robert Schaffer	20160803-5007	IND99	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Joseph Plachavy	20160803-5008	IND100	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Joseph Plachavy	20160803-5009	IND101	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160803-5010	IND102	See Water Resources Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160803-5135	IND103	See Project Description Responses.
Mary Tolmie	20160803-5040	IND104	See General; Water Resources Responses.
M. B. Beers	20160802-0016	IND105	See [Form Letter] IND6-1 Responses.
Sondra Wolferman	20160803-5063	IND106	See Alternatives; Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160803-5066	IND107	See General; Project Need; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Madeleine Lee	20160804-5000	IND108	See General Responses.
Lesley deDufour	20160804-5004	IND109	See Noise Responses.
Lesley deDufour	20160804-5005	IND110	See General Responses.
Lesley deDufour	20160804-5009	IND111	See Noise Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Lucy Gorelli	20160804-5010	IND112	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160804-5013	IND113	See General Responses.
Mara Connolly Taft	20160804-5034	IND114	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Eugene Pevzner	20160804-5049	IND115	See Project Need; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Daniel Houssock	20160804-0011	IND116	See Socioeconomics; Air Quality Responses.
Ted Dinkelo	20160804-0012	IND117	See [Form Letter] IND116 Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160804-5076	IND118	See Water Resources Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160804-5080	IND119	See Project Need Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160804-5085	IND120	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5150	IND121	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
David T. Sowden	20160805-5009	IND122	See General Responses.
Arianne Elinich	20160805-5010	IND123	See Water Resources Responses.
Lisa M Sowden	20160805-5011	IND124	See [Form Letter] IND122-1 Responses.
Charles Slonsky	20160805-5012	IND125	See [Form Letter] IND122-1 Responses.
Martin S Wissig	20160805-5014	IND126	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160805-5024	IND127	See Alternatives Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160805-5035	IND128	See Alternatives; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Kevin Dodds	20160805-5052	IND129	See [Form Letter] IND122-1 Responses.
Marcia Martin	20160831-0026	IND130	See [Form Letter] IND25-1 Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160805-5055	IND131	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160805-5069	IND132	See General; Cultural Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160805-5084	IND133	See Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Vincent DiBianca	20160805-5088	IND134	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160805-5090	IND135	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160805-5093	IND136	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Patricia A Oceanak	20160805-5098	IND137	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Frank R Karas	20160805-5099	IND138	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160805-5103	IND139	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Angela A Karas	20160805-5105	IND140	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Eric A Sandrow	20160805-5120	IND141	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Eric A Sandrow	20160805-5121	IND142	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160805-5122	IND143	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160805-5123	IND144	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Patricia A Oceanak	20160805-5124	IND145	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160805-5125	IND146	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Richard Karas	20160805-5126	IND147	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Angela A. Karas	20160805-5127	IND148	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
David English and Alison Sommers-Sayre	20160805-5129	IND149	See [Form Letter] IND108-1 Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
John Markowski	20160805-5136	IND150	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
William Markus	20160805-5139	IND151	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Patty Cronheim	20160805-5153	IND152	See Project Need; Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Dan Haddle	20160805-5159	IND153	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160805-5186	IND154	See [Form Letter] IND108-1 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160805-5187	IND155	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160805-5188	IND156	See [Form Letter] IND108-1 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160805-5189	IND157	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
James Selman	20160805-5191	IND158	See [Form Letter] IND108-1 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
James Selman	20160805-5193	IND159	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160805-5195	IND160	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160805-5196	IND161	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
David Laveman	20160805-5198	IND162	See [Form Letter] SeeIND108 Responses.
David Laveman	20160805-5199	IND163	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
June Vester	20160808-5000	IND164	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5002	IND165	See Water Resources Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5003	IND166	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5005	IND167	See Soils Responses.
Lucy Gorelli	20160808-5007	IND168	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joyce McGowan	20160808-5011	IND169	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Naomi Lonergan	20160808-5013	IND170	See General; Alternatives Responses.
Joan Kager	20160808-5015	IND171	See General Responses.
Martin S Wissig	20160808-5018	IND172	See General; Noise Responses.
Martin Wissig	20160808-5019	IND173	See Noise Responses.
Lisa H Suydam	20160808-5022	IND174	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jackie Freedman	20160808-5023	IND175	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Herbert S Skovronek	20160808-5024	IND176	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160808-5025	IND177	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Karyl Paterson	20160808-5026	IND178	See [Form Letter] IND147-1 [Form Letter] IND268-1 [Form Letter] IND268-2 [Form Letter] IND269-1 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Karyl Paterson	20160808-5027	IND179	See General; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Karyl Paterson	20160808-5028	IND180	See Cultural Responses.
Karyl Paterson	20160808-5029	IND181	See General; Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Michael & Phyllis Jacewicz	20160808-5031	IND182	See Geologic Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Barbara Brown	20160808-5032	IND183	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
LeRoy and Barbara Brown	20160808-5033	IND184	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5035	IND185	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5036	IND186	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5037	IND187	Duplicate - IND186 Responses.
Judith A Paulus	20160808-5038	IND188	See Water Resources Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5039	IND189	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5040	IND190	Duplicate - IND190 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5041	IND191	Duplicate - IND190 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5042	IND192	Duplicate - IND190 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5043	IND193	Duplicate - IND190 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5044	IND194	Duplicate - IND190 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5045	IND195	Duplicate - IND190 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5046	IND196	Duplicate - IND190 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5047	IND197	Duplicate - IND190 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5048	IND198	Duplicate - IND190 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5050	IND199	Duplicate - IND190 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160808-5049	IND200	Duplicate - IND190 Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160808-5051	IND201	See Water Resources Responses.
Naomi & Robert Lonergan	20160808-5052	IND202	See Water Resources Responses.
Martin Wissig	20160808-5060	IND203	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Karyl Patterson	20160808-5080	IND204	See [Form Letter] IND108-1 Water Resources Responses.
Karyl Patterson	20160808-5081	IND205	See [Form Letter] IND108-1 Geologic Resources; Cultural Responses.
Karyl Patterson	20160808-5082	IND206	See [Form Letter] IND108-1 Cultural Responses.
Karyl Patterson	20160808-5083	IND207	See [Form Letter] IND108-1 Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Karyl Patterson	20160808-5085	IND208	See [Form Letter] IND108-1 Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Karyl Patterson	20160808-5086	IND209	Duplicate - IND208 Responses.
Karyl Patterson	20160808-5087	IND210	See [Form Letter] IND108-1 Socioeconomics Responses.
Lorraine Crown	20160808-5111	IND211	See General Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160805-0025	IND212	See Alternatives; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
George R Leap	20160808-5144	IND213	See General; Alternatives Responses.
Joan Kager	20160808-5145	IND214	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Karen Pilone	20160808-5198	IND215	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Kelvin Werth	20160808-5199	IND216	See Project Need Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160809-5000	IND217	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160809-5001	IND218	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160809-5002	IND219	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160809-5003	IND220	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160809-5004	IND221	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160809-5005	IND222	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
James Selman	20160809-5006	IND223	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
James Selman	20160809-5007	IND224	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Marie Case	20160809-5008	IND225	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160809-5009	IND226	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160809-5010	IND227	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Vivian Ford	20160809-5011	IND228	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160809-5012	IND229	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160809-5013	IND230	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160809-5014	IND231	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160809-5015	IND232	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160809-5016	IND233	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Vincint DiBianca	20160809-5017	IND234	See Water Resources Responses.
Vincint DiBianca	20160809-5018	IND235	See Water Resources Responses.
Vincint DiBianca	20160809-5019	IND236	See Water Resources Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160809-5020	IND237	See Water Resources Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160809-5021	IND238	See Water Resources Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160809-5022	IND239	See Water Resources Responses.
Vincint DiBianca	20160809-5023	IND240	Duplicate of IND234 Responses.
Vincint DiBianca	20160809-5024	IND241	Duplicate of IND235 Responses.
Vincint DiBianca	20160809-5025	IND242	Duplicate of IND236 Responses.
Daria M. Karas	20160809-5026	IND243	See Water Resources Responses.
Daria M. Karas	20160809-5027	IND244	See Water Resources Responses.
Daria M. Karas	20160809-5028	IND245	See Water Resources Responses.
Paul Shinsec	20160809-5030	IND246	See General Responses.
Thomas Kenny	20160809-5031	IND247	See General; Water Resources; Noise Responses.
Michael Spille	20160809-5032	IND248	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Laurie Hieb	20160809-5033	IND249	See General; Soils; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Donald D. Hieb	20160809-5034	IND250	See [Form Letter] IND108 - 1; Alternatives; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Laurie E. Stone	20160809-5035	IND251	See General; Project Description Responses.
Charles Slonsky	20160809-5036	IND252	See [Form Letter] IND147 - 1; [Form Letter] IND268 - 1; [Form Letter] IND269 - 1; [Form Letter] IND270 - 1 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Charles Slonsky	20160809-5037	IND253	See [Form Letter] IND108 - 1; General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Air Quality Responses.
Arianne Elinich	20160809-5038	IND254	See General Responses.
Judith A Paulus	20160809-5039	IND255	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160809-5040	IND256	See General Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160809-5041	IND257	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160809-5042	IND258	See General; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Frank R Karas	20160809-5045	IND259	See [Form Letter] IND269-1; [Form Letter] IND269-2 Responses.
Frank R Karas	20160809-5046	IND260	See [Form Letter] IND270-1; [Form Letter] IND270-2 Responses.
Frank R Karas	20160809-5047	IND261	See [Form Letter] IND268-1; [Form Letter] IND268-2 Responses.
Angela A Karas	20160809-5048	IND262	See [Form Letter] IND269-1; [Form Letter] IND269-2 Responses.
Angela Karas	20160809-5049	IND263	See [Form Letter] IND270-1; [Form Letter] IND270-2 Responses.
Angela A Karas	20160809-5050	IND264	See [Form Letter] IND268-1; [Form Letter] IND268-2 Responses.
Edward Cohen	20160809-5051	IND265	See General; Water Resources; Air Quality; Noise; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160809-5052	IND266	See [Form Letter] IND269-1; [Form Letter] IND269-2 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160809-5053	IND267	See [Form Letter] IND270-1; [Form Letter] IND270-2 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160809-5054	IND268	See [Form Letter] IND147-2; Project Need; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160809-5055	IND269	See [Form Letter] IND147-2; Water Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Gloria Stone	20160809-5056	IND270	See General; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160809-5057	IND271	See [Form Letter] IND268-1; [Form Letter] IND268-2; [Form Letter] IND268-3 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160809-5058	IND272	See [Form Letter] IND269-1; [Form Letter] IND269-2 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160809-5060	IND273	See [Form Letter] IND268-1; [Form Letter] IND268-2 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160809-5061	IND274	See [Form Letter] IND269-1; [Form Letter] IND269-2 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160809-5062	IND275	See [Form Letter] IND270-1; [Form Letter] IND270-2 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160809-5059	IND276	Duplicate IND275-1; Duplicate IND275-2 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160809-5065	IND277	See [Form Letter] IND269-1; [Form Letter] IND269-2 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160809-5068	IND278	See [Form Letter] IND270-1; [Form Letter] IND270-2 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160809-5070	IND279	See [Form Letter] IND268-1; [Form Letter] IND268-2 Responses.
Charles Slonsky	20160809-5073	IND280	See General Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160809-5074	IND281	See [Form Letter] IND269-1; [Form Letter] IND269-2 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160809-5075	IND282	See [Form Letter] IND270-1; [Form Letter] IND270-2 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160809-5077	IND283	See [Form Letter] IND268-1; [Form Letter] IND268-2 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160809-5078	IND284	See IND269-1; IND269-2 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160809-5079	IND285	See [Form Letter] IND270-1; [Form Letter] IND270-2 Responses.
Eric A Sandrow	20160809-5080	IND286	See [Form Letter] IND269-1; [Form Letter] IND269-2 Responses.
Eric A Sandrow	20160809-5081	IND287	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
Eric A Sandrow	20160809-5082	IND288	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Sarina DiBianca	20160809-5085	IND289	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160809-5083	IND290	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160809-5084	IND291	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160809-5086	IND292	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160809-5087	IND293	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160809-5088	IND294	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160809-5089	IND295	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160809-5090	IND296	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160809-5091	IND297	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160809-5092	IND298	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Franklin Fenner	20160808-0017	IND299	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Elizabeth Balogh	20160809-5131	IND300	See Project Need; Water Resources Responses.
Susan Posen	20160809-5200	IND301	See General; Water Resources; Cultural Responses.
Carol Peters	20160809-5202	IND302	See General Responses.
Ronald Schechter	20160810-5000	IND303	See Water Resources Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160810-5001	IND304	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160810-5002	IND305	See IND304 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160810-5003	IND306	See IND304 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160810-5004	IND307	See IND304 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160810-5005	IND308	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160810-5006	IND309	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160810-5007	IND310	See Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160810-5008	IND311	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160810-5009	IND312	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160810-5010	IND313	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160810-5011	IND314	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160810-5012	IND315	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
John Leiser	20160810-5013	IND316	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
John Leiser	20160810-5014	IND317	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
John Leiser	20160810-5015	IND318	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
John Leiser	20160810-5016	IND319	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
John Leiser	20160810-5017	IND320	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160810-5018	IND321	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160810-5019	IND322	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160810-5020	IND323	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160510-5021	IND324	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160510-5022	IND325	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160510-5023	IND326	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160510-5024	IND327	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160510-5025	IND328	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160510-5026	IND329	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160510-5027	IND330	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160510-5028	IND331	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Laurie Hieb	20160510-5029	IND332	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160510-5030	IND333	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160510-5031	IND334	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160510-5032	IND335	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
Donald Hieb	20160510-5033	IND336	See IND332-1; IND332-2 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160510-5034	IND337	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160510-5035	IND338	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160510-5036	IND339	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160510-5037	IND340	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
James Selman	20160810-5038	IND341	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
James Selman	20160810-5039	IND342	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
James Selman	20160810-5040	IND343	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
David Laveman	20160810-5041	IND344	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
David Laveman	20160810-5042	IND345	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
David Laveman	20160810-5043	IND346	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Marie Case	20160810-5045	IND347	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
Marie Case	20160810-5046	IND348	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Marie Case	20160810-5047	IND349	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160810-5048	IND350	See [Form Letter] IND269 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160810-5049	IND351	See [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160810-5050	IND352	See [Form Letter] IND268 Responses.
Jane Lick	20160810-5052	IND353	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Judith A Paulus	20160810-5054	IND354	See Water Resources Responses.
Laurie Hieb	20160810-5055	IND355	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Donald D Hieb	20160810-5056	IND356	See General Responses.
Edward J Kelly	20160810-5066	IND357	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joe Calise	20160810-5080	IND358	See [Form Letter] IND356 Responses.
Davina J Lapczynski	20160810-5081	IND359	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160810-5090	IND360	See Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Joan Kager	20160810-5111	IND361	See Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Grace Pandy	20160809-0034	IND362	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Pete Maguire	20160809-0042	IND363	See Project Need Responses.
Wayne Koci	20160809-0043	IND364	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Meg Sleeper	20160809-5127	IND365	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Leena Ruhlandt	20160809-0039	IND366	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Dave Augustine	20160810-5129	IND367	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Beth A Pandy	20160809-0035	IND368	See [Form Letter] IND362 Responses.
Eric Fetherston	20160809-0037	IND369	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Elizabeth A Balogh	20160810-5135	IND370	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Don Neilson III	20160809-0038	IND371	See [Form Letter] IND363-1; [Form Letter] IND363-2; [Form Letter] IND363-3 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Christian Shissias	20160809-0040	IND372	See [Form Letter] IND363-1; [Form Letter] IND363-2; [Form Letter] IND363-3 Responses.
Randy Zimmerman	20160809-0041	IND373	See [Form Letter] IND363-1; [Form Letter] IND363-2; [Form Letter] IND363-3 Responses.
Joan Kager	20160810-5134	IND374	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160804-5000	IND375	See [Form Letter] See 108-1 Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160810-5149	IND376	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160810-5151	IND377	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160810-5152	IND378	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160810-5153	IND379	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160810-5169	IND380	See General Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160810-5170	IND381	See General Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160810-5204	IND382	See General; Alternatives; Socioeconomics Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160811-5003	IND383	See General Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160811-5004	IND384	Duplicate: IND383 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160811-5005	IND385	Duplicate: IND383 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160811-5006	IND386	Duplicate: IND383 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160811-5006	IND387	Duplicate: IND383 Responses.
Anthony Montapert	20160811-5004	IND388	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Jennifer Hirsh	20160811-5009	IND389	See General; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Jane Lick	20160811-5010	IND390	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Olive Coglin	20160811-5011	IND391	See General Responses.
Michael Spille	20160811-5012	IND392	See General Responses.
Laura Horowitz	20160811-5013	IND393	See [Form Letter] IND585-1; [Form Letter] IND585-2; General Responses.
Mercedes Lackey	20160811-5014	IND394	See [Form Letter] IND585-1; [Form Letter] IND585-2; General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Judith A. Paulus	20160811-5015	IND395	See [Form Letter] IND824-1; [Form Letter] IND826-1; [Form Letter] IND827-1; [Form Letter] IND828-1 Responses.
Marta Guttenberg	20160811-5016	IND396	See General Responses.
H. Dennis Shumaker	20160811-5017	IND397	See [Form Letter] IND585-1; [Form Letter] IND585-2; General Responses.
David Ringle	20160811-5018	IND398	See [Form Letter] IND585-1; [Form Letter] IND585-2 Responses.
Laura Lynch	20160811-5019	IND399	See General Responses.
Jared Cornelia	20160811-5020	IND400	See [Form Letter] IND585-1; [Form Letter] IND585-2 Responses.
Claude Guillemard	20160811-5021	IND401	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Carla Kelly Mackey et al.	20160811-5022	IND402	See General; Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Patricia Chichon	20160811-5023	IND403	See [Form Letter] IND585-1; [Form Letter] IND585-2 Responses.
David Gemmill	20160811-5025	IND404	See [Form Letter] IND585-1; [Form Letter] IND585-2 Responses.
Patricia Chichon	20160811-5045	IND405	See [Form Letter] IND585-1; [Form Letter] IND585-2 Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20160811-5048	IND406	See General; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jill Haug	20160811-5049	IND407	See [Form Letter] IND585-1; [Form Letter] IND585-2 Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160811-5061	IND408	See General; Alternatives Responses.
Amy Harlib	20160811-5063	IND409	See [Form Letter] IND585-1; [Form Letter] IND585-2 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Denise Lytle	20160811-5073	IND410	See [Form Letter] IND585-3; [Form Letter] IND585-4 Responses.
Michael Dawson	20160811-5080	IND411	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Robert P Tomaselli	20160811-5115	IND412	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160811-5126	IND413	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160811-5128	IND414	See General; IND413-2; IND413-3 Responses.
Brenda St. Duran	20160811-5042	IND415	See General; Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160811-5135	IND416	See [Form Letter] IND413-3; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
William and Viola Markus	20160810-0069	IND417	See [Form Letter] IND362-1; [Form Letter] IND362-2 Responses.
Deborah King	20160811-5140	IND418	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
R. Steven Richardson	20160811-0008	IND419	See Project Need Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160811-5159	IND420	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Shannon Pendleton	20160811-5173	IND421	See [Form Letter] IND362-1; [Form Letter] IND362-2 Responses.
R. Steven Richardson	20160811-0009	IND422	See General; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
unknown	20160811-5180	IND423	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Richard Dodds	20160811-5184	IND424	See General Responses.
Ann Marshall	20160811-5194	IND425	See General; Project Need Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160811-5195	IND426	See [Form Letter] IND413-3; General; Project Need; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160811-5198	IND427	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160811-5199	IND428	See Project Need; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160812-5000	IND429	See [Form Letter] SeeIND480-1 Responses.
Arianne Elinich	20160812-5003	IND430	See [Form Letter] IND480-1; [Form Letter] IND480-2; [Form Letter] SeeIND480-3; [Form Letter] SeeIND480-4; [Form Letter] SeeIND480-5 Responses.
Arianne Elinich	20160812-5005	IND431	See [Form Letter] SeeIND457-1; [Form Letter] SeeIND457-2 Responses.
Arianne Elinich	20160812-5006	IND432	See [Form Letter] IND577-2; [Form Letter] IND577-3; [Form Letter] SeeIND577-1; General; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Joshua Loomis	20161107-0101	IND433	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Linda Pfenning	20160812-5009	IND434	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Chara Armon	20160812-5010	IND435	See [Form Letter] IND583-1; [Form Letter] IND583-2; [Form Letter] IND583-3 Responses.
Rebecca Canright	20160812-5012	IND436	See [Form Letter] IND583-1 Responses.
Julie Howard	20160812-5013	IND437	See Soils; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
WILLIAM T KELLNER	20160812-5014	IND438	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Judith A Paulus	20160812-5015	IND439	See [Form Letter] IND362-1; [Form Letter] IND362-2 Responses.
Arianne Elinich	20160812-5017	IND440	See Wetlands; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Judith A Paulus	20160812-5016	IND441	See General Responses.
Susan Babbitt	20160812-5017	IND442	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Diana L. Raichel	20160812-5218	IND443	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Edward J. Kelly	20160812-5218	IND444	See [Form Letter] IND73-1; [Form Letter] IND73-2; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Cathy Urbanski	20160812-5220	IND445	See General Responses.
Jill Dodds	20160812-5230	IND446	See General Responses.
Cathy Urbanski	20160812-5236	IND447	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Andrea Henderson Fahnestock	20160812-5045	IND448	See [Form Letter] IND122-1; General; Wetlands; Water Resources Responses.
John Teevan	20160812-5046	IND449	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
David Blinder	20160812-5047	IND450	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Mary Ann Leitch	20160812-5061	IND451	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Jessica Leonard	20160812-5062	IND452	See Soils; Water Resources Responses.
Bryan Peterman	20160812-5065	IND453	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Bryan Peterman & Suzanne Tomson	20160812-5075	IND454	See Project Need; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Rev. Mithra Busler	20160809-5029	IND455	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Bryan Peterman & Suzanne Tomson	20160812-5085	IND456	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Dennis L. Kager, Sr. and Joan Kager	20160812-5086	IND457	See General; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
John Bonanni	20160812-5087	IND458	See Soils; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
William T. Kellner	20160812-5116	IND459	See Project Description; Alternatives Responses.
Richard Dobbs	20160812-5119	IND460	See Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160812-5122	IND461	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Belinda Ulrich	20160812-5136	IND462	See [Form Letter] IND108; [Form Letter] IND468; General; Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Naomi Lonergan	20160812-5152	IND463	See General Responses.
Bryan A. Peterman & Suzanne Tomson	20160812-5159	IND464	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Bryan Peterman	20160812-5176	IND465	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160815-5001	IND466	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Ellen Hecht	20160815-5002	IND467	See Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise Responses.
Traci Campbell Paciulli	20160815-5003	IND468	See General; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Kyle M. Hochenberger	20160815-5005	IND469	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Jane Lick	20160815-5006	IND470	See Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Donna M Honigman	20160815-5007	IND471	See [Form Letter] IND468 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Albert Leung	20160815-5008	IND472	See Project Need; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Donna M. Honigman	20160815-5009	IND473	See [Form Letter] IND468 Responses.
Donna M. Honigman	20160815-5010	IND474	See Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Anna Fallon	20160815-5011	IND475	See Project Need; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
William T. Kellner	20160815-5013	IND476	See Project Need; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Marilyn Cummings	20160815-5014	IND477	See Cultural Responses.
Naomi and Robert Lonergan	20160815-5015	IND478	See General; Cultural; Mitigation Responses.
Sandra DeSmedt	20160815-5016	IND479	See General; Project Need; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joan Kager	20160815-5017	IND480	See General; Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.
Diana Dell	20160815-5018	IND481	See General; Alternatives; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Joan Kager	20160816-5129	IND482	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160815-5020	IND483	See General; Alternatives; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Al Addvensky	20160815-5023	IND484	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Lucy Gorelli	20160815-5024	IND485	See General; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kimberly Nagy	20160815-5025	IND486	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
William T. Kellner	20160815-5026	IND487	See [Form Letter] IND485 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Donna M. Honigman	20160815-5027	IND488	See [Form Letter] IND484 Responses.
Donna M. Honigman	20160815-5028	IND489	See [Form Letter] IND483 Responses.
Donna M. Honigman	20160815-5029	IND490	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Donna M. Honigman	20160815-5030	IND491	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Donna M. Honigman	20160815-5031	IND492	See [Form Letter] IND478 Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160815-5033	IND493	See General; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Shirley Neill	20160815-5034	IND494	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160815-5035	IND495	See General Responses.
Paul Price	20160815-5036	IND496	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160815-5039	IND497	See General Responses.
Nancy Ponter	20160815-5040	IND498	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jill Dodds	20160815-5042	IND499	See Project Description Responses.
Seth Ausubel	20160815-5043	IND500	See General; Project Description; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Peter Dawson	20160815-5044	IND501	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Donna M. Honigman	20160815-5045	IND502	See [Form Letter] IND497 Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160815-5046	IND503	See Project Need; Water Resources; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Vincent Zdanowicz	20160815-5048	IND504	See Geologic Resources; Socioeconomics; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Charles Slonsky	20160815-5049	IND505	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Joan Kager	20160815-5050	IND506	See General; Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lesley deDufour	20160815-5053	IND507	See Project Description; Socioeconomics Responses.
Lesley deDufour	20160815-5055	IND508	See Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Lesley deDufour	20160815-5056	IND509	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160815-5057	IND510	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Charles Slonsky	20160815-5063	IND511	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Charles Slonsky	20160815-5064	IND512	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160815-5066	IND513	See Project Description; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160815-5067	IND514	Duplicate of IND513 Responses.
Peter Dawson	20160815-5069	IND516	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Alan Scott	20160812-0008	IND517	See Project Need; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Fiona Scott	20160812-0009	IND518	See Project Need; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Judith Paulus	20160812-0015	IND519	See [Form Letter] IND180; General; Project Need; Project Description; Alternatives; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Carla Kelly Mackey	20160815-5070	IND520	See General Responses.
Richard Barker	20160815-5071	IND521	See Water Resources Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160815-5098	IND522	See [Form Letter] IND147 Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160815-5100	IND523	See Water Resources Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160815-5102	IND524	See Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Kelly A. Beaver	20160815-5111	IND525	See General Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160815-5116	IND526	See General; Project Description Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160815-5119	IND527	See Water Resources Responses.
Nora Anderson	20160815-5136	IND528	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Joan Kager	20160815-5139	IND529	See General Responses.
Joyce McGowan	20160815-5210	IND530	See General Responses.
Mark Seidman	20160815-5224	IND531	See Alternatives; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cumulative Impacts Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Mark Pfenning	20160815-5248	IND532	See [Form Letter] IND108 - 1; [Form Letter] IND147 - 1; [Form Letter] IND6-1; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Laurie Hieb	20160815-5000	IND533	See [Form Letter] IND130-1; [Form Letter] IND130-2 Responses.
Dennis and Joan Kager	20160816-5003	IND534	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Mary Laskow	20160816-5005	IND535	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Donald Hieb	20160816-5007	IND536	See [Form Letter] IND468 - 1 Responses.
Joe Roberto	20160816-5008	IND537	See Project Description; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Naomi Lonergan	20160816-5010	IND538	See [Form Letter] IND534 -1; [Form Letter] IND534 - 2; [Form Letter] IND534 -3 Responses.
Tony Rizzello	20160816-5011	IND539	See [Form Letter] IND541 Responses.
Douglas McDowell	20160816-5012	IND540	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Diane S Dotsko	20160816-5013	IND541	See Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Tony Rizzello	20160816-5014	IND542	See General; Project Need; Project Description Responses.
Julie Blake	20160816-5015	IND543	See Project Need; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Donna M. Honigman	20160816-5016	IND544	See Project Need; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Donna M. Honigman	20160816-5017	IND545	See General Responses.
Scott Sillars	20160816-5018	IND546	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160816-5020	IND547	See General; Project Need; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Dennis Schaef	20160816-5030	IND548	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Sari DeCesare	20160816-5032	IND549	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Laura Pritchard	20160816-5044	IND550	See General Responses.
Michael Pressel	20160816-5059	IND551	See General Responses.
Martin Wissig	20160816-5062	IND552	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils Responses.
Kim Sherman	20160816-5063	IND553	See General Responses.
Marianne Trautman	20160816-5066	IND554	See Alternatives; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Nancy Ponter	20160816-5092	IND555	See [Form Letter] IND549 Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160816-5095	IND556	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Judith B. Louis	20160816-5112	IND557	See [Form Letter] IND549-1; [Form Letter] IND549-2; [Form Letter] IND549-3; [Form Letter] IND549-5; Socioeconomics; Noise Responses.
Judith Wert	20160816-5119	IND558	See General Responses.
Ralph A. DeCesare	20160816-5125	IND559	See General; Alternatives; Soils; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality Responses.
Nancy Ponter	20160816-5132	IND560	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Chris Iannone	20160816-5134	IND561	See Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Marilyn Cummings	201660815-0014	IND562	See General; Cultural; Comment IND562-1 Responses.
Donna Honigman	21060816-5143	IND563	See [Form Letter] IND560 Responses.
Donna Honigman	20160816-5147	IND564	See General Responses.
Roy Christman	20160816-0015	IND565	See General; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Alan Scott	20160816-0016	IND566	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Fiona Scott	20160815-0017	IND567	See Comment IND566-1; Comment IND566-2; Comment IND566-4 Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160816-5150	IND568	See General; Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Natalie Hernandez	20160816-5155	IND569	See General; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Robert Walden	20160815-0136	IND570	See [Form Letter] IND559-1; [Form Letter] IND559-2; General Responses.
Wayne Palmer	20160815-0148	IND571	See [Form Letter] IND147-1 [Form Letter] IND147-2 [Form Letter] IND268-1 [Form Letter] IND269-1 [Form Letter] IND270-1 Responses.
Wayne Palmer	20160815-0149	IND572	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Wayne Palmer	20160815-0150	IND573	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160816-5158	IND574	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands Responses.
Diane Burke	20160815-0139	IND575	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality Responses.
David Briede	20160816-5213	IND576	See [Form Letter] IND549 Responses.
David Briede	20160816-5219	IND577	See General; Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
David Briede	20160816-5218	IND578	See Project Description; Alternatives; Reliability & Safety Responses.
David Briede	20160816-5230	IND579	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Elliot Bloom	20160816-5232	IND580	See [Form Letter] IND180-2 [Form Letter] IND180-3 [Form Letter] IND180-6 [Form Letter] IND180-7 [Form Letter] IND268-1 Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20160816-5233	IND581	See General; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Joe Calise	20160816-5237	IND582	See [Form Letter] IND577 Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lisa M Sowden	20160816-5239	IND583	See General Responses.
David T Sowden	20160816-5242	IND584	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Beverly Railsback	20160817-5001	IND585	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Thomas Kenny	20160817-5010	IND586	See [Form Letter] IND549 Responses.
Andrew G Gay Jr	20160817-5017	IND587	See General Responses.
Friederike C Harris	20160817-5027	IND588	See [Form Letter] IND585-1 [Form Letter] IND585-2 General Responses.
Roger Harris	20160817-5028	IND589	See [Form Letter] IND585-1 [Form Letter] IND585-2 IND588-3 Responses.
Roger Harris	20160817-5029	IND590	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Roger Harris	20160817-5030	IND591	See Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Roger Harris	20160817-5031	IND592	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Roger Harris	20160817-5032	IND593	See Water Resources; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Roger Harris	20160817-5022	IND594	See General Responses.
Angela A Karas	20160817-5034	IND595	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Angela A Karas	20160817-5035	IND596	See Cultural; IND595-3 Responses.
Angela A Karas	20160817-5036	IND597	See General; Cultural; IND595-3 Responses.
Angela A Karas	20160817-5037	IND598	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; IND595-3 Responses.
Angela Karas	20160817-5038	IND599	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Richard Karas	20160817-5039	IND600	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Frank Karas	20160817-5040	IND601	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Frank Karas	20160817-5042	IND602	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Frank Karas	20160817-5043	IND603	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Frank Karas	20160817-5044	IND604	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Eric A Sandrow	20160817-5045	IND605	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Eric A Sandrow	20160617-5046	IND606	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Eric A Sandrow	20160817-5047	IND607	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Eric A Sandrow	20160817-5048	IND608	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Eric A Sandrow	20160817-5049	IND609	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160817-505	IND610	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160817-5051	IND611	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160817-5052	IND612	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160817-5053	IND613	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160817-5054	IND614	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160817-5055	IND615	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160817-5056	IND616	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160817-5057	IND617	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160817-5058	IND618	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160817-5059	IND619	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160817-5061	IND620	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160817-5062	IND621	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160817-5064	IND622	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160817-5063	IND623	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160817-5066	IND624	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160817-5067	IND625	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160817-5065	IND626	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160817-5068	IND627	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160817-5069	IND628	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160817-5070	IND629	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160817-5071	IND630	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160817-5072	IND631	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160817-5075	IND632	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160817-5078	IND633	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160817-5076	IND634	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160817-5079	IND635	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160817-5080	IND636	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160817-5081	IND637	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Reid Bryant	20160817-5082	IND638	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160817-5083	IND639	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160817-5084	IND640	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160817-5086	IND641	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160817-5087	IND642	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160817-5074	IND643	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160817-5088	IND644	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
James Selman	20160817-5090	IND645	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
James Selman	20160817-5091	IND646	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
James Selman	20160817-5092	IND647	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
James Selman	20160817-5093	IND648	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
James Selman	20160817-5095	IND649	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
David Laveman	20160817-5096	IND650	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
David Laveman	20160817-5098	IND651	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
David Laveman	20160817-5099	IND652	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
David Laveman	20160817-5100	IND653	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
David Laveman	20160817-5101	IND654	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Marie Case	20160817-5102	IND655	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Marie Case	20160817-5103	IND656	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Marie Case	20160817-5104	IND657	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Marie Case	20160817-5105	IND658	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Marie Case	20160817-5106	IND659	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160817-5107	IND660	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160817-5108	IND661	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160817-5109	IND662	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160817-5110	IND663	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160817-5112	IND664	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Patricia A Oceanak	20160817-5113	IND665	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Patricia A Oceanak	20160818-5114	IND666	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Patricia A Oceanak	20160817-5115	IND667	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Patricia A Oceanak	20160817-5116	IND668	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Patricia A Oceanak	20160817-5117	IND669	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Teresa Ecker	20160817-5124	IND670	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Guy W Wagner	20160817-5130	IND671	See General Responses.
Helena Brader	20160817-5162	IND672	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Patty Cronheim	20160817-5169	IND673	See General Responses.
Walt Podpora	20160817-5171	IND674	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160817-5175	IND675	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160817-5176	IND676	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160817-5178	IND677	See Cultural Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160817-5180	IND678	See Cultural Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160817-5181	IND679	See Cultural Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160817-5182	IND680	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160817-5183	IND681	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160817-5184	IND682	See [Form Letter] IND677 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160817-5185	IND683	See [Form Letter] IND678 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160817-5186	IND684	See [Form Letter] IND679 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160817-5188	IND685	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160817-5189	IND686	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160817-5190	IND687	See [Form Letter] IND677 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160817-5191	IND688	See [Form Letter] IND678 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160817-5192	IND689	See [Form Letter] IND679 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160817-5193	IND690	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160817-5195	IND691	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Eileen Foley	20160817-5196	IND692	See General Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160817-5198	IND693	See [Form Letter] IND677 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160817-5199	IND694	See [Form Letter] IND678 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160817-5200	IND695	See [Form Letter] IND679 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Sarina DiBianca	20160817-5201	IND696	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160817-5202	IND697	See Cultural Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160817-5203	IND698	See Cultural Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160817-5204	IND699	See Cultural Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160817-5205	IND700	See Cultural Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160817-5208	IND701	See Cultural Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160817-5210	IND702	See Cultural Responses.
Natacha Smith	20160815-0164	IND703	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Olive Coghlan	20160815-0165	IND704	See Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Beth Meckle(?)	20160815-0181	IND705	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Beth Meckle(?)	20160815-0182	IND706	See General; Alternatives Responses.
Beth Meckle(?)	20160815-0183	IND707	See Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Hunt Stockwell	20160816-0007	IND708	See Project Need; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Roy Christman	20160816-0008	IND709	See General Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160817-5211	IND710	See Cultural Responses.
Walt Podpora	20160817-5212	IND711	See Socioeconomics; Mitigation Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160817-5215	IND712	See Cultural Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160817-5217	IND713	See Cultural Responses.
Walt Podpora	20160817-5219	IND714	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Walt Podpora	20160817-5221	IND715	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Walt Podpora	20160817-5222	IND716	See General; Wetlands; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160817-5224	IND717	See [Form Letter] IND180-1 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Vinton Freedley, III	20160817-5226	IND718	See [Form Letter] IND180-2 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160817-5233	IND719	See [Form Letter] IND677 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160817-5236	IND720	See [Form Letter] IND180-3 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160817-5238	IND721	See [Form Letter] IND180-7 Responses.
John Leiser	20160817-5242	IND722	See [Form Letter] IND180-1 Responses.
Elma Katz	20160817-5243	IND723	See General Responses.
Judith Paulus	20160815-0169	IND724	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
John Leiser	20160817-5248	IND725	See [Form Letter] IND180-2 Responses.
John Leiser	20160817-5251	IND726	See [Form Letter] IND677 Responses.
John Leiser	20160817-5253	IND727	See [Form Letter] IND180-3 Responses.
John Leiser	20160817-5258	IND728	See [Form Letter] IND180-7 Responses.
Kristin L. McLaughlin	20160817-5264	IND729	See [Form Letter] IND108-1; General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Judith Paulus	20160815-0171	IND730	See [Form Letter] IND147; [Form Letter] IND268; [Form Letter] IND269; [Form Letter] IND270 Responses.
Debra J. Bradley	20160817-5268	IND731	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Cultural; Mitigation Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160817-5269	IND732	See [Form Letter] IND180-1 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160817-5270	IND733	See [Form Letter] IND180-2 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160817-5271	IND734	See [Form Letter] IND677 - 1; [Form Letter] IND677 - 2; [Form Letter] IND677 - 3 Responses.
Judith A Paulus	20160817-0170	IND735	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160817-5272	IND736	See [Form Letter] IND678 - 1 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160817-5273	IND737	See [Form Letter] IND679 Responses.
Susan DiBianca-Leiser	20160817-5276	IND738	See [Form Letter] IND180-1 Responses.
Susan DiBianca-Leiser	20160817-5277	IND739	See [Form Letter] IND180-2 Responses.
Susan DiBianca-Leiser	20160817-5281	IND740	See [Form Letter] IND677 - 1; [Form Letter] IND677 - 2; [Form Letter] IND677 - 3 Responses.
Susan DiBianca-Leiser	20160817-5285	IND741	See [Form Letter] IND678 - 1 Responses.
Susan DiBianca-Leiser	20160817-5286	IND742	See [Form Letter] IND679 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Judith A Paulus	20160815-0172	IND743	See General; Alternatives Responses.
Sourland Conservancy	20160815-0190	IND744	See Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jordy Albert	20160817-5288	IND745	See General Responses.
Sourland Conservancy	20160815-0189	IND746	See General; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Sourland Conservancy	20160815-0191	IND747	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Linda E Lara & Alejandro E Lara	20160815-0193	IND748	See General; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Jeff Petroski	20160817-5290	IND749	See General Responses.
Wayne F Paulus	20160817-0157	IND750	See Cultural Responses.
Frank and Carol Gubernat	20160817-0158	IND751	See General Responses.
Benita J.Campbell	20160815-0179	IND752	See General; Project Need Responses.
NAME ILLEGIBLE	20160815-0180	IND753	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Marie Christman	20160815-0168	IND754	See General; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160817-5300	IND755	See Cultural Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160817-5301	IND756	See IND755 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160817-5302	IND757	See Cultural Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160817-5303	IND758	See Cultural Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160817-5304	IND759	See Cultural Responses.
Maude Tatar	20160817-5305	IND760	See Water Resources Responses.
Christine Hofman	20160817-5308	IND761	See General; Project Description; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Stephen Croce	20160817-5309	IND762	See Project Need; Alternatives; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cumulative Impacts Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Lucy Freck	20160817-5327	IND763	See General; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Marlene Grassi	20160817-5328	IND764	See General; Air Quality Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160817-5329	IND765	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Noise Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160817-5333	IND766	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160817-5369	IND767	See General Responses.
Edward Kelly	20160817-5372	IND768	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Denis Streltsov	20160817-5374	IND769	See General; Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160817-5377	IND770	See Project Description Responses.
Micheal Dawson	20160817-5387	IND771	See Water Resources Responses.
Robert Tomaselli	20160817-5401	IND772	See Water Resources Responses.
Judith Louis	20160817-5409	IND773	See Water Resources Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160817-5424	IND774	See Project Description Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160817-5426	IND775	See Project Description Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160818-5003	IND776	See Project Description Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160818-5005	IND777	See General Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160818-5006	IND778	See IND777 Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160818-5009	IND779	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160818-5010	IND780	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160818-5011	IND781	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160818-5012	IND782	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160818-5013	IND783	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160818-5014	IND784	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160818-5015	IND785	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160818-5016	IND786	See General Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160818-5017	IND787	See General Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160818-5024	IND788	See Project Need Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160818-5025	IND789	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Richard DiBianca	20160818-5026	IND790	See General Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160818-5027	IND791	See General Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160818-5028	IND792	See General Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160818-5029	IND793	See General Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160818-5030	IND794	See General Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160818-5031	IND795	See General Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160818-5032	IND796	See General Responses.
John Leiser	20160818-5034	IND797	See General Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160818-5032	IND798	See General Responses.
John Leiser	20160818-5036	IND799	See General Responses.
John Leiser	20160818-5037	IND800	See General Responses.
John Leiser	20160818-5038	IND801	See General Responses.
John Leiser	20160818-5039	IND802	See General Responses.
Edwin Schofer	20160818-5045	IND803	See Project Need Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160818-5048	IND804	See Project Description Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160818-5049	IND805	See Project Description Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160818-5050	IND806	See Project Description Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160818-5051	IND807	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160818-5074	IND808	See General Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160818-5075	IND809	See Project Need Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160818-5076	IND810	See Project Need Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160818-5077	IND811	See Project Need Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160818-5078	IND812	See Project Need Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160818-5079	IND813	See Project Need Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160818-5080	IND814	See Project Need Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160818-5081	IND815	See Project Need Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160818-5082	IND816	See Project Need Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160818-5083	IND817	See Project Need Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160818-5084	IND818	See Project Need Responses.
Frank Karas	20160818-5085	IND819	See Project Need Responses.
Frank Karas	20160818-5086	IND820	See Project Need Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Frank Karas	20160818-5087	IND821	See Project Need Responses.
Frank Karas	20160818-5088	IND822	See Project Need Responses.
Frank Karas	20160818-5089	IND823	See Project Need Responses.
Angela A. Karas	20160818-5090	IND824	See Alternatives Responses.
Angela A. Karas	20160818-5091	IND825	See General Responses.
Angela A. Karas	20160818-5092	IND826	See Alternatives Responses.
Angela A. Karas	20160818-5093	IND827	See General Responses.
Angela A. Karas	20160818-5094	IND828	See Air Quality Responses.
Eric A. Sandrow	20160818-5095	IND829	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Eric A. Sandrow	20160818-5096	IND830	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Eric A. Sandrow	20160818-5097	IND831	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Eric A. Sandrow	20160818-5098	IND832	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Eric A. Sandrow	20160818-5099	IND833	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160818-5100	IND834	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160818-5101	IND835	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160818-5102	IND836	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160818-5103	IND867	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160818-5105	IND868	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160818-5106	IND869	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160818-5107	IND870	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160818-5108	IND871	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160818-5111	IND872	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Howard LaMell	20160816-0081	IND873	See Project Need; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160818-5122	IND874	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Therese Buchanan	20160818-5125	IND875	See General; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160818-5128	IND876	See Project Need; Wetlands Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160818-5141	IND877	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160818-5142	IND878	See Project Need Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160818-5143	IND879	See Project Need Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160818-5144	IND880	See Project Need Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160818-5145	IND881	See Project Need Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Richard Constantine	20160818-5146	IND882	See Project Need Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160818-5147	IND883	See Project Need Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160818-5148	IND884	See Project Need Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160818-5149	IND885	See Project Need Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160818-5150	IND886	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160818-5151	IND887	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160818-5153	IND888	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160818-5155	IND889	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160818-5156	IND890	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160818-5158	IND891	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Daria Karas	20160818-5162	IND892	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Daria Karas	20160818-5164	IND893	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Linda W. DeLap	20160817-0024	IND894	See General Responses.
Daria Karas	20160818-5166	IND895	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Daria Karas	20160818-5167	IND896	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Daria Karas	20160818-5168	IND897	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160818-5169	IND898	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160818-5170	IND899	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160818-5172	IND900	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160818-5173	IND901	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160818-5174	IND902	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160818-5175	IND903	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160818-5176	IND904	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Albert S. Atkinson, Jr.	20160817-0023	IND905	See Water Resources Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160818-5178	IND906	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160818-5179	IND907	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160818-5180	IND908	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Anthony Rizzello	20160818-5183	IND909	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160818-5184	IND910	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Diane S. Dotsko	20160818-5187	IND911	See [Form Letter] IND909-1; [Form Letter] IND909-2; [Form Letter] IND909-3; [Form Letter] IND909-4; [Form Letter] IND909-5; [Form Letter] IND909-6; [Form Letter] IND909-7 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160818-5195	IND912	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160818-5196	IND913	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160818-5198	IND914	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160818-5200	IND915	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160818-5201	IND916	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160818-5202	IND917	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160818-5203	IND918	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160818-5204	IND919	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160818-5205	IND920	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160818-5207	IND921	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160818-5208	IND922	See General Responses.
Aurette P. Sprout	20160818-5209	IND923	See Water Resources Responses.
Susan Zega	20160817-0032	IND924	See Water Resources Responses.
Martine Gubernat	20160817-0037	IND925	See [Form Letter] IND924-1 Responses.
James L. Hake	20160818-5217	IND926	See Water Resources Responses.
Aurette P. Sprout	20160818-5253	IND927	See Water Resources Responses.
Judith E. Decker	20160818-5254	IND928	See General Responses.
James L. Hake	20160818-5263	IND929	See Water Resources Responses.
Betty Kenny	20160818-5274	IND930	See Water Resources Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160818-5282	IND931	See General Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160818-5283	IND932	See [Form Letter] IND931-1 Responses.
0	20160818-5284	IND933	See General Responses.
John Ramirez	20160818-5290	IND934	See General Responses.
Joan Kager	20160818-5297	IND935	See Socioeconomics Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160818-5329	IND936	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160819-5000	IND937	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160819-5002	IND938	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160819-5004	IND939	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
George Ecklemann	20160819-5005	IND940	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160819-5006	IND941	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160819-5007	IND942	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160819-5008	IND943	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160819-5009	IND944	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160819-5010	IND945	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Lynn Fraser	20160819-5011	IND946	See General Responses.
James Selman	20160819-5012	IND947	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
James Selman	20160819-5013	IND948	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
James Selman	20160819-5014	IND949	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
James Selman	20160819-5015	IND950	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
James Selman	20160819-5016	IND951	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Michel Maniez	20160819-5020	IND952	See Water Resources Responses.
Michel Maniez	20160819-5021	IND953	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Henry P Gore	20160819-5023	IND954	See General; Alternatives Responses.
Roblyn Rawlins	20160819-5027	IND955	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Marie Case	20160819-5030	IND956	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Marie Case	20160819-5031	IND957	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Marie Case	20160819-5032	IND958	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Marie Case	20160819-5033	IND959	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Marie Case	20160819-5034	IND960	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
David Laveman	20160819-5035	IND961	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
David Laveman	20160819-5038	IND962	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
David Laveman	20160819-5039	IND963	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
David Laveman	20160819-5040	IND964	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
David Laveman	20160819-5041	IND965	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160819-5043	IND966	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160819-5044	IND967	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160819-5045	IND968	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160819-5046	IND969	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160819-5047	IND970	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Alex Marshall	20160819-5048	IND971	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160819-5049	IND972	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160819-5050	IND973	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160819-5051	IND974	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160819-5052	IND975	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Vinton Freedley	20160819-5053	IND976	See [Form Letter] IND824 Responses.
Vinton Freedley	20160819-5054	IND977	See [Form Letter] IND825 Responses.
Vinton Freedley	20160819-5055	IND978	See [Form Letter] IND826 Responses.
Brett Kane	20160819-5056	IND979	See Water Resources Responses.
Vinton Freedley	20160819-5057	IND980	See [Form Letter] IND827 Responses.
Vinton Freedley	20160819-5058	IND981	See [Form Letter] IND828 Responses.
Michel Maniez	20160819-5063	IND982	See General Responses.
Michel Maniez	20160819-5064	IND983	See Water Resources Responses.
Lorraine Crown	20160819-5084	IND984	See General Responses.
Unknown	20160819-5015	IND985	See General Responses.
Lorraine Crown	20160819-5083	IND986	See General Responses.
Carl Baker	20160819-5105	IND987	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Frank Karas	20160805-5126	IND988	See [Form Letter] IND147; [Form Letter] IND268; [Form Letter] IND269; [Form Letter] IND270; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Lorraine Crown	20160819-5127	IND989	See General Responses.
Laurie Hieb	20160809-5148	IND990	See [Form Letter] IND468; Socioeconomics Responses.
Donald D. Hieb	2016-0819-5149	IND991	Duplicate of IND990 Responses.
Michael Loncoski	20160819-5164	IND992	See Project Description; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Greg Savidge	20160819-5167	IND993	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Michel Maniez	20160819-5169	IND994	See General; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Maryann Briede	20160819-5172	IND995	See [Form Letter] IND549 Responses.
Maryann Briede	20160819-5182	IND996	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Gregory Manning	20160819-5187	IND997	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Karen G. Mitchell	20160819-5189	IND998	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Jeff Spota	20160819-5190	IND999	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Paul Saunders	20160819-5196	IND1000	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Michael J. Heffler	20160819-5217	IND1001	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Laura D. Wilson	20160819-5226	IND1002	See Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Laura D. Wilson	20160822-5001	IND1003	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Laura D. Wilson	20160822-5001	IND1004	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Laura D. Wilson	20160822-5003	IND1005	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Eric Campbell	20160819-5242	IND1006	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Laura D. Wilson	20160819-5420	IND1007	See [Form Letter] IND549 Responses.
Maryann Briede	20160819-5243	IND1008	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Maryann Briede	20160819-5244	IND1009	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Roger Prince	20160822-5006	IND1010	See General; Project Need Responses.
Lisa H. Suydam	20160822-5008	IND1011	See General; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lisa H. Suydam	20160822-5009	IND1012	See General; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Laurie Hieb	20160822-5010	IND1013	See Project Description; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Michael G. Pressel	20160822-5011	IND1014	See General; Project Description; Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Donald Hieb	20160822-5012	IND1015	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Donald Hieb	20160822-5014	IND1016	See [Form Letter] IND468 ; Project Description; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan Meacham	20160822-5019	IND1017	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Linda Christman	20160822-5020	IND1018	See General; Project Need Responses.
Phyllis Jacewicz	20160822-5021	IND1019	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Karthik Chalamala	20160822-5022	IND1020	See Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Cultural Responses.
Rob Graziano	20160822-5023	IND1021	See General; Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Thomas Kenny	20160822-5024	IND1022	See Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources Responses.
Susan Dodd Meacham	20160822-5025	IND1023	See General; Project Description; Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Lesley deDufour	20160822-5026	IND1024	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lesley deDufour	20160822-5027	IND1025	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Henry P. Gore	20160822-5029	IND1026	See General Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160822-5030	IND1027	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160822-5031	IND1028	Duplicate of IND1027 Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160822-5033	IND1029	See General Responses.
Paul Abraham	20160822-5034	IND1030	See Project Need; Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality Responses.
Emma A Switzler	20160822-5037	IND1031	See General; Project Description; Water Resources Responses.
Emma A Switzler	20160822-5038	IND1032	See Alternatives Responses.
Emma A Switzler	20160822-5039	IND1033	See General; Project Need Responses.
Emma A Switzler	20160822-5040	IND1034	See [Form Letter] IND549 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Emma A Switzler	20160822-5041	IND1035	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Emma A Switzler	20160822-5042	IND1036	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Emma A Switzler	20160822-5044	IND1037	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Emma A Switzler	20160822-5043	IND1038	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Emma A Switzler	20160822-5045	IND1039	See General; Project Description; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural; Mitigation Responses.
Frank Armocida	20160822-5047	IND1040	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Soils; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20168022-5048	IND1041	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Noise; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Henry P. Gore	20160822-5049	IND1042	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Sarah Gross	20160822-5050	IND1043	See Project Need; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Lisa H. Suydam	20160822-5051	IND1044	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Lisa H. Suydam	20160822-5052	IND1045	See Alternatives; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Lisa H. Suydam	20160822-5053	IND1046	See Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Joe Matuska	20160822-5054	IND1047	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Sandra Matuska	20160822-5055	IND1048	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Sandra Matuska	20160822-5068	IND1049	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Joe Matuska	20160822-5073	IND1050	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160822-5081	IND1051	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Susan M. Blubaugh	20160822-5084	IND1052	See General; Mitigation Responses.
Joseph Ciardiello	20160822-5085	IND1053	Duplicate of IND1052 Responses.
Debra Bradley	20160822-5087	IND1054	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Mitigation Responses.
Anonymous	20160822-5092	IND1055	See General; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Joe Calise	20160822-5093	IND1056	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Joe Calise	20160822-5094	IND1057	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Mitigation Responses.
Albert and Kathleen Addvensky	20160818-0010	IND1058	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Roy Christman(?)	20160808-0036	IND1059	See General; Cultural Responses.
Jeffrey Petroski	20160817-5460	IND1060	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Barbara Sachare	20160818-0015	IND1061	See Alternatives; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Randy B. Rauscher	20160818-0013	IND1062	See General; Water Resources; Cultural; Mitigation Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160822-5115	IND1063	See Project Description; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Steven J. Esock	20160818-0012	IND1064	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jerry Padulo and Kyra Padulo	20160819-0006	IND1065	See General; Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Lois Hartman	20160819-0015	IND1066	See General; Project Description Responses.
James S. Baldassarre	20160819-0009	IND1067	See [Form Letter] IND1058; Water Resources Responses.
Maureen J. Ferrazzi	20160819-0009	IND1068	See [Form Letter] IND1058; General; Water Resources Responses.
Lauren Williams	20160819-0014	IND1069	See [Form Letter] IND1058; General; Water Resources Responses.
David ? And Family	20160819-0007	IND1070	See Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Josef Eisenger	20160819-0011	IND1071	See [Form Letter] IND1058; General Responses.
Al Tomkins	20160819-0022	IND1072	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160822-5149	IND1073	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160822-5151	IND1074	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160822-5155	IND1075	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160822-5158	IND1076	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160822-5161	IND1077	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160822-5162	IND1078	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160822-5163	IND1079	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160822-5164	IND1080	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160822-5165	IND1081	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160822-5168	IND1082	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Frank R Karas	20160822-5175	IND1083	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Frank R Karas	20160822-5176	IND1084	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Frank R Karas	20160822-5173	IND1085	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Frank R Karas	20160822-5177	IND1086	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Frank R Karas	20160822-5180	IND1087	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Angela A Karas	20160822-5181	IND1088	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Angela A Karas	20160822-5182	IND1089	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Angela A Karas	20160822-5183	IND1090	See [Form Lettter] IND534 Responses.
Angela A Karas	20160822-5184	IND1091	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Angela A Karas	20160822-5185	IND1092	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Joan Kager	20160822-5217	IND1093	See General; Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
Edward J. Kelly	20160822-5237	IND1094	See [Form Letter] IND1058 Responses.
Edward J. Kelly	20160822-5240	IND1095	See [Form Letter] SeeIND1058 Responses.
Dorothea Malina	20160822-5242	IND1096	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Sarah Kleinman	20160823-5001	IND1097	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160823-5004	IND1098	See General Responses.
Franklin L Fenner	20160823-5009	IND1099	See General Responses.
Guy W Wagner	20160823-5012	IND1100	See General Responses.
Guy W Wagner	20160823-5014	IND1101	See Water Resources Responses.
Alexis Barron	20161107-0102	IND1102	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
William Karr	20161107-0104	IND1103	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160823-5034	IND1104	See Alternatives; Geologic Resources Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160823-5035	IND1105	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Elena Hess	20160822-0016	IND1106	See [Form Letter] IND468 Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160823-5045	IND1107	See General; Project Description; Socioeconomics Responses.
Anne Pauline Woodbury	20160822-0015	IND1108	See General Responses.
Betty Kenny	20160822-0017	IND1109	See [Form Letter] IND-480 Responses.
Steve Ewing	20160823-5064	IND1110	See General Responses.
West Amwell Township Committee, Commission, and Board members	20160823-5071	IND1111	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
C. Sharyn Magee	20160823-5074	IND1112	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Judith Louis	20160823-5080	IND1113	See Wetlands; Mitigation Responses.
Eric Sandrow	20160823-5081	IND1114	See [Form Letter] IND1113; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Eric Sandrow	20160823-5082	IND1115	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Eric Sandrow	20160823-5083	IND1116	See Noise Responses.
Eric Sandrow	20160823-5084	IND1117	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Eric Sandrow	20160823-5085	IND1118	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160823-5086	IND1119	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160823-5087	IND1120	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160823-5088	IND1121	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160823-5089	IND1122	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160823-5090	IND1123	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160823-5091	IND1124	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160823-5112	IND1125	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160823-5116	IND1126	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160823-5119	IND1127	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Brian Fox	20161107-0105	IND1128	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160823-5123	IND1129	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160823-5124	IND1130	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160823-5125	IND1131	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Lisa Florio	20160823-5126	IND1132	See [Form Letter] IND108-1; Soils; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160823-5127	IND1133	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Vivian Ford	Vivian Ford	IND1134	See [Form Letter] IND1113 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20160823-5129	IND1135	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160823-5130	IND1136	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160823-5132	IND1137	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160823-5136	IND1138	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160823-5137	IND1139	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160823-5138	IND1140	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160823-5139	IND1141	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160823-5141	IND1142	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160323-5142	IND1143	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160823-5143	IND1144	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160823-5140	IND1145	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Unknown (99 Quarry St. Lamberville, NJ)	20160823-5150	IND1146	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Cumulative Impacts Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Terese Buchanan	20160623-5152	IND1147	See [Form Letter] IND480-1; [Form Letter] IND480-2; [Form Letter] IND480-3; [Form Letter] IND480-4; [Form Letter] IND480-5; General Responses.
Edward Kelly	20160623-5171	IND1148	See [Form Letter] IND108; Cultural Responses.
Kathy Penn	20160823-5173	IND1149	See General; Alternatives; Water Resources; Cultural Responses.
Beth Kern	20160823-5175	IND1150	See General Responses.
Joan Kager	20160823-5176	IND1151	See General Responses.
Daria Karas	20160823-5004	IND1152	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Daria Karas	20160823-5005	IND1153	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Daria Karas	20160823-5006	IND1154	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Daria Karas	20160823-5007	IND1155	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Daria Karas	20160824-5008	IND1156	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160824-5010	IND1157	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160824-5012	IND1158	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160824-5011	IND1159	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160824-5013	IND1160	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160824-5014	IND1161	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160824-5015	IND1162	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160824-5016	IND1163	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160824-5017	IND1164	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160824-5018	IND1165	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160824-5019	IND1166	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160824-5020	IND1167	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160824-5021	IND1168	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160824-5023	IND1169	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160824-5024	IND1170	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160824-5025	IND1171	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160824-5026	IND1172	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160824-5027	IND1173	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160824-5029	IND1174	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160824-5030	IND1175	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Reid Bryant	20160824-5032	IND1176	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Shannon McCleary	20160824-5035	IND1177	See General Responses.
Paul Wohltman	20160824-5039	IND1178	See Water Resources Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160824-5037	IND1179	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Paul Wohltman	20160824-5039	IND1180	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160824-5043	IND1181	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160824-5045	IND1182	See General; Alternatives; Cultural Responses.
Ann Ross	20160824-5047	IND1183	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Marin Lewis	20160824-5086	IND1184	See [Form Letter] IND108-1; [Form Letter] IND180-1; [Form Letter] IND180-2; [Form Letter] IND180-3; General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
James Regan	20160822-0011	IND1185	See IND18-1; IND18-2; IND18-3; IND18-4; IND18-5; IND18-6; IND18-7; IND18-8; IND18-9 Responses.
Oliver and Susan Neith	20160824-5096	IND1186	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Victoria English	20160822-0130	IND1187	See [Form Letter] IND585-1; General Responses.
Jeanne Moore	20160822-0117	IND1188	See [Form Letter] IND457-1; [Form Letter] IND457-2; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jeanne Moore	20160822-0118	IND1189	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Jeanne Moore	20160822-0119	IND1190	See [Form Letter] IND557 Responses.
Kathy Rooney	20160822-0121	IND1191	See [Form Letter] IND108-1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Jeff McCartney	20160822-0135	IND1192	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Christopher J Breznay	20160822-0135	IND1193	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jefferey Bird	20160822-0135	IND1194	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Frank Sabec	20160822-0135	IND1195	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Tom Lang	20160822-0135	IND1196	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Mark Callahan	20160822-0135	IND1197	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Richard Hoose	20160822-0135	IND1198	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Scott Steel	20160822-0135	IND1199	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jason Bloom	20160822-0135	IND1200	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jody Marsh	20160822-0135	IND1201	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Roger Maynard	20160822-0135	IND1202	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Sarah A Codner	20160822-0135	IND1203	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jason Shook	20160822-0135	IND1204	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Chris Finton	20160822-0135	IND1205	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Benjamin Codner	20160822-0135	IND1206	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
John Soltis	20160822-0135	IND1207	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Scott Morgan	20160822-0135	IND1208	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Lauren Bilohlavek	20160822-0135	IND1209	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Robert Welnoski	20160822-0135	IND1210	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
David Duleba	20160822-0135	IND1211	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Eric Searcy	20160822-0135	IND1212	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Benjamin Schwartz	20160822-0135	IND1213	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Amber Benzon	20160822-0135	IND1214	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Erica Clayton Wright	20160822-0135	IND1215	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Patrick Imbrogno	20160822-0135	IND1216	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
James Goss	20160822-0135	IND1217	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
James McKinney	20160822-0135	IND1218	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Al Auriemma	20160822-0135	IND1219	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Thomas W Bock	20160822-0135	IND1220	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jeff Lightner	20160822-0135	IND1221	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Brenda Reigle	20160822-0135	IND1222	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Bob Garland	20160822-0135	IND1223	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Michael Catto	20160822-0135	IND1224	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Michael Killen	20160822-0135	IND1225	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Michael LaFerrara	20160822-0135	IND1226	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jeff Shiplet	20160822-0135	IND1227	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Angela Caputo	20160822-0135	IND1228	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Shawn Connelly	20160822-0135	IND1229	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Seth Shafer	20160822-0135	IND1230	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Richard Devery	20160822-0135	IND1231	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jay Masterson	20160822-0135	IND1232	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Scott Mccrea	20160822-0135	IND1233	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Paul Norian	20160822-0135	IND1234	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
George Gunner	20160822-0135	IND1235	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Kent Adams	20160822-0135	IND1236	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Josephine Ross	20160822-0135	IND1237	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Donna J Kovalcik	20160822-0135	IND1238	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Carrie Haines	20160822-0135	IND1239	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Daniel Brownson, Jr.	20160822-0135	IND1240	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Angela Orr	20160822-0135	IND1241	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Daniel Fellon	20160822-0135	IND1242	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Wendy Pelky	20160822-0135	IND1243	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Chris Perrone	20160822-0135	IND1244	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jean Colaianne	20160822-0135	IND1245	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Paul Robinson	20160822-0135	IND1246	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Anne Whitehurst	20161109-5001	IND1247	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Michele Reissman	20160822-0144	IND1248	See General Responses.
Jill S. Dodds	20160823-0007	IND1249	See General Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160823-0009	IND1250	See [Form Letter] IND585 Responses.
Dennis Kostoulakos	20160823-0023	IND1251	See [Form Letter] IND25 Responses.
Eileen Foley	20160823-0024	IND1252	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Laura Berness	20160823-0025	IND1253	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Gary Salata	20160823-0026	IND1254	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Jeanne Moore	20160823-0027	IND1255	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jeanne Moore	20160823-0028	IND1256	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jeanne Moore	20160823-0029	IND1257	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160824-5120	IND1258	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Gary Salata	20160823-0032	IND1259	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Patty Greaves	20160823-0033	IND1260	See Water Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Janice Zuzov	20160823-0034	IND1261	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160824-5110	IND1262	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Heather L. Daly	20160822-0091	IND1263	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Steve Kelleher	20160822-0140	IND1264	See General Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160822-0137	IND1265	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160822-0138	IND1266	See Water Resources Responses.
Michelle Komie	20160824-5135	IND1267	See General; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Debra Dempsey	20160823-0044	IND1268	See General Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160824-5137	IND1269	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160824-5138	IND1270	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca-Leiser	20160824-5139	IND1271	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Jeanne Moore	20160823-0028	IND1272	See [Form Letter] IND876 Responses.
Joan Kager	20160812-5086	IND1273	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160824-5144	IND1274	See [Form Letter] IND876 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160824-5145	IND1275	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160824-5146	IND1276	See Noise Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160824-5147	IND1277	See Noise Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160824-5148	IND1278	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160824-5149	IND1279	See [Form Letter] IND1276 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160824-5150	IND1280	See [Form Letter] IND876 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160824-5151	IND1281	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160824-5152	IND1282	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160824-5153	IND1283	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160824-5165	IND1284	See [Form Letter] IND876 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160824-5167	IND1285	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160824-5168	IND1286	See [Form Letter] IND1276 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160824-5169	IND1287	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160824-5172	IND1288	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Martin Wissig	20160824-5181	IND1289	See Water Resources Responses.
Ronald Schecter	20160824-5192	IND1290	See General; Cultural Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
George Spais	20160824-5197	IND1291	See General; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jonathon Jones	20160825-5002	IND1292	See General Responses.
David Kaufman	20160825-5011	IND1293	See General Responses.
Katherine V. Dresdner	20160825-5015	IND1294	See Wetlands Responses.
Martin Wissig	20160825-5025	IND1295	See General Responses.
Steven Hofmann	20160824-0008	IND1296	See General Responses.
Jill S. Dodds	20160824-0011	IND1297	Duplicate of IND1249 Responses.
Patty Greaves	20160824-0016	IND1298	See General Responses.
Gary Salate	20160824-0017	IND1299	See General Responses.
Gary Salata	20160824-0018	IND1300	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Phillip Rollhauser	20160824-0019	IND1301	See General Responses.
Bonita Staff	20160825-5041	IND1302	See General Responses.
Joseph O'Grodnick	20160824-0021	IND1303	See General Responses.
Tammy Murphy	20160825-5042	IND1304	See [Form Letter] IND585 Responses.
Connie Ludwin	20160825-5043	IND1305	See General Responses.
Lou Umscheid	20160825-5047	IND1306	See Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kyle T Clark	20160825-5056	IND1307	See General Responses.
Martika Clark	20160825-5058	IND1308	See General Responses.
Lorraine Crown	20160825-5071	IND1309	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160825-5110	IND1310	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
George Spais	20160825-5178	IND1311	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160826-5002	IND1312	See Project Description Responses.
Gary Hinesley	20160826-5005	IND1313	See Air Quality Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20160826-5010	IND1314	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Patricia A. Shamy	20160826-5011	IND1315	See General Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20160826-5013	IND1316	See Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20160826-5019	IND1317	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160825-5182	IND1318	See [Form Letter] IND549 Responses.
Laura Tracey-Coll	20160826-5023	IND1319	See General Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160826-5026	IND1320	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Norman W Torkelson	20160826-5055	IND1321	See General Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160826-5058	IND1322	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160826-5060	IND1323	See General Responses.
Kristin McLaughlin	20160826-5084	IND1324	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Kristin McLaughlin	20160826-5092	IND1325	See Cultural Responses.
George Spais	20160826-5096	IND1326	See General Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160826-5110	IND1327	See Geologic Resources Responses.
David Laveman	20160826-5116	IND1328	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
David Laveman	20160826-5117	IND1329	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
David Laveman	20160826-5118	IND1330	See [Form Letter] IND1276 Responses.
David Laveman, New Hope, PA	20160826-5120	IND1331	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
David Laveman, New Hope, PA	20160826-5121	IND1332	See General; Wetlands Responses.
James Selman, Ojai, CA	20160826-5123	IND1333	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
James Selman, Ojai, CA	20160826-5124	IND1334	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Jean MacFarlane, Narberth, PA	20160826-5125	IND1335	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
James Selman, Ojai, CA	20160826-5127	IND1336	See General; Air Quality; Noise; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
James Selman, Ojai, CA	20160826-5128	IND1337	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
James Selman, Ojai, CA	20160826-5129	IND1338	See [Form Letter] IND1332 Responses.
Norman W Torkelson, Stockton, NJ	20160826-5130	IND1339	See Wetlands; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Marie Case, Austin, TX	20160826-5131	IND1340	See [Form Letter] IND1333 Responses.
Marie Case, Austin, TX	20160826-5132	IND1341	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Marie Case, Austin, TX	20160826-5133	IND1342	See [Form Letter] IND1336 Responses.
Marie Case, Austin, TX	20160826-5134	IND1343	See [Form Letter] IND483 Responses.
Marie Case, Austin, TX	20160826-5135	IND1344	See [Form Letter] IND1332 Responses.
George Ecklemann, Stockton, NJ	20160826-5136	IND1345	See [Form Letter] IND1333 Responses.
George Ecklemann, Stockton, NJ	20160826-5137	IND1346	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
George Ecklemann, Stockton, NJ	20160826-5138	IND1347	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
George Ecklemann, Stockton, NJ	20160826-5139	IND1348	See [Form Letter] IND1336 Responses.
George Ecklemann, Stockton, NJ	20160826-5142	IND1349	See [Form Letter] IND1332 Responses.
Terese Buchanan, Delaware Township, NJ	20160826-5148	IND1350	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
John Leiser, Mill Valley, CA	20160826-5149	IND1351	See [Form Letter] IND1333 Responses.
John Leiser, Mill Valley, CA	20160826-5151	IND1352	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
John Leiser, Mill Valley, CA	20160826-5152	IND1353	See [Form Letter] IND1336 Responses.
John Leiser, Mill Valley, CA	20160826-5154	IND1354	See [Form Letter] IND483 Responses.
John Leiser, Mill Valley, CA	20160826-5155	IND1355	See [Form Letter] IND1332 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III, Sausalito, CA.	20160826-5156	IND1356	See [Form Letter] IND1333 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III, Sausalito, CA	20160826-5157	IND1357	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III, Sausalito, CA	20160826-5158	IND1358	See [Form Letter] IND1336 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III, Sausalito, CA	20160826-5159	IND1359	See [Form Letter] IND483 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III, Sausalito, CA	20160826-5160	IND1360	See [Form Letter] IND1332 Responses.
Michael Spille, Lambertville, NJ	20160826-5165	IND1361	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Douglas Walker Milford, NJ	20160826-5167	IND1362	See General; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Michael Spille, Lambertville, NJ	20160826-5170	IND1363	See General Responses.
Patricia Cronheim, Pennington, NJ	20160826-5185	IND1364	See General Responses.
Lorraine Crown Milford, NJ	20160826-5195	IND1365	See General Responses.
Joseph Caparoso, Milford, NJ	20160826-5224	IND1366	See General Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160826-5226	IND1367	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Cannot Make out Signature, Lambertville, NJ	20160826-0022	IND1368	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Denise M. Pally	20160826-0021	IND1369	See Alternatives Responses.
Carol Rogaski	20160826-0023	IND1370	See General; Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Gary Salata	20160826-0024	IND1371	See [Form Letter] IND181; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jeffrey DuBois, President South Jersey Gas	20160826-0028	IND1372	See General; Project Need Responses.
Deborah King, Stockton, NJ	20160829-5003	IND1373	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lucy Gorelli, Pennington, NJ	20160829-5005	IND1374	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Bernice Wahl, milford, NJ.	20160829-5012	IND1375	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lou Umscheid, Pennington, NJ.	20160829-5014	IND1376	See General Responses.
Bernice Wahl, milford, NJ.	20160829-5015	IND1377	See General Responses.
Lou Umscheid, Pennington, NJ.	20160829-5016	IND1378	See Air Quality Responses.
Lou Umscheid, Pennington, NJ.	20160829-5017	IND1379	See Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Lou Umscheid, Pennington, NJ.	20160829-5018	IND1380	See Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Lou Umscheid, Pennington, NJ.	20160829-5020	IND1381	See General Responses.
Laura Mirsky, Milford, NJ.	20160829-5022	IND1382	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Linda Christman, Lehigh, PA.	20160829-5024	IND1383	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Linda Christman, Lehigh, PA.	20160829-5025	IND1384	See Water Resources Responses.
leslie sauer, sergeantsville, NJ.	20160829-5028	IND1385	See General; Project Need; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Alice T Orrichio, Milford, NJ.	20160829-5030	IND1386	See General Responses.
Bernice Wahl, milford, NJ.	20160829-5037	IND1387	See Water Resources Responses.
Gary Hinesley, Stockton, NJ.	20160829-5038	IND1388	See Air Quality Responses.
Vincent DiBianca, Stockton, NJ.	20160829-5039	IND1389	See General; Project Need Responses.
Bernice Wahl, milford, NJ.	20160829-5040	IND1390	See Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Vincent DiBianca, Stockton, NJ.	20160829-5041	IND1391	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Vincent DiBianca, Stockton, NJ.	20160829-5042	IND1392	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Vincent DiBianca, Stockton, NJ.	20160829-5043	IND1393	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Vincent DiBianca, Stockton, NJ.	20160829-5044	IND1394	See General; Project Need Responses.
Patricia A Oceanak, Stockton, NJ.	20160829-5046	IND1395	See [Form Letter] IND1391 Responses.
Patricia A Oceanak, Stockton, NJ.	20160829-5045	IND1396	See [Form Letter] IND1389 Responses.
Patricia A Oceanak, Stockton, NJ.	20160829-5047	IND1397	See [Form Letter] IND1392 Responses.
Patricia A Oceanak, Stockton, NJ.	20160829-5048	IND1398	See [Form Letter] IND1393 Responses.
John J. Derrico, Jr., Lambertville, NJ.	20160829-5049	IND1399	See General; Water Resources Responses.
John J. Derrico, Jr., Lambertville, NJ.	20160829-5050	IND1400	See [Form Letter] IND447 Responses.
Phyllis & Michael Jacewicz, Plains, PA.	20160829-5051	IND1401	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Bernice Wahl, milford, NJ.	20160829-5054	IND1402	See General Responses.
Susan Dodd Meacham	20160829-5080	IND1403	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Daniel Freedman Alexandria Township, NJ.	20160829-5086	IND1404	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
No Name	20160829-5099	IND1405	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
No Name	20160829-5101	IND1406	See Project Need; Soils; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
No Name	20160829-5103	IND1407	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
USE FERC ID	20160829-5104	IND1408	See Wetlands; Reliability & Safety Responses.
USE FERC ID	20160829-5105	IND1409	See Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
USE FERC ID	20160829-5106	IND1410	See Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
USE FERC ID	20160829-5107	IND1411	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Mitigation Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160829-5109	IND1412	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline Evans	20160829-5110	IND1413	See General; Noise Responses.
Leslie Sauer	20160829-5112	IND1414	See General; Project Need; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
USE FERC ID	20160829-5113	IND1415	See General; Project Need Responses.
Gail Domalakes	20160826-0025	IND1416	See Project Need; Water Resources; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Lucille Singer	20160829-5157	IND1417	See Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20160829-5160	IND1418	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160826-0034	IND1419	See General; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lucille Singer	20160829-5173	IND1420	See Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Mary Ditzler	20160826-0032	IND1421	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline Haut Evans, Katharine L. Evans, Tristram Pabst Evans, Elwyn Evans IV	20160829-5179	IND1422	See General; Project Need Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Jacqueline Evans	20160829-5183	IND1423	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise; Mitigation Responses.
Alice Orrichio	20160829-5188	IND1424	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Joan Kager	20160829-5192	IND1425	See General Responses.
Alice Orrichio	20160829-5193	IND1426	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Alice Orrichio	20160829-5194	IND1427	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Fred Leach	20160829-5203	IND1428	See Project Need; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Sondra Wolferman	20160829-5208	IND1429	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Michael Spille	20160829-5225	IND1430	See Project Need; Project Description Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160829-5261	IND1431	See General Responses.
Alice Orrichio	20160829-5292	IND1432	See duplicate--> IND1424 Responses.
Jay Hoff	20160829-5310	IND1433	See Cultural Responses.
Kenneth Miller	20160830-5000	IND1434	See General Responses.
0	20160830-5000	IND1435	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Michael Spille	20160830-5016	IND1436	See Water Resources Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160830-5017	IND1437	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Charles Slonsky	20160830-5019	IND1438	See General; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Kathy-Lynn Eriksson	20160830-5022	IND1439	See Project Need; Water Resources; Cultural Responses.
Deborah Vari	20160830-5024	IND1440	See Water Resources Responses.
Susan Meacham	20160830-5032	IND1441	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Joe Calise	20160829-5325	IND1442	See Geologic Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Michael Spille	20160829-5328	IND1443	See Water Resources Responses.
Susan Meacham	20160830-5036	IND1444	See Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
Susan Meacham	20160830-5037	IND1445	See Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Susan Meacham	20160830-5038	IND1446	See Project Need Responses.
Leslie Sauer	20160830-5039	IND1447	See Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Martin S Wissig	20160830-5066	IND1448	See General Responses.
Billie Fries	20160830-5097	IND1449	See Water Resources Responses.
Lorraine Crown	20160830-5106	IND1450	See General Responses.
Jacqueline Evans	20160830-5110	IND1451	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Charles Slonsky	20160830-5111	IND1452	See General Responses.
Martin S Wissig	20160830-5119	IND1453	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Mitigation Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20160830-5145	IND1454	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Joan Kager	20160830-5191	IND1455	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality Responses.
Frank Ponstantine	20160829-0011	IND1456	See General; Project Need Responses.
Gary Salata	20160829-0013	IND1457	See [Form letter] IND108; General Responses.
Micah Rasmussen	20160830-5210	IND1458	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160829-0015	IND1459	See [Form Letter] IND108; General; Air Quality Responses.
Gary Salata	20160829-0016	IND1460	See [Form Letter] IND108; General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Mike Smith	20160829-0018	IND1461	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
James Daubert	20160829-0022	IND1462	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jeanne Phillips Moore	20160829-0024	IND1463	See Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Virginia Lakatos	20160829-0021	IND1464	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Allen Rowe	20160829-0026	IND1465	See General Responses.
Joseph M. Capes	20160829-0031	IND1466	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Janice Zuzov	20160829-0032	IND1467	See [Form letter] IND108; General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Donald A. Dalen	20160830-5238	IND1468	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Edwin J McFadden and 144 additional individuals	20160829-0012	IND1469	See [Form Letter] IND363-X Responses.
Claire Beaman	20160819-0033	IND1470	See Water Resources Responses.
Mary D. Readen	20160829-0035	IND1471	See [Form Letter] IND108-X Responses.
William S. Moore and the Moore Family	20160829-0036	IND1472	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Kim Leicester	20160819-0050	IND1473	See General; Project Need Responses.
No name provided	20160829-0037	IND1474	See General Responses.
Willam S. Moore	20160819-0040	IND1475	See General Responses.
William S. Moore	20160829-0041	IND1476	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan K. Wells	20160829-0043	IND1477	See Wetlands; Water Resources Responses.
Laura Locketta	20160829-0044	IND1478	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Mitchell Ross	20160829-0049	IND1479	See Water Resources Responses.
Joyce McGowan	20160830-5268	IND1480	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5001	IND1481	See Water Resources Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5003	IND1482	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Colm Quinn	20160831-5004	IND1483	See General Responses.
Caleb Laieski	20160831-5005	IND1484	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5006	IND1485	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5007	IND1486	See IND1485 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5008	IND1487	See Water Resources Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5009	IND1488	See IND1487 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5011	IND1489	See General Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5012	IND1490	See General Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5013	IND1491	See comments of IND1490 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5014	IND1492	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Beth Pandy	20160831-5015	IND1493	See General Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5016	IND1494	See comments of IND1493 Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5017	IND1495	See General Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160831-5018	IND1496	See comments of IND1495 Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160831-5019	IND1497	See General Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160831-5020	IND1498	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1895 Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160831-5021	IND1499	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1891 Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160831-5022	IND1500	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1893 Responses.
Daria M Karas	20160831-5023	IND1501	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1892 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160831-5024	IND1502	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND408 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160831-5025	IND1503	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1895 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160831-5026	IND1504	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1891 Responses.
Deborah King	20160831-5027	IND1505	See General Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160831-5028	IND1506	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1893 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20160831-5029	IND1507	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1892 Responses.
Angela Karas	20160831-5030	IND1508	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND480 Responses.
Angela Karas	20160831-5031	IND1509	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1895 Responses.
Angela Karas	20160831-5032	IND1510	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1895 Responses.
Angela Karas	20160831-5033	IND1511	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1893 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Angela Karas	20160831-5034	IND1512	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1892 Responses.
Frank Karas	20160831-5035	IND1513	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND480 Responses.
Frank Karas	20160831-5036	IND1514	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1895 Responses.
Frank Karas	20160831-5037	IND1515	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1891 Responses.
Frank Karas	20160831-5038	IND1516	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1893 Responses.
Frank Karas	20160831-5039	IND1517	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1892 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160831-5040	IND1518	See [Form Letter] See comment of IND480 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160831-5041	IND1519	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1895 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160831-5042	IND1520	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1891 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160831-5043	IND1521	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1893 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20160831-5044	IND1522	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1892 Responses.
Eric A. Sandrow	20160831-5045	IND1523	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND480 Responses.
Eric A. Sandrow	20160831-5046	IND1524	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1895 Responses.
Eric A. Sandrow	20160831-5047	IND1525	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1891 Responses.
Eric A. Sandrow	20160831-5049	IND1526	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1892 Responses.
Eric A. Sandrow	20160831-5048	IND1527	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1893 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Richard Constantine	20160831-5051	IND1528	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND480 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160831-5052	IND1529	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1895 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160831-5053	IND1530	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1891 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160831-5054	IND1531	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1893 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20160831-5055	IND1532	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1892 Responses.
Scott W. Fulmer	20160831-5058	IND1533	See General Responses.
Joan Kager	20160831-5059	IND1534	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Billie Sola Fries	20160831-5060	IND1535	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Billie Sola Fries	20160831-5061	IND1536	See Water Resources Responses.
Alice Orrichio	20160831-5095	IND1537	See General; Geologic Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Alice Orrichio	20160831-5099	IND1538	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
George Spais	20160831-5197	IND1539	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1332 Responses.
Janice Zuzor	20160829-0067	IND1540	See Cultural Responses.
Gary Salata	20160829-0068	IND1541	See Cultural Responses.
Adena	20160829-0079	IND1542	See General Responses.
Robert W. Corson	20160829-0089	IND1543	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1861 Responses.
Thomas Eagan	20160831-5156	IND1544	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Benjamin Vitale	20160831-5159	IND1545	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Liz Molsen	20160831-5163	IND1648	See Water Resources; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Joan Kager	20160831-5169	IND1649	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Deirdre Newman	20160831-5171	IND1650	See Water Resources Responses.
Karen Timmons	20160831-5172	IND1651	See General Responses.
Adam Taylor	20160831-5176	IND1751	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND108 Responses.
Anthony E. Weil	20160831-5186	IND1752	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND3485 Responses.
Nick Mishoe	20160831-5193	IND1753	See General Responses.
Florence Hurwitz	20160830-0022	IND1754	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Betty Kattner	20160829-0085	IND1755	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND6 Responses.
No name provided	20160831-5252	IND1756	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Patty Cronheim	20160831-5272	IND1757	See General Responses.
Patty Cronheim	20160831-5274	IND1758	See General; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Patty Cronheim	20160831-5275	IND1759	See General; Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Patty Cronheim	20160831-5276	IND1760	See General Responses.
Thomas Kenny	20160831-5285	IND1761	See General; Project Need; Water Resources Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160831-5286	IND1762	See General Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160831-5297	IND1763	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Betty S Kenny	20160831-5302	IND1764	See Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160831-5320	IND1826	See General Responses.
Raymond Clark	20160831-5334	IND1827	See comments of IND1764 Responses.
Sara Ramirez	20160831-5336	IND1828	See Water Resources Responses.
Raymond Clark	20160831-5337	IND1829	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160831-5338	IND1830	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Raymond Clark	20160831-5339	IND1831	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Bernice R. Wahl	20160831-5362	IND1832	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Paula Ochs	20160901-5000	IND1833	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Duggan F. Millard	20160901-5003	IND1834	See [Form Letter] See comment sof IND3485 Responses.
Barbara Greaves	20160901-5004	IND1835	See General Responses.
Sandra Matuska	20160901-5006	IND1836	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joe Matuska	20160901-5007	IND1837	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Lucy Gorelli	20160901-5008	IND1838	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Alan Dell	20160901-5009	IND1839	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Christine Coari	20160901-5010	IND1840	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Paul Wohltman	20160901-5015	IND1841	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Linda Santo	20160901-5019	IND1842	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Denise Hazelwood	20160901-5020	IND1843	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND3485 Responses.
Barbara Cochrane	20160901-5021	IND1844	See General Responses.
Annette Coomber	20160901-5022	IND1845	See [Form Letter] IND3485 Responses.
Bernice R. Wahl	20160831-5384	IND1846	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Edward J. Kelly	20160901-5050	IND1847	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Edward J. Kelly	20160901-5063	IND1848	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Michah Rasmussen	20160901-5083	IND1849	See Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Margaret Augustine	20160901-5110	IND1850	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Richard Hollabaugh	20160901-5111	IND1851	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Richard Hollabaugh	20160901-5112	IND1852	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND180 Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality Responses.
Charles Nelson	20160831-0024	IND1853	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1861 Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160901-5115	IND1854	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND180 Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160901-5117	IND1855	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND180 Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160901-5119	IND1856	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND180 Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160901-5120	IND1857	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND180 Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160901-5121	IND1858	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND180 Responses.
Colm Quinn	20160901-5122	IND1859	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160901-5123	IND1860	See [Form Letter] IND679 Responses.
Raymond Miller	20160831-0020	IND1861	See General Responses.
Peter J. Lavelle	20160831-0021	IND1862	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Henry R. Coakley	20160831-0022	IND1863	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
M. Cofone	20160831-0022	IND1864	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Karen Pilone	20160901-5131	IND1865	See [Form Letter] IND147-1; [Form Letter] IND268; [Form Letter] IND270; General; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
William Henry Clark	20160831-0019	IND1866	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
David Pierpaoli	20160901-5165	IND1867	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Donald A. Dalen	20160901-5166	IND1868	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Donald A. Dalen	20160901-5167	IND1869	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Leslie Sauer	20160901-5170	IND1870	See General; Alternatives; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
David Mann	20160831-0025	IND1871	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
J. Hardy	20160831-0028	IND1872	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Roger Harris	20160901-5185	IND1873	See Air Quality Responses.
Roger Harris	20160901-5186	IND1874	See Air Quality Responses.
Roger Harris	20160901-5188	IND1875	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Michael Loncoski	20160901-5219	IND1876	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joan Harriman	20160831-0035	IND1877	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Joseph Tramontano	20160831-0031	IND1878	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Lewis Whitaker	20160831-0030	IND1879	See General Responses.
Kevin Conover	20160831-0032	IND1880	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Joe Fausti	20160831-0036	IND1881	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Patrick Alwell	20160831-0029	IND1882	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Mary Lovasz	20160831-0027	IND1883	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Peter K. Mantell	20160901-5222	IND1884	See [Form Letter] IND268-1; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Gary Salata	20160831-0044	IND1885	See [Form Letter] IND181-1; General; Air Quality Responses.
Gary Salata	20160831-0045	IND1886	See [Form Letter] IND181-1; General Responses.
Stephen Garofalini	20160902-5001	IND1887	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Stephen Garofalini	20160902-5003	IND1888	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Vivan Ford	20160902-5004	IND1889	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Vivan Ford	20160902-5005	IND1890	Duplicate IND1889 Responses.
Vivan Ford	20160902-5006	IND1891	See Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.
Vivan Ford	20160902-5008	IND1892	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Vivan Ford	20160902-5007	IND1893	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160902-5009	IND1894	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160902-5010	IND1895	See [Form Letter] IND827-1; Socioeconomics Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160902-5011	IND1896	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Anthony DiBianca	20160902-5012	IND1897	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160902-5013	IND1898	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20160902-5014	IND1899	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160902-5015	IND1900	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160902-5016	IND1901	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160902-5017	IND1902	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20160902-5018	IND1903	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Denise Lytle	20160902-5019	IND1904	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
John Leiser	20160902-5020	IND1905	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
John Leiser	20160902-5021	IND1906	See Socioeconomics Responses.
John Leiser	20160902-5022	IND1907	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
John Leiser	20160902-5023	IND1908	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
John Leiser	20160902-5024	IND1909	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Jay S. Douglas	20160902-5025	IND1910	See Water Resources Responses.
Jay S. Douglas	20160902-5027	IND1911	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Jay S. Douglas	20160902-5026	IND1912	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Linda H. Heindel	20160902-5028	IND1913	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Barry Hahn	20160902-5035	IND1914	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Anita Stone	20160831-0038	IND2035	See General Responses.
Frank McDougald, Jr.	20160902-5067	IND2036	See General; Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.
Richard F. Green	20160902-5068	IND2037	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Frank McDougald, Jr.	20160902-5070	IND2038	See Project Need Responses.
Kip Bateman via Rethink Energy New Jersey	20160902-5072	IND2039	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Naor Chazan	20160902-5090	IND2040	See [Form Letter] IND147-1; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Jessica Keener	20160902-5112	IND2041	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Gina Benyo	20160902-5113	IND2042	See General Responses.
Jeff Shafer via Rethink Energy New Jersey	20160902-5114	IND2043	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160902-5117	IND2044	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160902-5119	IND2045	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20160902-5120	IND2046	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
Bert Rosica	20160902-5121	IND2047	See General; Project Need Responses.
Wayne E. Nothstein	20160902-5122	IND2048	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Daria M. Karas	20160902-5123	IND2049	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Daria M. Karas	20160902-5124	IND2050	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
Daria M. Karas	20160902-5125	IND2051	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Daria M. Karas	20160902-5126	IND2052	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Daria M. Karas	20160902-5127	IND2053	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160902-5128	IND2054	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160902-5129	IND2055	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160902-5130	IND2056	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160902-5131	IND2057	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20160902-5132	IND2058	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160902-5134	IND2059	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Susan Meacham and Nancy Wilson	20160902-5233	IND2060	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160902-5135	IND2061	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
Nancy Wilson	20160902-5136	IND2062	Duplicate IND2060 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160902-5137	IND2063	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Amy Hansen	20160902-5138	IND2064	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Soils; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160902-5139	IND2065	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Patricia Smith	20160902-5140	IND2066	See General Responses.
Gloria Stone	20160902-5142	IND2067	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Dolores Schuster	20160902-5143	IND2068	See General Responses.
Davina Lapczynski	20160902-5145	IND2069	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160902-5141	IND2070	See General; Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.
Janet Robbins	20160902-5144	IND2071	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kevin Dodds	20160902-5146	IND2072	See General; Project Need Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160902-5410	IND2073	See General Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160902-5411	IND2074	Duplicate IND2073 Responses.
Patricia Oceanak	20160902-5372	IND2075	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160902-5149	IND2076	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
David Pierpaoli	20160902-5157	IND2077	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160902-5195	IND2078	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160902-5201	IND2079	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160902-5203	IND2080	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20160902-5205	IND2081	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160902-5209	IND2082	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160902-5210	IND2083	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160902-5211	IND2084	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160902-5212	IND2085	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20160902-5213	IND2086	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
James and Anita Haley	20160902-0014	IND2173	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
William Hood	20160902-0015	IND2174	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Ann Barash	20160902-0016	IND2175	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Greg Grillo	20160906-5000	IND2176	See Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
William Pandy	20160906-5001	IND2177	See Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susanne DiBianca	20160906-5002	IND2178	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Susanne DiBianca	20160906-5004	IND2179	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Susanne DiBianca	20160906-5005	IND2180	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Susanne DiBianca	20160906-5006	IND2181	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160906-5007	IND2182	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Richard DiBianca	20160906-5008	IND2183	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160906-5009	IND2184	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160906-5010	IND2185	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160906-5011	IND2186	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
Marie Case	20160906-5012	IND2187	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Marie Case	20160906-5013	IND2188	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
Marie Case	20160906-5014	IND2189	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Marie Case	20160906-5015	IND2190	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Marie Case	20160906-5016	IND2191	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
James Selman	20160906-5018	IND2192	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
James Selman	20160906-5019	IND2193	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
James Selman	20160906-5020	IND2194	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
James Selman	20160906-5021	IND2195	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
James Selman	20160906-5022	IND2196	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
David Laveman	20160906-5023	IND2197	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
David Laveman	20160906-5024	IND2198	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
David Laveman	20160906-5025	IND2199	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
David Laveman	20160906-5026	IND2200	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
David Laveman	20160906-5027	IND2201	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160906-5028	IND2202	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160906-5029	IND2203	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160906-5030	IND2204	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20160906-5032	IND2205	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160906-5033	IND2206	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160906-5034	IND2207	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160906-5035	IND2208	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160906-5036	IND2209	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.
Vinton Freedley, III	20160906-5037	IND2210	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160906-5038	IND2211	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160906-5039	IND2212	See [Form Letter] IND1895 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160906-5040	IND2213	See [Form Letter] IND1891 Responses.
George Ecklemann	20160906-5041	IND2214	See [Form Letter] IND1893 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
George Ecklemann	20160906-5042	IND2215	See [Form Letter] IND1892 Responses.
Deborah Kratzer via Rethink Energy New Jersey	20160906-5043	IND2216	See General; Project Need Responses.
Barbara Cochrane via	20160906-5044	IND2217	See General Responses.
Michele Davino via Rethink Energy New Jersey	20160906-5045	IND2218	See General; Project Need; Water Resources Responses.
Adele Gugliotta	20160906-5046	IND2219	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Santiago Rodriguez	20160906-5047	IND2220	See General; Project Need Responses.
T.C. Buchanan	20160906-5048	IND2221	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
John Norton	20160906-5049	IND2222	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Aurette Sprout	20160906-5050	IND2223	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Illia Barger	20160906-5051	IND2224	See General Responses.
David Blackwell	20160906-5052	IND2225	See General; Cultural Responses.
Mark Gallagher	20160906-5053	IND2226	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Richard Patterson	20160906-5054	IND2227	See Water Resources Responses.
Mike Pisauro	20160906-5055	IND2228	See General; Alternatives; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Marta Guttenberg	20160906-5056	IND2229	See General Responses.
Marty Akers	20160906-5057	IND2230	See General Responses.
Gary Salata	20160906-5058	IND2231	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160906-5059	IND2232	See General Responses.
Martin Levin	20160906-5061	IND2233	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Mia Wong	20160906-5062	IND2234	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Carla Kelly-Mackey	20160906-5063	IND2235	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Ann Kelly	20160906-5060	IND2236	See General; Air Quality Responses.
Jennifer Brennan	20160906-5064	IND2237	See General Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160906-5065	IND2238	See General; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joseph Straub	20160906-5066	IND2239	See General; Alternatives Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160906-5068	IND2240	See General; Alternatives; Soils; Water Resources; Noise; Mitigation Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160906-5069	IND2241	See Air Quality Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160906-5070	IND2242	See Alternatives; Cultural Responses.
Stephanie Eckert	20160906-5072	IND2243	See General Responses.
William G Pandy	20160906-5073	IND2244	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Abbie Copeland	20160906-5074	IND2245	See Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Christine Giberson	20160906-5077	IND2246	See General; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Brian Hegarty	20160906-5078	IND2247	See General; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Longia M Miller	20160906-5080	IND2248	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
William Miller	20160906-5082	IND2249	See General Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160906-5085	IND2250	See General; Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
Alicia Milosz	20160906-5086	IND2251	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Nick Berezansky	20160906-5087	IND2252	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Joseph Straub	20160906-5088	IND2253	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160906-5089	IND2254	See General Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160906-5090	IND2255	See General Responses.
Phyllis Jacewicz	20160906-5091	IND2256	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160906-5092	IND2257	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
William Pandy	20160906-5093	IND2258	See Geologic Resources Responses.
William Pandy	20160906-5094	IND2259	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
William Pandy	20160906-5095	IND2260	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Carla Kelly-Mackey	20160906-5096	IND2261	See General; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Victoria Ghafoor	20160906-5097	IND2262	See General; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Mona Shapiro	20160906-5098	IND2263	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Rebecca Kutys	20160906-5099	IND2264	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Dein Shapiro	20160906-5100	IND2265	See General; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joseph Straub	20160906-5101	IND2266	See Geologic Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kimberly Bubbenmoyer	20160906-5103	IND2267	See General; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Linda Christman	20160906-5105	IND2268	See General; Alternatives; Air Quality Responses.
Carla Kelly-Mackey	20160906-5106	IND2269	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160906-5107	IND2270	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Linda Chistman	20160906-5108	IND2271	See Water Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Rebecca Canright	20160906-5120	IND2272	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality; Mitigation Responses.
Diana Dell	20160906-5122	IND2273	See Water Resources; Cultural Responses.
Leslie Sauer	20160906-5125	IND2274	See Soils; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20160906-5126	IND2275	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Joseph Straub	20160906-5128	IND2276	See Soils; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Jennie E Pandy	20160906-5129	IND2277	See General Responses.
Betty S Kenny	20160906-5130	IND2278	See Cultural Responses.
Claire Chontofalsky	20160906-5131	IND2279	See Water Resources Responses.
Claire Chontofalsky	20160906-5132	IND2280	See Water Resources Responses.
Claire Chontofalsky	20160906-5136	IND2281	See Water Resources Responses.
Brian Nagengast	20160906-5137	IND2282	See General Responses.
Claire Chontofalsky	20160906-5139	IND2283	See Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Nicole Maniez	20160906-5140	IND2284	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Nicole Maniez	20160906-5141	IND2285	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Thomas Eckert	20160906-5143	IND2286	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Nicole Maniez	20160906-5144	IND2287	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5145	IND2288	See Alternatives Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5147	IND2289	See Water Resources Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5146	IND2290	See Project Need Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5148	IND2291	See Mitigation Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5149	IND2292	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Noise Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5150	IND2293	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5151	IND2294	See Project Need Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5152	IND2295	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5153	IND2296	See [Form Letter] IND585 Responses.
Carla Kelly-Mackey	20160906-5154	IND2297	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
John Costanzo	20160906-5156	IND2298	See Project Need; Water Resources Responses.
Namoi Lonergan	20160906-5157	IND2299	See General Responses.
Regina Barna, PhD.	20160907-4002	IND2300	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Frank Wahl	20160907-4002	IND2301	See General; Project Need; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160907-4002	IND2302	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Vincent Degrado	20160907-4002	IND2303	See [Form Letter] IND578 Responses.
Freida Brown	20160907-4002	IND2304	See [Form Letter] IND578 Responses.
Richard Dodds from 2016 SkippingStone Report	20160907-4002	IND2305	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Socioeconomics Responses.
Molly Marinaccio	20160907-4002	IND2306	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Frank Wahl	20160907-4002	IND2307	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joanne Pannone and Xiapeng Du	20160907-4002	IND2308	See [Form Letter] IND4706 Responses.
No name	20160907-4002	IND2309	See [Form Letter] IND4706; Water Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Ruth Bowers	20160907-4002	IND2310	See [Form Letter] IND4706; General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Shannon Pendleton	20160907-4002	IND2311	See General; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Aodan Peacock Solebury	20160907-4002	IND2312	See [Form Letter] IND4706 Responses.
Joanne Pannone	20160907-4002	IND2313	See General; Project Need; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Loren Hurwitz	20160907-4002	IND2314	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Michael E. and MaryGrace Loncoski	20160907-4002	IND2315	See General; Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Noise; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Phyllis and Michael Jacewicz	20160907-4002	IND2316	See General; Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Socioeconomics; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lucille Singer	20160907-4002	IND2317	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Scott Cannon	20160907-4002	IND2318	See Geologic Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
No name - excerpt from article titled: "As US rushes to build gas lines, failure rate of new pipes has spiked"	20160907-4002	IND2319	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kenneth J. Collins	20160907-4002	IND2320	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Regina and Lois Dal Santo	20160907-4002	IND2321	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Michael Bednar	20160907-4002	IND2322	See [Form Letter] IND4706 Responses.
Joyce K. Bednar	20160907-4002	IND2323	See [Form Letter] IND4706 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Marlene Grassi	20160907-4002	IND2324	See [Form Letter] IND4706 Responses.
No name	20160907-4002	IND2325	See No comment - just pictures of landscaping Responses.
Paul Roden	20160907-4002	IND2326	See General; Project Need; Socioeconomics; Air Quality Responses.
John R. Bulger	20160907-4002	IND2327	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Laura Wilson	20160907-4002	IND2328	See General; Alternatives; Soils; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Andrea M. Bonette	20160907-4002	IND2329	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Socioeconomics Responses.
Ralph A. DeCesare	20160907-4002	IND2330	See [Form Letter] IND181; General; Soils; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Becca Hoff	20160907-4002	IND2331	See [Form Letter] IND4706 Responses.
Andrea Nelson	20160907-4002	IND2332	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lorraine C. Mineo	20160907-4002	IND2333	See General; Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Isidore Mineo	20160907-4002	IND2334	See General; Soils; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Marilyn J. Jordan	20160907-4002	IND2335	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Kathy Pichel McGovern	20160907-4002	IND2336	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Paul Saunders	20160907-4002	IND2337	See General; Project Need; Air Quality Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
William R. Buskirk, Jr.	20160907-4002	IND2338	See [Form Letter] IND4606 Responses.
Eal(?) Rodriguez	20160907-4002	IND2339	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Sandra L. Peters	20160907-4002	IND2340	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Brett T. Peters	20160907-4002	IND2341	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Sandra Spier	20160907-4002	IND2342	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Margaret Ermlick	20160907-4002	IND2343	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Francine Rodrigues	20160907-4002	IND2344	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Patricia Borger	20160907-4002	IND2345	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Janet Drury	20160907-4002	IND2346	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Carolyn J. Lange	20160907-4002	IND2347	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Carol O'Brien	20160907-4002	IND2348	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Bernard Berlow	20160907-4002	IND2349	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Lawrence J. Daiell	20160907-4002	IND2350	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Linda Christman	20160907-4002	IND2351	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Brendan Lee	20160907-4002	IND2352	See General; Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
Brendan J. Lee	20160907-4002	IND2353	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Margaret Ermlick	20160907-4002	IND2354	See General; Project Need; Soils; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Albertine Anthony	20160907-4002	IND2355	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Albertine Anthony	20160907-4002	IND2356	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Tina Hedrick	20160907-4002	IND2357	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Mary A. Tiscio	20160907-4002	IND2358	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Thomas W. Meyers	20160907-4002	IND2359	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Thomas W. Meyers	20160907-4002	IND2360	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Alternatives; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Brandon Fogal	20160907-4002	IND2361	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Dr. Sue Ann Lewine	20160907-4002	IND2362	See [Form Letter] IND4706; General; Project Need; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Arthur Dent	20160907-4002	IND2363	See General; Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Carolyn J. Lange	20160907-4002	IND2364	See [Form Letter] IND4706 Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160907-4002	IND2365	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Joe Plechary	20160907-4002	IND2366	See [Form Letter] IND4706 Responses.
Joe Plechary	20160907-4002	IND2367	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joe Plechary	20160907-4002	IND2368	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Charles J. Weber	20160907-4002	IND2369	See General; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Paul Shinsec	20160907-4002	IND2370	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Thomas J. Shepstone	20160907-4002	IND2371	See Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.
Sarina Berlow	20160907-4002	IND2372	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Arnost Castka	20160907-4002	IND2373	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
MaryAnn Castka	20160907-4002	IND2374	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Marie Christman	20160907-4002	IND2375	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Janine Carazo	20160907-4002	IND2376	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
William F. Mealey	20160907-4002	IND2377	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Glenn Redshaw	20160907-4002	IND2378	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
William T. Kellner	20160907-4002	IND2379	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Roy Christman	20160907-4002	IND2380	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Jim Vogt (?)	20160907-4002	IND2381	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
John L. Walck	20160907-4002	IND2382	See [Form Letter] IND4739; Socioeconomics Responses.
Joseph J. Kennedy	20160907-4002	IND2383	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
James R. Whitehead	20160907-4002	IND2384	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Susan M. Whitehead	20160907-4002	IND2385	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Linda Maniscalco	20160907-4002	IND2386	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Carmine Cassino	20160907-4002	IND2387	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Donna Honigman	20160907-4002	IND2388	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Adele E. Meyers	20160907-4002	IND2389	See [Form Letter] IND4739 Responses.
Samuel Sise	20160909-0042	IND2390	See Project Need; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Rick Kocher	20160909-0043	IND2391	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
John A. Fisher	20160912-5599	IND2392	See General Responses.
Paul Suozzo	20160912-5600	IND2393	See General; Geologic Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Carol Harris	20160909-0036	IND2394	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160912-5601	IND2395	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Laurel Shire	20160912-5602	IND2396	See Water Resources Responses.
Mark Korman	20160912-5603	IND2397	See [Form Letter] IND3015; [Form Letter] IND3016; [Form Letter] IND3131; [Form Letter] IND480; [Form Letter] IND534; [Form Letter] IND577; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160912-5607	IND2398	See General; Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Dorothy Sise	20160909-0044	IND2399	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality Responses.
Jason Sise	20160909-0045	IND2400	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality Responses.
David Semmel	20160909-0046	IND2401	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Terrence A. Richter	20160920-0012	IND2402	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Colin Quirin	20160912-5617	IND2403	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Donna Tapellini	20160912-5621	IND2404	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Anne McNaron	20160912-5622	IND2405	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Sondra Wolferman	20161110-5164	IND2406	See Project Description; Alternatives; Wetlands; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Jason Norlock	20160912-5626	IND2407	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Robert Simanski	20160908-0052	IND2408	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Karen Rankin-Baransky	20160912-5627	IND2409	See General Responses.
Michael W. Dawson	20160912-5633	IND2410	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Robert P. Tomaselli	20160912-5635	IND2411	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160912-5637	IND2412	See Noise Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Michael Hagerty	20160912-5638	IND2413	See [Form Letter] See C073-4; [Form Letter] IND108-1; [Form Letter] IND108-2; [Form Letter] IND1117-1; [Form Letter] IND1117-2; [Form Letter] IND1117-3; [Form Letter] IND1117-4; [Form Letter] IND1276-1; [Form Letter] IND1276-2; [Form Letter] IND180-1; [Form Letter] IND180-2; [Form Letter] IND180-3; [Form Letter] IND180-4; [Form Letter] IND180-5; [Form Letter] IND180-6; [Form Letter] IND180-7; [Form Letter] IND3015-1; [Form Letter] IND3015-2; [Form Letter] IND3015-3; [Form Letter] IND3015-4; [Form Letter] IND3131-1; [Form Letter] IND3131-2; [Form Letter] IND3560-1; [Form Letter] IND3560-2; [Form Letter] IND3560-3; [Form Letter] IND3560-4; [Form Letter] IND3647-1; [Form Letter] IND3647-2; [Form Letter] IND3647-3; [Form Letter] IND457-1; [Form Letter] IND457-2; [Form Letter] IND480-1; [Form Letter] IND480-2; [Form Letter] IND480-3; [Form Letter] IND480-4; [Form Letter] IND480-5; [Form Letter] IND577-1; [Form Letter] IND577-2; [Form Letter] IND577-3 Responses.
Marissa Bellino	20160912-5643	IND2414	See General; Wetlands Responses.
Dean Gianvokis	20160909-0051	IND2415	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Ron Williamson	20160909-0053	IND2416	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Marissa Bellino	20160912-5648	IND2417	See General; Wetlands Responses.
Richard Dodds	20160912-5652	IND2418	See Project Description; Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Marissa Bellino	20160912-5653	IND2419	See Water Resources Responses.
Marissa Bellino	20160912-5658	IND2420	See Socioeconomics; Noise Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160912-5660	IND2421	See Project Need; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Gina Suydam	20160912-5663	IND2422	See Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Marissa Bellino	20160912-5665	IND2423	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Nancy DiSalvi	20160912-5668	IND2424	See Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality Responses.
Catherine Pike	20160912-5669	IND2425	See Project Need; Alternatives; Wetlands; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Fernando Villegas	20160912-5670	IND2426	See General Responses.
Fernando Villegas	20160912-5671	IND2427	See General Responses.
Fernando Villegas	20160912-5672	IND2428	See General; Project Need; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Fernando Villegas	20160912-5673	IND2429	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Fernando Villegas	20160912-5674	IND2430	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Trina Furgerson	20160912-5676	IND2431	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Fernando Villegas	20160912-5677	IND2432	See Project Description; Mitigation Responses.
John Ringel	20160912-5675	IND2433	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Fernando Villegas	20160912-5679	IND2434	See General Responses.
Name illegible	20160909-0054	IND2435	See General Responses.
Fernando Villegas	20160912-5681	IND2436	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Mike Fitzpatrick	20160912-5682	IND2437	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Fernando Villegas	20160912-5683	IND2438	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Fernando Villegas	20160912-5685	IND2439	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Matthew Little	20160912-5684	IND2440	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Gregg Miller	20160912-5686	IND2441	See Project Need; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Fernando Villegas	20160912-5687	IND2442	See Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Colm Quinn	20160912-5691	IND2443	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Thomas E Stinnett	20160912-5692	IND2444	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Debora Kratzer	20160912-5694	IND2445	See General; Wetlands Responses.
Virginia Paluch	20160909-0055	IND2446	See General Responses.
Manuel Pereira	20160909-0056	IND2447	See General Responses.
John Wynne	20160909-0037	IND2448	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Joanna Giacalone	20160909-0057	IND2449	See General Responses.
Edward Resxxxxxx	20160909-0058	IND2450	See General Responses.
Jaqueline Freedman	20160912-5702	IND2451	See Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Alan Spotnitz	20160912-5703	IND2452	See Project Need; Water Resources; Cultural Responses.
Alexa N Miller	20160912-5706	IND2453	See Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Carrie M Goldberg Styer	20160912-5707	IND2454	See General Responses.
Carrie M Goldberg Styer	20160912-5709	IND2455	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Paul J Fries	20160912-5710	IND2456	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Alison Emily Mitchell	20160912-5711	IND2457	See Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth O'Brien	20160912-5712	IND2458	See [Form Letter] IND4104 Responses.
Dennis Stoker	20160912-5713	IND2459	See General; Project Need Responses.
Julie Slattery	20160912-5714	IND2460	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Morgan Styer	20160912-5715	IND2461	See Water Resources Responses.
Laurie Ehlbeck	20160912-5718	IND2462	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
William Gillum	20160912-5721	IND2463	See Project Need; Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Michelle Garay	20160912-5722	IND2464	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160912-5726	IND2465	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Amanda Gillum	20160912-5727	IND2466	See DuplicateIND4125 Responses.
David Hirsch	20160912-5734	IND2467	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Cindy Kuenstner	20160912-5735	IND2468	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
David Griffith	20160912-5741	IND2469	See [Form Letter] IND108; General; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline Evans	20160912-5742	IND2470	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Maureen Symick	20160912-5743	IND2471	See General Responses.
George Saggese	20160909-0038	IND2472	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jason McAnulty	20160909-0039	IND2473	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Michelle Garay	20160912-5748	IND2474	See [Form Letter) IND147; [Form Letter) IND268; [Form Letter) IND269; [Form Letter) IND270; Water Resources Responses.
Jim Waltman	20160912-5752	IND2475	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
William H. Wallace	20160912-5754	IND2476	See [Form Letter] IND180; [Form Letter] IND3015; [Form Letter] IND3016; [Form Letter] IND3131; [Form Letter] IND480; [Form Letter] IND874; General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Denise Nardi	20160912-5755	IND2477	See [Form Letter] IND3016 Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20160912-5756	IND2478	See General; Soils Responses.
William H. Wallace	201609-5757	IND2479	Duplicate-IND4138 Responses.
Carla Kelly Mackey	20160912-5758	IND2480	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160912-5760	IND2481	See [Form Letter] IND4132 Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5152	IND2482	See General Responses.
Maryanne M. Plesher	20160912-5765	IND2483	See [Form Letter] IND4132 Responses.
William Hawley	20160912-5777	IND2484	See General Responses.
Suzanne Knudsen	20160912-5778	IND2485	See [Form Letter] IND3015; [Form Letter] IND3016; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jennifer Ghannam	20160912-5779	IND2486	See Project Need; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Suzanne Knudsen	20160912-5782	IND2487	See [Form Letter] SeeIND3033 Responses.
William Pandey	20160912-5836	IND2488	See General Responses.
Grace Kessler Betts	20160912-5845	IND2489	See General; Soils; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160912-5847	IND2490	See General Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160912-5849	IND2491	See Mitigation Responses.
Jennifer Hunter	20160912-5852	IND2492	See [Form Letter] IND4174 - 1; [Form Letter] IND4174 - 2; [Form Letter] IND4174 - 3; [Form Letter] IND4174 - 4; [Form Letter] IND4174 - 5 Responses.
0	20160912-5853	IND2493	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160912-5854	IND2494	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Daniel Faden	20160912-5855	IND2495	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources Responses.
Sondra Wolferman	20160912-5862	IND2496	See Water Resources Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160912-5857	IND2497	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Aurelle Sprout	20160912-5860	IND2498	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
William B. Falvo	20160912-5867	IND2499	See Water Resources Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160912-5868	IND2500	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Carla Kelly Mackey	20160912-5869	IND2501	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kathleen McAdam	20160912-5870	IND2502	See General; Alternatives Responses.
David Blackwell	20160912-5865	IND2503	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Damon Aherne	20160912-5872	IND2504	See [Form Letter] IND4182 - 2; [Form Letter] IND4182 - 4; [Form Letter] IND4182 - 5; General Responses.
Frank P and Roma M Gasper	20161114-5011	IND2505	See Project Description; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Noise Responses.
Jean Falvo	20160912-5875	IND2506	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Maureen Syrnick	20160912-5877	IND2507	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Jake Hake	20160912-5879	IND2508	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160912-5881	IND2509	See Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
Anthony Wiseman	20160912-5886	IND2510	See General; Alternatives; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety; Form Letter IND4197 Responses.
Kathleen McAdam	20160912-5880	IND2511	See General Responses.
Megan Adams	20160912-5883	IND2512	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Alan Johnson	20160912-5885	IND2513	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural Responses.
Stephen Willey	20160912-5887	IND2514	See Form Letter IND468 Responses.
Carol Allen	20160912-5888	IND2515	See General Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160912-5894	IND2516	See General; Project Description; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Fredericka Shapiro	20160912-5896	IND2517	See Water Resources Responses.
Laura Wilson	20160912-5899	IND2518	See Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural Responses.
Kathleen Connally	20160912-5902	IND2519	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Beatrice Gahman	20160912-5904	IND2520	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Joel Boriak	20160912-5905	IND2521	See Soils; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jennie Pandy	20160912-5906	IND2522	See Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.
Ashley Kerr NJ Farm Bureau	20160912-5907	IND2523	See Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Katherine Dresdner, Esq., General Counsel for Intervenor HTCAPP, Inc.	20160912-5908	IND2524	See General; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jay Hoff	20160912-5909	IND2525	See General Responses.
Elizabeth Garvey	20160912-5910	IND2526	See Form Letter IND468 Responses.
Maureen Syrnick	20160912-5911	IND2527	See Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Pegi Zajac	20160912-5912	IND2528	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Segio & Violetta Neri	20160912-5913	IND2529	See General Responses.
Hannah Suthers	20160912-5914	IND2530	See General; Alternatives; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Laura Wilson	20160912-5915	IND2531	See Water Resources Responses.
Sharon Warne	20160912-5916	IND2532	See Water Resources; Cultural; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Marlen Dooley Delaware and Raritan Canal Commission	20160912-5917	IND2533	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Jennie Pandy	20160912-5918	IND2534	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Michael Pressel	20160912-5919	IND2535	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Christiana Foglio	20160912-5920	IND2536	See Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Noise; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
William Buskirk	20160912-5921	IND2537	See General Responses.
Michael Pressel	20160913-5000	IND2538	See Form Letter IND108 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Michael Pressel	20160913-5001	IND2539	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
John Barry	20160913-5002	IND2540	See General Responses.
Lynn Kline	20160913-5003	IND2541	See Project Need Responses.
Lynn Kline	20160913-5004	IND2542	See General Responses.
Georgina Shanley	20160913-5005	IND2543	See Form Letter IND3485 Responses.
Katherine Dresdner, Esq., General Counsel for Intervenor HTCAPP, Inc.	20160913-5006	IND2544	See General Responses.
Laurel Shire	20160913-5007	IND2545	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
L. Thomas Welsh, Jr.	20160913-5008	IND2546	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Eileen M Heinzel	20160913-5009	IND2547	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Mary Penney	20160913-5010	IND2548	See Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
John Barry	20160913-5011	IND2549	See Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
Laurel Shire	20160913-5012	IND2550	See General Responses.
Maureen Syrnick	20160913-5013	IND2551	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Laurel Shire	20160913-5014	IND2552	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Kathy Fedorko	20160913-5017	IND2553	See Project Need; Alternatives; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Jeyne O'Connor	20160913-5018	IND2554	See Alternatives; Water Resources Responses.
