

Moderating Effect of Government policy on the Market practices - Growth Relationship in Iraq SMEs

Emad Harash¹, emadharesh@yahoo.com

Fatima Jasem Alsaad², fatimaiq66@yahoo.com

Essia Ries Ahmed³, essa_ahmed15@yahoo.com

¹ School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, 11700, Malaysia

² College of administration and Economics, University of Basra, Basra, Iraq

³ School of Business Innovation & Technopreneurship, Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Perlis, 02600, Malaysia

Abstract Previous studies that examined the effect of market practices on the growth mainly concentrated large companies. The review of the small and medium enterprises (SMEs) literature reveals limited research has attempted to investigate the moderating effect of government policy in SMEs, particularly in the Iraq context. This study general review the moderating effect of government policies on the relationship between market practices and growth of SMEs in the Iraq. Findings of the study indicate the growth of SME vary with the choice of the market practices they adopted. Additionally, to a certain degree, the discussions of the study suggest government policy as measured by policy complexity of process moderates the relationship between market practices and the growth of SMEs.

Keywords: growth of SMEs, Market practices, Government policy.

1. Introduction

Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) are the focus of this study, They are a key economic sector in many countries, They often play a significant role in improving the economy of a country, They are prime mover of economic undertakings, Currently accounting for more than 90 % of companies in the most countries and They are a major source of income and employment in the developing and developed countries (Kongolo, 2010; Mutula and Brakel, 2006; Ogechukwu & Latinwo, 2010). More specifically, in Iraq, Available data from the Central Organization for Statistics (COS) indicates that private sector in Iraq consists primarily of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) where those small and medium enterprises (SMEs) represent 99 percent of all companies in Iraq. This target group has been identified as the catalyst for the economic growth of the country as they are a major source of income and employment, introduce innovations, stimulate competition, and assist large companies (USAID, 2010).

Despite the significant role of SMEs in the Iraq economy, research involving SMEs still appears to be only neglected, but also limited in their scope. The review of the SMEs literature in Iraq reveals that SMEs have received limited empirical attention as a field of study. Although in recent years there has been number of research on SMEs (Sanaa & Abbas, 2005; USAID, 2011; 2010,209), these studies have limited focus and as such do not seem to be able to increase as well as improve our knowledge in this area of study.

Due to the importance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in relation to the economic development in a country and the limited scope of past researches, more focused studies is needed in this field of study. One such significant area of studies is to address the issue of government policies in influencing the market practices- growth relationship in SMEs. The review of the SMEs literature indicates that very few studies have adequately addressed this researches issue. Past empirical research on the relationships between market practices, government policies, and

growth of SMEs have largely emphasized on large enterprises (Mohd Shariff et al. 2010; Mohd Shariff & Peou, 2008; Peou, 2009).

In addition, with the effects of globalization, the prospects of stiffer competition, maturing markets, and limited local market opportunities, the importance of market practices and government policies for Iraq SMEs are obvious. Based on the contingency framework, the present study seeks to determine whether government policies moderate the relationship between market practices and growth of SMEs in Iraq.

2. Growth of SMEs

Given that small and medium enterprises (SMEs) sector is fast developing and has become an important characteristic of a flourishing and growing economy in the world (Kongolo, 2010). This is especially so in developing countries where SMEs sector development has become one of the recent key issues (Onugu, 2005) since this sector may directly or indirectly support the livelihood of many people. Kongolo (2010) stated that the SMEs sector is important because small and medium enterprises are actually the beginning of larger enterprises. Hence, the future of the larger industries will surely depend on the development of SMEs (Abidin, 2006; Shariff & Peou, 2008).

The growth of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) depends on the success of firms in the market, both locally and internationally. For each individual, success may be dependent on his/her own target or desire to achieve certain outcomes (Davis & Cobb, 2010; Islam, et al., 2011). Commonly used growth as an indicator of a company's financial health over a certain period of time.

