CRITICAL COMMENTARY

SOURCES

American Sketchbook 2

44 folios in oblong format of 260 × 189 mm with 12 staves, housed in Prague, Národní muzeum – České muzeum hudby – Muzeum Antonína Dvořáka (hereafter referred to as CZ-Pnm/MAD), shelf mark S 76/1674:

On pp. 64-5, sketch of the second movement of the Suite in two staves written by Dvořák in ink: draft of the exposition of the first theme in C-sharp minor in bb. 1-22, then 10 bars sketch of the transition to the repetition of the first theme (beginning with bb. 25-8 followed by 6 rejected bars), afterwards draft of the repetition of the first theme in bb. 39-44 followed by 8 bars sketch of a rejected transition to the middle section in D-flat major, here draft of the theme in bb. 61-72, then 8 bars sketch of a rejected transition to the repetition of the theme, finally 3 bars draft of the repetition of the theme in bb. 94-6 followed by 17 rejected bars development of the theme (no sketch of the reprise of the first theme in C-sharp minor). On the first empty staff at the head of the folio, Dvořák wrote: "Suita" New York 18 19 94. ["Suite" New York 19 February 1894.] On the fourth empty staff under the two staves with the draft of the first 8 bars of the movement, Dvořák initially wrote: transponovat Fis moll! [transpose to F-sharp minor!], later in lighter ink he crossed out *Fis moll* and wrote to the right of "Suita" on the first staff: Cis [C-sharp] in order to definitively establish the tonality of the movement. Left of "Suita" on the first staff, Dvořák later pencilled in the metronome indication: *M. M.* (**)** 60) [Mälzel's Metronome Arr = 60], then he corrected the quaver to a dotted crotchet, finally he crossed out (1) 60) and definitively wrote left of M. M.: (...) 60).

American Sketchbook 3

40 folios in oblong format of 260×189 mm with 12 staves, last folio cut off in half, housed in CZ-Pnm/MAD, shelf mark S 76/1675:

- Sk² On p. 27, sketch of the fourth movement of the *Suite* in one staff (main voice) written by Dvořák in ink: draft of the exposition of the first theme in bb. 1–20 in common time \mathbf{C} with the tempo indication *Andante*, then 26 bars sketch of a rejected middle section in 2/4 with the tempo indication *Scherzando* (corresponding to bb. 26–41), finally draft of the reprise of the first theme in bb. 42–52 in common time \mathbf{C} with the tempo indication *Andante*. At the end of the sketch, Dvořák wrote the date $18\frac{22}{2}94$ [22 February 1894]. Over the first empty staff right of the tempo indication *Andante*, Dvořák later pencilled in the metronome indication: *M. M. 84*) [Mälzel's Metronome $\mathbf{J} = 84$].
- Sk³ On pp. 28–9, sketch of an unrealised *Allegro* in 2/4 in two staves written by Dvořák in ink: 40 bars draft of the exposition of the first theme, 22 bars draft of the middle section.

- On staves 3–7 of p. 29, sketch of another unrealised movement or section of a movement in 12/8.
- Sk⁴ On pp. 30–31, sketch of the third movement of the *Suite* in two staves written by Dvořák in ink. On p. 30: draft of the exposition of the first theme in bb. 1–8 (initially written in 3/2, then time signature at the beginning changed to 3/4), then 9 bars draft of the second theme in bb. 9–24 (in 3/4), finally draft of the repetition of the first theme in bb. 25–32. On p. 31: draft of the middle section in C-sharp minor in bb. 33–56 in 3/8, beginning with bb. 37–40 followed by bb. 33–6, then sketch corresponding to bb. 41–50 and 53–6, finally the indication *D. C:* [Da capo] for the reprise of the first theme.
- Sk⁵ On pp. 32–3, sketch of the fifth movement of the *Suite* in two staves written by Dvořák in ink: draft of bb. 1–49 and three more bars corresponding to the transition in bb. 50–58, finally the indication *D. C:* [Da capo] for the reprise of the first theme. On the left of the first two staves of p. 32, Dvořák wrote: *Finale*.

American Sketchbook 4

40 folios in oblong format of 260×189 mm with 12 staves, housed in CZ-Pnm/MAD, shelf mark S 76/1676:

- Sk⁶ On p. 16, general plan of the *Suite* written by Dvořák in ink: Title at the top between first and second staff: "*Suita" pro piano A dur op 101*. ["Suite" for piano in A major Op. 101.] Indication between second and third staff: *má následující věty*. [has the following movements.] Staff 3: *Moderato 1*. followed by the incipit of the first theme (bb. 1–4). Staff 4: *Vivace* 2. followed by the incipit of the first theme (bb. 1–2) in F-sharp minor). Staff 5: *All* [Allegro] *Moderato 3*. followed by the incipit of the first theme (bb. 1–2). Staff 6: *Andante 4*. followed by the incipit of the first theme (bb. 1–2). Staff 7: *Vivace 5*. followed by the incipit of the first theme in a slightly different rhythm (bb. 1–4).
- Sk⁷ On pp. 17–19, sketch of the first movement of the *Suite* in two staves written by Dvořák in ink. On the first two staves of p. 17 draft of bb. 1–4 with the following indications over the first staff: () = 80) *Moderato*. (*Suita*) pro piano New York 18 ½ 94. [) = 80) Moderato. (Suite) for piano New York 21 February 1894.] On the third staff on the right, repeat of the date: New York [18] ½ 94), then from staff 4 continuation of the draft on pp. 17–19: bb. 5–24 and 26–30, after 8 rejected bars then bb. 31–8 followed by 4 rejected bars corresponding to the transition in bb. 39–46 and another 4 sketched bars corresponding to bb. 56–9, on p. 19 finally 11 bars crossed out, the first 4 of them corresponding to bb. 60–63, and at the end bb. 68–73 with the indication: *Coda*. After the Coda, mention of the next movement: *Cis moll 3/8 Scherzo*.
- **A** Autograph of the *Suite in A major for Piano*, 9 folios in portrait format of 270 × 360 mm with 14 staves written by Dvořák

mostly in ink, housed in CZ-Pnm/MAD, shelf mark S 76/ 1615.

Folio 1r (marked in pencil as page 9), title page written by Dvořák in ink: Suita (A dur) / pro piano / složil / Antonín Dvořák / Pátá skladba psána v Americe. [Suite (in A major) / for piano / composed by / Antonín Dvořák / Fifth composition written in America.] Folio 1v (marked in pencil as page 10): blank. Folios 2r-9r: five movements of the Suite. Dvořák initially wrote the page numbers 3–9 in pencil on folios 6r-9r, then he renumbered definitely the folios 2v-9r in ink, beginning with page number 2 on folio 2v (no page number on folio 2r) and ending with page number 15 on folio 9r (folio 9v, marked in pencil as page 20, blank).

On pp. [1]–4: *I. Moderato*. At the heading of the first page (folio 2r), Dvořák wrote in ink: Suita (op 101.) / pro piano složil Antonín Dvořák / New York 18 24/94. / na den sv. Matěje (11 stupnů mráz) [Suite (Op. 101.) / for piano composed by Antonín Dvořák / New York 24 February 1894. / on St. Matthew's Day (11 degrees frost)]. On pp. 4-7: II. Molto vivace. Right of II. an erased indication in pencil: (Scherzo)? On pp. 7–10: III. Allegretto scherzando. After the final bar of the movement (between staff 11 and 12), date written by Dvořák in ink: $18\frac{26}{2}94$. / ráno [26 February 1894. / in the morning]. On pp. 11-12: IV. Andante. After the final bar of the movement (left of staff 12) date written by Dvořák in ink: 18²⁷/₂94. [27 February 1894.] On pp. 13–15: V. Vivace = (80). On staves 3 and 4 of page 15, Dvořák dated in ink the end of the composition under the last 5 bars of the movement as follows: Bohu díky! Dokončeno dne Iho března / 1894. / v New Yorku. [Thank God! Finished on the 1st of March / 1894. / in New York.] Afterwards he crossed out the last two bars in pencil and substituted them on staves 5 and 6 with four new bars (corresponding to the last four bars of the definitive version in E, see below).

The following main modifications were made by Dvořák directly in A during the compositional process:

I Moderato

35-6 l.s. Early version erased and overwritten:



40 u.s. Early version later modified in pencil:



pp at beat 1 overwritten with >>58

Early version later modified in pencil: 64, 65 l.s.



