

STREAMing Engineering

An elementary schoolwide endeavor to build young engineers prioritizes training teachers first.

By Lauren Burrow and Chrissy Cross

“What does an engineer do?”—the question goes out to a cafeteria filled with elementary students. Hands eagerly shoot up in the air to share responses like: “They build things!” “They design things!” “My uncle is an engineer!” The teachers in the room smile and nod their heads to acknowledge the accuracy of the responses. “Yes, they build and design. They make ideas come to life by inventing new things that may have never existed before. Today, y’all are all going to be engineers. You are going to engineer the inventions your teams have planned in order to solve a problem a character faced in the book we all read earlier this week in our classes.” Excited cheers ring out throughout the room as the teachers signal, “Ready! Set! Go be ENGINEEEEEEEERS!” The next 45-minutes is a controlled chaos of material swapping, conversations of negotiation and compromise, hands-on building, and excited creation. *This is Novel Engineering in action!*

Created by Tufts University through an NSF-funded project, the Novel Engineering website explains that “Novel Engineering is an integrated approach to teaching engineering and literacy” (2018) that asks teachers to support students’ engagement in a project-based endeavor of problem-and-solution processes and products. The overarching structure of activities in novel engineering require students to read a novel (chil-

dren’s picture books or young adult chapter books both work) and engineer a creative solution to a problem described in the novel. In this article, we share how teachers were prepped for the program.

RECOGNIZING THE BENEFITS OF NOVEL ENGINEERING

In 2009 the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) identified academic benefits for including engineering as an integrated part of PK–12 STEM education, including increased future achievement in math and science as well as improved technological literacy (Katehi, Pearson, and Feder 2009). Early exposure to engineering processes and skills increases the likelihood of elementary students pursuing STEM college majors and careers in diverse populations of students (DeJarnette 2012). Additionally, alignment to state standards that could be accomplished across various subject matters throughout the many novel engineering steps can be seen in the alignment to *Next Generation Science Standards*. When elementary teachers include engineering in the curriculum, students are set up for future success!

Novel engineering hinges on being comfortable with making mistakes, repurposing everyday materials for creative solutions, and not letting the “probable” get in the way of the “possible”—practices that adults of-

ten forget/rarely attempt. Limited opportunities to practice “curious wonderment” paired with the fact that most elementary school teachers “fear” science instruction due to very limited science backgrounds during their teacher preparation programs (Haefner and Zembal-Saul 2004) can result in teachers’ wariness to incorporate innovative science activities like novel engineering into the classroom. Therefore, we believe that before *students* dive into this intelligent, interactive activity, *teachers* should grab a small group of grade-level peers and try it out for themselves to discover firsthand the productive struggle and creative commitments this interdisciplinary work encourages. Because while research findings indicate that students academically benefit from novel engineering due to the hands-on, immersive experience it creates for science exploration during reading-based lessons, a more unique benefit may be the confidence-building capability these lessons hold for “science-wary” teachers (Johnson, Wendell, and Watkins 2016; Wendell 2014).

In the fall of 2018, three professors were approached by a local elementary school to lead a multiday, schoolwide event that could promote a hands-on STREAM (Science, Technology, Reading, Engineering, Arts, and Mathematics) activity for elementary school-age students. The authors chose to lead an adapted version of Tufts’ Novel Engineering to better fit

the specific needs and resources of the local partnering school; they dubbed this version: “Nacogdoches-Style Novel Engineering.” This revised version of Tufts’ original work takes into account that schools across the United States have experienced budget cuts and serve populations of students who are low SES; unlike Tufts’ Novel Engineering, which emphasizes high-tech, workable creations, the professors’ revised emphasis on “process” and low-cost materials took into consideration the realities of the local elementary school’s budget, staffing, and diverse curriculum goals.

