Federal appeals court judge Merrick Garland receives applause from President Barack Obama and Vice President Joe Biden as he is introduced as Obama’s nominee for the Supreme Court during an announcement in the White House Rose Garden on Wednesday. Garland, 63, is the chief judge for the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, a court whose influence over federal policy and national security matters has made it a proving ground for potential Supreme Court justices.

‘One smart judge’
Md. lawyers praise Supreme Court nominee Garland

By Heather Cobun
Heather.Cobun@TheDailyRecord.com

President Barack Obama nominated federal appellate judge Merrick Garland to the Supreme Court Wednesday, praising his decency and even-handedness.

Garland, 63, is the chief judge of the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia and would fill the vacancy created by Justice Antonin Scalia’s death last month.

Baltimore attorney Steven M. Klepper argued three times before Merrick in the D.C. Circuit and said each time he walked away thinking, “That is one smart judge.” Klepper, of Kramon & Graham P.A., said Merrick was always prepared and knew the fine details in the record as well as the attorneys in front of him. In Klepper’s experience, involving insurance and white-collar cases, those records were voluminous.

In one of those cases, Merrick’s opinion changed Klepper’s mind about his stance.

“One is only judge to write an opinion where he convinced me I was wrong.”

State lawmakers want to explore how to provide student loan relief

By Daniel Leaderman
Dan.Leaderman@TheDailyRecord.com

Lawmakers in Annapolis want to explore new ways to reduce the student loan burden of Maryland residents — including creating a state body to refinance student loans.

A bill calling for a study of the issue — including whether any state agency has or could be given the bonding authority to refinance loans — is expected to move forward in the House of Delegates this week.

“College tuition has gotten out of hand and student loan debt is growing exponentially,” said Del. Al Carr, D-Montgomery, who is sponsoring the study bill.

“Some people have come across the finish line of their education — only to find that it’s a starting line for debt.”

See 1A

Spat over agency testimony heats up

Hogan aide calls complaints from legislators a ‘giant, steaming pile of baloney’

By Bryan P. Sears
Bryan.Sears@TheDailyRecord.com

ANNAPOLIS — Democrats Wednesday leveled stinging criticism at Gov. Larry Hogan over growing frustrations with what they say is a lack of participation by state agencies in legislative hearings.

Sen. Paul G. Pinsky, D-Prince George’s, said he and other members of the Senate Education, Health and Environmental Affairs were upset Tuesday after one agency failed to appear at a hearing on a bill that would establish liability for an environmental offense.

“College tuition has gotten out of hand and student loan debt is growing exponentially,” said Del. Al Carr, D-Montgomery, who is sponsoring the study bill.

“Some people have come across the finish line of their education — only to find that it’s a starting line for debt.”

See 1A

A recent report found that of the 2014 graduates of nonprofit, four-year institutions in Maryland, 58 percent had student debt, owing an average of $27,457.

File photo
Mary McNamara Koch, Esquire, has joined the firm as a partner effective January 7, 2016. Ms. Koch (AV Rated Martindale-Hubell) has established herself as one of the preeminent trial lawyers in Maryland.

Since joining the firm in early January, 2016, Mary has won jury verdicts of 6.75 million and 2.3 million dollars. Prior to joining the firm, she orchestrated a 34.33 million dollar verdict (one of the nation’s top ten largest in 2010) as well as played a critical role in a 37 million dollar class action settlement against St. Joseph’s Medical Center in 2014. She is one of the partners at Wais, Vogelstein, Forman & Offutt, LLC and represents one of the preeminent trial lawyers in Maryland.
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tal problem in Kent County. Pinsky, the vice chairman of that committee, said the governor, through his agencies, was “absent without leave” and that he doesn’t plan to have his agencies present to answer questions.

“Sometimes it’s a coordinated effort from the second floor to not offend anybody, I guess, but I don’t know why they don’t put on their big boy pants and big girl pants and lack of involvement and take a stand,” said Pinsky. “We agree to disagree every day. We’ve done it all day. We’ve voted differently on issues but, one, we don’t know they’re there and, two, they’re not there to help us in our deliberations.”

Sen. Stephen H. Hershey Jr., R-Upper Shore and the Senate Majority whip and sponsor of the bill in question, nodded his head briefly as Pinsky spoke.

Hershey said, “I think the lack of involvement by the governor’s office is just not true.”

“Both sides of the steaming pile of baloney between two pieces of moldy political bread,” Mayer said.

These complaints at times also come from Hogan’s own party. Mayer didn’t exempt Republican legislators from his pointed words.

“My comments are bipartisan in nature just like this administration,” Mayer said. “It really is clear that Hogan De- mocratic Sympathy is rapidly spreading through the General Assembly, and I’d advise them to seek medical attention immediately.”

In an interview published Monday, Hershey said Republican legislators tried to address concerns with Hogan earlier in the year and thought things were getting better.

“It was recognized by a few members of our caucus earlier in the session,” Hershey said in the interview. “We brought it to the attention of the administration that we would like to see a little bit more participation in some of the bill hearings. Not on all the bills, not each and every bill but certainly some of the higher-profile pieces of legislation.”

On Wednesday, Pinsky’s frustrations spilled out.

“When we had questions on the issue, they weren’t there to testify,” Pinsky said. “They sent a written letter, fairly full of obfuscation and other parts of it. But they weren’t there to answer our questions.”

In a statement that Sadowski’s economic development experience will allow him to “hit the ground running” and help focus university programs and resources on the state’s economic priorities, Sadowski told The Daily Record that he was excited to work with the USM team. “I am very much inspired by Chancellor Carey’s vision for the university system,” he said. “My life’s work has been in economic development and this was a tremendous opportunity.”

As vice chancellor, Sadowski will be the USM representative on collaborations between universities, industry and government, and he will also staff the USM Board of Regents Committee on Economic Development and Technological Commercialization, according to the system.

Prior to joining EAGB, Sadowski served an executive director of the loopiness that says, “Let me tell you how to wipe your nose,” versus a philosophy that says, “We believe in the independence of people and liberties and that the best course of driving society is to unleash the private sector to actually grow our economy and get things done.” Cassilly said, “I just reject the idea that we should expect the administration to chime in every time on every thing.”

**We brought it to the attention of the administration that we would like to see a little bit more participation in some of the bill hearings. Not on all the bills, not each and every bill but certainly some of the higher-profile pieces of legislation.”**

**Sen. Stephen H. Hershey Jr., R-Upper Shore and the Senate Majority whip**

Miller said it would have been nice if Commerce Secretary Michael Gill had appeared at a hearing last month on bills stemming from recommendations made by the Maryland Economic Development and Business Climate Commission — more commonly known as the Augustine Commission.

“It would be nice to have a point of view from the administration on what their views are on this subject,” Miller said.

Reaction from Republican lawmakers on the Senate floor was mixed. Sen. J.B. Jennings, R-Rockville County, and the leader of his party in the Senate, gave a less than full-throated defense of Hogan.

“I want to defend the men and women who work in these departments,” Jennings said. “I don’t think (Pinsky) meant anything when he said, kind of questioned their intelligence or their ability to know these issues in depth. These men and women are highly vetted for these positions and highly qualified and I ask that we not attack them on an individual basis. With regards to these departments coming in to our committees, I think it’s up to us to ask for their opinion. I don’t want to go down that road on this. I just wanted to go up and defend those individuals.”

Other Republicans chastised their Democratic colleagues for not expecting government under Hogan, a Republican, to be different than what it was under Democratic former Gov. Martin O’Malley.

“I realize we just came off eight years with a governor who liked to inject his personal views into everything we all do in life, but personally I find it refreshing to have a governor who actually understands the concept of separation of powers. We’re the deliberative body,” said Sen. Robert G. “Bob” Casselee, R-Harford County. “We’re supposed to really seek the knowledge of the people, the will of the people and his job is to govern.”

Casslely said no one complained about the lack of involvement of the governor’s office on the budget that the Senate gave preliminary approval to a little more than an hour earlier.

“I think we recognize a fundamental difference here between a political philosophy that says ‘Let me tell you how to wipe your nose,’ versus a philosophy that says, ‘We believe in the independence of people and liberties and that the best course of driving society is to unleash the private sector to actually grow our economy and get things done’,” Casslley said. “I just reject the idea that we should expect the administration to chime in every time on every thing.”
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Fed keeps key rates unchanged

AP — The Federal Reserve is keeping a key interest rate unchange- ed in light of global pressures that risk slowing the U.S. economy. As a result, Fed officials are forecasting that they will raise rates more gradually this year than they had envisioned in December. The officials now foresee two, rather than four, modest increases in their benchmark short-term rate during 2016. Fed Chair Janet Yellen, pictured, Wednesday said that the economy has continued to grow at a moderate pace but that the global economy and financial markets still pose risks. Offsetting the threats, the Fed said in a statement after a policy meeting that it sees a further strengthening in the U.S. job market. It also expects inflation, which has stayed persistently low, to rise to about 1.9 percent in 2015 to two years.

Under Armour OfC Class A stock

Under Armour, a Baltimore-based apparel manufacturer Under Armour Inc. Wednesday announced that its board of directors has approved the issuance of the company’s new Class A non-voting common stock. The Class C stock will be issued through a stock dividend on a one-for-one basis to all existing holders of Under Armour’s Class A and Class B common stock, which will have the same effect as a 2-for-1 stock split. The shares of Class C stock will be distributed on or about April 7 to stockholders of record of Class A and Class B stock on March 28.

Brotman to donate award

Brotman Financial Group Inc., a financial services firm whose professionals offer wealth management services to multi-generational families, announced Wednesday that it has been awarded the inaugural Ascend Award for Outstanding Practice from NFP Advisor Services. As the award recipient, Brotman Financial Group will donate $5,000 to the Alzheimer’s Associa- tion of Greater Maryland.

Skyscy gets air carrier certificate

Skyscy Inc., a Westminster-based general aviation company specializing in aircraft sales, maintenance, aircraft manage- ment and fixed based operations is adding an air carrier service to its list of services after being awarded a FAA Air Operating Certificate, company officials announced Wednesday. Skyscy operates full-service locations in Westminster and Rock Hill, South Carolina. The company, celebrating its 40th year in business this year, is an authorized dealer and service center for the Pilatus PC-12 NG, Pilatus PC-24 Super Versatile Jet and the entire Piper product line, including the newly named M600.

Dividend for Hannon Armstrong

Hannon Armstrong Sustainable Infrastructure Capital Inc., an Annapolis-based provider of debt and equity financing to the energy efficiency and renewable energy markets, today announced a quarterly cash dividend of $0.18 per share (0.56 on an annual basis) related to the company’s common stock. Hannon Armstrong expects to pay the common stock dividend on May 17, 2016 to stockholders of record on May 3, 2016.

Auto dealer gets service award

The Maryland Division of the American Trauma Society presented the Distinguished Service Award for 2016 to Jack Fitzgerald, founder and CEO of Fitzgerald Auto Malle. He started the Fitzgerald Children’s Car Seat Inspection Program in 1999 after learning the dangers of improperly installed child safety seats.

U.S. Silica to offer common stock

Frederick-based U.S. Silica Holdings Inc. announced Wednesday that it has commenced an underwritten public offering of 8 million shares of U.S. Silica common stock. The underwriters will be granted a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 1.2 million shares of common stock from U.S. Silica. U.S. Silica intends to use the net proceeds of the offering for general corporate purposes including the potential acquisition of complementary business assets. Barclays Capital Inc., and Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC are acting as joint book-running managers for the offering.
Maryland legislative leaders ready package to aid Baltimore

Hogan's concerns

While Gov. Larry Hogan has a plan to demolish deteriorated buildings in the city as well, he is wary of mandating all the spending.

Hogan, a Republican, submitted a supplemental budget last month with $15 million for the initiative. Matt Clark, a Hogan spokesman, said while the administration shares the speaker's concerns about blight, the governor opposes spending mandates.

“It’s more mandated spending,” Clark said of the speaker's proposal.

Here are some of the initiative's provisions:

• Part of the plan would invest $80 million over five years to invest in projects in declining communities that leverages public-sector investment.

• A measure would create a fund within the Department of Housing and Community Development to provide loans to “anchor institutions” like colleges and universities for community development projects in blighted areas.

• A grant program would help local education agencies and nonprofit groups expand or create extended day and summer programs for students. The measure would require the governor to include $10 million annually in the state budget for the program from fiscal year 2018 to fiscal 2021.

• A measure would create a college readiness outreach program to allow eligible students in grades seven and eight to prequalify for a college scholarship program, if they get into college.

March Madness: Some businesses will let workers watch NCAA tournament

Some businesses are finding creative ways to allow their employees to watch March Madness games at the office during the workday.

Joe Silverman, right, waits in the lobby of his New York computer repair shop while employee Alex Lokshin watches the company's big-screen TV. Silverman realized last year he was losing productivity to the NCAA college basketball tournament. His solution was to allow them to have the games on the big-screen TV he had installed for customers.

Many workers are interested because they’re paying for the games on their own.

Maryland’s largest city is getting ready to watch the NCAA tournament games, too.

A bill would require each branch of the Enoch Pratt Free Library to be open to the public seven days a week from 9 a.m. to 9 p.m., except holidays.

School funding

Within Hogan's second budget submission was an amendment that made $10 million of funding for schools in Baltimore City conditional upon the submission of a report that legislative leaders said the school system had been delinquent in providing.

Joan Carney Conway, D-Baltimore City and chair of the Senate Education, Health and Environmental Affairs Committee, complained that the Senate should not withhold the money, citing a loss of $24 million in funding proposed in the Senate for fiscal 2017 due to restrictions in the House, enrollment increases and in wealth calculations. Some lawmakers call it “phantom wealth” because it is based on the full value of properties that benefit from tax credits — essentially preventing the city from collecting the full amount of property taxes.

That’s heaped on top of a loss of funding related to Gov. Larry Hogan’s decision to fund half of an optional supplemental education formula.

“We’re not whole,” Conway said. “We’re not whole in Baltimore City.”

“I’m just going to wait to say ‘wow’ when I see the package that the good president is talking about,” Conway said.

This story was written by Daily Record staff writer Bryan P. Sears and Associated Press writer Brian Witte.
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FRIDAY 18

Business Plan Writing Workshop
What is a business plan? why do you need one? get these questions an-
swered and learn how to develop your business plan at this informative
workshop.
Cost: Free
Registration: Go to https://davisbrand.

MONDAY 21

QuickBooks Intermediate Workshop
Philippe Lermer, CFO, MBA of PI Consulting, will discuss how to set up
accounts payable, accounts receivable and financials.
Cost: Free
Registration: Go to https://www.
eventbrite.com/e/quickbooks-intermedi-
ate-workshop-registration-1967084948

TUESDAY 22

SB4 (a) Business Development Overview
Join us for an informative session offering an overview of the benefits of and
application process for the SBA’s (a) Business Development Program.
This workshop is free, but space is limited. register online today.
Cost: Free
Registration: Go to https://www.
eventbrite.com/e/sba-8a-business-de-
velopment-program-overview-registration-20062416005

SATURDAY 19

Lawyer in the Library: Explanations & Clipper
Advocate from Maryland Legal Aid answer questions and help participants
handle their record expungements. No appointments are necessary and attend-
ees are helped on a first-come, first-
served basis.
Cost: Free
Registration: None
Event time: Noon-2 p.m.
Address: Ennoch Pratt Free Library Pennsylvania Avenue Branch, 1537 W.
North Ave., Baltimore, MD 21217

EXECUTIVE MBA TEST DRIVE
Learn more about the Loyola experi-
ence by visiting a class and seeing stu-
dents and professors in action.
Cost: Free
Registration: Email emba@loyola.edu
Event time: 10:50 a.m.-1:29 p.m.
Address: Loyola’s Timonium Graduate Center, 2014 Greenspring Drive, Timo-
nium, MD 21093

PHOTOGRAPHY SEMINAR
Baltimore Camera Club seminar will feature Charles Glattzer and Ellen Anon,
author of “See It: Photographic Compo-
sition: Using Visual Intensity.”
Cost: $55 before March 1
Registration: For more information, email harry@harrybosk.com
Event time: 10 a.m.-3:00 p.m.
Address: Kelly Hall, Goucher College, Towson, MD 21204

CASEY CARES 16th annual Gala - A Superhero Soiree
This year’s theme is Superhero Soiree and we’ll be celebrating our heroes
- the kids in our programs and our gen-
erous supporters. This fabulous annual event features entertainment, hors
d’oeuvres, seated dinner, cocktails, dancing, auctions and more.
Cost: $150, available online at Casey-
cares.org or call 443-568-0604
Registration: Go to http://caseycares.
org/index2.php?page=events&event-gala
Event time: 6:30-11 p.m.
Address: American Visionary Art Mu-
seum, 900 Key Highway, Baltimore, MD 21224

CRASH COURSE IN BRANDING
Start with Story
A 3-hour interactive workshop where you will learn to develop a brand story
that will attract your natural audience.
Cost: $100
Registration: Go to https://davinbrand.
Morgan officials make pitch for tech-transfer funding

BY DANIEL LEADERMAN

Researchers at Morgan State University may have found a source of clean energy in bacteria that they’ve genetically engineered to grow in saltwater.

The quick-growing, greenish organism — think algae — can now grow quickly in nutrient-rich saltwater and be processed into a biofuel that could be used to run eco-friendly vehicles, said Vij Sittiger, assistant professor of biology at Morgan State, who is leading the research.

Sittiger’s work has allowed the university to file for what will be its second patent — its first full patent, for a protein crystallization process that could assist Alzheimer’s treatments, was awarded earlier this year.

It also illustrates why the university is seeking additional state money to assist its efforts to commercialize research and spin off new companies.

A bill that would provide $3 million over three years so the historically black research institution can beef up its technology-transfer office is scheduled for a Senate committee hearing Wednesday afternoon.

University officials made a push for the additional funding after recent discussion of a partial merger of the University of Maryland, College Park and the University of Maryland, Baltimore — a proposal that includes millions of dollars in new annual funding to assist the joint research commercialization efforts of those schools.

“We wanted to make sure that Morgan, as the only public, comprehensive research university in the city of Baltimore, was not being overlooked,” Morgan State President David Wilson told The Daily Record. “We have all kinds of innovations taking place at Morgan. We are on the cusp of perhaps commercializing two or three of these.”

The legislation, introduced last week on Morgan’s behalf by Sen. Nathaniel McFadden, D-Baltimore City, calls for $1 million per year for the university from fiscal 2018 through fiscal 2020.

“I think this is a great investment in Morgan that will return significant dividends to the city of Baltimore and to the state of Maryland,” Wilson said.

The legislation calls for the Maryland Technology Development Corp. (TEDCO) — which provided a $100,000 grant to support Sittiger’s research — to provide technical assistance to Morgan-State’s Office of Technology Transfer as needed.

‘Absolutely critical’

TEDCO President and COO John Wasilisin testified in support of the bill before the Senate Education, Health and Environmental Affairs Committee Wednesday, saying that it is “absolutely critical” for a research university to make the best use of its potential.

“Businesses and other-offices play a crucial role in both advising researchers when their projects may be ready for commercialization and in alerting investors, entrepreneurs and corporations to the projects within a university they may be interested in,” Wasilisin said.

The funds provided in the bill will allow Morgan State to hire the additional staff needed to make its technology transfer office more competitive with other universities, provide incentives for faculty and students to commercialize their ideas, and increase the budget of its patenting efforts, Wilson told the committee.

“This is how the business in the new, innovation economy will be created,” McFadden told the committee. “Morgan is excited to play a key role.”

Loans

Md. lawmakers want to study ways to help students refinance debt

Continued from 1A

hand for working- and middle-class families,” House Speaker Michael E. Busch, a sponsor of the measure, told The Daily Record. “People have saved all their lives to send their kids to school and now they can’t afford to do it.”

The nonprofit Institute for College Access & Success reports that of the 2014 graduates of nonprofit, four-year institutions in Maryland, 56 percent had student debt, owing an average of $27,457.