Early version later modified in pencil: 66



69 Early version crossed out



II Molto vivace

- 33 4b.s. Bars 33–4 added later in the empty space at the end of the next two staves 9-10 (with the indication *vi-de* and the remark Φ).
- 35 6b.s. Early version (with some illegible corrections) erased and overwritten, probably changed together with the addition of



- 62, 66, u.s. Single notes in the upper voices crossed 67, 70, out and substituted during the composition 72 of the melodic line.
- 72 Bar 72 was to be originally repeated three times, as Dvořák initially indicated in ink in both the upper and the lower stave of the piano part: bis 3 krát [to repeat 3 times]. Later Dvořák changed the indication in pencil to twice (2 krát) for the definitive version.
- 104-5 u.s. Early version erased:



III Allegretto

14

- u.s. Early version erased and crossed out:
 - b.s.



- 17 Early version erased:
- 21 Early version crossed out and then over-



The middle section in bb. 33-56 was writ-33-56 b.s. ten by Dvořák in 3/8 like in Sk4 (change of time signature from 3/4 in 3/8 marked in b. 33). Later the composer indicated in ink between the staves of bb. 33-56 that the section had been printed in 3/4: to samé tempo] = jako dříve | (čtvrtě) vytisknuto ve 3/4 taktu [the same tempo ♪ = as before ↓ (crotchet) printed in 3/4 time]. At the bottom of the page, Dvořák added a remark

left of b. 33: \bigoplus *zde jsem chybil v taktu má být 3/4* – $[\bigoplus$ I made a mistake here, the bar should be 3/4 –].

65–72 b.s. On staves 11–12 of p. 9, Dvořák initially connected the last bar of the first theme at the beginning of the staves (b. 64) directly with the following theme (bb. 73–5), similarly to the analogy in bb. 8–11. Later he added the transition in bb. 65–72 on a strip of the same music paper with two staves, which he taped to the left edge of the original staves so that it could be opened to show bb. 64 and 73–5 initially notated below.

96 l.s. Final chord initially:

Later changed by Dvořák to:

but in the definitive printed edition (E) the early version of the chord was restored.

V Allegro

8a l.s. Early version of the first half of the bar (crossed out and overwritten):



8b l.s. Early version of the first half of the bar (crossed out and overwritten):



73–6 b.s. The movement originally ended on staves 1 and 2 of p. 15 with two conclusive bars after b. 72:



After he noted the date of the end of the composition on staves 3 and 4, Dvořák crossed out the last two bars in pencil and substituted them on staves 5 and 6 with four new bars corresponding to the last four bars of the definitive version in E.

In the first and second movement, **A** contains several insertions of dynamic markings, accidentals and accentuation made by Dvořák in pencil. On the contrary, no dynamic markings are written out in numerous bars of the third, fourth and fifth movement (see Specific Annotations). In all movements, dynamic, agogic, accent, articulation, and ornament markings are not always notated throughout, especially in the case of the repetition of similar figurations and passages and particularly in the last three movements.

Since Dvořák changed the last bars of the fifth movement in **A** in pencil after notating the date of the end of

the composition in ink (1 March 1894, see above), we can presume that additions and corrections made by the composer in pencil in A were carried out after that date. It is possible that Dvořák initially made final refinements and corrections in pencil (the insertion of dynamic, agogic, articulation, accent, and ornament markings as well as corrections of accidentals and final modifications of the notation) in order to use his autograph as the engraver's copy (as he had already done for other compositions edited by Simrock), but after refining the first two movements completely he then decided to prepare (or have prepared) a copy with the definitive version of the Suite to be used as the engraver's copy. Considering that E also presents some relevant differences in the notation to A (see Specific Annotations), we can therefore assume with a high degree of certainty that it was not A that served as the engraver's copy for E, but a lost copy of A, in which Dvořák himself (or a copyist under the supervision of the composer) completed the missing dynamic, agogic, accent, articulation, and ornament markings and carried out the last changes in the notation for the print.

- [EC] Engraver's copy for the first print of the Suite in A major for Piano (E), lost. Based on the relevant differences between **A** and **E**, we must suppose the existence of an engraver's copy of A, now lost, which would have contained final changes in the notation, with dynamic, agogic, accent, articulation, and ornament markings added for the print. Since this copy is lost, we cannot establish with certainty if and which of these last revisions and additions were carried out directly by Dvořák or by a copyist under the supervision of the composer, but we can presume that they were in any case made with the full acquiescence of the composer, so that E can be considered the definitive version of the Suite authorised by the composer. Unlike other compositions from his American period, Dvořák was involved in the preparation of the print, as he spent the summer 1894 in his homeland.
- E First edition of the *Suite in A major for Piano*, published between September and October 1894 by N. Simrock, Berlin, plate No. 10237. Title page: *Suite | für | Pianoforte | von | Ant. Dvořák. | OP. 98 | Preis Mk 4_ | Verlag und Eigenthum für alle Länder. | von | N. Simrock in Berlin. | 1894 | Copyright 1894 by N. Simrock, Berlin | Lith. Anst. v. C. G. Röder, Leipzig. Consulted exemplar: Österreichische Nationalbibliothek, Musiksammlung, Vienna (A-Wn), shelf mark L18.Kaldeck MS42073-4°/3,4. E represents the definitive version of the <i>Suite* for piano authorised by the composer. For this reason, it is considered the most authoritative source for the present edition of the *Suite*.
- AO Autograph score of the *Suite* in orchestral version, 20 folios in oblong format of 340 × 264 mm (fol. 1–16 with 20 staves, fol. 17–20 with 18 staves) written by Dvořák mostly in ink, housed in CZ-Pnm/MAD, shelf mark S 76/1527. Page numbers 1–40 were written mostly by Dvořák in black ink (page numbers 30 and 31 were written by Simrock's editor in red ink, page numbers 34 and 38 are missing). At the top of p. 1 (beginning of the first movement), slight-

ly to the right over the first empty staff, the title written by Dvořák in ink: "Suita" pro klavír upravená pro orkestr / (vyšla pro piano u Simroka) ["Suite" for piano arranged for orchestra / (for piano published by Simrock)], on the right of the title the start date written by Dvořák in lighter ink: New York 18 19 95. [New York, 19 January 1895.] After the last bar of the first movement between staves 14 and 15 of p. 12, date written by Dvořák in ink: 18 ½ 95. [22 January 1895.] The autograph does not contain any other date. On pp. 1-12: I. Andante con moto. Original tempo Moderato on p. 1 changed by Dvořák in ink initially to Andante moderato and finally to Andante con moto. On pp. 13-23: II. Allegro (II. added later in pencil by Simrock's editor). Original tempo Vivace on p. 13 changed by Dvořák in ink to Allegro. On pp. 24–35: III. Moderato (alla Pollacca) [sic]. On pp. 36–9: IV. Andante. On p. 40: V. Allegro MM (80) (V. added later in pencil by Simrock's editor). The autograph score breaks off at the end of p. 40 (folio 20v) after the first eight bars of the fifth movement (bar 8 marked as 1ma volta with repeat sign). AO served as the engraver's copy for EO. The editing of the manuscript for publication was executed by Simrock's editors in at least four different mediums, probably in preparation of the 1911 printing:

lead pencil – title on p. 1:

- at the top: Aufführungsrecht vorbehalten / Suite nach der Klaviersuite op. 98 für Orchester von Ant. Dvořák
- at the bottom: *Copyright 1911 by N. Simrock GmbH Berlin /* [Plate No.] 12949
- addition or modification of dynamic and agogic markings
- addition of two missing movement numbers (II and V)
- numbering of staves for each new page in the pagesetting of EO
- highlighting unclear passages with question and/or exclamation marks
- addition of the dal segno mark after b. 56 of the second movement

red ink

- addition or modification of dynamic and agogic markings (after the changes written in lead pencil)
- addition or correction of notation (e.g. notes, rests, accidentals, articulation, slurs)
- written clarification of ambiguous notes using letters
- addition of two missing page numbers (30 and 31)
- indication of the page breaks for the pagesetting of EO (in the form of slashes over the first written staff).

thin ink or pencil

- underlined page numbers for the pagesetting of EO (under the last staff of the score at the end of the corresponding last bar)
- marking of score system dividers for the pagesetting of **EO** (at the end of the corresponding last bar in the form of thin

slashes under the last written staff)

red pencil - rehearsal numbers

- unclear indication at the bottom of p. 1 (e.g. the number indication *5698*)
- First edition of the Suite in orchestral version, published 1911 by N. Simrock GmbH, Berlin, Leipzig, London, Paris, plate No. 12949. Title page: Suite / für / Orchester / aus dem Nachlasse / von / Ant. Dvořák. / OP. 98^b / Partitur Mk. 7.50 n. / Orchesterstimmen Mk. 15._ / (einzeln: Viol. I. II, Viola, Cello Bass à Mk. 1._) / Aufführungsrecht vorbehalten. / Verlag und Eigentum für alle Ländern / von / N. Simrock G.M.B.H. / Berlin Leipzig / London W. / Alfred Lengnick & Cº / 14 Berners Street / Paris / Max Eschig, / 13, Rue Laffitte. / Sole Agents for the United States of America: / T.B. Harms Company, New York. / Copyright 1911 by N. Simrock G.m.b.H. Berlin / Copyright for the British Empire by Schott & C^o London / Lith Anst. v. C.G. Röder, G.m.b.H. Leipzig. Consulted exemplar: Městská knihovna v Praze, Ústřední knihovna - Hudební oddělení, Prague (CZ-Pm), shelf mark VP 13126. Since the autograph score breaks off after the first eight bars of the fifth movement, EO represents the only direct source for the remaining bars of this movement in the version for orchestra.