We led the elementary school’s teachers (grades K–5), who serve primarily students of low SES in rural East Texas, through a half-day professional development to ease teacher fears, encourage teacher creativity, and promote positive personal experiences so they could better understand how to communicate to their students the merits of making, teamwork, process over product, and trying...learning...trying again within the novel engineering process. The self-efficacy the teachers experienced from the PD was the key to forming the professional expertise that could make possible the excitement described in the opening paragraph. The novel engineering event was such a success, we wanted to share our adapted model with science teachers nationwide, this article will now outline this process-oriented *Nacogdoches-Style Novel Engineering* for use in teachers classrooms by sharing the step-by-step process (including pre-planning steps), project examples from the PD, and additional author suggestions are also included as resources for quick and easy teacher implementation.

PRE-STEP 1: DEFINING TERMS

Before implementing novel engineering with a class or whole school, it is

imperative to have an initial discussion about definitions of concepts and practices found throughout the novel engineering activity. Research indicates many students narrowly perceive and define science and engineering and who is doing science and engineering, thus making this discussion essential to reconceptualizing science and engineering learning experiences (Chambers 1983; Knight and Cunningham 2004). This facilitated discussion acts as a common vocabulary of intentional

language across classrooms and grade-levels to promote consistent identification of students as “engineers,” “scientists,” “designers,” and so on. Figure 1 offers the definitions that were used for the *Nacogdoches-Style Novel Engineering*. These definitions were inspired by Tufts’ explanation of “Novel Engineering,” but also include The Science Council’s (2019) expanded definition for “scientist” and recent ISTE keynote speaker Michael Cohen’s (2018) reframed definition of “creativity.”

FIGURE 1

Definitions developed by the authors for the local elementary school’s novel engineering event.

Working Definitions for Nacogdoches-Style Novel Engineering

Engineering = the process of making, designing

Novel = a book and also to do something in a new and/or unique way

Creativity = to conjure up solutions to problems (paraphrased from Michael Cohen)

Invention = to turn an idea into a reality

Representation = a simplified stand-in, prototype (functional or not) for a proposed solution

Scientist (paraphrased from sciencecouncil.org) = someone who systematically gathers and uses research and evidence, makes a hypothesis, and tests it to gain and share understanding and knowledge. Scientists are curious and undertake a systematic approach to solving their curiosities. When identifying someone as a scientist, consider:

- **how** they go about this (e.g., Statisticians use statistics and data; scientists use data.)
- **what** they’re seeking understanding of (e.g., Chemists consider elements in the universe, and astronomers consider the stars in the sky.)
- **where** they apply their science (e.g., Food scientists work within the food industry.)

FIGURE 2

Steps for Nacogdoches-Style Novel Engineering.

1. Read a book and listen for problems—Select a book for all students to read/have read aloud to them. Preferably, a book should be chosen for the plethora of potential problems it presents for students to later solve; problems can be stated, implied, or inspired by the book's content. Students should be instructed to read/listen to the story with the purpose of identifying problems presented throughout the book.
2. Identify problems—Through discussion and attentive reading students identify multiple problems that characters faced in the book. This activity can be done as a whole-class activity. To help students identify problems, students can be encouraged to consider the characters as clients who have come to them expressing a need for help to solve their problems.
3. Pick a problem—Students should be guided to select one problem from the list generated. This activity should be completed in small groups (i.e., teams).
4. Brainstorm solutions—Each team now brainstorms possible solutions to their selected problem. It should be explained to students that they will be engineering an invention in order to solve the problem they chose. Creativity should be encouraged, and solutions do not have to be constrained by the context or setting of the book. Teams just have to be able to make their proposed solution to the chosen problem.
5. Plan a solution—Student teams pick one of their solution ideas and sketch out what their solution will look like once they have made it. Students should be encouraged to label important parts of the sketch to remind them about what their invention does and what materials they will need to engineer it.
6. Engineer a solution—Students work in teams to build a representational solution that addresses one of the identified problems a character experienced in the book. Students should not limit their solutions based on the constraints of materials provided, but rather should use the materials to creatively engineer their proposed solution.
7. Get feedback—Teams test their solutions as they build and get feedback from their teacher and/or peers.
8. Improve designs—Teams use information gathered during testing and presentations to improve and revise their designs.
9. Share—Teams can either present their final solution or reflections on their process to the class, write a story that includes their solution, or make an advertisement for their solution.