Debt reported by new Maryland graduates has increased 118 percent from 2004 to 2014, according to the institute.

But Maryland may be able to make tuition more affordable by sharing the cost with students, Busch said.

Other state programs

States including Connecticut, Rhode Island and Minnesota have been in the business of offering low-interest student loans for decades, and they recently built on those programs by adding refinancing options.

The Connecticut Higher Education Supplemental Loan Authority, or

CHESLA, sold bonds in 2015 which allowed it to offer new loans with a 4.05 percent interest rate, the lowest in the authority’s 30-year history, according to its most recent annual report.

Rhode Island has been offering new student loans for more than 35 years and has earned a triple-A bond rating from Fitch Ratings and Standard & Poor’s, according to the authority’s 2015 annual report.

In the first year and a half of offering refinancing services, the Rhode Island authority helped 1,000 people refinance $13.6 million in student debt at interest rates as low as 4.24 percent, according to the annual report.

But these authorities aren’t for everyone: Rhode Island requires borrowers to pass a credit check and make at least $40,000 per year to qualify for refinancing; Minnesota requires borrowers to have FICO credit scores of at least 720 (650 if the borrower has a co-signer), and no delinquencies on their credit reports.

Connecticut and Minnesota’s refinancing programs are launching this year. Busch said a Maryland program might also need to be targeted at borrowers of certain income levels.

The study bill tasks the Maryland Higher Education Commission and the Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority with examining the possibility of creating similar programs and reporting back to the General Assembly before September 2017.

Local proposals

A pair of local bills submitted this year sought to authorize the creation of local student loan refinancing authorities in Montgomery and Harford Counties, but the fate of those bills has yet to be determined.

The Montgomery County bill drew staunch opposition from County Executive Isaiah Leggett, who doesn’t think that the county will have the money to create and support such a body in the foreseeable future and doesn’t want to raise false expectations.

Leggett believes that financing the initiative in a way that can provide low-interest loans comes with “significant risks and uncertainties,” according to written testimony submitted by the county.

In a Jan. 25 letter to Leggett, the county’s finance director, Joseph F. Beach, cautioned that a county authority could face start-up costs of $50 million to $100 million, and that the authority might struggle to be able to provide interest rates lower than the federal government. A county authority would also lack an existing portfolio to help collateralize existing loans.

Montgomery County’s House delegations altered the bill to require a feasibility study. The amended version earned the support of the county council.

“We turned it into a study bill when we realized … how complex the issue is,” said House Majority Leader Anne Kaiser, D-Montgomery, the bill’s lead sponsor. “I certainly understand the county executive’s concern about affordability, but, at the same time, it is just a study.”

Kaiser said Tuesday it wasn’t clear whether the Montgomery bill and the Harford bill — sponsored by Del. Mary Ann Lisanti, a Democrat — would move forward.

TODAY'S HIGHLIGHTS

MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
Bike share bolsters Baltimore transit options

The property at 2 East Frederick St. in Walkersville once was a shirt factory and, most recently, office and warehouse space.

Cool Space

Properties to watch in Maryland

Address: 2 E. Frederick St., Walkersville
Built: 1897
Space: 15,862 square feet
List price: $1.35 million
Previous use: American Red Cross offices/warehouse
Contact: Rocky Mackintosh, Marco Real Estate Services (301) 698–9696 ext. 202; rocky@marcoltd.com

This historic property in Walkersville provides the opportunity for several possible uses, such as retail, restaurant or office space. The 15,862-square-foot building at 2 E. Frederick St. dates back to 1897 and was originally used as an Arrow Shirts factory. Most recently the building has been used by the American Red Cross for office and warehouse space.

“It’s a very unique property, and I think it has a lot of flexibility. It can be converted to shopping there, or it could just be kept as an office,” Rocky Mackintosh, owner of Marco Real Estate Services, said.

The property is listed at $1.35 million and includes 3.81 acres of land. Of that land 1.53 acres are included in a Deed of Preservation Easement with the Maryland Historical Trust, but the rest of the space is eligible for development.

The property is in Walkersville’s B–2 zoning area that allows for such uses as hardware stores, restaurants and lodging facilities.

The front of the building is well-maintained office space, and at the rear there’s about 10,000 square feet of warehouse space.

It’s also located near several major roadways and is only a short distance from the booming Frederick area.
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LEGAL NEWS

UB law moves up in U.S. News rankings; UM Carey holds steady

Both local law schools recognized for their part-time programs

BY LAUREN KIRKWOOD
Lauren.Kirkwood@TheDailyRecord.com

For the second year in a row, the University of Baltimore School of Law has risen in U.S. News & World Report’s rankings of New School Law School rankings, reaching No. 111 after climbing nearly 25 spots in two years.

The University of Maryland Francis King Carey School of Law, meanwhile, held its ground as a top-50 law school, dropping one spot from last year to No. 48 in the rankings, which were publicly released on Wednesday.

Both local law schools were also recognized for their part-time law programs, with UM Carey ranking No. 5 and UB Law at No. 36.

Ronald Weich, dean of UB Law, attributed the school’s improved ranking in part to its decision to maintain its admission standards despite decreased numbers of law school applicants nationwide in recent years.

“It’s very important to enroll the students who have the tools to succeed in this market, and that’s what we’ve done,” he said. “We’re obviously pleased to have jumped from 122 to 111 this year, but the two-year trend is even more significant, because it shows substantial improvement over time.”

“I don’t want to put too much weight on these numerical rankings,” he continued, “and the fine distinctions from one rank to the next may not be very meaningful, but this kind of substantial change over two years reflects the strength of our program.”

U.S. News takes a number of factors into account in its ranking methodology. A “peer assessment score” assigned by law school deans and tenured faculty members, along with an assessment score decided by lawyers and judges, accounts for 40 percent of the ranking decision, while the law school’s selectivity — judged by its median LSAT scores, median undergraduate GPA and acceptance rate — accounts for 25 percent.

The rankings also consider graduates’ employment rates at graduation and at 10 months after graduation, as well as the school’s bar passage rate, which accounts for 20 percent. An evaluation of the school’s faculty resources, including expenditure per student, student/faculty ratio and library resources, accounts for the final 15 percent.

UB Law’s overall No. 111 ranking, which it shared with 11 other law schools, marks a strong improvement from last year’s ranking at No. 123 and 2014’s No. 135 slot.

“The students are succeeding in employment and are improving the legal community, and that affects our reputation,” Weich said. “This is really a mark of the hard work of our students and the commitment of our faculty to excellence.”

UM Carey, which shared its No. 48 spot with the University of Florida’s Levin College of Law, has fallen in the rankings since it reached the No. 30 spot in 2012, after the school fared well in rankings for law school specialty programs.

The clinical training program at UM Carey received the No. 7 spot, while its health care law program ranked No. 4 in the country. Those programs slipped a bit compared to last year’s rankings, however, when they came in at No. 5 and No. 2, respectively.

Donald Tobin, dean of UM Carey Law, is in China visiting law schools and meeting Maryland alumni and was unavailable to comment on the rankings, law school officials said Wednesday.

House panel approves bill to enable jail for hosts of underage-drinking parties

Bill would retain furnishing of alcohol to minors as fineable offense in break from Senate legislation

BY STEVE LASH
Steve.Lash@TheDailyRecord.com

ANNAPOLIS – The House Judiciary Committee on Wednesday approved legislation that would allow judges to imprison adults who knowingly and willfully host underage-drinking parties.

The measure, however, would retain as just a fineable offense the mere furnishing of alcohol to a person under age 21.

The committee-approved bill stands in stark contrast to legislation the Senate approved — which would provide too strong a punishment for adults who do not intend for underage consumption of their alcohol.

Del. Neil C. Parrott, R-Washington, successfully moved for the mere-furnishing exception, saying the Senate-approved measure would provide too strong a punishment for adults who do not intend for underage consumption of their alcohol.

Del. David V. Fraser-Hidalgo, D-Washington, successfully moved for the mere-furnishing exclusion, saying the Senate-approved measure would provide too strong a punishment for adults who do not intend for underage consumption of their alcohol.

The committee, after passing Parrott’s amendment, then approved the bill and sent it to the House floor, where it is expected to be considered by the end of next week.

The legislation, House Bill 409, was prompted by the deaths last June of Alex Murk and Calvin Li, both 18, in a single-car crash on a residential road after attending an underage drinking party.

The recent graduates of Rockville’s Thomas S. Wootton High School were passengers in a car driven by Samuel Ellis, 19, whose police said was legally drunk while approaching speeds of 100 miles per hour on Dufief Mill Road in North Potomac.

Ellis is facing charges of negligent homicide by automobile while under the influence, negligent manslaughter by automobile and causing life-threatening injury by vehicle while under the influence; Kenneth Saltzman, whom police said hosted more than 20 underage drinkers at his North Potomac home; pleaded guilty to two counts of furnishing alcoholic beverage to individuals under age 21 and paid a $5,000 fine.

Saltzman had broken a state law prohibiting adults from “knowingly and willfully” allowing someone under age 21 from possessing or consuming an alcoholic beverage at the adult’s residence.

The statute, which currently bars anyone under age 21 from consuming alcohol at the residence of an adult, accounts for 40 percent of the ranking decision, while the law school’s selectivity — judged by its median LSAT scores, median undergraduate GPA and acceptance rate — accounts for 25 percent.

The rankings also consider graduates’ employment rates at graduation and at 10 months after graduation, as well as the school’s bar passage rate, which accounts for 20 percent. An evaluation of the school’s faculty resources, including expenditure per student, student/faculty ratio and library resources, accounts for the final 15 percent.

UB Law’s overall No. 111 ranking, which it shared with 11 other law schools, marks a strong improvement from last year’s ranking at No. 123 and 2014’s No. 135 slot.

“The students are succeeding in employment and are improving the legal community, and that affects our reputation,” Weich said. “This is really a mark of the hard work of our students and the commitment of our faculty to excellence.”

UM Carey, which shared its No. 48 spot with the University of Florida’s Levin College of Law, has fallen in the rankings since it reached the No. 30 spot in 2012, after the school fared well in rankings for law school specialty programs.

The clinical training program at UM Carey received the No. 7 spot, while its health care law program ranked No. 4 in the country. Those programs slipped a bit compared to last year’s rankings, however, when they came in at No. 5 and No. 2, respectively.

Donald Tobin, dean of UM Carey Law, is in China visiting law schools and meeting Maryland alumni and was unavailable to comment on the rankings, law school officials said Wednesday.

While proposing stricter criminal sanctions, legislators have declined to address whether parents, or other adults, who host underage drinking parties could be held civilly liable for harm caused by or to their invited guests who get into a car. However, Maryland’s top court has recently heard two cases addressing whether the state’s common law provides for such civil liability.

The Court of Appeals is expected to render its decisions by Aug. 31. The two cases are Nancy Dankos (FKA Nancy Davis) v. Linda Steag, No. 55 September Term 2015, and Manvel Kivison v. Brandon Phillips, No. 20 September Term 2015.

House panel approves bill to enable jail for hosts of underage-drinking parties

Bill would retain furnishing of alcohol to minors as fineable offense in break from Senate legislation
Garland >> Republican senators reiterate refusal to consider nominee

on a point where I passionately believed I was correct," he said, declining to cite the case.

Maryland state Sen. Jamin B. "Jamie" Raskin lost the only case he argued before Garland.

Raskin, a constitutional law professor, contended that the inability of Washing-
ton residents to vote for U.S. senators and representatives violated their con-
stitutional rights to equal protection, a republican form of government and due
process.

He also argued that the denying the residents a vote abridged their constitu-
tional privileges and immunities as U.S. citizens.

But Garland joined a 2-1 decision in March 2000 that the capital city's resi-
dents do not have those voting rights due to the constitutional provision that mem-
bers of Congress shall be chosen by "the people of the several states" and the dis-
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Ohioans to Stop Executions, the state’s largest anti-death penalty group, called on Gov. John Kasich to commute Broom’s sentence to life without parole.

Ex-N.Y. Fed employee avoids prison for leaking documents (Bloomberg) — A former staff member at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York was sentenced to a year of probation for leaking confidential information to his ex-boss, who was then a junior banker at Goldman Sachs Group Inc., according to the U.S. Justice Department. Jason Gross admitted last year that he passed documents, including information from the regulator overseeing a midsize New York bank that his former boss Robert Bauslaugh’s group at Goldman Sachs was advising. Bauslaugh worked for the government for seven years before leaving in 2014 to join Goldman Sachs. In addition to probation, Gross was ordered to pay a $2,000 fine and perform 200 hours of community service, said Christian Saint-Vil, a spokesman for Manhattan U.S. Attorney Preet Bharara. Gross pleaded guilty in November to sending more than 30 documents to Bauslaugh on 20 occasions, according to court filings in a $50 million settlement between Goldman Sachs and the New York Department of Financial Services.

N.C. court: Meth felons must be told allergy med purchase illegal (AP) — North Carolina must notify people convicted of possessing or manufacturing methamphetamine that it’s illegal for them to purchase some allergy and congestion medicines — even for legal uses, the state Court of Appeals said in a ruling. The appeals court said the law violates the due process clause of the U.S. Constitution because the defendant wasn’t warned that his previously legal behavior was now criminal. As of Dec. 1, 2013, North Carolina law made it illegal for anyone with a prior conviction for possession or manufacture of methamphetamine to buy products containing pseudoephedrine. Many allergy and congestion medicines contain pseudoephedrine, which can be used to make meth. In North Carolina, such products don’t necessarily require a prescription but do require the buyer to first go through prompts on a computer screen saying they understand that buying the medicines in large quantities or too frequently is illegal. The prompts don’t mention the 2013 change regarding previous convictions, and signs aren’t posted in pharmacies, said defense attorney Jeff Gillette, who represented the defendant.

Lawsuit: Feds dragging feet on whether 9 species endangered (AP) — The government has dragged its feet on deciding whether alligator snapping turtles and eight other species around the country need federal protection, according to a lawsuit filed Wednesday. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service missed decision deadlines, most of them by years, for species including the California spotted owl, a cat-sized hunting mammal called the Northern Rockies fisher, and an Alabama mussel called the Canoe Creek pigtoe, according to the Center for Biological Diversity, a nonprofit for endangered species. Agency spokesman Tom MacKenzie said he was checking on whether Fish and Wildlife would comment on the suit filed in federal district court in Washington. The hard-biting, spike-studded alligator snapping turtle is the largest of the species involved, growing more than 2 feet long and weighing up to 175 pounds. The smallest may be the Beaverpond marstonia, described as a tiny freshwater snail found only in one Georgia creek.
Maryland Court of Appeals

**Torts**

**Strict liability**

**BOTTOM LINE**: Noise emanating from the discharge of a fireworks display did not constitute an abnormally dangerous activity warranting the imposition of strict liability, because the statutory scheme regulating the use of fireworks significantly reduces the risk of harm associated with the discharge of fireworks.

**CASE**: Toms v. Calvary Assembly of God, Inc., No. 26, Sept. Term, 2015, Page 13A

**LAW**

The issue was whether the doctrine of strict liability for an abnormally dangerous activity applied to the noise from the fireworks discharge. Maryland statute §519, 255 Md. 229, 222 (1969), defines strict liability for an abnormally dangerous activity, one who carries on an abnormally dangerous activity is subject to liability to the person or land or chattels of others if harm results from it, as to justify the imposition of strict liability. Maryland law is designed to significantly reduce the risk of harm associated with the discharge of fireworks.

**FACTS**: Toms appealed to the circuit court, which affirmed the lower court's ruling. Toms was exposed to the noise from the fireworks discharge while he was hoisting the u coax to prevent the harm. This strict liability is limited to the kind of harm, the possibility of which makes the activity abnormally dangerous.

**HOLDING AND RATIONALE**: To determine whether an activity is abnormally dangerous, a court considers six factors: (1) the existence of a high degree of risk of some harm to the person, land, or chattels of others; (2) the manner in which the activity is conducted; (3) the extent to which the activity normally arises in the context of nuisance and negligence litigation; (4) the likelihood that the harm that results from it will be great; (5) the ability to eliminate the risk by the exercise of reasonable care; and (6) the extent to which its value to the community is outweighed by its dangerous attributes. Under §519, which defines strict liability for a fireworks discharge is minimal or non-existent because the statutory scheme in place does not pose a high degree of risk, the district court did not clearly err in imposing strict liability. See, e.g., Heshbon v. Litinco, 162 A.2d 160, 162 (Md. 1960).

**DISSENT**

In the present case, Toms argued that the strict liability doctrine should be abolished to hold fireworks gunfire. The state's common law recognizes that noise from fireworks discharge is minimal or non-existent. Accordingly, in enacting the Public Safety Article, the General Assembly did not intend to hold that fireworks discharge does not warrant the imposition of strict liability. See, e.g., Heshbon v. Litinco, 162 A.2d 160, 162 (Md. 1960). In the present case, Toms argued that the strict liability doctrine should be abolished to hold fireworks gunfire. The state's common law recognizes that noise from fireworks discharge is minimal or non-existent. Accordingly, in enacting the Public Safety Article, the General Assembly did not intend to hold that fireworks discharge does not warrant the imposition of strict liability. See, e.g., Heshbon v. Litinco, 162 A.2d 160, 162 (Md. 1960).
Maryland court has original, continuing and ancillary jurisdiction over the garnishee’s employer or residence. Thus, a wage garnishment continues from, and is ancillary to, the original judgment, even when the judgment debtor has moved to a new residence. See Medical Mut. Liability Ins. Soc. of Maryland v. Davis, 389 Md. 95 (2005). Accordingly, the MCT did differ as to the extent of the reach of the wage garnishments ordered by the district court of Maryland. In Toms v. Mensah, 173 Md. App. 266, 924 A.2d 769 (2007), Mensah argued that the decision in Livingston v. Naylor, in which the Court of Appeals held that the garnishment of wages earned in North Carolina, barred MCT from garnishing any wages earned by him outside of Maryland, Livingston v. Naylor, 173 Md. App. 266, 924 A.2d 769 (2007), was inapplicable. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. v. Ruby was dispositive. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. v. Ruby, 346 U.S. 372 (1954). In Goodyear, the Court of Appeals held that wage garnishment of a non-resident did not constitute a new cause of action but was within the exercise of the continuing, ancillary jurisdiction of the Maryland circuit court, and that service of the garnishment was effective as to the employer where it was sufficient to meet the requirements of due process. Id. at 420. Accordingly, the Court also addressed whether there were sufficient contacts between Goodyear and Maryland to warrant exercise of personal jurisdiction over it. Id. The Court found that although the record was inadequate to establish Goodyear’s Maryland contacts, there was sufficient evidence of systematic and systematic general business conduct that would be sufficient to support the exercise of personal jurisdiction. Goodyear does not arise out of the conduct of the garnishee within the forum. Id. at 422. Therefore, the notice of garnishment was proper.

Consideration of the tenets of Goodyear and Livingston, as informed by subsequent decisions of the United States Supreme Court in Shaffer v. Heitner and Rush v. Svehla, yielded the conclusion that MCT’s post-judgment garnishment of Mensah’s wages earned in Texas through the exercise of the original and ancillary jurisdiction of the district court of Maryland, which was based on Mensah, which also had jurisdiction over the garnishee, BASF, as a result of the garnishee’s continuous and systematic business conduct in Maryland. See Shaffer v. Heitner, 433 U.S. 189, 97 S. Ct. 2511, 2518 (1977), and Rush v. Svehla, 436 U.S. 202 (1980). As such, MCT could enforce the money judgments against Mensah through garnishments of Mensah’s wages earned in Texas through the exercise of the original and ancillary jurisdiction of the district court which entered the judgments and not through BASF’s business contacts in the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the circuit court was affirmed.

PRACTICE TIPS

Because the dangers inherent in the use of a hangman in the commission of murder or the departure of any occupation or ownership of land, Maryland courts have held that the intentional tort activity doctrine does not apply to the manufacture or marketing of fireworks.