GENERAL ANNOTATIONS

Dvořák's own notation, nomenclature, clefs, spelling of dynamic and agogic markings and note groupings in the sources have been retained, as far as they were not contradictory. Inconsistencies in notation have been standardised only when no difference of meaning was implied.

- 1. Dynamic and agogic markings, such as *diminuendo*, *crescendo*, *ritardando* are differently abbreviated in the sources, without evidence of any preference or relevant distinction in the meaning. In our edition, we therefore consistently adopt the standard abbreviation *dim.*, *cresc.*, *rit*. Only where Dvořák did not abbreviate the marking at all in **A** (e.g., *ritardando*, *marcato* etc.) did we transmit the marking as in the source, since in these cases he probably intended a special emphasis on the marking. Agogic markings, such as *rit.*, *string*. and *in tempo* (in our edition corrected to *a tempo*), are not always consistently placed above, below or between the staves in the sources. In our edition, we place them always above the staves.
- 2. In the sources, when a main note is preceded by one or more grace notes (Vorschlag), the grace notes are not consistently slurred to or from the main note. In A, Dvořák wrote only some of these slurs; to these, E added most of the others. Following this tendency in the sources towards a consistent notation of these slurs, we have notated all slurs to and from grace notes. When a trill ends in a turn (Nachschlag), no slur has been added in our edition, since all sources consistently do not have any slurs here.
- 3. Accidentals with trills are placed differently in the sources, sometimes above *tr*, more often however before *tr* due to lack of space. The latter case obviously carries with it no conceivable implication as is sometimes held to be the

- case in earlier nineteenth-century music that trills should start with the upper note. Since evidently there is no difference in meaning between the two types of notation, we adopted the modern convention with accidental above *tr*. In some cases, our edition adds an accidental over the upper mordent where all sources implied it but did not notate it.
- 4. Dvořák usually wrote his staccato signs as dots, but occasionally they are so clearly long strokes that he evidently intended staccato dashes. Sometimes his dots are of varying lengths, veering towards dashes. This is not always consistent, and a clear distinction between dots and dashes in A has been maintained wherever possible. Where a staccato in A could be described as "between a dot and a dash" or the use of the two forms of staccato by Dvořák is inconsistent, our edition follows E. But where the distinction between dots and dashes is clear and consistent, our edition follows A.
- 5. Dvořák's not always consistent distinction between ^ and > in A has been maintained wherever possible. When the use of the two accents by Dvořák is inconsistent, our edition follows E. But where the distinction between ^ and > is consistent, our edition follows A, even if not accepted by E.
- 6. The position and/or length of dynamic markings such as

 are often unclear or inconsistent in A. The most significant ambiguities have been resolved in E, which we refer to as the most authoritative source. Specific Annotations account for all cases in which we restore the reading of A.
- 7. Chord stems are not always consistent in A: sometimes Dvořák double stemmed chords (with stems in opposite direction) in order to highlight the melodic line of the upper voice, but in the most cases he did not distinguish consistently between double stemmed and single stemmed chords. In E, most of the inconsistent chord stems have been standardised to single chord stems. Following this tendency in the sources towards a consistent notation of the chord stems, we have notated all inconsistent chord stems as single stems. Only in the few cases in which Dvořák consistently double stemmed the chords throughout, our edition follows A.
- 8. Where more than one voice is notated on a single staff, Dvořák emphasised notes or chords lasting for the whole bar with "prolongation ties" ending in the empty space before the end of the bar. With these particular ties on one single note or chord (ending in a void), the composer probably meant to stress that the note or chord has to be sustained by the pianist until the end of the bar while playing the other voices under or above the lasting note or chord. Since these "prolongation ties" seem to express a particular performing indication by the composer we retained them even if they are redundant in common modern notation.

SPECIFIC ANNOTATIONS

Since it represents the definitive version of the *Suite in A major for Piano* authorised by the composer, **E** is considered our most authoritative source. Lacunae or errata in **E** have been filled or amended using **A**. For the exact positioning of agogic and dynamic indications, preference has been given to **E**. In places where **E** is questionable, we referred to **A** as the next most reliable indicator of Dvořák's intentions. Where the printer standardised Dvořák's markings in **E** far beyond the autograph markings in **A** and these standardisations seem not to have been implemented for the sake of consistency, our edition follows **A** and restores Dvořák's original markings.

In the Specific Annotations, we list all editorial decisions in which we followed **A** instead of **E** or neither of the two sources, as well as all substantial discrepancies between **A** and **E**. Additions of markings missing in both **A** and **E** are marked in the score in square brackets (agogic, dynamic, articulation markings as well as rests and accidentals) or in dotted lines (slurs and hairpins). The Specific Annotations explain the reasons for each of these additions made by the editor. Cautionary accidentals added by the editor are marked in the score in square brackets without annotation. Unnecessary accidentals in **A** and/or **E** have been omitted without annotation.

Iacopo Cividini Salzburg, April 2024

Abbreviations b.s. = both staves u.s. = upper staff l.s. = lower staff UV = upper voice LV = lower voice

I Moderato

1	b.s.	No metronome marking in A and E, adopt-
		ed in our edition from the draft of the
		movement in American Sketchbook 4.
1	b.s.	In A, arpeggio sign interrupted in the mid-
		dle between staff 1 and staff 2.
3	l.s.	Slur missing in A.
4	l.s.	Accent ^ missing in E (probably overseen
		due to a collision with a slur in the lower
		system in A), added in our edition accord-
		ing to A.
6	l.s., UV	Augmentation dot on n. 4 (a) and slur from
		n. 4 to n. 6 missing in E, both added in our
		edition according to A and based on bb. 5-6.
7	l.s., UV	In E slurs begin on the rest, in A slurs
		missing. In our edition slurs begin on the
		first note after the rest based on bb. 5-6.
8	b.s.	In A and E, pp repeated at beat 3. In our edi-
		tion repetition of pp omitted since redundant.
8	b.s.	In E, rit. begins at the last beat. Our edition
		follows A .

