SUMMARY OF WINTER 2011 QUARTERLY MEETINGS
The National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division III Management Council **January 12, 2011** **San Antonio, Texas**		**Division III Presidents Council** **January 13, 2011** **San Antonio, Texas**	**Division III Management Council** **January 15, 2011** **San Antonio, Texas**
		PARTICIPANTS	
Kitty Baldridge, Gallaudet University Sue Chapman, Worcester State College Tim Downes, Emory University Dan Fulks, Transylvania University Portia Hoeg, Lake Forest College Fredina Ingold, Pennsylvania State University, Altoona Kirin Khan, Mills College Bill Klika, Fairleigh Dickinson University, Florham Chris Martin, College Conference of Illinois & Wisconsin [*vice chair*] Jeff Martinez, University of Redlands Steve Nelson, University of Wisconsin, Superior Lynn Oberbillig, Smith College [*chair*] Charlie Robert, Nichols College Christopher (Brooks) Royer, Rhodes College Terry Rupert, Wilmington College (Ohio) Chris Thomforde, Moravian College		Livingston Alexander, University of Pittsburgh, Bradford Robert Antonucci, Fitchburg State College Kendall Baker, Ohio Northern University James Bultman, Hope College [*vice chair*] James Harris, Widener University [*chair*] Catharine Hill, Vassar College Brian Levin-Stankevich, University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire Chris Martin, College Conference of Illinois & Wisconsin [*vice chair of Management Council*] Lynn Oberbillig, Smith College *[chair of Management Council]* Jack Ohle, Gustavus Adolphus College Fred Ohles, Nebraska Wesleyan University Kathy Owens, Gwynedd-Mercy College Rosalind Reichard, Emory and Henry College James Schmotter, Western Connecticut State University Ron Thomas, University of Puget Sound	Kitty Baldridge, Galludet University Kurt Beron, University of Texas at Dallas Stan Ching, Connecticut College Tim Downes, Emory University Tucker Glass, Plattsburgh State University of New York Mary Jo Gunning, Marywood University Marjorie Hass, Austin College Janine Hathorn, Washington and Lee University Portia Hoeg, Lake Forest College Fredina Ingold, Pennsylvania State University, Altoona Kirin Khan, Mills College Bill Klika, Fairleigh Dickinson University, Florham Chris Martin, College Conference of Illinois & Wisconsin *[chair]* Jeff Martinez, University of Redlands *[vice chair]* Steve Nelson, University of Wisconsin, Superior Charlie Robert, Nichols College Brooks Royer, Rhodes College Terry Rupert, Wilmington College (Ohio) Chris Thomforde, Moravian College
		ABSENTEES	
Stan Ching, Connecticut College Mary Jo Gunning, Marywood University Lisa Marsh Ryerson, Wells College		David Joyce, Ripon College Marcia Keizs, York College/CUNY	Nancy Meyer, Calvin College

OTHER PARTICIPANTS

Scott Bearby, NCAA	Scott Bearby, NCAA	Gary Brown, NCAA
Gary Brown, NCAA	Gary Brown, NCAA	Azure Davey, NCAA
Azure Davey, NCAA	Azure Davey, NCAA	Daniel T. Dutcher, NCAA
Daniel T. Dutcher, NCAA	Daniel T. Dutcher, NCAA	Eric Hartung, NCAA
Mark Emmert, NCAA president	Mark Emmert, NCAA president	Amy Huchthausen, NCAA, *recording secretary*
Eric Hartung, NCAA	Eric Hartung, NCAA	Debbie Kresge, NCAA
Amy Huchthausen, NCAA, *recording secretary*	Amy Huchthausen, NCAA, *recording secretary*	Kat Krtnick, NCAA
Debbie Kresge, NCAA	Debbie Kresge, NCAA,	Jeff Myers, NCAA
Kat Krtnick, NCAA	Kat Krtnick, NCAA	Kristin Nesbitt, NCAA
Jeff Myers, NCAA	Kevin Lennon, NCAA	
Kristin Nesbitt, NCAA	Jeff Myers, NCAA	
Wally Renfro, NCAA	Tom Paskus, NCAA	
Tom Paskus, NCAA	Todd Petr, NCAA	
Todd Petr, NCAA		

1. WELCOME AND ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Management Council. The meeting was called to order at 8:10 a.m. by the chair, Lynn Oberbillig. The chair welcomed Council members and reviewed the agenda for the meeting.