Despite, many studies have found that different companies in developed and developing countries tend to emphasize on different performance measurement, the literature suggests market Size, additional capital, sale growth, profit growth, and employee number to be the most common measures of growth of SMEs.

According to Mohd Shariff et al. (2010), Mohd

Shariff & Peou (2008) and Peou (2008) growth of SMEs refers to the level of companies' growth relative to their major competitors over the past years. It is literally refers to measures, such as market Size, additional capital, sale growth, profit growth, and employee number (Mohd Shariff et al. 2010; Mohd Shariff & Peou, 2008; Peou, 2008). Mohd Shariff et al. (2010), Mohd Shariff & Peou (2008) and Peou (2008) claimed these indicators is the best indicator to identify whether an organization is doing things right and hence these indicators can be used as the primary measure of organization success. Furthermore, Doyle (1994) pointed profitability as the most common measure of performance in companies. These indicators are considered to be the common measures of financial profitability (Robinson, 1982).

3. Market practices

Over the recent years, Marketing is constantly evolving and many authors are calling for a new definition. Shift in thinking is necessary for to identifying new opportunities and re-examine the conventional models (Malter et al. 2005). The recent market practice series of research has looked at describing Market practices within the marketing context.

The market practices has been defined are all activities that contribute to constitute markets (Kjellberg and Helgesson, 2006; Kjellberg and Helgesson, 2007). Some argue that Market practices is a philosophy, or way of being, while others argue that it is a set of business practices to be implemented. Others see authors that it is a combination of these two, aligned with the strategic mandate of the firm (Sheppard, 2011). According to, Avlonitis & Gounaris (1997 p:386) Felton (1959) regarded market practices as "*a way of thinking in doing business that is based on the integration and coordination of all marketing activities which, in turn, will integrate with the rest activities of the company in an effort to maximize long- term profitability.*"

Market practices are differing from continent to continent, countries to countries, cities to cities and sector to sector in relation with social, cultur-

al and economic backgrounds. The differentiation among space, time and effectiveness of market practices could be the distinction for any firm or business in particular environment. Different methods of practices and marketing planning are used in different phases of the life of companies. In most countries, majority of small businesses and even some big corporate companies involved in peculiar market practices (Ghourri et al. 2011). A review of the extant literature shows that market practices has attracted a lot of interest from both academics and practitioners. Studies Shapiro (1988), Webster (1992) posits the notion that market practices theory promotes a uniform orientation throughout the enterprise, and a consistently external focus on markets. These studies provide the foundation of market practices (Sheppard, 2011):

1. Market practices are defined as a sequence of information based behaviors, and a culture of customer and competitor orientations and inter-functional co-ordination.
2. Market practices places high priority on the creation and delivery of superior customer value.
3. Market practices provide behavioral norms for gathering, sharing and responding to market information.
4. Market practices require organizational systems and processes for the assessment of customer needs and market intelligence dissemination.
5. Market practices require adaptive organizational structures.
6. Market practices require the commitment of top management.

A review of the literature provides the foundation of market practices. The findings show that, the market practices is an important aspect within the world of business, as it provides a continuous value contribution to any organization and its key stakeholders (Fine, 2008). Marketers practices capable of helping the organization drive growth and profitability' (Kumar, 2009), and is consid-

ered as one of the internal and external contingency variable that also influenced of an organization.

Conceptual and empirical studies on the market practices construct in the extant literature (Araujo et al. 2008; Barry and Slater, 2002; Kjellberg and Helgesson, 2006; Kjellberg and Helgesson, 2007 and Mahmoud, 2010). These works span from both developed (Low et al., 2007; Ozer et al. 2006; Sen, 2006) and developing (Hinson et al. 2008; Mahmoud, 2010) economies. The central argument of the developed and developing countries' studies demonstrates the indispensable role that market practices plays in achieving superior performance in its chosen markets (Mahmoud, 2010).