9	b.s.	cresc. missing in E (probably overseen due to a collision with a note in l.s. in A), added in our edition according to A .	25	b.s.	In E, begins at the fourth quaver of the bar (probably confusion with the same marking in the previous bar in A), our
10	u.s.	In A and E , all four quaver chords grouped by a beam. Our edition follows the analogy in b. 65.	25	b.s.	edition follows A . mp missing in E (probably overseen), added in our edition according to A .
11	b.s.	In E, <i>dim.</i> begins at the third crotchet, our edition follows A .	26	b.s.	In E, first begins between first and second beat and ends before the third beat,
15–16	u.s.	In E, slur in b. 15 till n. 1 in b. 16 and no staccato dot on n. 1 in b. 16, probably due to the unclear notation in A , where the slur	26	b.s.	our edition follows A . In E , second → begins at the third beat, our edition follows A .
		in b. 15 is (as often in A) slightly prolonged	27	b.s.	Meno missing in A .
		over the bar line until n. 1 in the next bar	31	b.s.	In A and E in tempo after mf , in our edition
		and the thin staccato dot on n. 1 in			omitted since redundant after the addition
		b. 16 can easily be overseen. Our edition			of the tempo indication Più mosso in E.
		follows A .	32	l.s.	In E, staccato dot on n. 3, in our edition
16	b.s.	In E , \sim from the second until the fourth			omitted according to A and based on the
		quaver of the bar and \rightarrow from the sixth	22		similar figuration in the next bar.
16	1117	quaver of the bar, our edition follows A .	32	l.s.	Staccato dots on the quaver in the upper
16	u.s., UV	In E, last * missing, added in our edition			voice missing in A and E , added in our
16	u.s., UV	according to A and b. 14. Staccato dots missing in A .			edition based on the other quavers and semiquavers of the bar.
16	l.s.	In A and E , last two semiquavers with	32	l.s.	Quaver rest missing in A and E , added in
10	1.0.	separated stems and staccato dots in the	52	1.5.	our edition in order to mark the presence
		lower voice missing.			of a second voice in beat 3.
17	b.s.	missing in A.	33	u.s.	In A, staccato dots missing on nn. 1–8.
18	b.s.	cresc. begins in A at the second semiquaver	34	b.s.	poco rit. missing in A .
		due to lack of space, \boldsymbol{f} and staccato dots on	34	l.s.	In E, numeral 2 over the second semi-
		nn. 2 and 8 missing in A , \rightarrow begins in A			quaver in beat 3 (fingering indication?),
		after n. 5 (of the u.s.), dim. after n. 8.			omitted in our edition according to A.
19	u.s.	In E, n. 6 no dotted quaver, our edition	34	l.s.	> on n. 3 missing in A .
20.25		follows A.	35, 43,	b.s.	a tempo missing in A.
20–25	u.s.	Most of the staccato dots missing in A .	50		Character John and accommend to accommend A
21	b.s.	In E, poco a poco cresc. begins at the sixth quaver of the bar (probably due to lack of	36 36	u.s.	Staccato dots on semiquavers 1–8 missing in A .
		space), our edition follows A .	30	u.s.	Staccato dots on semiquavers 9–10 missing in E, added in our edition according to A .
21, 22	u.s.	\$ over the last ** missing in E, added in	36	u.s.	Prolongation ties missing in E, our edition
		our edition according to A.			follows A .
22	u.s.	Last staccato dot missing in A and E, add-	36	l.s.	Staccato dot on semiquaver 9 missing in A
		ed in our edition based on the analogy in			and E, added in our edition based on the
		b. 21 and of the similar figurations in bb. 14, 16, 20, 21.			staccato dots on semiquavers 10–16 and on the parallel semiquavers in u.s.
23	b.s.	In E, begins at the second crotchet of the	37	u.s.	Staccato dots missing in A .
20	D.5.	bar due to lack of space, our edition follows A .	38	u.s.	Arpeggio sign and slur missing in E
23	u.s.	Staccato dots missing at all in A and for the			(probably overseen), added in our edition
		last six semiquavers in E, added in our edi-			according to A (slur also based on the sim-
		tion based on the staccato dots for the first			ilar figuration in b. 44).
		two semiquavers in E and for the similar	39	l.s.	In E, staccato dots, in our edition staccato
		figurations in l.s. in A and E.			dashes according to A and based on u.s.
23	u.s.	Third → missing in A .	41	l.s.	Staccato dots missing in E, added in our
24	b.s.	In E, → begins at beat 2 (probably con-		_	edition according to A .
		fusion with the same markings in the next	41–3,	b.s.	Staccato dots on the two quavers and
24 5	11 C T 17	bars in A), our edition follows A.	45		accent on the crotchet missing in A and E ,
24–5	u.s., LV	In E, slur in b. 24 till n. 1 in b. 25 and no			added in our edition based on the similar
		staccato dot on n. 1 in b. 25, probably due to a misunderstanding of the prolongation	42	l.s.	figurations in bb. 39–41 (u.s. and l.s.). Accent $^{\land}$ on nn. 5–7 in A levelled to $^{>}$ in E .
		tie over b. 24 in A . Our edition follows A .	14	1.3.	Our edition follows A .
		do over b. 21 m m, our camon follows m,			Car camon follows 11.

42	l.s., LV	Prolongation ties missing in E, our edi-			added in our edition based on the analo-
		tion follows A. Quaver rest added in our			gies in bb. 5-8 and 56-7.
		edition in order to consistently distinguish	60-63	l.s., UV	Slurs over the triplets in A not consistently
		upper and lower voice.			carried out.
42	b.s.	poco rit. missing in A.	61, 62	l.s., UV	Slurs over the last triplet missing in A and
45-6	b.s.	In A several redundant or missing acciden-			E, added in our edition according to the
		tals, omitted or added, respectively, in our edi-			slurs over all other triplets of the bar.
		tion according to the definitive version in E.	62	b.s.	cresc. begins in E at the last note of the first
46	u.s.	Staccato dots missing in E, added in our			triplet (misreading of A), our edition follows A .
		edition according to A .	63	l.s., UV	Slur over the second triplet missing in A
48	u.s., UV	Staccato dots on nn. 4 and 5 missing in A			and E, added in our edition according to
		and E, added in our edition based on the			the second triplet in bb. 61 and 62.
		similar figurations in b. 47.	63	l.s., UV	# to the second note of the last triplet miss-
49	b.s.	rit. missing in A .			ing in A and E, added in our edition based
49	u.s.	Staccato dots missing in A and E , added			on the analogy in b. 8.
		in our edition based on the similar figura-	64, 65	l.s.	Arpeggio sign missing in E (probably over-
	,	tions in b. 47.			seen), added in our edition according to A .
51	b.s.	dim. begins in E at beat 2, our edition fol-	64, 65	l.s.	In A , slurs over the triplets end on the
		lows A.	·-		following quaver.
52	l.s.	Staccato dots missing in A .	67	b.s.	begins in E at the last semiquaver of
52–4	u.s.	Wavy lines after all <i>tr</i> missing in E, added	(7	1	beat 2, our edition follows A .
		in our edition according to A . In b. 54,	67	l.s., UV	In E, slur begins at n. 2, our edition fol-
		wavy line extended until the first tied	(7	1 - 137	lows A.
		quaver of b. 55 according to A , where the	67	l.s., LV	Slur in A missing.
		composer repeated the <i>tr</i> with wavy line	68, 69 70	u.s., LV	Tie missing in A.
		on the tied quaver in order to mark the extension of the trill until the tied quaver.	70 70	u.s., LV	Tie missing in both A and E . **pp missing in A .
53	b.s.	In A , \sim ends before beat 3.	70 71	b.s. b.s.	A: ppp at n. 1; >, both f as and \rightarrow missing.
53	u.s.	h over the first <i>tr</i> missing in E, added in our	72	b.s.	\rightarrow , arpeggio on n. 2 and \rightarrow missing in A .
55	u.s.	edition according to A .	12	0.5.	, alpeggio on n. 2 and - missing in A.
53	l.s.	Staccato dot in the upper voice missing on			
	1.0.	the last note in A .	73	b.s.	A: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
53	l.s., LV	# to n. 2 missing in A .		2.0.	
54	u.s.	In A and E , \sharp left to tr , corrected in our			
		edition to \(\eta \) over \(tr. \)			
54	l.s.	Accent ^ in A levelled to > in E. Our edi-	II Mol	to vivace	
		tion follows A .	1	b.s.	No metronome marking in A and E, adopt-
54	b.s.	> ends in E at the last crotchet and is			ed in our edition from the draft of the
		followed in b. 55 by p at n. 1 and by \rightarrow at			movement in American Sketchbook 2.
		n. 2. Our edition follows A .	6 (131)	l.s.	In A , n. 1 one octave higher (c #') and f ^{z} missing.
55	u.s.	In E, slur from n. 2 to n. 7, our edition	7 (132)	l.s.	Grace note missing in A .
		follows A .	7–8	l.s.	Staccato dashes missing in A.
55	l.s.	$g^{\#'}$ missing in the chord in A .	(132-3)		
57	l.s.	Accent > missing in E (probably overseen	9 (134)	l.s.	In A, erroneous note head (a) without stem
		under the <>), added in our edition ac-			immediately before the start of the second
		cording to A .			triplet (beginning with g #).
58	b.s.	begins at beat 2 in E (probably mis-	11 (136) l.s.	In A , crotchet (c #) immediately before the
		interpretation of the overwritten pp at the			stem of the first triplet.
		beginning of the \longrightarrow as stroke sign in A).	13, 15	u.s.	In A, staccato dot on n. 1 in b. 13 and no
		Our edition follows A .	(138, 14	(0)	marking on n. 1 in b. 15; in E, articulation
60	l.s., UV	Slur over the second and fourth triplet			marking levelled to > on n. 1 in both bars.
		missing in A and E , added in our edition	- د د د د		Our edition follows A , b. 13.
		according to the first and third triplets in	14 (139) b.s.	In A and E , one < along the whole bar
		the same bar as well as to the second trip-			between the staves and one
(0	L.	let in bb. 61 and 62.			l.s. beginning between nn. 3 and 4. In our
60	b.s.	pp missing in A.			edition only one along the whole bar
60–63	u.s.	Slurs from n. 1 to n. 4 missing in A and E,			under l.s. to avoid redundancy.