Kate Bech	20160913-5020	IND2555	See General; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Bob Adoph	20160913-5021	IND2556	See General; Project Need Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160913-5023	IND2557	See Project Need Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160913-5025	IND2558	See General Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160913-5027	IND2559	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
James Cafo	20160913-5031	IND2560	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Linda Hoffman	20160913-5032	IND2561	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Rich Toth	20160913-5033	IND2562	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Arlene Bonapace	20160913-5034	IND2563	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160913-5035	IND2564	See Alternatives Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160913-5036	IND2565	See Project Need Responses.
Nicole Miller	20160913-5037	IND2566	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Jay Hoff	20160913-5038	IND2567	See Cultural Responses.
Adrian Noble	20160913-5043	IND2568	See Project Description; Alternatives Responses.
Dan Fatton, Executive Director Work Environment Council of New Jersey	20160913-5044	IND2569	See Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kevin Leslie	20160913-5045	IND2570	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Stephen Highcock	20160913-5046	IND2571	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Kevin Leslie	20160913-5047	IND2572	See General; Form Letter IND3033 Responses.
Claire Taylor	20160913-5048	IND2573	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Viola Markus	20160913-5052	IND2574	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Stephen Garofalini	20160913-5049	IND2575	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Kelly Kapler	20160913-5055	IND2576	See Form Letter IND3485 Responses.
William Markus	20160913-5054	IND2577	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
William & Viola Markus	20160913-5057	IND2578	See General Responses.
Scott Hengst	20160913-5058	IND2579	See General Responses.
Cheryl Leslie	20160913-5059	IND2580	See Water Resources Responses.
Derrick Kappler	20160913-5060	IND2581	See Form Letter IND3485 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
George Lane	20160913-5061	IND2582	See Project Need; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife; Noise Responses.
Tim Hartman	20160913-5062	IND2583	See Water Resources; Form Letter IND3041-1; Form Letter IND3041-2 Responses.
Cathy and James O'Shea	20160913-5063	IND2584	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Andrea Wilson	20160913-5064	IND2585	See General Responses.
Scott Simon	20160913-5065	IND2586	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Harriet Johnson and Theodore Johnson III	20160913-5066	IND2587	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Ron Shapella	20160913-5067	IND2588	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Barbara Ross	20160913-5068	IND2589	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Katherine Dresdner	20160913-5070	IND2590	See Project Need; Alternatives; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Claire Taylor	20160913-5071	IND2591	See Form Letter IND3015 Responses.
Susan Waskow	20160913-5075	IND2592	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Ron Shapella	20160913-5072	IND2593	See Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Anita Brosky	20160913-5076	IND2594	See Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Susan Waskow	20160913-5077	IND2595	See General Responses.
Katherine Dresdner	20160913-5079	IND2596	See General Responses.
Julia Dalglish	20160913-5080	IND2597	See General Responses.
Dorothy Waskow	20160913-5081	IND2598	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Deborah King	20160913-5082	IND2599	See General Responses.
Suzann Chenery	20160913-5083	IND2600	See Socioeconomics; Form Letter IND1891-1 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Dante DiPirro	20160913-5084	IND2601	See General; Project Need Responses.
Caralee and Daniel Antrosilglio	20160913-5085	IND2602	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Caralee and Daniel Antrosilglio	20160913-5087	IND2603	See Form Letter IND457 Responses.
Adriana Manchen	20160913-5086	IND2604	See General Responses.
Caralee and Daniel Antrosilglio	20160913-5088	IND2605	See Form Letter IND179 Responses.
Ross Heutmaker	20160913-5089	IND2606	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources Responses.
Alan Scott, Dr. Anthony Scannella, and Marianna Moessner	20160912-5924	IND2607	See General; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160912-5929	IND2608	See Water Resources Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160912-5931	IND2609	See General Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160912-5935	IND2610	See General Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160912-5938	IND2611	See Form Letter IND179-4 Responses.
Kim Robinson	20160912-5939	IND2612	See General Responses.
Richard and Elizabeth Kohler	20160912-5940	IND2613	See Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160912-5941	IND2614	See Form Letter IND179-1 and IND179-2 Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160912-5943	IND2615	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160912-5947	IND2616	See Form Letter IND179-5 Responses.
Jacqueline Evans	20160912-5953	IND2617	See Form Letter IND180 Responses.
Jacqueline Evans	20160912-5958	IND2618	See Form Letter IND180 Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-5959	IND2619	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-5961	IND2620	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-5964	IND2621	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-5965	IND2622	See [Form Letter] IND480; [Form Letter] IND874; General; Project Description; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-5971	IND2623	See [Form Letter] See C073-4; General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
No name	20160912-5972	IND2624	See General; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-5972	IND2625	See [Form Letter} IND1116; [Form Letter} IND3033; General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
No name	20160912-5979	IND2626	See Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-5985	IND2627	See General; Project Need; Wetlands; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Kim Robinson	20160912-5987	IND2628	See Cultural Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-5989	IND2629	See General; Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-5991	IND2630	See General; Soils; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160912-5994	IND2631	See General; Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural Responses.
Sandra Desch	20160912-5995	IND2632	See Alternatives; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-5999	IND2633	See [Duplicate] IND84; [Form Letter] IND3015; [Form Letter] IND534; General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-6005	IND2634	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Sandra Desch	20160912-6006	IND2635	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160912-6007	IND2636	See [Duplicate] IND4321 Responses.
Scott Desch	20160912-6012	IND2637	See [Form Letter] IND3015; Water Resources Responses.
Joy E. Stocke	20160912-6011	IND2638	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Aurette Sprout	20160913-5091	IND2639	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
No name	20160913-5096	IND2640	See General; Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5101	IND2641	See General; Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5105	IND2642	See General; Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5108	IND2643	See General; Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5109	IND2644	See General; Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5113	IND2645	See General; Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5116	IND2646	See General; Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5119	IND2647	See General; Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5125	IND2648	See General; Project Description Responses.
Jennifer Brennan	20160912-5871	IND2649	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
James Hake	20160913-5139	IND2650	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Richard and Elizabeth Kohler	20160913-5142	IND2651	See General; Geologic Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Richard and Elizabeth Kohler	20160913-5147	IND2652	See [Duplicate] IND4338 Responses.
No name	20160913-5148	IND2653	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5149	IND2654	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5150	IND2655	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5151	IND2656	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5152	IND2657	See Project Description Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
No name	20160913-5153	IND2658	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5154	IND2659	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5155	IND2660	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5157	IND2661	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5158	IND2662	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5159	IND2663	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5160	IND2664	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5161	IND2665	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5163	IND2666	See Project Description Responses.
Jacquelyn Freedman	20160913-5164	IND2667	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jacquelyn Freedman	20160913-5165	IND2668	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jacquelyn Freedman	20160913-5166	IND2669	See Socioeconomics Responses.
No name	20160913-5167	IND2670	See Project Description Responses.
Edward J. Kelly	20160913-5168	IND2671	See General; Project Need Responses.
No name	20160913-5169	IND2672	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5170	IND2673	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5171	IND2674	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5172	IND2675	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5173	IND2676	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5174	IND2677	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5178	IND2678	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5176	IND2679	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5179	IND2680	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5180	IND2681	See Project Description Responses.
Christine Murray	20160913-5181	IND2682	See Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
No name	20160913-5182	IND2683	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5183	IND2684	See Project Description Responses.
Joseph Fronczak	20160913-5184	IND2685	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Audrey Frankowski	20160913-5185	IND2686	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
No name	20160913-5186	IND2687	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5187	IND2688	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5188	IND2689	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5189	IND2690	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5190	IND2691	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5191	IND2692	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5192	IND2693	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5193	IND2694	See Project Description Responses.
No name	20160913-5195	IND2695	See Project Description Responses.
Kathleen McAdam	20160913-5201	IND2696	See General; Project Description; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
No name	20160913-5202	IND2697	See Soils Responses.
Harriet P. Johnson	20160913-5196	IND2698	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susana Bullrich	20160913-5198	IND2699	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
No name	20160913-5203	IND2700	See Project Description Responses.
Kathleen McAdam	20160913-5204	IND2701	See [Duplicate] IND4383 Responses.
No name	20160913-5205	IND2702	See Project Description Responses.
Kathleen McAdam	20160913-5207	IND2703	See Water Resources Responses.
No name	20160913-5208	IND2704	See Project Description Responses.
Jacquelyn Freedman	20160913-5209	IND2705	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Jocellyn Hayes	20160913-5210	IND2706	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Jacquelyn Freedman	20160913-5211	IND2707	See Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
No name	20160913-5129	IND2708	See Project Description Responses.
S. Mayfield Williams	20160913-5215	IND2709	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Elise Kucirka Salahub	20160913-5221	IND2710	See General; Soils; Water Resources; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Michael Sauers	20160912-0007	IND2711	See General; Project Need; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Pat Iyer	20160912-0010	IND2712	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Tom and Adele meyers	20160912-0011	IND2713	See Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Isidore and Lorraine C. Mineo	20160912-0012	IND2714	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Joann Kercsmar	20160912-0012	IND2715	See Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Mark and Iris Turney	20160912-0014	IND2716	See Project Need; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Betty Kenny	20160912-0015	IND2717	See [Form Letter] IND179 Responses.
Thomas W. Kenny	20160912-0016	IND2718	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
P. Echtermacht	20160912-0017	IND2719	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Janice Zuzov	20160912-0018	IND2720	See General; Project Need; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Maureen Santoro	20160912-0020	IND2721	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160913-5255	IND2722	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Betty Kenny	20160912-0021	IND2723	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Melissa Dollman	20160912-0023	IND2724	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Randolph Kullmann	20160912-0025	IND2725	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
William R. Buskirk, Jr.	20160912-0008	IND2726	See General Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160912-0030	IND2727	See General; Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
Wayne Cleaver	20160912-0027	IND2728	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Greg Simmons	20160912-0027	IND2729	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
William S. Moore	20160912-0031	IND2730	See Project Description; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Nancy Cairns	20160912-033	IND2731	See Project Need; Wetlands; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
David Lavender	20160913-5270	IND2732	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Michael Savastio	20160912-0024	IND2733	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Irene and Frank Geuther	20160912-0032	IND2734	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Air Quality Responses.
Jackie Wood	20160912-0024	IND2735	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Rebecca Canright	20160912-0034	IND2736	See General; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160912-0035	IND2737	See [Duplicate] IND4414-1 and 4414-2; General; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jerry Cephas	20160912-0046	IND2738	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Beth Kern	20160912-0046	IND2739	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
John Williamson	20160912-0046	IND2740	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Krystle Straus	20160912-0046	IND2741	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Thomas Hewitt	20160912-0046	IND2742	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Michelle Chandler	20160912-0046	IND2743	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Nancy Tilton	20160912-0046	IND2744	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Michael Johnson	20160912-0046	IND2745	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
John Zachwieja	20160912-0046	IND2746	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Carly Schenkelberg	20160912-0046	IND2747	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Kyle Stewart	20160912-0046	IND2748	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Ross Carnevale	20160912-0046	IND2749	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Harry Oleksiak	20160912-0046	IND2750	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Martin Belella	20160912-0046	IND2751	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Carol Venes	20160912-0046	IND2752	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Carmine Venes	20160912-0046	IND2753	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Joe Fink	20160912-0046	IND2754	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Kerry Goodballet	20160912-0046	IND2755	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Deborah Evans	20160912-0046	IND2756	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Judith Di Joseph	20160912-0046	IND2757	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Russell Jackson	20160912-0046	IND2758	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Charlton Roberts	20160912-0046	IND2759	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Joseph Ciccione	20160912-0046	IND2760	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
David K. Smith	20160912-0046	IND2761	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Nancy Conn	20160912-0046	IND2762	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Walter Castro	20160912-0046	IND2763	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
James Henry	20160912-0046	IND2764	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Ethan Shula	20160912-0046	IND2765	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
George Stockage	20160912-0046	IND2766	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
John Hartmann	20160912-0046	IND2767	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Dean Miller	20160912-0046	IND2768	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Lori Gould	20160912-0046	IND2769	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Russell Smith	20160912-0046	IND2770	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Mark Fiano	20160912-0046	IND2771	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Ronald Larson	20160912-0046	IND2772	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Mark Duckworth	20160912-0046	IND2773	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jessica Shoup	20160912-0046	IND2774	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Kristian Bills	20160912-0046	IND2775	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Craig Butler	20160912-0046	IND2776	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Timothy White	20160912-0046	IND2777	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Bill Bodisch	20160912-0046	IND2778	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Zachary Waite	20160912-0046	IND2779	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Bryan Burns	20160912-0046	IND2780	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Joshua Cingle	20160912-0046	IND2781	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Bruce McKay	20160912-0046	IND2782	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
John Hartmann	20160912-0046	IND2783	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
William McGill	20160912-0046	IND2784	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Angela Layton	20160912-0046	IND2785	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Peter R. Butler	20160912-0046	IND2786	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Charles Schaefer	20160912-0046	IND2787	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Dwayne Finney	20160912-0046	IND2788	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Carl DellaPenna	20160912-0046	IND2789	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Charles Muse	20160912-0046	IND2790	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Tim Daidet	20160912-0046	IND2791	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Sherri Kashuba	20160912-0046	IND2792	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Edward Miller	20160912-0046	IND2793	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Lawrence Mehlenbacher	20160912-0046	IND2794	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jeffrey Ayers	20160912-0046	IND2795	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
William J. Moran	20160912-0046	IND2796	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Kevin Frederick	20160912-0046	IND2797	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Brad Kienzle	20160912-0046	IND2798	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
John Harriger	20160912-0046	IND2799	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
John Harriger	20160912-0046	IND2800	See [Duplicate] IND4486 Responses.
Laural Ziemba	20160912-0046	IND2801	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Anthony Mari	20160912-0046	IND2802	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Linda Sollers	20160912-0046	IND2803	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Alvin P. Michinski	20160912-0046	IND2804	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Carol Michinski	20160912-0046	IND2805	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Dian Munson	20160912-0046	IND2806	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Herbert	20160912-0046	IND2807	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Judy Baum	20160912-0046	IND2808	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Stan Turel	20160912-0046	IND2809	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jerry Ford	20160912-0046	IND2810	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Martin McElroy	20160912-0046	IND2811	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Gregory A. Ruch Sr.	20160912-0046	IND2812	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Al Faro	20160912-0046	IND2813	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
John Dellicker	20160912-0046	IND2814	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
John Burchill	20160912-0046	IND2815	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Agatena Kopitskie	20160912-0046	IND2816	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
David R. Bailey	20160912-0046	IND2817	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Patricia Kovacs	20160912-0046	IND2818	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Peter E. Swannell	20160912-0046	IND2819	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Mollie Licciardo	20160912-0046	IND2820	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
David R. Bailey	20160912-0046	IND2821	See [Duplicate] IND4504 Responses.
Max Fox	20160912-0046	IND2822	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Robert Nielsen	20160912-0046	IND2823	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Robert Barbieri	20160912-0046	IND2824	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Mr. & Mrs. Jay Dertinger	20160912-0046	IND2825	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Leonard Dryden	20160912-0046	IND2826	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
John Schmitz	20160912-0046	IND2827	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Nancy Baskin	20160912-0046	IND2828	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Janet O'Connor	20160912-0046	IND2829	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Richard Wallace	20160912-0046	IND2830	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Peter Rice	20160912-0046	IND2831	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
John Leary	20160912-0046	IND2832	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Christina Leary	20160912-0046	IND2833	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Ruth Ann Fleming	20160912-0046	IND2834	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Gregory Fleming	20160912-0046	IND2835	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Andrzej Zawadzki	20160912-0046	IND2836	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Joe Goncalves	20160912-0046	IND2837	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
William Bataille	20160912-0046	IND2838	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Thomas E. Hendershot	20160912-0046	IND2839	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Donald Oshea	20160912-0046	IND2840	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Thomas Nowlin	20160912-0046	IND2841	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Nelson and Judy Hirsch	20160912-0046	IND2842	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Vincent S. Maddi	20160919-0067	IND2843	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
John F. Crotty	20160912-0055	IND2844	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
John Arbolino	20160912-0058	IND2845	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Gerald Sheard	20160912-0067	IND2846	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Richard Oths	20160912-0068	IND2847	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Thomas Birt	20160912-0069	IND2848	See General Responses.
G. Wayne Nesmith	20160912-0053	IND2849	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Charles and Judith O'Brien	20160912-0054	IND2850	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Hamilton Peters	20160912-0056	IND2851	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Ben Yeh	20160912-0057	IND2852	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Raymond Griffith	20160912-0059	IND2853	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
James and Rosemary Heins	20160912-0060	IND2854	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Girard A. Pisauro Jr.	20160912-0061	IND2855	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Douglas Suckow President Eureka Spring Co.	20160912-0062	IND2856	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
John Dew	20160912-0063	IND2857	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Richard Tufano	20160912-0064	IND2858	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Michael McGeehan	20160912-0065	IND2859	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Philip Bozulus	20160912-0066	IND2860	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Ron Askew	20160912-0078	IND2861	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Bruce De Bacco	20160913-0011	IND2862	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
John L. Walck	20160913-0012	IND2863	See General; Alternatives; Socioeconomics Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160913-5370	IND2864	See General; Alternatives; Cultural Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
John Niciecki	20160913-0016	IND2865	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
John Niciecki	20160913-0017	IND2866	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
John Niciecki	20160913-0018	IND2867	See [Form Letter] See CO73-4 Responses.
John Niciecki	20160913-0019	IND2868	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
John Niciecki	20160913-0020	IND2869	See [Form Letter] IND179 Responses.
John Niciecki	20160913-0021	IND2870	See [Form Letter] IND1 Responses.
John Niciecki	20160913-0022	IND2871	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
John Niciecki	20160913-0023	IND2872	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20160913-0025	IND2873	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20160913-0027	IND2874	See [Form Letter] IND1 Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20160913-0024	IND2875	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20160913-0026	IND2876	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20160913-0028	IND2877	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20160913-0029	IND2878	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20160913-0030	IND2879	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20160913-0031	IND2880	See [Form Letter] See CO73-4 Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20160913-0032	IND2881	See [Form Letter] IND179 Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20160913-0033	IND2882	See [Form Letter] IND3015; General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Sandra McNicol	20160913-0034	IND2883	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Sandra McNicol	20160913-0034	IND2884	See General Responses.
Sandra McNicol	20160913-0036	IND2885	See [Form Letter] IND3033 and IND3131 Responses.
Sandra McNicol	20160913-0037	IND2886	See [Form Letter] IND179 Responses.
Sandra McNicol	20160913-0038	IND2887	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Sandra McNicol	20160913-0039	IND2888	See [Form Letter] IND3015; General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Sandra McNicol	20160913-0041	IND2889	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Sandra McNicol	20160913-0040	IND2890	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
John Kager	20160913-0045	IND2891	See [Form Letter] IND3033 and IND3131 Responses.
0	20160913-0047	IND2892	See General; Noise Responses.
John Kager	20160913-0049	IND2893	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Sandra McNicol	20160913-0042	IND2894	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Sandra McNicol	20160913-0043	IND2895	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Joan Kager	20160913-0044	IND2896	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Joan Kager	20160913-0046	IND2897	See [Form Letter] See CO73-4 Responses.
Joan Kager	20160913-0048	IND2898	See [Form Letter] IND457; [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Joan Kager	20160913-0050	IND2899	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Joan Kager	20160913-0051	IND2900	See [Form Letter] IND3015; General; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joan Kager	20160913-0052	IND2901	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
Joan Kager	20160913-0053	IND2902	See [Form Letter] IND179 Responses.
Stanley Merritt	20160913-0054	IND2903	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Patrick Nitzschke	20160913-0055	IND2904	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Maureen Santoro	20160913-0057	IND2905	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Maureen Santoro	20160913-0058	IND2906	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Maureen Santoro	20160913-0059	IND2907	See General; Project Description; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Maureen Santoro	20160913-0060	IND2908	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Maureen Santoro	20160913-0061	IND2909	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Danielle Thomas	20160913-0062	IND2910	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Paul David Burke	20160913-0063	IND2911	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Nelson Claypoole	20160913-0064	IND2912	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Reijo Finnila	20160913-0065	IND2913	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Austen Burke	20160913-0066	IND2914	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Dan Forrester	20160913-0067	IND2915	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jeffrey Deeger	20160913-0068	IND2916	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jody Williams	20161114-5151	IND2917	See General Responses.
Edna M. Barber	20160913-0071	IND2918	See [Form Letter] IND4419 Responses.
Landis S. Eaton	20160913-0072	IND2919	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Maureen Santoro	20160913-0075	IND2920	See Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Donna Shinkawa	20160914-5114	IND2921	See Project Need; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160915-5086	IND2922	See Project Need; Reliability & Safety Responses.
George Leibel	20160913-0087	IND2923	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Stephen J. and Linda Toth	20160913-0088	IND2924	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Ken Hoebel	20160913-0089	IND2925	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Dolores Santora	20160913-0090	IND2926	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Linda Anderson	20160913-0091	IND2927	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Robert and Iris Mattner	20160913-0092	IND2928	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Theresa Ward	20160913-0093	IND2929	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Edward W. Schneider	20160913-0094	IND2930	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Jerry Manchee	20160913-0096	IND2931	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
John Tiernan	20160913-0097	IND2932	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Barbara Hazen	20160913-0098	IND2933	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Michael M. Stano	20160913-0099	IND2934	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Robert Horning Sr.	20160913-0100	IND2935	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
John Niciecki	20160914-0012	IND2936	See [Form Letter] IND3015; General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Elizabeth Thomas	20160913-0101	IND2937	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Mr. and Mrs. Cuneo	20160913-0103	IND2938	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Kent Crighton	20160913-0104	IND2939	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
John Niciecki	20160914-0014	IND2940	See [Form Letter] IND1117 Responses.
Linda J. Kane	20160914-0015	IND2941	See [Form Letter] IND4419 Responses.
Nancy Clugston	20160913-0102	IND2942	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Donald Van Grouw	20160913-0105	IND2943	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
William A. McCracken	20160913-0106	IND2944	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Thomas Kinst	20160913-0107	IND2945	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
John Quinlan, PhD	20160915-5104	IND2946	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Sandra McNicol	20160914-0017	IND2947	See [Form Letter] See CO73-4 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Justin Wasser	20160915-5107	IND2948	See General; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Jagdish C. Bahl	20160914-0059	IND2949	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Catherine and Robert Jay Dunigan	20160914-0053	IND2950	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Phillip J. Beisel	20160914-0054	IND2951	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Herbert Gishlick	20160914-0050	IND2952	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
George Caruso	20160914-0051	IND2953	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
George Caruso	20160914-0051	IND2954	See [Duplicate] IND4640 Responses.
Kurt Dinkelmeyer	20160914-0052	IND2955	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
John M. Reaves	20160914-0031	IND2956	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Dean A. Levin	20160914-0031	IND2957	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Tom Barrett	20160914-0021	IND2958	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20160914-0018	IND2959	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Gregory Briguglio	20160914-0033	IND2960	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Charlie Thomas	20160914-0034	IND2961	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Len Resto	20160914-0035	IND2962	See General Responses.
Josif Shabelman	20160914-0038	IND2963	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Edward Acre	20160914-0036	IND2964	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Adam Dymitrzak	20160914-0042	IND2965	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Ernest Mathews	20160914-0049	IND2966	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Michael Kovacs	20160914-0037	IND2967	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Barbara Bulushi	20160914-0043	IND2968	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Robert Hualez	20160914-0044	IND2969	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Mark Blasch	20160914-0045	IND2970	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Martin McElroy	20160914-0048	IND2971	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
John Lowney	20160914-0039	IND2972	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Helen Williams	20160914-0040	IND2973	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Michael McCaffery	20160914-0041	IND2974	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Mary Galante	20160914-0046	IND2975	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Ronald Dykas	20160914-0047	IND2976	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
David Newman	20160915-0015	IND2977	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
John Niciecki	20160915-0013	IND2978	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
T.C. Onstott	20160916-5103	IND2979	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Julia L. Barringer	20160916-5104	IND2980	See [Form Letter] IND4666 Responses.
Michael Spille	20160916-5173	IND2981	See General Responses.
Amu Dara	20160919-5006	IND2982	See General; Noise Responses.
Sriram Iyer	20160919-5085	IND2983	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Huey L. Mays	20160916-0008	IND2984	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160916-0011	IND2985	See [Form Letter] IND 108 Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
John Doe	20160916-0030	IND2986	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Joan Olivia	20160916-0027	IND2987	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Joshua Bobrovcan	20160912-5842	IND2988	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Al Osborn	20160912-5258	IND2989	See Water Resources Responses.
Al Osborn	20160912-5258	IND2990	See General; Water Resources Responses.
James Orben, Member of LEPOCO Steering Committee	20160912-5259	IND2991	See IND3802 Responses.
Patricia Shapella	20160912-5260	IND2992	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Maria Tucker	20160912-5261	IND2993	See General; Project Need Responses.
Eleanor Plummer	20160912-5262	IND2994	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Samuel Conti	20160912-5263	IND2995	See General; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Eleanor Plummer	20160912-5264	IND2996	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Noise Responses.
Jacqueline Evans	20160912-5265	IND2997	See IND3526 Responses.
Eleanor Plummer	20160912-5266	IND2998	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Stefan Streit	20160912-5267	IND2999	See Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Form Letter IND181 Responses.
Elizabeth Stankevich	20160907-4002	IND3000	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5159	IND3001	See Water Resources Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5160	IND3002	See Water Resources Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5161	IND3003	See Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5163	IND3004	See General Responses.
Kay Rock	20160906-5164	IND3005	See General; Project Need; Water Resources Responses.
Carina C Sayles	20160906-5167	IND3006	See Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Jenifer Nina Burghardt	20160906-5169	IND3007	See General Responses.
Carl Baker	20160906-5170	IND3008	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Leslie J Carey	20160906-5171	IND3009	See [Form Letter] IND1058 Responses.
Prinie Ringland	20160906-5172	IND3010	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jane L Roosa	20160906-5173	IND3011	See [Form Letter] IND1058 Responses.
Tom Lillis	20160906-5174	IND3012	See Water Resources Responses.
Fredericka and Bennett Shapiro	20160906-5175	IND3013	See General Responses.
Jane L Roosa	20160906-5176	IND3014	See [Form Letter] IND1276 Responses.
Jane L Roosa	20160906-5177	IND3015	See Wetlands; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Jane L Roosa	20160906-5178	IND3016	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160906-5180	IND3017	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160906-5181	IND3018	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Lisa M Sowden	20160906-5183	IND3019	See [Form Letter] IND1114 Responses.
Lisa M Sowden	20160906-5182	IND3020	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Lisa M Sowden	20160906-5184	IND3021	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Lisa M Sowden	20160906-5185	IND3022	See [Form Letter] IND3016 Responses.
Lisa M Sowden	20160906-5186	IND3023	See General Responses.
Lisa M Sowden	20160906-5187	IND3024	See Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Lisa M Sowden	20160906-5188	IND3025	See Water Resources Responses.
David Sowden	20160906-5190	IND3026	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
David Sowden	20160906-5191	IND3027	See General Responses.
Lisa M Sowden	20160906-5189	IND3028	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
David Sowden	20160906-5192	IND3029	See [Form Letter] IND3016 Responses.
David Sowden	20160906-5193	IND3030	See [Form Letter] IND3016 Responses.
David Sowden	20160906-5194	IND3031	See Water Resources Responses.
David Sowden	20160906-5195	IND3032	See Water Resources Responses.
David Sowden	20160906-5196	IND3033	See Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
David Snowden	20160906-5197	IND3034	See Cultural Responses.
Glenda Yu	20160906-5202	IND3035	See Alternatives Responses.
Linda Pfenning	20160906-5222	IND3036	See [Form Letter] IND3015 Responses.
N/A	20161114-5191	IND3037	See General; Project Need Responses.
Linda Pfenning	20160906-5216	IND3038	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Joan Kager	20160816-5003	IND3039	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Arthur Dent	20160906-5230	IND3040	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Ralph and Jane Porpora	20160906-5235	IND3041	See Water Resources; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan Debski	20160902-0022	IND3042	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Merete Rasmussen & David Morse	20160906-5244	IND3043	See Project Need; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Janet (and Alfonso) Grillo	20160906-5245	IND3044	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Janet (and Alfonso) Grillo	20160906-5246	IND3045	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Richard Doods	20160902-5071	IND3046	See Project Need Responses.
William Tandy	20160902-5115	IND3047	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Wilma Frey	20160902-5116	IND3048	See Air Quality Responses.
Kenneth S. Grimshaw	20160902-0021	IND3049	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Norma Snitken	20160902-0035	IND3050	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Robert Kopf	20160902-0011	IND3051	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Christopher T Kratzer	20160906-5258	IND3052	See General Responses.
Joyce McGowan	20160906-5260	IND3053	See [Form Letter} IND3041 Responses.
John Butler	20160902-0013	IND3054	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Andrea M Bonette	20160906-5262	IND3055	See Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Andrea M Bonette	20160906-5263	IND3056	See Project Need; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
RoseMarie Baret	20160902-0008	IND3057	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Peter Swannwell	20160902-0009	IND3058	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Paul F. Kelley	20160902-0010	IND3059	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
JC Hansel	20160902-0012	IND3060	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
William S Moore	20160902-0027	IND3061	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Rose Yacovone	20160906-5265	IND3062	See [Form Letter] IND3041 Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160902-0024	IND3063	See Cultural Responses.
Billie Sola Fries	20160906-5266	IND3064	See Water Resources Responses.
Billie Sola Fries	20160906-5269	IND3065	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Alice Hermine	20160902-0020	IND3066	See General Responses.
Billie Sola Fries	20160906-5279	IND3067	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Billie Sola Fries	20160906-5283	IND3068	See Air Quality Responses.
Michael Wads	20160906-5284	IND3069	See Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Beth O'Brien	20160906-5285	IND3070	See [Form Letter] IND585 Responses.
Paul Fries	20160906-5286	IND3071	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Claire Chontofalsky	20160906-5288	IND3072	See General Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160906-5289	IND3073	See General Responses.
Beth O'Brien	20160906-5303	IND3074	See [Form Letter] IND1058 Responses.
Beth O'Brien	20160906-5306	IND3075	See [Form Letter] IND1058 Responses.
Edward Bennet	20160902-5280	IND3076	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Jennifer Klose	20161110-0025	IND3077	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Rob Geoghan	20160906-5309	IND3078	See General Responses.
Andrea M Bonnette	20160906-5310	IND3079	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Andrea M Bonnette	20160906-5311	IND3080	See Project Need; Socioeconomics; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Andrea M Bonnette	20160906-5312	IND3081	See General; Soils; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Andrea M Bonnette	20160906-5313	IND3082	See Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Andrea M Bonnette	20160906-5314	IND3083	See Wetlands; Water Resources Responses.
Andrea M Bonnette	20160906-5315	IND3084	See Geologic Resources; Soils Responses.
Andrea M Bonnette	20160906-5318	IND3085	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Andrea M Bonnette	20160906-5321	IND3086	See Project Need Responses.
Carla Kelly Mackey	20160906-5325	IND3087	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Gary C Woodward	20160906-5331	IND3088	See [Form Letter] IND678 Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160906-5334	IND3089	See [Form Letter] IND1254 Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160906-5335	IND3090	See [Form Letter] IND678 Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160906-5336	IND3091	See [Form Letter] IND1317 Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160906-5337	IND3092	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160906-5338	IND3093	See [Form Letter] IND3015 Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160906-5339	IND3094	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160906-5340	IND3095	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160906-5341	IND3096	See [Form Letter] IND3033 Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160816-5003	IND3097	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160906-5345	IND3098	See [Form Letter] IND1324 Responses.
Janie Lamson	20160906-5346	IND3099	See [Form Letter] IND3015 Responses.
John P Lamson	20160906-5352	IND3100	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
John P Lamson	20160906-5357	IND3101	See [Form Letter] IND1324 Responses.
John P Lamson	20160906-5358	IND3102	See [Form Letter] IND3015 Responses.
Stephen Posen	20160906-5359	IND3103	See General; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20160906-5361	IND3104	See Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Lois M Voronin	20160906-5362	IND3105	See [Form Letter] IND3103 Responses.
John P Lamson	20160906-5347	IND3106	See [Form Letter] IND1254 Responses.
Leslie Sauer	20160906-5348	IND3107	See Soils; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
John P Lamson	20160906-5349	IND3108	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
John P Lamson	20160906-5350	IND3109	See [Form Letter] IND1317 Responses.
John P Lamson	20160906-5351	IND3110	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
John P Lamson	20160906-5353	IND3111	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
John P Lamson	20160906-5354	IND3112	See [Form Letter] IND3033 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20160824-5146	IND3113	See [Form Letter] IND1276 Responses.
John P Lamson	20160906-5356	IND3114	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Micah Rasmussen	20160906-5386	IND3115	See Air Quality Responses.
Mary L Flory	20160906-5388	IND3116	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Mary L Flory	20160906-5411	IND3117	See [Form Letter] IND1254; [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Martina Venini	20160907-5000	IND3118	See Water Resources Responses.
Super Natural Salon and Spa	20160907-5002	IND3119	See General Responses.
Margaret Harmsen	20160907-5004	IND3120	See General Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160907-5006	IND3121	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joseph Straub	20160906-5007	IND3122	See General; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; IND147-1 IND268-1 IND269-1 IND269-2 Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160907-5009	IND3123	See General; Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160907-5011	IND3124	See IND3015-1; IND3015-2; IND3015-3; IND580-10; IND580-13 Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160907-5012	IND3125	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Michael and Phyllis Jacewicz	20160907-5013	IND3126	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Paul Fries	20160907-5014	IND3127	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Christine M. Heutmaker	20160907-5016	IND3128	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Karin Peklak	20160907-5018	IND3129	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Karin Peklak	20160907-5019	IND3130	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Karin Peklak	20160907-5020	IND3131	See General; Alternatives Responses.
Thomas Dimino	20160907-5021	IND3132	See General Responses.
Karin Peklak	20160907-5022	IND3133	See [Form Letter] IND483 Responses.
Karen Pilone	20160907-5026	IND3134	See [Form Letter] IND483 Responses.
Karen Pilone	20160907-5028	IND3135	See [Form Letter] IND549 Responses.
Marsha Rudolph	20160907-5029	IND3136	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Jeff Porter	20160907-5031	IND3137	See General; Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20160907-5032	IND3138	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Margaret Harmsen	20160907-5038	IND3139	See General; Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Noise; Reliability & Safety; IND268-1 IND449-17 IND449-8 IND455-16 IND455-17 IND455-19 IND547-1 IND580-10 IND580-11 IND580-8 IND580-9 Responses.
Christopher T Kratzer	20160907-5049	IND3140	See General Responses.
Christopher T Kratzer	20160907-5048	IND3141	See General Responses.
Christine Giberson	20160907-5053	IND3142	See [Form Letter] IND108 General; Socioeconomics; IND3041-1 IND3041-2 IND580-10 IND580-13 IND580-14 Responses.
Matthew Errico	20160907-5054	IND3143	See General Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20160907-5055	IND3144	See General; IND3131-1 IND3131-2 Responses.
William Pandy	20160907-5056	IND3145	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160907-5063	IND3146	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jennie E Pandy	20160907-5064	IND3147	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Joan Kager	20160907-5066	IND3148	See General Responses.
Jennie E Pandy	20160907-5068	IND3149	See General Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160907-5069	IND3150	See General Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160907-5070	IND3151	See General Responses.
Carl Baker	20160907-5072	IND3152	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan Monsen	20160906-0017	IND3153	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Susan D. Meacham	20160907-5078	IND3154	See General Responses.
Beverly Danese	20160907-5084	IND3155	See General Responses.
Joe Calise	20160907-5096	IND3156	See [Form Letter] IND3131 General; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Janice Z.	20160906-0008	IND3157	See General Responses.
Joann Puskarcik	20160906-0023	IND3158	See General Responses.
Gary Salata	20160906-0028	IND3159	See General Responses.
Jay A. Hoff	20160907-5100	IND3160	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Jay A. Hoff	20160907-5100	IND3161	See General Responses.
Gary Salata	20160906-0006	IND3162	See General Responses.
William S. Moore	20160906-0010	IND3163	See General Responses.
Lois M Voronin	20160907-5111	IND3164	See [Form Letter] IND94 General Responses.
Rosina B. Dixon	20160906-0018	IND3165	See CO16-1 See CO16-2 IND580-8 IND580-9 Responses.
0	20160906-0021	IND3166	See General Responses.
William S. Moore	20160906-0022	IND3167	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Val Sigstedt	20160907-5121	IND3168	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Steven J. Kengeter	20160906-0024	IND3169	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Pat Sellers	20160906-0030	IND3170	See General Responses.
Beverly Hooley	20160906-0033	IND3171	See General Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160906-0034	IND3172	See [Form Letter] IND457 General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Jean A. Kelly	20160906-0009	IND3173	See General Responses.
Patricia Seyford	20160906-0035	IND3174	See General Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160907-5128	IND3175	See General Responses.
Maureen Santoro	20160906-0014	IND3176	See General Responses.
Catherine Pack	20160907-5129	IND3177	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160907-5130	IND3178	See General Responses.
Lisa Secretario	20160907-5132	IND3179	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160907-5134	IND3180	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
William T. Wyman	20160907-5135	IND3181	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Val Sigstedt	20160907-5137	IND3182	See General Responses.
Mary L Flory	20160907-5139	IND3183	See [Form Letter] IND179 [Form Letter] IND180 [Form Letter] IND181 [Form Letter] IND480 [Form Letter] IND577 [Form Letter] IND874 General Responses.
Linda Christman	20160906-4009	IND3184	See General Responses.
Mona Patel and David Wetzel	20160907-5141	IND3185	See General Responses.
Elizabeth Bell	20160907-5142	IND3186	See General Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160906-0044	IND3187	See General; Soils Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20160907-5148	IND3188	See [Form Letter] IND451 Responses.
Jeffrey S Heehs	20160907-5153	IND3189	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
John Belzel	20160906-0064	IND3190	See [Form Letter] IND1861. (113 Duplicates) Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5145	IND3191	See Alternatives Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5148	IND3192	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5149	IND3193	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5150	IND3194	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Rex Parker	20160912-5279	IND3195	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Carol Kleis	20160912-5280	IND3196	See IND3823 Responses.
Nancy Valanzola	20160912-5281	IND3197	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Patricia Shapella	20160912-5283	IND3198	See General; Project Need Responses.
Jamie Jacobson	20160912-5284	IND3199	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Meave Streit	20160912-5285	IND3200	See Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Form Letter IND108; Form Letter IND180; Form Letter IND3016; Form Letter IND3041 Responses.
Kristin Hara	20160912-5287	IND3201	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
John Markowski	20160912-5289	IND3202	See Project Description Responses.
Richard Chafey	20160912-5290	IND3203	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Jay Hoff	20160912-5291	IND3204	See Soils; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
John Markowski	20160912-5292	IND3205	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Sari DeCare	20160912-5293	IND3206	See General Responses.
Doug Hara	20160912-5294	IND3207	See IND3828 Responses.
Sari DeCare	20160912-5295	IND3208	See General Responses.
MM	20160912-5296	IND3209	See General Responses.
David Oleksa, President Durham Historical Society	20160912-5297	IND3210	See General; Cultural Responses.
John Markowski	20160912-5298	IND3211	See Mitigation Responses.
Jay Douglas	20160912-5299	IND3212	See Water Resources; Cultural Responses.
Ayako Chafey	20160912-5300	IND3213	See IND3830 Responses.
Robert Kirk	20160912-5301	IND3214	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jay Hoff	20160912-5302	IND3215	See Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Erica Johanson	20160912-5303	IND3216	See Form Letter IND3043; Form Letter IND3169; Form Letter IND468 Responses.
Irene Rudolph	20160912-5304	IND3217	See Water Resources Responses.
Diane Hartford	20160912-5305	IND3218	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
John Markowski	20160912-5307	IND3219	See Form Letter IND577 Responses.
John Markowski	20160912-5308	IND3220	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Jason Russell	20160912-5309	IND3221	See Form Letter IND585 Responses.
Adele Gugliotta	20160912-5310	IND3222	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Mary Michaels	20160912-5311	IND3223	See General; Project Need; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Thomas Stinnett	20160912-5312	IND3224	See Water Resources Responses.
Bert Rinkel	20160912-5313	IND3225	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Neil Moorcroft	20160912-5316	IND3226	See Water Resources; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
John Markowski	20160912-5314	IND3227	See General Responses.
Christina Covarrubias	20160912-5319	IND3228	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160912-5321	IND3229	See Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
Lesley deDufour	20160912-5324	IND3230	See Project Description Responses.
Adele M Gugliotta	20160912-5325	IND3231	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Theodore Chase Jr	20160912-5326	IND3232	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Adele M Gugliotta	20160912-5327	IND3233	See General Responses.
Bretta J Jacquemin	20160912-5330	IND3234	See Alternatives Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160912-5331	IND3235	See Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.
Adele M Gugliotta	20160912-5332	IND3236	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Bretta J Jacquemin	20160912-5334	IND3237	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Adele M Gugliotta	20160912-5335	IND3238	See Project Need Responses.
David Clapp	20160912-5337	IND3239	See General; Project Description; Alternatives; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Anne S McNaron	20160912-5338	IND3240	See Water Resources Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160912-5339	IND3241	See Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Kimberly Haren	20160912-5340	IND3242	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Carrie Sargeant	20160912-5341	IND3243	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Robert Furgerson	20160912-5343	IND3244	See Water Resources Responses.
Barbara Sherwood	20160912-5344	IND3245	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Barbara Sherwood	20160912-5345	IND3246	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Barbara Sherwood	20160912-5347	IND3247	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160912-5348	IND3248	See General Responses.
Barbara Sherwood	20160912-5349	IND3249	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160912-5350	IND3250	See General Responses.
Barbara Sherwood	20160912-5351	IND3251	See General Responses.
James Valanzola	20160912-5352	IND3252	See General Responses.
Nancy J DiSalvi	20160912-5353	IND3253	See Geologic Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Deb Sherwood	20160912-5354	IND3254	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Nancy C. Tate	20160912-5363	IND3255	See General Responses.
Nancy C. Tate	20160912-5365	IND3256	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Alice Orrichio	20160912-5367	IND3257	See Cultural Responses.
Gail Carabine	20160912-5372	IND3258	See Project Description; Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Judith Louis	20160912-5374	IND3259	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
[None given]	20160912-5375	IND3260	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Christopher T Kratzer	20160912-5377	IND3261	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Christopher T Kratzer	20160912-5378	IND3262	See Project Description; Mitigation Responses.
Tullis Onstott/Julia L. Barringer	20160923-5202	IND3263	See General; Geologic Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Christina Runkle	20160912-5382	IND3264	See Soils; Mitigation Responses.
Susan M. Blubaugh	20160912-5383	IND3265	See General; Project Description; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Christopher T Kratzer	20160912-5384	IND3266	See Project Need Responses.
Raymond Bruce Runkle	20160912-5386	IND3267	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Richard J. Kohler	20160912-5393	IND3268	See General Responses.
[None given]	20160912-5395	IND3269	See Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Elizabeth Kohler	20160912-5396	IND3270	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160923-5003	IND3271	See General Responses.
Ann L. Kohler	20160912-5398	IND3272	See General Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20160912-5399	IND3273	See Wetlands Responses.
Karen Kohler	20160912-5400	IND3274	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Ann Kohler	20160912-5401	IND3275	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Karen Kohler	20160912-5402	IND3276	See General Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5403	IND3277	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5405	IND3278	See Wetlands Responses.
Joseph H Walsh	20160912-5406	IND3279	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5407	IND3280	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5408	IND3281	See Project Need Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160912-5410	IND3282	See General Responses.
Julia L. Barringer, Prof. T.C. Onstott, Prof. H Dong, Prof. Brian J. Mailloux, Prof. Peter Crowley Ryan, Nathan Yee	20160912-5411	IND3283	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Joseph G. Ciardiello	20160912-5385	IND3284	See Project Need Responses.
Joseph G. Ciardiello	20160912-5388	IND3285	See General Responses.
Susan M. Blubaugh	20160912-5389	IND3286	See General Responses.
Joseph G. Ciardiello	20160912-5390	IND3287	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5413	IND3288	See Noise Responses.
Kim Robinson	20160912-5414	IND3289	See General; Air Quality Responses.
Jacquelyn Freedman	20160912-5415	IND3290	See Geologic Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Elizabeth Kohler	20160912-5416	IND3291	See Project Need Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Jacquelyn Freedman	20160912-5417	IND3292	See Geologic Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jacquelyn Freedman	20160912-5418	IND3293	See Water Resources Responses.
[None given]	20160912-5419	IND3294	See General Responses.
Jacquelyn Freedman	20160912-5420	IND3295	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Christopher T Kratzer	20160912-5421	IND3296	See Air Quality; Mitigation Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160912-5422	IND3297	See Project Need Responses.
Nancy C. Tate	20160912-5423	IND3298	See General Responses.
Christopher T Kratzer	20160912-5424	IND3299	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Christopher T Kratzer	20160912-5428	IND3300	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Fairfax Hunter	20160912-5431	IND3301	See Project Description; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Hannah Bonsey Suthers	20160912-5435	IND3302	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Lorraine Crown	20160912-5438	IND3303	See General Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160912-5443	IND3304	See General Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160912-5275	IND3305	See IND3817 Responses.
Russell A. Poles	20160912-5448	IND3306	See General Responses.
Robert and Betty Baggerly	20160921-0041	IND3307	See Project Need Responses.
Hannah C Poles	20160912-5449	IND3308	See General Responses.
Christiana Foglio	20160912-5450	IND3309	See General; Project Description; Wetlands; Water Resources; Cultural; Air Quality; Noise; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Janet Frew Poles	20160912-5451	IND3310	See General Responses.
Gail Carabine	20160912-5452	IND3311	See Soils; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
[None given]	20160912-5453	IND3312	See General Responses.
Gene Hunter	20160912-5454	IND3313	See Soils Responses.
Sue Begent	20160912-5455	IND3314	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Christiana Foglio	20160912-5456	IND3315	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Lorraine Crown	20160912-5458	IND3316	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Patrick Stonich	20161110-5130	IND3317	See General Responses.
John Ricart	20161114-0021	IND3318	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jill Dodds	20160912-5461	IND3319	See General Responses.
Linda Pfenning	20160912-5462	IND3320	See Alternatives Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160912-5276	IND3321	See General Responses.
Beth Pandy	20160912-5277	IND3322	See IND3819 Responses.
Robert Mountford	20160912-5278	IND3323	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Megan Adams	20160912-5469	IND3324	See General; Alternatives Responses.
Nancy C. Tate	20160912-5470	IND3325	See General Responses.
Gail Carabine	20160912-5473	IND3326	See General Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5474	IND3327	See [Form Letter] IND147; [Form Letter] IND268; Geologic Resources; Soils; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5475	IND3328	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Mitigation Responses.
(no name)	20160912-5477	IND3329	See General; Project Description; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5478	IND3330	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5479	IND3331	See [Form Letter] IND3131 Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5480	IND3332	See [Form Letter] IND 3560 Responses.
Megan Adams and Noreen Kemether	20160912-5481	IND3333	See General; Alternatives; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Ralph A. DeCesare	20160912-5482	IND3334	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Karen Pilone	20160912-5483	IND3335	See [Form Letter] IND3016 Responses.
Gail Carabine	20160912-5484	IND3336	See Geologic Resources; Soils Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Valerie Vanderborght	20160912-5485	IND3337	See [Form Letter] IND457; [Form Letter] IND480; [Form Letter] IND534; [Form Letter] IND577; Project Need; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Edward Morgan	20160912-5486	IND3338	See General; Project Need; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Citizens Against the Pipeline x4	20160912-5487	IND3339	See [Form Letter] See CO73-4; [Form Letter] IND1116; [Form Letter] IND181; [Form Letter] IND3015; [Form Letter] IND3016; [Form Letter] IND3131; [Form Letter] IND457; [Form Letter] IND480; [Form Letter] IND534; [Form Letter] IND577; [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
Lois M. Voronin	20160912-5488	IND3340	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources Responses.
Jacqueline Evans	20160912-5490	IND3341	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Gail Carabine	20160912-5493	IND3342	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Gail Carabine	20160912-5495	IND3343	See General Responses.
Ann Kohler	20160912-5496	IND3344	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Elizabeth Kohler	20160912-5497	IND3345	See [Form Letter] IND180; General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Richard Kohler	20160912-5498	IND3346	See [Form Letter] IND3015; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Christopher T. Kratzer	20160912-5500	IND3347	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Karen E. Kohler	20160912-5501	IND3348	See General Responses.
Christopher T. Kratzer	20160912-5502	IND3349	See Geologic Resources; Air Quality Responses.
Christopher T. Kratzer	20160912-5504	IND3350	See Soils; Water Resources Responses.
Christopher T. Kratzer	20160912-5505	IND3351	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
(no name)	20160912-5506	IND3352	See General; Project Description Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Christopher T. Kratzer	20160912-5507	IND3353	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Christopher T. Kratzer	20160912-5508	IND3354	See General; Project Need Responses.
(no name)	20160912-5509	IND3355	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Christopher T. Kratzer	20160912-5510	IND3356	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Christopher T. Kratzer	20160912-5511	IND3357	See General; Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Ann Kohler	20160912-5512	IND3358	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Ann Kohler	20160912-5513	IND3359	See [Form Letter] IND5480 Responses.
Winifred Waldron	20160912-5514	IND3360	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Tina and Dennis Hedrick	20160912-5516	IND3361	See General; Project Description; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural Responses.
Dionne Polk	20160912-5517	IND3362	See General; Project Description Responses.
Elizabeth Kohler	20160912-5518	IND3363	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Sue Begent	20160912-5520	IND3364	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kim Robinson	20160912-5521	IND3365	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Adrienne Panuski	20160912-552	IND3366	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Carol Johnson	20160912-5526	IND3367	See General Responses.
Betty and Thomas Kenny	20160922-5148	IND3368	See General Responses.
Reinel Iturbe	20160921-0042	IND3369	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Karen Pilone	20160912-5534	IND3370	See General; Project Description; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160912-5535	IND3371	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Deborah Kratzer	20160912-5536	IND3372	See General; Project Description; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160912-5537	IND3373	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Illia Barger	20160912-5539	IND3374	See [Form Letter] IND585; General Responses.
Thomas A. Kowalczyk	20160912-5541	IND3375	See General; Project Description; Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural; Mitigation Responses.
Ken Robinson	20160912-5542	IND3376	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Stephen N. Howard	20160912-5543	IND3377	See General; Project Description; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Edward and Margaret Kelly	20160922-5023	IND3378	See General Responses.
Elliot Gordon	20160912-5546	IND3379	See General Responses.
Kim Robinson	20160912-5547	IND3380	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Glenn R. Bradley	20160912-5549	IND3381	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Water Resources Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5550	IND3382	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5551	IND3383	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5552	IND3384	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5553	IND3385	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5554	IND3386	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5555	IND3387	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5556	IND3388	See [Form Letter] See CO73-4 Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5557	IND3389	See [Form Letter] IND3131 Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5558	IND3390	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5559	IND3391	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5560	IND3392	See [Form Letter] IND3016 Responses.
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5561	IND3393	See [Form Letter] IND3015 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Joan Vanderveen	20160912-5562	IND3394	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5563	IND3395	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5564	IND3396	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
June Schwank Montanari	20160912-5565	IND3397	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5566	IND3398	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Anne McNaron	20160912-5567	IND3399	See General; Alternatives; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5568	IND3400	See [Form Letter] IND181 Responses.
Michael Schulze	20160912-5569	IND3401	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5570	IND3402	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5571	IND3403	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5572	IND3404	See [Form Letter] IND457 Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5573	IND3405	See [Form Letter] See CO73-4 Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5574	IND3406	See [Form Letter] IND3131 Responses.
Michael Schulze	20160912-5575	IND3407	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5576	IND3408	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5577	IND3409	See [Form Letter] IND534 Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5579	IND3410	See [Form Letter] IND3016 Responses.
Bruce Gage	20160912-5580	IND3411	See [Form Letter] IND3015 Responses.
Michael Schulze	20160912-5582	IND3412	See General Responses.
(no name)	20160913-5162	IND3413	See General; Project Description Responses.
Carmen Rolon Holler	20160912-5584	IND3414	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Marc A. Johnson	20160912-5585	IND3415	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Michael Schulze	20160912-5586	IND3416	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Michael Schulze	20160912-5592	IND3417	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jody Williams	20160912-5594	IND3418	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Nancy C. Tate	20160912-5596	IND3419	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Michael Schulze	20160912-5598	IND3420	See General Responses.
Kathleen McEndy	20160909-0035	IND3421	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5145	IND3422	See Alternatives Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5148	IND3423	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5149	IND3424	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Iris and Sandy Bing	20160906-0066	IND3425	See General; Wetlands; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jeffrey S Heehs	20160907-5155	IND3426	See General; Alternatives; IND3189-3 Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160907-5158	IND3427	See General Responses.
John J Gontarski	20160907-5160	IND3428	See General Responses.
Gary Hinesley	20160907-5173	IND3429	See General; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160907-5172	IND3430	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
William H. and Andrea B. Wallace	20160907-5176	IND3431	See General; Wetlands; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160907-5181	IND3432	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Michael Spille	20160907-5196	IND3433	See General; Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Karen Pilone	20160907-5212	IND3434	See [Form Letter] IND3015 Responses.
Charles J. Weber	20160907-5218	IND3435	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Karen Pilone	20160907-5221	IND3436	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Gary Hinesley	20160907-5222	IND3437	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Leslie Sauer	20160908-5000	IND3438	See General; Alternatives; Soils Responses.
Susan VanSchuyver	20160908-5006	IND3439	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan Shapiro	20160908-5009	IND3440	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Marcia Tucker	20160908-5010	IND3441	See General Responses.
Marcia Tucker	20160908-5011	IND3442	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Michael Ruger	20160908-5012	IND3443	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Paul Wohltman	20160908-5017	IND3444	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Arianne Elinich	20160908-5019	IND3445	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joseph J. Plechavy	20160908-5021	IND3446	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Susan M. Blubaugh	20160908-5038	IND3447	See General; Water Resources; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20160908-5040	IND3448	See Geologic Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Joseph G. Ciardiello	20160908-5041	IND3449	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Georgi Tolstikov	20160908-5043	IND3450	See General Responses.
Susan M. Blubaugh	20160908-5044	IND3451	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joseph G. Ciardiello	20160908-5045	IND3452	See Project Need Responses.
Edward J. Kelly	20160908-5046	IND3453	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Christopher T Kratzer	20160908-5047	IND3454	See General; Mitigation Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5048	IND3455	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5049	IND3456	See Wetlands; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5050	IND3457	See General; Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5051	IND3458	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5052	IND3459	See General Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5053	IND3460	See Geologic Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5054	IND3461	See Cultural Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5505	IND3462	See Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5056	IND3463	See Alternatives Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5057	IND3464	See General; Mitigation Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5058	IND3465	See Noise Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5059	IND3466	See Alternatives; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5060	IND3467	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources Responses.
Jacqueline H. Evans	20160908-5061	IND3468	See Form Letter - IND3016 Responses.
Janine Nichols	20160907-5008	IND3469	See Form Letter - IND577 Responses.
Greg Wright	20160908-5078	IND3470	See Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20160908-5081	IND3471	See Project Need Responses.
Guy W Wagner	20160908-5084	IND3472	See General; Mitigation Responses.
Guy W Wagner	20160908-5087	IND3473	See General Responses.
Beverly Danese	20160908-5098	IND3474	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Beverly Danese	20160908-5102	IND3475	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Beverly Danese	20160908-5108	IND3476	See response to IND3474.
Beverly Danese	20160908-5109	IND3477	See General Responses.
Gerald Mitchell, Sr	20160908-5116	IND3478	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Michael W Dawson	20160908-5123	IND3479	See Cultural Responses.
Robert P. Tomaselli	20160908-5124	IND3480	See Cultural Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Sharon Murray	20160908-5125	IND3481	See General Responses.
Alice Stewart	20160908-5126	IND3482	See [Form Letter] IND3131; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Michael Spille	20160908-5127	IND3483	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Gary Salata	20160907-0027	IND3484	See [Form Letter] IND181-1; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Richard M. Ruf	20160908-5159	IND3485	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Karen Crovicz	20160908-5162	IND3486	See Project Need; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Susan Thoren	20160908-5166	IND3487	See General Responses.
Paul J. Fries	20160908-5172	IND3488	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Otto and Lori Fleischman	20160908-5174	IND3489	See Soils Responses.
Otto and Lori Fleischman	20160908-5175	IND3490	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Otto and Lori Fleischman	20160908-5176	IND3491	See Water Resources Responses.
Jeffrey R. Shafer	20160908-5179	IND3492	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160908-5182	IND3493	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
James R. Apffel	20160908-5201	IND3494	See Alternatives; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Deborah Parker	20160908-5202	IND3495	See [Form Letter] IND3485 Responses.
Joseph M. Jacobs	20160908-5218	IND3496	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality Responses.
Patricia A. Smith	20160908-5231	IND3497	See General Responses.
George Spais	20160908-5234	IND3498	See General; Project Need Responses.
Matthew Reddick	20160908-5246	IND3499	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
David Winston	20160908-5252	IND3500	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Maureen panzera	20160908-5263	IND3501	See General; Project Need Responses.
Frank A. Brinkca	20160908-5273	IND3502	See [Form Letter] IND3485 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Joseph Buchanan	20160908-5277	IND3503	See General Responses.
Gregory Bernet	20160906-5152	IND3504	See General Responses.
Kathy Burkhour	20160908-5279	IND3505	See General Responses.
Maryann Plesher	20160908-5287	IND3506	See [Form letter] IND108 Responses.
Amelia Weeder	20160908-5000	IND3507	See [Form Letter] IND3485; General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Gregory Aloia	20160909-5003	IND3508	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Jill Pustorino	20160909-5006	IND3509	See [Form Letter] IND3485 Responses.
Pamela L. McKay	20160909-5011	IND3510	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jane Wesby	20160909-5012	IND3511	See General Responses.
Leyla Spencer	20160909-5013	IND3512	See Water Resources Responses.
Sandra Matuska	20160909-5014	IND3513	See [Form Letter] SeeIND3131 Responses.
Mary Laskow	20160909-5015	IND3514	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Michael Spille	20160909-5017	IND3515	See General Responses.
Shirley Kessler	20160909-5018	IND3516	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
James Morgan	20160909-5019	IND3517	See General Responses.
Tamara P. Brengel	20160909-5028	IND3518	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Pamela Kerr	20160909-5029	IND3519	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Amy Raditz	20160909-5031	IND3520	See General; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160909-5032	IND3521	See Soils; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160909-5034	IND3522	See Project Description; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5035	IND3523	See [Form Letter] IND3015 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Janine Nichols	20160909-5036	IND3524	See General Responses.
Suzanne Perrault	20160909-5058	IND3525	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Carla Kelly-Mackey	20160909-5060	IND3526	See General; Project Description; Soils; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kyle Runkle	20160909-5065	IND3527	See General; Soils; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline Evans	20160909-5068	IND3528	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jacqueline Evans	20160909-5069	IND3529	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5072	IND3530	See [Form Letter] IND180 Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5073	IND3531	See [Form Letter] IND108 Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5074	IND3532	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160909-5075	IND3533	See Geologic Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5076	IND3534	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5077	IND3535	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Kim Robinson	20160909-5078	IND3536	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Alternatives; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5080	IND3537	See [Form Letter] IND483-1; Alternatives; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5081	IND3538	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5083	IND3539	See General Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5084	IND3540	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5079	IND3541	See [Form Letter] IND3131 Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5082	IND3542	See [Form Letter] IND1116 Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5085	IND3543	See [Form Letter] IND480 Responses.
Lloyd T.B. Evans	20160909-5086	IND3544	See [Form Letter] IND3016 Responses.
Laura Pritchard	20160909-5090	IND3545	See General Responses.
Sara "Puff" Busch	20160909-5092	IND3546	See [Form Letter] IND585; General Responses.
Kerry Heck	20150909-5093	IND3547	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Woody Carsky-Wilson	20160909-5094	IND3548	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
John Radwell	20160909-5090	IND3549	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Mike Saris	20160909-5103	IND3550	See General; Project Need; Air Quality Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20160909-5106	IND3551	See [Form Letter] IND 3016; General Responses.
Naomi Drew	20160909-5108	IND3552	See General; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Betty S. Kenny	20160909-5114	IND3553	See [Form Letter] IND108; General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Gary Hinesley	20160909-5115	IND3554	See General; Project Description; Air Quality Responses.
Henry J. Danilewicz	20160909-5117	IND3555	See General; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Nancy Santanello	20160909-5122	IND3556	See General; Project Description; Water Resources Responses.
Lindsay Napolitano	20160909-5124	IND3557	See [Form Letter] IND3015 Responses.
Lindsay Napolitano	20160909-5126	IND3558	See [Form Letter] IND874 Responses.
Lindsay Napolitano	20160909-5127	IND3559	See [Form Letter] IND3016 Responses.
Lindsay Napolitano	20160909-5128	IND3560	See Alternatives; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lindsay Napolitano	20160909-5129	IND3561	See [Form Letter] IND1332 Responses.
Lindsay Napolitano	20160909-5132	IND3562	See [Form Letter] IND577 Responses.
Lindsay Napolitano	20160909-5130	IND3563	See [Form Letter] IND3016 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Jay Reid	20161114-0020	IND3564	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Sean G. Plainfield	20160909-5140	IND3565	See [Form Letter] IND3485 Responses.
Katy Saris	20160909-5143	IND3566	See [Form Letter] IND585; General Responses.
Eleanor Brehme	20160909-5145	IND3567	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beverly D. Price	20160909-5146	IND3568	See General Responses.
Becca P Hoff	20160909-5149	IND3569	See General Responses.
Judy Louis	20160909-5152	IND3570	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Charlize Katzenbach	20160909-5172	IND3571	See General Responses.
Janice Zuzov	20160908-0013	IND3572	See General; Project Need Responses.
Becca P Hoff	20160909-5168	IND3573	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Claire Chontofalsky	20160909-5176	IND3574	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lisa M Sowden	20160909-5177	IND3575	See General Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5178	IND3576	See General Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5179	IND3577	See General Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5180	IND3578	See General; Socioeconomics; Mitigation Responses.
Peter Tierney	20160909-5181	IND3579	See Water Resources Responses.
Matt Larkin	20161117-5150	IND3580	See General; Project Need Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5189	IND3581	See General Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160909-5200	IND3582	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160909-5201	IND3583	See Project Need Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5204	IND3584	See General Responses.
[None given]	20160909-5205	IND3585	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160909-5206	IND3586	See General; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5207	IND3587	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5209	IND3588	See Project Need Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5215	IND3589	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5210	IND3590	See Geologic Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5220	IND3591	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5223	IND3592	See Alternatives Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5224	IND3593	See Noise Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5228	IND3594	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Vincent F. DiBianca	20160909-5230	IND3595	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lorraine Crown	20160909-5231	IND3596	See Air Quality; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Meg Sleeper	20161117-5178	IND3597	See Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5232	IND3598	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Dr. Stephen J. Chapman	20160909-5225	IND3599	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5234	IND3600	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Michael J Heffler	20161118-5041	IND3601	See Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5237	IND3602	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160909-5239	IND3603	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Teresa Ecker	20160909-5241	IND3604	See Water Resources Responses.
Gary Salute	20160908-0012	IND3605	See General Responses.
Elizabeth Thompson	20160909-5243	IND3606	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Karen Peters	20160909-5244	IND3607	See [Form Letter] IND147; Water Resources Responses.
Diana McConkey	20160909-5248	IND3608	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Joe Calise	20160909-5260	IND3609	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Richard J. Kohler	20160909-5271	IND3610	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Richard J. Kohler	20160909-5275	IND3611	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Chris Keep	20160909-5277	IND3612	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Elizabeth Kohler	20160909-5278	IND3613	See Water Resources Responses.
Anne K Kursinski	20160909-5287	IND3614	See General Responses.
Carol A Hoffman	20160909-5288	IND3615	See General Responses.
Richard J. Kohler	20160909-5291	IND3616	See Socioeconomics Responses.
0	20160909-5292	IND3617	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Anne K Kursinski	20160909-5294	IND3618	See General Responses.
Carol A Hoffman	20160909-5296	IND3619	See General Responses.
Anne K Kursinski	20160909-5297	IND3620	See General Responses.
Carol A Hoffman	20160909-5298	IND3621	See General Responses.
Anne K Kursinski	20160909-5299	IND3622	See General Responses.
Susan Charles Groth	20160909-5300	IND3623	See Water Resources Responses.
Carol A Hoffman	20160909-5301	IND3624	See Water Resources Responses.
Anne K Kursinski	20160909-5313	IND3625	See Water Resources Responses.
Elizabeth S. Kohler	20160909-5320	IND3626	See General Responses.
Anne K Kursinski	20160909-5327	IND3627	See General Responses.
Anne K Kursinski	20160909-5331	IND3628	See Water Resources Responses.
Martha C. Akers	20160909-5332	IND3629	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Wendy Gordon	20160909-5333	IND3630	See General Responses.
Martha C. Akers	20160909-5336	IND3631	See [Form Letter] IND1332-1; [Form Letter] IND1332-2; [Form Letter] IND1332-3; [Form Letter] IND1332-4; [Form Letter] IND1332-5 Responses.
0	20160909-5337	IND3632	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Ellen B. Pristach	20160909-5345	IND3633	See General Responses.
Barbara Fishman	20160909-5352	IND3634	See Water Resources Responses.
Nancy J. DiSalvi	20160909-5364	IND3635	See [Form Letter] IND955-1; [Form Letter] IND955-2 Responses.
Kimberly Nagy	20160909-5365	IND3636	See Water Resources Responses.
Marilyn Cummings	20160909-5369	IND3637	See Cultural Responses.
Jacqueline Root	20160909-5371	IND3638	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Joseph Lawver	20160909-5373	IND3639	See General; Alternatives Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Laura Tessieri	20160909-5376	IND3640	See Water Resources Responses.
Christopher Licciardi	20160909-5392	IND3641	See Alternatives Responses.
Virginia L. Welsh	20160909-5394	IND3642	See General Responses.
Jill Dodds	20160909-5396	IND3643	See General; Noise Responses.
Carla Harbourt	20160909-5403	IND3644	See [Form Letter] IND108 - 1; [Form Letter] IND108 - 2; Water Resources Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20160909-5404	IND3645	See [Form Letter] IND824 - 1; Alternatives Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20160909-5405	IND3646	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20160909-5406	IND3647	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Virginia L. Welsh	20160912-5001	IND3648	See Water Resources Responses.
Cynthia Richards	20160912-5008	IND3649	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Virginia L. Welsh	20160912-5010	IND3650	See Water Resources Responses.
Roger Thorpe	20160912-5015	IND3651	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Karen Pilone	20160912-5016	IND3652	See General Responses.
Karen Pilone	20160912-5019	IND3653	See [Form Letter] IND3131 - 1; [Form Letter] IND3131 - 2 Responses.
Karen Pilone	20160912-5023	IND3654	See [Form Letter] IND3647 - 1; [Form Letter] IND3647 - 2; [Form Letter] IND3647 - 3 Responses.
Tyson Sleete	20160912-5024	IND3655	See General Responses.
Karen Pilone	20160912-5026	IND3656	See [Form Letter] IND1332 - 1; [Form Letter] IND1332 - 2; [Form Letter] IND1332 - 3; [Form Letter] IND1332 - 4; [Form Letter] IND1332 - 5; [Form Letter] IND1332 - 6 Responses.
Ann H Quinn	20160912-5029	IND3657	See Water Resources Responses.
Lisa Hostettler	20160912-5034	IND3658	See [Form Letter] IND108 - 1; [Form Letter] IND108 - 2; Water Resources Responses.
Judi Roggie	20160912-5037	IND3659	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Thomas Harbin	20160912-5039	IND3660	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
David Olson	20160912-5045	IND3661	See General Responses.
Susanne Davis	20160912-5061	IND3662	See General Responses.
Judy Detrano	20160912-5062	IND3663	See Water Resources Responses.
Thomas and Diane Seessel	20160912-5063	IND3664	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Shirly H. Conti	20160912-5065	IND3665	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Annemarie Miller	20160912-5067	IND3666	See [Form Letter] IND3626 - 1; [Form Letter] IND3626 - 2 Responses.
Margaret Waldock	20160912-5068	IND3667	See Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Christine Zagami	20160912-5071	IND3668	See Water Resources Responses.
Robert Furgerson	20160912-5073	IND3669	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Michael Bartsch	20160912-5074	IND3670	See General Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160912-5075	IND3671	See General; Alternatives; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Paul J. Fries	20160912-5076	IND3672	See General Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20160912-5077	IND3673	See General Responses.
Joe Matuska	20160912-5078	IND3674	See Wetlands Responses.
Sandra Matuska	20160912-5079	IND3675	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Lisa Aron	20160912-5080	IND3676	See [Form Letter] IND457-1; [Form Letter] IND457-2 Responses.
John Cassidy	20160912-5081	IND3677	See [Form Letter] IND108-1; [Form Letter] IND108-2; [Form Letter] IND3041-1; [Form Letter] IND3041-2; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Glenn Swann	20160912-5083	IND3678	See Water Resources Responses.
Becky Hundley	20160912-5084	IND3679	See Water Resources Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5085	IND3680	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kimberly Basile	20160912-5086	IND3681	See [Form Letter] IND3678 - 1; [Form Letter] IND3678 - 2 Responses.
Mary Laskow	20160912-5087	IND3682	See General; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Nancy L Wilson	20160912-5088	IND3683	See General Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20160912-5089	IND3684	See Wetlands; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Matthew Drohan	20160912-5090	IND3685	See General Responses.
Naomi Drew	20160912-5091	IND3686	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Michael Spille	20160912-5092	IND3687	See General; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Ruth H Varney	20160912-5094	IND3688	See General Responses.
Constance Stroh	20160912-5096	IND3689	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Deborah King	20160912-5097	IND3690	See General Responses.
Nancy Coleman	20160912-5100	IND3691	See General; Soils; Water Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
William Pandy	20160921-5102	IND3692	See Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Nancy Cunningham	20160912-5103	IND3693	See General Responses.
Jean M. Falvo	20160912-5104	IND3694	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Elizabeth Frush	20160912-5107	IND3695	See General Responses.
Claire Chontofalsky	20160912-5108	IND3696	See General Responses.
Margaret I Waldock	20160912-5109	IND3697	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Jennie E Pandy	20160912-5110	IND3698	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Margaret I Waldock	20160912-5111	IND3699	See Water Resources Responses.
Christabel Foster	20160912-5112	IND3700	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Margaret I Waldock	20160912-5113	IND3701	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Robert Mountford Jr	20160912-5114	IND3702	See General; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Mitigation Responses.
Dalia Hoffman	20160912-5115	IND3703	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Dalia Hoffman	20160912-5116	IND3704	See General; Cultural Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Richard Foor	20160912-5117	IND3705	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Dalia Hoffman	20160912-5118	IND3706	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Glenn H Cantor	20160912-5119	IND3707	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Stephanie B Jones	20160912-5120	IND3708	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Kristin Pinyan	20160912-5121	IND3709	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Mary Rose Younghouse	20160912-5124	IND3710	See General Responses.
Isabel Starkey-Jones	20160912-5126	IND3711	See General Responses.
Ameilia Jones-Starkey	20160912-5127	IND3712	See General Responses.
Harriet Fisher	20160912-5129	IND3713	See General Responses.
Isabel B Starkey-Jones	20160912-5130	IND3714	See General Responses.
Phyllis Jacewicz	20160912-5131	IND3715	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Harriet Fisher	20160912-5132	IND3716	See General Responses.
Sandi Fisher	20160912-5133	IND3717	See General Responses.
Lori Hilbert	20160912-5135	IND3718	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Russell Durbin	20160912-5136	IND3719	See General Responses.
Joanne Trauth	20160912-5137	IND3720	See General; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Joyce Sherman	20160912-5146	IND3721	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Carla Kelly Mackey	20160912-5147	IND3722	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Pamela Russo	20160912-5148	IND3723	See Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Jody Williams	20160912-5150	IND3724	See General Responses.
Kimberly L Sherman	20160912-5151	IND3725	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Marianne T Collins	20160912-5153	IND3726	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Naomi Lonergan	20161118-5061	IND3727	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Kimberly L Sherman	20160912-5154	IND3728	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
William Gill Smith	20160912-5155	IND3729	See Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
Charlie Fisher	20160912-5156	IND3730	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Brian Morgan	20160912-5157	IND3731	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality Responses.
Sue Smith	20160912-5158	IND3732	See Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Charlie Fisher	20160912-5159	IND3733	See General Responses.
Marianne T Collins	20160912-5160	IND3734	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Nicole Vargas	20160912-5161	IND3735	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Bredan Lee	20160912-5162	IND3736	See Alternatives Responses.
Robert M Smith	20160912-5168	IND3737	See General Responses.
Jody Williams	20160912-5169	IND3738	See General Responses.
Anthony Allegretti	20160912-5107	IND3739	See General Responses.
Nancy J DiSalvi	20160912-5171	IND3740	See General Responses.
Geri Ann Siwulec	20160912-5173	IND3741	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Mary A Leck	20160912-5174	IND3742	See General; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Betty and Thomas Kenny	20160912-5177	IND3743	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Elizabeth Coughlin	20160912-5175	IND3744	See General Responses.
Nancy Porter	20160912-5178	IND3745	See Soils; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
D Slatkin	20160912-5179	IND3746	See General; Project Description Responses.
Paul J. Fries	20160912-5180	IND3747	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Stephen Nehila	20160912-5181	IND3748	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Mary Jane Legere	20160912-5182	IND3749	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Mary Van Gieson	20160912-5183	IND3750	See Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Anne B Stewart	20160912-5185	IND3751	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Evelyn Delaney	20160912-5188	IND3752	See Project Need Responses.
Robert M Smith	20160912-5189	IND3753	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Robert M Smith	20160912-5190	IND3754	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Eleanor Brehme	20160912-5191	IND3755	See General Responses.
Robert M Smith	20160912-5192	IND3756	See Socioeconomics Responses.
Robert M Smith	20160912-5193	IND3757	See Soils; Water Resources Responses.
Fred Fall	20160912-5194	IND3758	See General Responses.
Martha C. Akers	20160912-5195	IND3759	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Jill Dodds	20160912-5196	IND3760	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Martha C. Akers	20160912-5197	IND3761	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Edward Seely	20160912-5198	IND3762	See Wetlands; Water Resources Responses.
Robert M Smith	20160912-5200	IND3763	See Alternatives Responses.
Martha C. Akers	20160912-5201	IND3764	See Soils; Water Resources Responses.
Robert M Smith	20160912-5202	IND3765	See Project Need Responses.
Michael M. H. S. Tat	20160912-5204	IND3766	See Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Meg Carsky-Wilson	20160912-5205	IND3767	See Alternatives; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Robert M Smith	20160912-5206	IND3768	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Janice DiLello	20160912-5207	IND3769	See Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joan Kager	20160912-5208	IND3770	See General; Project Need Responses.
Robert M Smith	20160912-5210	IND3771	See Wetlands; Water Resources Responses.
Roger Byrom	20160912-5211	IND3772	See Cultural Responses.
Roger Byrom	20160912-5213	IND3773	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Laura Oliver	20160912-5214	IND3774	See General Responses.
Robert Ashbrook	20160912-5215	IND3775	See Geologic Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural Responses.
Roger Byrom	20160912-5217	IND3776	See General; Geologic Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Wendy Rasmussen	20160912-5218	IND3777	See Cultural Responses.
Roger Byrom	20160912-5219	IND3778	See Cultural Responses.
Stephen Garofalini	20160912-5220	IND3779	See General Responses.
Roger Byrom	20160912-5221	IND3780	See Water Resources Responses.
Wendy Rasmussen	20160912-5223	IND3781	See Water Resources Responses.
Roger Byrom	20160912-5224	IND3782	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
L. Thomas Welsh, Jr	20160912-5227	IND3783	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Patricia Barnett	20160912-5228	IND3784	See Socioeconomics; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Thomas E. Stinnett Borough Council President Riegelsville Borough	20160912-5229	IND3785	See Water Resources; Noise Responses.
Catherine Weber	20160912-5230	IND3786	See General Responses.
Carol Hoekje	20160912-5231	IND3787	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Thomas Stinnett Borough Council President Riegelsville Borough	20160912-5232	IND3788	See Water Resources Responses.
Carey Compton	20160912-5233	IND3789	See General; Alternatives; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Maureen Syrnick	20160912-5234	IND3790	See Project Description Responses.
Catherine Weber	20160912-5235	IND3791	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Teresa Marrin Nakra	20160912-5236	IND3792	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Lois Oleksa	20160912-5237	IND3793	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural; Noise Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Mary Schmidt	20160912-5238	IND3794	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Mary Schmidt	20160912-5239	IND3795	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Mary Schmidt	20160912-5240	IND3796	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Mary Schmidt	20160912-5241	IND3797	See Soils; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
David Oleksa	20160912-5242	IND3798	See General Responses.
Mary Schmidt	20160912-5243	IND3799	See Cultural; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Catherine Weber	20160912-5244	IND3800	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Marcia Tucker	20160912-5245	IND3801	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Edward Morgan, Clerk Lehigh Valley Monthly Meeting of the Religious Society of Friends	20160912-5247	IND3802	See General; Alternatives; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Christina Marschewski	20160912-5248	IND3803	See General; Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Michael Marschewski	20160912-5250	IND3804	See Form Letter IND108; IND3803 Responses.
Denise Hansson	20160912-5251	IND3805	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	201610912-5253	IND3806	See General; Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Ellen Kenny	20160912-5254	IND3807	See General Responses.
Lewis Pepperman and Margherita Pepperman	20160912-5255	IND3808	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Form Letter IND108 Responses.
Rita Raftery	20160912-5256	IND3809	See Form Letter IND3485 Responses.
Patricia Shapella	20160912-5257	IND3810	See General Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160912-5268	IND3811	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Sondra Wolferman	20160912-5269	IND3812	See Project Description; Socioeconomics; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20160912-5270	IND3813	See General Responses.
Lloyd Evans	20160912-5271	IND3814	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160912-5272	IND3815	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Mary Anne Mountford	20160912-5273	IND3816	See Water Resources Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160912-5274	IND3817	See General Responses.
Aurette P. Sprout	20161205-5338	IND3818	See Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Beth Pandey	20160912-5276	IND3819	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Frenchtown Environmental Commission	20161205-5340	IND3820	See Water Resources; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Alice Bebout	20161205-5342	IND3821	See Project Need; Water Resources; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Alice Bebout	20161205-5347	IND3822	See Alternatives; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
C. Sharyn Magee	20161205-5357	IND3823	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation Responses.
Maureen Syrnick, Kingwood Township Planning Board	20161205-5360	IND3824	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
NJ Spotlight article submission	20161205-0015	IND3825	See Project Need Responses.
Aurette P. Sprout	20161205-5375	IND3826	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Elise Wright	20161205-5386	IND3827	See Project Need; Wetlands; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Leigh Marino	20161205-5388	IND3828	See form letter IND3820 Responses.
not specified	20161205-5390	IND3829	See Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
not specified	20161205-5392	IND3830	See Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
Michael Spille, West Amwell Citizens Against the Pipeline	20161205-5393	IND3831	See Project Need; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
not specified	20161205-5395	IND3832	See Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Fairfax Hutter	20161205-5396	IND3833	See Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Karen Pilone	20161205-5397	IND3834	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
not specified	20161205-5398	IND3835	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources Responses.
Jim Hake	20161205-5399	IND3836	See Alternatives Responses.
Trish Barby	20161205-5270	IND3837	See Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20161118-5137	IND3838	See General; Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Ellen Reichart	20161118-5147	IND3839	See General Responses.
Stephen Garofalini	20161118-5175	IND3840	See General; Project Description; Water Resources Responses.
Rebecca Canright	20161121-5003	IND3841	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Naomi Lonergan	20161121-5004	IND3842	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Betty/Thomas Kenny	20161121-5009	IND3843	See General; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Christopher Gifford	20161121-5010	IND3844	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Emma A Switzler	20161122-5001	IND3845	See Project Description; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Ray J. Barson	20161122-5002	IND3846	See General; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources Responses.
Sharyn Barson	20161122-5003	IND3847	See [Form Letter] IND3844 Responses.
Michael Melillo	20161122-5006	IND3848	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Raymond Eichlin Jr.	20161122-5012	IND3849	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Raymond Eichlin Jr.	20161122-5023	IND3850	See Project Description; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Armen Galasso	20161122-5100	IND3851	See General; Soils Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20161122-5121	IND3852	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
William Markus	20161122-5182	IND3853	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Dorota Gilewicz	20161123-5003	IND3854	See General; Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20161123-5046	IND3855	See General Responses.
Nora Anderson	20161123-5057	IND3856	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Franklin I Fenner, JR	20161123-5072	IND3857	See General; Project Description Responses.
Nora Anderson	20161123-5079	IND3858	Duplicate of IND3856 Responses.
Joe Guest	20161125-5006	IND3859	See General; Alternatives; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Franklin I Fenner, JR	20161125-5008	IND3860	See General Responses.
John P. Hencheck	20161125-5010	IND3861	See General; Project Need Responses.
Jeanne Moore	20161128-5000	IND3862	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jamie Kamph	20161128-5005	IND3863	See General Responses.
Betty/Thomas Kenny	20161128-5007	IND3864	See General; Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
Viola Markus	20161128-5008	IND3865	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joan Kager	20161128-5011	IND3866	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Laura A Huntsman	20161128-5017	IND3867	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Elise Wright	20161128-5018	IND3868	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Joan Kager	20161128-5033	IND3869	See General; Project Need Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Isabel B Starkey-Jones	20161128-5036	IND3870	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Stephanie B Jones	20161128-5037	IND3871	See [Form Letter] IND3870 Responses.
Amelia B Jones-Starkey	20161128-5038	IND3872	See [Form Letter] IND3870 Responses.
Harriet Fisher	20161128-5039	IND3873	See [Form Letter] IND3870 Responses.
Julia Barringer and Prof. Tullis Onstott	20161128-5054	IND3874	See Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Julia Barringer and Prof. Tullis Onstott	20161128-5056	IND3875	See IND3874 Responses.
Lynn Hulme	20161128-5057	IND3876	See Soils; Water Resources Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20161128-5058	IND3877	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
David Snope	20161128-5044	IND3878	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Naomi Loneragan	20161128-5116	IND3879	See Project Need Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20161128-5146	IND3880	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Tonya Hallett	20161128-5169	IND3881	See General Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20161128-5226	IND3882	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Carol Heffler	20161129-5000	IND3883	See Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Susan Rovello	20161129-5001	IND3884	See General Responses.
Janine Nichols	20161129-5007	IND3885	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Mitigation Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Patricia A. Oceanak	20161129-5008	IND3886	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Mitigation; IND3882-10; IND3882-13; IND3882-14; IND3882-15; IND3882-16; IND3882-17; IND3882-18; IND3882-3; IND3882-4; IND3882-5; IND3882-6; IND3882-7; IND3882-8; IND3882-9 Responses.
Patricia A. Oceanak	20161129-5009	IND3887	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Socioeconomics Responses.
Angela A. Karas	20161129-5010	IND3888	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Angela A. Karas	20161129-5011	IND3889	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Angela A. Karas	20161129-5012	IND3890	See General Responses.
Frank Karas	20161129-5013	IND3891	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Frank Karas	20161129-5014	IND3892	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Frank Karas	20161129-5015	IND3893	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20161129-5016	IND3894	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20161129-5017	IND3895	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Michelle Sandrow	20161129-5018	IND3896	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Eric Sandrow	20161129-5019	IND3897	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Eric Sandrow	20161129-5020	IND3898	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20161129-5022	IND3899	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Eric Sandrow	20161129-5021	IND3900	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20161129-5023	IND3901	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Richard Constantine	20161129-5024	IND3902	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20161129-5025	IND3903	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20161129-5026	IND3904	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Vivian Ford	20161129-5027	IND3905	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20161129-5028	IND3906	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20161129-5029	IND3907	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Anthony DiBianca	20161129-5030	IND3908	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20161129-5031	IND3909	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Sarina DiBianca	20161129-5033	IND3910	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Sarina DiBianca	20161129-5034	IND3911	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Leslie Sauer	20161129-5040	IND3912	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Deborah Vari	20161129-5051	IND3913	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Christopher Plummer	20161129-5052	IND3914	See General; IND3881-1 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20161129-5053	IND3915	Duplicate IND3882 Responses.
763 Wyoming Avenue Wyoming, Pennsylvania	20161129-5056	IND3916	See Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Dr. Ned Heindel and Dr. Linda Heindel, and the Linda Heindel Living Trust	20161129-5089	IND3917	See General; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Shaun Ellis	20161129-5102	IND3918	See IND3881-1; IND3881-2 Responses.
Aylin Green	20161129-5127	IND3919	See IND3881-1; IND3881-2 Responses.
Joan Kager	20161129-5132	IND3920	See Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Noise Responses.
Betsey Norland	20161129-5149	IND3921	See General; Project Need; Project Description Responses.
Sue Boer	20161129-5153	IND3922	See General; Water Resources; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Denver McCourt	20161129-0007	IND3923	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Lisette Weiland	20161129-5171	IND3924	See General Responses.
Terry Packer	20161129-0020	IND3925	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Joseph A. Calise	20161129-5181	IND3926	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Noise; Mitigation Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20161129-5196	IND3927	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Joseph A. Calise	20161129-5197	IND3928	See General; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; IND3926-1 through IND3926-9 Responses.
Lindsay Napolitano	20161129-5212	IND3929	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Mara Miller	20161129-5214	IND3930	See General Responses.
Amanda Philipp	20161129-5224	IND3931	See General Responses.
Adam Yunker	20161129-0037	IND3932	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Terry Packer	20161129-0038	IND3933	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Max Crandall	20161129-5246	IND3934	See General Responses.
Daria Karas	20161130-5002	IND3935	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Daria Karas	20161130-5003	IND3936	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Daria Karas	20161130-5004	IND3937	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Gloria Stone	20161130-5005	IND3938	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Daria Karas	20161130-5006	IND3939	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20161130-5007	IND3940	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20161130-5008	IND3941	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Susan Barnes	20161130-5009	IND3942	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20161130-5011	IND3943	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Reid Bryant	20161130-5012	IND3944	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20161130-5013	IND3945	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20161130-5014	IND3946	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Edward Bennett	20161130-5015	IND3947	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
George Eckelmann	20161130-5016	IND3948	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
George Eckelmann	20161130-5017	IND3949	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
George Eckelmann	20161130-5018	IND3950	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20161130-5019	IND3951	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20161130-5020	IND3952	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Alex Marshall	20161130-5021	IND3953	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Vinton Freedley III	20161130-5022	IND3954	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Vinton Freedley III	20161130-5023	IND3955	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Vinton Freedley III	20161130-5024	IND3956	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
John Leiser	20161130-5025	IND3957	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
John Leiser	20161130-5026	IND3958	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
John Leiser	20161130-5027	IND3959	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20161130-5047	IND3960	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Anjelica McMahon	20161130-5115	IND3961	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Raymond Clark	20161130-5148	IND3962	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Socioeconomics; IND3887-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Adam Taylor	20161130-5149	IND3963	See General; Project Need; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Debra Mercer	20161130-5155	IND3964	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20161130-5157	IND3965	See IND3926-1 through IND3926-8 Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20161130-5162	IND3966	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Robert Kumpa	20161130-5179	IND3967	See General Responses.
John Stein	20161130-5185	IND3968	See General Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20161130-5162	IND3969	See IND3966-1 through IND3966-6 Responses.
Mary Jane Legere	20161130-5301	IND3970	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Ned and Linda Heindel	20161130-5338	IND3972	See Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Soils; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Jan Robbins	20161201-5018	IND3973	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Michael & Phyllis Jacewicz	20161201-5022	IND3974	See Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Michael J Heffler	20161201-5031	IND3975	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Joe Calise	20161201-5073	IND3976	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jenny Ludmer	20161201-5088	IND3977	See Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20161201-5108	IND3978	See Project Need; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
William Hoch	20161201-5113	IND3979	See General Responses.
Sari DeCesare	20161201-5146	IND3980	See Project Need; Project Description; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Chris Baczewski	20161201-5185	IND3981	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Air Quality Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20161201-5227	IND3982	See Project Need; Project Description; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Joan Kager	20161201-5345	IND3983	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Mitigation Responses.
Jody Williams	20161201-5382	IND3984	See General; Project Description; Wetlands; Water Resources Responses.
Jo Sippie-Gora	20161202-5013	IND3985	See General; Project Need; Air Quality Responses.
Anna Bosted	20161202-5089	IND3986	See General; Project Need; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20161202-5111	IND3987	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Bernice Wahl	20161202-5112	IND3988	Duplicate of IND4959 Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20161202-5122	IND3989	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Norman W Torkelson	20161202-5124	IND3990	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Beth O'Brien	20161202-5130	IND3991	See Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Anne S. McNaron	20161202-5158	IND3992	See Project Need; Alternatives Responses.
James J. and Roseanne R. Matyas	20161202-0006	IND3993	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Joseph Buchanan	20161202-5190	IND3994	See General; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Michael E. Loncoski	20161202-0008	IND3995	See General; Project Description; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joseph Buchanan	20161202-5197	IND3996	See General; Project Description; Alternatives; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Mitigation Responses.
Joe Calise	20161202-5200	IND3997	See General; Project Description; Alternatives; Wetlands; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Norman W Torkelson	20161202-5225	IND3998	See General; Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Cultural; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Jeffrey R. Shafer	20161202-5249	IND3999	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Noise; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
David Costello	20161202-5263	IND4000	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Laura Obine	20161205-5007	IND4001	See General; Wetlands; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Joan Kager	20161205-5009	IND4002	See General Responses.
Michael Phyllis Jacewics	20161205-5012	IND4003	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20161205-5015	IND4004	See General Responses.
Mark Canright	20161205-5016	IND4005	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; IND3890-1 Responses.
David Laveman	20161205-5028	IND4006	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
David Laveman	20161205-5029	IND4007	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
David Laveman	20161205-5030	IND4008	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Marie Case	20161205-5031	IND4009	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Marie Case	20161205-5032	IND4010	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Marie Case	20161205-5033	IND4011	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
James Selman	20161205-5034	IND4012	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
James Selman	20161205-5035	IND4013	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
James Selman	20161205-5036	IND4014	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Vincent DiBianca	20161205-5037	IND4015	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Patricia A. Oceanak	20161205-5038	IND4016	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20161205-5039	IND4017	See IND3890-1 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20161205-5040	IND4018	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Jessica Keener	20161205-5041	IND4019	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca	20161205-5042	IND4020	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca	20161205-5043	IND4021	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Suzanne DiBianca	20161205-5044	IND4022	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20161205-5045	IND4023	See IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20161205-5046	IND4024	See IND3889-1; IND3889-2 Responses.
Richard DiBianca	20161205-5047	IND4025	See IND3887-1; IND3887-2 Responses.
Robert Mountford	20161205-5048	IND4026	See General Responses.
Robert Mountford	20161205-5049	IND4027	See IND3966-1 through IND3966-6 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Robert Mountford	20161205-5050	IND4028	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Robert Mountford	20161205-5051	IND4029	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Robert Mountford	20161205-5052	IND4030	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety; IND3926-3; IND3926-5; IND3926-6; IND3926-7 Responses.
Robert Mountford	20161205-5053	IND4031	See General; Alternatives Responses.
Mark L Fennelly	20161205-5054	IND4032	See IND3889-1; IND3890-1 Responses.
Robert Mountford	20161205-5055	IND4033	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources Responses.
Shirah Gray	20161205-5056	IND4034	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Joan Kager	20161205-5058	IND4035	See General; Project Need Responses.
Eric Weisgerber	20161205-5060	IND4036	See General Responses.
Sean Nejman	20161205-5062	IND4037	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Mary T. Leithauser	20161205-5062	IND4038	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Deirdre Alderfer	20161205-5065	IND4039	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Hannah B Suthers	20161205-5066	IND4040	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
William Chiang	20161205-5067	IND4041	See General Responses.
Guy W. Wagner	20161205-5069	IND4042	See General Responses.
Rosemary King	20161205-5070	IND4043	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
John R. Crum	20161205-5071	IND4044	See Water Resources Responses.
Rob Bell	20161205-5075	IND4047	See Water Resources Responses.
Janet Grillo	20161205-5076	IND4048	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Joan McGee	20161205-5077	IND4049	See General; Project Need Responses.
Robert C. Mountford	20161205-5078	IND4050	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Mary Ann Mountford	20161205-5079	IND4051	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Jacqueline Sornstein	20161205-5080	IND4052	See General Responses.
Janet Nocar	20161205-5081	IND4053	See Geologic Resources Responses.
C. Brat Switzler	20161205-5082	IND4054	See General; Socioeconomics; Noise; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Michael and Phyllis Jacewicz	20161205-5083	IND4055	See General; Geologic Resources Responses.
Jennifer Hirsch	20161205-5084	IND4056	See General; Project Need; Water Resources Responses.
Mary T. Leithauser	20161205-5062	IND4057	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural Responses.
Susan Dodd Meacham	20161205-5086	IND4058	See Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Richard J Chafey	20161205-5087	IND4059	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Diana W McConkey	20161205-5088	IND4060	See Water Resources Responses.
Kimberly Nagy	20161205-5090	IND4061	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Arianne Elinich	20161205-5092	IND4062	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Margherita Pepperman	20161205-5094	IND4063	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Lisa Aron	20161205-5095	IND4064	See General Responses.
Jean M Falvo	20161205-5096	IND4065	See Alternatives Responses.
Judy Detrano	20161205-5097	IND4066	See Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jennifer Paquette	20161205-5098	IND4067	See General Responses.
Richard Chafey	20161205-5099	IND4068	See General; Cultural Responses.
Laura M LaFevre	20161205-5100	IND4069	See Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Dein Shapiro	20161205-5102	IND4070	See Reliability & Safety; IND4063 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Nicholas Strong	20161205-5102	IND4071	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jean M. Falvo	20161205-5105	IND4072	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Cultural; IND3966-1 through IND3966-5 Responses.
Amelia B. Jones-Starkey	20161205-5106	IND4073	See Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Charles W. Fisher	20161205-5107	IND4074	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; IND4073-1 and IND4073-2 Responses.
Stephanie Jones	20161205-5108	IND4075	See General; IND4073-1 and IND4073-2 Responses.
Isabel B. Starkey-Jones	20161205-5109	IND4076	See IND4073-1 and IND4073-2 Responses.
Marjorie Paloma	20161205-5110	IND4077	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics; IND3890-1 and IND3890-2 Responses.
Harriet Fisher	20161205-5111	IND4078	See IND4074-1 through IND4074-3 Responses.
Cara Macy	20161205-5112	IND4079	See General; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Noise Responses.
Jean M. Falvo	20161205-5113	IND4080	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Mindi Turin	20161205-5115	IND4081	See General Responses.
Alan Turin	20161205-5116	IND4082	See IND4081-1 Responses.
Susan D. Meacham	20161205-5117	IND4083	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Heather Haberle	20161205-5114	IND4084	See General; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Aurette P. Sprout	20161205-5118	IND4085	See General; Alternatives; Water Resources Responses.
Aurette P. Sprout	20161205-5119	IND4086	See IND3889-2 Responses.
Rita Romeu	20161205-5131	IND4087	See General Responses.
Elise Wright	20161205-5137	IND4088	See Project Description; Alternatives; Socioeconomics; Cumulative Impacts; IND3887-1; IND3889-1; IND3889-2; IND3890-1; IND3890-2 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Sarah Bodine	20161205-5155	IND4089	See General; Alternatives; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Sharyn Magee, President, Washington Crossing Audobon Society	20161205-5171	IND4090	See General; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Noise Responses.
Mr. and Mrs. Mackey	20161205-5175	IND4091	See General Responses.
Unknown	20161205-5176	IND4092	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; CO47-1. How does PE plan to monitor & remediate for this eventuality? Responses.
Julia Barringer	20161205-5184	IND4093	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources Responses.
Ava Murphy	20161205-5185	IND4094	See Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Cultural Responses.
Therese Buchanan	20161205-5186	IND4095	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality Responses.
Eric Weisberger	20161205-5187	IND4096	See Reliability & Safety Responses.
Mary Anne Borge, Jeff Worthington	20161205-5190	IND4097	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20161205-5194	IND4098	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20161205-5195	IND4099	See Soils; Cultural Responses.
Therese Buchanan	20161205-5196	IND4100	See Soils; Water Resources Responses.
Jacquelyn Freedman	20161205-5198	IND4101	See [Duplicate] IND4098 Responses.
Lois M Voronin	20161205-5204	IND4102	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Jacqueline Evans	20161205-5205	IND4103	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Jean Falvo	20161205-5208	IND4104	See Water Resources Responses.
Christopher Kratzer	20161205-5209	IND4105	See General; Project Need; Alternatives; Vegetation and Wildlife; Air Quality Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Jacqueline Evans	20161205-5210	IND4106	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Jacqueline Evans	20161205-5211	IND4107	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20161205-5212	IND4108	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Maryanne Plesher	20161205-5216	IND4109	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
John J. Gontarski	20161205-5219	IND4110	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Julia L Barringer	20161205-5223	IND4111	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lauren R McManus	20161205-5221	IND4112	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jennifer Hunter	20161205-5234	IND4113	See Geologic Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Marilyn Cummings	20161205-5242	IND4114	See Cultural Responses.
Emma Angele Macy Switzler	20161205-5250	IND4115	See Geologic Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Robert Kirk	20161205-5256	IND4116	See Project Need Responses.
Norman Torkelson	20161205-5258	IND4117	See Water Resources; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Joy E Stocke	20161205-5261	IND4118	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Nathaniel J Davis	20161205-5263	IND4119	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Nathaniel J Davis	20161205-5264	IND4120	See [Duplicate] IND4120 Responses.
John Markowski	20161205-5265	IND4121	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Richard Dodds	20161205-5266	IND4122	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Frank N. D'Amore Sr.	20161107-0100	IND4123	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
David Piatkowski	20161107-0103	IND4124	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Joshua Loomis	20161107-0101	IND4125	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Alexis Barron	20161107-0102	IND4126	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
William Karr	20161107-0104	IND4127	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Brian Fox	20161107-0105	IND4128	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Anne Whitehurst	20161109-5001	IND4129	See General; Socioeconomics Responses.
Sondra Wolferman	20161110-5164	IND4130	See Project Description; Alternatives; Wetlands; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Frank P and Roma M Gasper	20161114-5011	IND4131	See Project Description; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Noise Responses.
Jody Williams	20161114-5151	IND4132	See General Responses.
N/A	20161114-5191	IND4133	See General; Project Need Responses.
Jennifer Klose	20161110-0025	IND4134	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Patrick Stonich	20161110-5130	IND4135	See General Responses.
John Ricart	20161114-0021	IND4136	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Jay Reid	20161114-0020	IND4137	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.
Matt Larkin	20161117-5150	IND4138	See General; Project Need Responses.
Meg Sleeper	20161117-5178	IND4139	See Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Michael J Heffler	20161118-5041	IND4140	See Project Need; Socioeconomics Responses.
Naomi Lonergan	20161118-5061	IND4141	See Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Marie Muhler and 101 other individuals	20160829-0009	IND1546-1647	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1861 Responses.
Ellery Fernandez and 98 other individuals	20160829-0081	IND1652-1750	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND25 Responses.
Rodger Cornell and 60 other individuals	20160830-0050	IND1765-1825	See [Form Letter] See comments of IND1861 Responses.
John Doe-119 individuals	20160831-0039	IND1915-2034	See [Form Letter] IND363 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
John Doe-85 individuals	20160901-0018	IND2087-2172	See [Form Letter] IND1861 Responses.
Joseph Caparoso	20160801-5023	INT1	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Theodore H Johnson, III	20160803-5034	INT2	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Stephen L Croce	20160805-5129	INT3	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Joy E Stocke	20160805-5135	INT4	See Alternatives; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160808-5234	INT5	See General Responses.
James Meade	20160809-5105	INT6	See General; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Adrienne Crombie	20160810-5141	INT7	See General Responses.
Terese Buchanan	20160810-5154	INT8	See General; Geologic Resources; Soils; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
David M. DelVecchio, Mayor City of Lambertville	20160810-5186	INT9	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources Responses.
Susan Begent	20160812-5033	INT10	See General Responses.
Julie Howard	20160812-5034	INT11	See General Responses.
Daniel Freedman	20160816-5043	INT12	See [Form Letter] See INT6 Responses.
Gay R Ely	20160816-5070	INT13	See General Responses.
Lucy Freck	20160815-5122	INT14	See General Responses.
Jeffrey Worthington	20160817-5173	INT15	See General; Project Need; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Air Quality; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Mary Anne Borge	20160817-5216	INT16	See [Duplicate] See INT15 Responses.
Walt Podpora	20160817-5218	INT17	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Mia Wong	20160818-5072	INT19	See [Form Letter] See INT18 Responses.
Karen G. Mitchell	20160819-5208	INT20	See [Form Letter] See INT18 Responses.
Tullis Onstott	20160819-5209	INT21	See Geologic Resources; Soils Responses.
Joe Matuska	20160822-5056	INT22	See [Form Letter] See INT18 Responses.
Jay S Douglas	20160830-5033	INT23	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Deborah B Vari	20160830-5092	INT24	See [Duplicate] See INT23 Responses.
Lisa Meehan	20160830-5093	INT25	See Project Need Responses.
Billie Sola Fries	20160830-5094	INT26	See General Responses.
Deborah B Vari	20160830-5162	INT27	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Deborah B Vari	20160830-5163	INT28	See Wetlands; Water Resources Responses.
Deborah B Vari	20160830-5171	INT29	See Geologic Resources Responses.
Deborah B Vari	20160830-5172	INT30	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Deborah B Vari	20160830-5174	INT31	See Water Resources Responses.
Deborah B Vari	20160830-5175	INT32	See General Responses.
Deborah B Vari	20160830-5176	INT33	See General Responses.
Sue Elkind	20160831-5087	INT34	See General Responses.
Lynn Trinchera	20160831-5089	INT35	See General Responses.
Christopher Plummer	20160831-5129	INT36	See Water Resources Responses.
Christopher Plummer	20160831-5142	INT37	See Project Need Responses.
Christopher Plummer	20160831-5153	INT38	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Jacqueline Romero	20160901-5142	INT39	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Jennifer Jakubowski	20160901-5148	INT40	See General; INT39-1; INT39-2; INT39-3; INT39-4 Responses.
Rock Creek Woods Homeowners Association	20160906-5227	INT41	See General Responses.
Abigail Boehm	20160906-5231	INT42	See General Responses.
Joshua Motto	20160908-5212	INT43	See General Responses.
Carrie Sargeant	2160909-5061	INT44	See General Responses.
Margaret Mitchell	20160609-5062	INT45	See General Responses.
Gail Carabine	20160909-5063	INT46	See General Responses.
Judy Detrano	20160909-5064	INT47	See Water Resources Responses.
Anne Stewart	20160909-5066	INT48	See General Responses.
Michael Schulze	20160909-5067	INT49	See Water Resources Responses.
Gerald Mitchell	20160909-5070	INT50	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Christopher Sloboda	20160909-5071	INT51	See General; Project Need; Water Resources Responses.
Paul Fries	20160909-5107	INT52	See General Responses.
Sharyn Barson	20160909-5116	INT53	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Erin McManus	20160909-5267	INT54	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Anne Kurskinski	20160909-5279	INT55	See General Responses.
Carol Hoffman	20160909-5280	INT56	See General Responses.
Jane Sost	20160912-5391	INT57	See General Responses.
Ray J. Barson	20160912-5404	INT58	See Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Bert J Rinkel	20160912-5387	INT59	See General Responses.
Christopher Sloboda	20160912-5425	INT60	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Socioeconomics; Air Quality; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Bretta J Jacquemin	20160912-5426	INT61	See Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Kathleen Shanahan	20160912-5430	INT62	See General; Project Description; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Lorraine Crown	20160912-5432	INT63	See General; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Christopher Sloboda	20160912-5476	INT64	See Project Need; Project Description; Geologic Resources; Soils; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Donna L Tapellini	20160912-5610	INT65	See [Form Letter] See INT57 Responses.
Deborah Kratzer	20160912-5392	INT66	See General; Project Need; Project Description; Alternatives; Water Resources; Mitigation; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Sonia Helgesson	20160912-5645	INT67	See Water Resources; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Carrie M Goldberg Styer	20160912-5699	INT68	See General; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Arthur L Rack	20160912-5821	INT69	See Project Description; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
SUEZ Water New Jersey, Inc.	20160912-5864	INT70	See General; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Roberta Kyle	20160912-5923	INT71	See Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Frances Bardusco	20160912-5960	INT72	See Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife Responses.
Elycia Lerman	20160913-5140	INT73	See Project Need; Water Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Patricia Cronheim	20160909-5182	INT74	See General Responses.
Joan Kager	20160909-5233	INT75	See General Responses.
Joan Kager	20160909-5236	INT76	See General Responses.
Glenn Swann	20160912-5397	INT77	See General Responses.
Robert M Smith	20160912-5445	INT78	See General Responses.
Margaret Martonosi	20161114-5101	INT79	See General Responses.
Unknown	20161019-5022	INT80	See Alternatives; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Socioeconomics Responses.
Stephanie A. Rankin	20161121-5014	INT81	See General Responses.
Nora Anderson	20161121-5024	INT82	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Wetlands; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Noise Responses.
Nora Anderson	20161121-5024	INT83	See INT-82 Responses.
Joe Guest	20161125--5017	INT84	See General Responses.
Karrin Pearson	20161128-5047	INT85	See General Responses.
Micah Weeks	20161128-5052	INT86	See INT-85 Responses.
James Wedeking	20161128-5083	INT87	See General Responses.
Suzanne Cammerano	20161128-5216	INT88	See General Responses.
Maryanne Zupeck	20161128-5258	INT89	See INT-85 Responses.
Mary Jane Legere	20161129-5050	INT90	See INT-85 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Taylor E Iodice	20161129-5058	INT91	See General Responses.
William J Dougherty	20161129-5269	INT92	See INT-85 Responses.
Kathleen Weber	20161128-5051	INT93	See INT-85 Responses.
Patty Hoffman	20161130-5086	INT94	See General Responses.
Agnes Marsala	20161201-5062	INT95	See General Responses.
Jerry R. Steward	20161202-5067	INT96	See General Responses.
Elise P Wright	20161202-5294	INT97	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Sarah Bodine	20161202-5297	INT98	See [Form Letter] See INT97 Responses.
Laura Ann Huntsman	20161202-5300	INT100	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural; Reliability & Safety; Cumulative Impacts Responses.
Roy Pedersen	20161202-5302	INT101	See General Responses.
Renee Prince	20161128-5146	INT102	See INT85 Responses.
Andrew Oleson	20161205-5133	INT103	See General; Water Resources Responses.
Austin Wakefield	20161205-5134	INT104	See Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Kim Nagy, Judy Carol Detrano, Sue Begent, Lambertville, NJ Citizens Against the Pipeline Group	20161205-5139	INT105	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Lauren McManus	20161205-5140	INT106	See IND3881-1; IND3881-2 Responses.
Walter Helfrecht	20161205-5141	INT107	See General Responses.
Marie T. Leithauser	20161205-5143	INT108	See Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Ursula A. Connors	20161205-5144	INT109	See General Responses.
Debra S. Forman	20161205-5145	INT110	See INT109-1 Responses.
Max Crandall	20161205-5147	INT111	See INT109-1 Responses.
Anita M. Crandall	20161205-5151	INT112	See INT109-1 Responses.
Lisa M. Stolzer	20161205-5177	INT113	See General; INT109-1 Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Ellen Hecht	20161205-5181	INT114	See Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; IND3966-1 through IND3966-5; IND4028-1 and IND4028-2; IND4028-3 through IND40286; INT105-1 Responses.
Andrew C. Armstrong	20161205-5182	INT115	See INT109-1 Responses.
Steven Pertes	20161205-5188	INT116	See General Responses.
Janet M Nocar	20161205-5189	INT117	See General Responses.
Douglas McDowell	20161205-5191	INT118	See General Responses.
Mary Laskow	20161205-5197	INT119	See General Responses.
Kelly Kappler	20161205-5200	INT120	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Derrick Kappler	20161205-5201	INT121	See General; Project Need; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Lawrence Vellensky	20161205-5202	INT122	See IND4028-1, IND4028-2, IND4028-4, and IND4028-5; IND4028-6; LA77-10; LA77-2; LA77-5; LA77-7; LA77-8; LA77-9 Responses.
Mark Howe	20161205-5212	INT123	See General Responses.
Sue Begent	20161205-5237	INT124	See Project Need; Water Resources; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety; IND500-1; IND500-2; INT104-5; INT105-2; INT105-3; INT105-5; INT105-6 Responses.
Andrew C. Armstrong	20161205-5182	INT125	See General Responses.
Beverly Railsback	20161205-5302	INT126	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Cynthia Niciecki	20161205-5355	INT127	See Alternatives; Geologic Resources; Soils; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources; Reliability & Safety Responses.
Jennifer G. Torpie	20161205-5361	INT128	See General; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Martha Veselka	20161205-5378	INT129	See General Responses.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Joan Millsaps	20161205-5389	INT130	See General; Project Need; Wetlands; Water Resources; Socioeconomics Responses.
Salvagore Salvagno	20161205-5413	INT131	See General; Project Need; Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Socioeconomics; Cultural Responses.
Deborah C. Galen	20161205-5253	INT132	See Geologic Resources; Water Resources; Vegetation and Wildlife; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Responses.
Maya Van Rossum	20160815-4005	PM1	See General 1; General 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Air Quality 3; Reliability and Safety 4.
Tara Zrinski	20160815-4005	PM1	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Purpose and Need; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Lois Oleksa	20160815-4005	PM1	See Geological Resources 2; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Guy Wagner	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Jeffrey Hotz	20160815-4005	PM1	See General 5; Geological Resources 2; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 5; Reliability and Safety 12; Cumulative Impacts 1.
David Wood	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
William Buskirk	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Tom Harbin	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Jim Welty	20160815-4005	PM1	Thank you for your comment.
Barbara Green	20160815-4005	PM1	Thank you for your comment.
Ned Heindel	20160815-4005	PM1	See Water Resources 23; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Gail Domalakes	20160815-4005	PM1	See Water Resources 3; Water Resources 10; Wetlands 3; Air Quality 7; Air Quality 8; Purpose and Need.
Linda Kneller	20160815-4005	PM1	See Alternatives 10; Water Resources 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Reliability and Safety 2; Reliability and Safety 4; Purpose and Need.
Jeffrey Logan	20160815-4005	PM1	Thank you for your comment.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
David Samuel Halteman	20160815-4005	PM1	See General 1; General 2; General 5; Purpose and Need 2; Geological Resources 8; Socioeconomics 3; Cultural Resources 11; Reliability and Safety 4; Purpose and Need.
Isidore Mineo	20160815-4005	PM1	See Water Resources 23.
N.J. Lugara	20160815-4005	PM1	See Geological Resources 8.
Patricia Russo	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Robert Reyes	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Jeff Tittel	20160815-4005	PM1	See General 1; General 2; General 3; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 15; Water Resources 18.
Judith Paulus	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Sarah Snider	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
James Orben	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Lucille Martucci	20160815-4005	PM1	See Water Resources 7; Water Resources 9.
Stormie Fenner	20160815-4005	PM1	See Water Resources 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 2.
Ruth Buskirk	20160815-4005	PM1	See Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 8; Geological Resources 12; Water Resources 7; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Arianne Elinich	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Don Kneller	20160815-4005	PM1	See Alternatives 10.
Judi Roggie	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Paul Saunders	20160815-4005	PM1	See Air Quality 17.
Abe Amoros	20160815-4005	PM1	Thank you for your comment.
Jane Lick	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Karan Feridun	20160815-4005	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Frank Sorg	20160815-4005	PM1	Thank you for your comment.
Lena Moffitt, Sierra Club	20160815-4011	PM1	See Water Resources 7.
Cathy Mcgovern	20160815-4019	PM1	Thank you for your comment.
Marilyn J. Jordan	20160815-4020	PM1	See Cultural Resources 5.
Anita Brodsky	20160815-4022	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Nancy Tate	20160815-4023	PM1	See General 5; Water Resources 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 9.
Tom Stinnett	20160815-4028	PM1	See Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 7.
Lorraine C. Mineo	20160815-4032	PM1	See Soils 2; Water Resources 22; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 7; Reliability and Safety 13; Purpose and Need.
Jeremy Hayes	20160815-4040	PM1	See Water Resources 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 9; Vegetation and Wildlife 16.
Ellie McGuire	20160815-4046	PM1	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 23; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Kevin Shivers	20160815-4048	PM1	Thank you for your comment.
Andria Nelson	20160815-4052	PM1	See Water Resources 7; Reliability and Safety 10.
Scott Douglas	20160815-4053	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Regina Reyes	20160815-4054	PM1	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Deborah King	20160816-4007	PM2	See Socioeconomics 3; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
David N. Taylor	20160816-4007	PM2	Thank you for your comment.
Kaia Elinich	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Ann Kohler	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Eric Rosina	20160816-4007	PM2	Thank you for your comment.
Ravenna Taylor	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Geraldine Lewis	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 1; General 5; Wetlands 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Air Quality 1.
Michael Spille	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 1; General 5.
Geri Ann Siwulec	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Frank Bankard	20160816-4007	PM2	Thank you for your comment.
Aodan Peacock	20160816-4007	PM2	See Water Resources 12; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2.
Mary Anne Mountford	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 1; General 5; Geological Resources 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Socioeconomics 3.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Nancy Kay Anderson	20160816-4007	PM2	See Water Resources 9; Vegetation and Wildlife 11; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Deborah Dempsey	20160816-4007	PM2	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 1.
Eden Bailie	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Erin DeAngelis	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Addy Russell	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Judy Caroll Detrano	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Mary Laskow	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Joan Millsaps	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 2; General 5; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 18; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Reliability and Safety 4.
Nora Anderson	20160816-4007	PM2	See Geological Resources 3; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Bruce Wallace	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Kelly Kappler	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 1; General 2; General 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Air Quality 3; Reliability and Safety 12.
	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Morine Bydalek	20160816-4007	PM2	See Water Resources 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Socioeconomics 3.
Alexandria Bydalek	20160816-4007	PM2	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; PM1-1 through PM1-5; PM2-64 through PM2-66.
Mark Bydalek	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 1; General 3; General 5; General 9; Purpose and Need 2; Alternatives 11; Water Resources 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Socioeconomics 3.
Leslie Sauer	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 5; General 13; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 23; Vegetation and Wildlife 2.
Charles Fisher	20160816-4007	PM2	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 7.
Mary Ditzler	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Andrea Wallace	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Shannon Pendleton	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 1; General 5; Reliability and Safety 4.
David Handy	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
William Hilton	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Mike Fitzpatrick, Member of Congress	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 1; General 3; Alternatives 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 13; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 7.
Kevin Sunday	20160816-4007	PM2	See Air Quality 17.
Cathy Linsk	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Elizabeth Kohler	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Toni Granato	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Jill Becker	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-2 through PM1-5.
Kim Robinson	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Ann Marshall	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Tina Venini	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Joan Farb	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Carla Kelly-Mackey	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Anthony S. Wiseman	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Linda Peacock	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Robert Mountford	20160816-4007	PM2	See Vegetation and Wildlife 11; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Meg Sleeper	20160816-4007	PM2	See Geological Resources 3; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Jaycee Venini	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Bella Waits	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Susan Begent	20160816-4007	PM2	See Water Resources 18; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Loren Hurwitz	20160816-4007	PM2	See Alternatives 7; Geological Resources 7; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 21.
Ava Murphy	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Jerelyn Hoos	20160816-4007	PM2	See Socioeconomics 2; Socioeconomics 8; Air Quality 3; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 12; and Visual Resources; Land Use; Recreation.
Tullis Onstott	20160816-4007	PM2	See Geological Resources 3.
Sonya Zuccarelli	20160816-4007	PM2	Thank you for your comment.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Janice Zuzov	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 1; General 2; General 5; Wetlands 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2.
Debra Bradley	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 2.
Jeffrey Logan	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-46 and PM1-47.
Pauline Zager	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Stephanie Jones	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 2; Geological Resources 3; Soils 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 20; Socioeconomics 4; Reliability and Safety 4.
Ann Scamuffa	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-2 through PM1-4.
Joanne Pannone	20160816-4007	PM2	See General 5; Soils 3; Water Resources 9; I want to know vegetation and channel conveyance systems. .
Damon Aherne	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Rob Wonderling	20160816-4007	PM2	Thank you for your comment.
Charles Slonsky	20160816-4007	PM2	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Martin Wissig	20160816-4007	PM2	See Water Resources 7; Cultural Resources 11; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Kevin Kuchinski	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 2; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 8; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 20; Reliability and Safety 10.
Julie Blake	20160817-4007	PM3	See Alternatives 6; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 11; Purpose and Need.
Debra DiLorenzo	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 1; General 2.
Tyler Seville	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
William Gillum	20160817-4007	PM3	See Water Resources 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Mitigation 1; Purpose and Need.
Leslie Floyd	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 2; General 5; General 13.
Anthony Russo	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Carmine Caruso	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
David Griffith	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Eric Houghton	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Ann Kelly	20160817-4007	PM3	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Air Quality 44; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 12.
Alfonzo Guarraggi	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Gary Tarver	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Larry Tarver	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
John Moschitti	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Christopher H. Bohlke	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Carla Kelly-Mackey	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Ciaram McNally	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Horatio Nichols	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 2; Geological Resources 1.
Keith Klemmer	20160817-4007	PM3	See Purpose and Need.
James Seiler	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Audrey Frankowski	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Doug O'Malley	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Elizabeth Davis	20160817-4007	PM3	See Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 8; Purpose and Need.
Andrea Bonette	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 2; Alternatives 2.
Phil Speno	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Curtiss Wells	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
John Urich	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Mary Penney	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
James Gleeson	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Daphne Speck Bartynski	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Tim Hartman	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Dorothy Cassimatis	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Paul Roden	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Michael L. Pisauo	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 2; Alternatives 2; Water Resources 3; Cumulative Impacts 4.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Mario Yepes	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 1; Geological Resources 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Air Quality 5; Reliability and Safety 12; Purpose and Need.
Jane Roosa	20160817-4007	PM3	See Geological Resources 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Robert Mead	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Amanda Langill	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 1; Geological Resources 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 8; Purpose and Need.
Rita Romeu	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 3; Cumulative Impacts 4; Purpose and Need.
Michael Siano	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Illia Barger	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
James L. Rapp	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Laura Lynch	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 2; General 7; Purpose and Need.
Rita Pepperman	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Lucy Gorelli	20160817-4007	PM3	See Geological Resources 3.
Bridget Brady	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Loriann Fell	20160817-4007	PM3	See Alternatives 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2.
Kim Peterson	20160817-4007	PM3	See Alternatives 1.
Pegi Zajac	20160817-4007	PM3	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; Reliability and Safety 12; Purpose and Need.
Bob Marshall	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
David Pringle	20160817-4007	PM3	See Water Resources 3; Water Resources 6; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Richard Jackson	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
A.J. Sabath	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Jerry Keenan	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Jane Asselta	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Timothy Rundall	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Aidin Sander	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Patrick Graham	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Christina Montorio	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Stanley Kelton	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Martin Levin	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 1; General 2; General 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Air Quality 1; Reliability and Safety 12.
Pamela Russo	20160817-4007	PM3	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 21; Socioeconomics 1; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 12.
James Presley	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Nickolas Alan Kijula	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Brit Osborne	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Freddy Torres	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Jennifer Brennan	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Andrew Hendry	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Robert Lewandowski	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Pete Vinch	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Fairfax Hutter	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 1; General 2; General 5; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 21; Water Resources 22; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Cultural Resources 7; Recommended change is reflected in the final EIS.
Chris Foglio	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Carli Jensen	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Sonya Zuccarelli	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 2; Water Resources 18; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4.
Mary Michaels	20160817-4007	PM3	See Alternatives 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Marylou Ferrara	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Joseph Buchanan	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 8.
James Malloy	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 1; General 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2.
Fred Potter	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Brian Lasalle	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Jim Waltman	20160817-4007	PM3	See Geological Resources 11; Water Resources 3.
Mark Robert H. Dube, Jr.	20160817-4007	PM3	Thank you for your comment.
Donna Fasanella	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Edward Kelly	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 2; General 5; Purpose and Need.
Louise Hartman	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Douglas Palmer	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Laura Wilson	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 8; Alternatives 2; Alternatives 9; Cultural Resources 4.
Thomas Kowalczyk	20160817-4007	PM3	See Water Resources 8; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 20; Purpose and Need.
Michael Spille	20160817-4007	PM3	See Purpose and Need.
Maureen Syrnick	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 2; Geological Resources 3.
Ralph Decesare	20160817-4007	PM3	See Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 8; Purpose and Need.
Lesley and David Dedufour	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 1; General 2; Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 8; Mitigation 1.
Teresa Ecker	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Susan Shapiro	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 7; PM1-2 through PM1-5.
Claudia Pierce	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Catherine Pike	20160817-4007	PM3	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Gary Salata	20160817-4007	PM3	See General 2; General 9; Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 22; Cultural Resources 4.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Kim Leicester	20160817-4007	PM3	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Purpose and Need.
Nicole Miller	20160817-4007	PM3	See Water Resources 12.
George White	20160815-4006	PM4	See General 2; Soils 3; Soils 4; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6.
Trevor Walczak	20160815-4006	PM4	Thank you for your comment.
Chuck Clarke	20160815-4006	PM4	Thank you for your comment.
Jim Vogt	20160815-4006	PM4	See Water Resources 4; Water Resources 7; Wetlands 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 4; Vegetation and Wildlife 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Socioeconomics 3.
Roy Christman	20160815-4006	PM4	Thank you for your comment.
Catherine Weber	20160815-4006	PM4	See General 1; General 2; General 5; Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 5; Air Quality 63.
Donna Honigman	20160815-4006	PM4	See Water Resources 9; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Socioeconomics 1; Reliability and Safety 12.
William T. Kellner	20160815-4006	PM4	See Water Resources 8; Water Resources 10; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4; Socioeconomics 3; Reliability and Safety 10.
Leonard Tiscio	20160815-4006	PM4	See Water Resources 3; Water Resources 7; Wetlands 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 4.
David Horn	20160815-4006	PM4	Thank you for your comment.
Coleman Kline	20160815-4006	PM4	See General 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 19; Recommended change is reflected in the final EIS.
John L. Walck	20160815-4006	PM4	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 20; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Glenn Redshaw	20160815-4006	PM4	See Purpose and Need 2; Purpose and Need.
William Mealey	20160815-4006	PM4	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Janine Carazo	20160815-4006	PM4	See PM1-2 through PM1-5.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Marie Christman	20160815-4006	PM4	See Reliability and Safety 9; Reliability and Safety 14.
Arnost Castka	20160815-4006	PM4	See Purpose and Need 2.
Lucy Freck	20160815-4006	PM4	See Geological Resources 11; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 10.
Sarina Berlow	20160815-4006	PM4	See General 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Socioeconomics 8; Air Quality 3.
Daniel Towne	20160815-4006	PM4	See Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Reliability and Safety 4.
Thomas J. Shepstone	20160815-4006	PM4	Thank you for your comment.
Michael Sauers	20160815-4006	PM4	See Purpose and Need 1; Purpose and Need 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 47; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Paul Shinsec	20160815-4006	PM4	See General 1; General 2; Socioeconomics 2.
Jacob Hyder	20160815-4006	PM4	Thank you for your comment.
Ed Gillette	20160815-4006	PM4	Thank you for your comment.
Charles Weber	20160815-4006	PM4	See General 2; General 5; Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 10.
Joe Plechavy	20160815-4006	PM4	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Kenneth Collins	20160815-4006	PM4	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Thomas Meyer	20160815-4006	PM4	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 19; Reliability and Safety 12.
Mary Tiscio	20160815-4006	PM4	See Noise 1.
Mark Zakutansky	20160815-4006	PM4	See Alternatives 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 19.
Albertine Anthony	20160815-4006	PM4	See Water Resources 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 7.
Margaret Ermlick	20160815-4006	PM4	See Alternatives 1; Socioeconomics 3; Reliability and Safety 4; I will still have to pay taxes on the easement that I will no longer be able to use. Also, the land around the easement has its own restrictions, like tree planting..