The previous study by Nyoni (2004) indicated that lack of market knowledge to the constraints on the performance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs). Here, a lack of market knowledge and expertise is a major constraint hindering the growth of small and medium enterprises (SMEs). The study conducted by Mohammed (2011) found the small and medium enterprises (SMEs) sector need to have more customer focus, competitive trends monitoring and appropriate responses to market intelligence in order to achieve success.

Another study carried out by Hay (1992) determined that variable that is believed to have an influence on growth of the small and medium enterprises market practices. He posited that most owners or managers of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) did not have enough skills and knowledge with regard to comprehending the conception of their product, marketing. He stated that by training the managers and employees, and then the company will definitely be able to perform better since the variable can be controlled.

In contrast, Blankson & Stokes (2002) found that small and medium enterprises (SMEs) utilize marketing in such a general and inappropriate way that it is unlikely to have any significant im-

pact on growth.

4. Government policy

Government policy, positions and guidelines of government, schemes and incentives and support systems for the private sector, and particularly for the small and medium enterprises (SMEs) (Dandago & Usman, 2011; Mohd Shariff et al. 2010; Mohd Shariff & Peou, 2008). Many recommendations have emerged from the studies deliberate efforts are still needed on the part of governments, through its series of efficient policies affecting competition in the market to nurture a climate that is conducive to successful and profitable operations of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) (Dandago & Usman, 2011; Sobri Minai & Lucky, 2011). Previous empirical studies on the relationship between government policy and growth have mainly concentrated on large firms. These studies provide strong evidence that suggests government policies are associated with the growth of large firms. Although most of the empirical studies have centered upon large firms, findings of an increasing number of studies have suggested that government policy also influenced the growth of SMEs (Dandago & Usman, 2011; Mohd Shariff et al. 2010; Mohd Shariff & Peou, 2008). For example government policy can behave an entrepreneurial role to impact the creation of a sustainable market factors. It also can act an entrepreneurial role to impact the creation of a land infrastructure conditions to support SMEs (Nguyen et al. 2009). The results of previous studies (Sanaa & Abbas 2005; USAID, 2009; 2010; 2011) indicate that economies in transition (Iraq, for example) need to take some specific measures to establish the conditions to promotion of entrepreneurial activities and for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) to create opportunities to grow in different sectors of the economy. The results of studies (Mohd Shariff et al. 2010; Mohd Shariff & Peou, 2008; Nguyen et al. 2009; Sobri Minai & Lucky, 2011) also indicate that in

the absence of sturdy market forces in these countries transitional economy, the Government must to play a decisive role to create those conditions.

In addition, the previous studies underlined that government policies have an impact on SMEs activities, linkages and networking in order to co-operation and utilizing resources (Brimble et al. 2002; Harvie, 2001; Harvie, 2002 and Tambunan, 2005). Theoretical and empirical studies (Herri, 2002; Opara, 2010; Mohd Shariff & Peou, 2008) have shown government policy that seems to be more consistent in influencing the growth of the small and medium enterprises (SMEs). In developed and developing countries, government policies that provide support are a critical factor for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) growth (Nguyen et al. 2009). Although the relevance of the government policies few studies have examined them in the context of SMEs. Accordingly, this study adopts the following types of government policies: the call government to take concrete actions to curb dumping, smuggling and importation of cheap foreign products; reduce corruption practices; providing social justice; providing market information; improvements in infrastructure; providing training for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and encourage private investment.

Although no empirical test of the moderating role or government policy in relationship between market practices and growth of small and medium enterprises is found in the literature, the available empirical evidence shows that the effects of market practices on growth might be moderated by the turbulent environment. This indicates that government policy can be a unique resource that supports market practices directed at achieving superior growth. As to the direction of this relationship i.e. whether government policy leads to better growth, evidence exists which demonstrate that it is the development of government policy that contributes to the company's growth and not

the other way round. Within this framework, the previous studies provide evidences to support perceived government policy as a moderator for the relationship between market practices and growth of small and medium enterprises. As well as it provides a solid ground those support the existence of a relationship between government policy as a moderator adoption market practices, and growth of small and medium enterprises (SMEs).