Presidents Council. The meeting was called to order at 11:06 a.m. by the chair, President Jim Harris. The chair welcomed Council members and reviewed the agenda for the meeting.

January 15 Management Council. The meeting was called to order at 1:10 p.m. by the chair, Chris Martin. The chair welcomed all new Council members.

2. REVIEW OF RECORDS OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS.

a. Management Council Meeting – October 18-19, 2010.

January 12 Management Council. The Management Council approved the summary of its October 18-19, 2010, meeting as presented.

Presidents Council. No action necessary.

b. Presidents Council Meeting – October 28, 2010.

January 12 Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the summary of the October 28, 2010, Presidents Council meeting. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the summary of its October 28, 2010, meeting as presented.

c. Executive Committee Meeting – October 28, 2010.

January 12 Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the minutes of the October 28, 2010, Executive Committee meeting. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the minutes of the October 28, 2010, Executive Committee meeting. No action was necessary.

d. Administrative Committee Actions.

January 12 Management Council. The Management Council approved the minutes of the November 1 and November 8, 2010, Administrative Committee actions.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the minutes of the November 1 and November 8, 2010, Administrative Committee actions.

3. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AFFECTING DIVISION III.

a. Division III Joint Presidents Council/Management Council Committees or Subcommittees.

(1) Convention-Planning Subcommittee.

Staff Liaisons: Dutcher, Kareti, Davey, Kresge

Council Reps: Ingold, Khan Levin-Stankevich, Nelson, Ohles, Robert

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee's report. No action was necessary.

The Council was briefed on the following sessions scheduled to occur during the 2011 Convention:

- Division III Commissioners meeting. This agenda will include an update on all significant Division III priorities.
- Defining the Educational Value of the Division III Intercollegiate Athletics Experience. Reviewed the agenda and PowerPoint for this session.
- Division III Sports Wagering Session. Reviewed the agenda of this educational session and noted that Michael Franceze, a dynamic outreach speaker, will talk about the student-welfare issues associated with sports wagering.
- NADIIIAA – Pathways to Sustained Excellence. Reviewed the agenda of this session.
- Division III Issues Forum. Reviewed the agenda, round table questions and format, and mementos for distribution to the membership.
- Presidents/Chancellors Forum and Luncheon. Reviewed the agenda noting the focus on presidential leadership and Division III athletics revenues and expenses.

- Athletics Direct Reports Forum and Luncheon. Reviewed the agenda and noted this session will primarily be a "101" about the NCAA and Division III governance.
- Division III Business Session. Reviewed the agenda noting the unveiling of the Division III partnership with Special Olympics; legislative voting; Academic Reporting Pilot; and an additional opportunity for feedback on the Identity Initiative.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(2) Strategic-Planning and Finance Committee.

Staff Liaisons: **Dutcher, Kareti Barnett, Worlock, Huchthausen, Kresge**

Council Reps: Baker, Bultman, Fulks, Joyce, Klika, Martin, Royer, Schmotter, Thomforde

(a) NCAA Overhead and Division III Reserve Policies.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee's report with an update on each of the national office overhead and Division III reserve policies. The division is required to hold a mandated amount of 10 percent of the annual revenue allocation. There has been some examination of the Association-wide reserve policy, which may result in Divisions II and III being encouraged to hold a significantly larger percent of the annual revenue allocation in reserve. The council was reminded that both the division and the Association carry catastrophic financial recovery insurance. The Division III policy provides $10 million in coverage – a figure which could be credited towards future minimum reserve requirements. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the committee's report. No action was necessary.

(b) Division III Financial Dashboards.