16 (141) b.s.	In E, \sim between the staves, in our			both added in our edition based on the
	edition			similar passage in b. 50.
	based on the similar figuration in b. 14.	53 (178)	b.s.	missing in A and E , added in our edi-
20 (145) b.s.	In E , \longrightarrow begins after n. 1 due to lack of			tion based on the similar passage in m. 51.
	space, our edition follows A.	55-6	b.s.	Accents > missing completely in A and on
23 (148) u.s.	Slur missing in A.	(180 - 81)		the second and third group of four semi-
27 (152) l.s.	Slur in A prolonged over the bar until the			quavers in E, added in our edition according
,	dotted crotchet in the next bar.			to the first group of four semiquavers in E
29–32 l.s.	In A and E , the notation of accents >, stac-			and based on the similar passage in b. 54.
(154–7)	cato dots and slurs is not always consistent.	56	b.s.	In A , double bar line at the end of the bar
(1017)	The accent > on the crotchet in the upper	00		in order to indicate the jump to the coda
	voice, as clearly given in A and E , bb. 29			after the repetition of bb. 1–56 ("Da capo").
	(154), 31 (156), and 32 (157), is missing in			In E, double bar line retained, although the
	both sources in b. 30 (155) (in A probably			repetition of bb. 1–56 has been written out.
	due to lack of space). The staccato dot on			In our edition, double bar line omitted as
	the first semiquaver of each measure, as			unnecessary.
	clearly given in A , bb. 29, 30, and 32, and E ,	57	l.s.	Accent > missing in E (probably overseen
	b. 29 (154), is missing in A , b. 31, and in E ,			since slightly overwritten by ffz in A), add-
	bb. 30 (155), 31 (156), and 32 (157). The pro-			ed in our edition according to A and based
	longation tie in the upper voice, as clearly			on the same accent in u.s.
	given in A, bb. 29 and 30, is extended to	60	l.s.	Both fermatas missing in A .
	the first semiquaver in the next bar in A ,	61–2	l.s., UV	Slur missing in A .
	b. 31, and E, bb. 29 (154) and 31 (156) and is		l.s., UV	Slur missing in A and E, added in our edi-
	missing in E, b. 155. The slur ending in the		,	tion according to the repetition of the same
	lower voice, as clearly given in A , bb. 29–30			passages in A and E , bb. 96–7.
	and 30–31, is missing in A and E , bb. 31–2	64, 70,	11 \$	w missing in A.
	(156–7) and ends at the end of each meas-	72, 73, 74		missing in 71.
		75, 97,	τ,	
	ure in E, bb. 29 (154) and 30 (155). Our			
	edition follows the consistent notation	103, 105	T 13.7	Class series in A and F add discoun
	in A , bb. 29–30: accent > on the crotchet of		u.s., UV	Slurs missing in A and E , added in our
	each bar, staccato dot on the first semiqua-	67–8		edition according to the repetition of the
	ver of each bar, prolongation tie from each			same passages in A and E, bb. 98–9 and
	crotchet of each bar and slur to the first			100–101.
	semiquaver in the lower voice of each bar		l.s.	Tie missing in A.
	(except for the last slur in bb. 32 and 157,	70	l.s., UV	In A and E : . . . (probably due to the clef
	which ends at the end of the bar, since			change), our edition follows the analogies
	a new passage begins in the next bar).			in bb. 72–5.
30 (155) b.s.	In E, cresc. begins at the third note of the	75	b.s.	in A ends before the last two semi-
	first triplet due to lack of space. Our edi-			quavers.
	tion follows A .	76	u.s., LV	Accent \wedge and $f_{\overline{a}}$ at n. 2 in A levelled to >
33–4 b.s.	In E, two ≪s along each bar, in our		,	in E. Our edition follows A.
(158–9)	edition connected to one long < accord-	76	l.s.	Upper note of the chord $(d \natural')$ in E : dotted
(100))	ing to A.		1.01	quaver with tie; in A : quaver (without dot)
39 (164) u.s.	Slur missing in A .			with tie. In our edition: dotted crotchet on
, ,	Staccato dot missing in A .			basis of the tie to the first note of the next
40 (165) u.s.	Staccato dot missing in A . Staccato dashes missing in A .			
40 (165) l.s.		70	1 - 1117	bar.
43 (168) u.s.	All accents > missing in A .	76	l.s., UV	in between n. 1 and n. 2 in A not
45 (170) l.s.	Performance marking <i>marcato</i> between u.s.	5 6 04		carried out in E since unpractical.
	and l.s. in E, but directly above l.s. and		b.s.	Several accidentals missing in A .
	clearly referred only to l.s. in A. Follow-	85		
	ing A , our edition puts the marking under	77	u.s., LV	N. 3 f^{\dagger} in E (misprint), in our edition f^{\sharp}
	the l.s. in order to avoid misunderstand-			according to A .
	ings.	80	b.s.	dim. begins in E at beat 1. Our edition
50 (175) u.s.	Accent $^{\wedge}$ on n. 1 in A levelled to $>$ in E .			follows A .
•	Our edition follows A .	80-81,	u.s.	Middle tie missing in E, added in our
52 (177) b.s.	Accent \wedge on n. 1 in u.s. and $f_{\overline{a}}$ missing in	84-5		edition according to A .
,	A and E (in A bar written on a new page),	81	b.s.	In E, dim. at beat 1, omitted in our edition
	, r. G-//			
8				