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
John H. Drury	20160815-4006	PM4	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Socioeconomics 8.
Brendan Lee	20160815-4006	PM4	See General 1; General 2; General 5; Alternatives 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 19; Socioeconomics 1; Socioeconomics 8; Purpose and Need.
Lawrence Daiell	20160815-4006	PM4	See Purpose and Need.
Linda Christman	20160815-4006	PM4	See General 5.
Bernard Berlow	20160815-4006	PM4	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2.
Dan Kunkle	20160815-4006	PM4	See General 4; Purpose and Need 1; Purpose and Need 2; Alternatives 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2.
Constance Cunningham	20160815-4006	PM4	See Reliability and Safety 4.
Janice Towne	20160815-4006	PM4	See Reliability and Safety 4.
Dr. Sue-Ann Lewine	20160815-4006	PM4	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Jeffrey Shafer	20160816-4010	PM5	See Socioeconomics 1; Purpose and Need.
Michael Keady	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; Purpose and Need.
Andrea Smith	20160816-4010	PM5	See Water Resources 12; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2.
Robert Rader	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Nathan Freedman	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Greg Freeborn	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Adrienne Crombie	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
James Coyle	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Laura Wilson	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Andy Culpepper	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Kenneth Collins	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Jamie Zaccaria	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 1; General 2; General 3; General 5; Purpose and Need 1.
Jill Dodds	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; Purpose and Need.
Deborah Kratzer	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Dennis Kager	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 1; General 5; Water Resources 8; Reliability and Safety 4.
Victoria Wahl	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; Geological Resources 8; Water Resources 4; Water Resources 5; Reliability and Safety 4.
Lois Voronin	20160816-4010	PM5	See Geological Resources 3; Reliability and Safety 1.
Laurie Stone	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Terese Buchanan	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 9; Purpose and Need 1; Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 8.
Caroline Katmann Executive Director Sourland Conservancy	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Aidan Sander	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Joy Stocke	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 1; General 5.
Kevin Dodds	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; Purpose and Need.
Amy Hansen	20160816-4010	PM5	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; Cultural Resources 8; Purpose and Need.
Alice Orrichio	20160816-4010	PM5	See Geological Resources 12; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Reliability and Safety 4; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Doug O'Malley	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; General 5; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Michele Reissman	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Tim White	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Susan Lockwood	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; Geological Resources 1; Soils 2.
William Pandey	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 9.
Carol Tistan	20160816-4010	PM5	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Curtiss Wells	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Susan Dodd Meacham	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; General 5; Purpose and Need 1; Cultural Resources 1.
Tom Gilbert	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; Socioeconomics 1; Purpose and Need.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Erin Rice	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Christina Montorio	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Rosalind Westlake	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 5.
Vincent Bonanne	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Maria Calise	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Alanna Jamieson	20160816-4010	PM5	See Purpose and Need.
Linda Lara	20160816-4010	PM5	See Purpose and Need 2; Socioeconomics 3; Purpose and Need.
Celeste Martin	20160816-4010	PM5	See Reliability and Safety 4; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Laurie Hieb	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Michele Davino	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Suzy Winkler	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 5; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Vincent DeGrado	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Robert Mead	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Viola Markus	20160816-4010	PM5	See Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 8; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 8; Socioeconomics 3; Reliability and Safety 12.
Davina Lapczynski	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 5; Water Resources 3.
Bernadette Hunsicker	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 1; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 13; Socioeconomics 3; Reliability and Safety 1.
Michael Calamusa	20160816-4010	PM5	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 1.
Lorraine Crown	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Tony Rizzello	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 1; General 2; General 8.
Patrick B. Heap	20160816-4010	PM5	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 23; Cultural Resources 4.
Jackie Freedman	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; Socioeconomics 8.
Maureen Santoro	20160816-4010	PM5	See Water Resources 8; Water Resources 9; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 8; Socioeconomics 2.
Paul Filippi	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Richard Dodds	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; General 4; Purpose and Need 1; Purpose and Need.
Kip Bateman	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; Purpose and Need.
David Vila	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Saverio Samarelli	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Ciro Scalera	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Don Dalen	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
David Peifer	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2.
Joan Kager	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Rudolph Pfeifferly	20160816-4010	PM5	See Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 4.
Jayana Shah	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Jeffrey Waldron	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; General 4; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 9; Reliability and Safety 1.
Linda Keirnan	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 5.
Tim Seggerman	20160816-4010	PM5	See Water Resources 8; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; Reliability and Safety 4.
Frank Wahl	20160816-4010	PM5	See Socioeconomics 3; Socioeconomics 8.
Lisa Sowden	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Jesse Hoppe	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Nancy Wilson	20160816-4010	PM5	See Water Resources 8; Reliability and Safety 9; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Mark Rotenberg	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Laura Mirsky	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Regina Barna	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 5; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Reliability and Safety 12; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Paul Boudreau	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Meg Harmsen	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Beth Ann Pandy	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 1; General 2; Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 23; Socioeconomics 9; Cultural Resources 4; Noise 1; Reliability and Safety 13.
Jason Vanscoten	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Elizabeth Stankevich	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 5; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 9; Reliability and Safety 12.
Paul Abraham	20160816-4010	PM5	See Water Resources 8; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2.
Chris Keep	20160816-4010	PM5	See Water Resources 4.
Arlene Spota	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 9; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 7; Geological Resources 8; Geological Resources 9; Reliability and Safety 10.
Thomas Kowalczyk	20160816-4010	PM5	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 20.
Marvis Horne	20160816-4010	PM5	Thank you for your comment.
Molly Maranaccio	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Doug Walker	20160816-4010	PM5	See Water Resources 3; Wetlands 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 2; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 11; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Cultural Resources 3.
Styra Eisinger	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 5; Purpose and Need 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2.
Walter A. Podpora	20160816-4010	PM5	See Geological Resources 8; Water Resources 2; Water Resources 5; Water Resources 23; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Cultural Resources 3.
Jane Roosa	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; General 5; Water Resources 8; Socioeconomics 3; Reliability and Safety 4.
Paul Wohltman	20160816-4010	PM5	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Maryann Vogt	20160816-4010	PM5	See Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 8; Reliability and Safety 12.
Adele Gugliotta	20160816-4010	PM5	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; PM1-1 through PM1-5.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Agnes Marsala	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; General 5; Purpose and Need 2; Cumulative Impacts 4.
John Markowski	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 1; Geological Resources 3; Water Resources 8; Reliability and Safety 4; and Visual Resources; Land Use; Recreation.
Mitchel Silkotch	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 2; Purpose and Need 1; Water Resources 9; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; Socioeconomics 3.
Chester Podpora	20160816-4010	PM5	See Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Cultural Resources 13.
Debra Faiello	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 1; Geological Resources 3; Wetlands 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 7.
Patty Cronheim	20160816-4010	PM5	See General 5; Geological Resources 5.
Kenneth Collins	20160817-4011	PM6	See PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Jim Souto	20160817-4011	PM6	Thank you for your comment.
Marlene Grassi	20160817-4011	PM6	See Geological Resources 6; Water Resources 9; Socioeconomics 3; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 12.
Diane Dreier	20160817-4011	PM6	See Air Quality 1; Air Quality 8; Air Quality 18; Air Quality 40; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Carl Marrara	20160817-4011	PM6	Thank you for your comment.
Mary Leeds	20160817-4011	PM6	See General 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2.
Paul Metzloff	20160817-4011	PM6	See Alternatives 1; Alternatives 2.
Vincent Zdanowicz	20160817-4011	PM6	See General 1; Reliability and Safety 4.
Thomas Pellegrini	20160817-4011	PM6	See Geological Resources 6; Reliability and Safety 4.
Ann Pellegrini	20160817-4011	PM6	See Geological Resources 6; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 10; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Scott Cannon	20160817-4011	PM6	See Geological Resources 6; Reliability and Safety 5; Reliability and Safety 12.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Deirdre Lally	20160817-4011	PM6	See General 3; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Audrey Simpson	20160817-4011	PM6	See Geological Resources 5; Water Resources 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Phyllis Jacewicz	20160817-4011	PM6	See Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 5; Socioeconomics 9; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 13.
Patricia Fisher	20160817-4011	PM6	See and Visual Resources; Land Use; Recreation.
Duke Barrett	20160817-4011	PM6	See General 2; Purpose and Need 2; Purpose and Need.
Carl Baker	20160817-4011	PM6	See Geological Resources 1.
Mary Grace Loncoski	20160817-4011	PM6	See General 1.
John Buerkle	20160817-4011	PM6	Thank you for your comment.
Virginia Zdanowicz	20160817-4011	PM6	See Geological Resources 1; Geological Resources 6; Reliability and Safety 4.
Jane Tolomello	20160817-4011	PM6	See Purpose and Need 2; Reliability and Safety 3; Reliability and Safety 4; Reliability and Safety 5; Reliability and Safety 10; Reliability and Safety 13; PM1-1 through PM1-5.
Judith Sullivan	20160812-5039	NA1	See General 2; Cultural Resources 13
Katherine J. Marcopul, Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection	20160728-0008	SA1	See Cultural Resources 1
Scott H. Strauss, Attorneys for New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel	20160808-5158	SA2	Thank you for your comment.
Erik Peterson, Assemblyman, District 23	20160815-5220	SA3	See Distribution list has been modified as requested.
Erik Peterson, Assemblyman, District 23	20160826-0008	SA4	See General 1
Elizabeth Maher Muoio, New Jersey Assemblywoman	20160826-0026	SA5	See Duplicate - SA4
New Jersey Highland Water Protection and Planning Committee	20160829-0048	SA6	See General 1; General 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 3
Shirley K. Turner, New Jersey State Senate	20160831-0009	SA7	See Cultural Resources 4.