Civil Procedure

Judgment enforcement

BOTTOM LINE: A Maryland district court could order wages earned outside of Maryland by a non-resident judgment debtor to be subject to garnishment of wages under the Commercial Law Article. See Maryland Code (1987, 2013 Repl. Vol.), the UEFJA offers a judgment creditor holding a valid judgment from another state or foreign country to enforce that judgment in Maryland. The judgment creditor may file, or the judgment in a court in Maryland, where that judgment will be enforced, or it may file as though it were a judgment of the Maryland court and may, among other things, seek the enforcement of notice to the garnishee that the enforcement of the judgment must be provided to the judgment debtor.

FACTS: In 2006, while living in Maryland, Daniel Mensah opened a personal line of credit and a credit card account with MCT Federal Credit Union. Over the next several years, Mensah accumulated $19,686 in credit card debt and amassed $14,952 on the line of credit. In 2008, Mensah moved to Texas and was not resident or nonresident of the State. Id. The Court also addressed whether there were sufficient contacts between Goodyear and Maryland to warrant exercise of personal jurisdiction over it. Id. The Court found that although the record was inadequate to establish Goodyear’s Maryland contacts, there was sufficient evidence of systematic and systematic general business conduct that would be sufficient to support the exercise of personal jurisdiction. Goodyear does not arise out of the conduct of the garnishee within the forum. Id. at 422. Therefore, the notice of garnishment was proper.

Consideration of the tenets of Goodyear and Livingston, as informed by subsequent decisions of the United States Supreme Court in Shaffer v. Heitner and Rush v. Svehla, yielded the conclusion that MCT’s post-judgment garnishment of Mensah’s wages earned in Texas through the exercise of the original and ancillary jurisdiction of the district court which entered the judgments and not through BASF’s business contacts in the State.
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Clerk of a circuit court. A filed for
eign judgment has the same effect and
procedures, defenses, and proceedings for
posing, staying, enforcing, or satisfying as a juc
d the circuit court in which it is filled.

Constitutional Law

Government speech

BOTTOM LINE: The Court of Appeals held that the Fraternal Order of Police ("FOP") was not subject to mandatory collective bargaining under the Montgomery County charter. In addition, the county is not subject to mandatory collective bargaining under the Maryland Constitution ("Constitution").

FOP's complaint was brought both under the county's police officers. The county's central message was that the County, Leggett, and Lacefield had a general interest in assuring that the county, is charged with faithfully executing the laws of the state; a "no" vote would nullify it. The efforts were successful, including ballot questions, that may aid in executing and enforcing any power in Title 10 of that Article or that may aid in assuring that the county government, health, and welfare of the county. That grant of authority has been construed to pass all ordinances the Court "deems expedient under the police power," ex


FOP challenged the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the County's speech in this case constituted "government speech." The "government speech" defense was particularly relevant to the case because FOP is primarily a trade union and not a political entity. The case is FOP v. Montgomery County, and the issue is whether FOP's speech in promoting the county charter amendment was protected by the Court of Appeals held that the Fraternal Order of Police ("FOP") was not subject to mandatory collective bargaining under the Montgomery County charter. In addition, the county is not subject to mandatory collective bargaining under the Maryland Constitution ("Constitution").

FOP's complaint was brought both under the county's police officers. The county's central message was that the County, Leggett, and Lacefield had a general interest in assuring that the county, is charged with faithfully executing the laws of the state; a "no" vote would nullify it. The efforts were successful, including ballot questions, that may aid in executing and enforcing any power in Title 10 of that Article or that may aid in assuring that the county government, health, and welfare of the county. That grant of authority has been construed to pass all ordinances the Court "deems expedient under the police power," ex
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 provision, since part of FOP's statutory duty under §33-80(b) is to provide police with necessary information. The test required by the circuit court was not properly applied. The UCCJEA does not bar such testimony. It provides in §33-80(b) that "nothing contained in this Act shall be construed to bar testimony, from FOP's decision to wait until the eve of the divorce proceeding. FL §9.5-101(h)(1). Therefore, the circuit court was affirmed.

Accordingly, the judgment of the circuit court was affirmed.

COMMENTARY: Garba had dwellings in several countries during the six months prior to Garba's complaint.

PRACTICE TIPS:
- The UCCJEA does not define "temporary absence," but Maryland has adopted a "totality of the circumstances" test for determining whether a child's absence from a state is temporary. This is a flexible test that considers the duration of the absence and whether the parties intended to return to the state in a permanent or temporary fashion, as well as additional circumstances that may be present in the multiplicity of factual situations. The duration of the absence is also temporary, as well as additional circumstances that may be present in the multiplicity of factual situations. The duration of the absence is also temporary, as well as additional circumstances that may be present in the multiplicity of factual situations. The duration of the absence is also temporary, as well as additional circumstances that may be present in the multiplicity of factual situations.

BOTTOM LINE: The Law Enforcement Officers' Bill of Rights ("LEOB")., which may be "written, taped, or transcribed," does not bar a tape or a person acting as a parent for at least six consecutive months, including any temporary absences. If, as Garba argued, Maryland was not continuously present in B.'s home state, then the circuit court would have lacked jurisdiction to make the initial custody determination at all. Drexler v. Bornman, 217 Md. App. 355 (2015).

Under the UCCJEA, a child's "home state" has exclusive jurisdiction to make initial custody determinations. Accordingly, a Maryland court has jurisdiction to make an initial custody determination if Maryland is the state of the child on the date of the commencement of the proceeding, or was the home state of the child within the six months before the commencement of the proceeding and the child is absent from this State but a parent or person acting as a parent for at least six consecutive months, including any temporary absence. If, as Garba argued, Maryland was not continuously present in B.'s home state, then the circuit court would have lacked jurisdiction to make the initial custody determination at all.

of an interrogation conducted pursuant to the LEOBR, and, therefore, a circuit court order denying an injury claimant's motion for a rehearing from keeping a video record of the interrogations was reversed.

**CASE:**Man v. Fraternal Order of Police, Montgomery County Lodge 35, Inc., No. 257, Sept. Term, 2015 (filed Feb. 25, 2016) (Judges Wright, Arthur & ZARNOCH (Retired, Specially As- signed)).

**FACTS:**The Law Enforcement Officers’ Bill of Rights (LEOBR) was enacted in 1974 and currently codified at Maryland Code, tilt. 3-104(k), and §3-104(k) (2). In September 2010, Terry Bradley, a construction labor contractor employed by Innovated Construction LLC, to come to Maryland to do work at a job site that he would supervise. On July 19, 2010, that month he became employed by Construction Labor Contractors ("CLC"). In August 2010, CLC’s Vice President of Operations, Sam’s Club employee operating a forklift struck him. Bradley filed a claim with the Maryland Workers’ Compensation Commission against Innovated, CLC, Rand Construction Company, and the Maryland Uninsured Employers Fund ("UIF"). At trial, as to whether Bradley was a "covered employee" and as to who was Bradley’s "employing establishment," the Workers’ Compensation law provides: 1) the existence of a contract of employment is agitated by a Commission decision, Md. Code, §8-720(a) of the Labor and Employment Article (“LE”) permits a party, within 15 days after the decision, to file with the Commission a written motion for a rehearing. Alternatively, LE §§ 8-722 or 8-723, a 30 days after the mailing of the decision, to file an action for judicial review in accordance with the Rules in Title 7 of the Maryland Rules.

Bradley chose the first option. On December 10, 2010, Bradley filed a motion for a rehearing, complaining that the Commission had not properly applied the statute, "By amendment in 1974 was disarming his claim because Innovated had no workers’ compensation insurance applicable to injuries sustained by Bradley. On February 8, 2011, the Commissioner filed a memorandum stating that the Commission had decided to seek a finding by the Labor and Employment Article ("LE") permits a party, within 15 days after the decision, to file with the Commission a written motion for a rehearing. Alternatively, LE §§ 8-722 or 8-723, a 30 days after the mailing of the decision, to file an action for judicial review in accordance with the Rules in Title 7 of the Maryland Rules.
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Bradley chose the first option. On December 10, 2010, Bradley filed a motion for a rehearing, complaining that the Commission had not properly applied the statute, "By amendment in 1974 was disarming his claim because Innovated had no workers’ compensation insurance applicable to injuries sustained by Bradley. On February 8, 2011, the Commissioner filed a memorandum stating that the Commission had decided to seek a finding by the Labor and Employment Article ("LE") permits a party, within 15 days after the decision, to file with the Commission a written motion for a rehearing. Alternatively, LE §§ 8-722 or 8-723, a 30 days after the mailing of the decision, to file an action for judicial review in accordance with the Rules in Title 7 of the Maryland Rules.
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was, in fact, held that day. However, on March 1, 2012, the Commissioner issued an order affirming the order of December 8, 2010. No reasons were given. The argument made by the three alleged em-
ployees was that the order of December 8, 2010 had become final when no peti-
tion for judicial review was timely filed.

sion decisions. Innovated, along with the impleaded Auto Owners, filed a motion for summary judgment. CLC, Rand Con-
struction Co., and their insurers joined in that motion. In November 2012, In-
ovated and Auto Owners formally withdrew their motion. Nevertheless, in December 2012, a hearing on the motion proceeded at the insistence of the other parties who had joined in the motion. The judge subsequently granted the motion. The order granting the motion did not mention Innovated or Auto Own-
ers but treated the order as applying only to the other employers and insurers. Bradley filed an appeal with the Court of Special Appeals.

On February 19, 2013, the circuit court judge, noting that when he granted the motion, the file had not included the information that Innovated and Auto Owners had withdrawn their motion, struck the order. At that point, the Court of Special Appeals dismissed the appeal as moot. CLC and its insurer then filed a motion to revise the order striking the motion for summary judgment, and Innovated and Auto Own-
ers refiled their motion for summary judgment.

On October 8, 2014, the circuit court dismissed the petition on the ground that it had not been timely filed, con-
cluding that the 30-day period allowed for the filing of a judicial review action commenced on July 7, 2011, when, in re-
sponse to the first request for rehearing, the Commissioner affirmed the denial of the claim, and that that period could not be extended by the filing of another re-
petition for rehearing. Bradley appealed to the Court of Special Appeals, which vacated the judg-
ment of the circuit court and remanded the case.

LAW: Bradley asserted that the 30-day period for filing a petition for judicial re-
view did not commence until the mailing of the Commissioner's order of March 1, 2012. Bradley claimed that this conclu-
sion was dictated by LE §9-726. Section 9-726(a) permits a party to file a motion for rehearing within 15 days after the „date of a decision by the Com-
mision.” Subsection (d) of § 9-726 first commands that, even if an „appeal” (i.e., a petition for judicial review) is pending, the Commission shall promptly rule on the motion. Second, it provides that the Commission may decide a motion for rehearing without granting a hearing on the motion. Third, it permits the Com-
mision to grant a motion for rehearing only on grounds of error of law or newly discovered evidence.

Bradley's claim, but it also nullified the

The Commission's order dated July 7, 2011, had a dual effect. Not only was it a final order of the Commission denying Bradley's claim, but it also nullified the initial decision to hold a hearing on the motion. Thus, the motion for rehearing was effectively denied, from both a proce-
dural and substantive point of view, and there was nothing left to rehear. As noted, Bradley could have filed a petition for judicial review at that point. How-
ever, nothing in §9-726 precluded him from filing another motion for rehearing.


However, the Commission did take action. By granting the second (July 23) motion for rehearing, setting a date for that rehearing, and actually holding the rehearing, the Commission effectively withdrew its July 7, 2011 order so that it could actually do what it had agreed to do in response to the first motion for rehearing. Bradley viewed this conclusion otherwise.

The March 1 order became the effective

fore a party files a motion for rehearing under §9-726(a) and the Commission denies the motion, the time for seeking judicial review starts on the date the Commission mails notice of the denial. Here, that occurred on July 7, 2011. If the Commission had taken no action on the second motion for rehearing, Bradley would have lost his opportunity to seek judicial review of the July 7 order 30 days after the mailing of the order.

However, the Commission did take action. By granting the second (July 23) motion for rehearing, setting a date for that rehearing, and actually holding the rehearing, the Commission effectively withdrew its July 7, 2011 order so that it could actually do what it had agreed to do in response to the first motion for rehearing. Bradley viewed this conclusion otherwise.

The March 1 order became the effective
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ASSOCIATE ATTORNEY
Levy, Mann, Caplan, Hermann & Polsinski, LLP, an Owings Mills, Maryland law firm, is seeking an Associate Attorney. An ideal candidate would have experience in tax controversy matters, including Tax Court litigation, overseas compliance issues, and other areas of tax controversy work. We offer a collegial atmosphere and competitive compensation. We would also consider a 2016 graduate with a real interest in doing tax controversy work.

Please forward a resume and cover letter to PLHF@1mpylaw.com.

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY
MARYLAND ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

The Office of the Attorney General is seeking applicants for an Assistant Attorney General to serve as an Assistant Attorney General in the Maryland Energy Administration. Closing Date: Thursday, March 17, 2016. See full ad at www.oag.state.md.us EOE.

ATTORNEY
Seeking attorney with 3-5 years litigation experience for small busy family law firm in Annapolis. Must be able to work independently or with minimal supervision and be member of Maryland Bar. Compensation depends on experience. Please email resume, writing sample and cover letter to kta@arnfamilylaw.com.

FAMILY LAW LATERAL
Council Baradel, a leading Annapolis law firm seeks an experienced 7+year Family Law lateral to assist and grow a busy practice. Candidates will be skilled in oral discussion, negotiation and effective debate and persuasion in highly stressful and emotional situations. Excellent time management and organizational skills required. Experience handling a full case load without constant direct supervision is a plus. Along with a competitive salary, the firm offers health insurance, parking, 401k Plan and much more. Must be a member of the Maryland Bar. Send resume and letter of interest to careers@councilbaradel.com.

PARALEGAL
Are you looking for a professional and supportive environment to continue your paralegal career? Leder & Hale, a defense litigation firm in Towson with a goal-oriented and collaborative culture is seeking a paralegal to join the team. This professional must be a team player who can work independently and is interested in developing professionally.

Three to five years of medical records experience preferred. Healthcare insurance, 401(k) and free parking.
Submit credentials to: Chris Hagopian, Leder & Hale, chris@felderhale.com, fax: 410-821-8888. Leder & Hale is an Equal Opportunity Employer.

ASSOCIATE ATTORNEY
Rollins, Smalik, Richards & Mackie, LLC, an AV rated Baltimore civil litigation firm, seeks an associate attorney with one to five years litigation experience and excellent academic credentials and communication skills for immediate hire. The successful candidate must be licensed in Maryland (D.C. license a plus) and have some courtroom experience.

General liability defense or other civil litigation experience preferred. We provide an excellent opportunity for career growth and a competitive benefits package. A professional and pleasant environment. Direct inquiries, resumes, recommendations and salary requirements via e-mail to admin@rsmx.com. Principals only.

OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
INVESTIGATOR

The Office of the Federal Public Defender for the District of Maryland is now accepting applications for a criminal defense investigator for either our Baltimore or Greenbelt office. The Office of the Federal Public Defender operates under the authority of the Criminal Justice Act, U.S.C. § 3006A, to provide defense services in criminal cases and related matters in the federal courts. Applicants should have a commitment to providing quality representation to indigent defendants. Excellent investigative, interviewing and writing skills are essential for the position, as is the ability to locate, obtain, review, analyze and summarize relevant records. Successful applicants should have a college degree and be proficient with technology. Candidates with experience or training in developing sentencing mitigation and/or fluency in Spanish are encouraged to apply. Starting salary commensurate with experience, qualifications and education. Background check is required. Submit resume with cover letter no later than April 15, 2016 to:

Jim Wyda, Federal Public Defender Office of the Federal Public Defender 100 S. Charles Street, Tower II, 9th Floor Baltimore, MD 21201 or via email to sandra_fleming@fdl.org

The Federal Defender is an Equal Opportunity Employer, and follows the Employee Dispute Resolution Plan of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. Women and people of color are encouraged to apply.

SENIOR LAW CLERK
COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS

The Honorable Douglas Nazarian of the Maryland Court of Special Appeals is seeking a legal professional to fill the position of Senior Law Clerk. In addition to drafting and reviewing opinions and attending and assisting the judge in preparing for oral argument, the Senior Law Clerk oversees the day-to-day administration of the chambers and works with co-clerks, Clerk’s Office, and other judges’ chambers in circulating, approving, and filling opinions.

Education: Juris Doctorate degree from an ABA accredited law school.

Experience: 2 yrs. of legal experience, and excellent analytical, writing and organizational skills.

For full details and instructions on how to apply, please visit the Maryland Judiciary’s Career Page http://www.courts.state.md.us/jobs/index.html EOE

ATTORNEY – WORKERS COMP/GENERAL LITIGATION
Prioilliard Miller, PLLC seeks a motivated and seasoned Workers Compensation and General Litigation attorney with experience handling all aspects of investigation, analysis and litigation. SIGNIFICANT experience defending General Litigation and Workers Compensation matters in Maryland and the District of Columbia is required. Virginia is a plus. We offer a competitive salary, excellent benefits package, collaborative work environment and opportunity for growth. Submit resume and cover letter to resumes@dfclawfirm.com. No calls, please. Position open until filled. EOE
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Court Calendars – On a daily basis, The Daily Record publishes court calendars from the Circuit Court of Baltimore City and the City of Baltimore City Court. On a monthly basis, we publish Maryland Court of Appeals and the Maryland Court of Special Appeals calendars.

To submit a public notice, please contact Darlene Miller at 443-524-8188 or send your ad to legalads@thedailycourier.com.

Termination of Parental Rights, Foundation Notices and other notices required by law.
**Estate of (18370) Doris A. Long**

Notice is given that TERESA H. LON, 2163 Old Bay View Road, Oner, Kaler, Grimes & Shriver PC, 100 Light St, Baltimore, Maryland 21202 was on March 1, 2016 appointed personal representative of Doris A. Long who died on February 17, 2016 with a will and a codicil.

All persons having any objection to the appointment or to the probate of the decedent’s will and a codicil must file their objections with the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the personal representatives of the estate of Doris A. Long on or before the 24th day of August, 2016.

All persons having any objection to the appointment to file their objections with the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the personal representatives of the estate of Doris A. Long on or before the 24th day of August, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the personal representatives of the estate of Doris A. Long.

**Estate of (187290) Joann Maria Pelikan**

Notice is given that DICKIE May, 327 West 26th Street, New York, New York was on February 23, 2016 appointed personal representative of the estate of Joann Maria Pelikan who died on February 10, 2016 without a will.

Any person having a claim against the decedent must present the claim to the personal representative or the personal representative(s) of the estate of Joann Maria Pelikan on or before the 24th day of August, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the personal representatives of the estate of Joann Maria Pelikan.

**Estate of (18317) Virginia Poole**

Notice is given that GLENNA Z. GREEN, 8531 Main Street, Ellicott City, Maryland 21043 was on February 23, 2016 appointed personal representative of the estate of Virginia Poole who died on February 11, 2016 with a will.

Any person having a claim against the decedent must present the claim to the personal representative or the personal representative(s) of the estate of Virginia Poole on or before the 24th day of August, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the personal representatives of the estate of Virginia Poole.

**Estate of (187259) Anna L. Sann**

Notice is given that CLAYTON CARL SANN, 8065 Oakleigh Road, Parkville, Maryland 21234 was on February 23, 2016 appointed personal representative of the estate of Anna L. Sann who died on February 12, 2016 with a will in court.

Any person having a claim against the decedent must present the claim to the personal representative or the personal representative(s) of the estate of Anna L. Sann on or before the 24th day of August, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the personal representatives of the estate of Anna L. Sann.

**Estate of (187234) Mary Anne Pearce**

Notice is given that ANNA MICHELLE ELLISON, 8075 Catty Sark Road, Baltimore, Maryland 21234 was on February 23, 2016 appointed personal representative of the estate of Mary Anne Pearce who died on January 5, 2016 with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the personal representatives of the estate of Mary Anne Pearce.