	according to A and since redundant after	122	b.s.	<i>ppp</i> missing in A .
	the <i>dim</i> . in b. 80.	122	b.s.	In E, \rightarrow begins after beat 3 due to lack of
82–3 b.s.	In E, \longrightarrow begins after the last note of b. 82			space. Our edition follows A.
	and ends at the first note of b. 83 due to	125	b.s.	In A , redundant repetition of <i>rit</i> .
02.2	lack of space. Our edition follows A .	126-81	b.s.	In A , indication <i>Da Capo</i> after b. 125 for
82–3 u.s.	Middle tie missing in A and E , added in			the repetition of the bb. 1–56 and then
	our edition based on the similar passages in bb. 80–1 and 84–5.			Coda with the ending of the movement (four new bars); in E, repetition of bb. 1–56
84–5 b.s.	In E, \rightarrow begins after the last note of b. 84			written out as bb. 126–81 and ending of
01 0 2.0.	due to lack of space. Our edition			the movement (corresponding to the <i>Coda</i>
	follows A .			in A) as bb. 182–5. In E , bb. 126–81 differ
86–7 b.s.	In E, — begins at beat 3 in b. 86 and			from bb. 1–56 only in very few details,
	ends before beat 3 in b. 87 due to lack of			the annotations in bb. 1-56 also apply for
	space. Our edition follows A .			bb. 126–81, except for the few differences,
88–9 b.s.	In E, ≤ begins at beat 2 in b. 88 and			which are considered separately in the
	ends before beat 1 in b. 89 due to lack of	120	1	following annotations.
	space, in A → until the end of b. 89. In our edition ← according to the defini-	139	l.s.	In $\mathbf{E} f_{\mathbf{z}}$, in our edition \mathbf{f} as given in \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{E} , b. 14.
	tive version in E extended until the end of	155	l.s., UV	In E, slur from n. 2 to n. 4 (as given in
	b. 89 according to A .	100	1.5., C 1	E, b. 30) missing; see the annotation for
90 b.s.	\boldsymbol{p} instead of \boldsymbol{f} in \boldsymbol{A} .			bb. 29–32 above.
92 b.s.	rit. begins in E at beat 3, our edition fol-	158	b.s.	begins in E at beat 2, in our edition
	lows A .			directly after the f as given in A and E , b . 33.
94–5 l.s.	Slur missing in A .	163	l.s., LV	Prolongation tie missing in E, added in our
96–7 u.s.	Slur missing in A.	1576	,	edition as given in A and E , b. 38.
98 b.s. 99 b.s.	missing in A. missing in A.	176	b.s.	begins in E close to beat 2, in our
103 u.s., LV	In A , Dvořák initially wrote a quaver;	182	b.s.	edition at beat 1 as given in A and E , b. 51. In A ff .
100 4.5., 21	he then corrected the quaver to a dotted	182, 184		Accent $^{\wedge}$ on n. 1 in \mathbf{A} levelled to $>$ in \mathbf{E} .
	crotchet and added a prolongation tie from	,		Our edition follows A .
	the dotted crotchet to mark the change of	184-5	u.s.	Tie missing in A .
	the note duration.			
103 l.s., UV	Slur missing in A and E , added in our edi-	III All		
106 b.s	tion on basis of the similar passage in b. 105.	1	b.s.	Tempo indication in A : Allegretto scherzando.
106 b.s.	mf in E at beat 1, in our edition at beat 2 according to A.			No metronome marking indicated in any source.
107, 109 l.s.	Stem to the crotchet with augmentation dot	1	b.s.	In E , \longrightarrow ends before n. 4 of l.s. and \frown
,	missing in A .			begins between nn. 2 and 3 of l.s., our
108–9 b.s.	ends in E at beat 1 in b. 109, our edi-			edition follows A .
	tion follows A .	1, 2	u.s.	Accent > on n. 1 missing in E (levelled to
110 l.s.	Crotchet with augmentation dot missing			the $f_{\overline{z}}$), added in our edition according to A .
444 1	in E , added in our edition according to A .	2	b.s.	In A , \sim , \sim and second f missing,
111 b.s.	dim. begins in E at beat 1 due to lack of	2	b a	on n. 5 of l.s. accent ^.
112 b.s.	space. Our edition follows A . → begins in E at beat 2 due to lack of	3 3	b.s. u.s.	begins in A at beat 2. In E , first two slurs end at the last note of
112 0.5.	space. Our edition follows A .	O	u .5.	the triplet (probably due to a misinterpre-
113 l.s.	Arpeggio sign missing in E (probably			tation of A), our edition follows A based on
	overseen since written with very light ink			the analogies in E, bb. 59 and 91.
	in A), added in our edition according to A.	3	l.s.	In A and E, all quavers beamed together,
113 l.s., LV	Augmentation dot missing in A.			in our edition quavers beamed two by
114, 116 b.s.	dim. begins in E at the fourth semiquaver.			two based on the analogies in E, bb. 27, 59,
11/ 17 111/	Our edition follows A .	4		and 91.
116–17 u.s., UV	Slur in A prolonged at the end of the system in b. 116, but missing on next system.	$\frac{4}{4}$	u.s. l.s.	\sim begins in A after the first quaver. In E , \sim begins at n. 6. Our edition fol-
117 b.s.	In E, \rightarrow ends at beat 1 due to lack of	-1	1.5.	lows A.
	space. Our edition follows A .	5	u.s.	Accent \wedge and f on n. 1 in A .
117 l.s., UV	In A , slur from n. 1 to n. 3.	5	l.s.	Slurs and $\stackrel{\bullet}{<\!\!<}$ missing in A and E , added

6	l.s.	in our edition based on the similar passage in b. 1. Slurs, f_{z} and f_{z} missing in f_{z} and f_{z} added in our edition based on the similar passage in b. 2.	26–7, 31, 37, 3 41–7, 59 60, 63, 81–4,	9,	In A , all dynamic markings missing.
7	b.s.	in A ends at the beginning of beat 2.	89–92,		
7	u.s.	Staccato dashes in A missing on nn. 5–12.	27	u.s.	In A and E , first two slurs end at the last
7, 31, 95		Lower octave in nn. 1, 3, 5 missing in A .			note of the triplet, our edition follows the
8	b.s.	Contradictory accentuation in A: staccato			analogies in bb. 59 and 91.
		dots for the three notes of the triplet in u.s.,	28	u.s.	Last slur missing in A.
		^ for the three notes of the triplet in l.s.	28	l.s.	begins in E at n. 6. Our edition fol-
8	l.s.	First chord in A without <i>g</i> .			lows A . All other dynamic markings and
12	u.s., UV	Slur in E until n. 2, our edition follows A.			l.s. slurs missing in A.
15–16	u.s.	Slur missing in A and E, added in our	29	u.s.	missing in A .
		edition based on the similar passages in	29	u.s.	begins in E at n. 4. Our edition fol-
		bb. 11–12.			lows A .
16	l.s.	In A and E , n. 1 in the lower voice with double	29	l.s.	Accent \land on n. 3 in A levelled to \gt in E .
		stem, in our edition single stem in order to			Our edition follows A .
		consistently distinguish the three voices.	29	l.s.	fz missing in A.
16	l.s.	In A , n. 2 (c #) minim with prolongation tie	30	u.s.	✓ in A between n. 1 and n. 2 and in E
		till the end of the bar. In \mathbf{E} , n. 2 (c #) crotch-			between n. 2 and n. 9. Our edition follows
		et slurred to n. 3 (f #), probably due to a			A , b. 29.
		misunderstanding of the prolongation tie	31	u.s.	In E, n. 4 a'. Our edition follows A and AO .
		from n. 2 in A . Our edition follows A and	32	b.s.	In A, last chord crotchet, fermata over the
		adds crotchet rests (missing in both A			double line missing.
		and E) in order to distinguish clearly the	32	l.s.	All accents > missing in A .
		three voices of the l.s. in A .	33	b.s.	mf missing in A.
17	b.s.	In E , \sim ends before the last quaver. In	34, 36	u.s.	\natural to n. 2 (b') missing in A , retained in our
		our edition prolonged according to A .	- ,		edition as courtesy accidental to mark the
19	u.s.	Minim in lower voice without augmenta-			subtonic in C-sharp minor according to E
		tion dot in A .			and based on the same passage in A and
19, 23	u.s.	Slur begins in A in both bars consistently			E, bb. 50, 52.
,		at n. 2, in E at n. 2 in b. 19 and at n. 1 in			
		b. 23. Our edition follows the consistent	36	l.s.	Last chord in A: 2: (in 3/8).
		slurring in A .	40	l.s.	Staccato dot missing in A .
20	l.s.	Arpeggio sign missing in E (probably	42	l.s.	Second slur missing in A .
	1.01	overseen since colliding with the bar line),	44	u.s., LV	In A and E , rests missing, added in our
		added in our edition according to A .		u.s., 2.	edition to complete the lower voice in the
					bar.
22		In A: ; in E chord	45	b.s.	f in E (no dynamic marking in A), in our
22	u.s.	in A: ; in E chord	10		edition f_a based on the similar passages in
		without <i>g</i> [#] ′ but still with the erroneous			bb. 41 and 42.
		augmentation dots, while the augmentation	45-6	b.s.	All slurs missing in A (except for the first
		dot to the crotchet has been erroneously	10 0		slur in u.s. in b. 46).
		removed (probably due to a confusion with	46	b.s.	\sim and f_z missing in A and E , added in
		the erroneous dots to the chord).	10	D.3.	our edition based on the similar passages
22	u.s.	In A and E , slur missing, added in our			in bb. 41 and 42.
22	и.з.	edition based on the similar passage in	47–8	b.s.	In A , <i>rit</i> . at the beginning of b. 48.
		b. 18.	48	b.s.	p missing in A.
25	b.s.		53	b.s.	In A , p (instead of pp) at beat 2.
25	l.s.	a tempo missing in A . ✓ in E from n. 2 to n. 4 and accent ^	55 55	b.s.	dim. missing in A .
20	1,0,	levelled to >, our edition follows A .	56	l.s.	Staccato dot missing in A and E , added in
26	b.s.	(missing in A) begins in E under the	50	1.3.	our edition based on the similar passage in
20	υ.δ.	first rest in the upper voice.			b. 40.
26	u.s.	Staccato dashes missing in A .	57	11 6	begins in E at the first rest, in our edi-
26	l.s.	Accent on n. 3 missing in A and levelled to	37	u.s.	tion it begins at the following note accord-
20	1.0.	> in E. In our edition ^ based on A, b. 25.			ing to A.
		II. 2. III our cuitoit ·· buocd on II, b. 20.			