January 12 Management Council. The Council received the committee's report on selected findings from the 2010 Division III Revenues and Expenses Report and acknowledged the value of institutions having fact-based financial data with which to compare institutions and understand relative expenses. The Council noted that the Presidents Council directed the staff to continue to develop this information for further review, toward the possible establishment of dashboard indicators or a similar mechanism. This information will also be shared during the Presidents/Chancellors Forum and Luncheon on Friday for further review and input. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liasons: **Davey, Nesbitt**

Council Reps: Ching, Downes, Ingold

b. Management Council Subcommittees.

(1) Academic Issues Subcommittee.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee's report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liaisons: **Brooks, Oakes**

Council Reps: Chapman, Fulks, Gunning, Robert, Rupert

(2) Subcommittee for Legislative Relief.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee's report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liaisons: **Myers, Nesbitt**

Council Reps: Chapman, Khan Klika, Lowe Hoeg, Martin, Nelson, Oberbillig

(3) Playing and Practice Seasons Subcommittee.

January 12 Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

d. Division III Committees.

Staff Liaisons: **Gentry, Suscha, Williams**

Council Reps: Downes, Martin, Oberbillig

(1) Championships Committee.

(a) Analysis of Sport Region Alignments.

January 12 Management Council. The Council provided support for the concept to create a consistent application for sport region assignments wherever possible through an emphasis on conference affiliation, a concern for geographic proximity, congruity between like sports (e.g., men's and women's lacrosse) and standardized regional nomenclature. It was noted the level of sport sponsorship will drive the number of regions for a sport (e.g., sport sponsorship of 325 or more institutions will yield eight sport regions). The Council agreed standardization of sport region alignments would establish a consistent and better understood regional system for the Division III membership. Currently, sport region alignment determinations are made in isolation on a sport-by-sport basis and can result in different regional placement for like sports with similar levels of sponsorship. The Council noted the committee's plan to receive formal feedback, continue its analysis throughout the spring and summer with the intent to finalize a sport region alignment proposal for Management Council's consideration during its September meeting.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Men's Volleyball – Automatic Qualification Eligibility.

January 12 Management Council. In anticipation of the men's volleyball championship proposal considered at the 2011 NCAA Convention, and consistent with the interpretation concerning the committee's automatic qualification (AQ) authority issued by the NCAA Interpretation and Legislation Committee, the Council supported the committee's decision regarding the establishment of AQ eligibility for the first two years of the championship as follows:

- Waive the requirement that a conference be in existence for two years before receiving an AQ [refer to Bylaw 31.3.2.1.1(a) (1)];
- Waive the two-year waiting period for established conferences that may have satisfied the two-year competition and continuity requirements (i.e., the same seven institutions playing volleyball together for two years);
- Apply the existing grace period requirements to those conferences receiving an AQ in the first year; and
- For the first year of the championship (2012), the Division III Membership Committee extended the 2011 conference application deadline to February 28, 2011, for men's volleyball conferences only. Such conferences shall submit applications for both membership and AQ consideration at the same time and that, if their conference application is approved by the Management Council, it will automatically be considered by the Championships Committee for an AQ at its June 2011 in-person meeting.

No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(c) Team Sports – Regional Rankings Procedure.

January 12 Management Council. The Council noted the committee's concern from a number of sport committees following its October 2010 report and agreed to lift the requirement that team sport committees rank 15 percent of the institutions eligible for championships selection per region or a minimum of two, whichever is more, effective immediately. Furthermore, the Council noted the committee will delay a change to the regional-rankings procedure until the analysis of sport region alignments is complete and an implementation date is established. This delay is in recognition that a higher minimum (more than two) and the establishment of a maximum number of ranked teams per region should be considered, but also acknowledges that consistency across sports in the form of a common rankings percentage (e.g., 15 percent) is important. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liaisons: **Hartung, Myers, Nesbitt**

Council Rep: Rupert

(2) Financial Aid Committee.