**Estate of (187288) Kathleen S. Ryan**

Notice is given that KAREN FRIEDMAN, 8300 and 8302 The Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21202 was on February 23, 2016 appointed personal representative of the estate of Kathleen S. Ryan who died on February 21, 2016 with a will.

Any person having a claim against the decedent must present the claim to the personal representative or the personal representative(s) of the estate of Kathleen S. Ryan on or before the 24th day of August, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the personal representatives of the estate of Kathleen S. Ryan.

**Estate of (187284) Pauline B. Scull**

Notice is given that MAUREEN G. KEATING, 6507 Bemis Road, Baltimore, Maryland 21224 was on February 23, 2016 appointed personal representative of the estate of Pauline B. Scull who died on February 19, 2016 without a will.

Any person having a claim against the decedent must present the claim to the personal representative or the personal representative(s) of the estate of Pauline B. Scull on or before the 24th day of August, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the personal representatives of the estate of Pauline B. Scull.

**Estate of (187230) Joe S. Valentine**

Notice is given that DONNA S. VARGAS, 1030 E. Joppa Road, Joppa, Maryland 21085 was on February 23, 2016 appointed personal representative of the estate of Joe S. Valentine who died on February 21, 2016 with a will.

Any person having a claim against the decedent must present the claim to the personal representative or the personal representative(s) of the estate of Joe S. Valentine on or before the 24th day of August, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the personal representatives of the estate of Joe S. Valentine.
Baltimore City

The Circuit Court for Baltimore City

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the real property described above, and to condemn the same to be sold at public auction to the highest bidder for the highest price for the same make and become a part of the City of Baltimore and the State of Maryland to the Plaintiff in this proceeding:

Lot size: 14x80, Ward 09, Section 14, Block 1539, Lot 017, improvements known as 520 Parksley Ave.

The complaint states, among other things, that the amount necessary for redemption have not been paid.

It is therefore the 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

ORDERED, that this notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three successive weeks before the 7th day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above to appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint, or they shall lose all their rights to the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a fee simple, free and clear of all encumbrances.

MARTIN S. HIRS, Judge.
True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.

2616 Biddle St

Defendants

Order of Publication

This object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the real property described above, and to condemn the same to be sold at public auction to the highest bidder for the highest price for the same make and become a part of the City of Baltimore and the State of Maryland to the Plaintiff in this proceeding:

Lot size: 14x70, Ward 08, Section 15, Block 1539, Lot 017, improvements known as 520 Parksley Ave.

The complaint states, among other things, that the amount necessary for redemption have not been paid.

It is therefore the 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

ORDERED, that this notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three successive weeks before the 7th day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above to appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint, or they shall lose all their rights to the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a fee simple, free and clear of all encumbrances.

MARTIN S. HIRS, Judge.
True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.

Stefan B. Ades, Attorney
The Law Offices of Stefan B. Ades, LLC
3604 Eastern Avenue, Suite 300
Baltimore, Maryland 21224

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three successive weeks before the 7th day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above to appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint, or they shall lose all their rights to the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a fee simple, free and clear of all encumbrances.

MARTIN S. HIRS, Judge.
True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.

Stefan B. Ades, Attorney
The Law Offices of Stefan B. Ades, LLC
3604 Eastern Avenue, Suite 300
Baltimore, Maryland 21224

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three successive weeks before the 7th day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above to appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint, or they shall lose all their rights to the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a fee simple, free and clear of all encumbrances.

MARTIN S. HIRS, Judge.
True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.

Stefan B. Ades, Attorney
The Law Offices of Stefan B. Ades, LLC
3604 Eastern Avenue, Suite 300
Baltimore, Maryland 21224

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three successive weeks before the 7th day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above to appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint, or they shall lose all their rights to the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a fee simple, free and clear of all encumbrances.

MARTIN S. HIRS, Judge.
True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.

Stefan B. Ades, Attorney
The Law Offices of Stefan B. Ades, LLC
3604 Eastern Avenue, Suite 300
Baltimore, Maryland 21224

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three successive weeks before the 7th day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above to appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint, or they shall lose all their rights to the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a fee simple, free and clear of all encumbrances.

MARTIN S. HIRS, Judge.
True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.
The Defendants are hereby informed of the latest date to file a written Answer or Petition to Redeem the property mentioned in the Complaint describing the property for which each defendant claims an interest. Failure to file such an Answer or Petition at any time after the latest date provided in the Complaint will render the property subject to sale at the sale date and time specified in the Notice of Sale. In addition, the City of Baltimore, Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City, S/O George A. Nilson, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and City of Baltimore, S/O Henry Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and all unknown owners of the property described below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assignees or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situated in the City of Baltimore, known as 1629 N Dukeland St, Baltimore City, Ordered, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple free of all liens and encumbrances.

Order of Publication

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 1240 Albemarle St in Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

The Defendants are hereby informed of the latest date to file a written Answer or Petition to Redeem the property mentioned in the Complaint describing the property for which each defendant claims an interest. Failure to file such an Answer or Petition at any time after the latest date provided in the Complaint will render the property subject to sale at the sale date and time specified in the Notice of Sale. In addition, the City of Baltimore, Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City, S/O George A. Nilson, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and City of Baltimore, S/O Henry Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and all unknown owners of the property described below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assignees or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situated in the City of Baltimore, known as 3925 Woodhaven Rd, Baltimore City, Ordered, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple free of all liens and encumbrances.

Order of Publication

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 1241 E Rist Ave in Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

The Defendants are hereby informed of the latest date to file a written Answer or Petition to Redeem the property mentioned in the Complaint describing the property for which each defendant claims an interest. Failure to file such an Answer or Petition at any time after the latest date provided in the Complaint will render the property subject to sale at the sale date and time specified in the Notice of Sale. In addition, the City of Baltimore, Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City, S/O George A. Nilson, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and City of Baltimore, S/O Henry Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and all unknown owners of the property described below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assignees or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situated in the City of Baltimore, known as 2614 N Dukeland St, Baltimore City, Ordered, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple free of all liens and encumbrances.

Order of Publication

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 1244 S Dukeland St in Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

The Defendants are hereby informed of the latest date to file a written Answer or Petition to Redeem the property mentioned in the Complaint describing the property for which each defendant claims an interest. Failure to file such an Answer or Petition at any time after the latest date provided in the Complaint will render the property subject to sale at the sale date and time specified in the Notice of Sale. In addition, the City of Baltimore, Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City, S/O George A. Nilson, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and City of Baltimore, S/O Henry Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and all unknown owners of the property described below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assignees or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situated in the City of Baltimore, known as 2636 Edmondson Ave, Baltimore City, Ordered, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple free of all liens and encumbrances.

Order of Publication

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 1245 E Rist Ave in Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

The Defendants are hereby informed of the latest date to file a written Answer or Petition to Redeem the property mentioned in the Complaint describing the property for which each defendant claims an interest. Failure to file such an Answer or Petition at any time after the latest date provided in the Complaint will render the property subject to sale at the sale date and time specified in the Notice of Sale. In addition, the City of Baltimore, Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City, S/O George A. Nilson, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and City of Baltimore, S/O Henry Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and all unknown owners of the property described below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assignees or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situated in the City of Baltimore, known as 1237 N Luzerne Ave, Baltimore City, Ordered, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple free of all liens and encumbrances.

Order of Publication

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 1239 E Rist Ave in Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

The Defendants are hereby informed of the latest date to file a written Answer or Petition to Redeem the property mentioned in the Complaint describing the property for which each defendant claims an interest. Failure to file such an Answer or Petition at any time after the latest date provided in the Complaint will render the property subject to sale at the sale date and time specified in the Notice of Sale. In addition, the City of Baltimore, Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City, S/O George A. Nilson, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and City of Baltimore, S/O Henry Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, and all unknown owners of the property described below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assignees or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situated in the City of Baltimore, known as 2724 Fenwick Ave, Baltimore City, Ordered, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple free of all liens and encumbrances.
In the Circuit Court for Baltimore City - Case No. 24-C-16-001435 -

The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City, S/O George A. Nilson, 100 N Holliday Street, Room 454, Baltimore City, MD 21202, and All unknown owners of the real property described herein, and all heirs, devisees, administrators, grantors, assigns, successors in title, or any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described herein, are hereby notified by insertion herein of a copy of this Order in a newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of April, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, That notice be given by insertion herein of a copy of this Order in a newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of April, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances.

THE OBJECT OF THIS PROCEEDING IS TO SECURE THE FORECLOSURE OF ALL RIGHTS OF REDEMPTION FROM TAX SALE ON THE PROPERTY KNOWN AS 1420 N LUNZER STREET IN BALTIMORE CITY, MARYLAND, AND REDEEM THE PROPERTY AND ANSWER THE COMPLAINT OR THEREAFTER A FINAL JUDGMENT WILL BE ENTERED FORECLOSING ALL RIGHTS OF REDEMPTION IN所述 property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances.

MARCUS Z. SHAR, Judge.

True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.

Baltimore City

Anthony C. Owuamalam, Attorney
P.O. Box 1534,
Baltimore, MD 21203

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY - Case No. 24-C-16-001435 -

The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City, S/O George A. Nilson, 100 N Holliday Street, Room 454, Baltimore City, MD 21202, and All unknown owners of the real property described herein, and all heirs, devisees, administrators, grantors, assigns, successors in title, or any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described herein, are hereby notified by insertion herein of a copy of this Order in a newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of April, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, That notice be given by insertion herein of a copy of this Order in a newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of April, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances.

MARCUS Z. SHAR, Judge.

True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.
The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the property known as 1924 W Fayette St in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, the City of Baltimore, City of Baltimore, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, in the City of Baltimore City and the State of Maryland to the Plaintiff in this proceeding, the Plaintiff v. E U Properties LLC, s/o: Lakala McCloud, RA, 1210 Light Street, 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantors, assignees, orees, or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three successive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to have any interest in the property described above to answer the Complaint or thereafter a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the said property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in Fee Simple, free of all liens and encumbrances.
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The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 1229 E Lanvale St in Baltimore, City of Baltimore, State of Maryland sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificates of Tax Sale is as follows:

All of the real and personal property situated in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, known as 1229 E Lanvale St. Moveable personal property, however, having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for a period of three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court and file a response on or before the date specified in this Notice or before the date the redemption period expires.

If no person or persons appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

It is thereupon this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificates of Tax Sale is as follows:

All of the real and personal property situated in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, known as 2512 Garrett Ave. Moveable personal property, however, having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for a period of three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court and file a response on or before the date specified in this Notice or before the date the redemption period expires.

If no person or persons appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

It is thereupon this 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificates of Tax Sale is as follows:

All of the real and personal property situated in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, known as 60 Rollo Street. Moveable personal property, however, having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for a period of three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court and file a response on or before the date specified in this Notice or before the date the redemption period expires.

If no person or persons appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

It is thereupon this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificates of Tax Sale is as follows:

All of the real and personal property situated in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, known as 575 Granite Street. Moveable personal property, however, having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for a period of three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court and file a response on or before the date specified in this Notice or before the date the redemption period expires.

If no person or persons appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

It is thereupon this 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificates of Tax Sale is as follows:

All of the real and personal property situated in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, known as 3233 Shannon Road. Moveable personal property, however, having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for a period of three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court and file a response on or before the date specified in this Notice or before the date the redemption period expires.

If no person or persons appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

It is thereupon this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificates of Tax Sale is as follows:

All of the real and personal property situated in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, known as 1229 E Lanvale St. Moveable personal property, however, having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for a period of three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court and file a response on or before the date specified in this Notice or before the date the redemption period expires.

If no person or persons appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

It is thereupon this 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificates of Tax Sale is as follows:

All of the real and personal property situated in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, known as 1229 E Lanvale St. Moveable personal property, however, having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for a period of three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court and file a response on or before the date specified in this Notice or before the date the redemption period expires.

If no person or persons appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

It is thereupon this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificates of Tax Sale is as follows:

All of the real and personal property situated in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, known as 1229 E Lanvale St. Moveable personal property, however, having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for a period of three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court and file a response on or before the date specified in this Notice or before the date the redemption period expires.

If no person or persons appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

It is thereupon this 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificates of Tax Sale is as follows:

All of the real and personal property situated in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, known as 1229 E Lanvale St. Moveable personal property, however, having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for a period of three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court and file a response on or before the date specified in this Notice or before the date the redemption period expires.

If no person or persons appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

It is thereupon this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificates of Tax Sale is as follows:

All of the real and personal property situated in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, known as 1229 E Lanvale St. Moveable personal property, however, having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for a period of three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court and file a response on or before the date specified in this Notice or before the date the redemption period expires.

If no person or persons appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

It is thereupon this 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificates of Tax Sale is as follows:

All of the real and personal property situated in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, known as 1229 E Lanvale St. Moveable personal property, however, having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for a period of three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court and file a response on or before the date specified in this Notice or before the date the redemption period expires.

If no person or persons appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

It is thereupon this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY - Case No. 24-C-16-001442 - REO Property Servicing Trust, TR 260 800 Westinghouse, CA 92555, Plaintiff v. MLP First Holdings, LLC, and Lisa M. Polycarpe, and PRLAP Inc., Trustee, Defendants.

The Defendants are hereby informed of the latest date to file a written answer to the Complaint, which date is Thursday, March 17, 2016, and that the failure to file a properly served written answer to the Complaint will result in the entry of a default judgment against the Defendants.

MARCUS Z. SHAR, Judge.

This Court—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.

524 N Fulton Ave

Said property is described as Lot 16 on the Tax Roll of the City of Baltimore, to wit: All of the lot of ground described below, and all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, administrators, grantees, assigns or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in and demand on the property described above, for all taxes levied and assessed in the City of Baltimore, known as 524 N Fulton Ave, Baltimore City, Maryland.

The Defendants are hereby informed of the latest date to file a written answer to the Complaint, which date is Thursday, March 17, 2016, and that the failure to file a properly served written answer to the Complaint will result in the entry of a default judgment against the Defendants.

MARCUS Z. SHAR, Judge.

This Court—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY - Case No. 24-C-16-001463 - Tax Sale - FedHop, LLC, Plaintiff vs. MLP First Holdings, LLC, and Lisa M. Polycarpe, and PRLAP Inc., Trustee, Defendants.

The Defendants are hereby informed of the latest date to file a written answer to the Complaint, which date is Thursday, March 17, 2016, and that the failure to file a properly served written answer to the Complaint will result in the entry of a default judgment against the Defendants.

MARCUS Z. SHAR, Judge.

This Court—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.
ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

2179 N Payson St

and described as Lot 012, Ward 27, Section 13, Block 201, Lot 003 improvements known as 3005 Lot 003 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

ORDERED

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the hereinabove described property sold, either directly or via assignment, by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore City; and All unknown owners of the property described below, all heirs, executors, administrators, grantees, assigns or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

3005 Lot 003

and described as Lot 012, Ward 27, Section 13, Block 201, Lot 003 improvements known as 3005 Lot 003 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

ORDERED

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the hereinabove described property sold, either directly or via assignment, by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore City; and All unknown owners of the property described below, all heirs, executors, administrators, grantees, assigns or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

3005 Lot 003

and described as Lot 012, Ward 27, Section 13, Block 201, Lot 003 improvements known as 3005 Lot 003 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

ORDERED

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the hereinabove described property sold, either directly or via assignment, by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore City; and All unknown owners of the property described below, all heirs, executors, administrators, grantees, assigns or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

3005 Lot 003

and described as Lot 012, Ward 27, Section 13, Block 201, Lot 003 improvements known as 3005 Lot 003 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

ORDERED

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the hereinabove described property sold, either directly or via assignment, by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore City; and All unknown owners of the property described below, all heirs, executors, administrators, grantees, assigns or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

3005 Lot 003

and described as Lot 012, Ward 27, Section 13, Block 201, Lot 003 improvements known as 3005 Lot 003 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

2179 N Payson St

and described as Lot 012, Ward 27, Section 13, Block 201, Lot 003 improvements known as 3005 Lot 003 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

ORDERED

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the hereinabove described property sold, either directly or via assignment, by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore City; and All unknown owners of the property described below, all heirs, executors, administrators, grantees, assigns or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as

3005 Lot 003

and described as Lot 012, Ward 27, Section 13, Block 201, Lot 003 improvements known as 3005 Lot 003 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.
2825 Ganley Dr

Order of Publication

This order of publication is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from the tax sale certificate for the property in the City of Baltimore, and the State of Maryland in the Plaintiff in this proceeding:

Lot size: 43x100, Ward 26, Section 05, Block 7570; Lot 035, improvements known as

The Complaint states, among other things, that the amount necessary for redemption has not been paid.

It is therefore this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

MARTIN H. Suh, Jrg.

29203 Westavon Rd

and described as Lot 037 Section 03 Block 2235 Lot 005 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

Order of Publication

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from the tax sale certificate for the property in the City of Baltimore, and the State of Maryland in the Plaintiff in this proceeding:

Lot size: 31x100, Ward 25, Section 05, Block 1574; Lot 030, improvements known as

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from the tax sale certificate for the property in the City of Baltimore, and the State of Maryland in the Plaintiff in this proceeding:

Lot size: 28x100, Ward 25, Section 05, Block 805; Lot 032, improvements known as

John E. Reid, Attorney

Tobin, O'Connor & Ewing, 3601 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., Suite 700, Washington, D.C. 20007.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to claim in the property and vested in the Plaintiff a title to said property.

It is therefore this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

MARTIN H. Suh, Jrg.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to answer the Complaint, or thereafter a Final Decree will be entered vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property.

It is therefore this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

MARTIN H. Suh, Jrg.

ORDERED, that this notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to answer the Complaint, or thereafter a Final Decree will be entered vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property.

It is therefore this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, that the amount necessary for the redemption for the subject property has not been paid, although more than six (6) months from the date of the sale have expired.

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from the tax sale certificate for the property in the City of Baltimore, and the State of Maryland in the Plaintiff in this proceeding:

ORDERED, that this notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to answer the Complaint, or thereafter a Final Decree will be entered vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property.

It is therefore this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

MARTIN H. Suh, Jrg.

The complaint states among other things that the amount necessary for the redemption for the subject property has not been paid, although more than six (6) months from the date of the sale have expired.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to answer the Complaint, or thereafter a Final Decree will be entered vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property.

It is therefore this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

MARTIN H. Suh, Jrg.

ORDERED, that this notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to answer the Complaint, or thereafter a Final Decree will be entered vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property.

It is therefore this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

MARTIN H. Suh, Jrg.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to answer the Complaint, or thereafter a Final Decree will be entered vesting in the Plaintiff a title to said property.

It is therefore this 9th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

MARTIN H. Suh, Jrg.
**Order of Publication**

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the hereinabove described property sold, either directly or via a successor in right, in and as to the property being rendered by this Court against them.

It is thereupon this 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland, ordered, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in one newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint, or therefor a final judgment will be rendered forfeiting all rights of redemption in the said premises, vesting in the Plaintiff a leasehold title, free and clear of all encumbrances.

True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.

**2224 Ruskin Ave**

**Order of Publication**

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the hereinabove described property sold, either directly or via a successor in right, in and as to the property being rendered by this Court against them.

It is thereupon this 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland, ordered, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in one newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint, or therefor a final judgment will be rendered forfeiting all rights of redemption in the said premises, vesting in the Plaintiff a leasehold title, free and clear of all encumbrances.

True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.

**2725 Beryl Ave**

**Order of Publication**

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the hereinabove described property sold, either directly or via a successor in right, in and as to the property being rendered by this Court against them.

It is thereupon this 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland, ordered, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in one newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint, or therefor a final judgment will be rendered forfeiting all rights of redemption in the said premises, vesting in the Plaintiff a leasehold title, free and clear of all encumbrances.

True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.

**2909 Pinewood Ave**

**Order of Publication**

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the hereinabove described property sold, either directly or via a successor in right, in and as to the property being rendered by this Court against them.

It is thereupon this 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland, ordered, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in one newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 7th day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and answer the Complaint, or therefor a final judgment will be rendered forfeiting all rights of redemption in the said premises, vesting in the Plaintiff a leasehold title, free and clear of all encumbrances.