E-7		Dath along missing in A	70	1 - 1117	To A and E control distance materials of the
57 57	u.s. l.s.	Both slurs missing in A . ✓ begins in E at beat 1, our edition	73	l.s., UV	In A and E , contradictory notation of the
37	1.5.	follows A based on the similar passages in			
		bb. 1 and 2.			triplets: {
57, 58,	u.s.	Staccato dashes missing in E, but partially			O:##9 Had
61, 62,		notated in A (in bb. 58 and 62), added in			9-11-4
89, 90,		our edition according to A and based on			The contradiction is due to a change of no-
93		the similar passages in bb. 1 and 2.			tation in A from an early version with the
58, 61,	u.s.	missing in A.			beam beginning at note a to a definitive
62					version with a rest instead of a beam as
58, 62	l.s.	missing in A and E , added in our edi-			then notated in the following bb. 74–6. Our
		tion based on the similar passages in bb. 57			edition follows the definitive version with
F0		and 61.	72 00	1 - 1137	the rest.
59	u.s.	In A and E , missing crotchet stems to the lower voice, in our edition crotchet stems	73–88 74, 75	l.s., UV u.s., UV	Slurs in A mostly missing.
		added on basis of the analogies in bb. 3, 27,	74, 73	u.s., U v	Slur missing in A and E , added in our edition based on the similar passages in
		and 91.			bb. 78, 79.
60	b.s.	fz missing in A and E , added in our edition	78	u.s.	In A unclear slurring.
		based on the similar passages in bb. 4	78, 82	u.s.	In A and E , n. 2 not beamed to nn. 3 and 4,
		and 28.	,		our edition follows the similar passages in
60	u.s.	Second slur missing in A and E, added in			bb. 74 and 86.
		our edition based on the similar passages			A Ible
		in bb. 4 and 28.			
60	l.s., LV	Prolongation tie in A extended in E to	82	b.s.	A: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
		the first note of the next bar. Our edition			1
		follows A.			
60	l.s.	missing in A and E , added in our edi-	82	u.s., UV	Slur missing in A and E , added in our edi-
		tion based on the similar passages in bb. 4			tion based on the similar passages in bb. 78
61	u.s.	and 28. In E, n. 7 chord $a'/c \#''/e''$. In our edition	82–3	l.s., LV	and 86. In E, slur from n. 2 in b. 82 to n. 1 in b. 83
01	u.s.	single note e'' according to A and on basis	02-3	1.5., LV	(probably due to a misinterpretation of a
		of the analogies in bb. 5, 29, and 93.			prolongation tie in E), omitted in our edi-
61	u.s.	missing in A.			tion according to the early version in A .
61	l.s.	✓ begins in E at beat 1, missing in A,	83	u.s., UV	Slur missing in A and E , added in our
		our edition follows the similar passages in			edition based on the analogies in bb. 19
		bb. 1 and 2.			and 23.
61	l.s.	Slur from n. 2 to n. 3 missing in E, added	83	u.s., UV	In E, \boldsymbol{p} over u.s. between nn. 6 and 7 (no
		in our edition according to A .			dynamics in A), omitted in our edition
61	l.s.	Accent > missing in A .			since redundant after the p at beat 2.
62	u.s.	In \mathbf{A} , accent \wedge on and \mathbf{f} at n. 1.	83	l.s.	
62	l.s.	missing in A and E , added in our edi-	84	u.s.	In A, erroneous double augmentation dot
		tion based on the similar passages in bb. 1	Q1 5	b c	to n. 3.
64	l.s.	and 2. Accent > on each note of the triplets	84–5 87	b.s. u.s.	riten. und a tempo missing in A . Slur begins in A and E at n. 1, in our
U-I	1.5.	missing in A and E due to lack of space,	07	u.s.	edition at n. 2 based on the analogies in A ,
		added in our edition according to the same			bb. 19 and 23.
		accents in u.s.	88	l.s., UV	In E, the last slur begins on the rest (slur
67, 68	u.s.	Beginning and end of the slurs in A un-		,	in A missing). In our edition, the last slur
		clear.			begins on the first note of the triplet.
68	l.s.	In E, slur until n. 2; in A, slur ends slight-	89–90,	b.s.	Staccato dashes missing in A and E,
		ly after n. 2. In our edition slur until n. 3	93		added in our edition based on the analogies
		based on the similar passage in b. 72.			in bb. 1, 2, 5.
70	u.s., LV	Slur in A missing.	89–92	b.s.	Slurs in A mostly missing or inconsistent.
71	u.s., UV	In A, slur from n. 3.	91	l.s.	In A , all quavers beamed together.
72	u.s.	In E, slur until n. 2; in A, slur ends slight-	92	u.s.	Second slur missing in A and E , added in
		ly after n. 2. In our edition slur until n. 3 based on the similar passage in b. 68.			our edition based on the analogies in bb. 4 and 28.
		based on the similar passage in b. 00.			and 20.

92	l.s.	In E, staccato dots on nn. 1 and 2, added			figuration has to be played in legato. In our
		probably due to a misinterpretation of an			edition, we solve the inconsistencies within
		ink spot close to n. 2 in A, omitted in our			the two sections by following the perfor-
		edition according to A and based on the			mance instruction at the beginning of each
		analogies in bb. 4, 28, and 60.			of them, with only two exceptions: 1) in
92	l.s.	missing in A and E , added in our			bb. 7–8 and 26–7 we notate the first qua-
		edition based on the analogies in bb. 4			ver as a note according to A and E (bb. 7
		and 28.			and 26–7), since it seems that Dvořák chose
93	b.s.	ff missing in A.			here to intentionally change the notation
93	l.s.	In A and E , accent $>$ on n. 2, in our edition			in order to mark the repetition of the main
		on n. 3 based on the similar passages in			theme in the tonic; 2) in the second half of
		bb. 25, 29 and 94.			bb. 1, 2, 20 and 21 we slur the quavers to
94	b.s.	In $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{z}$ instead of $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{f}$ on beat 1, \mathbf{n} on			the crotchet as in both A and E in order to
		beats 2-3. Last two slurs in u.s. and last			mark that all these notes across the staves
		slur in l.s. missing in A.			belong together.
94	u.s.	Accent ^ on n. 1 missing in E (levelled	1, 3–5,	b.s.	In A, all dynamic markings missing.
		to ff), added in our edition according to A .	7, 10–11	l,	
95	u.s.	Last slur missing in A.	13–16,		
			22-6,		
IV And	lante		29-37,		
1	b.s.	No metronome marking in A and E, adopt-	44-6,		
		ed from the draft of the movement in	49, 53-	5	
		American Sketchbook 3.	4	u.s.	In A , one slur from n. 1 to n. 6.
1	l.s.	In A and E, quaver rest over the second	6, 46, 4	7 u.s.	w missing in A.
		minim of the lower voice, omitted in our	9	u.s.	In A , one slur from n. 1 to n. 8, <i>rit</i> . and all
		edition since redundant and based on the			accents ^ missing.
		same passage in b. 2.	11	b.s.	a tempo missing in A .
1–29,	l.s.	In A and E , the notation of the quavers in	14	u.s.	In A , unclear sign left of the upper note of
42-52		bb. 1–29 and 42–52 is not always consistent.			the grace chord before beat 3, with a shape
		In bb. 1–29 (sempre legato), the first quaver is			between a short arpeggio sign and a b.
			17	b.s.	In E, cresc. at beat 3, omitted in our edition,
		mostly notated as a rest : ? , how-			since redundant after the <i>cresc</i> . in b. 16.
		ever, in some bars, the quaver has been			(In A , only <i>cresc</i> . in b. 17.)
		notated as a note: The margazian the			be be
		notated as a note : description description of the	17, 18	l.s., UV	First half of the bar in A :
		quavers 2–4 are slurred together only in a	18	b.s.	string. in E after the first quaver probably
		few cases. In bb. 42–52 (una corda), on the			due to lack of space. Our edition follows A.
		contrary, the first quaver is mostly	18	b.s.	In A, additional bar between bb. 18 and 19,
		——————————————————————————————————————			not adopted by the definitive version in E:
		notated: J. The different notation			>
		of the same figurations in bb. 1–29 and			
		42-52 evidently depends on the different			
		performance instruction at the beginning			
		of two sections: in bb. 1–29, where sempre			
		legato already indicates that the quavers	19	b.s.	In E, \rightarrow from n. 1. to n. 2 over the upper
		have to be always performed slurred to the			system, omitted in our edition according
		minim in the bass and to one another, the			to A and since redundant over the dim. at
		use of the first quaver as a note in unison			beat 1.
		with the minim of the lower voice beamed	19	b.s.	pp in A under the lower stave after beat 3.
		to the other three quavers as well as the	19	b.s.	rit. in E after beat 3 probably due to lack of
		slurring of the three quavers becomes			space. Our edition follows A.
		redundant. In bb. 42–52 however, where	20	b.s.	pp in A .
		sempre legato has been substituted by una	23	u.s.	Second slur missing in A .
		corda, the use of the first quaver as a note	27	b.s.	cresc. begins in A after the first quaver
		in unison with the minim in the bass and			probably due to lack of space.
		the slurring of the three following qua-	28	b.s.	f and rit . slightly shifted to the right in A
		vers becomes necessary to indicate that the			due to lack of space after <<.