- **Financial Aid Reporting Process – Level I Reviews.**

January 12 Management Council. The committee reviewed 56 institutional financial aid reports. The basis for review was that each institution was subject to a condition of review resulting from a Level I or Level II review from the previous reporting cycle; an enforcement referral from the previous reporting cycle mandated a Level I review; or the financial aid report triggered one of the filters. Four filters were implemented for the 2010-11 reporting cycle to trigger a Level I review: (1) a variance estimate above 4 percent; (2) a difference in the proportion of financial need met by institutional gift aid designated as a statistical outlier; (3) failure of the proportionality test; or (4) the sport filter, where sports designated as statistical outliers are identified.

After reviewing the financial aid cases through the historical assessment of the financial aid report and all documentation submitted by each institution during past reporting cycles, the committee determined that: (1) 18 reports would not be subject to further review during this reporting cycle (2) two reports would not be subject to further review during this reporting cycle but would automatically undergo a Level 1 review during the 2011-12 reporting cycle, and (3) 36 reports were forwarded for a Level II review. In a Level II review, the institution must provide written justification for the committee's findings.

Additionally, the Council supported the committee's efforts to develop educational initiatives to address issues concerning the disparate impact of aid policies that could potentially benefit student-athletes, particularly those policies involving awards of circumstance and financial aid award appeals procedures. These issues have arisen periodically across the six years of the reporting program and continue to be of concern to the committee and the membership. The Council noted the benefits of focused education targeted at nonathletics staff members, including outreach and communication with presidents. The Council felt that this may be a topic to address this with the Presidents Advisory Group (PAG) during their summer meeting. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Council supported the committee's plans to provide additional education as noted. No action was necessary.

(3) Committee on Infractions.

Staff Liaisons: **Cooper, Elworth**

Council Rep: Gunning

January 12 Management Council. The committee had no formal report; however, the Council discussed a recent major infractions case involving a Division III institution that sponsors men's lacrosse in Division I. The institution was found to have committed major infractions in its men's lacrosse program by the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions and in its football program by the NCAA Division III Committee on Infractions.

The Council charged the Membership Committee to review the rules education efforts and opportunities for multidivisional institutions, noting institutions that choose to classify a sport(s) in another division are responsible to ensure compliance with the appropriate division(s). No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(4) Infractions Appeals Committee.

Staff Liaisons: **Dantzler, Smith, Walters**

Council Reps: Antonucci, Baldridge

January 12 Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(5) Membership Committee

Staff Liaisons: **Davey, Willett**

Council Rep: Robert

- **Noncontroversial Legislation – NCAA Constitution 3.02.3.1.2 (Membership Classification Status) – NCAA Regional Rules Seminar Attendance – Penalty Sanction.**

  January 12 Management Council. The Council approved in concept noncontroversial legislation that adds required attendance at an NCAA Regional Rules Seminars as a potential sanction for failure to meet any membership requirement.

  The committee supported a recommendation from the NCAA Division III Management Council that would require an institutional representative to attend the NCAA Regional Rules Seminars when the institution is found to have violated a membership requirement at the committee's discretion. The Council noted the requirement would not be applicable in all membership requirements violations scenarios; however, attendance would likely be required when the committee determined compliance education is warranted. Additionally, the committee would determine which institutional staff member, depending on the circumstances of the violation, would be required to satisfy the condition (e.g., director of

athletics, compliance coordinator, senior woman administrator). It was noted that institutions would be responsible for the cost of sending an institutional staff member(s) to attend the NCAA Regional Rules Seminars if required.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liaison: Tufano

Council Rep: Hoeg

(6) Nominating Committee.

- Committee appointments.

January 12 Management Council. The Management Council recommended the Presidents Council approve the following committee appointments. Terms would begin at the close of the 2011 NCAA Convention unless otherwise noted:

i. Division III Management Council (two vacancies). Charles S. Harris, executive vice president, Averett University; and Lou Stark, vice president for student affairs, Coe College. [Subject to the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-2.]

ii. Division III Membership Committee. Marybeth Lennox, director of athletics, Green Mountain College.

iii. Research Committee (immediate vacancy replacing Kathryn Hersey). Tom Ellington, faculty athletics representative, Wesleyan College (Georgia). [NOTE: The committee recommends a September 1, 2015, term end date.]

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the Management Council's recommendation.

(7) Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC).