True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk.
Stefan B. Ades, Attorney
The Law Offices of Stefan B. Ades, LLC
1202 W. North Ave.
Baltimore, Maryland 21218

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of May, 2016, and redeem the properties being described as follows:

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 3120 W. North Ave., Baltimore, MD 21218; known as ACF Development LLC, P.O. Box 1534, Baltimore, MD 21203, Plaintiff v. Benjamin Franklin Smith, 631 N. Carrollton Ave, Baltimore, MD 21217, and City of Baltimore, S/O Henry Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holiday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described below; all heirs, executors, administrators, grantees, assigns or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situated in the City of Baltimore, known as

3210 W. North Ave., Baltimore, Maryland 21218

21B

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of May, 2016, and redeem the properties being described as follows:

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size #300, Washington, DC 20006; and Nordan Capital Ventures, LLC, S/O RA, Stonefield Investment Fund IV, LLC, Plaintiff v. Daryl Montgomery, Mayor and City Council for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

21B

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of May, 2016, and redeem the properties being described as follows:

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 2012 E North Avenue in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, to Dane Equities 2015, LLC, the Plaintiff.

21B

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of May, 2016, and redeem the properties being described as follows:

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size #300, Washington, DC 20006; and Nordan Capital Ventures, LLC, S/O RA, Stonefield Investment Fund IV, LLC, Plaintiff v. Daryl Montgomery, Mayor and City Council for Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff.

21B

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of May, 2016, and redeem the properties being described as follows:

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 2012 E North Avenue in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, to Dane Equities 2015, LLC, the Plaintiff.

21B

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of May, 2016, and redeem the properties being described as follows:

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 2012 E North Avenue in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, to Dane Equities 2015, LLC, the Plaintiff.

21B

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of May, 2016, and redeem the properties being described as follows:

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 2012 E North Avenue in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, to Dane Equities 2015, LLC, the Plaintiff.

21B

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of May, 2016, and redeem the properties being described as follows:

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 2012 E North Avenue in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, to Dane Equities 2015, LLC, the Plaintiff.

21B

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of May, 2016, and redeem the properties being described as follows:

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 2012 E North Avenue in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, sold by the Finance Officer of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, to Dane Equities 2015, LLC, the Plaintiff.
The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 1649 E North Avenue in Baltimore City, State of Maryland.

It is therefore this 8th day of March, 2016, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some daily newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of May, 2016, and redeem their respective interests in said premises for and in the said property, situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 1649 E North Avenue, and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 1649 E North Avenue.

MARCUS Z. SHAR, Judge.

The Defendants are hereby informed of the latest date to file a written Answer or Petition to Redeem the property mentioned in the Complaint as described above, and that failure to file a response on or before the date of expiration of the publication period as hereinabove described will result in the issuance of a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in and as to the property being secured by this Court against said property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 1649 E North Avenue.

MARCUS Z. SHAR, Judge.

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 1703 Homestead St in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, from the Defendants.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some daily newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 8th day of May, 2016, and redeem their respective interests in said premises for and in the said property, situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 1703 Homestead St, and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 1703 Homestead St.

MARCUS Z. SHAR, Judge.
The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 562 N. Curley St in Baltimore City, State of Maryland to the Plaintiff in the proceeding. The Complaint states, among other things, that the amount necessary for the redemption for the subject property is $100,000 and the redemption has not been paid.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on or before the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property described above and answer the Complaint or therefor a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple or leasehold, free and clear of all incumbrances.

2902 Monument Street

James W. Holderness, Attorney
1411 Western Avenue, Suite 300
Baltimore, Maryland 21223

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on or before the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property described above and answer the Complaint or therefor a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple or leasehold, free and clear of all incumbrances.

3032 Elizabeth Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21230

Heidi S. Kenny, Attorney
Law Offices of Heidi S. Kenny, LLC
1414 E. Lombard St, Ste 300

1414 E. Lombard St, Suite 300
Baltimore, Maryland 21230

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on or before the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property described above and answer the Complaint or therefor a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple or leasehold, free and clear of all incumbrances.

Baltimore City

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY - Case No. Co. 16-C-16-00141

Baltimore City, Ordered, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on or before the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property described above and answer the Complaint or therefor a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple or leasehold, free and clear of all incumbrances.

3604 Eastern Avenue, Suite 300

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on or before the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property described above and answer the Complaint or therefor a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple or leasehold, free and clear of all incumbrances.

True Copy—Test: LAVINIA G. ALEXANDER, Clerk

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY - Case No. Co. 16-C-16-00141

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on or before the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property described above and answer the Complaint or therefor a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple or leasehold, free and clear of all incumbrances.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY - Case No. Co. 16-C-16-00141

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on or before the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property described above and answer the Complaint or therefor a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple or leasehold, free and clear of all incumbrances.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY - Case No. Co. 16-C-16-00141

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on or before the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property described above and answer the Complaint or therefor a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple or leasehold, free and clear of all incumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on or before the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property described above and answer the Complaint or therefor a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple or leasehold, free and clear of all incumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on or before the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property described above and answer the Complaint or therefor a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple or leasehold, free and clear of all incumbrances.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, on or before the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property described above and answer the Complaint or therefor a final judgment will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title in fee simple or leasehold, free and clear of all incumbrances.
The Defendants are hereby informed of the latest date to file a written response on or before the date specified below for the purpose of redeeming the property and presenting the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore City, Maryland, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks, warning all persons interested in the property to appear in this Court by the 3rd day of May, 2016, and redeem the property and present the property in Baltimore City, known as Ward 16, Section 21, Block 2475E, Lot 014.
The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the hereinabove described property, either directly or via any person or entity having or claiming to have any interest in the property, and vesting in the Plaintiff the Plaintiff's title to said real property and premises or estate in the City of Baltimore, known as:

D. Brown, Substitute Trustee, and Erik W. Yoder, and Edward Klausmeyer Self TLCF 2012A, LLC, Plaintiff vs. Cornell Morrison, and Bank of America, N.A., and All unknown owners of the property described above, and that failure to file a response on or before the date specified may result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in and as to the property being proceeding by this Court against them.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore (City), Maryland, once for three (3) consecutive 3rd day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property or answer the Complaint, or otherwise a Final Decree will be entered against the Defendant or Defendants and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above, and that failure to file a response on or before the date specified may result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in and as to the property being proceeded by this Court against them.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore (City), Maryland, once for three (3) consecutive 3rd day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above, and that failure to file a response on or before the date specified may result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in and as to the property being proceeded by this Court against them.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore (City), Maryland, once for three (3) consecutive 3rd day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above, and that failure to file a response on or before the date specified may result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in and as to the property being proceeded by this Court against them.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore (City), Maryland, once for three (3) consecutive 3rd day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above, and that failure to file a response on or before the date specified may result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in and as to the property being proceeded by this Court against them.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore (City), Maryland, once for three (3) consecutive 3rd day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above, and that failure to file a response on or before the date specified may result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in and as to the property being proceeded by this Court against them.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore (City), Maryland, once for three (3) consecutive 3rd day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above, and that failure to file a response on or before the date specified may result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in and as to the property being proceeded by this Court against them.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore (City), Maryland, once for three (3) consecutive 3rd day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above, and that failure to file a response on or before the date specified may result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in and as to the property being proceeded by this Court against them.

ORDERED, that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having general circulation in Baltimore (City), Maryland, once for three (3) consecutive 3rd day of April, 2016, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above, and that failure to file a response on or before the date specified may result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in and as to the property being proceeded by this Court against them.
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY - Case No: 24-C-16-001200 - Tax Sale - US Bank Custodian For REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff. v. Mario Leonard Vaccaro, 347 S Gilmor St, Baltimore, MD 21223, and Brandyann A. Harrison, Trustee, Serve: 1010 Hull Street, Suite 300, Baltimore, MD 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described Below; all heirs, devisees, donees, personal representatives, and successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above, and having or claiming to have any interest in the property to which the Order of Publication may apply. It is hereby ordered that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property to which the Order of Publication may apply, that the object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the property described above, and in the property in which the Order of Publication may apply, and that a Final Decree will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, in the City of Baltimore, known as 347 S Gilmore Street.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 347 S Gilmore St in the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland, sold by the Collector of Taxes for Baltimore City and the State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff. v. Mario Leonard Vaccaro, 347 S Gilmor St, Baltimore, MD 21223, and Brandyann A. Harrison, Trustee, Serve: 1010 Hull Street, Suite 300, Baltimore, MD 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described Below; all heirs, devisees, donees, personal representatives, and successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above, and having or claiming to have any interest in the property to which the Order of Publication may apply. It is hereby ordered that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property to which the Order of Publication may apply, that the object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the property described above, and in the property in which the Order of Publication may apply, and that a Final Decree will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, in the City of Baltimore, known as 15x70, Ward 08 Section 02 Block 4166 Lot 036 Known as 1653 E 25th Street.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 1653 E 25th Street in the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland, sold by the Collector of Taxes for Baltimore City and the State of Maryland to REO Property Servicing Trust, the Plaintiff. v. Mario Leonard Vaccaro, 347 S Gilmor St, Baltimore, MD 21223, and Brandyann A. Harrison, Trustee, Serve: 1010 Hull Street, Suite 300, Baltimore, MD 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described Below; all heirs, devisees, donees, personal representatives, and successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property described above, and having or claiming to have any interest in the property to which the Order of Publication may apply. It is hereby ordered that notice be given by the insertion of a copy of this Order in some newspaper having a general circulation in Baltimore City once a week for three consecutive weeks, warning all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property to which the Order of Publication may apply, that the object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption in the property described above, and in the property in which the Order of Publication may apply, and that a Final Decree will be entered foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property and vesting in the Plaintiff a title, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, in the City of Baltimore, known as 1653 E 25th Street.
The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 1433 E. Eager Street in Baltimore City, State of Maryland known as 1433 E. Eager Street, City of Baltimore, S/O George A. Nilson, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described Below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assigns, or successors in title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 1433 E. Eager Street.

3275 Saint Victor Street

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 20-10x40 See on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 3275 Saint Victor Street in Baltimore City, State of Maryland known as 3275 Saint Victor Street, City of Baltimore, S/O Henry J. Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described Below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assigns, or successors in title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 3275 Saint Victor Street.

143 E. Eager Street

described and as Lot Size 12-30x55 Being known as Ward 36 Section 20 Block 1306 Lot 019 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 143 E. Eager Street in Baltimore City, State of Maryland known as 143 E. Eager Street, City of Baltimore, S/O Henry J. Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described Below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assigns, or successors in title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 143 E. Eager Street.

Order of Publication

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 110 N. Bradford St., Baltimore, known as 110 N. Bradford St., City of Baltimore, State of Maryland known as 110 N. Bradford St., City of Baltimore, S/O Henry J. Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described Below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assigns, or successors in title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 110 N. Bradford St., Baltimore, MD 21202.

3604 Eastern Avenue

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 20-10x40 See on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 3604 Eastern Avenue in Baltimore City, State of Maryland known as 3604 Eastern Avenue, City of Baltimore, S/O Anthony C. Onwuanibe, Attorney, 3604 Eastern Avenue, Suite 300, Baltimore, MD 21218; and All unknown owners of the property described Below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assigns, or successors in title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 3604 Eastern Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21218.

14-3x105, Ward 25 Section 06 Block 1707 Lot 025 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 14-3x105, Ward 25 Section 06 Block 1707 Lot 025 in Baltimore, known as 14-3x105, Ward 25 Section 06 Block 1707 Lot 025, City of Baltimore, S/O Henry J. Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described Below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assigns, or successors in title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 14-3x105, Ward 25 Section 06 Block 1707 Lot 025.

1820 N Smallwood Street

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 23-96x100 See on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 1820 N Smallwood Street in Baltimore City, State of Maryland known as 1820 N Smallwood Street, City of Baltimore, S/O Henry J. Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described Below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assigns, or successors in title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 1820 N Smallwood Street.

23’ 9” x 70’, BEING KNOWN AS Ward 07 Section 01 Block 1707 Lot 025 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Defendants.

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosure of all rights of redemption from tax sale on the property known as 23’ 9” x 70’, BEING KNOWN AS Ward 07 Section 01 Block 1707 Lot 025 in Baltimore, known as 23’ 9” x 70’, BEING KNOWN AS Ward 07 Section 01 Block 1707 Lot 025, City of Baltimore, S/O Henry J. Raymond, Director of Finance, 100 N. Holliday Street, Baltimore, MD 21202; and All unknown owners of the property described Below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives, and executors, administrators, grantees, assigns, or successors in title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 23’ 9” x 70’, BEING KNOWN AS Ward 07 Section 01 Block 1707 Lot 025.
Order of Publication

The object of this proceeding is to secure the foreclosing of all rights of redemption, homestead, dower, or right of dower, rights of husband and wife, or rights of any and all persons having or claiming to have any right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the leasehold or fee simple in the property identified in the Tax Rolls of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland, and Baltimore County, State of Maryland; and All unknown owners of the property described below; all heirs, devisees, personal representatives and their or any of their heirs, devisees, administrators, grantees, assigns or successors in right, title, interest, and any and all persons having or claiming to have any interest in the property and premises situate in the City of Baltimore, known as 2502 E Hoffman St.

A DESCRIPTION of the property in substantially the same form as the description appearing on the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 13–8x108–4 Being known as Ward 27 Section 21 Block 2320 Lot 022 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County to the Plaintiff in the proceeding.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-1924 -

The complaint states, among other things, that the amounts necessary for redemption in the property described as follows, sold by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale, have not paid or been posted, and more than (6) months and a day from the sale have expired, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date of issuance of the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date that the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment were posted, and that failure to file a response on or before the date set for the entry of judgment will result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property described as follows, sold by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale.

JUDITH C. ENSOR, Judge.

True Copy—Test: JULIE L. ENSOR, Clerk.

Defendants

Baltimore County

J. Scott Morse, Attorney

The Law Offices of J. Scott Morse, LLC

9532 Emory Road

Catonville, Maryland 21228

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-1924 -

The complaint states, among other things, that the amount necessary for redemption in the property identified in the Tax Rolls of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 13–8x108–4 Being known as Ward 27 Section 21 Block 2320 Lot 022 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County to the Plaintiff in the proceeding.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-1924 -

The complaint states, among other things, that the amounts necessary for redemption in the property identified in the Tax Rolls of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale, have not paid or been posted, and more than (6) months and a day from the sale have expired, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date of issuance of the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date that the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment were posted, and that failure to file a response on or before the date set for the entry of judgment will result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property described as follows, sold by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale.

JUDITH C. ENSOR, Judge.

True Copy—Test: JULIE L. ENSOR, Clerk.

Defendants

Baltimore County

J. Scott Morse, Attorney

The Law Offices of J. Scott Morse, LLC

9532 Emory Road

Catonville, Maryland 21228

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-1924 -

The complaint states, among other things, that the amount necessary for redemption in the property identified in the Tax Rolls of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 13–8x108–4 Being known as Ward 27 Section 21 Block 2320 Lot 022 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County to the Plaintiff in the proceeding.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-1924 -

The complaint states, among other things, that the amounts necessary for redemption in the property identified in the Tax Rolls of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale, have not paid or been posted, and more than (6) months and a day from the sale have expired, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date of issuance of the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date that the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment were posted, and that failure to file a response on or before the date set for the entry of judgment will result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property described as follows, sold by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale.

JUDITH C. ENSOR, Judge.

True Copy—Test: JULIE L. ENSOR, Clerk.

Defendants

Baltimore County

J. Scott Morse, Attorney

The Law Offices of J. Scott Morse, LLC

9532 Emory Road

Catonville, Maryland 21228

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-1924 -

The complaint states, among other things, that the amount necessary for redemption in the property identified in the Tax Rolls of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 13–8x108–4 Being known as Ward 27 Section 21 Block 2320 Lot 022 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County to the Plaintiff in the proceeding.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-1924 -

The complaint states, among other things, that the amounts necessary for redemption in the property identified in the Tax Rolls of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale, have not paid or been posted, and more than (6) months and a day from the sale have expired, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date of issuance of the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date that the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment were posted, and that failure to file a response on or before the date set for the entry of judgment will result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property described as follows, sold by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale.

JUDITH C. ENSOR, Judge.

True Copy—Test: JULIE L. ENSOR, Clerk.

Defendants

Baltimore County

J. Scott Morse, Attorney

The Law Offices of J. Scott Morse, LLC

9532 Emory Road

Catonville, Maryland 21228

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-1924 -

The complaint states, among other things, that the amount necessary for redemption in the property identified in the Tax Rolls of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 13–8x108–4 Being known as Ward 27 Section 21 Block 2320 Lot 022 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County to the Plaintiff in the proceeding.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-1924 -

The complaint states, among other things, that the amounts necessary for redemption in the property identified in the Tax Rolls of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale, have not paid or been posted, and more than (6) months and a day from the sale have expired, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date of issuance of the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date that the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment were posted, and that failure to file a response on or before the date set for the entry of judgment will result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property described as follows, sold by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale.

JUDITH C. ENSOR, Judge.

True Copy—Test: JULIE L. ENSOR, Clerk.

Defendants

Baltimore County

J. Scott Morse, Attorney

The Law Offices of J. Scott Morse, LLC

9532 Emory Road

Catonville, Maryland 21228

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-1924 -

The complaint states, among other things, that the amount necessary for redemption in the property identified in the Tax Rolls of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale is as follows: Lot Size 13–8x108–4 Being known as Ward 27 Section 21 Block 2320 Lot 022 on the Tax Roll of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County to the Plaintiff in the proceeding.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-1924 -

The complaint states, among other things, that the amounts necessary for redemption in the property identified in the Tax Rolls of the Director of Finance, Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale, have not paid or been posted, and more than (6) months and a day from the sale have expired, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date of issuance of the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment, and more than two (2) months and a day from the date that the first of the two (2) separate pre-suit Notices of Default Judgment were posted, and that failure to file a response on or before the date set for the entry of judgment will result in a Default Judgment foreclosing all rights of redemption in the property described as follows, sold by the Collector of Taxes for the State of Maryland and Baltimore County, City, Ward, Section, Block and Lot as described in the Certificate of Tax Sale.
In the Circuit Court for Baltimore County
Case No. C-16-2163

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY - Case No. C-16-2163 - V. Donald J. Rogers, Richard E. Gold, Scrolls, Christopher Tuck, Trustees, be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 14, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice is inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of three (3) successive weeks on or before April 14, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $93,300.00.
TO PLACE LEGAL ADVERTISING CALL 443-524-8188

Baltimore City

Jenay Irwin, Block, Attorney
90 Painters Mill Road, Suite 131,
Baltimore City, Maryland 21217
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY - CASE NO. 20-34-497971 - IN THE MATTER OF: THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE ESTATE OF: Joan Michael Harris

Notice by Publication

The object of this notice is to officially change the name of the petitioner from
Damon Jonathan McCreary to
Jonatan Michael Harris

It is a notice that the above petitioner has filed a Notice of Change of Name of a Minor which will cause the name to change from Damon Jonathan McCreary to Jonatan Michael Harris.

If there are any persons with an objection to the change of name, they must present their objections with the Register of Wills or by contacting the undersigned personal representative(s) within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s).

Notice to Unknown Heirs to all Persons Interested in the
Estate of (99578) Bonita Ginn

Notice is given that LAZIA LEFEL PILAT 773 Manor Road, Baltimore, Maryland 21224 was on March 14, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the small estate of John Frank Stanford who died on September 10, 2015 without a will.

All persons having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 15th day of May, 2016.

Notice to Unknown Heirs to all Persons Interested in the
Estate of (100626) John Frank Stanford

Notice is given that LAZIA LEFEL PILAT 773 Manor Road, Baltimore, Maryland 21224 was on March 14, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the small estate of John Frank Stanford who died on September 10, 2015 without a will.

All persons having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 15th day of May, 2016.

Notice to Unknown Heirs to all Persons Interested in the
Estate of (100987) William Isah Jackson, Jr.