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Shirley K. Turner, New Jersey State Senate	20160906-0031	SA8	See Cultural Resources 4; Cultural Resources 6; Cultural Resources 7.
Daniel S. Saunders, Administrator, Historic Preservation Office, NJDEP	20160909-5274	SA9	See Cultural Resources 8
Nathan P. Havens, PA Game Commission	20160909-5329	SA10	See Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 13
New Jersey Water Supply Authority	20160912-5538	SA11	See Water Resources 9
Senator Raymond J. Lesniak, New Jersey Senate, District 20	20160921-0010	SA12	See General 2; Project Need
Susan E. Payne, Executive Director, State Agriculture Development Committee	20160912-5922	SA13	See Alternatives 7; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 6; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 7
Senator Raymond J. Lesniak, New Jersey Senate, District 20	20160912-5998	SA14	See Duplicate - SA12
New Jersey Division of Rates Counsel	20160912-6003	SA15	See Project Need

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
NJDEP	20160913-5141	SA16	See General 2; Purpose and Need 1; Alternatives 9; Geological Resources 3; Geological Resources 5; Geological Resources 6; Geological Resources 7; Geological Resources 8; Geological Resources 9; Geological Resources 10; Geological Resources 11; Soils 1; Water Resources 1; Water Resources 3; Water Resources 7; Water Resources 8; Water Resources 13; Water Resources 15; Wetlands 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 1; Vegetation and Wildlife 3; Vegetation and Wildlife 5; Vegetation and Wildlife 6; Vegetation and Wildlife 7; Vegetation and Wildlife 8; Vegetation and Wildlife 10; Vegetation and Wildlife 12; Vegetation and Wildlife 13; Vegetation and Wildlife 14; Vegetation and Wildlife 17; Vegetation and Wildlife 20; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 3; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 14; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 16; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 20; Cultural Resources 1; Cultural Resources 3; Air Quality 17; Air Quality 68; Cumulative Impacts 4. Recommended change is reflected in the final EIS.
NJDEP	20160919-0014	SA17	See Duplicate - SA16.
Kenneth F. Najjar, Director, Water Resource Management Delaware River Basin Commission	20160912-5890	SA18	See Recommended change is reflected in the final EIS.
Mike Fitzpatrick, Member of Congress, 8th District, Pennsylvania	20160907-4002	SA19	See General 1; General 3; Alternatives 7; Water Resources 22; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 2; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources 7.
Kenneth F. Najjar, Director, Water Resource Management Delaware River Basin Commission	20160915-5112	SA20	See SA20