Staff Liaisons: Davey, Montgomery, Wright

Council Reps: Khan, Royer

(a) Special Olympics Partnership.

January 12 Management Council. The Council recommended the Presidents Council approve the establishment of a national, division-wide partnership with Special Olympics.

The SAAC reviewed data that demonstrated nearly 50 percent of Division III institutions were involved with Special Olympics in their locale. The committee noted the mutual benefits that a partnership would provide Special Olympics and Division III student-athletes, consistent with the division's strategic positioning platform. In accordance with the Division III identity platform, the partnership will provide an additional community service and citizenship opportunity for student-

athletes. The partnership also enhances the integrated Division III education philosophy.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the Management Council's recommendation.

(8) Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee.

(a) Major Junior A Hockey.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee's discussions regarding existing NCAA Division III Major Junior A legislation, guidelines and case precedent in response to a previous referral from the Council to consider whether current legislation specific to Major Junior A ice hockey is reasonable, equitable and relevant within the sport. Following a review of information previously presented in May 2010 by a member of the agents, gambling and amateurism activities staff, regarding the current organizational structure of Major Junior A ice hockey, the Council noted the committee reviewed existing legislation, guidelines and case precedent and its limited involvement with the sport of ice hockey. Therefore, the Council noted the committee was unable to assess existing Major Junior A legislation within the context of the overall sport structure. However, based on restructuring within the league, it was noted the committee recommends a clarification to existing legislative language. In addition, the Council noted the committee suggested further consultation with the NCAA Division III Management Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief, NCAA Eligibility Center, NCAA agents, gambling and amateurism activities staff and the applicable coach's association s for further information specific to participation opportunities and structure within the sport of ice hockey. Consequently, the Council requested the Interpretations and Legislation Committee examine this issue since the Committee on Student-Athlete on Reinstatement was unable to provide any conclusive recommendations. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Review of Division III Hardship Waiver Legislative Requirements.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee's discussion regarding the possible impact of the recent legislative change in the Division III hardship waiver requirements, which incorporated NCAA Bylaw 17 maximums within legislative requirements. Based on the limited availability of data, the committee directed staff to table the issue for a period of two to five years in order to allow the new legislation to take effect. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(c) Review of Post-Enrollment Prize Money Guidelines.

January 12 Management Council. The Council noted the committee's review regarding existing post-enrollment prize money guidelines within the context of proposed legislation (NCAA Proposal No. 2011-14), which would allow student-athletes to accept prize money based on performance in an open event during the summer vacation period provided the prize money received does not exceed actual and necessary expenses. The Council noted the committee developed guidelines in the event the proposal is adopted and incorporated some initial flexibility within reinstatement conditions given the proposal's immediate effective date to allow for rules education and clarification. In the event Proposal No. 2011-14 is not adopted, the Council noted the committee supported maintaining current guidelines involving post-enrollment acceptance of prize money with clarification that application of the guidelines occurs subsequent to any collegiate enrollment (e.g., two-year, international).

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(d) Clarification of NCAA Bylaw 18.4.1.5 Penalties.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee's examination of the recent NCAA academic and membership affairs staff confirmation published December 2, 2010, regarding seasons of competition and banned drugs, which clarifies that a student-athlete who is found to have used a substance on the list of banned-drug classes automatically loses one season participation, regardless of whether the positive test occurs prior to or during the institution's playing season in the applicable sport. Further, if a student-athlete has participated in competition during an academic year prior to the positive drug test or during an academic year after the reinstatement of his or her eligibility, the student-athlete also uses a season of participation under the minimum amount of participation legislation. Consequently, it is possible for a student-athlete to be charged with the use of two seasons of participation during one academic year. The committee indicated that notice to the NCAA membership is necessary given the appropriate application of the legislation and confirmed an effective date involving drug tests occurring on or after August 1, 2011.

In addition, the Council noted the committee's examination of cases in which a student-athlete is withheld from postseason competition as part of a minimum of the equivalent of one season of competition. The committee agreed that the requirement that a student-athlete also is withheld from a 365-day period is an adequate safeguard against any potential competitive advantage gained by a team with extended postseason competition.