5. Contingency Framework

According to the contingency framework, government policies are considered as an important contingency variable. This framework viewed market practices as source of competitive advantage for a company. However, a company will need to align its government policy to its strategy. The contingency theory suggests that companies that adapt their government policy to their market practices tend to improve their performance. From the contingency viewpoint, different types of companies operating in different situations require different government policy. Government policies are normally effective for companies involve in high volume products. The following Figure 1 presents the research model of the present study.




Figure1. Proposed theoretical framework

In order to exam the proposed relationships between market practices, government policy, and growth of small and medium enterprises (SMEs), current study developed the following propositions:

1: *The growth of SMEs will vary with the choice of Market practices adopted.*

2: *The government policy complexity of product will moderate the relationship between Market practices and the growth of small and medium enterprises (SMEs).*

3: *The government policy complexity of process will moderate the relationship between market practices and the growth of small and medium enterprises (SMEs).*

6. Conclusion

This study was found the Relationship between market practices and growth of small and medium enterprises (SMEs). Conceptually, the study indicate the growth of SME vary with the choice of the market practices they adopted. Additionally, to a certain degree, the findings of the study suggest government policy as measured by policy complexity of process moderates the relationship between market practices and the growth of SMEs, it was re-conceptualized in the context of modern markets which include among others. This is significant for at least three parties, i.e. customers, companies and the relevant authorities' bodies, to strategize on containing the existence of the effect in SMEs by accordingly controlling the selected factors.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdullah, N. A. H. N., & Zain, S. N. M. (2011). The Internationalization Theory and Malaysian Small Medium Enterprises (SMEs). *International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance*, Vol. 2, No. 4.
- [2] Araujo, L. Kjellberg, H. Spencer, R. (2008). "Market practices and forms: introduction to the special issue." *Marketing Theory* 8(1): 5-14.
- [3] Avlonitis, G. J. and S. P. Gounaris (1997). "Marketing orientation and company performance: industrial vs. consumer goods companies." *Industrial Marketing Management* 26(5): 385-402.
- [4] Barry, A. and D. Slater (2002). "Introduction: the technological economy." *Economy and Society* 31(2): 175-193.
- [5] Blankson, C. and Stokes, D. (2002). "Marketing practices in the UK small business sector", *Marketing MIntelligence & Planning*, 20, 49-61.