Name/Organization/Agency	Accession Number	Code	Comment Responses
Scott H. Strauss Stephen C. Pearson Amber L. Martin, Attorneys for New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel	20161114-5358	SA21	See Purpose and Need 1; Project Need
The New Jersey Natural Lands Trust	20161205-5319	SA22	See General 2; Purpose and Need 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources.
John P. Gray, Esq., Deputy Chief of Staff, State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Office of Permit Coordination and Environmental Review	20161221-5164	SA23	See General 2; Purpose and Need 1; Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

General.....	223
Project Description.....	230
Purpose & Need	230
Alternatives	230
Geology.....	242
Soils.....	247
Water Resources	250
Wetlands	257
Vegetation and Wildlife.....	259
Land Use, Recreation, and Visual.....	271
Socioeconomics	278
Cultural	283
Air Quality	287
Noise	304
Reliability and Safety.....	307
Mitigation.....	312
Cumulative Impacts	313

General

General Comment 1 – The comment period on the draft EIS should be extended to 120 days. At 45 days, there is not sufficient time to fully digest the vast amount of information, especially considering the 45-day comment period encompasses a busy vacation time and a holiday. In addition, the meetings on the draft EIS are only 24 days after the release of the draft EIS, not leaving ample time to review the information prior to providing comments at the meetings.

Response: The comment period for the PennEast Pipeline Project is consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) which establishes a minimum of a 45-day period for public review and comment. In addition, due to several deviations in the PennEast route after the draft EIS had been released, an additional 30-day comment period was granted for analysis and comment on those route deviations.

Regarding comments that only 24 days were allotted prior to the public meetings on the draft EIS, regulations found at 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 1506.6(c) state that “the agency should make the statement available to the public at least 15 days in advance.” We have complied with this regulation for implementing public involvement related to NEPA.

General Comment 2 – The draft EIS was submitted without completion of a majority of necessary field surveys and is therefore incomplete and should be withdrawn. Commenters, including federal, state, and local agencies, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and individuals, stated that the information not currently in the draft EIS should be disseminated to resource agencies and public stakeholders in a supplemental draft EIS to allow comment prior to the issuance of any certificates by the Commission.

Response: The draft EIS included 10 recommendations that PennEast should provide prior to the end of the comment period for inclusion in the final EIS. PennEast’s responses to these recommendations does not change any of the conclusions presented in the draft EIS. The remaining draft EIS recommended conditions pertain to additional recommended mitigation, consultations, and permits needed prior to any construction approval. The Commission issues a Natural Gas Act (NGA) certificate conditional on the certificate holder subsequently obtaining necessary permits under other federal laws. In spite of its best efforts, it was not possible for PennEast to obtain permission to access and survey the entire Project, and complete all required consultations, therefore the EIS is based on the best available data where this survey access was not available.

Commenters are also referring to outstanding information that is pending completion of field surveys, which cannot be completed until landowner access is granted. Based on the coordinated effort by affected landowners to deny survey access, we assume that remaining field surveys would not be completed until/if the Commission issues a Certificate. If authorized, PennEast would gain survey access at that time through use of eminent domain to complete its surveys. Because there are several tracts that require survey, especially in New Jersey, it would likely take PennEast several months to obtain access through scheduling and appearing in court proceedings. Once surveys are

completed, PennEast would file its survey findings and documentation of consultations/federal permits required. Staff will review and verify that the information does not alter the EIS conclusions, and that all Commission's conditions have been met, prior to any construction approval. All this information would also be available on the Commission website for review by other agencies and the public. As in all Commission proceedings, rehearing requests would be considered after any Commission decision.

In addition, NEPA itself does not require the use of "best available data;" rather, the White House Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations demand information of "high quality" and professional integrity (40 CFR 1500.1, 1502.24). CEQ regulations contain a provision regarding those situations where information is incomplete or unavailable (40 CFR 1502.22). Rather than stating that meaningful evaluation cannot take place when there are "unknowns," the CEQ regulations provide steps to take in order that meaningful evaluation can continue when information is lacking.

General Comment 3 – We received comments requesting that additional meetings be scheduled for the public to provide comments on the draft EIS. Commenters stated that the meeting locations were difficult to find and did not have adequate parking. Commenters also noted confusion regarding the change in venues and the lack of time from when venues had been changed to the meeting date. Requests for additional meetings 100 days into an extended comment period after public has had ample time to review information were also made.

Response: Six public meetings were held at available locations along the proposed pipeline route in both Pennsylvania and New Jersey. The meeting locations were selected based on availability of the venue, size of the venue, proximity of the venue to the proposed pipeline route, and locations that would allow the greatest number of the public would be able to attend. The public meetings are only one of four options for the public to provide comments on the draft EIS. In addition to the public meetings, the public can comment via three additional methods as outlined in the *Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project* (accession number 20160722-4010). The Commission will provide equal consideration to all comments received, whether filed in written form or provided verbally.

Prior to the public meetings scheduled for August 16 and 17, 2016, the Commission staff was notified that The Grand Colonial in Hampton, New Jersey and the Clifford B. Martin Memorial Hall in Ewing, New Jersey were no longer available for the public comment meetings as previously arranged. Commission staff immediately identified alternate locations in the same nights as the original venues and in as close proximity to the original venue locations as possible. A *Notice of Public Comment Meeting Location Change for the Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project* was posted on the FERC eLibrary more than 10 days prior to the meetings to alert the public to the changed venue locations (accession number 20160805-3030). Commission staff cannot comment on incorrect information provided by local newspapers as we do not post notices in the local newspapers.

General Comment 4 – Commenters requested an evidentiary hearing per Rule 206 Complaint and Rule 212 Motion regarding the market need for the proposed PennEast Pipeline Project.

Response: As discussed in section 1.1 of the final EIS, PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC has precedent agreements for 90 percent of the Project capacity of 1.1 million dekatherms per day (MMDth/d). Additional information regarding market need for the Project can also be found in section 1.1 of the final EIS. In addition, a formal response to the Rule 206 Complaint and Rule 212 Motion was provided by PennEast on July 27, 2016 (accession number 20160727-3022).

General Comment 5 – Commenters issued several complaints regarding the new public meeting format. Specifically, commenters stated that the new format denies the opportunity to hear from and learn from others in the community about issues and concerns and that the new format does not allow public officials, the media, or FERC to properly gauge public opinion. In addition, commenters indicated there was confusion about the exact format of the meetings and the statement that the format does not comply 40 CFR 1506.6(c).

Response: It should be noted that these are public meetings or sessions and are not hearings which would denote a more formal format. The meeting format is designed to allow us to receive the maximum amount of verbal comments in a convenient way in the timeframe allotted. Often during public meetings with a large amount of public interest, meetings end prior to allowing everyone the chance to speak. The new format includes a longer timeframe with the addition of a second stenographer which allows for twice as many individuals to give their verbal comments. In addition, the transcripts from the meetings are posted to eLibrary, allowing the public, elected officials, FERC, and the media an opportunity to review what was stated during the meetings by individuals that chose to give testimony. All comments received during the public meetings or through the three additional methods for providing comments are reviewed by Commission staff and have been summarized and responded to in this appendix.

Regarding the comment that the meetings contradict the regulations for public involvement as stated in 40 CFR 1506.6(c), the regulations require the agency to sponsor public meetings in order to solicit information from public and make comments available to the public. The regulations do not specifically outline the format to which the meeting must conform and instead states that the meeting should be held “in accordance with statutory requirements applicable to the agency.” Information on the format for the public meetings was provided at the sign in table at each venue.

General Comment 6 – Comments were filed stating confusion regarding the end of the comment period for submitting comments on the draft EIS.

Response: As indicated in the *Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project* (accession number 20160722-4010), the comment deadline was September 12, 2016. However, due to route deviations filed by PennEast on September 23, 2016 a second, 30-day comment period was established with the deadline of December 5, 2016.

General Comment 7 – Commenters noted that on several occasions the FERC website crashed, not allowing them to download information or submit comments.

Response: Unfortunately, due to circumstances outside our control, the FERC website was unavailable for a short amount of time during the comment period. We have accepted comments filed after the established deadline, therefore temporary down time of the electronic filing system should not have denied anyone the ability to submit comments for our consideration.

General Comment 8 – Commenters expressed confusion over the proposed route versus the route modification and whether they are affected landowners. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) also noted that there is a likelihood that the right-of-way may change as the applicant applies and secures necessary state permits/authorizations and additional review by FWS may be necessary should this occur.

Response: The FERC process allows and encourages applicants to fine tune their pipeline route throughout the process to respond to landowner requests or to avoid or minimize impacts on sensitive resources as they are identified. On September 23, 2016 (accession number 20160923-5115), PennEast filed 33 route deviations with the FERC that addressed landowner requests, avoidance or minimization of impacts on sensitive resources, and several siting improvements including additional collocation of the pipeline route. Subsequent to review of that information, we sent letters to landowners and abutters affected by the 33 route deviations to request comments or concerns relating to the route deviations (accession number 20161104-3006). This final EIS identifies the pipeline route, as currently proposed, and provides a full analysis of impacts that could occur should the Project be approved.

Because there are several tracts that require survey, especially in New Jersey, should the Project be authorized by the Commission, it would then likely take PennEast several months to obtain access through scheduling and appearing in court proceedings. Once surveys are completed, PennEast would file its survey findings and documentation of consultations/federal permits required. Staff would review and verify that the information does not alter the EIS conclusions, and that all Commission's conditions have been met, prior to any construction approval. All this information would also be available on the Commission website for review by other agencies and the public.

General Comment 9 – Comments were made regarding trespassing and verification that that surveys were completed, the data reliable, and the data were obtained legally. In addition, several landowners that have denied access have received notices that property assessments will be conducted by a real estate firm against their wishes.

Response: FERC and other agencies with permitting responsibilities require that certain surveys be completed for the entire pipeline route. The data collected by PennEast is filed with the FERC and submitted to the specific resource agencies for review. As part of the permitting/consultation process, agencies review and verify that data submitted by the applicant is accurate prior to issuing their respective permits. In addition, FERC

resource specialists review the data filed by PennEast to ensure its accuracy as part of the analysis of the proposed Project.

Permission to survey properties is not within FERC's jurisdiction. The right to survey one's property is subject to the respective state laws pertaining to property rights. Generally, certain surveys, such as real estate assessment or visual resource surveys, can be conducted from public rights-of-way and therefore do not require landowner permission. This is similar to property assessment conducted by municipalities for tax purposes. The NGA does not give FERC the authority to grant access to properties or easements for a project and only grants applicants the right of eminent domain if a project is approved.

General Comment 10 – Commenters requested that pipeline access be exclusive to only the currently proposed 36-inch diameter natural gas pipeline and that any future increase in the pipeline size or future co-location of any other pipeline by PennEast or others be prohibited.

Response: PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC had filed an application for a 100-foot construction right-of-way of which 50-feet would be retained as a permanent easement for operation. In addition to the 50-foot permanent easement, several ancillary facilities are included in the application. Under the NGA, PennEast cannot increase the capacity or size of the pipeline or collocate additional facilities without filing a new application as defined by FERC's regulations. Likewise, any other pipeline company that proposes to collocation with PennEast's pipeline would be required to file an application for those facilities with the FERC at which time a full review and analysis would be conducted. However, we cannot preclude the future proposals of natural gas facilities adjacent to the currently proposed PennEast pipeline.

General Comment 11 – Several commenters raised concerns about usage of old roads for access and potential irreparable damage that could be caused. In addition, concerns were raised regarding the siting of access roads in close proximity to residences.

Response: If approved, PennEast would be required to repair/return roads to pre-existing or better condition than before use for the Project. Restoration methods are discussed in section 2.3.1.1 of this final EIS. In addition, PennEast is required to conduct cultural and historic resource surveys for all areas that would be affected by the Project. This information would then be provided to the respective SHPO office for review and comment in regards to its eligibility.

PennEast has committed to various mitigation measures for impacts on residences during construction of the Project. These are discussed in section 4.7.3.1 of this final EIS.

General Comment 12 – Commenters stated that Tetra Tech was not listed in the draft EIS and expressed concern about Tetra Tech's involvement as third-party contractor and use of Tetra Tech and PennEast's consultant for NHPA Section 106 responsibilities.

Response: The individuals from Tetra Tech and the sections they assisted FERC to prepare are provided in appendix J of this final EIS. All third-party contractors used by

FERC are required to go through a conflict of interest review prior to serving as third-party contractors on any project.

As stated in the comment received, 36 CFR 800.2(a)(3) clearly states that it is acceptable for the responsible federal agency to utilize contractors or the services of the applicant to initiate and assist with the NHPA Section 106 process.

General Comment 13 – Commenters noted that not all applications have been filed with state, regional and local entities by PennEast. In addition, concern was expressed regarding PennEast’s ability and assurance that the Project could be constructed in compliance with all requirements.

Response: Section 5.2 of this final EIS addresses PennEast’s need to provide documentation, should the Project be authorized by the Commission, that it has received all applicable authorizations required under federal law (or evidence of waiver thereof) and to document compliance with the environmental conditions of the Commission’s Order, as well as any environmental conditions/permit requirements imposed by other federal, state, or local agencies.

General Comment 14 – The Appalachian Trail Conservancy provided a comment that they have not been consulted for the Project.

Response: According to PennEast’s December 2015 response to an Environmental Information Request issued by the FERC, Karen Lutz of the Appalachian Trail Conservancy (ATC) attended a walk-through of the Appalachian National Scenic Trail crossing on August 27, 2015. PennEast further states that attempts have been made to consult with the ATC in their April 2016 and June 2016 responses to Environmental Information Requests. In addition, the ATC is on our mailing list and has received mailings for this Project, including the draft EIS and various notices and Project updates.

General Comment 15 – A comment was received that not all libraries along the Project route received copies of the draft EIS.

Response: A list of libraries that the draft EIS was distributed to is provided in appendix A of the EIS. Lambertville Free Public Library has been added to the distribution and is included in appendix A of this EIS.

General Comment 16 – Comments were received from affected stakeholders that did not receive a CD of the draft EIS because they were not directly affected landowners or abutters.

Response: PennEast is required to provide FERC with a list of all affected landowners as defined in § 157.6(d)(2), and the list of affected landowners was part of our environmental mailing list who received the draft EIS. Anyone who wishes can request to be added to the FERC mailing list by submitting a comment on the docket or contacting FERC directly.

General Comment 17 – Commenters have requested that the EIS identify the number of properties affected by the Project.

Response: The number of parcels that would be permanently affected by the PennEast Pipeline Project has been included in section 2.2 of the EIS.

General Comment 18 – Commenters noted that typical drawings in appendix C of the draft EIS displayed incorrect dimensions. Additional comments about incorrect mile markers and right-of-way width were also made.

Response: Figures 1B and 1C in appendix C displayed incorrect dimensions. Revised Figures 1B and 1C are provided in appendix C of this EIS. Updated maps and right-of-way widths are provided in this EIS to correctly reflect the Project as proposed.

General Comment 19 – Comments were made stating failure to acknowledge representatives of local governments as consulting parties as required under 36 CFR Section 800.2 and failure to provide representatives of local governments with information necessary to participate as consulting parties.

Response: 36 CFR Section 800.2(3) states that “a representative of a local government with jurisdiction over the area in which the effects of an undertaking may occur is entitled to participate as a consulting party.” In its response to our Environmental Information Request issued November 7, 2016, PennEast responded on November 23, 2016 with documentation of correspondence with consulting parties. This can be found in PennEast’s response to request 37 (accession number 20161123-5007).

General Comment 20 – Commenters noted that PennEast has responded to more than 735 emails and 450 telephone enquiries and have requested to know how many comments have been received on eLibrary and responded to by FERC and PennEast.

Response: Section 1.4.1 of the EIS discusses the comments received on eLibrary by FERC. FERC does not respond to individual comments filed on the docket during the scoping process or comment period on the draft EIS. However, all comments received during the scoping period are addressed within the draft EIS, and all comments received during the comment period on the draft EIS are summarized and responded to either in this appendix or within the body of the final EIS. Any responses to comments that are submitted by PennEast would be filed on the docket and available for the public for review.

General Comment 21 – Commenters found that the argument that locating the new pipeline adjacent to existing rights-of-way would limit new soil disturbance failed to acknowledge the age of the existing right-of-way and whether or not it had fully recovered before additional disturbance occurred.

Response: The analysis performed by PennEast along the pipeline route was performed using pre-construction conditions of any existing rights-of-way, and therefore represents a more conservative analysis of impact on resources.

Project Description

Project Description Comment 1 – Several comments were received regarding the construction schedule for the Project, including how long construction would be expected to take and how the review and permitting process would impact PennEast’s proposed schedule.

Response: The schedule is discussed in section 2.4 of this EIS. Construction of the Project would take about six to nine months to complete. No construction, including tree clearing, could begin until PennEast has received all approvals from consulting and permitting agencies.

Purpose & Need

Purpose & Need Comment 1 – Commenters stated that there is no demonstrated need for the Project, and that it is an example of overbuilding infrastructure. More specifically, commenters state that there is no data indicating that local distribution companies would be served by the Project, lack adequate existing capacity, and that the Project would not result in cost savings to consumers or mitigate price spikes.

Response: The purpose and need for the Project is discussed in section 1.1 of this EIS. The purpose of the EIS is to analyze environmental impacts as a result of the Project as discussed in section 1.2. The EIS does not assess capacity of existing pipelines in the vicinity of the Project, projected need for the markets to be served, etc. This analysis is performed by the Certificates group at FERC and is separate from the analysis in the EIS.

Purpose & Need Comment 2 – Several commenters claim this Project is for export.

Response: As discussed in section 1.4.2 of this EIS, PennEast is not constructing the Project for the purpose of supporting the export of natural gas from the United States. PennEast is proposing to transport natural gas to meet the demand for natural gas markets in New Jersey, eastern and southeastern Pennsylvania, and surrounding states. Specifically, PennEast is proposing to construct the Project based on commitments from Project shippers, as identified in section 1.1, which have statutory, regulatory, and/or contractual obligations to serve natural gas customers within their respective service areas.

Alternatives

Alternatives Comment 1 – Some commenters stated the draft EIS failed to address renewable energy sources as an alternative. One commenter stated the draft EIS conclusions about renewable energy alternatives included no citations. A number of commenters stated that renewable energy sources should be the preferred alternative over the proposed action. Other commenters noted that construction and operation of renewable energy projects also result in environmental impacts.

Response: The EIS evaluates alternatives to the proposed action, which is a natural gas transmission pipeline. As stated in section 3.1 of the EIS, the generation of electricity

from renewable energy sources would be an alternative to power generating facilities. The siting, construction, and operation of power generating facilities are regulated by state agencies. Because the purpose of the Project is to transport natural gas, and the generation of electricity from renewable energy sources or the gains realized from increased energy efficiency and conservation are not transportation alternatives, they are beyond the scope of the EIS.

Alternative Comment 2 – Commenters stated that FERC should adopt the No Action Alternative, suggesting there is no need for the Project, that the Project would simply displace existing capacity from other pipelines, and stating that adopting the No Action alternative would avoid environmental impacts from the Project, including avoiding the taking of land by eminent domain along 70 percent of the route in New Jersey. Commenters also stated analysis of the No Action Alternative in the draft EIS was flawed due to various reasons, including incomplete site-specific data for the Project or quantified data for the No Action Alternative including for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, an overly narrow purpose and need statement, and lack of supporting documentation. Commenters suggested that discussion of the No Action Alternative should be expanded to include conclusions regarding Project need as cited in the Skipping Stone report (filed in March 2016).

Response: In the EIS we acknowledge that not building the PennEast Project (the No Action Alternative) would avoid the environmental impacts described in the EIS. In the EIS we also point out that the No Action Alternative could result in other projects being constructed to serve the same markets and customers that would be served by the Project. However, it would be speculative to attempt to quantify if/and what those other projects might be and therefore what the range of environmental impacts might be associated with the No Action Alternative. The purpose and need for the Project is not established by FERC, but by PennEast in its application to the FERC, and the alternatives analysis in the EIS evaluates alternatives against this stated purpose and need. Following completion of the environmental review and the final EIS, the Commission will evaluate factors related to need, including as appropriate information cited in the Skipping Stone report, and will decide whether to issue a Certificate for the Project, or whether to deny a Certificate (the No Action Alternative). See also response to Alternatives Comment 1.

Alternatives Comment 3 – Commenters stated the draft EIS did not include appropriate analysis of alternative crossing locations of the Appalachian National Scenic Trail (ANST), and that some alternatives suggested during the pre-filing process were inappropriately dismissed. Commenters requested a more robust evaluation of alternative crossing locations that would include a crossing location collocated with an existing cleared right-of-way or previously disturbed area, including route variations that could use a pipeline lateral to accomplish the proposed interconnect with the Blue Mountain Ski Resort, rather than route the pipeline through the resort.

Response: Since publication of the draft EIS PennEast has filed additional information related to route variations at the crossing of the ANST, including variations that include a

lateral pipeline to accomplish the proposed interconnect at the Blue Mountain resort. Table 3.3.2-1 of the final EIS has been revised to include this additional information.

Alternatives Comment 4 – The Bethlehem Authority and other commenters requested consideration of alternative routes that would take the pipeline out of their watershed and away from critical infrastructure that might be damaged by pipeline construction or a future pipeline explosion.

Response: The final EIS includes mention of alternatives that would avoid the crossing of the Bethlehem Authority municipal watershed, and the Blue Mountain tunnel. We have added a discussion and recommendation in section 4.7.4.3 of the final EIS requesting additional information from PennEast regarding the crossing of the Blue Mountain tunnel.

Alternatives Comment 5 – Hopewell Township requested that the EIS include an alternative location for the interconnection with the Transco pipeline system. The comment identified a specific alternate location and assessment of impacts for the specific location.

Response: As a result of this comment we requested additional information from PennEast regarding the alternative location for the interconnect with the Transco pipeline system. The final EIS has been revised to include additional information for the Transco interconnect alternative. See section 3.4.4 of the EIS.

Alternatives Comment 6 – Commenters stated the draft EIS failed to consider liquefied natural gas (LNG) storage as an alternative to meet the needs of the Project shippers, noting this alternative was included in PennEast’s alternatives analysis (Resource Report 10), and citing use of LNG storage by some shippers to meet needs during times of peak demand.

Response: The EIS evaluates alternatives to the proposed action, which is a natural gas transmission pipeline proposed by PennEast. The stated purpose of the proposed action as described in section 1.1 of the EIS is to provide approximately 1.1 MMDth/d of year-round natural gas transportation service from northern Pennsylvania to markets in New Jersey, eastern and southeastern Pennsylvania, and surrounding states, through an interconnect with the Transco pipeline. In the EIS we evaluate alternatives that would meet the stated purpose of the Project. LNG storage may meet the needs of some shippers during times of peak demand; however, it would not meet the stated purpose of the Project. Therefore, it was not evaluated in the EIS

Alternatives Comment 7 – A commenter suggested that the EIS should include analysis of alternatives that utilize existing roadways to minimize impacts on agricultural and environmental resources. Other comments suggest the Project should be co-located within existing utility easements to reduce environmental impact, including through Beltzville State Park in Pennsylvania.

Response: Section 3.3 of the EIS addresses potential alternative routes within, or adjacent to, existing linear easements. We also note that some commenters, specifically related to the Bethlehem Authority water pipeline within Beltzville State Park, suggest

that the PennEast pipeline should not be placed near existing infrastructure to avoid the potential for damage during construction or operation.

Alternatives Comment 8 – The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) requested that the evaluation of electric motor-driven compressor units at the Kidder Compressor Station should be updated using 2016 emission rates, rather than the 2004 rates that were included in the draft EIS. Other commenters suggested the EIS does not include a proper analysis of electric power as an alternative to the proposed natural gas powered turbines at the Kidder Compressor Station.

Response: Section 3.4.3 of the EIS includes an analysis of electric motor driven compression as an alternative to the proposed natural gas driven compressor turbines.

Alternatives Comment 9 –The New Jersey Natural Land Trust (NJNLT) and others submitted comments on route variations that would utilize existing roadways to avoid the Gravel Hill Preserve. NJNLT commented that the analysis of the alternatives provided by PennEast and included in the draft EIS is inadequate and does not properly evaluate environmental impacts. The Major of Holland Township expressed concerns that if variation 1817 were used it would result in impacts on safety of residents, access to the police department headquarters, and on local traffic during construction.

Response: Sections 3.3.1.2, 3.3.1.3, and 3.3.2 of the EIS address route alternatives and variations that would avoid crossing the Gravel Hill Preserve, including our conclusions about environmental impacts and safety from pipeline construction within roadways. On September 23, 2016 PennEast also filed a route change in this area (Line Change 40), which is also addressed in the final EIS.

Alternatives Comment 10 – A number of commenters expressed concern that during Project planning PennEast failed or refused to consider requests from numerous property owners who requested route variations or adjustments to minimize impacts on their properties, or to avoid conservation easements or environmentally sensitive areas.

Response: Section 3.3.2 of the EIS lists the route variations evaluated during development of the proposed pipeline route, including updates in the final EIS to include 33 route modifications filed by PennEast on September 23, 2016. As noted in table 3.3.2-1 many of the variations were evaluated at the request of affected landowners. However, for a long linear pipeline project it is not always possible or environmentally preferable to adopt each request from affected landowners, for a number of reasons. Reasons can include construction considerations at the property in question or immediately adjacent to the property, other environmental considerations immediately adjacent to the property in question, or the general preference to collocate with existing utilities. Impacts on conservation easements or environmentally sensitive areas that are not avoided by the current proposed route are addressed as appropriate in the section 4.5.2 and 4.7.4 of the EIS.

Alternatives Comment 11 – A number of commenters expressed concern about the number of locations where the PennEast Pipeline would cross open space lands protected with conservation easements, and suggested that alternatives should be evaluated to avoid these areas.

Response: PennEast evaluated a number of variations for the purpose of avoiding conservation and open space easements. These are identified in section 3.3.2 of the EIS. On September 23, 2016, PennEast also filed 33 route modifications some of which were implemented to avoid open space and conservation easements. One example is Deviation No. P-1710 which shifted the proposed route to optimize the crossing of the Gravel Hill Preserve as well as to avoid parcels encumbered in USDA easements. See also discussion in section 4.7.4 of the EIS.

Alternatives Comment 12 – Some commenters state that the draft EIS did not consider dual-fuel fired plants as an alternative for electrical power generation. Commenters suggested that with part of the Project purpose being to meet peak capacity scenarios, consideration of dual-fuel fired electrical generation alternatives is appropriate.

Response: The EIS evaluates alternatives to the proposed action, which is a natural gas transmission pipeline. The stated purpose of the transmission pipeline is to deliver natural gas to certain end users, or shippers. It is beyond the scope of the EIS to evaluate alternatives to the ultimate end use of the natural gas, including alternative forms of energy generation such as dual-fuel electric generation.

Alternatives Comment 13 – The Cooks Creek Watershed Association commented that it has made previous requests that PennEast route the pipeline to entirely avoid the Cooks Creek Watershed, and requested that the EIS evaluate such an alternative.

Response: The proposed pipeline crosses about 1,700 feet of the Cooks Creek Watershed in a headwaters area near the edge of the watershed, between MPs 75.4 and 75.7. The EIS evaluates a pipeline route alternative (Leidy Line Alternative, section 3.3.1.2) that would avoid the Cooks Creek Watershed entirely. The EIS also evaluates the Bucks County Alternative (section 3.3.1.3) which is a section of the original route proposed by PennEast in Bucks County and which crosses Cooks Creek and its watershed at its confluence with the Delaware River. Neither the Leidy Line Alternative, nor the Bucks County Alternative, are recommended as preferable to the proposed route.

Alternatives Comment 14 – Several comments suggested that the draft EIS alternatives analysis is inadequate, and/or does not meet the requirements of NEPA or Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Reasons cited included no alternatives that would; avoid or mitigate the adverse impacts of soil compaction in natural areas, less damage to the environment; avoid blasting; have less footprint. Some commenters felt that quantifying and comparing environmental impacts between alternatives is not sufficient unless additional study of the functions and values of resources (e.g. wetlands) is also evaluated and compared. Commenters also suggested the alternatives analysis is inadequate because by evaluating PennEast's proposed action, FERC has already selected the preferred alternative.

Response: The focus of our NEPA review is to analyze an applicant's request for a specific pipeline route, aboveground facility locations, and associated activities so that FERC staff can disclose and analyze the expected impacts of constructing and operating the proposed project. Therefore, our approach to the analysis of alternatives is premised on two principles: first, our analysis is in response to an application for a specific project; and, second, the analysis of alternatives is driven by the need to resolve resource conflicts. Each alternative is compared to the applicant's proposal to determine if any or all expected impacts can be avoided or reduced.

Section 3 of the EIS begins by introducing our evaluation criteria for selecting alternatives for consideration, and includes whether they are: technically and economically feasible, reasonable, and practical; offer a significant environmental advantage over the proposed action; and have the ability to meet the objectives of the project. We also explain that an important consideration in assessing pipeline route alternatives is that the pipeline must be constructible to be feasible. All of the alternatives evaluated were compared to the proposal using these criteria at the appropriate level of detail required.

We believe that quantifying resource impacts by length, number, or acreage crossed is a practical and reasonable means to make meaningful comparisons between route alternatives and variations.

Alternatives Comment 15 – The EPA requested that the EIS include an evaluation of an expanded Atlantic Sunrise Project as an alternative to the PennEast Project. EPA stated such an alternative could potentially eliminate the need for the PennEast Projects and could potentially reduce the overall environmental impacts, duplicative services, and may have the potential to meet the purpose and need.

Response: The draft EIS addresses both the Atlantic Sunrise and Transco Leidy Lines as potential system alternatives. The draft EIS also concludes that the Atlantic Sunrise Project is designed to add more capacity (1.7 MMDth/d) versus PennEast (1.1 MMDth/d), and both have different customer obligations with specific delivery points. Therefore, the draft EIS concludes that there appears to be demand for both projects.

The Commission staff does not design natural gas pipeline projects but evaluates projects as proposed. However, in response to this comment we have added additional discussion to section 3.2.2.1 of the final EIS to address the concept of an expanded Atlantic Sunrise Project as a potential alternative to PennEast.

Alternatives Comment 16 – The EPA requested that the EIS include an evaluation of an alternative access road location to the Kidder Compressor Station to reduce forest clearing. The EPA identified a potential location adjacent to the proposed pipeline right-of-way.

Response: We requested additional information from PennEast to evaluate the EPA's suggested alternative. An evaluation of this alternative is added in section 3.4.2 of the final EIS.

Alternatives Comment 17 - The EPA and other commenters requested that the EIS evaluate alternative locations for the Project beginning and end points stating it is unclear that other locations are not feasible or beneficial. EPA noted that the Columbia Gas system alternative and Texas Eastern system alternative were dismissed because the receipt and delivery points would not be met with these system alternatives. Commenters suggested the Project could connect to the Transco pipeline at other locations and reduce pipeline length.

Response: FERC evaluates projects as proposed, including the interconnects with other pipeline systems at the start and end points. Start and end points are strategically designed by both PennEast and the receiving companies based on contract agreements. However, we do evaluate alternative pipeline systems that would utilize other pipelines that may have different beginning and end points as the proposed Project. As described in section 3.2, we determined that these alternatives were not feasible or would not meet the project objectives. We also evaluated an alternative Transco Interconnect site that would result in an alternative end point that would be very close to the proposed end point and therefore may warrant further evaluation. See section 3.4.4 of the EIS.

Alternatives Comment 18 - The EPA commented that the draft EIS does not analyze alternatives beyond the applicant's proposed alternative in detail. Expanded discussion should explore viable alternatives that meet the stated purpose and need. Consider collocation and routing beyond what was evaluated.

Response: The focus of our NEPA review is to analyze an applicant's request for a specific pipeline route, aboveground facility locations, and associated activities so that we can disclose and analyze the expected impacts of constructing and operating the proposed project. Therefore, our approach to the analysis of alternatives is premised on two principles: first, our analysis is in response to an application for a specific project; and, second, the analysis of alternatives is driven by the need to resolve resource conflicts. Each alternative is compared to the applicant's proposal to determine if any or all expected impacts can be avoided or reduced.

Within this framework, we identified a full range of alternatives. Early in the pre-filing process, we screened the alternatives identified by various stakeholders, as well as the company sponsor, to determine if they are reasonable or if they should be eliminated from further analysis. All reasonable alternatives were evaluated in the draft EIS to determine whether they would be environmentally preferable to the proposed action.

Through the scoping process we identified and the draft EIS considered numerous alternatives that fall within certain categories consistent with similar projects. These include: system alternatives, route alternatives, and route variations. In addition to those identified by the agencies and public during scoping, alternatives were also identified by our staff to reduce or avoid impacts. The scope of reasonable alternatives is logically bounded by the project objectives and its purpose and need. We also evaluated alternatives based on whether they are technically feasible, practicable, and offer a significant environmental advantage over the proposed action.

Draft EIS, Page 3-2 of Section 3, Alternatives, begins by introducing our evaluation criteria for selecting alternatives for consideration, and includes whether they are: technically and economically feasible, reasonable and practical; offer a significant environmental advantage over the proposed action; and have the ability to meet the objectives of the project. We also explain that an important consideration in assessing pipeline route alternatives is that the pipeline must be constructible to be feasible. All of the alternatives evaluated were compared to the proposal using these criteria at the appropriate level of detail required.

Our evaluation also includes several route alternatives that considered collocation of facilities where it was practical, and those discussed are mindful of meeting the project's objective. The draft EIS concludes that other system pipelines could potentially meet the stated purpose and need; however, other existing systems would require additional expansion and construction (some considered significant), which would incur its own set of site-specific environmental impacts. Collocation with other existing pipelines or linear utilities is a factor in each of the pipeline alternatives that are evaluated in the draft EIS and final EIS.

Alternatives Comment 19 - The EPA commented that additional alternatives should be retained for detailed study in the same level of detail as the proposed action, including the Transco system alternative, and that the EIS should estimate the GHG emissions potentially caused by these alternatives. Other commenters implied or stated that the EIS did not evaluate alternatives, and some comments suggested that all pipeline alternatives should be considered in detail in the EIS.

Response: Alternatives are evaluated in section 3 of the EIS. Alternatives are not evaluated and discussed at the same level of detail as the proposed action in section 4, including the Transco system alternative. We evaluate each alternative until we determine it is not reasonable, feasible, or environmentally preferable, or for some alternatives until we make a recommendation that PennEast provide additional information or adopt the alternative as part of the proposed project. Our criteria for alternatives analysis are well defined and the alternatives disclosed are reasonable. For each alternative evaluated in detail in the EIS, we identify the factors used to make a direct comparison to the proposed project. For those route alternatives analyzed, GHG was an implicit criteria analyzed using pipeline length as a proxy for GHG construction emissions. In response to comments from the EPA, we have expanded our discussion of the Transco System alternative in section 3.2.1.1 of the final EIS.

Alternatives Comment 20 - The EPA commented that evaluation criteria of alternatives is applied unequally to many of the alternatives, such as collocation, length of pipeline, amount of disturbance, amount of operational right-of-way, and wetlands impacts. The weighing of advantages and disadvantages should be clearly explained. FERC should consider the varying degrees of resource function, value or quality.

Response: Our criteria for alternatives analysis are well defined and the alternatives disclosed are reasonable. We evaluate each alternative until we determine it is not

reasonable, feasible, or environmentally preferable, or for some alternatives until we make a recommendation that PennEast provide additional information or adopt the alternative as part of the proposed project. For each alternative evaluated in detail in the EIS, we identify the factors used to make a direct comparison to the proposed project.

Alternatives Comment 21 – The EPA commented it would not recommend eliminating the Bucks County, Leidy Line, and Luzerne and Carbon County alternatives. EPA has recognized that the Leidy Line route is viable, until further detailed analysis is conducted.

Response: We believe the potential impacts of these alternatives are sufficiently analyzed in the EIS and support the conclusions in the EIS that the Bucks County, Leidy Line, and Luzerne and Carbon County alternatives are not preferable to the corresponding segments of the proposed route. Additional analysis regarding the Leidy Line alternative has been added to section 3.3.1.2 of the final EIS, and additional analysis regarding the Bucks County alternative has been added to section 3.3.1.3 of the final EIS.

