



**REPORT OF THE  
NCAA DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
INFRACTIONS PROCESS COMMITTEE  
MARCH 31, 2022, VIDEOCONFERENCE**

- 1. Review of Feedback from the NCAA Division I Transformation Committee.** The NCAA Division I Board of Directors Infractions Process Committee reviewed feedback from the Division I Transformation Committee regarding its preliminary report submitted earlier in March that summarized the Infractions Process Committee’s progress to date and preliminary thoughts on potential models to transform the infractions process. The Transformation Committee supported what was presented in the report and expressed encouragement regarding the direction the Infractions Process Committee’s findings and principles are heading.

The Transformation Committee encouraged additional review on select areas, including the penalty structure given the language in the revised constitution, which reads in part “...to the greatest extent possible that penalties imposed for infractions do not punish programs or student-athletes not involved nor implicated in the infraction(s).”

The Transformation Committee also agreed with the emphasis on a time-efficient infractions appeals process and supported the concept of written appeals. The Transformation Committee asked the Infractions Process Committee to continue reviewing the basis for appeals and consider how other appellate models, like that used by the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program, are operationalized within the peer-review model. The Transformation Committee also suggested examining ways financial penalties could disincentivize accessing the appeal process.

- 2. Continued Review of Potential Models.** Based on the positive feedback from the Transformation Committee, the Infractions Process Committee resumed its review of the conceptual framework presented in its preliminary report and began determining what further detail can be recommended at this time. Members worked diligently through each stage of the infractions process (self-detection and reporting; investigation; charging; initial adjudication; and penalty calculation) to explore potential broad-based solutions that could be included in the committee’s April report to the Transformation Committee and further fleshed out based on feedback from both the Transformation Committee and NCAA Division I Board of Directors. The Infractions Process Committee noted the ideas related to the appellate phase remain conceptual at this time and will require further review following the Transformation Committee’s in-person April meeting.
- 3. Review of Previous Report.** The Infractions Process Committee approved the report from its March 8 videoconference as presented.
- 4. Future Videoconferences.** The Infractions Process Committee will meet on a date to be determined after the Transformation Committee and Board of Directors conduct their meetings in April.

*Infractions Process Committee chair: Jim Harris, University of San Diego*  
*Staff Liaisons: Emily Capehart, Academic and Membership Affairs*  
*Derrick Crawford, Hearing Operations*  
*Jenn Fraser, Law, Policy and Governance*  
*Kevin Lennon, Law, Policy and Governance*

<b>Division I Board of Directors Infractions Process Committee March 31, 2022, Videoconference</b>
<b>Attendees:</b>
Greg Christopher, Xavier University.
Adela de la Torre, San Diego State University.
Ronnie Green, University of Nebraska, Lincoln.
Jim Harris, University of San Diego.
Geoff Mearns, Ball State University.
Joel Pawlak, North Carolina State University.
Greg Sankey, Southeastern Conference.
<b>Absentees:</b>
Natalie Robinson, University of Washington.
Harlan Sands, Cleveland State University.
William Smith, Bryant University.
<b>Guests in Attendance:</b>
None.
<b>NCAA Staff Liaisons in Attendance:</b>
Emily Capehart, Jenn Fraser, and Kevin Lennon.
<b>Other NCAA Staff Members in Attendance:</b>
Brynna Barnhart, Gary Brown, Dawn Buth, Derrick Crawford, Jon Duncan, Meghan Durham, Matt Mikrut, Jared Tidemann and Wendy Walters.