- [6] Brimble, P., Oldfield D., and Monsakul, M. (2002). "8 Policies for SME Recovery in Thailand." *The role of SMEs in national economies in East Asia 2*: 202.
- [7] Chenhall, R. H. and K. Langfield-Smith (2007). "Multiple perspectives of performance measures." *European Management Journal* 25(4): 266-282.
- [8] Chong, H. G. (2008). Measuring performance of small-and-medium sized enterprises: the grounded theory approach. *Business and Public Affairs* Volume 2, Issue 1, 2(1).
- [9] Dandago, K. I. and A. Y. Usman (2011). "Assessment of Government Industrialisation Policies on Promoting the Growth of Small Scale Industries in Nigeria." Paper Presented At the Ben-Africa Conference Zanzibar, Tanzania 31October–2 November 2011.
- [10] Davis, G. F., & Cobb, J. A. (2010). Resource dependence theory: Past and future. *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 28, 21-42.
- [11] Donaldson, L. (1999). "The normal science of structural contingency theory." *Studying Organizations: Theory and Method*. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage: 51-70.
- [12] Doyle, P. 1994. Setting business objectives and measuring performance. *European Management Journal*, 12, 123-132.
- [13] Felton, A. P. (1959). "Making the marketing concept work." *Harvard Business Review* 37(4): 55-65.
- [14] Fine, L. (2008). The bottom line: Marketing and firm performance. *Business Horizons*, Kelley School of Business. Indiana University , 52, 209-214 .
- [15] Ghouri, A. Khan, N. Malik, M. Razzaq, A. (2011). "Marketing Practices and Their Effects on Firm's Performance: Findings from Small and Medium Sized Catering and Restaurants in Karachi." *International Journal of Business and Management* 6, No. 5.
- [16] Govindarajan, V. (1988). "A contingency approach to strategy implementation at the business-unit level: integrating administrative mechanisms with strategy." *Academy of Management Journal*: 828-853.
- [17] Harvie, C. (2001). Competition policy and SMEs in Vietnam. Working paper series 2001, WP 01 10, Department of economic, Department of economic University of Wollongong, 2001.
- [18] Harvie, C. (2002). "China's SMEs: their evolution and future prospects in an evolving market economy." *The role of SMEs in national economies in East Asia 2*: 50.
- [19] Hay, M. (1992). Barriers to small firm growth. In N.C. Churchill, S. Birley, W.D. Bygram, D.F. Muzyka, C. Wallbin, W.E. Wetset Jr. (Eds.), *Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research* (pp. 288-320). . Babson Park, MA: Babson College.
- [20] Herri, O. (2002). Analysis of factors influence the performance of Indonesian small and medium enterprises (A Resource-Base Theory Approach).
- [21] Hinson, R., Kastner, A. Ofori, D and Mahmoud, A (2008). "Market Orientation and Export Performance; A Ghanaian Study", *AJBER*, 3, 2&3, 62-91. Holmes & Nicholls, 1988
- [22] Hoque, Z. and W. James (2000). "Linking balanced scorecard measures to size and market factors: impact on organizational performance." *Journal of Management Accounting Research* 12: 1-18.
- [23] Hudson, M., Bennett, J., Smart, A., & Bourne, M. (1999). Performance measurement for planning and control in SMEs.
- [24] Islam, M. A., Khan, M. A., Obaidullah, A. Z. M., & Alam, M. S. (2011). Effect of Entrepreneur and Firm Characteristics on the Business Success of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 6.
- [25] Ittner, C. D., Larcker, D. F., Randall, T., & Babin, B. J. (2003). Performance implications of strategic performance measurement in financial services firms. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 28. 715–741.
- [26] Kjellberg, H. and C. F. Helgesson (2006). "Multiple versions of markets: Multiplicity and performativity in market practice." *Industrial Marketing Management* 35(7): 839-855.
- [27] Kjellberg, H. and C. F. Helgesson (2007). "On the nature of markets and their practices." *Marketing Theory* 7(2): 137-162.
- [28] Kongolo, M. (2010). Job creation versus job shedding and the role of SMEs in economic development. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(11), 2288-2295.
- [29] Kumar, V., & Shah, D. (2009). Expanding the role of marketing: From customer equity to market capitalization. *Journal of Marketing* , 73(6), 119.
- [30] Low, R., Chapman, L. and Sloan, R., (2007). "Inter-relationship between innovation and market orientation in SMEs", *Managerial Research News*, 30, 12, 878-891.
- [31] Lunati, M. (2000). SMEs and electronic commerce: An overview: OECD, Directorate for Science, Technology and Industry Committee, DST/IND/PME (2000).
- [32] Mahmoud, M. A. (2010). "Market orientation and business performance among SMEs in Ghana." *International Business Research* 4(1): p241.
- [33] Malter, A. J., F. E. Webster, et al. (2005). the decline and dispersion of Marketing Competence. *MIT Sloan Management Review*. , 46, 36 – 43.
- [34] Mohd Shariff, M.N. Peou, C. Ali, J. (2010). "Moderating Effect of Government Policy on Entrepreneurship and Growth Performance of Small-Medium Enterprises in Cambodia." *International Journal of Business and Management Science* 3(1): 57.
- [35] Mohd Shariff, M. N. M. and C. Peou (2008). "The relationship of entrepreneurial values, firm financing and the management and growth performance of small-medium enterprises in Cambodia." *Problems and Perspectives in Management* Volume 6, Issue 4.
- [36] Mutula, S.M. & Brakel, P.V. (2006). E-readiness of SMEs in the ICT sector in Botswana with respect to information access. *The Electronic Library*, 24(3), 402-417.
- [37] Nguyen, T.H. Alam, Q. Perry, M. Prajogo, D.. (2009). "The Entrepreneurial Role of the State and SME Growth in Vietnam." *Journal of Administration & Governance* 4(1): 60-71.
- [38] Njanja, W., Pelissier, R., & Ogutu, M. (2010). An Investigation into the Effect of Management Factors on Performance of