Notice is given that BERTHEL GEORGETTE SPENCER 300 Baltimore Road, Baltimore, Maryland 21230 was on September 15, 2015 appointed personal representative(s) of the small estate of Berthel George Spencer who died on September 15, 2015.

All persons having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 15th day of May, 2016.

Notice to Unknown Heirs to all Persons Interested in the
Estate of (98527) Roosevelt M. Spencer

Notice is given that BERTHEL GEORGETTE SPENCER 300 Baltimore Road, Baltimore, Maryland 21230 was on September 15, 2015 appointed personal representative(s) of the small estate of Roosevelt M. Spencer who died on September 15, 2015.

All persons having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 15th day of May, 2016.

Notice to Unknown Heirs to all Persons Interested in the
Estate of (100416) Juneone E. Anderson

Notice is given that VANDA RHEA RANIRI COMARDO Road, Randallsburg, MD 21139 was on January 25, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the small estate of Juneone E. Anderson who died on December 28, 2015.

All persons having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 15th day of May, 2016.
Notice of Sale
Notice is hereby issued by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, that the sale of the property described in the above mentioned proceedings was held on May 25, 2016

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY — IN RE: KRISTINE D. BROWN, WILLIAM M. SAVAGE, GREGORY N. SHAFFER, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR., VENETA YACKO, ROBERT E. FRAZIER, THOMAS J. GARTNER, GENE JUNG, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR. v. LAURA H.G. O’SULLIVAN, ERIN M. SHAFFER, DIANA C. THEOLOGOU, LAUREN BUSH, Trustee(s), be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 7, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice be inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of (3) successive weeks on or before March 31, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $90,000.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY — IN RE: LAURA H. G. O’SULLIVAN v. KRISTINE D. BROWN, WILLIAM M. SAVAGE, GREGORY N. SHAFFER, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR., VENETA YACKO, ROBERT E. FRAZIER, THOMAS J. GARTNER, GENE JUNG, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR. v. LAURA H.G. O’SULLIVAN, ERIN M. SHAFFER, DIANA C. THEOLOGOU, LAUREN BUSH, Trustee(s), be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 7, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice be inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of (3) successive weeks on or before March 25, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $66,220.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY — IN RE: LAURA H.G. O’SULLIVAN v. KRISTINE D. BROWN, WILLIAM M. SAVAGE, GREGORY N. SHAFFER, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR., VENETA YACKO, ROBERT E. FRAZIER, THOMAS J. GARTNER, GENE JUNG, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR. v. LAURA H.G. O’SULLIVAN, ERIN M. SHAFFER, DIANA C. THEOLOGOU, LAUREN BUSH, Trustee(s), be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 7, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice be inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of (3) successive weeks on or before March 19, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $12,000.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY — IN RE: KRISTINE D. BROWN, WILLIAM M. SAVAGE, GREGORY N. SHAFFER, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR., VENETA YACKO, ROBERT E. FRAZIER, THOMAS J. GARTNER, GENE JUNG, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR. v. LAURA H.G. O’SULLIVAN, ERIN M. SHAFFER, DIANA C. THEOLOGOU, LAUREN BUSH, Trustee(s), be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 7, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice be inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of (3) successive weeks on or before March 5, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $18,750.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY — IN RE: KRISTINE D. BROWN, WILLIAM M. SAVAGE, GREGORY N. SHAFFER, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR., VENETA YACKO, ROBERT E. FRAZIER, THOMAS J. GARTNER, GENE JUNG, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR. v. LAURA H.G. O’SULLIVAN, ERIN M. SHAFFER, DIANA C. THEOLOGOU, LAUREN BUSH, Trustee(s), be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 7, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice be inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of (3) successive weeks on or before March 1, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $60,900.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY — IN RE: KRISTINE D. BROWN, WILLIAM M. SAVAGE, GREGORY N. SHAFFER, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR., VENETA YACKO, ROBERT E. FRAZIER, THOMAS J. GARTNER, GENE JUNG, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR. v. LAURA H.G. O’SULLIVAN, ERIN M. SHAFFER, DIANA C. THEOLOGOU, LAUREN BUSH, Trustee(s), be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 7, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice be inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of (3) successive weeks on or before February 25, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $32,490.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY — IN RE: KRISTINE D. BROWN, WILLIAM M. SAVAGE, GREGORY N. SHAFFER, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR., VENETA YACKO, ROBERT E. FRAZIER, THOMAS J. GARTNER, GENE JUNG, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR. v. LAURA H.G. O’SULLIVAN, ERIN M. SHAFFER, DIANA C. THEOLOGOU, LAUREN BUSH, Trustee(s), be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 7, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice be inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of (3) successive weeks on or before February 18, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $8,050.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY — IN RE: KRISTINE D. BROWN, WILLIAM M. SAVAGE, GREGORY N. SHAFFER, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR., VENETA YACKO, ROBERT E. FRAZIER, THOMAS J. GARTNER, GENE JUNG, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR. v. LAURA H.G. O’SULLIVAN, ERIN M. SHAFFER, DIANA C. THEOLOGOU, LAUREN BUSH, Trustee(s), be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 7, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice be inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of (3) successive weeks on or before February 11, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $12,050.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY — IN RE: KRISTINE D. BROWN, WILLIAM M. SAVAGE, GREGORY N. SHAFFER, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR., VENETA YACKO, ROBERT E. FRAZIER, THOMAS J. GARTNER, GENE JUNG, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR. v. LAURA H.G. O’SULLIVAN, ERIN M. SHAFFER, DIANA C. THEOLOGOU, LAUREN BUSH, Trustee(s), be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 7, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice be inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of (3) successive weeks on or before February 4, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $12,050.00.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY — IN RE: KRISTINE D. BROWN, WILLIAM M. SAVAGE, GREGORY N. SHAFFER, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR., VENETA YACKO, ROBERT E. FRAZIER, THOMAS J. GARTNER, GENE JUNG, GLEN H. HOFMEISTER, JR. v. LAURA H.G. O’SULLIVAN, ERIN M. SHAFFER, DIANA C. THEOLOGOU, LAUREN BUSH, Trustee(s), be ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before April 7, 2016, provided a copy of this Notice be inserted in some newspaper published in this City, once in each of (3) successive weeks on or before January 31, 2016. The report states the amount of the sale to be $14,180.00.
Notice of Sale

Notice is hereby issued by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, this 2nd day of March, 2016, that the sale made and reported by GREGORY N. BRITTO Substitute Trustee appointed for the sale of the property described in these proceedings, 10021 Balls Ford Road, Suite 200, Baltimore, Maryland 21222, the report states the amount of the sale to be $340,000.00.

Notice of Sale

Notice is hereby issued by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, this 3rd day of March, 2016, that the sale made and reported by WILLIAM M. SAVAGE Substitute Trustee appointed for the sale of the property described in these proceedings, 10021 Balls Ford Road, Suite 200, Baltimore, Maryland 21222, the report states the amount of the sale to be $195,920.72.

Notice of Sale

Notice is hereby issued by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, this 8th day of March, 2016, that the sale made and reported by GREGORY N. BRITTO Substitute Trustee appointed for the sale of the property described in these proceedings, 10021 Balls Ford Road, Suite 200, Baltimore, Maryland 21222, the report states the amount of the sale to be $150,500.00.

Notice of Sale

Notice is hereby issued by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, this 15th day of March, 2016, that the sale made and reported by GREGORY N. BRITTO Substitute Trustee appointed for the sale of the property described in these proceedings, 10021 Balls Ford Road, Suite 200, Baltimore, Maryland 21222, the report states the amount of the sale to be $141,040.00.

Notice of Sale

Notice is hereby issued by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, this 22nd day of March, 2016, that the sale made and reported by GREGORY N. BRITTO Substitute Trustee appointed for the sale of the property described in these proceedings, 10021 Balls Ford Road, Suite 200, Baltimore, Maryland 21222, the report states the amount of the sale to be $340,000.00.

Notice of Sale

Notice is hereby issued by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, this 25th day of March, 2016, that the sale made and reported by WILLIAM M. SAVAGE Substitute Trustee appointed for the sale of the property described in these proceedings, 10021 Balls Ford Road, Suite 200, Baltimore, Maryland 21222, the report states the amount of the sale to be $245,691.99.

Notice of Sale

Notice is hereby issued by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, this 26th day of March, 2016, that the sale made and reported by GREGORY N. BRITTO Substitute Trustee appointed for the sale of the property described in these proceedings, 10021 Balls Ford Road, Suite 200, Baltimore, Maryland 21222, the report states the amount of the sale to be $252,000.00.

Notice of Sale

Notice is hereby issued by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, this 30th day of March, 2016, that the sale made and reported by GREGORY N. BRITTO Substitute Trustee appointed for the sale of the property described in these proceedings, 10021 Balls Ford Road, Suite 200, Baltimore, Maryland 21222, the report states the amount of the sale to be $252,000.00.

Notice of Sale

Notice is hereby issued by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, this 3rd day of March, 2016, that the sale made and reported by WILLIAM M. SAVAGE Substitute Trustee appointed for the sale of the property described in these proceedings, 10021 Balls Ford Road, Suite 200, Baltimore, Maryland 21222, the report states the amount of the sale to be $195,920.72.

Notice of Sale

Notice is hereby issued by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, this 6th day of March, 2016, that the sale made and reported by GREGORY N. BRITTO Substitute Trustee appointed for the sale of the property described in these proceedings, 10021 Balls Ford Road, Suite 200, Baltimore, Maryland 21222, the report states the amount of the sale to be $150,500.00.
Notice of Sale

Notice is hereby given that a Substitute Trustee's Sale of Valuable Leasinghold Property will be held at 1100 North Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, on Thursday, March 17, 2016, at 10:15 a.m., to the highest and best bidder, for and in favor of Terrace J. Shanahan, Attorney, 750 Old Georgetown Road, Suite 1385, Bethesda, Maryland 20814, in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, in the case of:

TERRANCE J. SHANAHAN vs. ROBERT R. DENNISON, II, Trustee(s), and ROBERT R. DENNISON, II, Trustee(s), and MICHAEL T. GALEONE, Trustee(s).

The property will be sold in an “AS IS WHERE IS” condition without either express or implied warranty or representation, including but not limited to the description, fitness for a particular purpose or use, structural integrity, physical condition, condition, construction, extent of construction, workmanship, materials, habitability, structural, environmental, condition, merchantability, compliance with all building or housing codes or other laws, ordinances or regulations, or other similar matters and subject to easements, agreements and restrictions or other similar matters and subject to easements, agreements and restrictions of record which affect the same, if any. The property will be sold subject to all conditions, liens, restrictions and agreements of record affecting same including any condominiums and/or HOA assessments pursuant to Md Real Property Article 11-135.

TERMS OF SALE: A deposit of $20,000.00 cash or certified funds shall be received by the Substitute Trustees at the time of sale. The balance of the purchase price with interest at 1% per month from the date of sale to the date of payment will be paid within TEN (10) DAYS after the final ratification of this sale. Adjustment on all taxes, special assessments and other similar matters shall be made as of the date of sale and thereafter assumed by the purchaser. If applicable, adjustments on homeowners association and condominium assessments, or any other similar matters and subject to easements, agreements and restrictions of record which affect the same, if any, shall be made. If application there will be no return of the deposit. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.

The purchaser agrees to accept service by first class mail and/or certified mail addressed to the address provided by said Purchaser as identified on the Memorandum of Sale for any Motion or Show Cause Order incident to this sale including a Motion to Default Purchaser and for Resale of the Property.

The property will be sold subject to all conditions, liens, restrictions and agreements of record affecting same including any condominiums and/or HOA assessments pursuant to Md Real Property Article 11-135.

If the sale is not ratified or if the Substitute Trustees are unable to convey to the purchaser. The purchaser agrees to accept service by first class mail and/or certified mail addressed to the address provided by said Purchaser as identified on the Memorandum of Sale for any Motion or Show Cause Order incident to this sale including a Motion to Default Purchaser and for Resale of the Property. If the sale is not ratified or if the Substitute Trustees are unable to convey to the purchaser. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.

The purchaser agrees to accept service by first class mail and/or certified mail addressed to the address provided by said Purchaser as identified on the Memorandum of Sale for any Motion or Show Cause Order incident to this sale including a Motion to Default Purchaser and for Resale of the Property.

If the sale is not ratified or if the Substitute Trustees are unable to convey to the purchaser. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.

TO PLACE LEGAL ADVERTISING CALL 410-542-8188

Samuel I. White, P.C.
611 ROCKVILLE PIKE
SUITE 100
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20852

Substitute Trustees' Sale Of Valuable Leasinghold Property

Known As

3902 Woodbine Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21207

The property will be sold in an “AS IS WHERE IS” condition without either express or implied warranty or representation, including but not limited to the description, fitness for a particular purpose or use, structural integrity, physical condition, condition, construction, extent of construction, workmanship, materials, habitability, structural, environmental, condition, merchantability, compliance with all building or housing codes or other laws, ordinances or regulations, or other similar matters and subject to easements, agreements and restrictions of record which affect the same, if any. The property will be sold subject to all conditions, liens, restrictions and agreements of record affecting same including any condominiums and/or HOA assessments pursuant to Md Real Property Article 11-135.

TERMS OF SALE: A deposit of $20,000.00 cash or certified funds shall be received by the Substitute Trustees at the time of sale. The balance of the purchase price with interest at 1% per month from the date of sale to the date of payment will be paid within TEN (10) DAYS after the final ratification of this sale. Adjustment on all taxes, special assessments and other similar matters shall be made as of the date of sale and thereafter assumed by the purchaser. If applicable, adjustments on homeowners association and condominium assessments, or any other similar matters and subject to easements, agreements and restrictions of record which affect the same, if any, shall be made. If application there will be no return of the deposit. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.

The purchaser agrees to accept service by first class mail and/or certified mail addressed to the address provided by said Purchaser as identified on the Memorandum of Sale for any Motion or Show Cause Order incident to this sale including a Motion to Default Purchaser and for Resale of the Property. If the sale is not ratified or if the Substitute Trustees are unable to convey to the purchaser. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.

The purchaser agrees to accept service by first class mail and/or certified mail addressed to the address provided by said Purchaser as identified on the Memorandum of Sale for any Motion or Show Cause Order incident to this sale including a Motion to Default Purchaser and for Resale of the Property. If the sale is not ratified or if the Substitute Trustees are unable to convey to the purchaser. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.

TO PLACE LEGAL ADVERTISING CALL 410-542-8188

Samuel I. White, P.C.
611 ROCKVILLE PIKE
SUITE 100
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20852

Substitute Trustees' Sale Of Valuable Leasinghold Property

Known As

3009 Poplar Terrace, Baltimore, MD 21216

The property will be sold in an “AS IS WHERE IS” condition without either express or implied warranty or representation, including but not limited to the description, fitness for a particular purpose or use, structural integrity, physical condition, condition, construction, extent of construction, workmanship, materials, habitability, structural, environmental, condition, merchantability, compliance with all building or housing codes or other laws, ordinances or regulations, or other similar matters and subject to easements, agreements and restrictions of record which affect the same, if any. The property will be sold subject to all conditions, liens, restrictions and agreements of record affecting same including any condominiums and/or HOA assessments pursuant to Md Real Property Article 11-135.

TERMS OF SALE: A deposit of $20,000.00 cash or certified funds shall be received by the Substitute Trustees at the time of sale. The balance of the purchase price with interest at 1% per month from the date of sale to the date of payment will be paid within TEN (10) DAYS after the final ratification of this sale. Adjustment on all taxes, special assessments and other similar matters shall be made as of the date of sale and thereafter assumed by the purchaser. If applicable, adjustments on homeowners association and condominium assessments, or any other similar matters and subject to easements, agreements and restrictions of record which affect the same, if any, shall be made. If application there will be no return of the deposit. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.

The purchaser agrees to accept service by first class mail and/or certified mail addressed to the address provided by said Purchaser as identified on the Memorandum of Sale for any Motion or Show Cause Order incident to this sale including a Motion to Default Purchaser and for Resale of the Property. If the sale is not ratified or if the Substitute Trustees are unable to convey to the purchaser. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.

The purchaser agrees to accept service by first class mail and/or certified mail addressed to the address provided by said Purchaser as identified on the Memorandum of Sale for any Motion or Show Cause Order incident to this sale including a Motion to Default Purchaser and for Resale of the Property. If the sale is not ratified or if the Substitute Trustees are unable to convey to the purchaser. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.

TO PLACE LEGAL ADVERTISING CALL 410-542-8188

Samuel I. White, P.C.
611 ROCKVILLE PIKE
SUITE 100
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20852

Substitute Trustees' Sale Of Valuable Leasinghold Property

Known As

213 Bank Street, Baltimore, MD 21231

The property will be sold in an “AS IS WHERE IS” condition without either express or implied warranty or representation, including but not limited to the description, fitness for a particular purpose or use, structural integrity, physical condition, condition, construction, extent of construction, workmanship, materials, habitability, structural, environmental, condition, merchantability, compliance with all building or housing codes or other laws, ordinances or regulations, or other similar matters and subject to easements, agreements and restrictions of record which affect the same, if any. The property will be sold subject to all conditions, liens, restrictions and agreements of record affecting same including any condominiums and/or HOA assessments pursuant to Md Real Property Article 11-135.

TERMS OF SALE: A deposit of $20,000.00 cash or certified funds shall be received by the Substitute Trustees at the time of sale. The balance of the purchase price with interest at 1% per month from the date of sale to the date of payment will be paid within TEN (10) DAYS after the final ratification of this sale. Adjustment on all taxes, special assessments and other similar matters shall be made as of the date of sale and thereafter assumed by the purchaser. If applicable, adjustments on homeowners association and condominium assessments, or any other similar matters and subject to easements, agreements and restrictions of record which affect the same, if any, shall be made. If application there will be no return of the deposit. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.

The purchaser agrees to accept service by first class mail and/or certified mail addressed to the address provided by said Purchaser as identified on the Memorandum of Sale for any Motion or Show Cause Order incident to this sale including a Motion to Default Purchaser and for Resale of the Property. If the sale is not ratified or if the Substitute Trustees are unable to convey to the purchaser. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.

The purchaser agrees to accept service by first class mail and/or certified mail addressed to the address provided by said Purchaser as identified on the Memorandum of Sale for any Motion or Show Cause Order incident to this sale including a Motion to Default Purchaser and for Resale of the Property. If the sale is not ratified or if the Substitute Trustees are unable to convey to the purchaser. Time is of the essence for the purchaser, otherwise the deposit will be forfeited and the property may be resold at risk and costs of the defaulting purchaser.
Under the power of sale contained in a certain Debt of Trust from Renee M. Babcock, dated May 13, 2005 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof, and in accordance with the requirements of Maryland Law, Substitute Trustees will sell for public sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, 21201, on April 1, 2016 at 9:31 a.m.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Debt of Trust from Holly L. Blackwell, dated December 29, 2007 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof, and in accordance with the requirements of Maryland Law, Substitute Trustees will sell for public sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, 21201, on April 1, 2016 at 9:33 a.m.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Debt of Trust from Brenda M. Baker, dated August 15, 2006 and recorded in Liber 11038, folio 63 among the Land Records of Baltimore County, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof, and in accordance with the requirements of Maryland Law, Substitute Trustees will sell for public sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, 21201, on April 1, 2016 at 9:33 a.m.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Debt of Trust from Renee M. Babcock, dated May 13, 2005 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof, and in accordance with the requirements of Maryland Law, Substitute Trustees will sell for public sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, 21201, on April 1, 2016 at 9:33 a.m.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Debt of Trust from Renee M. Babcock, dated May 13, 2005 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof, and in accordance with the requirements of Maryland Law, Substitute Trustees will sell for public sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, 21201, on April 1, 2016 at 9:33 a.m.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Debt of Trust from Brenda M. Baker, dated August 15, 2006 and recorded in Liber 11038, folio 63 among the Land Records of Baltimore County, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof, and in accordance with the requirements of Maryland Law, Substitute Trustees will sell for public sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, 21201, on April 1, 2016 at 9:33 a.m.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Debt of Trust from Renee M. Babcock, dated May 13, 2005 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof, and in accordance with the requirements of Maryland Law, Substitute Trustees will sell for public sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, 21201, on April 1, 2016 at 9:33 a.m.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Debt of Trust from Brenda M. Baker, dated August 15, 2006 and recorded in Liber 11038, folio 63 among the Land Records of Baltimore County, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof, and in accordance with the requirements of Maryland Law, Substitute Trustees will sell for public sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, 21201, on April 1, 2016 at 9:33 a.m.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Debt of Trust from Brenda M. Baker, dated August 15, 2006 and recorded in Liber 11038, folio 63 among the Land Records of Baltimore County, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof, and in accordance with the requirements of Maryland Law, Substitute Trustees will sell for public sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, 21201, on April 1, 2016 at 9:33 a.m.
Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Malaika T. Cooper, dated November 20, 2007 and recorded in Liber T421, folio 176 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, at the Clarence M. Mitchell Court House, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on

April 1, 2016 at 9:36 a.m.