Finally, the committee affirmed participation in any competition between the testing date and notification would require additional withholding following expiration of the 365-day period of ineligibility. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(9) Interpretations and Legislative Committee (ILC).

Staff Liaisons: Huchthausen, Myers

Council Rep: Fulks

(a) Noncontroversial Legislation – Recruiting – Permissible Recruitment Activities for Enrolled Student-Athletes – Electronic Mail.

January 12 Management Council. The Council approved in concept noncontroversial legislation to permit enrolled student-athletes to e-mail prospective student-athletes for recruitment purposes.

Current legislation permits enrolled student-athletes to participate in the recruitment process per NCAA Division III Bylaw 13.02.4.1 (permissible recruitment activities for enrolled student-athletes) through telephone calls, official visits and unofficial visits. Coaches are permitted to e-mail prospective student-athletes and it is reasonable to extend this method of communication to enrolled student-athletes as well. Feedback from the SAAC was solicited regarding this issue. SAAC reasoned that e-mail is convenient, does not place any undue burden on enrolled student-athletes and allows prospective student-athletes to obtain information from peers about the athletics programs at the institution that they may attend. This allows for more honest, realistic information and perspective regarding the institution in a number of areas (e.g., academic, athletics, campus life, expectations) from current student-athletes than what is delivered by a coach. In addition, for some prospective student-athletes, such as international prospective student-athletes, e-mail may be the only viable option for communication. Because there is not an additional expense associated with sending e-mails, and to ensure honest communication, it is unnecessary to have restrictions in place similar to those associated with telephone calls.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Noncontroversial Legislation – General Playing-Season Regulations – General Regulations for Computing Playing Seasons Applicable to all Sports – Non-NCAA Postseason Championships Participation – Emerging Sports for Women.

January 12 Management Council. The Council approved in concept noncontroversial legislation to specify that practice and competition for one recognized national intercollegiate championship event in an

emerging sport for women is exempt from being counted against the institution's declared playing and practice season limitations.

Currently, competition in championships in emerging sports for women is not exempt from the playing and practice season regulations for that sport. Due to the uncertainty related to being selected for championships, institutions must account for this time in their playing and practice season and must start their official practice season later than the official starting date to ensure eligibility for participation in the championship. In addition, this proposal is consistent with championships in non-NCAA championship sports used for sport sponsorship. A review of the legislative history suggests that this change should have been made with the adoption of the emerging sports for women program.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(c) Modify Official Interpretation – Recruitment at Institution's Sports Camps and Clinics (III).

January 12 Management Council. The Council approved a recommendation to modify a current official interpretation (Reference: 5/17/10, Item No. 3) to permit general, nonathletics information about the institution to be presented to camp or clinic participants only by nonathletics staff. In taking this action, the Council archived an official interpretation (Reference: 5/17/10, Item No. 3) and issued the following new official interpretation:

Recruitment at Institution's Sports Camps and Clinics (III). The NCAA Division III Management Council confirmed that institutional athletics department staff members are prohibited from engaging in recruitment activities during institutional sports camps or clinics. Nonathletics institutional staff members may present and provide general institutional information to camp participants. [References: NCAA Division III Bylaws 13.1.4.2 (practice or competition site) and 13.12.1.1 (definition) and a staff interpretation (4/5/89, Item No. i), which has been archived, official interpretation (5/17/10, Item No. 3) which has been archived]

This confirms the institution's ability to provide general information about the institution to camp participants, but not create a situation that places undue pressure on prospective student-athletes from an athletics recruitment perspective. This is consistent with Bylaw 13.01.7 which confirms that the regulations set forth in Bylaw 13 only applies to athletics based recruiting and shall not limit an institution's admissions office from the recruitment of prospective students generally.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(d) Archive and Approve Official Interpretation – Administration of Hardship Waiver.

January 12 Management Council. The Council approved a recommendation to archive an official interpretation (Reference: 9/17/97, Item No. 3) and issue the following official interpretation that would allow institutions to apply either the hardship waiver legislation of the division where the injury occurred or the Division III legislation, whichever is most flexible. Additionally, the Council approved in concept a proposal to incorporate the official interpretation into the legislation.