- (Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises) in Kenya. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(11), p66.
- [39] Njanja, W., & Pellisier, R. (2011). The Integrative Effects of Various Management Strategies in the Performance of MSMEs. *International Business and Management*, 2(2), 105-116.
- [40] Nyoni S (2004). Report on the Policy Implementation Workshop for SMEs, National Economic Consultative Forum 25-27 October 2004, Harare, Zimbabwe.
- [41] Ogechukwu, A. D., & Latinwo, H. (2010). Entrepreneurial developments and small scale industry contribution to Nigerian national development- A marketing interface. *Information Management and Business Review* Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 51-68.
- [42] Opara, B. C. (2010). "Prospects of Marketing Made in Nigeria Products in the Global Market" *European Journal of Social Sciences* 16.
- [43] Ozer, A., Kocak, A. and Celik, O. (2006). "Determinants of market orientation in accounting firms", *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, 24, 6, 591-607.
- [44] Peou, C. 2009. Relationship Between Entrepreneur's Value, Firm Financing, Firm Management, Market Practices, and Growth Performance of Small-Medium Enterprises in Cambodia. Universiti Utara Malaysia.
- [45] Robinson JR, R. B. 1982. The importance of "outsiders" in small firm strategic planning. *Academy of Management Journal*, 80-93.
- [46] Sana, A. U. and A. A. Abbas (2005). "The SME sector in Iraq: A key resource to short-term income generation and long-term development." *International Labour Office Geneva*.
- [47] Sen, B. (2006). "Defining market orientation for libraries", *Library Management*, 27, 4/5, 201-217.
- [48] Shapiro, B. P. (1988). "What the hell is market oriented." *Harvard Business Review* 66(6): 119-125.
- [49] Sheppard, R. (2011). "The Evolution and Conceptualization of Market Orientation: What Managers Ought to Know." *Journal of Management Policy and Practice* 12(6): 30-45.
- [50] Sobri Minai, M. and E. O. I. Lucky (2011). "The Moderating Effect of Location on Small Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence." *International Journal of Business and Management* 6(10): p178.
- [51] Tambunan, T. (2005). "Promoting small and medium enterprises with a clustering approach: A policy experience from Indonesia." *Journal of Small Business Management* 43(2): 138-154.
- [52] Taticchi, P., Balachandran, K., Botarelli, M., & Cagnazzo, L. (2008). Performance measurement and management for small and medium enterprises: an integrated approach. *Journal of Applied Management and Accounting Research*, 5(2), 57-72.
- [53] United States Agency for International Development (USAID). (2010). *Investor Guide to Iraq*.
- [54] United States Agency for International Development (USAID). (2009). *Iraq Economic Recovery Assessment*.
- [55] United States Agency for International Development USAID. (2011). *State of Iraq's Microfinance Industry*.
- [56] Webster Jr, F. E. (1992). "The changing role of marketing in the corporation." *The Journal of Marketing*: 1-17.
- [57] Wind, J. (2009). Rethinking marketing: Peter drucker's challenge. *Academy of Marketing Science Journal*, 37(1), 28.
- Harash, E., Alsaad, F. J., & Ahmed, E. R. (2013). Moderating Effect of Government Policy on the Market Practices - Growth Relationship in Iraq SMEs. *Open Science Repository Business Administration, Online(open-access), e70081983. doi:10.7392/openaccess.70081983*