ALL THAT LEASEHOLD LOT OF GROUND AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREIN contained in Baltimore City, Maryland and more fully described in the above mentioned Deed of Trust. The property will be sold subject to all conditions, restrictions, easements, conditions and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit of 10% of the sale price is to be paid within fifteen (15) days of the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland, or if the sale does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be returned to the bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. Balance of the purchase price is to be paid within fifteen (15) days of the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland. Interests in to be paid on the unpaid purchase price at the rate of 7.5% per annum from date of sale to the date the funds are received in the office of the Substitute Trustees, if the property is purchased by an entity other than the Substitute Trustees or if payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the property will be resold at the risk and cost of the defaulting purchaser. There will be no abatement of interest from the purchase in the event settlement is delayed for any reason. Taxes, ground rent, water rent, and all other public charges and assessments payable on an annual basis, including sanitary and/or metropolitan district charges, and front foot charges, if applicable, to be paid, if any, shall be paid by the purchaser from the date of sale. The purchaser at the foreclosure sale shall assume the risk of loss for the property immediately after the sale. (Matter #14-03527)

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from David A. Smith, dated June 24, 2008 and recorded in Liber 11090, folio 98 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction on the 1st day of October, 2016, at 12:00 noon, the property located at 403 S. Chester St., Baltimore City, Maryland, and more fully described in the aforesaid Deed of Trust. The property is improved by a Dwelling. The property will be sold in an “as is” condition and subject to conditions, restrictions, easements, covenants and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Jessica D. Worrell, dated May 26, 2004 and recorded in Liber 5571, folio 216 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction on the 1st day of October, 2016, at 12:00 noon, the property located at 6938 Reisterstown Rd., Baltimore, MD 21215, and more fully described in the aforesaid Deed of Trust. The property is improved by a Dwelling. The property will be sold in an “as is” condition and subject to conditions, restrictions, easements, covenants and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, for adjustment for the current year to the date of sale, and assumed thereafter by the purchaser. Condominium fees and/or homeowners association fees, if applicable, are to be adjusted for the current year to the date of sale, and assumed thereafter by the purchaser. If the sale is not consummated, the deposit, the sale shall be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no further claim against the Substitute Trustees. Purchaser shall be responsible for all closing costs and for obtaining physical possession of the property. The purchaser at the foreclosure sale shall assume the risk of loss for the property immediately after the sale.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Arthur E. Williams and Mary E. Williams, dated March 25, 2008 and recorded in Liber 11090, folio 98 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction on the 1st day of October, 2016, at 12:00 noon, the property located at 6938 Reisterstown Rd., Baltimore, MD 21215, and more fully described in the aforesaid Deed of Trust. The property is improved by a Dwelling. The property will be sold in an “as is” condition and subject to conditions, restrictions, easements, covenants and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, for adjustment for the current year to the date of sale, and assumed thereafter by the purchaser. Condominium fees and/or homeowners association fees, if applicable, are to be adjusted for the current year to the date of sale, and assumed thereafter by the purchaser. If the sale is not consummated, the deposit, the sale shall be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no further claim against the Substitute Trustees. Purchaser shall be responsible for all closing costs and for obtaining physical possession of the property. The purchaser at the foreclosure sale shall assume the risk of loss for the property immediately after the sale.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Charles Leon Williams Jr and Charlene L. Williams, dated August 16, 1996 and recorded in Liber 11090, folio 98 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction on the 1st day of October, 2016, at 12:00 noon, the property located at 801 Wildwood Pkwy., Baltimore, MD 21229, and more fully described in the aforesaid Deed of Trust. The property is improved by a Dwelling. The property will be sold in an “as is” condition and subject to conditions, restrictions, easements, covenants and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, for adjustment for the current year to the date of sale, and assumed thereafter by the purchaser. Condominium fees and/or homeowners association fees, if applicable, are to be adjusted for the current year to the date of sale, and assumed thereafter by the purchaser. If the sale is not consummated, the deposit, the sale shall be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no further claim against the Substitute Trustees. Purchaser shall be responsible for all closing costs and for obtaining physical possession of the property. The purchaser at the foreclosure sale shall assume the risk of loss for the property immediately after the sale.
March 29, 2016, at 10:20 a.m.

At the front of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Clarence M. Mitchell Courthouse, Calvert Street entrance, 100 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland, the Court will conduct a Substitute Trustees’ Sale of Valuable Fee Simple Property

TAX ID: 08-26-4178F-035

364 South Longwood Street, Baltimore, MD 21223

In execution of the Deed of Trust dated December 28, 2006 and recorded January 8, 2007 in Liber 9092, folio 687 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD; default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction on Thursday, March 17, 2016 at 10:20 a.m., at the front of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Clarence M. Mitchell Courthouse, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on the premises described as follows:

ALL THAT FEE SIMPLE LOT OF GROUND together with any buildings or improvements thereon situated in Baltimore City, Maryland and more fully described in the aforenamed Deed of Trust.

The property is improved by a HWELLING

The property will be sold in an 'as is' condition and subject to condemnation, restrictions, easements, covenants and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, for $19,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. Balance of the purchase price is to be paid within fifteen (15) days of the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland. Interest is to be paid on the unpaid purchase price at the rate of 7.75% per annum from date of sale to the date the funds are received in the office of the Substitute Trustees, if the property is purchased by an entity other than the noteholder and/or servicer. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen (15) days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the property will be sold at risk and at the cost and the defaulting purchaser.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Linda Truesdell Dwyer and Dwayne Dwyer, dated December 28, 2006 and recorded January 8, 2007 in Liber 9092, folio 687 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction on Thursday, March 17, 2016 at 10:20 a.m., at the front of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Clarence M. Mitchell Courthouse, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on the premises described as follows:

3324 Ravenwood Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21213

In execution of the Deed of Trust dated March 13, 2007 and recorded March 28, 2007 in Liber 9092, folio 687 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD; default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction Thursday, March 17, 2016 at 10:20 a.m., at the front of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Clarence M. Mitchell Courthouse, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on the premises described as follows:

ALL THAT FEE SIMPLE LOT OF GROUND together with any buildings or improvements thereon situated in Baltimore City, Maryland and more fully described in the aforenamed Deed of Trust.

The property is improved by a HWELLING

The property will be sold in an 'as is' condition and subject to condemnation, restrictions, easements, covenants and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, for $7,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. Balance of the purchase price is to be paid within fifteen (15) days of the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland. Interest is to be paid on the unpaid purchase price at the rate of 7.75% per annum from date of sale to the date the funds are received in the office of the Substitute Trustees, if the property is purchased by an entity other than the noteholder and/or servicer. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen (15) days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the property will be sold at risk and at the cost and the defaulting purchaser.

March 25, 2016 at 9:30 a.m.

At the front of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Clarence M. Mitchell Courthouse, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, 100 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland, the Court will conduct a Substitute Trustees’ Sale of Improved Real Property

1101 Bethlehem Ave., Baltimore, MD 21224

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Andrew B. Bucha, dated July 10, 2014 and recorded July 15, 2014 in Liber 9092, folio 687 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD; default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction on Thursday, March 17, 2016 at 9:30 a.m., at the front of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Clarence M. Mitchell Courthouse, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on the premises described as follows:

THE PROPERTY described as a lot of ground together with all buildings and improvements thereon situated in the City of Baltimore, Maryland and more fully described in the aforenamed Deed of Trust.

The property is improved by a HWELLING

The property will be sold in an 'as is' condition and subject to condemnation, restrictions, easements, covenants and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, for $5,700 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. Balance of the purchase price is to be paid within fifteen (15) days of the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland. Interest is to be paid on the unpaid purchase price at the rate of 7.75% per annum from date of sale to the date the funds are received in the office of the Substitute Trustees, if the property is purchased by an entity other than the noteholder and/or servicer. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen (15) days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the property will be sold at risk and at the cost and the defaulting purchaser.

March 25, 2016 at 9:32 a.m.

At the front of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Clarence M. Mitchell Courthouse, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, 100 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland, the Court will conduct a Substitute Trustees’ Sale of Improved Real Property

2920 Clifton Park Terr., Baltimore, MD 21213

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Linda Truesdell Dwyer and Dwayne Dwyer, dated December 28, 2006 and recorded January 8, 2007 in Liber 9092, folio 687 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD; default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction on Thursday, March 17, 2016 at 9:32 a.m., at the front of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Clarence M. Mitchell Courthouse, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on the premises described as follows:

ALL THAT FEE SIMPLE LOT OF GROUND together with any buildings or improvements thereon situated in Baltimore City, Maryland and more fully described in the aforenamed Deed of Trust.

The property is improved by a HWELLING

The property will be sold in an 'as is' condition and subject to condemnation, restrictions, easements, covenants and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, for $5,700 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. Balance of the purchase price is to be paid within fifteen (15) days of the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland. Interest is to be paid on the unpaid purchase price at the rate of 7.75% per annum from date of sale to the date the funds are received in the office of the Substitute Trustees, if the property is purchased by an entity other than the noteholder and/or servicer. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen (15) days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the property will be sold at risk and at the cost and the defaulting purchaser.
Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Stephen McCabe, Walnut, and Susan J. Walsh, dated April 27, 2015, and recorded in Liber 7792, folio 398 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees of the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $13,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $14,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $13,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier’s or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may require, for $12,000 at the time of sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the sale will be void and of no effect, and the purchaser shall have no claim against the Substitute Trustees. Pursuant to the conditions, restrictions, easements, encumbrances and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with no warranty of any kind.
Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Devenia L. Robinson, dated February 17, 2009 and recorded in Liber 6845, folio 458 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the Undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland to be held at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland, Interest is to be paid on the unpaid purchase price at the rate of 5% per annum from date of sale to the date the funds are received in the office of the Substitute Trustees, if the property is purchased by an entity other than the noteholder and/or servicer. If payment of the balance does not occur within fifteen days of ratification, the deposit will be forfeited and the property will be resold at public auction at the risk and cost of the defaulting purchaser. There will be no abatement of interest due from the purchaser in the event settlement is delayed for any reason. Taxes, ground rent, water rent, and all other public charges and assessments payable on an annual basis, including sanitary and/or metropolitan district charges, and front foot benefit charges, if applicable, are to be paid by the purchaser. If the Substitute Trustees are unable to sell the property at any sale, the purchaser shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. Upon return of the deposit the purchaser will have no further claim against the Substitute Trustees. Sale shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. The purchase at the foreclosure sale shall assume the risk of loss for the property immediately after the sale. (Matter 14144060)

LAWRIE H. G. O'SULLIVAN, et al.,

Substitute Trustees.

March 25, 2016 at 9:45 a.m.

LAST THAT LEASEHOLD LOT OF GROUND AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON situated in Baltimore City, Maryland and more fully described in the description hereinafter set forth, subject to conditions, restrictions, covenants, and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier's or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, shall be required. Balance of the deposit is due within fifteen days of ratification. The deposit will be forfeited and the property will be resold at public auction at the risk and cost of the defaulting purchaser. Sale shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. Upon return of the deposit the purchaser will have no further claim against the Substitute Trustees. Sale shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. The purchase at the foreclosure sale shall assume the risk of loss for the property immediately after the sale. (Matter 14144060)

LAWRIE H. G. O'SULLIVAN, et al.,

Substitute Trustees.

March 25, 2016 at 9:45 a.m.

LAST THAT LEASEHOLD LOT OF GROUND AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON situated in Baltimore City, Maryland and more fully described in the description hereinafter set forth, subject to conditions, restrictions, covenants, and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier's or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, shall be required. Balance of the deposit is due within fifteen days of ratification. The deposit will be forfeited and the property will be resold at public auction at the risk and cost of the defaulting purchaser. Sale shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. Upon return of the deposit the purchaser will have no further claim against the Substitute Trustees. Sale shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. The purchase at the foreclosure sale shall assume the risk of loss for the property immediately after the sale. (Matter 14144060)

LAWRIE H. G. O'SULLIVAN, et al.,

Substitute Trustees.

March 25, 2016 at 9:45 a.m.

LAST THAT LEASEHOLD LOT OF GROUND AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON situated in Baltimore City, Maryland and more fully described in the description hereinafter set forth, subject to conditions, restrictions, covenants, and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier's or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, shall be required. Balance of the deposit is due within fifteen days of ratification. The deposit will be forfeited and the property will be resold at public auction at the risk and cost of the defaulting purchaser. Sale shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. Upon return of the deposit the purchaser will have no further claim against the Substitute Trustees. Sale shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. The purchase at the foreclosure sale shall assume the risk of loss for the property immediately after the sale. (Matter 14144060)

LAWRIE H. G. O'SULLIVAN, et al.,

Substitute Trustees.

March 25, 2016 at 9:45 a.m.

LAST THAT LEASEHOLD LOT OF GROUND AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON situated in Baltimore City, Maryland and more fully described in the description hereinafter set forth, subject to conditions, restrictions, covenants, and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier's or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, shall be required. Balance of the deposit is due within fifteen days of ratification. The deposit will be forfeited and the property will be resold at public auction at the risk and cost of the defaulting purchaser. Sale shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. Upon return of the deposit the purchaser will have no further claim against the Substitute Trustees. Sale shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. The purchase at the foreclosure sale shall assume the risk of loss for the property immediately after the sale. (Matter 14144060)

LAWRIE H. G. O'SULLIVAN, et al.,

Substitute Trustees.

March 25, 2016 at 9:45 a.m.

LAST THAT LEASEHOLD LOT OF GROUND AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON situated in Baltimore City, Maryland and more fully described in the description hereinafter set forth, subject to conditions, restrictions, covenants, and agreements of record affecting the subject property, if any, and with warranty of any kind.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of cashier's or certified check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, shall be required. Balance of the deposit is due within fifteen days of ratification. The deposit will be forfeited and the property will be resold at public auction at the risk and cost of the defaulting purchaser. Sale shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. Upon return of the deposit the purchaser will have no further claim against the Substitute Trustees. Sale shall be limited to the refund of the deposit to the purchaser. The purchase at the foreclosure sale shall assume the risk of loss for the property immediately after the sale. (Matter 14144060)

LAWRIE H. G. O'SULLIVAN, et al.,

Substitute Trustees.
Baltimore City

March 22, 2016 at 10:15 a.m.

ALL THAT REAL ESTATE, lying and being situated on the northeast side of the 15 foot alley to the rear of 3228-40 Monroe Street, Ward 15, Section 19, Block 3268, situated on the southwest side of Monroe Street 15 feet to a point in line with the center of the partition wall between the house erected on the southwest corner of the 15 foot alley and the house on the northeast corner of the 15 foot alley, of the District of Baltimore City, Maryland, and more fully described in the aforesaid Deed of Trust. The property is improved by a DWELLING.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Dials & Diallo, dated April 18, 2008 and recorded in Liber 10802, folio 28 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof, and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction at THE BALTIMORE CITY COURTHOUSE LOCATED AT 100 W. BALTIMORE STREET, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201.

March 18, 2016 at 9:31 a.m.

ALL THAT REAL ESTATE, lying and being situated on the northeast side of the 15 foot alley to the rear of 3228-40 Monroe Street, Ward 15, Section 19, Block 3268, situated on the southwest side of Monroe Street 15 feet to a point in line with the center of the partition wall between the house erected on the southwest corner of the 15 foot alley and the house on the northeast corner of the 15 foot alley, of the District of Baltimore City, Maryland, and more fully described in the aforesaid Deed of Trust. The property is improved by a DWELLING.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Diallo & Dials, dated April 18, 2008 and recorded in Liber 10802, folio 28 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof, and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction at THE BALTIMORE CITY COURTHOUSE LOCATED AT 100 W. BALTIMORE STREET, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201.

The Substitute Trustees reserve the right to: (1) accept or reject any and all bids and to sell the property in any manner other than by the public auction method; (2) withdraw any property from sale; (3) divide any parcel of real property into lots for sale; (4) accept or reject any and all bids and sell the property for less than the advertised purchase price; and/or (5) strike any errors and omissions in the notices of sale and the property description, and modify the terms of sale as they see fit. The Substitute Trustees are not responsible for errors and omissions in the notices of sale and the property description.
What are Notice of Sale?

A Notice of Sale is a legal document that informs creditors that an asset of the estate is to be sold at auction. The notice usually lists the date, time, and place of the auction, as well as the property to be sold.

Notice of Sale - After property has been sold at auction, a Notice of Sale must be published which states that the sale occurred and the property's purchase price.
Substitute Trustees’ Sale Of Improved Real Property
3101 Dudley Ave., Baltimore, 21213

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Debra Davis, dated April 1, 2004 and recorded in Liber 9327, folio 674 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, at the Clarence M. Mitchell Jr. Court House, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on
March 18, 2016 at 9:30 a.m.

ALL THAT PASSENGER LOFT OF GROUND AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON situated in Baltimore City, Maryland is more fully described in the affidavit of Trust. The property will be sold subject to an annual ground rent of $80. The property is improved by a DWELLING.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of certified or cashier's check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, of $800 at the time of the sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. Balance of the purchase price is to be paid within fifteen (15) days of the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland.

Substitute Trustees’ Sale Of Improved Real Property
3203 E. Northern Pkwy., Baltimore, 21214

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Thomas C. Smith, Jr. and Brenda S. Smith, dated February 22, 2008 and recorded in Liber 1090, folio 657 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, at the Clarence M. Mitchell Jr. Court House, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on
March 18, 2016 at 9:30 a.m.

ALL THAT PASSENGER LOFT OF GROUND AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON situated in Baltimore City, Maryland is more fully described in the affidavit of Trust. The property will be sold subject to an annual ground rent of $650. The property is improved by a DWELLING.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of certified or cashier's check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, of $250 at the time of the sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. Balance of the purchase price is to be paid within fifteen (15) days of the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland.

Substitute Trustees’ Sale Of Improved Real Property
1640 Wadsworth Way, Baltimore, 21239

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Kevin D. Mitchell, dated March 26, 2008 and recorded in Liber 10353, folio 36 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, at the Clarence M. Mitchell Jr. Court House, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on
March 18, 2016 at 9:30 a.m.

ALL THAT PASSENGER LOFT OF GROUND AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON situated in Baltimore City, Maryland is more fully described in the affidavit of Trust. The property will be sold subject to an annual ground rent of $415. The property is improved by a DWELLING.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of certified or cashier's check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, of $40 at the time of the sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. Balance of the purchase price is to be paid within fifteen (15) days of the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland.

Substitute Trustees’ Sale Of Improved Real Property
3211 Dudley Ave., Baltimore, 21213

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Noah Nudel, dated May 5, 2008 and recorded in Liber 10357, folio 224 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, at the Clarence M. Mitchell Jr. Court House, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on
March 18, 2016 at 9:37 a.m.