Alternatives Comment 22 - The EPA stated the draft EIS is unclear why the Kidder Compressor Station Alternative 1 location was dismissed from further consideration, and suggested that additional detail on the siting criteria be included in the EIS. One commenter suggested that the compressor station could be located on the Blue Mountain Ski resort property since the area is developed, there are future plans for additional development, and the ski resort is supportive of the project and is willing to have an interconnect and infrastructure on the property.

Response: Compressor Station Site Alternative 1 was not dismissed from further consideration but is evaluated and compared against the proposed compressor station location in section 3.4.1 of the EIS. Additional detail on siting criteria for the compressor station has been added to section 3.4.1 of the final EIS. Based on this comparison we conclude that the alternative site is not preferable to the proposed Kidder Compressor Station site. We did not identify a reason to evaluate additional site alternatives for the Kidder Compressor Station and therefore do not believe it is necessary to evaluate a potential compressor station site within the Blue Mountain Ski resort property. In addition, the proposed Kidder Compressor Station site is adjacent to Interstate 80 and on a parcel that is zoned light industrial.

Alternatives Comment 23 - The FWS Pennsylvania field office commented that alternatives should be evaluated for the crossing of 138.5 acres of interior forested areas within important bird areas (IBAs), including reroutes or Horizontal Directional Drills (HDDs). The New Jersey Sierra Club commented that potential IBA alternatives filed by PennEast on November 23, 2016, would result in greater environmental impact than the proposed route.

Response: Several of the alternatives evaluated in the EIS would avoid the identified important bird areas (IBAs), including the Transco Leidy Line System Alternative, and the Leidy Line Route Alternative, Luzern and Carbon County Route Alternative, Bucks County Route Alternative, and Harbourton Route Alternative. Sections 3.2.1.1, 3.3.1.1, 3.3.1.2, 3.3.1.3, and 3.3.1.4 of the final EIS have been updated to include this

information. However, as explained in these sections of the EIS we conclude that these alternatives would not be environmentally preferable to the proposed route. On November 23, 2016 PennEast filed maps showing potential routes that would reduce, but not avoid, the crossing length of three IBAs (Hickory Run State Park, Musconetcong Gorge, and Everittstown) and avoid the crossing of two IBAs (Baldplate Mountain and Sourland Mountain). The Hickory Run State Park IBA alternative would only moderately reduce IBA impacts but would significantly increase the number of residences in close proximity to the pipeline; the Musconetcong Gorge IBA alternative would significantly reduce crossing length of the mapped IBA but would require one additional crossing of the Delaware River, would be sited directly adjacent to Delaware River cliffs near Kintnersville, Pennsylvania, and cross forested habitat in Pennsylvania that is contiguous and similar to the designated IBA in New Jersey; the Everittstown IBA alternative would only moderately reduce IBA impacts but would significantly increase the number of residences in close proximity to the pipeline; and Baldplate Mountain and Sourland Mountain IBA alternative would avoid the mapped IBAs, but would add two crossings of the Delaware River and increase the pipeline length and associated impacts by approximately 15 miles. For these reasons, we agree with the New Jersey Sierra Club that these alternatives are not environmentally preferable. Impacts on IBAs crossed by the proposed route are addressed in section 4.5.2 of the EIS.

Alternatives Comment 24 - Commenters from Hopewell Township, New Jersey requested analysis of alternative routes that would avoid safety issues and environmental sensitivity of the Very High Hazard Slopes at MP 80.8R in Holland Township. The commenters state that PennEast has not proven it can safely transverse this site.

Response: On September 23, 2016 PennEast filed a route change in this area (Line Change 40) and the slope area previously crossed at MP 80.8R are no longer crossed by the proposed route.

Alternative Comment 25 - The EPA commented that the analysis of using waste heat electric generation in conjunction with gas fired turbines as an alternative is insufficient and contradictory.

Response: The analysis of using waste heat electric generation in combination with the proposed gas fired turbines in section 4.10.1.4 of the EIS has been revised with the addition of new information provided by PennEast since issuance of the draft EIS.

Alternative Comment 26 - The USDA NRCS New Jersey Office commented that because of route modifications made by PennEast, the Project in New Jersey would no longer affect parcels with federal conservation easements managed by the USDA NRCS. Other commenters expressed concern that because of route changes to avoid the USDA NRCS conservation easements, including at Bobolink Farm in Alexandria Township, New Jersey, there would be greater impacts on other resources.

Response: We appreciate the USDA NRCS New Jersey office comments confirming that the federal conservation easements in New Jersey would not be crossed by the current

proposed route. We acknowledge that by avoiding the USDA NRCS conservation easements other resources would be impacted that would be avoided by the previous pipeline alignment in these areas. These impacts are analyzed in the final EIS. We conclude the impacts would be sufficiently minimized and mitigated by PennEast in these areas.

Alternative Comment 27 - One commenter, concerned about impact on farmland soils by heavy equipment during construction, questioned if other options were evaluated to avoid diagonal and center crossings of farmland.

Response: Sections 4.2.2 and 4.2.2.2 of the EIS address potential impacts on farmland soils, including from operation of heavy construction equipment, and measures that PennEast would implement to minimize or mitigate those impacts. PennEast has generally attempted to minimize diagonal and center crossings of farmland to the extent possible considering factors that influence the pipeline location on either side of farmland crossings.

Alternative Comment 28 - Some commenters noted that other pipeline projects in New Jersey were completed using construction techniques that allowed for a narrower construction right-of-way and reduced area of impact, and suggested that similar techniques should be evaluated for the PennEast Project.

Response: PennEast has proposed construction and operational right-of-way widths that are considered industry standards for a large diameter natural gas pipeline, and we consider the proposed construction techniques and width of 100 feet reasonable for standard pipeline construction. In accordance with our Wetland and Waterbody Construction and Mitigation Procedures (Procedures), PennEast would also reduce the construction right-of-way width within wetlands. In addition, as discussed in section 4.7.3.1, PennEast would use special construction and restoration methods at certain locations to minimize residential neighborhood disruptions and to reduce impacts during construction. Special construction techniques may include sewer line or drag section construction for short distances to allow a reduced construction right-of-way. Specific locations where residential construction plans may include reduced right-of-way width or special construction methods are listed in appendix G-16 and shown on site-specific plans in appendix I.

Alternative Comment 29 - One commenter stated the EIS lacked analysis of major route alternatives and that there is no evidence that any pre-engineering was done to select major route alternates or termination points, suggesting the pipeline route was selected by using a straight line on the map between Wilkes-Barre and Lambertville. One example of a major route alternative that could be evaluated is a route alongside the Pennsylvania Turnpike Northeast Extension from Wilkes-Barre, by passing Allentown on the west to the Turnpike and then east to Lambertville.

Response: Major route alternatives are addressed in section 3.3.1 of the EIS. In the introduction to section 3.3 we explain our evaluation and analysis of construction within,

or adjacent to, existing linear easements. In addition, PennEast included a description of its pipeline routing process, including the factors used to select the proposed route, in its application to FERC (see Resource Report 10, accession number 20150925-5028). As explained in the discussion of collocation in section 3.3 of the EIS, an alternative that would follow an existing linear easement such as the Pennsylvania Turnpike and Northeast Extension would be placed adjacent to, but outside of, the existing easement. Therefore, by following the highway right-of-way the Project would not avoid environmental and land use impacts, but would affect resources adjacent to the highway. Collocation with the Pennsylvania Turnpike and Northeast Extension is not considered a reasonable alternative because of the many constraints along the highway, including highway interchanges, overpasses, bridges, road cuts, the Lehigh Tunnel, and developed areas. In each of these areas the pipeline would need to be diverted away from the highway to avoid the constraints. In Pennsylvania, the Turnpike Northeast Extension passes through areas of dense development near Wilkes Barre, Weissport, Perryville, Bowmanstown, a significant distance north and south of Allentown, and from Harleysville to the Pennsylvania Turnpike near King of Prussia. From that point, following the Pennsylvania Turnpike east to Lambertville, New Jersey, the Pennsylvania Turnpike passes through primarily areas of dense development that would preclude siting a pipeline. For these reasons we do not consider such an alternative feasible and do not evaluate it in the EIS.

Alternative Comment 30 – A number of commenters expressed concerns related to the 33 route modifications filed with the Commission by PennEast on September 23, 2016, and that were the subject of the letter we sent to affected and abutting landowners on November 4, 2016. Commenters included Holland Township, New Jersey; Delaware River Keeper Network; Citizens Against the Pipeline; and residents of East Saylor Avenue in Plains Township, Pennsylvania, among others. Some comments were general in nature, describing environmental impacts that may result from the modifications, and some comments were very specific, citing specific locations and concerns along individual route modifications.

Response: Each of the 33 route modifications identified by PennEast in its filing with FERC on September 23, 2016 has been added to table 3.3.2-1 in section 3.3.2 of the final EIS. Table 3.3.2.1 includes the general reason for each modification, including resources of concern in cases where the modification would avoid or minimize impact on the resource. We acknowledge that the modifications may not address all concerns expressed by stakeholders, and that in some cases there may be a trade-off between reduced impacts on some resources versus increased impact on others. Impacts on resources affected by the 33 route modifications are addressed in the appropriate discussions of section 4 of the final EIS, and where appropriate, the discussions in section 4 include measures proposed by PennEast or recommended by the final EIS to reduce impacts or to ensure that all necessary field surveys and agency review is completed for each route modification.

Geology

Geological Resources Comment 1 – Several comments were received by FERC regarding the actual distances of quarries (specifically Trap Rock) from the pipeline route and the analysis performed to evaluate the possible long term impacts on the pipeline from blasting within the quarries.

Response: PennEast has provided documentation regarding the expansion of Trap Rock Quarry and has adjusted the pipeline route to avoid future expansion of the quarry. Based on the blasting analysis provided by PennEast there would be no impact from quarry blasting on the pipeline.

The final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast file an updated report that verifies explosive weights used by the quarry operator and that the results of this study should be incorporated into the final design of the Project (see section 4.1.4).

Geological Resources Comment 2 – There were several comments received that question the conclusions of the draft EIS without the approval of the Karst Mitigation Plan

Response: The portions of the Project with potential karst impacts include sections of the Project in Carbon, Northampton, and Bucks counties in Pennsylvania and Hunterdon County in New Jersey, totaling about 13.8 miles. PennEast continues to complete additional geophysical investigations as landowner permissions become available, and would incorporate this work into a final Karst Mitigation Plan.

PennEast has also developed a HDD Drilling Plan for Karst Terrain, to be included as part of the Karst Mitigation Plan, as several of the crossings would be performed in carbonate rock. The final EIS recommends that PennEast file the results of all outstanding geotechnical investigations in karst areas and the final planned design of each HDD crossing prior to construction.

In areas of karst, PennEast would conduct regular inspections, and if evidence of subsidence is observed, corrective actions would be implemented as discussed in PennEast's Karst Mitigation Plan.

Geological Resources Comment 3 – Numerous comments were received on the potential mobilization of arsenic during construction and operation of the Project. Comments were made on the potential impacts on both surface and groundwater and on both humans and wildlife.

Response: PennEast has provided responses to comments on arsenic related issues. Section 4.1.5.5 of the EIS has been updated to include additional information on laboratory studies regarding the release and mobilization of arsenic into groundwater from arsenic-enriched rock during construction of the project.

In summary, the results of the PennEast laboratory studies demonstrate that broken fragments of naturally occurring arsenic-enriched rock, generated during trenching activities and subsequently returned as trench backfill would not result in an increased risk of arsenic mobilization in groundwater; and that the drilling mud used for HDD,

would not become contaminated with particles of naturally occurring arsenic enriched rock, and would not require handling and disposal as a hazardous waste class.

We have reviewed this information and are in agreement with the PennEast findings.

Geological Resources Comment 4 – A comment was made on the potential effects to U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) stream gauging stations.

Response: There are nine USGS gauging stations are located from 0.06 to 8.35 miles from the proposed crossing locations in streams or rivers crossed by the Project. Four of these stations are located up-stream from Project crossings and would not be affected. Four of the downstream gauging stations are more than 1.5 river miles from the closest PennEast stream crossing. One gauging station identified by the USGS as Moore C tributary at Valley Road near Lambertville, New Jersey (Station ID: 01462197) is located approximately 300 feet (0.06 mile), downstream of the proposed workspace.

PennEast would implement construction in accordance with our Procedures. PennEast would maintain stream flow, which would avoid impacts on the flow at gauging station locations. Construction time would be of short duration. According to USGS records the station located at Moore C tributary has been gauged 17 times since 1989. It is therefore unlikely that any variation in flow would be noted during the PennEast crossing. This information has been added to section 4.3.2.2.

Geological Resources Comment 5 – There were several comments received that question the conclusions of the draft EIS without the approval of a final Blasting Plan

Response: PennEast has developed a Project-specific Blasting Plan. Blasting activity would be performed according to federal and state safety standards and in accordance with PennEast's comprehensive Blasting Plan to be implemented by a certified blasting contractor.

The final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast should file with the Secretary, for review and written approval by the Director of the OEP, a revised final Blasting Plan that includes a review of potential effects on cultural resources, including caves, rock shelters, and aboveground historic structures, and how those impacts would be addressed.

Geological Resources Comment 6 – Comments were received regarding the location of abandoned mines within 0.25 mile of the pipeline and the fact that PennEast is still collecting data on abandoned mines

Response: To mitigate the effects from abandoned mines PennEast would implement mitigation measures to minimize the risk of subsidence due to underground mines after performing borings and geotechnical analysis. Maximum unsupported span length calculations for a 36-inch-diameter pipeline show that a maximum span length of about 58 feet (Class 2 pipe) and 63 feet (Class 3 pipe) would be acceptable. The largest

anomaly detected by geophysical and geotechnical work completed by PennEast to-date has been about 30 feet.

The geotechnical analysis is ongoing, and will continue through the design phase. Therefore, the final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast should file the results of its ongoing evaluation of potential presence of working and abandoned mines near the proposed crossing of the Susquehanna River (see section 4.1.5.4). This evaluation should include continued documentation of coordination with the Pennsylvania Bureau of Abandoned Mine Reclamation, and should identify any specific design or mitigation measures.

Geological Resources Comment 7 – Several comments were received on the potential mobilization of Boron during blasting from minerals within diabase. Many of these comments specifically identify Swan Creek Reservoir in Lambertville, New Jersey.

Response: The mineralogy of the diabase in New Jersey contains several minerals that contain boron. However the mobilization of boron from the diabase is not well understood.

The EPA has established an adult long-term health advisory and lifetime health advisory for boron of 5,000 ppb/day (5 parts per million [ppm])⁴. While several states have established a drinking water standard for boron, neither the EPA nor the state of New Jersey has an established drinking water standard. This would indicate boron is not an element of reasonable concern to surface water biota or contaminant of groundwater quality for drinking water.

In addition, boron contamination or any other contamination of the City of Lambertville's drinking water supply are highly unlikely as the location of the Swan Creek Reservoir is at a higher elevation and therefore hydraulically upgradient of the proposed pipeline crossing. We have reviewed the documentation regarding boron and find that the construction and operation of the pipeline would not adversely affect the environment from the excavation or blasting of boron containing rock.

Geological Resources Comment 8 – Several comments were received regarding the earthquake potential in the vicinity of the pipeline, including activity associated with the Ramapo Fault.

Response: Based on the data provided by PennEast, we find that the recorded magnitude of earthquakes in the Project area is relatively low and the ground vibration would not pose a problem for a modern welded-steel pipeline. Based on the low seismic risk and occurrence assigned to the Project area, and the lack of recent (Holocene age) faulting, we find the risk of damage to pipeline facilities by earthquakes to be low.

⁴ EPA. 2014b. Summary Document from the Health Advisory for Boron Compounds. Prepared by Health and Ecological Criteria Division (HECD), Office of Science and Technology (OST), Office of Water (OW) for Office of Groundwater/Drinking Water (OGWDW), OW, U.S. EPA. Document Number: 822-S-08-003. Available online at: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-09/documents/summary_document_from_the_ha_for_boron.pdf.

Even under much higher ground vibrations, the main risk to pipelines would occur when the pipeline is buried along a hillside coupled with saturated unstable soils that could become displaced laterally during an earthquake. PennEast has identified areas where it would perform additional field work to assess this potential which would be filed prior to construction in the GeoHazard Phase 2 and 3 reports.

Geological Resources Comment 9 – Several comments were received regarding the landslide potential in the vicinity of the pipeline specifically in New Jersey.

Response: The final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast file the results of the outstanding Phase 2 and 3 portions of the GeoHazard Risk Evaluation Report and include the following in its pipeline design geotechnical report: an evaluation of liquefaction hazards along the pipeline route and at the proposed compressor station site; a final landslide hazard inventory; any specific measures and locations where specialized pipeline design would be implemented to mitigate for potential liquefaction or landslide hazards; and a post-construction monitoring plan (see section 4.1.5.2). The final EIS has also recommends that PennEast include in its pipeline design geotechnical report the results of ongoing evaluations necessary to support final pipeline routing/mitigation measures through geologically hazardous areas, a final landslide hazard inventory, specific mitigation measures with locations, and a post-construction landslide monitoring plan (see section 4.1.5.2).

Geological Resources Comment 10 – A comment was made on what physiographic province the New Jersey portion of the project crossed in Section 4.5.1.

Response: The Project would cross the New Jersey Mid-Atlantic coastal plain as described in section 4.5.1, and as defined by the U.S. Forest Service on its 1994 map Ecoregions and Sub regions of the United States. The comment incorrectly refers to the geologic physiographic province.

Geological Resources Comment 11 – Several comments were received regarding the geotechnical investigations related to the HDD crossings stating that these should be completed prior to the end of the draft EIS comment period. These comments request that a full evaluation of HDD installation method at these locations cannot be made without these geotechnical investigations completed and that these investigations may substantially change the pipeline installation method at these locations.

Response: PennEast continues to complete additional geotechnical investigations as landowner permissions become available, and would incorporate this work into its final Karst Mitigation Plan. As discussed in General Comment 2, commenters are also referring to outstanding information that is pending completion of field surveys, which cannot be completed until landowner access is granted. Based on the coordinated effort by affected landowners to deny survey access, we assume that remaining field surveys would not be completed until/if the Commission issues a Certificate.

If authorized, PennEast would gain survey access at that time through use of eminent domain to complete its surveys. Once surveys are completed, PennEast would file its survey findings and documentation of consultations/federal permits required. Staff would review and verify that the information does not alter the EIS conclusions, and that all Commission's conditions have been met, prior to any construction approval. All this information would also be available on the Commission website for review by other agencies and the public. As in all Commission proceedings, rehearing requests would be considered after any Commission decision.

Geological Resources Comment 12 – Several comments were made on the elevated levels of uranium and the uranium decay product release to air and water caused by blasting.

Response: All geological materials contain radioactive elements to a varying degree. Some geological processes have concentrated amounts of these elements in certain rocks and minerals resulting in the potential for undesirable human exposures. The greatest frequency of gross alpha (and radium) exceedances in potable well-water in the State of New Jersey are in the southern Coastal Plain Province, with up to 34 percent of wells tested in Camden County exceeding the EPA drinking-water standard of 15 pCi/L. By comparison, Hunterdon and Mercer counties in the Piedmont Province (Newark Basin) only had 4.2 percent and 4.5 percent exceedances, respectively. Geologically, high levels of uranium in drinking water are also most likely to be found in the Highlands Province and neighboring regions of North Jersey, and occurring in the Reading Prong in Northampton County, Pennsylvania.

As discussed in section 4.3.1, PennEast has prepared a Well Monitoring Plan and proposes to conduct groundwater quality testing of potentially affected wells prior to construction that would provide a baseline to determine whether any radionuclide increases in groundwater occur after the pipeline is installed and operational. The final EIS recommends that PennEast conduct post-construction testing of potentially affected wells to identify whether arsenic and/or uranium concentrations have increased above safe drinking water levels (see section 4.3.1.6). In the unlikely event that the construction Project causes a significant impact on a water-supply well, PennEast would provide a treatment system to remove arsenic from the drinking water at individual properties or find an alternative water source.

Section 4.10.1.6 discusses potential radon emissions from construction activities.

Geological Resources Comment 13 – Several comments were made regarding discrepancies in the areas that blasting would be required in section 4.1.6 and the appendices.

Response: PennEast has updated the areas that may require blasting in appendix G-3, using readily available geologic data and makes estimation of areas that would require blasting based on saprolite thickness and rock type. This has increased the mileage figures for shallow bedrock and therefore areas that might require blasting to approximately 40.1 miles. Sections 4.1 and section 4.2 of the EIS have been revised accordingly.

Geological Resources Comment 14 – Several comments were made regarding PennEast’s Well Monitoring Plan and suggested requirements of that plan.

Response: PennEast has prepared a Well Monitoring Plan and proposes to conduct groundwater quality testing of potentially affected wells prior to construction (appendix L). This testing would provide a baseline of arsenic, gross alpha activity, radon, and uranium (in specified areas) concentrations in wells adjacent to construction. PennEast would likewise conduct post-construction water quality testing for arsenic in groundwater wells adjacent to the construction work areas to identify if arsenic concentrations have increased above pre-construction (background) concentrations. In the unlikely event that construction of the Project causes an increase in arsenic above safe drinking water levels, PennEast would provide a treatment system to remove arsenic from the drinking water at individual properties or, provide an alternative water source.

Comments regarding the parameters and content of the Well Monitoring Plan were also received, including comments from the U.S. Department of the Interior. The final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast file with the Secretary a final Well Monitoring Plan that incorporates PennEast’s response to these comments in addition to sampling and analysis for gross alpha particle activity per the New Jersey Private Well Testing Act, as well as radon, radium 226 and 228 (see section 4.3.1.6).

Soils

Soils Comment 1 – Several comments were received regarding the potential for encountering soil and groundwater contamination during pipeline construction, as well as the potential for the back fill of the pipeline to provide a preferential pathway for existing and future contamination. These comments were focused on both known (Superfund and other) suspected and unknown contaminated sites.

Response: The pipeline does not cross any known contaminated sites, based on review of federal and state sources of known landfills or hazardous waste sites. However, portions of the pipeline, between MPs 47 and 52 would occur within a 1-mile buffer zone from the Palmerton Zinc Pile Superfund site. PennEast consulted with the EPA regarding potential impacts of the pipeline on the Superfund site and it was determined that the pipeline would not impact or be affected by existing and/or on-going Superfund activities.

PennEast has prepared an Unanticipated Discovery of Contamination Plan that includes measures it would follow if any unanticipated contaminated soils are encountered during construction. If contaminated soils are found they would be managed in accordance with applicable federal and state regulations and the standard operating procedures in the Unanticipated Discovery of Contamination Plan. The final EIS recommends that PennEast file and identify the management and field environmental professionals prior to start of construction (see section 4.3.1.8).

In order to prevent the pipeline trench from developing into a preferential pathway for future contamination PennEast would install trench breakers within the trench to restrict groundwater flow horizontally.

PennEast would prohibit the refueling or storage of hazardous materials from occurring within a 200-foot radius of private wells, and 400-foot radius of community and municipal wells without an approved variance.

Soils Comment 2 – Several comments were filed in relation to the impacts on prime farmland soils and their restoration, including in areas where there is shallow depth to bedrock and blasting may be required to excavate the trench.

Response: We recognize the importance of active farmland. PennEast would implement measures as discussed in section 4.2.2.2 and 4.2.2.5 of the EIS for maintaining soil fertility in active agricultural lands temporarily affected by construction activities, including active agricultural lands classified as prime farmland and farmlands of state importance, and farmland with shallow depth to bedrock where blasting or other methods would be required to remove rock from the trench..

PennEast would segregate up to 12 inches of topsoil in order to maintain surface horizons with higher organic matter content. During backfilling, bedrock fragments from blasting or excavation, or naturally occurring rock in the overlying soils, would only be backfilled to the top of the natural bedrock profile. Excess rock fragments would be disposed of in an approved manner and would not interfere with agricultural activities. In addition these soils would be tested regularly for compaction. Severely compacted topsoil would be plowed or a green manure such as alfalfa would be planted and plowed to decrease bulk density and improve soil structure.

Post-construction monitoring would determine the success of revegetation, address landowner concerns, and make any needed improvement. At a minimum, inspections would be conducted after the first and second growing seasons. Restoration would be considered successful if the right-of-way surface condition is similar to adjacent undisturbed lands, revegetation is successful, and proper drainage has been restored.

Soils Comment 3 – Several comments were received in relation to the compaction of soils due to construction by heavy equipment.

Response: In order to minimize potential soil compaction PennEast would avoid construction during periods of heavy rainfall, snowmelt, or unusual soil saturation. In addition topsoil would be segregated and then later returned as the surficial layer. Timber mats and low ground pressure machinery would be used to minimize rutting and compaction within saturated wetland soils. Grading in wetlands would be limited to that needed to restore natural site contours and repair rutted areas before final revegetation and seeding, which would initiate natural restoration of soil structure and bulk density. However, in accordance with the FERC Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation and

Maintenance Plan (Plan) under the direction of the Environmental Inspectors (EIs) additional mechanical means may be employed to reduce compaction.

Soils Comment 4 – Several comments were received in relation to topsoil segregation in areas of prime farmland and wetland crossings.

Response: As discussed in section 2.3.1, in areas of actively cultivated crops and pastures, residential areas, unsaturated wetlands, and other areas at the landowner's request, PennEast would segregate and store separately the topsoil from subsoils. In these areas, PennEast would remove and segregate up to 12 inches of topsoil. PennEast would follow our Plan and Procedures regarding topsoil segregation.

Soils Comment 5 – Several comments were received regarding the effects variable soil temperature in the vicinity of the pipeline.

Response: It is expected that pipeline operation would modify soil temperatures in an area surrounding the pipeline and that these effects would vary seasonally. It is also expected temperature would be more significant near the pipe and minor near the surface in the vicinity of the root zone. Increased soil temperatures may include accelerated seedling emergence and increased production over the trenchline or it may decrease water availability and decreased production over the trenchline. Ultimately, the thermal effect of the pipeline on plant growth would typically be secondary to other environmental conditions.

Soils Comment 6 – Several comments were received regarding the methodology used for determining soil type and soil characteristics.

Response: The soils reports presented by PennEast were prepared in accordance with the FERC *Guidance Manual For Environmental Report Preparation*. The manual requires the soils be reported from existing National Resource Conservation Service Mapping (NRCS) data for the EIS process. PennEast presented NRCS digital Soil Survey Geographic Database (SSURGO) for the Project. According to the USDA, the SSURGO data contains the most detailed level of soil mapping performed by the NRCS, and corresponds with or supersedes the original county soil survey mapping.

The impact analysis to and from soils is based on this data. However, in some specific cases near stream crossings, wetlands, surface waterbodies and steep slopes, site specific analysis has been performed by the applicant. The data obtained from these studies superseded the above SSURGO data.

Soils Comment 7– Several comments were received regarding areas that have the potential to erode during the construction and operation of the pipeline and what measures would be implemented during revegetation.

Response: As discussed in section 4.2.1.1 and shown in table 4.2.1-1, PennEast has determined approximately 37 percent of the pipeline would cross soils that are considered to be erodible.

Section 4.2.2.1 indicates that PennEast would implement the measures specified in its Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (E&SCP) to avoid or minimize potential impacts due to soil erosion and sedimentation. As outlined in the E&SCP, PennEast would have an EI monitoring all phases of construction to ensure Project plans are followed and would use erosion control devices and construction practices that would minimize erosion during and after construction. Once revegetation is satisfactory, temporary erosion control measures would be removed. We find that soil erosion would be minimized through proper implementation and maintenance of measures in the FERC Plan and E&SCP.

Water Resources

Water Resources Comment 1 – Several comments were received regarding the potential negative impacts, as well as the feasibility, of PennEast’s proposed HDD crossings. Commenters expressed concern over accidental discharges of drilling muds into waterbodies or wetlands, lack of information regarding subsurface conditions, incorrectly listed HDD site locations, problematic HDD site locations, impacts associated with construction pads, lack of alternative crossing methods discussion, and lack of information regarding location of mud pits and other associated facilities.

Response: PennEast provided a HDD Inadvertent Returns and Contingency Plan which provides information on equipment, training, drilling procedures, failed HDD contingency, agency communication, and clean-up. PennEast continues to complete additional geotechnical investigations as landowner permissions become available, and would incorporate this work into its HDD design. As discussed in response to General Comment 2, commenters are also referring to outstanding information that is pending completion of field surveys, which cannot be completed until landowner access is granted. Based on the coordinated effort by affected landowners to deny survey access, we assume that remaining field surveys would not be completed until/if the Commission issues a Certificate.

Water Resources Comment 2 – Several comments were received regarding impacts on vernal pools. Concerns include lack of documentation due to incomplete field surveys and potential for unmitigated construction impacts.

Response: The final EIS recommends that PennEast survey all areas mapped as being potential vernal habitat and identify whether these areas contain vernal pool habitat that would be affected by the proposed alignment during construction or operation. The results of these surveys should be filed with the Secretary and the appropriate state agencies for review. Should additional potential vernal habitats be discovered after full property access has been obtained, a time of year restriction would be observed if vernal habitats cannot be avoided. This time of year restriction would be observed during the key breeding period (i.e., March through June) for obligate and facultative amphibian species. All disturbed areas would be restored to pre-construction conditions following pipeline installation.

Water Resources Comment 3 – Several comments were received regarding PennEast’s proposed waterbody crossing methods. Commenters questioned PennEast’s selection of particular crossing methods, as well as the lack of crossing method information for many impacted waterbodies. Also, commenters questioned the restoration methods near waterbodies, after construction is complete.

Response: Revised waterbody crossings methods are provided in appendices G-5 and G-6. PennEast proposes to cross waterbodies using a variety of methods including HDD, bores, and dry-crossing methods. Although several commenters requested that all waterbody crossings be conducted via HDD, we find that the use of the HDD method at every waterbody crossing would be either technically infeasible, impractical, or would not result in a clear environmental advantage to the proposed dry crossing methods. Impacts on waterbodies that would be crossed by the Project are addressed in section 4.3.2.4 of the EIS.

Water Resources Comment 4 – Several comments were received regarding impact on protected waterbodies that would be crossed by the Project including, but not limited to, Susquehanna River, Delaware River, Wickecheoke Creek, Alexauken Creek, Stony Brook, and other state-designated high-quality and exceptional value waters.

Response: State-designated high-quality and exceptional value waters are addressed in section 4.3.2.2 of the EIS. PennEast identified special construction procedures that would be implemented to minimize impacts on Category 1 (C-1) streams. PennEast also provided updated workspace configurations for C-1 waterbody crossings which we have reviewed and determined to be acceptable. Updated waterbody crossing tables have been incorporated into the EIS based on PennEast’s September 2016 route modifications.

Water Resources Comment 5 – Several comments were received regarding erosion and sedimentation with a request for additional information on the materials used for erosion and sediment control and a request that erosion and sediment control measures be coordinated with municipalities.

Response: Soil erosion and sediment control is discussed in section 4.2.2.1 of the EIS. PennEast would be required to implement the measures in its E&SCP, which incorporates measures from our Plan and Procedures, to ensure that erosion control measures are installed and properly maintained until the right-of-way is effectively restored. Inspections would be conducted to ensure the effectiveness of these measures. As required by our Plan PennEast must coordinate with the appropriate local, state, and federal agencies regarding erosion and sediment control.

Water Resources Comment 6 – Several comments expressed concern over the potential for an increase in frequency and severity of flood events. Commenters also pointed out that table 2.3-6, which is supposed to cover Special Flood Hazard Areas, is missing from Resource Report 2.

Response: As discussed in section 4.3.2.2 of the EIS, although flooding itself does not generally present a risk to pipeline facilities, bank erosion and/or scour could expose the

pipeline or cause sections of pipe to become unsupported. All pipeline facilities are required to be designed and constructed in accordance with 49 CFR 192. These regulations include specifications for installing the pipeline at a sufficient depth to avoid possible scour at waterbody crossings. Typically, the trench would be sufficiently deep to provide for a minimum of 5 feet of cover over the pipeline at waterbodies.

Additionally, no aboveground facilities would be located within a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Special Flood Hazard Area.

Water Resources Comment 7 – Several comments were received that risks to groundwater and aquifers were not adequately addressed in the draft EIS. The EPA suggested that PennEast work directly with state drinking water authorities or regional utilities to avoid or minimize impacts on source water protection areas.

Response: As discussed in section 4.3.1.6, a Well Monitoring Plan has been developed that presents procedures for pre- and post-construction monitoring of all identified drinking water supply wells, including private, community, municipal/public wells, and springs, within 150 feet of the proposed construction workspace. This plan includes monitoring for water quality and yield for private and public wells within 150 feet of the proposed construction workspace. In the event that any water supply's quantity or quality is affected during construction, PennEast would provide an alternate water supply source or pay damages to the landowner for a new, analogous well.

In addition, PennEast will implement the protocols in its Revised Karst Monitoring Plan, Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan and Unanticipated Discovery of Contamination Plan if contamination is encountered during construction. We have reviewed these documents and find them to be acceptable with the exception of the identification of responsible personnel in the Unanticipated Discovery of Contamination Plan (see section 4.3.1.8).

Concerns regarding methane are addressed in response to Air Quality Comment 55.

Water Resources Comment 8 – Several comments were received regarding reliance on wells and septic systems in the Project area and the potential for damage to these during construction of the Project, particularly due to blasting activities, with requests for additional information regarding compensation and/or mitigation for damage. Commenters requested that the Well Monitoring Plan be made available for public review and comment.

Response: The draft EIS adequately addressed this issue. PennEast has prepared a Well Monitoring Plan that includes procedures for pre- and post-construction monitoring of all water supply wells, and it has committed to provide an alternative water supply source if the water supply is impacted (see appendix L). The final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast file a revised Well Monitoring Plan.

Water Resources Comment 9 – Several comments were received regarding potential environmental impacts resulting from stormwater runoff. Commenters were concerned that the Project would result in soil compaction and vegetation loss, resulting in increased erosion and

sedimentation associated with increased surface flow. Increased flow could also transport of pesticides and herbicides, contaminating surface and groundwater resources and negatively impacting native species.

Response: PennEast would implement its E&SCP, a Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan, and an SPCC Plan throughout construction and operation of the proposed Project to minimize stormwater runoff from construction areas with exposed soils. Implementation of the E&SCP along with thorough establishment of vegetation cover would minimize direct discharges of stormwater runoff to surface waters. Utilization of BMPs in the E&SCP to encourage soil infiltration and promote groundwater recharge of stormwater runoff would also act to prevent direct discharge to the waterbody being crossed. We have reviewed these plans and find them acceptable with the exception of a recommendation to include additional information regarding steep slopes in the E&SCP.

Water Resources Comment 10 – Several comments were filed by the Bethlehem Authority regarding impacts on the watershed and the Authority’s ability to provide adequate water supplies. These comments questioned the HDD drilling methods and impacts, as well as encouraging more co-location of the pipeline with other utilities.

Response: Route variations listed in table 3.3.2-1 include potential alternatives for other areas identified as concern, including crossing of the Bethlehem Authority watershed district around Beltzville Lake (variation numbers 7, 9, and 1400) were evaluated these variations result in less colocation, more residences within 50 feet and engineering constraints.

Final HDD drilling methods, including estimation of volumes of water needed for drilling and the source of water for the drilling would be determined during the final design of HDD crossings. PennEast would continue to work with the Bethlehem Authority to minimize construction and operation impacts on watershed and water supply infrastructure.

Water Resources Comment 11 – Several comments were made inquiring how the pipeline would be repaired or maintained in riparian zones, and what special measures would be implemented to reduce damage or loss of the ecological function of this resource.

Response: Waterbodies with riparian areas are addressed in section 4.3.2.2 of the EIS. Measures implemented during operation (i.e. maintenance and repair) would be similar to those implemented during construction to reduce impacts on riparian areas, in accordance with Federal and State regulations. PennEast proposes to retain a 50-foot-wide permanent right-of-way.

Water Resources Comment 12 – Several commenters requested a site-specific crossing plan for the Delaware River crossing, given their concerns about the potential for contamination, especially of drinking water sources, during construction and operation of the proposed Project.

Response: As discussed in section 4.3.2.3, PennEast proposes to use a HDD to cross the Delaware River and Delaware Canal; therefore, no in-water work would be conducted and disturbance of sediments or impairment of water quality during construction would not be expected. PennEast provided updated waterbody crossing plans including a Delaware River site-specific plan which we reviewed and found acceptable (accession number 20160923-5115, Attachment 26).

Water Resources Comment 13 – Several commenters have expressed concern over potential impacts resulting from hydrostatic testing, including changes in stream temperature and surface water levels resulting in impacts on aquatic life and depletion of groundwater reserves. Commenters asked for additional information about the source of hydrostatic testing water, any biocides used added to testing water, the volume of discharged water, and the location of water discharges.

Response: Hydrostatic test water is addressed in section 4.3.2.3 of the EIS. The final EIS recommends that PennEast provide, prior to construction, a hydrostatic test plan that identifies the final hydrostatic test water sources and discharge locations, and provides documentation that all necessary permits and approvals have been obtained for withdrawal from each source. PennEast should also provide approximate water volumes and alternate withdrawal locations. As stated in section 4.3.2.3, no chemicals (i.e., biocide or corrosion inhibiting agents) would be added to hydrostatic test waters to be discharged.

See response to Water Resources Comment 14 regarding water temperature changes.

Water Resources Comment 14 – Several commenters have expressed concern over potential water temperature changes in waterbodies crossed by the pipeline, and the impacts that these temperature changes might have on aquatic life.

Response: As discussed in section 4.3.2.4, impacts on water quality may include changes in temperature, especially for those waterbodies crossed via an open-cut. PennEast's use of dry-crossing methods, as opposed to wet-crossing methods, would minimize in-water disturbance, and consequently sedimentation, within the stream basin during excavation activities. Additionally, restricting vegetation clearing within riparian areas would allow much of the ecological function of the riparian area (e.g., bank stabilization, filtration, shade, future large wood, and organic input) to more rapidly return. Stream bank shrub and tree species would be expected to recover over several months to several decades. Changes in temperature would be minimal and would not be expected to be long-lasting.

Water Resources Comment 15 – A comment was filed regarding the fisheries of special concern identified in section 4.3.3.1 of the EIS, stating that the list was incomplete.

Response: As stated in section 4.3.3.1, Fisheries of Special Concern are waters considered by the state or federal agencies to have exceptional resource value. These fisheries support unique or rare aquatic species, host significant migratory fish populations, are associated with state or federal stocking programs, or are governed by

state fishery management regulations. Specifically for Pennsylvania, these criteria include High Quality (HQ) waters, Exceptional Value (EV) waters, wild trout waters, and trout stocked fisheries (TSF). In New Jersey, waters meeting these criteria include outstanding natural resource waters, Freshwater (FW)-1, Category 1 (C-1), trout production (TP) and trout maintenance TM waters. Tables 4.3.2-2 and 4.2.2-3 have been updated in the EIS.

Water Resources Comment 16 – Comments were filed regarding the Section 401 and Section 404 permits that would be required for construction and operation of the proposed Project and any necessary conditions of these permits.

Response: PennEast would obtain all necessary Federal, State and local permits prior to construction, and would be required to adhere to all restrictions and/or measures of these permits, including, but not limited to, construction windows, withdrawal limitations, prohibited herbicide use in certain areas, etc. PennEast would also adhere to our Plan and Procedures.

Water Resources Comment 17 – Several comments were filed regarding wellhead protection areas (WHPAs) identifying concerns about potential impacts on water infiltration and contamination, especially caused by the use of herbicides or fertilizers within the WHPA.

Response: WHPAs are addressed in section 4.3.1.5 of the EIS based on publically available data. The use of pesticides, herbicides, or fertilizers would not be permitted within WHPAs. PennEast continues to consult with appropriate WHPA management authorities regarding these issues and permit requirements.

Water Resources Comment 18 – Several commenters have expressed concern that the pipeline might negatively impact the Swan Creek Reservoir. Commenters have asked that shut-off valves be placed on either side of the drinking water intake pipe, which is transected by the pipeline. Also, commenters have requested that the final EIS addresses details related to ingress and egress to its property during the construction phase, safety protocols and training, project sequencing, and adequate pipeline markers.

Response: The Swan Creek Reservoir is located at a higher elevation and upstream of the proposed pipeline route. Therefore, pipeline construction and operation would not be expected to impact the water quality of the Swan Creek Reservoir.

PennEast continues to work with the Suez Water Company during final design to minimize construction and operation impacts on the water supply infrastructure located in proximity to the proposed pipeline route.

Water Resources Comment 19 – Several comments were submitted presenting concerns for the proposed Susquehanna River crossing, including requests for additional geotechnical investigations, a site-specific crossing plan, and further consultation with appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies.

Response: The proposed Susquehanna River crossing is discussed in section 4.3.2.3 of the EIS and PennEast provided an updated site-specific plan for the proposed crossing in September 2016 (accession number 20160923-5115, Attachment 26). The proposed pipeline installation method is via dry crossing using coffer dams and pump and flume thereby minimizing in water resuspension of contaminated sediments in the water column during construction. Additional measures regarding the potential for sediment contamination associated with this proposed crossing has been incorporated into section 4.3.2.3 or the EIS.

Additional geotechnical evaluation is on-going in the area of the Susquehanna River. The final EIS requires PennEast to incorporate the results of this evaluation into the final design and file a final geotechnical report prior to construction.

Water Resources Comment 20 – Several commenters have expressed concern over the potential for release of toxins as a result of disturbance of abandoned mines and mine spoil.

Response: To insure abandoned mines would be avoided, as discussed in section 4.1.5.4, PennEast would continue and complete evaluation of potential presence of working and abandoned mines near the proposed crossing of the Susquehanna River. This evaluation would include documentation of coordination with the Pennsylvania Bureau of Abandoned Mine Reclamation, and should identify any specific design or mitigation measures. The final EIS recommends that PennEast file the results of its ongoing geotechnical evaluation of working and abandoned mines near the proposed crossing of the Susquehanna River. Additional detail regarding abandoned mine drainage is provided in section 4.3.2.2 of the EIS.

Water Resources Comment 21 – Commenters have asked about the source of water used for dust control.

Response: Dust control is discussed in section 4.10.1.4. PennEast has contacted 17 local municipalities, agencies, or private landowners along the length of the proposed pipeline route in Pennsylvania and New Jersey who are willing to sell water to PennEast for dust control use during construction. Water used for dust control has been included in section 4.3.1.6.

Water Resources Comment 22 – Several comments were filed regarding Cooks Creek Watershed in upper Bucks County, which is an Exceptional Value and Cold Water Fishery of Pennsylvania and which was designated as an "outstanding natural resource" in the Bucks County Natural Areas Inventory. Concerns included stormwater runoff and the loss of surface water to karst topography.

Response: State-designated high-quality and exceptional value waters, including Exceptional Value and Cold Water Fisheries in Pennsylvania, are discussed in section 4.3.2.2 of the EIS. We believe that following the measures included in our Procedures would adequately minimize impact on Pennsylvania and New Jersey state-designated waters, including HQ, EV, and C-1 streams. Additionally, PennEast has identified

several special construction procedures that would be implemented to minimize impacts on state-designated waters including dry-crossing with reduced workspace, trenchless, and trenchless with a travel lane for construction equipment crossing of the waterbody (see section 4.3.2.2).

Water Resources Comment 23 – Several comments were filed regarding the challenges of construction and revegetation on steep slopes.

Response: Waterbodies with steep and actively eroding banks and riparian areas are discussed in section 4.3.2.2 of the EIS. Measures included in the PennEast's E&SCP and our Plan and Procedures are designed to prevent or minimize erosion along slopes, including steep slopes adjacent to waterbody crossings. PennEast also states it would assess bank conditions of waterbodies on a case-by-case basis. In addition, PennEast has proposed deviation (i.e., Deviation No. P-1405) that incorporates results of PennEast's steep slope analysis and geotechnical hazards analysis. However, because surveys have not been completed, the final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast file a revised E&SCP with a complete review of waterbody crossings with steep slopes. The revised E&SCP should discuss crossing methods for steep embankments, and provide site specific measures to address erosion, sedimentation, and restoration of steep embankments.

Water Resources Comment 24 – The EPA recommended that the final EIS include site-specific plans that identify special resource considerations during blasting to determine if a pre blasting, and post blasting monitoring plan is appropriate. Site-specific plans should include a map with the waterbody locations that may require blasting, including karst topography, wetlands, and water withdrawal locations.

Response: The EPA requested that site-specific blasting plans associated with water crossings be provided and made available to the public; however, PennEast would not determine whether blasting is required until additional geophysical and geotechnical evaluations are completed and construction commences. As discussed in section 4.3.2.4, PennEast would develop site-specific blasting plans for each waterbody crossing where blasting is determined to be necessary.

Wetlands

Wetlands Comment 1 – Several comments were filed regarding the need for compensatory mitigation for impacts on wetlands and the appropriate methodology for determining sufficient mitigation values.

Response: As discussed in section 4.4.2, PennEast has developed a preliminary Compensatory Wetland Mitigation Plan for permanent wetland impacts in Pennsylvania and submitted a proposed compensatory mitigation plan to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) with the joint permit applications on February 5, 2016 (accession number 20160222-5257). Additionally, PennEast has developed a separate Preliminary Wetland Mitigation,

Riparian Zone Compensation, and Construction Related Disturbance Restoration Proposal (accession number 20160222-5257) to preliminarily address the requirements for compensatory wetland mitigation, riparian zone compensation, and restoration of construction related disturbances associated with the anticipated New Jersey Freshwater Wetlands Individual Permit and New Jersey Flood Hazard Area Individual Permit for the Project.

Wetlands Comment 2 – Several comments were received regarding the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) regulations and guidelines for impacts on wetlands.

Response: As stated in section 4.4.2, PennEast would comply with all permit conditions and mitigation requirements in the CWA Section 404 permits and Section 401 certifications. PennEast would mitigate for unavoidable wetland impacts by implementing the procedures specified in its E&SCP and by complying with the conditions of its pending Section 404 and 401 permits. Additional mitigation measures that would reduce impacts on wetlands during construction and operation are listed in section 4.4.2. Lastly, the final EIS recommends that PennEast finalize a Project-specific Wetland Restoration Plan in consultation with the USACE and applicable state agencies in Pennsylvania and New Jersey and file this plan with the Secretary prior to construction. See response to Wetlands Comment 1 for additional information regarding compensatory mitigation requirements in Pennsylvania and New Jersey.

Wetlands Comment 3 – Comments were filed regarding potential impacts on wetlands as a result of the proposed crossing method as well as permitting requirements.

Response: Impacts on wetlands as a result of construction and operation of the proposed Project are addressed in section 4.4.2 of the EIS. The majority of the effects to wetlands from construction of the pipelines would be temporary and short term. PennEast would mitigate for unavoidable wetland impacts by implementing the procedures specified in its E&SCP and by complying with the conditions of its pending Section 404 and 401 permits. Additional mitigation and impact minimization measures are identified in section 4.4.2 of the EIS.

Wetlands Comment 4 – Comments were filed regarding potential for impacts on State protected wetlands, including exceptional value wetlands.

Response: Exceptional value wetlands are addressed in section 4.4.1 of the EIS. Impacts on wetlands and mitigation measures to reduce these impacts are addressed in section 4.4.2 of the EIS. As stated in the EIS, PennEast would comply with any permit conditions and mitigation requirements in the CWA Section 404 permits and Section 401 certifications.

Wetlands Comment 5 – Comments were filed regarding the potential for permanent impacts on wetlands, regardless of PennEast's efforts to restore and/or revegetate temporarily impacted

wetlands. Commenters discussed the need for a Wetlands Restoration Plan to be developed by PennEast.

Response: As discussed in General Comment 2, commenters are also referring to outstanding information that is pending completion of field surveys, which cannot be completed until landowner access is granted. Based on the coordinated effort by affected landowners to deny survey access, we assume that remaining field surveys will not be completed until/if the Commission issues a Certificate.

Additionally, the final EIS recommends that PennEast finalize a Project-specific Wetland Restoration Plan in consultation with USACE and applicable state agencies in Pennsylvania and New Jersey prior to construction (see section 4.4.2). This plan would identify site-specific design features and/or modifications as well as general restoration procedures.

Wetlands Comment 6 – Several comments were received regarding vernal pools, and the lack of a complete inventory of vernal pools which are noted to be special concern communities.

Response: Vernal pools are addressed in section 4.4.1.2 of the final EIS. See response to General Comment 2 regarding the current status of field surveys.

Vegetation and Wildlife

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 1 – Several commenters, including the EPA, have asked how impacts on endangered species can be assessed without complete survey information, and stated that the EIS incorrectly determined that no significant impacts would occur to biological resources. Also, commenters have expressed concern that impacts would occur, since they have witnessed listed species in the proposed Project area.

Response: Our preliminary determination for federally listed species was provided in the draft EIS. However, our final determination is pending because of insufficient survey data. No construction would occur until FERC completes its Section 7 Endangered Species Act (ESA) consultation with FWS. See general recommendations in section 4.6 and 4.6.1.7, and species-specific recommendations in section 4.6.1 of the final EIS.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 2 – Several commenters, including the EPA, have expressed concern over increased forest fragmentation and the destruction of interior forest habitat, including within several unique or exemplary wildlife habitats, and expressed the need to increase measures to avoid or minimize these habitats.

Response: Impacts on wildlife and their habitats are addressed in sections 4.5 and 4.6 of the EIS. Impacts on forested habitats that could occur as a result of right-of-way clearing, fragmentation, and edge effects are addressed in section 4.5.1.2 of the EIS. Unique or exemplary wildlife habitats are addressed in section 4.5.2.1. In addition, the EIS recognizes the importance of the Sourland area to wildlife, humans, and vegetative species of concern, as well as the impacts on fragmentation could have to this and other forested habitats. The measures that would be implemented to avoid and minimize

potential impacts on wildlife habitats (including forested areas) are provided in sections 4.5 and 4.6 of the final EIS. The extent that edge effects extend into a forest patch is dependent on the wildlife species that is experiencing said edge effects, and although multiple general distances have been proposed in recent literature, there is no scientifically defensible standard distance that is applicable to all forest interior species. However, estimates regarding the extent of interior forest that would be impacted as a result of the project, and measures that have been used to minimize that impact, has been added to section 4.5. PennEast would be required to mitigate for impacts on some forested lands in New Jersey per the NJDEP No-Net Loss Reforestation Act.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 3 – Commenters expressed concern that impacted areas would not be returned to their current condition after construction.

Response: PennEast would be required to follow the revegetation and restoration requirements outlined in our Plan and Procedure, as discussed in sections 4.5.1.2 and 4.5.2.2 of the EIS. In accordance with PennEast’s E&SCP, PennEast would monitor revegetated areas to ensure the post-construction revegetation is successful as outlined in our Plan and Procedures. Revegetation efforts would need to continue, including in any areas where deer damage is hindering revegetation efforts, until disturbed areas are successfully revegetated. In addition, PennEast would be required to follow the requirements outlined by Land Management Agencies whose lands PennEast would cross, as well as negotiate with private landowners regarding revegetation and restoration requirements on private lands. The seed mixes that would be used during revegetation efforts would be determined based on recommendations made by the local soil conservation district, land managing agency, or land owner. In addition, the States may require additional measures related to restoration as part of the State’s permitting process.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 4 – Commenters, including the EPA, have expressed concern over impact on migratory birds, including from potential reduction the amount of interior forest habitat in areas considered IBAs. The EPA requested an update on the status of consultation with USFWS as well as clarification as to whether PennEast would adhere to USFWS recommendations for adaptive management and conservation for migratory birds and tree clearing. The EPA recommended that PennEast be required to adhere to all USFWS, Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission, and NJDEP Division of Fish & Wildlife Endangered and Nongame Species Program recommendations and conditions.

Response: We will continue to work with the FWS regarding migratory birds. Some forest habitat in areas considered IBAs would be affected by the Project. To minimize impacts on migratory birds, we are requiring PennEast to develop a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan in consultation with FWS, along with documentation of consultation with the FWS. The final EIS has also been revised to include copies of additional documentation with the FWS regarding migratory birds and rare species (see appendix H). Additionally, PennEast has identified project-wide measures to avoid, minimize, and mitigate potential impacts on federally-listed species and their habitats in the applicant-prepared Biological Assessment (accession number 20161128-5255). These measures

include adhering to the recommended FWS Adaptive Management Practices for Conserving Migratory Birds, where feasible.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 5 – Commenters have expressed concern that lists of sensitive birds species are incomplete, that BMPs are incomplete, and that plans for mitigation of impacts on bird habitat are inadequate or missing from the draft EIS.

Response: If the Project is approved, PennEast would be required (as a condition of the FERC Order) to complete all surveys which would aid in the development of the proper BMPs and mitigation for the Project. Surveys are pending due to lack of access. Also, see responses to Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 1 and General Comment 2.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 6 – Several commenters expressed concern that potential impacts on special status reptiles and amphibians are not adequately addressed in the draft EIS.

Response: See sections 4.5 and 4.6 of the EIS for Project related potential impacts on reptiles and amphibians. Impacts on aquatic areas and waterways (which are used by amphibians and some reptiles) are discussed in sections 4.3 and 4.4. The final EIS recommends that a bog turtle mitigation plan be developed in coordination with the FWS (see section 4.6.1.2).

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 7 – Several commenters expressed concern that potential impacts on special status vegetation are not adequately addressed in the draft EIS.

Response: Surveys of the entire Project area for listed plant species have yet to be completed. Surveys cannot be completed at this time, as some private landowners have not granted surveyors access to their lands. If approved, PennEast would be required to file the results of all surveys with the appropriate federal and state agencies and obtain concurrence that the Project would not impact sensitive vegetation or develop mitigation for Project related impacts.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 8 – Commenters expressed concern that use of pesticides and herbicides could have negative impacts on native species.

Response: PennEast has indicated that it does not currently plan to use pesticides or herbicides during construction or operation of the Project, as indicated in the EIS. If pesticides or herbicides are used, PennEast would be required to obtain approval from an appropriate agency (federal, state, and local requirements; land management agency or land owner restrictions); as well as to comply with our Plan and Procedures (which includes restrictions on using pesticides or herbicides within 100 feet of a waterbody).

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 9 – Commenters expressed concern that impacts on special status bats have not been adequately addressed. Also, they expressed concern over the fact that the surveys have not been completed.

Response: See response to Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 1. Additional bat surveys have been conducted for bat species and we have updated section 4.6.1.1 of the final EIS.

Survey would be conducted as required by the FWS and other agencies with regulatory authority over these species.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 10 – Commenters have expressed concern over the possibility of significant impacts on the Dwarf Wedgemussel, a listed species.

Response: Our preliminary determination for federally listed species was provided in the draft EIS. However, our final determination is pending because of insufficient survey data. No construction would occur until FERC completes its Section 7 ESA consultation with FWS.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 11 – Several commenters have expressed concern over impacts on important pollinator species.

Response: The seed mixes used for revegetation would be based on recommendations of local soil conservation district or land managing agency (see sections 4.5.1.2 and 4.5.2.2 of the EIS for more details).

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 12 – Some commenters disagreed with the NMFS decision regarding species under their jurisdiction, and requested that the NMFS decision be questioned.

Response: The NMFS has already indicated that no threatened or endangered species under its jurisdiction are known to occur in the Project area, and no further consultation is necessary with NMFS.

PennEast has proposed to cross Delaware River using HDD method. Use of HDD would avoid impact on aquatic resources.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 13 – Several commenters expressed concern regarding the potential for the Project's construction and operation to expand existing weed populations or result in new infestations.

Response: The final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast develop an invasive species management plan in consultation with appropriate state agencies that includes measures it would implement during construction and operation to minimize the spread of invasive and noxious plant species along with documentation of consultation with the relevant agencies. In addition, PennEast would be required to adhere to our Plan and Procedures as well as any Land Management Agencies requirements during construction and operation in order to ensure successful revegetation is achieved and reduce the risk of the spread or establishment of invasive species. See section 4.5.1.2 for more details.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 14 – Some commenters expressed concern regarding vegetation removal methods that would be used by the Project, and wondered if the process would comply with federal, state, and local requirements.

Response: PennEast would be required to remove vegetation from the cleared right-of-way during construction and operation. Section 2 describes the methods that would be

used during this process. All cleared vegetation would be treated, sold, chipped, or disposed of in compliance with federal, state, and local requirements. The Applicant would be required to follow our Plan and Procedures as well as all federal, state, and local requirements in relation to the handling and disposal of construction waste (including any cleared materials).

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 15 – A commenter requested that the Project’s right-of-way occur on-top-of and within existing right-of-ways to minimize impacts on forested habitats.

Response: For safety reasons, a minimum separation between existing and new pipeline is necessary, however, right-of-way can be shared. Due to the impacts of edge effects on forested habitats, co-locating right-of-ways (even if they are not exactly on-top of each other) will still reduce the extent of total impacted areas when taking indirect impacts of edge effects into consideration. The impacts that would occur to forested habitats is disclosed in Section 4.5 of the EIS.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 16 – Commenters requested that impacts on trout as well as the measures that would be implemented to minimize these impacts be disclosed in the EIS

Response: Impacts that would occur to aquatic habitats that could support trout are addressed in sections 4.3, 4.4, and 4.5. The measures that would be implemented to minimize impacts on aquatic resources are disclosed in sections 2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, and 4.6; and include but are not limited to restrictions on the use of chemicals near waterways, requirements to use HDD crossing methods along certain waterways (including those important to trout), as well as in-water work timing restrictions.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 17 – A commenter expressed concern that the use of erosion control devices could impact wildlife

Response: PennEast would be required to adhere to our Plan and Procedures, the E&SCP, as well as recommendations of land management agencies (including the FWS and state agencies) to install and maintain erosion control measures. Environmental compliance monitors would monitor the erosion control measures to ensure that they are installed correctly, remain functional, and do not result in impacts on wildlife or other resources.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 18 –Commenters mentioned applicable timing restrictions that the Project would be required to adhere to.