PRE-STEP 2: ESTABLISHING GOALS AND EXPLAINING PROCEDURES

With a common language established, teachers next need to explain the goals and procedures of novel engineering to students. A successful novel engineering experience has students identify problems in pre-selected books and then leads to engineering solutions for the book character(s) with emphasis on collaborative work times that focus on *solution-making* as a result of effective *teamwork* and a *reflective, risk-taking* learning process. While Tufts' Novel Engineering seems to place equal attention on "process" and "product," we chose to place a greater emphasis on the novel engineering *process* at the elementary school, so teachers could capitalize on young students' predispositions to solve problems and design solutions across subject matters as a means of cultivating their identities as creative engineers and inventors. Additionally, an insistence on "workable" solutions can limit student creativity and risk-taking; rather the authors encourage teachers to consider even "non-working" inventions as "successes in solution-making."

Throughout the steps of novel engineering, teachers facilitate students' metacognition of STREAM-based principles through in-class discussions, monitoring of a student's input on the student record sheet that doubles as an assessment artifact (access the record sheet at <https://tinyurl.com/y5a25afz>), and close attention to level of engagement of each of the students participating. While novel engineering has obvious connections to science, technology, and engineering knowledge and skills, the authors remind teachers that the reading and writing-based components of the process are equally important for leading students to successful completion of the engineering experience.

THE STEPS OF NACOGDOCHES-STYLE NOVEL ENGINEERING

The Tufts-created Novel Engineering process is comprised of three overarching steps, but we offer an adapted version, which we designed to fit the specific needs of our partner elementary school (presented in Figure 2). Schools/teachers are encouraged to revise either version before embarking on their own novel engineering experience.

Step 1: Selecting books. Selecting a text that is rich with appealing characters, interesting plots, detailed descriptions of settings, and multiple problems is key to situating novel engineering as a project that can capitalize on children’s natural ten-

dencies for scientific exploration and experimentation within the setting of a reading class. Figure 3 suggests books based on a variety of culturally responsive parameters (Gay 2000). The authors encourage schools/teachers to consider the remarkable opportunity to affirm specific student populations with intentional book choices that honor races, ethnicities, socioeconomic status, home languages other than the dominant culture. When students see themselves (or their peers) in a text, it can be an identity-affirming experience that can improve their ability to connect to the characters and their problems (Gay 2000).

Marvelous Cornelius: Hurricane Katrina and the Spirit of New Orleans

by Phil Bildner and John Parra (2015) will be used throughout this article as an example of a culturally responsive text for use with novel engineering. This book, which is appropriate for grades K–2, is an inspired chronicling of the heroic acts of an African American garbage collector in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina in New Orleans. It presents problems like: How can *one* man clean up a city? How can a city prevent future hurricane destruction? and other issues related to environment, weather, and waste.

Steps 2–4: Problems and solutions. Teams should complete Steps 2–4 (see Figure 2) by discussing problems—stated and implied—that characters need help solving (see Figures 4 and 5 for teacher examples connected to *Marvelous Cornelius*). During these steps, the teacher can lead whole-class or small-group discussions by asking questions of the students about what problems need to be solved.

Steps 5 and 6: Making requires “marvelous minds,” not costly materials. Before students begin invention planning (Step 5, Figure 2), sharing a news clip like *Cane’s Arcade* (2012), about a young boy who engineered a working arcade out of common household items or reading *This Is Not a Stick* by Antoinette Poris (2007) can activate the “marvelous minds” of young students to encourage imaginative inventing. Both the news clip and the book tell stories about creative kids who took common items and used them to create objects and inventions that may not be obvious at first glance; teachers can facilitate conversations about the imagination at play in either/both models and encourage students to reconsider everyday objects’ uses and potential as a means of sparking their ingenuity.

When teams are ready to translate ideas into inventions (Step 6, Figure

FIGURE 3

Texts selected for Novel Engineering.