28	u.s.	In E, second slur from n. 5 to n. 6, in our edition second slur from n. 5 to n. 8 based	V Alle	_	No metronome marking in E, adopted in
		on the same passage in b. 9. (In A only one			our edition from A .
20		slur from n. 1 to n. 7.)	anacrus		Tempo indication in A: $Vivace = (80)$.
28	u.s.	In A , accent > only over the last three	8a, 8b	u.s.	> on n. 1 missing in both bars in A and in
29	b.s.	quavers.	14 16	h c	b. 8b in E.
29	D.S.	In A , slurs in u.s. missing and l.s. not written out.	14–16, 18–20,	b.s.	In A , all dynamic markings missing.
29	u.s.	In A and E , two beams for nn. 1–2 and 3–4,	40b, 43	_5	
2)	u.s.	in our edition one beam for n. 1–4 based	48, 53-		
		on the same passage in b. 10.	15–16	u.s.	In A , b. 15 and the first half of b. 16 not
30	b.s.	a tempo, un poco marcato and all accents >	15 10	u.s.	written out.
56	<i>D.</i> .5.	missing in A.	16–22	u.s., UV	In A , staccato dots in b. 17 and accents > in
34	b.s.	accel. missing in A .	10 22	u.s., C 1	all bars missing.
36, 37,	b.s.	rit. missing in A .	18	l.s.	Third triplet missing in A .
50			22	u.s., UV	In E, accent > on n. 2 and neither accent
37	u.s.	Slur and tie missing in A .		,	nor staccato dot on n. 3, our edition
38	b.s.	a tempo missing in A .			follows A and the similar passages in
38, 39	l.s.	Accent > missing in A .			bb. 17 and 20.
41	b.s.	p in A at the beginning of b. 40.	24	l.s.	Short \leq before second $f_{\overline{a}}$ in A (between
42	b.s.	a tempo missing in A and E , added in our			nn. 3 and 4).
		edition after the <i>rit</i> . in the previous bar ac-	26	b.s.	begins in A at beat 3 (due to the
		cording to similar passages in bb. 11, 20, 30, 38.			change of staff).
43	b.s.	All slurs missing in A.	26	u.s.	In A , accent > on nn. 1 and 4.
43	l.s.	In A and E, G-clef after the last note of the	27-8	b.s.	ends in E at the end of b. 27 due to
		bar, in our edition G-clef before the last			lack of space in b. 28, our edition follows A.
		note in order to make clear that the last	28	b.s.	rit. and a tempo missing in A.
		note is tied to the same pitch note at the	28	u.s.	\natural to d'' in chord 4 missing in A .
		beginning of the following bar.	28-31	l.s.	In A, the noteheads of the lower notes
44, 45	u.s.	Accent $>$ missing in A .			8 and 10 in b. 28 and of the lower notes
45	l.s., UV	First half of the bar in A and AO :			2, 4, 6, 8 in b. 29 are encircled to indicate
					that their performance is optional. This
		Our edition follows E.			marking is not continued in b. 30 after the
					page break in A . However, the noteheads
46, 47	b.s.	In A, lower voice of the upper staff and			of the lower notes 6 and 8 in b. 30 and of
		lower staff not written out, as well as slurs			the lower notes 2 and 4 in b. 31 are written
		missing in the upper voice of the upper			in small size to indicate that their perfor-
40. 40	1	staff.			mance is optional. In E, the noteheads of
48, 49	b.s.	Slurs in A missing or inconsistent.			the lower notes 8 and 10 in b. 28 and of the
50	b.s.	in E until n. 4, in our edition until			lower notes 2, 4, 6, 8 in b. 29 and 30 are
ΕO	h o	n. 5 according to A.			printed in small type to indicate the same.
50	b.s.	In A , <i>rit</i> . missing and no accents except for			However, this indication is not continued in b. 31. Our edition follows E in bb. 28–30
50	11.6	two >s on nn. 6, 7. Accent ^ on n. 5 missing in A and E ,			and A in b. 31.
30	u.s.	added in our edition based on the similar	32a	l.s.	Fermata over the chord missing in A .
		passages in bb. 9 and 28.	33, 37	u.s.	Slur in A ends shortly after n. 2.
51	u.s.	Upper slur and lower tie from the double	33, 35,	u.s.	fz in E: unclear position between nn. 1
31	u.s.	grace note to the double main note in E	37, 39	u.s.	and 2 because of lack of space, our edition
		missing, added in our edition according to A .	01, 0)		follows A.
51	b.s.	missing in A and E , added in our edi-	35, 37,	u.s.	missing in A.
01	2.3.	tion based on the similar passages in bb. 10	39	u	
		and 29.	37, 38	u.s.	All tenuto markings missing in A .
51	b.s.	a tempo missing in A .	39	u.s.	Second slur missing in A .
51–2	l.s.	In A , slur from n. 3 to n. 6 in b. 52.	40b	u.s.	Tenuto markings missing in A .
53	u.s.	Slur missing in A .	42-5	l.s.	In A , two slurs from b. 42 to n. 4 of b. 43
53	l.s.	Rest missing in A .			and from n. 5 of b. 43 until end of b. 45.
54	l.s.	Ped. missing in A .	44	u.s.	Tenuto markings over nn. 2 and 3 missing
		- -			-

		in A and E , added in our edition based on the similar passages in bb. 40b, 42, 43 and 45–7.	69–70	u.s., LV	Accent missing in A and E , in our edition ^ added based on the similar accents in A , bb. 66–8 (b.s.) and 69–70 (l.s.).
46-8	b.s.	Slurs and tenuto markings missing in A (except for the slur in u.s. of b. 46).	70	l.s.	Arpeggio sign in A , missing in E (probably overseen). Our edition follows A .
49	b.s.	rit. begins in A at beat 2.	70-71	b.s.	In A, ff in b. 70 (instead of ffz) followed by
49	b.s.	In A, slurs in u.s. missing and slur in l.s.			✓ until b. 71.
		from n. 1 to n. 4.	71–2	u.s.	In E four trills with only one short wavy
50	u.s.	Slur missing in E, added in our edition			line after tr in the lower voice in b. 72 (no
		according to A.			wavy lines for the other trills). In A one
50-56	l.s.	Slurs missing in A.			single tr in b. 71 with one single wavy line
57	b.s.	ff missing in A.			till the end of b. 72 in the upper voice and
58a	b.s.	f missing in A .			two tr with wavy line for each bar 71 and
58b	b.s.	In Eff, in A no dynamic mark. Our edition			72 in the lower voice. Our edition follows
		follows A , since ff contradicts the $>>$ in			the notation of tr with one single wavy line
		b. 57 and the \boldsymbol{f} in b. 58a.			over two bars in the upper voice in A also
58b	u.s.	Ties missing in A .			for the lower voice (also based on the <
59	b.s.	<i>L'istesso tempo</i> and ¢ missing in A .			over two bars).
59-60	u.s.	All accents > missing in A .	73	l.s.	Arpeggio sign on n. 1 missing in E, added
60, 62	l.s.	Slur missing in A .			in our edition according to A.
64	l.s.	Accent $>$ missing in A .	73-4	b.s.	In A , last four quavers in l.s. of b. 73 beamed
66-8	b.s.	Accent ^ on all chords in A levelled to >			two by two due to the change of clef in
		in E. Our edition follows A.			the middle of them, but all other quavers
67–70	u.s.	In E, no wavy line after all tr due to lack of			beamed four by four. In E, last four qua-
		space. Our edition follows A.			vers in l.s. of b. 73 and in b.s. of the first
69-70	l.s.	Accent ^ on both chords in A levelled to >			half of b. 74 beamed two by two. In our
		in E. Our edition follows A .			edition all quavers beamed four by four as evidently intended by the composer in A .