Response: If the Project is approved, the FERC Order would require that PennEast adhere to all federal and state required timing restrictions. The EIS has been revised based on the NJDEP comment regarding in-stream water windows for wood turtles.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 19 – FWS comment: “Service recommends completing Phase 1 habitat surveys in all wetlands that are within 400 feet of the Project. If bog turtle habitat is identified, Phase 2 and potentially Phase 3 surveys should be completed. A phase 1

bog turtle habitat survey report should be submitted to FWS before completing Phase 2 and 3 surveys.”

Response: See section 4.6.1.2 for updated information about bog turtle surveys.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 20 – FWS comment: “Depending on survey results, FWS recommends PennEast prepare a bog turtle plan to avoid and minimize impacts on bog turtles and their habitat. Plan should be developed in coordination with FWS after surveys are completed. More thorough effects analysis should be added to the final EIS including description of all activities that may affect bog turtle and any conservation measures.”

Response: The final EIS has been updated to include the current survey data/information.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 21 – FWS comment: “Results from 2015 summer bat surveys are missing/incomplete. There is no description of total number of species captured, sex, age, reproductive condition. Description of mist netting efforts does not describe radio-telemetry, emergency surveys, or foraging telemetry results. DEIS is inconsistent with survey efforts described in an October 2015 letter and survey report submitted to FWS. All summer surveys should be completed prior to finalizing FERC NEPA document and results of summer bat surveys should be submitted to FWS for review.”

Response: Section 4.6.1.1 of the final EIS has been revised to include additional information on summer bat surveys. Remaining surveys would not be conducted until after issuance of a Certificate, which would grant PennEast eminent domain. In accordance with NEPA, the EIS summarizes the affected environment, potential impacts, and proposed mitigation based on the extensive data (such as new route modifications) filed in the record for this proceeding. The final EIS is based on information currently available.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 22 – FWS comment: “If abandoned mines or reclaimed mines occur within 0.25 mile of the Project, winter surveys should be completed to determine presence/absence of Indiana bat.”

Response: The final EIS section 4.6.1.1 has been updated.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 23 – FWS comment: “Description of potential impacts to Indiana bat is unclear/missing. Discrepancy over whether PennEast would follow time of year restrictions. FWS recommends following all time of year restriction on tree clearing activities (March 15 to September 30 in New Jersey).”

Response: Steve Mars, Senior Fish and Wildlife Biologist with the New Jersey Field Office of the FWS, was contacted on November 30, 2016 about the timing restriction referenced in this comment, and he confirmed that the timing window described in the EIS is correct.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 24 – FWS comment: “No specific details on NLEB survey results are provided in the draft EIS. The draft EIS does not describe distance to maternity colony near MP 108 nor does the draft EIS describe re-route to provide adequate buffer to this

colony. Additional maternity colonies may occur within action area of Project but only this one at MP 108 is described.”

Response: The final EIS has been updated. See section 4.6.1.1 regarding surveys for listed bat species, and the measures that would be implemented to avoid or minimize impacts on listed bats

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 25 – FWS comment: “All summer NLEB surveys should be completed in NJ and results should be submitted to FWS. If abandoned mines or reclaimed mines occur within 0.25 mile of the Project, winter surveys should be completed to determine presence/absence of NLEB.”

Response: The final EIS has been revised and recommends that PennEast conduct these surveys for northern long-eared bat (see section 4.6.1.1).

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 26 – FWS comment: “FWS is unaware if FERC plans to rely upon the findings of the Service's Section 7 Programmatic Biological Opinion for the NLEB 4(d) rule. FWS requests FERC clarify if FWS' BO will be used.”

Response: As the Project may result in prohibited incidental take of northern long-eared bat under the final 4(d) rule. Section 4.6.1.1 provides a discussion regarding the final 4(d) rule.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 27 – FWS comment: “DEIS does not describe extent of dwarf wedgemussel habitat within Project. FWS requests a habitat survey along all stream crossings in NJ. If habitat is discovered, additional surveys should be completed.”

Response: We are requiring PennEast to survey all potential habitat for dwarf wedgemussel that could be impacted by the Project prior to construction. If this species or suitable habitat is documented, PennEast should develop a plan to avoid, minimize, and mitigate for any impacts that would occur to their habitats in consultation with the FWS and NJDEP. PennEast should file with the Secretary documentation of its consultation with the FWS and NJDEP, as well as any recommendations made by these agencies.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 28 – FWS comment: “FWS is unable to accept DEIS as a BA to initiate formal consultation. After surveys are completed and additional information on federally listed species is submitted to the FWS, the FWS will commence coordination with FERC on determining if formal consultation is necessary.”

Response: We will continue to work with the FWS regarding the Section 7 ESA consultation for listed species. FERC will submit a separate Biological Assessment (BA) for the FWS.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 29 – FWS comment: “To avoid further Project delays, FWS recommends coordination with FWS to fulfill this important conservation mandate.”

Response: Comment noted. We will continue to work with the FWS regarding the consultation process for ESA listed species.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 30 – FWS comment: “Project will have significant impact on migratory birds. BMPs to protect migratory birds are insufficient. Because of large scale disruption to migratory birds and their habitat, FWS requests FERC coordinate with FWS to re-route the pipeline to protect migratory birds in highly concentrated areas. The FWS also recommends a mitigation strategy and the development of a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan to be incorporated into NEPA analysis.”

Response: With regard to alternative routes to protect migratory birds, several alternatives were considered to avoid Important Bird Areas. See response to Alternatives Comment 23. The final EIS recommends that PennEast develop a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan in consultation with FWS, and file documentation of its consultation with the FWS (see section 4.5.2.3).

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 31 - Several comments were received regarding various designated natural resources sites, including Goat Hill, Milford Bluffs, and Gravel Hill.

Response: Section 4.5.1.1 of the EIS acknowledges the biological importance of Goat Hill and Gravel Hill, and the potential for the area to contain sensitive biological resources; however surveys have not been fully completed in this area due to survey access. A response to comments regarding incomplete surveys is provided in response to General Comment 2.

As discussed in section 4.5.1.1 and shown in table 4.5.1-1 Milford Bluffs is no longer affected by the proposed Project.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 32 –FWS comment: “FWS recommends that the Applicant agree to a 5-year post monitoring plan to ensure success of their post construction commitments for soil stabilization and vegetation planning. Monitoring plan should identify performance measures that will evaluate the applicant's post construction efforts and should identify a corrective action should a performance measure not be met. Plan should identify a percentage threshold that would trigger an invasive species corrective action.”

Response: This is beyond what FERC requires in our Plan and Procedures. The FWS can request this as part of the conditions in their Biological Opinion (BO); however, the Final EIS will require that PennEast adhere to FERC Plan and Procedures.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 33 – FWS comment: “FWS recommends that these spring seep areas be surveyed for rare and unique flora and fauna and that results be presented to FWS. Should adverse impacts occur, applicant should develop a corrective action plan.”

Response: Comment noted.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 34 – FWS comment: “Applicant should consider impacts on bald eagle to determine compliance with BGEPA. Should Project activities, including

maintenance of ROW, encroach within 660 feet of an eagle nest, the applicant must secure the necessary BGEPA permits prior to any construction or maintenance activities occurring.”

Response: Impacts on bald eagles are addressed in section 4.5.2.3 of the final EIS. The requirement to obtain BGEPA permits prior to any construction or maintenance activities occurring within 660 feet of an active eagle nest is already included in section 4.5.2.3 of the EIS.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 35 – FWS comment: “Note occurrences of Atlantic sturgeon upstream of project in Delaware River. It is recommended that FERC and applicant contact NMFS to determine if this new information would warrant additional consultation pursuant to ESA.”

Response: NMFS indicated (on September 18, 2014) that no threatened or endangered species under its jurisdiction are known to occur in the Project area, and no further consultation is necessary with NMFS.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 36 – FWS comment: “Applicant shall develop a mitigation plan for all forested lands that are both temporarily or permanently impacted by the Project.”

Response: Our Plan and Procedures require revegetation of the entire construction work area. In addition, PennEast has committed to actively replanting the affected forested areas located within nature preserves, state parkland, or state game lands in Pennsylvania, as well as the Green Acres properties in New Jersey, with tree seedlings.

The draft EIS acknowledges the loss of 633 acres of forest and resultant carbon loss of existing carbon biomass as well as the loss of carbon sink in the permanent right-of-way, at section 4.10.1.6 of the EIS. Imposing forest restoration, offsets, or other mitigation would be impractical in this ongoing NEPA review. Commission staff is continuing to develop methodology to implement this type of analysis. However, Commission staff does not intend to delay ongoing NEPA reviews while development of this methodology occurs. Further, it is unclear if imposed forest restoration would result in significant advantages. Restoration of forest would occur gradually and be consistent with the requirements of the Commission’s Plan and Procedures, and other agency requirements.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 37 – FWS comment: “PennEast/FERC will either need to conduct Phase 2 surveys at the wetlands identified as having potential bog turtle habitat; assume presence of the bog turtle in these wetlands and avoid impacts; or assume presence in these wetlands and if impacts cannot be avoided, start the formal consultation process with the Service by submitting a Biological Assessment (see attachment Take (S9) and Take Authorization (S7)—Federal Nexus).”

Response: PennEast has agreed to conduct Phase 2 surveys. Our section 7 ESA consultation with the FWS is ongoing for FWS trust resources.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 38 – FWS comment: “The currently proposed right-of-way is going through a known bog turtle wetland in Carbon County, Pennsylvania. If this proposed

right-of-way is finalized, either FERC will need to formally consult with the Service to ensure that project actions do not jeopardize the continued existence of the species, or PennEast will need to demonstrate how they will avoid impacts to this known occupied wetland. Consultation on this portion of the line is still on-going and further survey information for other areas of the line is anticipated before final determinations are made. For sites in which PennEast cannot obtain access, they can either assume presence of bog turtles and implement avoidance measures, or complete surveys to provide further information about these parcels.”

Response: Consultation with the FWS is ongoing regarding ESA listed species, including the bog turtle. The final EIS recommends that a bog turtle plan be developed in coordination with the FWS that includes avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures to minimize impact on bog turtles and their habitat, and describes measures that would be used during construction and operation to avoid direct and indirect impacts on bog turtles. See section 4.6.1.2 of the final EIS.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 39 – FWS comment: “The DEIS mentions a 0.25 mile buffer around hibernacula, it should be noted that the regulatory significance of this buffer is specific to northern long-eared bats and does not apply to Indiana bats.”

Response: Section 4.6.1.1 of the EIS has been revised to reflect that the 0.25-mile buffer around hibernacula is specific to northern long-eared bats.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 40 – FWS comment: “After reviewing the DEIS, the Service is unable to make effects determination conclusions for Indiana bat because information we have is not complete. Without survey information from all sites in Pennsylvania, the Service cannot assess the overall effects of the Project on the Indiana bat. Therefore, the Company can either assume presence of this species on land parcels they are unable to access and implement avoidance measures, or complete surveys to provide further information about these parcels.”

Response: Our preliminary determination for federally listed species was provided in the draft EIS. However, our final determination is pending because of insufficient survey data. No construction would occur until FERC completes its Section 7 ESA consultation with FWS.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 41 – FWS comment: “PennEast should not only be avoiding northern long-eared bat hibernacula by 0.25 mile, but avoiding subsurface impacts to known hibernacula, even if the impacts occur outside of the 0.25 mile surface radius. As discussed in the DEIS, the project would be located within 0.25 mile of three known NLEB hibernacula (i.e., Durham Cave I and Durham Cave 2, and Tunnel 34). The Service has provided additional mine map data to PennEast in order for them to determine the subsurface extent of their impacts to these hibernacula.”

Response: The EIS has been revised to include a recommendation that PennEast avoid subsurface impacts on known hibernacula, even if the impacts occur outside of the 0.25 mile surface radius (see section 4.6.1.1).

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 42 – FWS comment: “If the northeastern bulrush is identified within the proposed construction work area, PennEast should identify the specific measures that it would use to avoid impacts within 300 feet of wetlands or 150 feet of waterways where the species is found. PennEast should also provide documentation of the consultation with the Service. If PennEast is unable to adhere to its proposed 300-foot no disturbance buffer around wetlands and 150-foot no disturbance buffer around any waterways that support the northeastern bulrush, then the affected wetland should be crossed via a HDD method. The Service would like to see an Inadvertent Return (IR) Contingency Plan develop for any such HDD prior to making effects determination conclusions.”

Response: As discussed in the draft EIS we are retaining the requirement for PennEast to file with the Secretary the results of additional surveys to determine potential presence of northeastern bulrush. If the northeastern bulrush is identified within the proposed construction work area, PennEast should identify the specific measures that it would use to avoid impacts within 300 feet of wetlands or 150 feet of waterways where the species is found. PennEast should also provide documentation of the consultation with the FWS. If PennEast is unable to adhere to its proposed 300-foot no disturbance buffer around wetlands and 150-foot no disturbance buffer around any waterways that support the northeastern bulrush, then the affected wetland should be crossed via a HDD method.

Per our Procedures, PennEast has filed an HDD plan prior to construction, including a description of how an inadvertent release of drilling mud would be contained and cleaned up.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 43 – FWS comment: “There will be 138.5 acres of interior forest impacts in Important Bird Areas (IBAs) just in Pennsylvania. To help reduce impacts PennEast is proposing a time of year restriction on clearing between November 1 and March 31. In addition to this clearing restriction, the Service recommends to: 1) Look for alternatives to crossing these interior forested area (e.g., reroute, or HDD, etc.). 2) Significantly neck down or reduce the right-of-way width in these interior forested areas. 3) Hold a specific meeting with the Service (PA and NJ Field Offices) to discuss MBTA and develop of a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan.”

Response: See response to Alternatives Comment 23 regarding alternative routes that avoid IBAs. Regarding the proposed "neck down", we believe that the proposed construction right-of-way is a reasonable width to minimize impacts on forests while still allowing for the safe construction of the project.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 44 – FWS comment: “Additionally, bald eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) could be within the project area. However at this time, we are only aware of four nests and the closest is within 3,170 feet of the project area. However, since this project is crossing major river systems, you should always be aware that bald eagle nests could appear as PennEast is moving through their construction schedule. Consequently, if an eagle nest is discovered, we recommend that you evaluate the project type, size, location, and layout in light of the National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines to determine whether or not bald eagles

might be disturbed as a direct or indirect result of this project. If it appears that disturbance may occur, we recommend that you consider modifying your project consistent with the Guidelines.”

Response: As described in the EIS, PennEast conducted habitat screening for bald eagles in accordance with the National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines (NBEMG), as requested by the FWS, PennEast has committed to implement specific avoidance measures, which are consistent with the NBEMG.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 45 – A comment was received regarding survey methodology and surveyor qualifications.

Response: PennEast is required to conduct all surveys in compliance with Federal and State agency requirements.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 46 – The Audubon Society submitted multiple comments on avian species, reptiles, bats, listed plants, as well as requesting that “species of concern” be addressed, and that Important Bird Areas be avoided.

Response: The EIS assesses the potential environmental impacts of the proposed Project on water resources (section 4.3), vegetation and wildlife (section 4.5), and threatened, endangered, and special status species (section 4.6), including birds, mammals, invertebrates, and amphibians.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 47 – A comment was filed by the New Jersey Conservation Foundation regarding “historic forests” that would be permanently impacted by construction of the proposed Project.

Response: Section 4.5 of the EIS currently describes impacts on forested areas as long-term and permanent due to the extensive time it would take for cleared forested areas to restore to preconstruction conditions. In some cases this restoration may occur in 30 years or more. While other forested areas could take more than 100 years to restore to preconstruction conditions. The time necessary for a forest to restore to preconstruction conditions is dependent in part on the site specific conditions of the forest (e.g., the age and health of the forest prior to the initial impact), but all forests impacted by the project would result in long-term or permanent impacts (as described in the EIS). In addition, PennEast would be required to mitigate for impacts on some forested lands in New Jersey per the NJDEP No-Net Loss Reforestation Act.

Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 48 – Lower Saucon Township commented on the potential impacts on the Bull Run Natural Heritage Area, such as habitat fragmentation, edge effects, and their effects to interior forest dwelling birds.

Response: While the Bull Run Natural Heritage Area was not specifically discussed in the draft EIS, concerns regarding edge effects, interior forest dwelling birds, and fragmentation are adequately addressed in sections 4.5.1.2 and 4.5.2.2 of the EIS.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 1 – Comments were received regarding the potential for negative impacts on the Sourland Mountain region, including Baldpate Mountain.

Response: The EIS discusses the Sourland Mountain region in section 4.5 with regards to wildlife and vegetation and in section 4.7 with regards to conserved land and recreation. The EIS acknowledges the ecological significance of the Sourland Mountain region and finds that PennEast has routed the pipeline to minimize impacts on undisturbed forests by co-locating the construction right-of-way adjacent to or in proximity to an existing utility right-of-way to the extent practicable (78% of the route, including the Lambertville Lateral).

See Vegetation and Wildlife Comment 2 for additional information regarding the Sourland Mountain region.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 2 – Comments were received regarding impacts on conserved lands that are condemned through the process of eminent domain.

Response: The use of eminent domain is discussed in section 4.7.2 of the EIS. There are no changes expected in the conservation status of public lands crossed by the proposed Project, including state game lands and state highways and maintenance areas. There would be no change in the conservation status of private lands crossed by the project in Pennsylvania. New Jersey parcels crossed by the Project that are subject to forms of conservation or open space protective easements would generally retain their conservation and open space characteristics, except with respect to the limited circumstance of New Jersey State Agriculture Development Committee (SADC) easements, as described in section 4.7.4.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 3 – Several comments were received regarding the Highlands Region, the Federal Highlands Conservation Act, the New Jersey Highlands Water Protection and Planning Act (Highlands Act), the NJDEP Highlands Rules and the Highlands Council's Regional Master Plan (RMP). Commenters were concerned that resources in the Highlands Region were not adequately addressed in the EIS.

Response: The Highlands Planning Area is discussed in section 4.7.6 of the EIS with regards to visual impacts in the Sourland Mountain region. Additional text regarding the Highlands Region and relevant plans and regulations, including the Consistency Determination, has been added to section 4.7.4 of the EIS.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 4 – Numerous comments were received regarding conserved lands including State and municipal parks as well as lands conserved under various easement programs. Commenters found that the proposed Project was not in line with the stated purpose of these lands and was therefore in violation of these easement agreements. Commenters were concerned about permanent impacts on the use, enjoyment, and

conservation of these properties. Specific lands that were mentioned include Hickory Run State Park, Francis E. Walter Dam, Beltzville State Park, Ted Stiles Preserve, Lehigh River Water Trail, Horseshoe Bend Park, New Jersey Green Acres lands, Holland Kingwood Townships Open Space Program lands, Wickecheoke Creek Greenway, Alexauken Preserve, and Federal Farm and Ranch Protection Program Funds and New Jersey Water Supply Funds-protected lands.

Response: PennEast prepared site-specific crossing plans for Federal, state, and local lands that are used recreationally, and are discussed in section 4.7.4 of the EIS. We have reviewed these plans and find that the mitigation measures proposed by PennEast, including site-specific safety measures, modified construction schedules, and the use of special construction techniques, are acceptable. Appendices G-17 and G-18 have been modified to account for PennEast's September 2016 revisions and incorporation of certain properties including Horseshoe Bend Park East.

Land conservation programs are discussed in section 4.7.4 of the EIS. PennEast would provide mitigation for the use and occupancy of all parcels subject to conservation or open space easements.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 5 – Comments were received regarding the need for special construction methods near residences, schools, hospitals, houses of worship, etc.

Response: Recreational and other designated special use areas, including churches, are discussed in section 4.7.4 of the EIS. Special construction methods that would be implemented in certain areas, including where residences or business establishments are within 50 feet of the construction workspace, are identified in section 2.3.1.2 of the EIS.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 6 – Several comments were received regarding the use of agricultural land following construction and revegetation. Commenters asserted that natural gas pipelines can limit a farm's agricultural use by limiting the kinds of agricultural activities that can take place and by limiting the development of farm infrastructure (buildings, roads, etc.). Commenters expressed concern about compensation for crop loss beyond the second growing season, or permanent crop loss post-construction.

Response: Post-construction use of agricultural land in Pennsylvania and New Jersey is addressed in section 4.7.1.6 of the EIS. As discussed in section 4.7.1.6, following construction, all affected agricultural land would be restored to preconstruction conditions to the extent possible, in accordance with PennEast's E&SCP and Agricultural Impact Minimization Plan (Appendices D and E), and with any specific requirements identified by landowners or state or federal agencies with appropriate jurisdiction. Compensation for crop losses and other damages are addressed in section 4.7.1.6 of the EIS.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 7 – Several comments, including from the New Jersey SADC were filed regarding farmland that is preserved under local and state

regulations for the protection of farmland, as well as general impacts on agricultural land. Commenters were concerned that land that had been preserved exclusively for agricultural use under the Farmland Preservation Program would be ineligible for the program and would lose its preservation status due to the proposed Project. Commenters also felt that PennEast should be restricted from siting the proposed Project on this land due to the deed restrictions under the Farmland Preservation Program.

Response: Agricultural land that would be impacted by the proposed Project is discussed in section 4.7.1 of the EIS. Recommendations from the New Jersey SADC regarding clarification on the use of herbicides, compensation procedures, and restrictions for certain crops such as fruit orchards were incorporated by PennEast into its Agricultural Impact Minimization Plan (appendix E) to the extent practicable.

Land conservation programs are discussed in section 4.7.4 of the EIS. PennEast would provide mitigation for the use and occupancy of all parcels subject to conservation or open space easements. Because New Jersey does not have an administrative process for releasing the New Jersey SADC easements, compensation for parcels subject to such easements would be determined as part of the judicial review associated with the partial release of SADC easements.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 8 – Comments were received regarding the relocation of livestock, horses and other animals during construction.

Response: During landowner negotiations, PennEast would work with affected landowners to identify necessary additional measures including the protection of active pasture land during construction through the installation of temporary fencing, the use of alternative locations for livestock to cross the construction right-of-way, and/or alternate feeding arrangements, as negotiated with the landowner. Text has been added to section 4.7.1.6 of the EIS regarding these measures.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 9 – Comments were received regarding an increase in trespassers, particularly operating ORVs/ATVs, on cleared pipeline easements.

Response: See section 4.7.4.2, the final EIS recommends that PennEast file plans prior to construction regarding a gating or boulder access system for the pipeline right-of-way which would prevent unauthorized vehicle access while maintaining pedestrian traffic as appropriate.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 10 – Several comments were received requesting that PennEast's Residential Access and Traffic Management Plan be made available for public review and comment. Additionally, comments were received on the Residential Access and Traffic Management Plan regarding potential impacts to driveway access and traffic during construction.

Response: PennEast filed a Residential Access and Traffic Management Plan on August 5, 2016, and provided Appendices A-D of the Residential Access and Traffic

Management Plan along with figures depicting proposed heavy haul roads for the proposed Project on November 23, 2016. PennEast would comply with all requirements of the Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Federal Departments of Transportation during construction of the proposed Project. Discussion of PennEast's Residential Access and Traffic Management Plan has been added to section 4.7.1.6 of the EIS.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 11 – Several comments were filed regarding Hopewell Township's plans for future development including affordable housing on Block 85, Lot 3 and an emergency services facility on Block 91, Lot 3.02

Response: A discussion of these three planned developments in Holland Township, New Jersey is included in section 4.7.3.2 of the EIS. PennEast has stated that it will continue to work with Hopewell Township to develop minimization measures to reduce impacts on these developments, to the extent practicable.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 12 –Comments were received discussing both the general potential impacts on drainage tiles during construction of the proposed Project as well as the request to review publically available mapping provided by the NRCS through the County Soil Conservation Districts.

Response: Irrigation and drainage systems on agricultural lands are discussed in section 4.7.1.6 of the EIS. PennEast contacted Soil Conservation District offices along the route; however, work on individual farms cannot be disclosed and drainage tile data is not publically available. PennEast would work with landowners to identify any drain tiles or irrigation systems present within the construction work areas and develop avoidance and mitigation measures should any be encountered during construction. Necessary measures to repair any damaged drain tiles is discussed in section 4.7.1.6 of the EIS.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 13 – The Pennsylvania Game Commission filed a comment requesting that FERC condition any Certificate to require that PennEast follow the PGC's procedures and requirements for the siting of this pipeline and its associated right-of-way and workspaces on State Game Lands.

Response: General impact and minimization measures that PennEast proposes to implement where recreational areas or temporary impacts on state-owned lands cannot be avoided are provided in section 4.7.4 of the EIS.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 14 – Several comments were filed pertaining to parcels associated with the Green Acres Program, specifically regarding state regulations for compensation and tree replacement.

Response: Section 4.7.4 of the EIS has been modified to correctly reflect the number of Green Acres-encumbered parcels that would be impacted by the Project. General impact minimization and mitigation measures for areas where temporary impacts on state-owned and/or encumbered lands cannot be avoided are described in section 4.7.4 of the EIS. In siting the proposed Project, PennEast has co-located the route with exiting utilities for 85 percent of the route on Green Acres-encumbered parcels. PennEast is committed to

actively replanting the affected forested area located within Green Acres properties in New Jersey with tree seedlings in order to restore and enhance reforestation of affected forests within these sensitive areas to preconstruction conditions. As stipulated in New Jersey regulations for the Green Acres Program (NJAC 7:36-26.10(i)1 and 2), the replacement parkland acreage ratio is 4:1; monetary compensation is required at a land value ratio of 10:1. PennEast is also committed to providing the required alternative analysis for each of these parcels to NJDEP for review as required per NJAC 7:3612.4.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 15 – The Mercer County Agricultural Development Board had a comment regarding PennEast’s protocol for landowner notification prior to construction in active agricultural areas along the proposed route.

Response: As stated in PennEast’s Agricultural Impact Minimization Plan (appendix E), prior to beginning construction, PennEast would provide landowners and tenant farmers of active agricultural lands with as much notice as possible and no less than 24 hours’ notice.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 16 – The Lehigh Valley Planning Commission filed a comment regarding greenways, of which 21 have been designated in Northampton County to protect the environment; provide safe, alternate routes as part of a multimodal transportation system; provide recreational opportunities; and connect natural and cultural areas.

Response: We reviewed the Township’s *Comprehensive Plan: The Lehigh Valley 2030* (2010) and do not believe the Project is in violation or is inconsistent with the Plan. The installation of pipeline facility infrastructure would not preclude the maintenance and development of these greenways, many of which are existing trails and/or utility rights-of-way. In addition, PennEast would implement the mitigation measures described throughout this EIS to minimize impacts on natural and aesthetic values as described in the Township’s Plan, including wetlands, migratory flyways, woodlands, natural areas, open spaces, historic resources, and sewer and water facilities. Text has been added to section 4.7.4 to address this concern.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 17 – The Lehigh Valley Planning Commission filed a comment regarding the Comprehensive Plan for the Lehigh Valley which identified concerns regarding potential impacts to Lehigh Valley’s planned transportation projects.

Response: As discussed in section 4.7.3.2, transportation projects were identified based on publically available data including PennDOT’s *2017-2020 Transportation Improvement Plan* (PennDOT 2017) and New Jersey’s Construction Updates database (NJDOT 2016). Transportation projects that would be crossed by the proposed Project and/or that would be located within the vicinity of the Project are listed in section 4.7.3.2.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 18 – Several comments were filed regarding organic farms that are either going through the USDA certification process or that are already enrolled in USDA programs with organic practice obligations.

Response: As stated in section 4.7.1.6 of the EIS, PennEast reviewed publicly available data and identified one certified organic farm adjacent to the proposed pipeline and has proposed to avoid impacts on this farm by using HDD technology to drill under forested lands adjacent to the farm. The HDD bore pits would be located more than 1,500 feet from the property boundary. Text in section 4.7.1.6 of the EIS has been modified to reflect the location of this organic farm. PennEast has identified measures that would minimize impacts on all agricultural lands, including organic farms, in its Agricultural Impact Minimization Plan. Measures may include, but are not limited to, the use of tire wash stations, weed-free fill, and use of only water for dust suppression.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 19 – Comments were received regarding impacts on the ANST, including impacts related to recreational use of the ANST and visual impacts on the Weathering Knob and Little Gap overlooks. Comments were concerned that the use of an HDD crossing method would not sufficiently reduce impacts on the ANST.

Response: Impacts on the ANST are addressed in sections 4.7.4.1 and 4.7.6 of the EIS. PennEast is proposing to use a trenchless crossing method (direct pipe) to avoid impacts on the immediate viewshed of the ANST.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 20 – Comments were received regarding visual impacts on the landscape following construction.

Response: Visual resources are discussed in section 4.7.6 of the EIS. About 44.5 miles (27.0 miles in Pennsylvania and 17.5 miles in New Jersey), or about 37 percent of the 120.2-mile-long pipeline route, would be constructed adjacent to existing rights-of-way (see section 2.2.1). As a result, impacts on the visual resources along the co-located portions of the Project would be minimized by following the existing rights-of-way.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 21 – Several comments were received regarding impacts on recreational bike paths and trails in Pennsylvania and New Jersey along the Project route.

Response: Special interest areas including local and state parks with trails are discussed in section 4.7.4 of the EIS. Although there would be potential disruption during construction, these would be temporary, following pipeline installation all activities and access currently available to the public would be returned to their pre-construction use. PennEast would work with the landowner and/or management entity of the trail to minimize disruption to active users of any affected trails and/or parks during construction. During clearing and other construction activities, PennEast's construction contractor would post personnel at or along trail crossings to inform hikers of the construction and to regulate pedestrian traffic.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 22 – Comments were received regarding the classification of tree farms as forested land as well as the potential for impacts on these businesses along the proposed route.

Response: Timber production areas (i.e., forests and woodlands) are not considered agricultural areas, as they are defined as “forested areas”. We included timber production areas as forested land to adequately assess the level of impacts on these lands.

PennEast did not identify any commercial tree farms along the proposed route. However, if a commercial tree farm is identified, mitigation measures as described in section 4.7.1.6 of the EIS would be implemented as appropriate as well as any site-specific measures as identified in the easement acquisition document with the individual landowners.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 23 – Several comments were received regarding impacts on recreational fishing along the proposed Project.

Response: Section 4.7.4 of the EIS identifies measures that PennEast would implement to reduce impacts on recreational activities in the proposed Project area, including conducting stream crossings during winter months or low flow conditions to allow for faster construction timeframes, and otherwise reduce the possibility of downstream sedimentation and impacts on recreation including fishing and boating. Fisheries of concern, including wild trout waters and trout stocked fisheries are discussed in section 4.3.3.1 of the EIS.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 24 – Comments were filed regarding the impact of the Project on planning and zoning in the proposed Project area.

Response: As discussed in section 4.7.1, construction and operation of a pipeline would not affect or modify any existing zoning regulations along the proposed Project. The Kidder Compressor Station would be constructed on land currently zoned as industrial/commercial and would therefore be a compatible use of the land.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 25 – Comments were received regarding the siting and construction of permanent access roads. Commenters were also concerned about the ability of access roads to accommodate local emergency services vehicles.

Response: As discussed in section 4.7.3.1, during any period when a road is completely cut or temporarily closed, PennEast would have steel plates available on site to immediately cover the open area to provide access to emergency vehicles. With regards to construction of permanent access roads, PennEast would comply with all requirements of the Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Federal Departments of Transportation during construction and operation of the proposed Project. PennEast would also obtain all necessary permits regarding road crossings and closures during construction and operation of the Project. Further, PennEast has developed a Residential Access and Traffic Management Plan which details the measures PennEast would implement to minimize impacts on traffic, emergency services, and landowner access to residences,

while maintaining the safety of the public and PennEast employees. Because certain mitigation measures are dependent on current use and road conditions, the final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast file a revised Residential Access and Traffic Management Plan which includes the results of traffic counts and an inventory of roadway and intersection geometry, peak hour traffic volume collection, and related observations of traffic operations in the Project area (see section 4.7.1.6). PennEast should also file any additional site-specific mitigation measures that would be implemented to minimize impacts on local traffic in the Project area, including any recommendations from state, county, and municipal agencies.

Land Use, Recreation, and Visual Resources Comment 26 – Commenters, including Delaware Township, were concerned about compensation for costs associated with temporary housing, if residents are required to relocate during construction of the proposed Project.

Response: The final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast provide a description of how temporary housing would be provided for residents temporarily displaced during construction and whether PennEast would compensate landowners for this cost. See section 4.7.3.1 for additional measures that PennEast would implement to reduce impacts on residents in the Project area during construction.

Socioeconomics

Socioeconomics Comment 1 – Several comments stated that the economic impact analysis prepared on behalf of PennEast by Econsult Solutions and Drexel University (Econsult 2015) overestimated the number of jobs that would be supported during construction of the project. The Econsult (2015) study estimated that 12,160 jobs would be supported by the pipeline, but commenters noted that the vast majority of these jobs would be temporary or ancillary. Comments also noted that the Econsult report failed to consider potential negative economic impacts on fishing, tourism, farm production, and property values.

Response: As discussed in the EIS, Econsult (2015) assessed the economic impacts of the construction and operation phases of the project separately. Construction estimates are summarized in table 4.8.2-2. These estimates are one-time economic impacts that would be generated during the 13 month construction period. Econsult (2015) estimated that 12,160 total (direct, indirect, and induced) jobs would be supported during construction in Pennsylvania and New Jersey. Annual operation-related estimates are summarized in table 4.8.2-3. Econsult estimated that 98 total (direct, indirect, and induced) jobs would be supported each year during Project operation in Pennsylvania and New Jersey. These estimates are explained further in section 4.8.2.1 of the EIS and in Econsult (2015).

It is important to note that the Econsult (2015) report estimates total jobs, and includes direct project-related employment, as well as employment supported elsewhere in the economy (indirect and induced jobs). Direct project-related employment estimates developed by the Project proponent are discussed in more detail in section 4.8.1.

The Econsult (2015) study does not evaluate the potential negative impacts of the Project on fishing and tourism, farm production, and property values. Potential economic impacts on recreation and tourism, agriculture, and property values are, however, assessed in the EIS in sections 4.8.2.2, 4.8.2.3, and 4.8.8, respectively.

Socioeconomics Comment 2 – Comments expressed concern that the draft EIS failed to consider economic impacts on individual homeowners and businesses, including impacts on a business management consultant who holds retreats and conferences at his home, impacts on businesses previously affected by 100 year floods, and impacts on farming operations.

Response: Construction activities would be short-term and highly localized. Potential impacts on local businesses and other land uses would be reduced to the extent possible by proposed mitigation, including co-location with existing utilities in sensitive areas, the use of buffers during construction, and the use of specialized restoration techniques following construction. Impacts on farming operations are discussed in sections 4.7.1 and 4.8.2.3 of the EIS.

Socioeconomics Comment 3 – Comments expressed concern that the draft EIS did not adequately assess the effects of the Project on property values. Commenters state that the presence of a pipeline would limit future development in residential areas and negatively affect the values of nearby properties. Some comments state that the draft EIS concludes that the Project would not affect property values, but offers inadequate proof of this conclusion. Other comments noted that local realtors have reportedly found that the Project has already had a negative impact on potentially affected properties.

Response: Potential impacts on property values are discussed in section 4.8.8 of the EIS. This section provides an overview of existing studies on this issue and discusses potential Project-related impacts, including concerns related to future development.

Socioeconomics Comment 4 – Comments expressed concern that impacts on ecosystem services were not adequately considered in the draft EIS. Commenters state that the evaluation of economic impacts should quantify potential impacts on water quality, flood control, recreation opportunities, and other natural, cultural, and historic resources in monetary terms.

Response: Potential adverse impacts on environment resources are not quantified in monetary terms in the EIS, but are discussed and evaluated in detail in their respective sections. Potential impacts on water and cultural resources are considered in detail in sections 4.3 and 4.9 of the EIS, respectively.

With respect to aquatic resources, the EIS adequately addresses aquatic resources and concludes that approval of the project would result in some adverse environmental impacts; however, most of these impacts would occur during the construction phase and are short-term impacts that would be further reduced with the implementation of PennEast's proposed HDD crossings, dry-crossings, and its site-specific crossing methods.

Socioeconomics Comment 5 – One comment expressed concern that the tax information provided in the draft EIS for Delaware Township in Hunterdon County, New Jersey was incorrect. The commenter noted that the annual property tax revenues estimated for Delaware Township in the draft EIS are much higher than corresponding estimates prepared by the Tax Assessor of Delaware Township.

Response: Property tax revenue estimates developed on behalf of PennEast and presented in table 4.8.9-3 in the EIS were calculated based on estimated pipeline costs (construction, ROW, and indirect costs) per mile multiplied by each affected municipality's tax rate. Total pipeline cost per mile was estimated to be \$4.45 million. Approximately 6 miles of pipeline would be located in Delaware Township resulting in a total estimated pipeline cost of \$23.89 million for Delaware Township. Assuming an average ratio of assessed value to market value of 90 percent and applying the 2014 general tax rate for Delaware Township (2.463%) resulted in estimated property tax revenues of \$588,815 in the first year. Assessed value was assumed to depreciate at a rate of 2.5 percent per year, with commensurate decreases in estimated property tax revenues resulting in a 5-year total of \$2,914,782 in property tax revenues for Delaware Township, with an annual average of \$582,956.

Socioeconomics Comment 6 – Concern was expressed that pipeline construction and maintenance costs would result in an increase in the cost of natural gas to end consumers.

Response: The purpose and need for the Project is described in section 1.1 of the EIS. This section summarizes PennEast's stated objectives, which include providing low cost natural gas from the Marcellus Shale region to homes and businesses in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and surrounding states, and providing enhanced competition among natural gas suppliers and pipeline transportation providers. The section also identifies 12 companies that PennEast has executed long-term, binding precedent agreements with.

In general, natural gas prices are mainly a function of market supply and demand. Factors on the supply-side that affect prices include natural gas production and net imports, with increases in supply tending to decrease prices, and reductions in supply tending to push prices up. Demand side factors that affect prices include economic conditions, weather, and the prices of competing fuels. As noted above, the Project is intended to provide access to additional sources of low cost natural gas and would thereby increase supply, leading to a potential reduction in prices for households and businesses. The energy market outlook and the potential effect of the Project on natural gas prices are discussed in section 4.8.2.1.

Socioeconomics Comment 7 – One comment expressed concern that property tax estimates presented in the draft EIS do not take into account potential reductions in existing property tax revenues as property owners request a reassessment of their property taxes because they no longer control the land within the PennEast easement.

Response: PennEast proposes to acquire easements for the proposed pipeline. They would not be purchasing the affected land. Existing property owners would continue to

own surface and mineral rights to their property and, therefore, property tax reassessments for these property owners based on a loss of control of the affected lands are considered unlikely to occur.

Socioeconomics Comment 8 – Comments expressed concern that the draft EIS failed to assess the potential impact of the Project on recreation and tourism and associated revenues.

Response: Potential impacts on the local economy and specifically impacts on recreation and tourism are discussed in section 4.8.2.2 of the EIS. Potential impacts on public and private recreation resources in the Project area are assessed in more detail in section 4.7.

Socioeconomics Comment 9 – One comment asserted that the Project would increase the risk of flooding in their community and result in a change in the boundaries of the FEMA-defined flood zone to encompass the community, which would in turn result in an increase in insurance rates in the community and a decrease in property values.

Response: Potential impacts related to flooding are discussed in section 4.3.2.2 in the EIS. As discussed in the EIS, PennEast would implement mitigation measures to control waterbody flow increases during pipeline installation activities in accordance with PennEast's E&SCP. No permanent aboveground facilities would be located within 100-year floodplains as reported by FEMA. Aboveground facilities located near floodplains and pipeline waterbody crossings would be designed to prevent potential impacts from high-velocity flows, largely by controlling erosion, in accordance with PennEast's E&SCP. Changes in the boundaries of Flood Hazard Zones are not expected to occur as a result of the Project.

Socioeconomics Comment 10 – Several comments expressed concern that the draft EIS failed to consider the findings of a report prepared on behalf of the New Jersey Conservation Foundation that assessed the findings of the Econsult (2015) study cited in the draft EIS. Comments noted that this report, prepared by the Goodman Group (2015), found that the Econsult (2015) study overestimated the total (direct, indirect, and induced) jobs that would be supported during construction of the Project.

Response: The Goodman Group (TGG) (2015) report assessed the findings of the Econsult (2015) study and concluded that the Econsult study overstates total jobs by approximately 2/3 or more primarily based on a comparison with similar studies prepared for other pipeline projects. TGG (2015) also indicated this conclusion is supported by what they consider to be internal inconsistencies in the Econsult (2015) findings. TGG also concluded that the estimated impacts identified by Econsult (2015) and summarized in the EIS are short-term and represent a small fraction of total statewide economic activity in New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

The findings of the Econsult (2015) study represent one set of estimates of the potential regional impact of construction and operation of the Project, based on a certain set of assumptions and estimated model inputs. These findings are cited in the EIS as an illustration of the potential total (direct, indirect, and induced) impacts that could occur as

a result of the Project. The assumptions and estimated inputs used in the Econsult study are assumed to be based on the best information available at the time the study was prepared but could differ from actual conditions, as well as from those employed in similar analyses for other pipeline projects, resulting in different actual or forecast economic impacts. The results are also subject to the limitations of the model (IMPLAN) used for the analysis and the Project-specific methodology employed by the analyst.

In addition to the broader findings of the Econsult (2015) study summarized in section 4.8.2.1, the EIS provides detailed estimates of the direct, on-site employment that would occur during project construction and the number of new permanent employees that would be hired to directly support the operation phase of the project in section 4.8.1. These estimates are based on numbers of workers required to perform specific on-site tasks, and represent the best available estimates of direct employment that would result from the Project.

The construction-related estimates developed by Econsult (2015) are, as noted in the EIS, one-time economic impacts that would be generated during the 13 month construction period. These impacts may be considered short-term. Econsult (2015) also developed estimates of annual economic impacts based on expected annual expenditures on operation and maintenance. Operation and maintenance-related impacts would be annual impacts that would occur over the life of the Project. Project construction impacts are expected to be much larger than those associated with operation and maintenance. Impacts from both phases would represent a small share of total economic activity in New Jersey and Pennsylvania as noted in the TGG report.

Socioeconomics Comment 11 - A number of comments requested that FERC address the findings of a report prepared on behalf of the New Jersey Conservation Foundation by Skipping Stone (2016). This report entitled “Analysis of Public Benefit Regarding PennEast Pipeline” evaluates what the author terms a “central claim in the application”, namely that PennEast would lower costs to consumers. Comments noted that this report concludes that the proposed pipeline is unnecessary and could in fact result in an increase, rather than a decrease in costs to consumers.

Response: The Skipping Stone report primarily evaluates the findings of a 2015 report prepared by Concentric Energy Advisors Inc. (Concentric) on behalf of PennEast. The Concentric report entitled “Energy Market Savings Report and Analysis” is part of PennEast’s application and is cited in Resource Report 1 as part of PennEast’s Purpose and Need discussion. In addition, the potential cost savings estimates developed by Concentric (2015) are discussed in Resource Report 5 of PennEast’s application (accession number 20150925-5028).

The EIS does not directly cite the findings of the Concentric (2015) report as part of the purpose and need discussion or include the estimated potential savings to energy market participants as potential economic benefits in the Socioeconomics section of the EIS. The purpose and need for the Project is described in section 1.1 of the EIS. This section

summarizes PennEast's stated objectives, which include providing low cost natural gas from the Marcellus Shale region to homes and businesses in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and surrounding states, and providing enhanced competition among natural gas suppliers and pipeline transportation providers.

The conclusions of the Skipping Stone report include the following: 1) local gas distribution companies already have more than enough capacity to meet peak winter demand; 2) demand from providers of gas-fired electric generation could be more cost effectively met by dual fuel switching or gas from LNG facilities; 3) PennEast would add significant excess capacity to regional markets; 4) PennEast could increase, rather than decrease costs to consumers; and 5) the potential savings for gas and electric generation consumers estimated by Concentric (2015) were based on faulty assumptions and analysis.

These conclusions are addressed in detail in a subsequent report prepared by Concentric (2016). This report entitled "Reply Comments of Concentric Energy Advisors to Comments Submitted by the New Jersey Conservation Foundation regarding PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC." addresses each of the Skipping Stone report's conclusions in turn and generally concludes that the report fails to "accurately or appropriately assess the public benefit of additional pipeline capacity to be provided by PennEast" (p.31). In addition, PennEast identified additional concerns with the Stepping Stone analysis in their transmittal letter to FERC dated April 14, 2016.

Socioeconomics Comment 12 – The EPA recommended that a different methodology be used in the Environmental Justice (EJ) assessment to establish minority population benchmarks, in order to identify all at-risk populations as accurately and inclusively as possible.

Response: We believe the methodology used in the EJ assessment to set minority population benchmarks in the draft EIS is adequate, and identifies all at-risk populations as appropriate.

Cultural

Cultural Resources Comment 1 – Comments were filed on the eLibrary regarding FERC's responsibilities under Section 106 of the NHPA and under NEPA. Concerns focus on inadequacy of information presented to New Jersey SHPO and FERC to enable them to comply with their responsibilities. One comment favored giving Consulting Party status to the Ramapough Lenape Nation to insure tribal input in the Section 106 process.

Response: Our consultation with the New Jersey and Pennsylvania SHPOs and with tribes is ongoing. In addition, we review all correspondence filed with the Commission and take into consideration all stakeholder comments about the PennEast Project. The final EIS includes a recommendation that would prohibit PennEast from starting construction prior to the completion of all cultural resources surveys and reports, development of treatment plans, and development of avoidance plans and prior to the review and acceptance of these documents by New Jersey and Pennsylvania SHPOs and

by FERC staff (see section 4.9.6). It is the Commission's goal to avoid, minimize, or mitigate effects to cultural resources that meet the criteria to be eligible to the National Register of Historic Places. The Commission welcomes input to the Section 106 process from the Ramapough Lenape Nation.

Cultural Resources Comment 2 – Commenters expressed concern about potential Project effects to Bridge # D-449, an arched stone bridge that is part of a multi-property nomination as locally and nationally significant cultural resources.

Response: New Jersey SHPO has noted in its filing with FERC that Bridge #D-449 Worman Road over Shoppon's Run is listed in the inventory of stone arch bridges in the National Register of Historic Places Multiple Property Documentation Form nomination for Historic Bridges of Delaware Township. The Multiple Property Documentation Form was listed on the New Jersey Register of Historic Places on July 28, 2016 and is currently under review for NRHP listing by the NPS. In addition, the final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast provide an assessment of potential Project effects to Bridge #D-449 Worman Road (see section 4.9.2.2).

Cultural Resources Comment 3 – Commenters expressed concern about what actions PennEast may take if paleontological resources (vertebrate and invertebrate fossils) are uncovered as a result of Project construction either through excavation or in areas exposed by erosion. A stakeholder also noted the location of Clark quarries in relation to the PennEast pipeline centerline.

Response: Paleontological resources, unless on federal property, are not protected by law in New Jersey or Pennsylvania. PennEast does not propose any special measures in the event fossils are encountered during construction.

Cultural Resources Comment 4 – Commenters expressed concern about potential direct and indirect effects to cultural resources as a result of construction.

Response: PennEast developed an Unanticipated Discovery Plans for Pennsylvania and New Jersey which was reviewed by the Pennsylvania and the New Jersey SHPOs and was approved. We concur that the plan provides the steps that would be followed in the event that cultural resources are discovered during construction of the Project. The final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast file a final vibration monitoring plan for historic properties within 150 feet of the construction workspace in consultation with the Pennsylvania and New Jersey SHPOs (see section 4.9.5). In addition, the final EIS recommends that, prior to construction, PennEast provide a revised Blasting Plan that includes a review of potential effects of drilling and blasting on cultural resources including caves, rockshelters, and aboveground historic structures, and how those impacts would be addressed.

Cultural Resources Comment 5 – Several commenters criticized survey techniques used by PennEast's cultural resources team. The Durham Township Planning Commission requested to view the results of the archaeological surveys.

Response: Survey protocols implemented in Kingwood Township, Hunterdon County, New Jersey; Durham Township, Pennsylvania; and throughout the entire PennEast area of potential effects (APE), were approved by the New Jersey SHPO and the Pennsylvania SHPO. In order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, 1966, as amended (NHPA), we require that applicants perform cultural resources investigations according to respective state protocols and the project-specific protocols agreed upon by the respective SHPOs and FERC. In addition, the results reported are reviewed by the respective SHPOs and by Commission staff specialists. As part of our compliance with NHPA, consideration is given to the affect a project may have cultural resources that are listed in, nominated to, or eligible to the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). If the Project may adversely affect NRHP-listed or -eligible cultural resources, then we would direct PennEast to consider possible steps to avoid the effects. If the Project would adversely affect a NRHP-eligible or -listed property, then FERC would develop a Memorandum of Agreement which would outline the steps that PennEast would take to mitigate the adverse effects.

Having a pipeline in Durham Township would not affect the township's current status as eligible to be part of the National Historic Corridor. The criteria to be eligible to National Historic Corridor do not relate to the presence or absence of a natural gas pipeline.

Reports produced by PennEast related to historic architecture studies and archaeological investigations performed were filed with the Commission as privileged and confidential. The cultural resources sections of the Commission's draft EIS were developed partially based on the results of the cultural resources reports filed by PennEast. The Township would need to request consulting party status in order to be eligible to receive copies of privileged and confidential reports for review.

Cultural Resources Comment 6 – A comment noted that eight tax parcels to which PennEast's cultural resources team recently was provided access were assessed as not eligible to the NRHP.

Response: The eight resources evaluated by PennEast and reported within Addendum 1 were recommended as not eligible to the NRHP. The New Jersey SHPO concurred with this recommendation. Therefore, these resources are not listed in table 4.9.2-7 (Aboveground Resources Listed/Eligible to the NRHP or Requiring Additional Documentation Located within the Indirect APE in New Jersey).

Cultural Resources Comment 7 – Stakeholders expressed concern that the Project would impact several historic districts, including disruption of viewsheds, through which the Project would be located.

Response: The historic districts through which the Project would be located are addressed in section 4.9.2.2 of the EIS. As discussed in section 4.7.6 of the EIS, visual impacts associated with the Project construction right-of-way and ATWS would include the removal and/or alteration of existing vegetation and the exposure of bare soils, as well as earthwork and grading scars associated with heavy equipment tracks, trenching,

blasting, machinery and tool storage, and landform changes. Once construction is completed, the right-of-way would be restored and visual effects would be confined to areas where trees and large vegetation have been removed within the construction work areas.

Cultural Resources Comment 8 – A comment noted that the Rosemount Rural Agricultural District was entered into the New Jersey and National Registers of Historic Places in 2010.

Response: The Rosemont Rural Agricultural District is noted in section 4.9.2.2, table 4.9.2-7 as listed in the National Register of Historic Places

Cultural Resources Comment 9 – A stakeholder stated that PennEast should research potential African American history and burial grounds in the Project APE. The stakeholder also stated that the Stoutsburg Cemetery Association and the Stoutsburg Sourland African American Museum should be invited to participate as Consulting Parties in the Section 106 process.

Response: PennEast has developed Unanticipated Discovery Plans for Pennsylvania and New Jersey (see Cultural Resources Response 4). We welcome specific comments from the Stoutsburg Sourland African American Museum regarding known and potential cultural resources that may be listed in or eligible to the NRHP that may be affected by the Project and encourages the Stoutsburg Sourland African American Museum to file comments with the Commission.

Cultural Resources Comment 10 – Stakeholders expressed concern about possible Project effects to the Wyoming Monument remembering the Revolutionary War Wyoming Massacre and to the unrecovered bodies of 12 miners who drowned in 1959, all located within proximity of the Project.

Response: PennEast's study of the geomorphology of the location where the Project crosses Monocanock Island indicated that the island's soils were a combination of primarily recent deposits and very Late Holocene sediments deposited under high flow velocities with little to no potential for the presence of intact archaeological sites.

However, in the unlikely event that archaeological resources are revealed in this location, PennEast's Unanticipated Discovery Plans for Pennsylvania and New Jersey, outline the appropriate steps that would be taken to address unanticipated resources.

Cultural Resources Comment 11 – Commenters informed FERC of three potential new historic districts including Sandy Ridge and Alexauken Creek Historic Districts. Commenters were concerned about potential impacts on these districts which they claim are in the process of being established in New Jersey. The commenters expressed concerns about Project effects to these districts as well as the Covered Bridge Historic District. Commenters also identified other sites omitted from the draft EIS (B155-2.02 at 122 Sandy Ridge-Mt. Airy Road and B153-1.03 at 112 Worman Road).

Response: Information on these potential historic districts and sites is not readily available. Therefore, we have reached out to the New Jersey SHPO to determine whether

these historic districts and sites have been documented and additional text has been added to section 4.9.2.2.

Cultural Resources Comment 12 – A stakeholder suggested that the Project may destroy the Wickecheoke Creek Preserve community’s values, rural quality of life, and sense of place.

Response: PennEast’s pipeline is not likely to result in destruction of the Wickecheoke Creek Preserve’s community values, rural quality of life, and sense of place. Once construction is completed, the right-of-way would be restored and visual effects would be confined to areas where trees and large vegetation have been removed within the Project route. The buried pipeline would not otherwise visibly intrude in this community with its preserved 18th and 19th century rural cultural patterns.

Cultural Resources Comment 13 – A stakeholder expressed concern that the Commission has not treated the Ramapough Lenape Indian Nation in the same manner as it would a federally-recognized tribe.

Response: The Ramapough Lenape Indian Nation is a New Jersey state-recognized tribe. The tribe is welcomed to file comments about the PennEast Project with the Commission.

Cultural Resources Comment 14 – The EPA recommended that a copy of all letters identified in table 4.9.1-1 be included in the final EIS.

Response: Letters identified in tables 4.9.1-1 and 4.9.1-2 have been added to appendix H.

Air Quality

Air Quality Comment 1 – Comments were received, including from EPA, requesting that GHG emissions and climate change impacts be evaluated in accordance with the final GHG guidance published by the CEQ. Comments also requested confirmation that estimated GHG emissions include fugitive methane leaks from pipeline components such as valves, from venting and blowdown events, and leakage from the pipeline itself. Comments also requested consideration of total life cycle emissions from the natural gas to be transported by the proposed Project.

Response: Potential GHG emissions and cumulative climate change impacts from the proposed Project have been evaluated in section 4.10.1.4 which presents the potential GHG emissions from construction and operation of the proposed Project, including fugitive methane emissions from valves and other pipeline components; from pig launchers/receivers; from venting and blowdown events; and from the pipeline itself. Emissions from end-use combustion of natural gas transported by the proposed project would be the predominant component of total life cycle GHG emissions. These end-use combustion emissions are quantified in section 4.10.1.5. Finally, sections 4.12.4.7 and 4.12.4.8 also addresses the cumulative climate change impacts from GHG emissions attributable to the proposed Project.

Air Quality Comment 2 – General comments were received about U.S. natural gas production and projected growth in U.S. natural gas consumption.

Response: This comment provides no specific information about the proposed PennEast Project. Accordingly, this material does not assist us in our analysis of the proposed Project.

Air Quality Comment 3 – A comment was received proposing the application of a “climate test” to the permitting of all fossil fuel infrastructure.

Response: Neither CEQ nor any other government agency has, to our knowledge, proposed a particular “climate test” to be used in evaluating natural gas infrastructure projects. We examine the impacts of the projects before us, including impacts on climate change, using the best available facts and science, and will continue to do so.

Air Quality Comment 4 – Comments were received stating that FERC should not approve projects that encourage continued use of fossil fuels, in light of anticipated impacts due to climate change.

Response: FERC has limited powers in its review of proposed pipeline projects. Neither the NGA nor the NEPA authorizes FERC to prevent new development of fossil fuel resources. Rather, it must fall to Congress, the Executive Branch, and agencies with jurisdiction over broad environmental issues to enact new laws and regulations that might accomplish such a goal. The concern expressed in the comment is acknowledged.

Air Quality Comment 5 – Comments were received asking for more specific information regarding air quality impacts in the near vicinity of the Kidder Compressor Station, and in the vicinity of the pipeline generally. Comments asked about the geographic range over which air quality impacts from the Kidder Compressor Station would occur, noting its proximity to sensitive locations including popular outdoor recreation areas. Comments asked for information about the different averaging periods used to assess impacts. Comments also asked to know what cumulative air quality impacts the Kidder Compressor Station would have with emissions from Transco's Compressor Station 515 (located approximately 6 miles away).

Response: See section 4.10.1.5 for a discussion of the results of air dispersion modeling, which was used to predict maximum short-term and long-term air quality impacts in the vicinity of the Kidder Compressor Station. The EPA has established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for the protection of human health and the environment. In addition to annual air quality standards, pollutants also have standards that must be met for different short-term averaging periods, ranging from one hour for NO₂ to 24 hours for particulate matter.

Emissions from the proposed Kidder Compressor Station would have a cumulative air quality impact with other nearby sources (such as the nearby Transco Compressor Station 515). Cumulative impacts are permissible so long as they do not exceed any of the NAAQS. For sources below a certain emission threshold (which the Kidder Compressor Station would remain below), it is permissible to estimate cumulative impacts by adding the modeled impacts from the Kidder Compressor Station to existing measured

background concentrations, rather than specifically quantifying emissions from other nearby sources.

Tables 4.10.1-10 and 4.10.1-11 show the maximum predicted short-term and long-term ambient pollutant concentrations at locations within 10 kilometers of the Kidder Compressor Station. As shown in table 4.10.1-11, the modeled cumulative impacts from the Kidder Compressor Station would comply with the NAAQS for all pollutants and averaging periods. Due to the fact that exhaust gases would be increasingly mixed and diluted with ambient air as they are carried farther from the source, emissions from the Kidder Compressor Station would not be expected to contribute significantly to any air quality impacts outside the geographic area included in the model.

Air Quality Comment 6 – Several comments expressed criticism of a statement in the Executive Summary, which says “There is also the potential, however, that the Project would contribute to a cumulative improvement in regional air quality if a portion of the natural gas associated with the Project displaces the use of other more polluting fossil fuels.” Comments stated that no evidence was provided for this statement; that the statement does not specify what other fossil fuels might be displaced; that the statement was speculative and should be removed; or that the statement was attempting to justify any negative air quality impacts from the proposed Project.