Books chosen for the Nacogdoches-Style Novel Engineering event because they could easily be purchased, in bulk, for three grade-level bands using funds from the community grant:

1. K–1st grade: *Maria Had a Little Llama / María Tenía Una Llamita* (2013) by Angela Dominguez
2. 2nd–3rd grades: *Caps for Sale* (1987) by Esphyr Slobodkina
3. 4th–5th grades: *Cloudy with a Chance of Meatballs* (1982) by Judi Barrett

Tufts University’s Novel Engineering-approved book lists: www.novelengineering.org/classroom-books

Books that celebrate multicultural characters as inventors and could help encourage students’ imaginations and/or self-identification as engineers:

1. *Whoosh! Lonnie Johnson’s Super-Soaking Stream of Inventions* (2016) by Chris Barton
2. *Ada Twist, Scientist* (2016) by Andrea Beaty
3. *Look What Brown Can Do!* (2015) by T. Marie Harris
4. *Women in Science: 50 Fearless Pioneers Who Changed the World* (2016) by Rachel Ignotofsky

FIGURE 4

This teacher team set out to engineer a sound-cancelling invention.



2), teachers should keep in mind that this revised version of novel engineering does not have to be a costly endeavor because the emphasis on “process” means that everyday items are sufficient. A collection of donated materials from the community can connect students’ families to in-class projects, while charging students with a “resource scavenger hunt” in the classroom could become a lesson in conservation, recycling, and repurposing. Keep in mind, providing basic tools (e.g., tape and scissors) to every group can help shorten “build times,” while withholding basic tools can require students to use complex problem-solving skills to adapt. The authors always recommend that teachers do a “safety check” of any donated/found items before allowing students to engineer with them. Keep an eye out for any hazards such as sharp edges and germs, and consider the suitability of the material for use by younger-age children.

The initial build time (Step 6, Figure 2), where teacher intervention should be limited to safety checks and minimal prompting of on-task behavior, should last for approximately 15–45 minutes, based on students’ ages and complexity of planned inventions. Materials can be distributed evenly for team use or teachers can designate students to collect materials based on teams’ needs. This first build time should emphasize student collaboration and encourage trial-and-error attempts as group members work together with provided materials. Teachers can use phrases to encourage students to work together by watching participation level of each student in each group and by saying, “*Student name*, what do you think about the design?” or “*Student name*, if you could change anything about the design what would you change?”

Steps 7 and 8: Engineering time. After initial build time, teams

FIGURE 5

A teacher team used miscellaneous materials to engineer a trash collection and transportation system.



should present their draft inventions to other teams for critical feedback on design improvement, based in scientific and engineering principles (Step 7, Figure 2). Teachers could revisit previously studied science lessons to help guide students' designs to become more efficient or practical. During the final build time (Step 8, Figure 2), students should be encouraged to alter at least one element of their original design—based either on directed peer feedback or new thoughts generated from the team-to-team discussions. Figure 5 shows an example of how incorporating another team's suggestion of adding simple machines helped make an original invention “safer” for the book characters to use. Teachers can guide student feedback by using phrases that encourage students to think hypothetically about their designs, such as, “What would happen if it was rainy when this design was used?” or “Would this design work if one hundred people needed to use it?” Some of the inventions inspired by *Marvelous Cornelius* were a giant trash suction machine, tiny trash collecting robots, and a machine that recycled the trash into energy.

Step 9: Student reflection and teacher assessment. After final build time, teams complete write-ups to share out summaries of their inventions and to explain how their solutions addressed identified problems (Step 9, Figure 2). Overall, while emphasis on “engineering time” should be given the most attention—as this is the most engaging steps for students and will probably act as the catalyst for future pursuits of STREAM-related skills and knowledge acquisition—the feedback, write-up, and sharing of the steps allows students to document and publicly communicate what learning actually took place throughout the experience (Figure 6).

FIGURE 6

This teacher team was eager to share their solutions.



RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SUCCESS

Novel engineering is a STREAM-experience that can easily be modified to welcome the youngest engineers or challenge older science students; it is an engaging endeavor that is appropriate for classroom study or school-wide competition. Here are some recommendations for a more successful learning experience:

1. Effective group management should be practiced *before* undertaking this project. Inadequate preparation for successful teamwork will most likely lead to student team members attempting to engineer individual inventions rather than engaging in collaborative problem-solving and mutual solution-making. Teachers can

promote effective group management by making sure all supplies are easily procured by the students and walking around to monitor the teamwork and participation within the small groups.