ALL THAT PASSENGER LOFT OF GROUND AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON situated in Baltimore City, Maryland is more fully described in the affidavit of Trust. The property will be sold subject to an annual ground rent of $80. The property is improved by a DWELLING.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of certified or cashier's check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, of $800 at the time of the sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. Balance of the purchase price is to be paid within fifteen (15) days of the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland.

Substitute Trustees’ Sale Of Improved Real Property
810 W. 35th St., Baltimore, 21211

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from Ryan H. Shown, dated March 24, 2008 and recorded in Liber 10354, folio 132 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction at the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, at the Clarence M. Mitchell Jr. Court House, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on
March 18, 2016 at 9:40 a.m.

ALL THAT PASSENGER LOFT OF GROUND AND THE IMPROVEMENTS THEREON situated in Baltimore City, Maryland is more fully described in the affidavit of Trust. The property will be sold subject to an annual ground rent of $1260. The property is improved by a DWELLING.

Terms of Sale: A deposit in the form of certified or cashier's check, or in such other form as the Substitute Trustees may determine, at their sole discretion, of $1260 at the time of the sale. If the noteholder and/or servicer is the successful bidder, the deposit requirement is waived. Balance of the purchase price is to be paid within fifteen (15) days of the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland.

Under a power of sale contained in a certain Deed of Trust from James C. Anderson, dated May 27, 2003 and recorded in Liber 972, folio 1463 among the Land Records of Baltimore City, MD, default having occurred under the terms thereof and at the request of the parties secured thereby, the undersigned Substitute Trustees will offer for sale at public auction at the Court for Baltimore City, at the Clarence M. Mitchell Jr. Court House, 100 North Calvert Street, Court House Door, Calvert Street entrance, Baltimore, MD 21202, on
March 18, 2016 at 9:30 a.m.

THEREON situated in Baltimore City, Maryland and more fully described in the affidavit of Trust. The property will be sold subject to a DWELLING.
Notice of creditors is given that MARILYNN EBERLY-ZULLO, c/o 2650 Quarry Lake Drive, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 9, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Deborah A. Bach who died on February 12, 2016, with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore County, located at 101 West Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 300, Towson, Maryland 21204, or by contacting the undersigned personal representative(s) or the attorney.

All persons having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the undersigned personal representative(s) or file it with the Register of Wills with a copy to the undersigned on or before the following dates:

(1) Six months from the date of the decedent’s death, except if the decedent died before October 1, 1992, nine months from the date of the decedent’s death; or

(2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of this published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. A claim not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

ROBERT R. SIMON, Personal Representative(s).

Notice to Unknown Heirs to all Persons Interested in the Estate of (187462) Mary Field Arehart

Notice is given that MARY ANN EBERLY, c/o 2650 Quarry Lake Drive, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 9, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Estella Allen Chambers who died on February 19, 2016, with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore County, located at 101 West Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 300, Towson, Maryland 21204, or by contacting the undersigned personal representative(s) or the attorney.

All persons having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the undersigned personal representative(s) or file it with the Register of Wills with a copy to the undersigned on or before the following dates:

(1) Six months from the date of the decedent’s death, except if the decedent died before October 1, 1992, nine months from the date of the decedent’s death; or

(2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of this published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. A claim not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

MARILYN R. SCENNA, Personal Representative(s).

Notice to Unknown Heirs to all Persons Interested in the Estate of (187467) Deborah A. Bach

Notice is given that MARYSE HERRIDGE et al, c/o 2001 Quarry Lake Drive, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 2, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of ROBERT F SCHWARZ, who died on September 9, 2016, with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore County, located at 101 West Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 300, Towson, Maryland 21204, or by contacting the undersigned personal representative(s) or the attorney.

All persons having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the undersigned personal representative(s) or file it with the Register of Wills with a copy to the undersigned on or before the following dates:

(1) Six months from the date of the decedent’s death, except if the decedent died before October 1, 1992, nine months from the date of the decedent’s death; or

(2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of this published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. A claim not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

MARILYN ANN HUCKLE, Personal Representative(s).

Notice to Unknown Heirs to all Persons Interested in the Estate of (187476) John Russell Bosley

Notice is given that JOHN D. BOSLEY, 2651 A Druid Road, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 5, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of John Russell Bosley who died on March 5, 2016, with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore County, located at 101 West Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 300, Towson, Maryland 21204, or by contacting the undersigned personal representative(s) or the attorney.

All persons having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the undersigned personal representative(s) or file it with the Register of Wills with a copy to the undersigned on or before the following dates:

(1) Six months from the date of the decedent’s death, except if the decedent died before October 1, 1992, nine months from the date of the decedent’s death; or

(2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of this published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. A claim not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

JOHN D. BOSLEY, Personal Representative(s).

Notice to Unknown Heirs to all Persons Interested in the Estate of (187479) Cynthia Gilliam

Notice is given that CYNTHIA ANN GILLIAM, 140 E. Telephone Road, Suite 200, White Plains, Maryland 20601 was on March 9, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Estelle Jane Kasting who died on September 9, 2016, with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore County, located at 101 West Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 300, Towson, Maryland 21204, or by contacting the undersigned personal representative(s) or the attorney.

All persons having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the undersigned personal representative(s) or file it with the Register of Wills with a copy to the undersigned on or before the following dates:

(1) Six months from the date of the decedent’s death, except if the decedent died before October 1, 1992, nine months from the date of the decedent’s death; or

(2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of this published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. A claim not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

CYNTHIA J. WEIDNER, Personal Representative(s).

Notice to Unknown Heirs to all Persons Interested in the Estate of (187484) Elaine Jean Fabrizzini

Notice is given that DAVID J. PETSCH, 500 Woodland Road, Baltimore, Maryland 21218 was on March 9, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Elaine Jean Fabrizzini who died on October 1, 2014, with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore County, located at 101 West Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 300, Towson, Maryland 21204, or by contacting the undersigned personal representative(s) or the attorney.

All persons having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the undersigned personal representative(s) or file it with the Register of Wills with a copy to the undersigned on or before the following dates:

(1) Six months from the date of the decedent’s death, except if the decedent died before October 1, 1992, nine months from the date of the decedent’s death; or

(2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of this published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. A claim not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

MAURICE G. MILLER, Personal Representative(s).
Notice is given to TOE MARIE'S HYLTON, CO-JENNER, HASSANI & FOA, P.A. at 1503 North Charles Street, Suite 400, Baltimore, Maryland 21213, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Edward J. Kaplan, who died on January 25, 2016, with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Any person having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 11th day of September, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Notice is given to YOU AND ALL OTHERS HAVING ANY OBJECTION TO THE APPOINTMENT OF PHYLLIS POSTON, 32240 Falling Point Road, Dagsboro, Delaware 19939, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of PHYLLIS A. FOSTER, who died on December 22, 2014, with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Any person having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 11th day of September, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Notice is given to J O ANN BEEDS, 2303 Oakdyke Drive, Fallston, Maryland 21047, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Margaret Caroline Lorber who died on December 11, 2015, with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Any person having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 11th day of September, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Notice is given to CAROLYN T. LAUTER, 1115 Morningside Road, Towson, Maryland 21204, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of THOMAS J. LAUTER, who died on October 31, 2015.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Any person having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 11th day of September, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Notice is given to ELIJAH S. MILLER, 401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of ELIJAH S. MILLER, who died on May 15, 2015.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Any person having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 11th day of September, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Notice is given to SONIA M. ZAHORNIK, 502 Washington Avenue, Suite 310, Towson, Maryland 21204, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of PATRICIA A. MAREK, who died on December 11, 2015.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Any person having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 11th day of September, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Notice is given to J TRESSLER & ASSOCIATES, 2708 Wager Drive, Owings Mills, Maryland 21117, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of GLORIA E. SMITH, who died on December 22, 2015.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Any person having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 11th day of September, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Notice is given to JONATHAN A. AZRAEL, FRANZ, SCHWAB & LIPOWITZ, LLC at 401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of JONATHAN A. AZRAEL, who died on March 19, 2016.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Any person having any objection to the appointment shall file their objections with the Register of Wills on or before the 11th day of September, 2016.
Estate of (187686) Ann Catherine Schmitt

Notice is given that JOHN E SCHMITT, 720 Fairfare Drive, Bel Air, Maryland 21014 was on March 3, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Ann Catherine Schmitt who died before October 1, 1992, nine months from the date of the decedent's death; (1) Six months from the date of the decedent's death, except if the decedent's will shall file their objections with the Register of Wills within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice, or on or before the earlier of the following dates: (2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of that published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims in writing or other written notices within three months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. Any claims not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

Estate of (187470) David Shelby

Notice is given that MARK B. SHELDY, 511 48th Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21224 was on March 3, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of David Shelby who died on February 11, 2016, without a will. Further information may be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Estate of (187511) Margaret Vannoy

Notice is given that GARY R. MASLAN, 7509 Eastern Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21234 was on December 24, 2015, with a will, and otherwise without a will. Further information may be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Estate of (187527) Miriam Reba Webb

Notice is given that JULIA GREEN, 6401 Sweet Meadow Court, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20879 was on March 4, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Miriam Reba Webb who died on October 27, 2015, with a will. Further information may be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Baltimore County

John E Schmitt, Proper Person
720 Fairfare Drive
Bel Air, Maryland 21014

Notice to Unknown Heirs
To all Persons Interested in the
Estate of (187847) David Shelby
Notice is given that MARK B. SHELDY, 511 48th Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21224 was on March 3, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of David Shelby who died on February 11, 2016, without a will. Further information may be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Baltimore County

Estate of (187340) Patricia M. Sullivan

Notice is given that J. MICHAEL HOLLOWAY, 7508 Eastern Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 31, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Patricia M. Sullivan who died on September 25, 2015, ten months from the date of the decedent's death; (1) Six months from the date of the decedent's death, except if the decedent's will shall file their objections with the Register of Wills within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice, or on or before the earlier of the following dates: (2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of that published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims in writing or other written notices within three months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. Any claims not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

Estate of (187345) Spencer E. Willis

Notice is given that J. MICHAEL HOLLOWAY, 7508 Eastern Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 31, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Spencer E. Willis who died on September 25, 2015, ten months from the date of the decedent's death; (1) Six months from the date of the decedent's death, except if the decedent's will shall file their objections with the Register of Wills within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice, or on or before the earlier of the following dates: (2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of that published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims in writing or other written notices within three months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. Any claims not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

Baltimore County

John E Schmitt, Proper Person
720 Fairfare Drive
Bel Air, Maryland 21014

Notice to Unknown Heirs
To all Persons Interested in the
Estate of (187847) David Shelby
Notice is given that MARK B. SHELDY, 511 48th Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21224 was on March 3, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of David Shelby who died on February 11, 2016, without a will. Further information may be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Baltimore County

Estate of (187340) Patricia M. Sullivan

Notice is given that J. MICHAEL HOLLOWAY, 7508 Eastern Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 31, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Patricia M. Sullivan who died on September 25, 2015, ten months from the date of the decedent's death; (1) Six months from the date of the decedent's death, except if the decedent's will shall file their objections with the Register of Wills within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice, or on or before the earlier of the following dates: (2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of that published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims in writing or other written notices within three months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. Any claims not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

Estate of (187345) Spencer E. Willis

Notice is given that J. MICHAEL HOLLOWAY, 7508 Eastern Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 31, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Spencer E. Willis who died on September 25, 2015, ten months from the date of the decedent's death; (1) Six months from the date of the decedent's death, except if the decedent's will shall file their objections with the Register of Wills within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice, or on or before the earlier of the following dates: (2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of that published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims in writing or other written notices within three months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. Any claims not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

Baltimore County

John E Schmitt, Proper Person
720 Fairfare Drive
Bel Air, Maryland 21014

Notice to Unknown Heirs
To all Persons Interested in the
Estate of (187847) David Shelby
Notice is given that MARK B. SHELDY, 511 48th Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21224 was on March 3, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of David Shelby who died on February 11, 2016, without a will. Further information may be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.

Baltimore County

Estate of (187340) Patricia M. Sullivan

Notice is given that J. MICHAEL HOLLOWAY, 7508 Eastern Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 31, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Patricia M. Sullivan who died on September 25, 2015, ten months from the date of the decedent's death; (1) Six months from the date of the decedent's death, except if the decedent's will shall file their objections with the Register of Wills within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice, or on or before the earlier of the following dates: (2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of that published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims in writing or other written notices within three months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. Any claims not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

Estate of (187345) Spencer E. Willis

Notice is given that J. MICHAEL HOLLOWAY, 7508 Eastern Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 31, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Spencer E. Willis who died on September 25, 2015, ten months from the date of the decedent's death; (1) Six months from the date of the decedent's death, except if the decedent's will shall file their objections with the Register of Wills within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice, or on or before the earlier of the following dates: (2) Two months after the personal representative mails or otherwise delivers to the creditor a copy of that published notice or other written notice, notifying the creditor that the claim will be barred unless the creditor presents the claims in writing or other written notices within three months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. Any claims not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

Baltimore County

John E Schmitt, Proper Person
720 Fairfare Drive
Bel Air, Maryland 21014

Notice to Unknown Heirs
To all Persons Interested in the
Estate of (187847) David Shelby
Notice is given that MARK B. SHELDY, 511 48th Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21224 was on March 3, 2016, appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of David Shelby who died on February 11, 2016, without a will. Further information may be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative(s) or the attorney.
Estate of (186941) John T. Behm

Notice is given that ALAN J. HORST, Personal Representative(s). (aka) Catherine F. Berdikerk

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore County.

Any person having any objection to the appointment or to the probate of the will or the decedent must present the claim to the undersigned personal representative or file it with the Register of Wills for Baltimore County, 1400 Front Avenue, Suite 200, 401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. A claim not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

Estate of (186857) Douglas Gordon Hanson

The undersigned personal representative or file it with the Register of Wills with a copy to the undersigned on or before the earlier of the following dates:

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore County.

Any person having any objection to the appointment or to the probate of the will or the decedent must present the claim to the undersigned personal representative or file it with the Register of Wills for Baltimore County, 1400 Front Avenue, Suite 200, 401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. A claim not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

Estate of (187351) Eleanor R. Hopkins

Notice is given that ROBERT H. ROPINSKI, Jr., 200 Clearwater Court, Pikesville, Maryland 21208, was on March 5, 2016 appointed personal representative of the estate of Eleanor R. Hopkins who died on September 5, 2015 with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore County.

Any person having any objection to the appointment or to the probate of the will or the decedent must present the claim to the undersigned personal representative or file it with the Register of Wills for Baltimore County, 1400 Front Avenue, Suite 200, 401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. A claim not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

Estate of (187384) Eileen D. Patten

Notice is given that KATHLEEN B. PATTEN & MARGARET M. PATTEN, 62 Pickersgill Avenue, Owings Mills, Maryland 21117, was on March 22, 2016 appointed personal representative of the estate of Eileen D. Patten who died on January 29, 2016 with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore County.

Any person having any objection to the appointment or to the probate of the will or the decedent must present the claim to the undersigned personal representative or file it with the Register of Wills for Baltimore County, 1400 Front Avenue, Suite 200, 401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. A claim not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.

Estate of (187394) Elanore Reamun Germin

a/k/a Elnor H. Reamer

Notice is given that STEPHEN H. REARMAN, 327 E Main Street, Westminster, Maryland 21157, was on March 2, 2016 appointed personal representative of the estate of the decedent, Elanore Reamun Germin who died on October 9, 2015 with a will.

Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore County.

Any person having any objection to the appointment or to the probate of the will or the decedent must present the claim to the undersigned personal representative or file it with the Register of Wills for Baltimore County, 1400 Front Avenue, Suite 200, 401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, within two months from the mailing or other delivery of the notice. A claim not presented or filed on or before that date, or any extension provided by law, is unenforceable thereafter. Claim forms may be obtained from the Register of Wills.
Baltimore County.

Robert W. Baker, Attorney, 1 East Lexington Street, Suite 100, Baltimore, Maryland 21202.
Notice of Appointment Notice to Creditors
Notice to Unknown Heirs to All Persons Interested in the
Estate of (187354) Philip W. Reid
Notice is given that ROBERT W. BAKER, 1 East Lexington Street, Suite 100, Baltimore, Maryland 21202 was on March 26, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Philip W. Reid who died on January 26, 2016.
Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the attorney.

Baltimore County.

Michael C. Simmons, Proper Person, 6720 Purple Martin Court, Baltimore, Maryland 21208.
Notice of Appointment Notice to Creditors
Notice to Unknown Heirs to All Persons Interested in the
Estate of (187363) Eleanor Simmons
Notice is given that MICHAEL C. SIMMONS, 6720 Purple Martin Court, Baltimore, Maryland 21208 was on March 26, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Eleanor Simmons who died on February 26, 2016.
Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the attorney.

Beth Strauss, Proper Person, 401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204.
Notice to Unknown Heirs to All Persons Interested in the
Estate of (187402) Richard A. Strauss
Notice is given that BETH STRAUSS, 401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 26, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Richard A. Strauss who died on January 31, 2016.
Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the attorney.

Notice of Appointment Notice to Creditors
Notice to Unknown Heirs to All Persons Interested in the
Estate of (187369) Pauline R. Thompson
Notice is given that JERRY D. FOCUS, Jensen, Hassani & FOCUS, P.A., 22 West Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 606, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 was on March 26, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Pauline R. Thompson who died on February 19, 2016.
Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the attorney.

George A. Breschi, Attorney, 401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204.
Notice of Appointment Notice to Creditors
Notice to Unknown Heirs to All Persons Interested in the
Estate of (187366) Guy Anthony Vito
Notice is given that GEORGE A. BRESCHI, 401 Bosley Avenue, 400, Towson, Maryland 21204 was on March 26, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Guy Anthony Vito who died on February 10, 2016.
Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the attorney.

James D. Otto, Jr., Attorney, 101 Thomas Street, Bel Air, Maryland 21014.
Notice of Appointment Notice to Creditors
Notice to Unknown Heirs to All Persons Interested in the
Estate of (181133) Hazel L. Baublitz
Notice is given that WILLIAM N. BAUBLITZ, 24 Poplar Grove Avenue, Aberdeen, Maryland 21001 was on March 26, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Hazel L. Baublitz who died on December 6, 2015.
Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the attorney.

Baltimore County.

Elisabeth A. Vanni, Attorney, 17 Lakelands Drive, Hunt Valley, Maryland 21030.
Notice to Unknown Heirs to All Persons Interested in the
Estate of (187412) Loretta E. Widman
Notice is given WILLIAM N. BAUBLITZ, 24 Poplar Grove Avenue, Aberdeen, Maryland 21001 was on March 27, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Loretta E. Widman who died on February 25, 2016.
Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the attorney.

Notice of Appointment Notice to Creditors
Notice to Unknown Heirs to All Persons Interested in the
Estate of (183177) Wilbur Thomas Zink, Jr.
Notice is given that ANNA CAROL S. ZINK, 101 Thomas Street, Bel Air, Maryland 21014 was on March 27, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Wilbur Thomas Zink, Jr., who died on December 5, 2015.
Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the attorney.

Mary G. Lukec, Attorney, 5421 Sweet Air Road, Suite 2, Phoenix, Maryland 21090.
Notice of Appointment Notice to Creditors
Notice to Unknown Heirs to All Persons Interested in the
Estate of (186759) Byron B. Hertlet
Notice is given that MARY G. LUKEC, 5421 Sweet Air Road, Suite 2, Phoenix, Maryland 21090 was on February 24, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Byron B. Hertlet who died on December 31, 2015.
Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the attorney.

Paul R. Bryan, Attorney, 2626 Falls Avenue, Cockeysville, Maryland 21030.
Notice of Appointment Notice to Creditors
Notice to Unknown Heirs to All Persons Interested in the
Estate of (187223) Ethel Lambert
Notice is given that PAUL R. BRYAN, 2626 Falls Avenue, Cockeysville, Maryland 21030 was on February 24, 2016 appointed personal representative(s) of the estate of Ethel Lambert who died on December 30, 2015.
Further information can be obtained by reviewing the estate file in the office of the Register of Wills or by contacting the personal representative or the attorney.