Response: We do not claim that a cumulative improvement in regional air quality is either a certain or probable outcome of approving the proposed Project. We do state that the “potential” exists for a cumulative improvement in regional air quality, and acknowledge that it would be contingent on the displacement of other more polluting fossil fuels, which include oil and coal. We also do not claim that negative air quality impacts from the proposed Project would be “outweighed” by positive impacts from the displacement of more polluting fossil fuels. The proposed Project must demonstrate that it would not cause or contribute to any violations of the NAAQS, independently of any potential air quality improvements that might occur elsewhere. That demonstration is provided in section 4.10.1.5.

Air Quality Comment 7 – Comments, including from EPA, expressed concerns about the removal of 633 acres of forest along the pipeline right-of-way, and requested a calculation of the carbon sequestration capacity that would be lost. Comments also recommended that mitigation measures be considered to make up for this loss.

Response: Section 4.10.1.6 discusses of the potential loss of carbon sequestration capacity due to clearance of 633 acres of forest along the pipeline right-of-way. This discussion has been updated in the final EIS to include a quantitative estimate of the carbon sequestration capacity that would be lost.

Our Plan and Procedures require revegetation of the entire construction work area. In addition, as stated in section 4.10.1.6, PennEast has committed to actively replanting the affected forested areas located within nature preserves, state parkland, or state game lands in Pennsylvania, as well as the Green Acres properties in New Jersey, with tree seedlings.

Commission staff is continuing to develop methodology to implement this type of analysis. However, Commission staff does not intend to delay ongoing NEPA reviews while development of this methodology occurs. Further, it is unclear if imposed forest restoration would result in significant advantages. Restoration of forest would occur gradually and be consistent with the requirements of the Commission's Plan and Procedures, and other agency requirements.

Air Quality Comment 8 – Numerous comments, including from EPA, stated that the EIS should include an analysis of environmental impacts from the natural gas production wells, particularly in the Marcellus Shale region, that would supply gas to be transported by the proposed Project. Comments expressed concerns about various potential impacts from the use of hydraulic fracturing, or “fracking,” in many of these wells, including methane leakage into the air, as well as potential contamination of soil and groundwater.

Response: An analysis of upstream environmental impacts related to natural gas production is outside the scope of this EIS, as the proposed Project is limited to transportation of natural gas, and does not include any production activities. In addition, we conclude that the scope and effects of the potential GHG emissions from natural gas production attributable to this Project are not reasonably foreseeable, as there is not enough information available to permit a meaningful analysis, as further discussed in section 4.12.4.8.

Regarding methane leakage during transportation on PennEast's system, a discussion is included in section 4.12.4.8.

Air Quality Comment 9 – Comments requested more detailed information about air quality and noise impacts during construction and operation of the proposed Project, as well as mitigation measures that would be taken.

Response: See sections 4.10.1 and 4.10.2 for detailed discussion of air quality and noise impacts during construction and operation of the proposed Project, as well as discussion of mitigation measures that would be taken.

Air Quality Comment 10 – One comment requested that the EIS include impacts from the full life cycle GHG emissions from the natural gas transported by the proposed Project.

Response: Regarding full life cycle emissions, GHG emissions from end-use combustion of natural gas transported by the proposed project would be the predominant component of total life cycle GHG emissions. These end-use combustion emissions are quantified in section 4.10.1.5.

Air Quality Comment 11 – One comment requested that the EIS also include impacts from the non-GHG air pollutants (NO_x, VOC, PM, SO₂, etc.) produced by end-use combustion of the natural gas transported by the proposed Project.

Response: Emissions of non-GHG criteria pollutants (VOC, PM, NO_x, SO₂, etc.) produced by end-use combustion of natural gas are outside the scope of this EIS, since

environmental impacts for these pollutants cannot be evaluated without knowing the precise location, emission rates, and exhaust concentrations for these emissions.

Air Quality Comment 12 – One comment requested that the EIS include a cumulative analysis for air quality and other impacts related to planned development of the Blue Mountain Resort, which has proposed installation of a natural gas generation facility near the proposed Kidder Compressor Station.

Response: See sections 4.12.4.7 and 4.12.4.8 for a discussion of potential cumulative impacts from other projects. As shown in table 4.12-1, the Blue Mountain Combined Heat and Power Plant is among the potential projects considered in this analysis.

Air Quality Comment 13 – One comment stated that PennEast should be required to power the Kidder Compressor Station with electric motors rather than gas-fired turbines, in order to reduce overall air pollutant emission and reduce impacts on nearby recreation areas.

Response: FERC cannot require applicants to use a particular type of technology for their compressors. However, at our request, PennEast has conducted an analysis of the feasibility of installing electric motor driven compressors for the Kidder Compressor Station. PennEast determined that selecting electric motors as an alternative to natural gas-driven compressors would result in higher overall emissions, due to emissions created by generation of the needed electricity. See the “Operating Emissions and Mitigation” subheading under section 4.10.1.4 for a summary of this analysis.

Air Quality Comment 14 – One comment stated that the alternatives analysis should be updated to quantify GHG emissions resulting from the “no-action” alternative, and also stated that the proposed Project’s GHG emissions should be compared to the GHG emissions that would result from “generating the same amount of energy using renewable resources.”

Response: As noted in section 5.1.13, “... the no-action alternative would eliminate the short- and long-term environmental impacts identified in the EIS,” which would include the estimated GHG emissions for the proposed Project. Construction of renewable energy facilities would also not represent an equivalent alternative to the proposed Project, since no single renewable technology can substitute for all of the ways in which natural gas can be used. Each of the numerous available renewable energy technologies has its own advantages and drawbacks, both in terms of how that energy can be stored, transported, and used, and in terms of the unique environmental impacts posed by each technology.

Air Quality Comment 15 – One comment stated that the EPA has established a GHG reporting threshold of 25,000 metric tons per year, and that the proposed Project would take advantage of a “loophole in the regulations” that would allow it to emit “excessive levels” of GHGs.

Response: Regarding “excessive levels” of GHG emissions, the Kidder Compressor Station would indeed be subject to EPA rules for reporting GHG emissions. However, these GHG reporting rules do not define acceptable versus “excessive” emission rates for GHGs.

Air Quality Comment 16 – One comment stated that there is no evidence the proposed Project would offset a sufficient quantity of GHG emissions elsewhere to “tip the scales of public benefit towards approval.”

Response: A finding that the proposed Project would result in offsetting GHG emission reductions is not required.

Air Quality Comment 17 – One comment recommends that language be added to reflect requirements for re-evaluation of conformity in Section 93.157(d) of the Federal General Conformity regulation, and also recommends several work practices for minimizing emissions from non-road diesel construction equipment.

Response: The recommendations have been added to section 4.10.1.3 of the EIS.

Air Quality Comment 18 – Several comments state that PennEast should take measures to monitor fugitive methane leaks from the proposed pipeline.

Response: See the "Operating Emissions and Mitigation" subheading under section 4.10.1.4 for a summary of the mitigation measures PennEast would employ to minimize fugitive pipeline emissions. These measures would include implementation of a leak detection and monitoring program.

Air Quality Comment 19 – One comment from U.S. EPA requested that a site plan drawing be provided for the Kidder Compressor Station, and that additional information be provided to allow confirmation of the estimated operating emissions for the Kidder Compressor Station, as provided by PennEast in table 9.1-3a of their Resource Report 9 submittal. The comment also stated that U.S. EPA was unable to confirm the Project would not trigger New Source Review Requirements.

Response: A detailed site plan of the proposed Kidder Compressor Station is included in appendix B of the EIS. Regarding the estimated operating emissions for the Kidder Compressor Station, and confirmation that the Project would not trigger New Source Review requirements, no additional information is currently available apart from that already provided by PennEast. The operating emissions presented in table 4.10.1-6 are based on a revised version of Resource Report 9's table 9.1-3a provided by PennEast in a supplemental data request response on February 24, 2016, and on Attachment 39-1 to PennEast's November 2016 response to data requests (updated emissions only for the compressor station fuel gas heater). Additional information to allow confirmation of the figures in table 9.1-3a of Resource Report 9 will be provided by PennEast as needed as part of the PADEP state air quality permitting process.

Air Quality Comment 20 – One comment from EPA requested that if any additional equipment would be installed at the Kidder Compressor Station beyond what was already mentioned in the EIS, that such equipment be identified, and estimates of their emissions be provided. The comment also recommended that emissions from pig launching and receiving operations, as well as interconnect equipment emissions, be presented.

Response: PennEast has provided information about additional types of process units beyond those previously mentioned for the Kidder Compressor Station. The only equipment not previously mentioned would be a lube oil reservoir for each compressor turbine, as well as several 55-gallon drums to store makeup lubricating oil. Section 4.10.1 of the final EIS has been updated with this additional information.

Air Quality Comment 21 – One comment from EPA requested additional information demonstrating how operating emissions were determined for the Kidder Compressor Station, including the basis for explaining the basis for assuming 48 startup/shutdown cycles, and supporting the position that startup/shutdown emissions would be offset.

Response: PennEast has provided additional information regarding startup and shutdown emissions for the Kidder Compressor Station, explaining the basis for assuming 48 startup/shutdown cycles, and supporting the position that startup/shutdown emissions would be offset. Section 4.10.1.4 of the final EIS has been updated with this additional information.

Air Quality Comment 22 – One comment from EPA requested that the evaluation to determine the feasibility of installing electric motor driven compressor units at the Kidder Compressor Station be revised, so that the estimate of PJM regional grid emissions for powering the electric motors would be based on the most recent PJM fuel mix data from 2016, rather than the 2010 fuel mix data that was used.

Response: PennEast has provide a revised analysis that replaces the 2010 fuel rates with the most recent PJM rates available, which were released in October 2015. Section 4.10.1.4 of the final EIS has been updated with this additional information.

Air Quality Comment 23 – One comment from EPA requested that the evaluation to determine the feasibility of using waste heat electric generation in conjunction with gas-fired turbines be revised, in order to clarify contradictory statements.

Response: The analysis in section 4.10.1.4 of the final EIS has been revised and clarified.

Air Quality Comment 24 – Several comments, including from EPA, suggested that the EIS should evaluate whether any of the component portions of the proposed Project, or any upstream or downstream facilities connected to the proposed Project, should be considered a single source for the purpose of applying regulatory emission thresholds.

Response: PADEP is the delegated authority ultimately responsible for making single stationary source determinations in Pennsylvania, which is where the highest-emitting component of the proposed Project (the Kidder Compressor Station) would be located. However, we believe PennEast has properly applied the criteria for what constitutes a single source under EPA's major source definition, by separating the Kidder Compressor Station from the other Pennsylvania and New Jersey facilities included in the proposed Project. To qualify as a single source under 40 CFR 52.21, the pollutant-emitting activities must belong to the same industrial grouping; must be located on one or more

contiguous or adjacent properties; and must be under common control. Although the proposed facilities meet under the industrial grouping and common control criteria, they are not considered to be located on contiguous or adjacent properties. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit ruled in 2012 (*Summit Petroleum Corp. v. United States EPA, et al.*) that facilities separated by many miles cannot be treated as adjacent for the purpose of defining a single source.

In addition, similar to the Atlantic Sunrise Project, the final EIS has been updated to include information on the number of wells that could support the Project. However, we continue to find that upstream production is not causally connected to the project, and as such do not evaluate the impacts of such activity. Each state develops guidance for implementing its air permitting program and is responsible for making source determinations as part of the air permitting process. The NEPA document is not an air permit review and the Commission is not responsible for determining whether any non-jurisdictional upstream facilities would be subject to air permitting. However, the NEPA document appropriately summarizes the environmental impacts of operating each compressor facility for disclosure purposes, using consistent methodology in evaluating facilities across the United States.

Air Quality Comment 25 – One comment from EPA recommended that the reference citation for CEQ’s GHG guidance be updated to cite the most recent published version.

Response: See section 4.10.1.4 for a discussion regarding GHG emissions.

Air Quality Comment 26 – One comment from EPA recommended that Project impacts be reviewed, using the U.S. Global Change Research Program’s National Climate Assessment or other peer-reviewed resources, to determine if any impacts would be exacerbated by climate change, and to consider additional mitigation measures as appropriate.

Response: In section 4.12.4.8 of the draft EIS (page 4-283) we discuss how climate change has affected the project area and discuss projected changes to climate and resources based on the USGCRP’s 3rd National Climate Assessment. The draft EIS concludes that cumulative impacts from the Project would not be exacerbated by climate change during the expected Project lifetime.

Air Quality Comment 27 – One comment from EPA suggested that the analysis of GHG emissions would be improved by including emissions resulting from the development and production of natural gas being transported through the proposed pipeline.

Response: In section 4.12.4.8 on climate change, we acknowledge EPA’s earlier suggestion that we estimate emissions from development and production of the natural gas. Our discussion in that section cites FERC’s 2015 guidance *Corpus Christi Liquefaction, LLC*, 151 FERC [61,098 (2015) (May 6 Order)] to conclude that the consideration of upstream impacts related to natural gas production is outside the scope of this EIS, because the exact locations of production, methods used, and the extent of

any impacts from upstream production, are not controlled by the proposed Project and cannot reasonably be estimated.

Air Quality Comment 28 – One comment from EPA recommended that the EIS estimate methane leakage emissions from the proposed Project, if not already included, and to consider BMPs for reducing leakage.

Response: The operational phase GHG emission estimates included in the EIS do include methane leakage. The GHG emissions presented in table 4.12.4-2 are totaled from the emissions presented in tables 4.10.1-6 and 4.10.1-8, where methane leaks are included under "Equipment Leaks" for the Kidder Compressor Station, and under "Interconnect Fugitives/Vents" and "Pipeline Fugitive Leaks" for the pipeline facilities.

In addition, section 4.10.1.4 of the EIS includes numerous measures that PennEast would implement to reduce or prevent leaks along the proposed pipeline, including, but not limited to, use of a smart pig inspection tool to detect any corrosion or pitting on the inner pipeline wall, use of a cathodic protection system to prevent corrosion, routine inspection of all piping, and implementation of a leak detection and monitoring program at all aboveground Project facilities.

Air Quality Comment 29 – One comment from EPA recommended that the EIS estimate the number of wells required to supply the proposed pipeline, and to estimate potential impacts, including GHG emissions, from these wells.

Response: PennEast has estimated that it could transport the production from approximately 89 new wells, based on the U.S. EIA's November 2016 estimate of Marcellus Region "new-well gas production per rig" of 12,130 thousand cubic feet/day. If it is assumed that the average production per well is half the new well rate, then the proposed Project could transport the production from approximately 178 wells at a production rate per well of 6,065 thousand cubic feet/day. The final EIS has been updated with this information.

However, as stated in responses to Air Quality Comments 8 and 27, we continue to find that upstream production is not causally connected to the project, and as such do not evaluate the impacts of such activity. Each state develops guidance for implementing its air permitting program and is responsible for making source determinations as part of the air permitting process. The NEPA document is not an air permit review and the Commission is not responsible for determining whether any non-jurisdictional upstream facilities would be subject to air permitting. However, the NEPA document appropriately summarizes the environmental impacts of operating each compressor facility for disclosure purposes, using consistent methodology in evaluating facilities across the United States.

Air Quality Comment 30 – One comment from EPA recommended that the EIS consider additional alternatives beyond the applicant's preferred alternative, and that if additional

alternatives were retained for detailed study, the GHG emissions should be estimated for these alternatives for comparison to one another.

Response: OEP staff does not plan to elevate alternatives discussed in section 3 of the draft EIS at the same level of detail as the proposed action in section 4. As described above, our criteria are well defined and the alternatives disclosed are reasonable. Each alternative evaluated in the draft EIS identified the factors that a direct comparison was made to the proposed project. Additionally, section 3 of the draft EIS compares impacts from various alternatives. Minor changes in pipeline route length would not result in significant construction GHG emissions savings. Likewise, operational GHG emissions from each alternative would be similar; therefore, disclosing GHG emissions from each alternative would not be useful for the decision makers. For minor route variations there would be such a minor difference in GHG emissions between the variation and proposed route; it would be neither practical nor useful to evaluate this difference.

Air Quality Comment 31 – One comment expressed concern about a proposed compressor station that would be built in a rock quarry near the commenter’s residence that already causes considerable pollution and noise disturbances.

Response: This comment refers to a proposed compressor station to be built in Princeton, New Jersey, which is not part of the proposed Project considered in this EIS.

Air Quality Comment 32 – Two comments objected to the characterization of natural gas as “clean” fuel in PennEast promotional materials.

Response: The use of natural gas does indeed produce emissions of air pollutants and GHGs. However, it is broadly true that natural gas produces lower emissions when compared to other fossil fuels such as oil and coal. The potential direct emissions from construction and operation of the proposed Project have been quantified in section 4.10.1.4.

Air Quality Comment 33 – One comment expressed concern that the Kidder Compressor Station’s proposed location adjacent to Interstate 80 would produce ground-level ozone due to interaction between the compressor station emissions and exhaust from nearby vehicle traffic.

Response: Compliance with the air quality standards established for concentrations of ground-level ozone is determined on a regional and state-wide basis, rather than a site-specific basis. However, one facility-specific mechanism Pennsylvania uses to reduce ozone pollution is to require that new emission sources use "Best Available Technology" (BAT) for limiting their emissions of NO_x and VOC. The Kidder Compressor Station would satisfy these BAT requirements.

Air Quality Comment 34 – One comment stated that no available mitigation measures would “completely eliminate” toxic emissions from the proposed Kidder Compressor Station and other nearby emission sources, which would affect communities in the vicinity, such as Kidder Township, White Haven, Blakeslee, Lake Harmony, Albrightsville, and the nearby the Jack Frost golf course and resort.

Response: The NAAQS, as well as Pennsylvania's state air quality standards, do not require complete elimination of toxic emissions. They establish allowable pollutant concentrations that have been demonstrated to provide protection of human health and the environment.

Air Quality Comment 35 – Two comments expressed concern about odors resulting from construction and operation of the proposed Project.

Response: The proposed Project is not expected to have any detectable odor impacts during normal operation.

Air Quality Comment 36 – One comment expressed concerns about mobilization of naturally-occurring arsenic in the soils adjacent to the proposed pipeline during construction and operation.

Response: See the "Arsenic Exposure" subheading in section 4.10.1.6 for a discussion of the potential for arsenic mobilization in the soil near the pipeline. As discussed there, a study conducted for the proposed Project found no potential for arsenic mobilization. Nonetheless, PennEast has committed to conducting groundwater testing at wells near the pipeline route, and providing mitigation if necessary.

Air Quality Comment 37 – One comment expressed concerns about the release of radon gas from fugitive methane leaks and planned venting activities.

Response: See the "Radon Exposure" and "Compressor Station Venting" subheadings under section 4.10.1.6 for discussion addressing these concerns.

Air Quality Comment 38 – One comment refers to comments made by T.C. Onstott, concluding that mobilization of arsenic in soils near the proposed pipeline would be an ongoing concern during operation.

Response: On October 28, 2016, PennEast submitted a detailed response to FERC (accession number 20161028-5236), specifically addressing the concerns raised in T.C. Onstott's comments. This response, prepared by Dr. Michael Serfes, concludes that Onstott's arguments regarding arsenic mobilization are based on "speculative chemical reduction scenarios that are not supported by available data," and that they assume physical conditions that would not exist along the pipeline trench.

Air Quality Comment 39 – One comment expresses concerns about air pollution impacts in the vicinity of Lambertville, NJ during construction and operation of the proposed pipeline.

Response: Impacts on nearby air quality during construction of the proposed pipeline are expected to be minimal and temporary. The proposed pipeline is not expected to have any significant impacts on air quality in the vicinity of Lambertville, New Jersey, during normal operation.

Air Quality Comment 40 – Two comments suggest that the alternatives analysis provided in the EIS is insufficient, and that a full evaluation of the no-action alternative should be included.

Response: We believe the no-action and other alternatives have been examined in adequate detail in sections 3.0 and 5.1.13.

Air Quality Comment 41 – One comment asks if any research has been conducted regarding health risks from arsenic that may be present in dust generated during construction blasting.

Response: We are not aware of any such research.

Air Quality Comment 42 – Several comments expressed concern about toxic dust emissions from HDD during construction, as well as fugitive dust emissions from use of pipeline access roads during operation.

Response: During construction of the proposed pipeline, PennEast would follow a Fugitive Dust Control Plan to minimize the generation of airborne dust from all construction activities, including drilling and the use of unpaved access roads. During operation of the proposed Project, dust generation from use of access roads is expected to be minimal and infrequent.

Air Quality Comment 43 – One commenter expressed concern that the loss of trees, shrubs, and flowers from the pipeline right-of-way where it crosses her property would exacerbate her husband's asthma.

Response: The proposed Project is not expected to have any significant impacts on air quality in the vicinity of the pipeline route during normal operation.

Air Quality Comment 44 – Several comments expressed concern about the toxicity of methane, and about other toxic compounds contained in fugitive methane leaks and planned venting activities from the proposed Project facilities.

Response: Tables 4.10.1-6, 4.10.1-8, and 4.10.1-9 present potential emissions of hazardous air pollutants (HAP) from operation of the proposed Kidder Compressor Station and the PA and NJ portions of the pipeline. HAP emissions from all of the proposed Project combustion sources would be approximately 3.3 tons per year, which make the proposed Project a "minor source" of HAP emissions for regulatory purposes. HAP emissions from fugitive leaks and compressor station blowdown events are expected to be negligible, as these compounds are present in only trace amounts in natural gas. Methane itself is non-toxic.

Air Quality Comment 45 – Two comments present the claim that total GHG emissions from the use of natural gas are equal to or greater than those from the use of coal, when the total life cycle emissions related to production and transportation of each fuel are considered.

Response: Both comment letters cite a 2014 NETL study (Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Perspective on Exporting Liquefied Natural Gas from the United States) that compares life cycle GHG emissions for exported LNG versus locally-mined coal when used for electric generation. The comment letters mistakenly interpret the NETL study as concluding that natural gas has the same global warming impact as coal on a 100-year basis, and a greater global warming impact than coal on a 20-year basis. The section of

the NETL study on which this mistaken conclusion was based in fact merely states that GHG emissions from the production and transportation of LNG make up a larger proportion of the total life cycle emissions for that fuel, as compared to the same emissions for coal. Even when accounting for these "upstream" GHG emissions from production and transport of LNG, figures 6-1 and 6-2 in the NETL study clearly show that in all cases, life cycle GHG emissions are still lower for exported LNG than they are for locally-mined coal.

Air Quality Comment 46 – One comment identifies a contradiction between a statement in the EIS that pipeline methane leakage would be “relatively minor,” and the opinion of the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) that GHG emissions from pipeline leaks are a “meaningful” portion of all U.S. GHG emissions.

Response: These two statements are not in contradiction. Fugitive methane emissions from leakage and venting of pipeline components can be considered "relatively minor" when compared to overall GHG emissions for the proposed Project, yet still be considered "meaningful" when looking at all categories of activity that contribute to overall U.S. GHG emissions. For the proposed Project, fugitive methane emissions from leakage and venting are estimated to be 21,260 tons per year (on a CO₂ equivalent basis), which is less than 8% of the proposed Project's overall direct GHG emissions, estimated to be 274,057 tons per year on a CO₂ equivalent basis. This is because most of the proposed Project's GHG emissions would be in the form of CO₂ from combustion exhaust at the compressor station and line heaters, rather than methane leakage.

Air Quality Comment 47 – One comment requests that the EIS use data from a 2014 NETL study (Life Cycle Analysis of Natural Gas Extraction and Power Generation) as the basis for estimating GHG emissions from pipeline methane leakage.

Response: See the "Operating Emissions and Mitigation" subheading in section 4.10.1.4 for potential GHG emissions from fugitive leaks and venting operations for the proposed Project, as well as specific information regarding the methodology used to estimate these emissions. PennEast used emission factors from two EPA reference documents, “Protocol for Equipment Leak Emission Estimates” (1995 ed.), and “Oil and Natural Gas Sector Leaks” (2014 ed.). We consider these EPA references preferable to the cited NETL document because they provide specific emission factors for various types of pipeline interconnect hardware, such as valves, flanges, and pump seals. Meanwhile, the NETL document only provides an emission factor for leaks from the pipeline itself, and while this factor is larger than EPA's suggested factor, it makes only a tiny difference in estimated emissions, since most fugitive leaks are from equipment connected to the pipeline rather than from the pipeline itself. Currently, the estimated Project GHG emissions from pipeline leaks are only 35 tons per year (about 0.01% of the proposed Project's GHG total). Using the NETL emission factor would increase the pipeline fugitive emissions to about 0.05% of the proposed Project's GHG total.

Air Quality Comment 48 – One comment states that no justification is provided for the claim in the Executive Summary that other fossils produce more pollution than natural gas.

Response: There is broad and robustly documented evidence that combustion of natural gas produces lower emissions than either petroleum or coal for most regulated air pollutants, as well as lower emissions of GHGs, per unit of heat energy produced. For one example, see Chapter 1 of EPA's AP-42 reference document, which provides pollutant emission factors for combustion of each fuel, averaged across a range of actual combustion sources (<https://www3.epa.gov/ttnchie1/ap42/ch01/>).

Air Quality Comment 49 – One comment expresses concerns about a proposed compressor station that would be located in Chesterfield, New Jersey.

Response: The proposed Project does not include a compressor station in the state of New Jersey. The Kidder Compressor Station proposed as part of the proposed Project would be located in Carbon County, Pennsylvania.

Air Quality Comment 50 – One comment expresses concerns about large gas releases in the event of a catastrophic leak, and requests that the pipeline be equipped with safety equipment to contain leaks in a meaningful way.

Response: See section 4.11.1 for a discussion of measures taken to ensure public safety in the event of a pipeline rupture or other failure. PennEast has committed to the use of remote control shut-off valves for the proposed pipeline.

Air Quality Comment 51 – One comment stated that PennEast's supplemental response from February 22, 2016, regarding the feasibility of using electric motors instead of gas turbines. The comment also stated that PennEast's supplemental response should have accounted for methane leakage emissions from production wells in Northeast Pennsylvania.

Response: PennEast's February 22, 2016 supplemental analysis of electric motors versus gas turbines has been included under the "Operating Emissions and Mitigation" subheading in section 4.10.1.4. Methane emissions from natural gas production wells are not relevant to this analysis. However, the net emissions increase presented for electric versus gas turbines in table 4.10.1-7 does account for methane leakage from gas-fired compressors.

Air Quality Comment 52 – One comment has interpreted a statement in section 4.10.1.3 of the draft EIS as claiming that the EIS does not need to consider climate change impacts, due to a recent court ruling. The comment requests that climate change impacts be considered.

Response: Regarding the need to consider climate change in the EIS, the comment letter refers to a U.S. Supreme Court decision cited in section 4.10.1.3 of the draft EIS, which ruled that facilities cannot be required to obtain a federal Title V operating permit solely on the basis of their GHG emissions. This does not mean that climate change has been disregarded in the EIS. See section 4.10.1.4 for the potential GHG emissions from

construction and operation of the proposed Project. See sections 4.12.4.7 and 4.12.4.8 for a discussion of cumulative climate change impacts related to the proposed Project.

Air Quality Comment 53 – One comment states that the proposed Project would have “huge and vast” climate change impacts in the state of New Jersey.

Response: See section 4.12.4.8 for a discussion regarding cumulative climate change impacts. The concern expressed in the comment is acknowledged.

Air Quality Comment 54 – One comment states that methane releases from compressor station blowdown emissions have significant climate change impacts.

Response: As shown in table 4.10.1-6, GHG emissions due to compressor station blowdown events are estimated to be 47 tons per year on a CO₂ equivalent basis, which is a tiny fraction (less than 0.02%) of the proposed Project's direct overall GHG emissions.

Air Quality Comment 55 – Several comments express concerns about leakage of methane into soils along the pipeline.

Response: See the "Other Effects of Methane Leaks" subheading in section 4.10.1.6 for a discussion of potential impacts from methane leakage into soil. We acknowledge that several independent studies estimate a pipeline leakage rate several times greater than the EPA factor used in this EIS. However, we do not believe that even the higher leakage rate would be sufficient to cause significant accumulation of methane in soils along the pipeline.

Air Quality Comment 56 – Several comments suggested that the EPA emission factor used to estimate methane leakage rates from the pipeline significantly underestimates actual pipeline leakage, based on several other studies.

Response: Pipeline methane leakage for the proposed Project was estimated using an emission factor of 1.55 standard cubic foot of natural gas per day per mile of pipeline (scfd/mile), from EPA's reference document, "Oil and Natural Gas Sector Leaks." Currently, the estimated Project GHG emissions from pipeline leaks are only 35 tons per year (about 0.01% of the proposed Project's GHG total). We acknowledge that several independent studies estimate a pipeline leakage rate several times greater than the EPA factor used in this EIS. We have seen independent estimates that might be increase the pipeline leakage rate by a factor of 5, which would increase the pipeline fugitive emissions to about 0.05% of the proposed Project's GHG total.

Air Quality Comment 57 – One comment states that the EIS has not properly evaluated the proposed Project's potential GHG emissions and climate change impacts, as recommended in CEQ's final GHG guidance. The commenter cites two EPA and NETL documents they suggest be used to improve the analysis of GHG emissions.

Response: Direct GHG impacts from the proposed project have been evaluated in section 4.10.1.4. The EPA study cited in the comment letter is not relevant because it addresses natural gas production, which is outside the scope of this EIS, as are the portions of the

NETL study that address production emissions. The portions of the NETL study that address emissions from liquefaction and overseas transport of natural gas cannot be applied because it is not known what fraction of the gas transported by the proposed Project might be exported overseas.

Air Quality Comment 58 – One comment states that the proposed Project’s estimated GHG emissions should include total life cycle emissions, including emissions from natural gas production, as well as emissions from overseas exports in the form of LNG.

Response: Emissions from overseas transport of natural gas in the form of LNG can potentially be estimated, but it is not known what fraction of the PennEast transported product would be exported as LNG. That impact would be most appropriately estimated as part of the licensing for any LNG export terminals that might purchase gas transported by PennEast.

Air Quality Comment 59 – One comment states that the proposed Project has been improperly segmented by treating it as a separate project from other proposed facilities, such as the AIM pipeline and the Gilbert I upgrade.

Response: Segmentation does not apply to projects that are not under common control or ownership.

Air Quality Comment 60 – One comment stated that FERC should consider the proposed Project’s GHG emissions in the broader context of U.S. commitment to international climate change agreements; meanwhile, another comment noted that the CEQ GHG guidance advises that project GHG emissions should not be compared to regional, national, or global emissions.

Response: Potential GHG emissions and cumulative climate change impacts from the proposed Project have been evaluated in section 4.10.1.4. The discussion of cumulative climate change impacts in section 4.12.4.8 simply quantifies the GHG emissions without comparison to overall regional, national, or global emissions.

Air Quality Comment 61 – One comment objects to the suggestion that the proposed Project could potentially displace the use of more polluting fossil fuels, stating that none of the existing coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania or New Jersey that might be served by the proposed Project have plans to switch fuels.

Response: Displacement of coal-fired generation is more like to occur through the decommissioning of existing coal-fired facilities as new gas-fired facilities are built, rather than through conversion of these facilities to natural gas.

Air Quality Comment 62 – One comment requests an explanation of the much higher potential emissions for the New Jersey segment of the pipeline, compared to the Pennsylvania pipeline emissions.

Response: The difference in potential emissions between the Pennsylvania and New Jersey pipeline segments is almost entirely due to the different needs for heating of natural gas at the proposed interconnect stations. The proposed Project includes 3 gas

line heaters along the Pennsylvania pipeline segment, with a total heat input rating of 13.6 million British thermal units (MMBtu) per hour. In comparison, the New Jersey pipeline segment includes 5 gas line heaters (3 of which are much larger than the Pennsylvania heaters), with a total heat input rating of 134.4 MMBtu per hour. Most of the pipeline emissions are due to combustion in the gas line heaters, as well as smaller contributions due to fugitive emissions from the interconnect station components.

Air Quality Comment 63 – Two comments suggest that FERC believes the potential for regional air quality improvement, due to displacement of other fossil fuels, justifies local negative impacts on air quality that would be caused by the proposed Project.

Response: The proposed Project must demonstrate that it would not cause or contribute to any violations of the NAAQS, independently of any potential air quality improvements that occur elsewhere. See section 4.10.1.5 for a discussion of the results of air dispersion modeling, which was used to predict maximum short-term and long-term ambient pollutant concentrations at locations within 10 kilometers of the Kidder Compressor Station. The modeled impacts from the Kidder Compressor Station would comply with the NAAQS for all pollutants and averaging periods.

Air Quality Comment 64 – One comment responds to the statement in section 1.4.3 of the draft EIS that impacts that may result from additional shale gas development are not "reasonable foreseeable" as defined by CEQ guidance. The comment proposes that impacts from upstream natural gas production should be considered reasonably foreseeable on the basis that it is known how much gas the proposed Project could potentially transport.

Response: See section 4.12.4.8 for an elaboration of our comment regarding the "reasonably foreseeable" GHG impacts from upstream natural gas production. In section 4.12.4.8, we state: "while upstream development and production of natural gas might be a 'reasonably foreseeable' effect of a proposed action, the actual scope and extent of potential GHG emissions from upstream natural gas production is not reasonably foreseeable." For example, the number of new wells that might be needed is partly dependent on the future productivity of existing wells, which is beyond FERC's reasonable ability to foresee. In other words, we cannot foresee how many new upstream production wells would be required to support the transport capacity of the proposed Project.

Air Quality Comment 65 – One comment suggests a procedure FERC might use to predict the rate at which new wells might need to be drilled to support the proposed Project. The procedure involves finding the best fit exponential decay curve based on published production data for existing wells, and then applying this curve to all existing wells in northeastern Pennsylvania to iteratively predict future values of the cumulative production rate and determine how often new wells must be added.

Response: We appreciate the suggestion. However, as stated previously in Air Quality Response 64, we maintain that predicting the future production rate for existing natural gas wells is beyond FERC's reasonable ability to foresee.

Air Quality Comment 66 – Several commenters have interpreted the EIS as stating that climate change impacts are beyond its scope of study.

Response: Climate change impacts are certainly within the scope of this EIS. GHG emissions from construction and operation of the proposed Project are quantified in section 4.10.1.4. Cumulative climate change impacts are discussed in sections 4.12.4.7 and 4.12.4.8. The discussion in section 4.110.1.5 also quantifies potential GHG emissions from end-user combustion of the natural gas to be transported by the proposed Project.

Air Quality Comment 67 – Two comments state that there is no remaining potential in New Jersey for coal-fired power plants to be displaced by the use of natural gas.

Response: The statement regarding potential displacement of more polluting fossil fuels includes areas outside of New Jersey.

Air Quality Comment 68 – One comment notes a discrepancy between the emission totals presented in tables 4.10.1-4 and 4.10.1-5. The comment also notes that table 4.10.1-4 should present PM emissions for construction in Mercer County, New Jersey. Finally, the comment requests backup materials to support the air emissions presented in table 4.10.1-4, including methodology, sample calculations, assumptions, emission factors, and equipment activity levels.

Response: The final EIS has been updated to correct the discrepancy. PM emissions have been added to table 4.10.1-4 for Mercer County. Regarding backup material to support the air emissions presented in table 4.10.1-4, no additional information is currently available apart from that already provided by PennEast. The emission totals presented in table 4.10.1-4 are based on appendix L to the final September 2015 version of Resource Report 9 (Table L2-1 was used for NO_x, VOC, and SO₂ emissions, and Table L2-9 was used for PM_{2.5} emissions).

Air Quality Comment 69 – The EPA recommended that the final EIS consider children's health under Executive Order 13045 and employ elements of a health impact assessment to help identify and mitigate health problems.

Response: The draft EIS impact analysis relies on a comparison with the NAAQS to assess air impacts. The NAAQS are established by EPA as thresholds to be protective of human health and public welfare, including sensitive subpopulations (e.g. asthmatics, children, and the elderly). We do not believe that a separate health impact assessment is warranted.

Noise

Noise Comment 1 – Several comments were filed regarding potential noise concerns related to operational sound generated by the Kidder Compressor Station. A comment was also filed regarding the 60-day timeframe given to PennEast for recommended noise surveys after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service.

Response: PennEast completed an operational acoustic analysis of the Kidder Compressor which showed that received sound levels at nearby NSAs were well below the FERC 55 dBA L_{dn} noise criterion. In addition, at those NSAs existing ambient sound levels are elevated due to existing noise sources and no change in sound level is expected as a result of operations at the Kidder Compressor Station. We typically request that applicants conduct a noise survey no later than 60 days after a compressor station is in service to verify results from the pre-operational acoustic analysis.

Noise Comment 2 – Comments were received regarding loss of buffers, such as trees, and concerns that loss would correspond to higher received sound levels at NSAs.

Response: Trees and vegetation do not act as effective noise barriers. Even highly dense large trees would only provide a 1 to 2 dB reduction. However, rustling of leaves can create a masking effect, which can reduce the perception of one sound (e.g., roadway noise) as a result of the presence of another, i.e., leaf rustle.

Noise Comment 3 – Several comments were filed regarding potential noise concerns related to blowdown events.

Response: A discussion of blowdown events as they pertain to noise is provided in section 4.10.2.3 of the EIS. PennEast has committed to implementing mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events. PennEast stated that they would notify the landowners 24 hours in advance, perform the blowdown during daytime hours, and implement the use of a portable silencer.

Noise Comment 4 – Several comments were filed regarding potential noise concerns related to blasting during Project construction.

Response: PennEast has developed a blasting plan through the assistance of a contracted blasting specialist. PennEast's Blasting Plan includes mitigation measures related to blasting activity. Blasting would be conducted in accordance with applicable agency regulations, including advance public notification and mitigation measures as necessary.

Noise Comment 5 – A comment was filed regarding the table on page 3 of PennEast's response to Data Request 26 from February 2016. Mr. Wissig is concerned that ambient sound levels for NSA #5 and NSA #6 were not measured and that the measured sound levels at NSA #4 were assumed to be representative of NSA #5 and NSA #6.

Response: Ambient sound measurements were collected at four NSAs in different directions relative to the proposed Lambertville Delivery Meter Station. PennEast was not able to obtain permission to perform sound level measurements at NSAs #5 and #6. Measurement ambient sound levels were the lowest at NSA #4 and those measured levels were selected to be representative of NSAs #5 and #6, which is likely a conservative assumption given that NSAs #5 and #6 are located closer to Highway 202 than NSA #4.

Noise Comment 6 – Comments were filed regarding noise levels at mainline valves (MLVs) during operation of the proposed Project.

Response: Noise generated by MLV operation is evaluated in section 4.10.2.3 of the EIS and received sound levels at the nearest NSAs demonstrate that the Project is in compliance with the applicable FERC 55 dBA L_{dn} noise criterion.

Noise Comment 7 – Comments were filed identifying concerns related to missing information including an HDD noise mitigation plan, mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events; and a complete noise analysis of the metering (interconnect) stations.

Response: Section 4.10.2.3 of the EIS has been updated to address these concerns. PennEast has committed to implementing mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events including notifying the landowners 24 hours in advance, perform the blowdown during daytime hours, and implement the use of a portable silencer. In addition, PennEast has provided a complete noise analysis of the metering (interconnect) stations. Results of the metering (interconnect) stations demonstrate compliance with all of the applicable requirements at the nearest NSAs. With regard to the HDD noise mitigation plan, PennEast has committed to filing the plan with FERC prior to Project construction.

Noise Comment 8– Several comments were filed regarding the possibility of constant noise in homes due to the continuous operation of the proposed Project.

Response: PennEast completed a comprehensive noise impact assessment of Project construction and operations activities. Results of the operational analysis demonstrated compliance with the FERC 55 dBA L_{dn} noise criterion. Noise associated with gas flow through the underground pipeline itself would be minimal except for where it is transferred to the surface through valves and interconnect piping. To this end PennEast completed a noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations and EIS text in section 4.10.2.3 has been updated accordingly.

Noise Comment 9 – A comment was filed regarding why noise cumulative impacts are only measured for HDD but no measurements are given for increased construction noise or increased noise from the additional operation of the GSE compressor station #205 that PennEast's gas would require.

Response: Cumulative impacts were assessed for the Project in section 4.12.4.7 of the EIS including the Garden State Expansion Project. It is recognized that cumulative noise impacts are possible during construction, especially in areas requiring blasting and HDD operations for pipeline installation. The Marc II Pipeline Project and the Northeast Pocono Reliability Project could potentially be under construction at the same time and could result in cumulative noise impacts, but due to the size of these projects and the localized nature of noise impacts it is unlikely that construction would result in any significant cumulative effects. Any impacts would be short term.

Noise Comment 10 – Comments were filed regarding the use of construction machinery including pneumatic hammering and blasting and resulting noise pollution.

Response: Pipeline construction would be a temporary noise source since it would not be in proximity to any one location for an extended period as construction activities move along the corridor. That being said noise mitigation may be needed and implemented depending on the proximity of a NSA to the Project right-of-way.

PennEast's Blasting Plan includes mitigation measures related to blasting activity. Blasting would be conducted in accordance with applicable agency regulations, including advance public notification and mitigation measures as necessary.

Noise Comment 11 – Comments were filed regarding the potential for hearing loss as a result of noise and dust caused by construction, including blasting. Commenters were concerned about health (hearing) impacts on children, the elderly, and construction workers.

Response: PennEast assessed Project-related noise in accordance with FERC requirements. Analysis of Project operations demonstrated compliance with the applicable FERC 55 dBA L_{dn} noise criterion. Analysis of Project construction resulted in some exceedances of the FERC noise criterion; however, PennEast may evaluate and implement mitigation measures as necessary to minimize potential noise impacts. Received sound levels at NSAs would be well below the level associated with hearing impairment. Analysis of impacts on construction workers is beyond the scope of the EIS; however, it is expected that personal protective equipment would be used to minimize impacts.

Reliability and Safety

Reliability & Safety Comment 1 – Commenters were concerned about the safety of the pipeline and requested that a greater thickness be selected than what PennEast proposed.

Response: The thickness of the pipeline is determined by the Department of Transportation (DOT) Minimum Federal Safety Standards in 49 CFR 192. We do not have the authority to require pipe thicknesses beyond what the DOT requires; however, PennEast has indicated that they would install pipes rated for Class 2 standards in all Class 1 locations in order to increase safety. Also, if a subsequent increase in population density adjacent to the right-of-way results in a change in class location for the pipeline, PennEast would reduce the MAOP or replace the segment with pipe of sufficient grade and wall thickness, if required to comply with DOT requirements for the new class location.

Reliability & Safety Comment 2 – Comments were filed regarding local EMS response in case of an emergency and/or accident, including whether EMS had appropriate training and equipment and/or whether PennEast would provide these resources, as well as evacuation plans, to townships along the proposed route. Commenters were also concerned about construction-related road closures that could impede EMS access during an emergency. Comments also asked

about details of how gas would be released from MLVs in a controlled release, or an uncontrolled leak.

Response: Section 4.8.4 of the EIS describes the effects that the proposed Project could have to local services (including emergency services) and indicates that PennEast would be responsible for ensuring that the proposed Project does not adversely affect these emergency services (such as fire and police department).

As described in section 4.11.1 of the EIS, DOT regulations require that PennEast establish and maintain a liaison with appropriate fire, police, and public officials and to coordinate mutual assistance and ensure that these services have the equipment and training necessary to respond to any emergencies related to the Project. PennEast would communicate with emergency responders on an annual basis. PennEast would also establish a continuing education program to enable customers, the public, government officials, and those engaged in excavation activities to recognize a gas pipeline emergency and report it to appropriate public officials.

If a controlled release were required to empty a section of pipeline, the rate and duration of release would depend on the length of the pipeline segment being emptied and the volume and pressure of gas within that segment at the time of the release. A controlled release would be from a MLV which would be designed and installed in accordance with DOT specifications. In the event of an uncontrolled leak or release, the same variables would affect the rate and duration of the release. Natural gas is lighter than air and a release or leak would quickly rise into the air and disperse.

Reliability & Safety Comment 3 – Commenters expressed concern about terrorist attacking the proposed Project.

Response: Issues related to terrorism and its potential effects on the proposed Project are addressed in section 4.11.4 of the EIS.

Reliability & Safety Comment 4 – Commenters expressed concern that the proposed pipeline might leak or corrode leading to an explosion, or that a transmission line might “burn” into the pipeline.

Response: To minimize the risk of corrosion, PennEast would install and maintain a cathodic protection system to mitigate for potential pipeline corrosion. In areas of high-voltage electric transmission lines, PennEast would install an alternating current mitigation system to reduce stray current and prevent possible shock to areas and personal near the pipeline. The system would also serve to prevent existing electrical systems from interfering with the proposed Project’s cathodic protection system (see section 2.6 of the EIS).

PennEast would implement patrols of the pipeline during its operation (if the proposed Project is approved and built) in order to provide information on potential leaks, construction activities, erosion, population density, possible encroachment, and other

potential problems that could interfere with the safe operation of the pipeline (as discussed in section 2.6 of the EIS).

Explosions are possible and have occurred in the past. However, the frequency of significant incidents is strongly dependent on pipeline age. Older pipelines have a higher frequency of corrosion incidents because corrosion is a time-dependent process, and the implementation of cathodic protection system to modern pipelines has reduced the risk of explosions for newer pipelines (as discussed in section 4.11.2).

The safety standards required for pipelines are discussed in section 4.11.1 of the EIS, while the potential impact on public safety is discussed in section 4.11.3 of the EIS.

Reliability & Safety Comment 5 – Commenters asked for more information on how High Consequence Areas (HCAs) are determined, or provided a list of additional areas that they want included in the HCAs considered.

Response: As described in section 4.11.1, the list of High Consequence Areas (HCAs) included in the EIS follows the DOT rules that define a HCA as an area where a gas pipeline accident could do considerable harm to people and their property and requires an integrity management program to minimize the potential for an accident. This definition satisfies, in part, the Congressional mandate for DOT to prescribe standards that establish criteria for identifying each gas pipeline facility in a high-density population area. The list of HCAs crossed by the Project is included in table G-21 of the EIS. Per DOT regulations, PennEast would be required to design and construct the pipeline (if the Project is approved) based on identified HCAs at the time of construction, and update periodically per DOT specifications.

Reliability & Safety Comment 6 – Commenters claimed that a pipeline cannot be feasibly built through urban areas or farmlands, and expressed concern that landowners would not be compensated for impact that occur on their lands.

Response: Pipelines have been successfully installed in both urban areas and farmlands for decades. Installation of these pipelines are required to adhere to federal, state, and local requirements regarding safety (such as the Department of Transportation [DOT] Minimum Federal Safety Standards in 49 CFR 192). PennEast would be required to restore disturbed areas in compliance with their restoration plans, as well as our Plan and Procedures. Private landowners can also negotiate additional requirements that must be adhered to on their lands as part of their easement agreement with the applicant.

Reliability & Safety Comment 7 – A commenter indicated that the proposed terminus of PennEast pipeline is directly in the landing flight path of Trenton-Mercer Airport, with planes making critical approach to the airport at a low altitude right over the proposed site of the terminus.

Response: The final EIS recommends that PennEast consult with the FAA and the appropriate authority at the Trenton-Mercer Airport regarding any requirements or

guidelines that need to be followed during construction or operation of the Project near local airports (see section 4.11.3).

Reliability & Safety Comment 8 – A commenter asked where the MLV would be located, and who would be responsible for funding any necessary additional emergency services.

Response: The location of Project components, including valves, is provided in table 2.1-1 of the EIS. Section 4.8.4 of the EIS describes the effects that the proposed Project could have to local services (including emergency services) and indicates that PennEast would be responsible for ensuring that the proposed Project does not adversely affect these emergency services (such as fire and police departments) ability to serve their communities.

Reliability & Safety Comment 9 – Commenters asked how the proposed Project would be inspected during operation, if the proposed Project would be held to the safety standard throughout its operation, and why the proposed Project was not being held to the State of New Jersey’s higher safety standards compared to the federal standards.

Response: Section 2.6 of the EIS describes the operational and inspection efforts that would be implemented for this proposed Project. The proposed Project would be monitored continuously, and would be held to the required safety standards (as described in section 4.11.1 of the EIS) throughout its operational life. We have no authority to require pipe thicknesses beyond what the DOT requires (as part of the DOT Minimum Federal Safety Standards in 49 CFR 192); however, PennEast has indicated that they would install pipes rated for Class 2 standards in all Class 1 locations in order to increase safety.

Reliability & Safety Comment 10 – Commenters expressed concern about the “blast radius” of the proposed Project, and requested that maps be provided of this area.

Response: This concern is discussed in section 4.11.1 of the EIS under the topic of HCAs. However, we have not included such maps in the final EIS. Maps of the proposed pipeline are included in appendix B and can be reviewed along with the discussion in section 4.11.1.

Reliability & Safety Comment 11 – Commenters requested information regarding anti-vibration measures installed along road crossings, what safe guards would be in place to minimize the risk of accidental breakage, and if there would be an annual educational program established for the residents and landowners who farm along the right-of-way.

Response: PennEast would install the pipeline underneath roads in accordance with minimum safety requirements established by the DOT, and must obtain road crossing permits from each authority with jurisdiction over the roads crossed by the Project. Any requirements for anti-vibration measures would be established during permit reviews for each road crossing.

Regarding the safe guards that would be in place to minimize the risk of accidental breakage, PennEast would install and maintain a cathodic protection system to mitigate for potential pipeline corrosion. PennEast would implement ongoing patrols of the pipeline in order to provide information on potential leaks, construction activities, erosion, population density, possible encroachment, and other potential problems that could interfere with the safe operation of the pipeline (as discussed in section 2.6 of the EIS). The safety standards required in order to minimize the risk of pipeline breakage are discussed in section 4.11.1 of the EIS, while the potential impact on public safety is discussed in section 4.11.3 of the EIS.

Regarding the annual educational program established for the residents and landowners, as described in section 4.11.1 of the EIS, DOT regulations require that PennEast establish and maintain a liaison with appropriate fire, police, and public officials and to coordinate mutual assistance. PennEast would communicate with emergency responders on an annual basis. PennEast would also establish a continuing education program to enable customers, the public, government officials, and those engaged in excavation activities to recognize a gas pipeline emergency and report it to appropriate public officials.

Reliability & Safety Comment 12 – Commenters expressed concern regarding the potential health impacts that the proposed Project could have to local communities, including those resulting from accidents (e.g., explosions), air pollutions, and water quality.

Response: Section 4.11 of the EIS addresses the safety standards required for pipelines, as well as the accidents, injuries, and incidents that could occur as a result of pipeline projects of this nature. Section 4.8.4 of the EIS describes the effects that the proposed Project could have to local emergency services (such as medical facilities, fire, and police departments) and indicates that PennEast would be responsible for ensuring that the proposed Project does not adversely affect these emergency services. The impacts that the project could have to air quality (e.g., potential air pollutants) and its effects to human health are addressed in section 4.10 of the EIS. The impacts that the Project could have to water quality is addressed in section 4.3.

Reliability & Safety Comment 13 – Comments were received regarding concerns related to safety of co-locating with existing pipelines.

Response: Pipelines are often collocated in order to minimize disturbances that would occur to resources (e.g., minimizing “greenfield” crossings of undisturbed areas). Typically a 25 to 50 foot offset is used to maintain safety between two pipelines when they are collocated or parallel for long distances, as opposed to perpendicular crossing which can be closer than this distance at their closest singular point.

Reliability & Safety Comment 14 – Commenters requested information about the MLVs, and how much gas could be released from the valves during an uncontrolled leak or release.

Response: The location of project components, including valves, is provided in table 2.1-1 of the EIS. The spacing of these valves is regulated by the DOT safety standards, and

the time it would take Project personnel to travel to and shut the valve off would depend on the location of the personnel at the time of the detection. If an uncontrolled leak or release of gas was to occur, the rate and duration of release would depend on the length of the pipeline segment being emptied and the volume and pressure of gas within that segment at the time of the release. Natural gas is lighter than air and a release or leak would quickly rise into the air and disperse. Personnel working on the Project would be trained and qualified to handle and operate the Project's equipment, including the MLVs. PennEast would also establish a continuing education program to enable customers, the public, government officials, and those engaged in excavation activities to recognize a gas pipeline emergency and report it to appropriate public officials.

Mitigation

Mitigation Comment 1 – Several comments were received regarding the efficacy of FERC's mitigation measures. Commenters cited examples from restoration efforts of other pipeline projects in the area and were concerned about various issues including revegetation, invasive species, soil compaction, erosion, and sedimentation. The EPA recommended that the EIS review recent pipeline construction projects to identify "lessons learned" where failures during construction and operation of pipelines have occurred, specifically for erosion and sediment control issues, turbidity in streams, impacts to surface or groundwater supply, and introduction of invasive species.

Response: Environmental compliance inspection and mitigation is addressed in section 2.5 of the EIS and the requested information was already generally incorporated into the draft EIS analysis. For example, our Plan and Procedures include frequency requirements for monitoring and maintenance, based on staff's historic knowledge of erosion and sedimentation issues identified during construction, including waterbody crossings. The Commission's Plan and Procedures include mitigation measures that were developed in consultation with the state and federal resource agencies, including the EPA. The draft EIS included standard recommendations to identify and address potential impacts on surface and groundwater supplies; and plans for identifying and controlling potential spread of invasive species. Also, based on some past failures and releases of drill mud associated with use of HDD, Commission staff now requires contingency plans for HDD crossings, and PennEast has proposed an acceptable contingency plan.

Additionally, FERC staff would conduct periodic inspections to monitor the Project for compliance with the Commission's environmental conditions and Project mitigation measures proposed by PennEast. PennEast has also committed to utilizing our third-party monitoring program. The third-party monitors would represent FERC and would be on-site daily during Project construction and restoration. The USACE would also conduct compliance inspections of the water and wetland crossings during construction and post construction.

Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative Impacts Comment 1 – Several comments pointed out that there are developments near the project that were excluded from the analysis.

Response: Actions chosen for analysis in the cumulative impacts section were chosen based on the criteria given in section 4.12 of the EIS, and as stated in section 4.12 we included projects that have the most potential to contribute to the cumulative impacts within the vicinity of the proposed Project, but did not attempt to provide an all-inclusive listing of projects in the region. All publically available information was used to analyze cumulative impacts. However, there are occurrences where a project is identified where no publically available information exists. In these case that project is listed, though not fully analyzed as the data does not exist to do so. Section 4.12 in the final EIS has been updated with the most currently available information.

Cumulative Impacts Comment 2 – Comments were received regarding potential cumulative impacts on the ANST. Commenters expressed concern that only one linear project was mentioned in the analysis of cumulative impacts on the ANST.

Response: Projects for inclusion in the cumulative impacts analysis were chosen using the criteria given in section 4.12. Use of the trenchless direct pipe crossing method is proposed for the ANST, so there would be no disruption to users of the trail (see sections 4.7.4 and 4.8.2.2 of the EIS). No other projects were identified that would result in cumulative impacts on the ANST.

Cumulative Impacts Comment 3 – Several commenters have asked why the Planned Development and Cogeneration Plant at the Blue Mountain Ski Resort is not included in the cumulative analysis.

Response: Sufficient information about the Blue Ridge Ski Resort Development was not publicly available at the time of the draft EIS publication. Information about this development is included in the final EIS in section 4.12.

Cumulative Impacts Comment 4 – Comments were received, including from EPA, regarding the methodology used in the selection of projects included in the cumulative impacts analysis. Commenters suggested that the number of projects included in the analysis should be expanded. Specific resources cited that could be affected by the expanded analysis include aquatic resources, groundwater, and surface water quality.

Response: Actions chosen for analysis in the cumulative impacts section were chosen based on the criteria given in section 4.12 of the EIS, and as stated in section 4.12 we included projects that have the most potential to contribute to the cumulative impacts within the vicinity of the proposed Project, but did not attempt to provide an all-inclusive listing of projects in the region. Projects were only analyzed if the information needed for impacts analysis was publicly available. Several projects, identified by commenters, have been added to the analysis in section 4.12 of the final EIS. The analysis in section 4.12 is broken out by resource type.

Cumulative Impacts Comment 5 – Commenters have requested that the Penn Forest Wind Farm be included in the cumulative impacts analysis.

Response: The information needed to include the Penn Forest Wind Farm in the cumulative impacts analysis was not available at the time of draft EIS publication. Potential cumulative impacts resulting from this project are not addressed in the final EIS because there is not adequate publicly available information, but public comments regarding the project are noted.

Cumulative Impacts Comment 6 – Commenters have expressed doubt that this project would have a positive impact on air emissions as a result of displacement of more polluting fossil fuels

Response: The EIS does not suggest that air quality improvement through displacement of higher impact energy sources is certain. It merely identifies the potential for positive impacts on air quality.

Cumulative Impacts Comment 7 – Commenters have expressed concern that cumulative impacts on climate change are not addressed.

Response: Cumulative impacts on climate change are addressed in section 4.12 of the EIS.

Cumulative Impacts Comment 8 – The EPA recommended that increased demand for natural gas due to the contraction of PennEast be incorporated into the cumulative impacts analysis. In areas where rapid natural gas development have potential for cumulative impacts to occur, the EPA recommended that a more detailed cumulative analysis is conducted.

Response: Potential increased demand are expected to have negligible impact on cumulative impacts. We find that upstream production is not causally connected to the project, and as such do not evaluate the impacts of such activity.

Cumulative Impacts Comment 9 – The EPA recommended that the cumulative impact analysis consider potential cumulative impact regardless of the various prepared or required plan to be implemented by the project or other action, or permits or regulatory thresholds.

Response: Each state develops guidance for implementing various facets of its permitting program (i.e., air permits, NPDES permits) and is responsible for making source determinations as part of the permitting process. The NEPA document is not a permit review and the Commission is not responsible for determining whether any non-jurisdictional upstream or downstream facilities would be subject to permitting.