2. The “feedback step” can be difficult for young students to effectively execute if they are not familiar with how to give/receive feedback that is meant to improve inventions, not “hurt feelings.” Ask students to frame their feedback using the following sentence-starters: “I like _____ about your invention, because _____. Do you want to consider adding _____ / changing _____ / trying _____?”
3. Consider saving the “sharing

stage” for another day to give teams a chance to reflect on what they actually accomplished. Technology-based story apps such as *HaikuDeck* (limited trial free), *Shadow Puppet Edu* (free), or *iMovie* (free) can help emergent writers share their solutions beyond the limitations of their current writing abilities or challenge older students to consider the ways in which media-based embellishments could help others “relive” the excitement they experienced during the project. Be sure to do a common sense check regarding student privacy and check sharing options if opting to add story apps.

4. If you choose to pursue a school-wide event, enlisting adult volunteers can help keep “work spaces” clean, encourage productive teamwork, and act as “safety monitors” as students work with a variety of materials. If your school is located near a university, reach out to education, science, or engineering course instructors who may be willing to offer student volunteers who need to complete hours toward their degree credit. Always consult with your district’s volunteer policies before inviting external volunteers to campus.

We hope this article helps teachers adapt and implement novel engineering at their own school. This activity could be used for grade-level competitions/individual class projects, or promote interdisciplinary partner-

ships between literacy and science educators or schools and local universities. Emphasis on the reading-based components and hands-on experience with novel engineering can help make scientific exploration more relevant and accessible to the many elementary school teachers who might currently “fear” science instruction so that they, in turn, can help their students realize the benefits of becoming a “novel engineer.” ●

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Funding for this event was provided by a local community grant from Nibco, Inc.

REFERENCES

- Chambers, D.W. 1983. Stereotypic images of the scientist: The Draw-a-Scientist Test. *Science education* 67 (2): 255-265.
- Cohen, M. 2018, June. *5 Reasons why every student needs to think like a designer*. Paper session presented at the meeting of the International Society for Technology in Education, Chicago, IL.
- DeJarnette, N. 2012. America’s children: Providing early exposure to STEM (science, technology, engineering and math) initiatives. *Education* 133 (1): 77-84.
- Gay, G. 2000. *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, practice and research*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Haefner, L.A., and C. Zembal-Saul. 2004. Learning by doing? Prospective elementary teachers’ developing understandings of scientific inquiry and science teaching and learning. *International Journal of Science Education* 26 (13): 1653-1674. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069042000230709>
- Johnson, A., K. Wendell, and J. Watkins. 2016. Examining experienced teachers’ noticing of and responses to students’ engineering. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education and Research* (7) 1: 25-35.
- Katehi, L., G. Pearson, and M. Feder. 2009. *National academy of engineering and national research council report: Engineering in K-12 education*. National Academies Press: Washington, DC.
- Knight, M., and C. Cunningham. 2004. Draw an engineer test (DAET): Development of a tool to investigate students’ ideas about engineers and engineering. In *ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition* (Vol. 2004).
- Mullick, N., H. Cross, S. Chang, and N. Mullick. 2012. *Cane’s Arcade*. [Short Film]. United States: Interconnected.
- Novel Engineering 2018. FAQ. Retrieved from: www.novelengineeringchallenges.org/faq
- Science Council. 2019. Our definition of a scientist. Retrieved from: <https://sciencecouncil.org/about-science/our-definition-of-a-scientist>
- Wendell, K.B. 2014. Design practices of preservice elementary teachers in an integrated engineering and literature experience. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research* (4) 2: 29-46.

.....

Lauren Burrow (burrowle@sfasu.edu) is an associate professor of elementary education, and **Chrissy Cross** is an assistant professor of secondary education, both at Stephen F. Austin State University in Nacogdoches, Texas.