Administration of Hardship Waiver (III). The NCAA Division III Management Council determined that in applying the hardship waiver legislation to a student-athlete who suffers an injury or illness while attending an NCAA Division I or Division II institution and later transfers to a Division III institution, the legislation of the division in which the injury or illness occurred or the Division III legislation may be used. The application of a particular division's legislation must include all the applicable elements of that division's legislation, as opposed to selected elements of the legislation of each division. [Reference: 14.2.5 (hardship waiver)]

The current Division III official interpretation (Reference: 9/17/97, Item No. 3) requires that when administering a hardship waiver for a transfer student-athlete, the legislation in the division where the injury occurred applies. Divisions I and II permit an institution to apply either the legislation of the division where the injury occurred or the respective Division I or II legislation, whichever is most flexible. The Council noted the committee previously recommended that Division III change the interpretation to take this same flexible approach. The Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement, however, objected to the change. The issue was then referred to SAAC. SAAC disagreed with the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement's position that an unfair competitive advantage will result or that perceived abuses outweigh a more favorable approach. SAAC also noted that given the similarities in hardship waiver legislation across the divisions, the opportunity for a student-athlete to manipulate the rules would exist only in limited and narrow circumstances. Thus, SAAC supported the more flexible approach. This makes the application of the hardship legislation consistent across divisions, as well as being more student-athlete friendly with only minimal room for abuse.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(e) Archive and Approve Official Interpretation – Facility Monitoring and Observation of Prospective Student-Athletes.

January 12 Management Council. The Council approved a recommendation to archive and combine two official interpretations regarding facility monitoring as part of a normal employment arrangement (3/25/10, Item No. 3) and observation of prospective student-athletes in recreational activities (3/25/10, Item No. 1) into a new official interpretation as follows:

Facility Monitoring and Observation of Prospective Student-Athletes in Recreational Activities. The NCAA Division III Management Council confirmed that for purposes of the tryout exception that permits coaching staff members to observe prospective student-athletes engaging in recreational activities, such observation shall only be permissible provided the facilities are available to all visiting prospective students generally. Further, the Management Council confirmed the following for a coach who is monitoring the facility as part of his or her normal employment arrangement:

- A coach may have interaction with the prospective student-athlete that is necessary to stop a dangerous or unsafe activity; however, a coach is not permitted to instruct the prospective student-athlete as to how to properly perform the activity.
- The coach's job duties for facility monitoring must be properly documented in a manner consistent with all employees of the institution; and
- The coach's job duties for facility monitoring must be specifically noted, as opposed to a broad provision such as "other duties as assigned," and observation of prospective student-athletes is only permitted if it occurs in the specific facilities for which the coach has designated monitoring duties.

[References: NCAA Division III Bylaw 13.11.2.1.1 (permissible observation of recreational activities) and official interpretations (3/25/10, Item No. 1 and 3/25/10, Item No. 3) which have been archived]

The Council agreed with the committee that it would be helpful to combine the facility monitoring interpretation with the interpretation regarding observation of prospective student-athletes. Since the issues are connected, it would be easier for the membership to understand if combined into a single interpretation addressing prospective student-athletes. Similar action was requested in action item (f) for enrolled student-athletes.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(f) Modify and Approve Official Interpretation – Facility Monitoring and Observation of Enrolled Student-Athletes.

January 12 Management Council. The Council approved a recommendation to archive and combine two official interpretations regarding facility monitoring as part of a normal employment arrangement (3/25/10, Item No. 3) and observation of enrolled student-athletes in nonorganized sport-specific activities (3/25/10, Item No. 2) into a new official interpretation as follows:

Facility Monitoring and Observation of Enrolled Student-Athletes in Nonorganized Sport-Specific Activities. The NCAA Division III Management Council confirmed that for purposes of the athletically related activities exception that permits coaching staff members to observe enrolled student-athletes engaging in nonorganized sport-specific activities (e.g., open gym); such observation shall only be permissible provided the facilities are open to the general student body, as opposed to a group of students that may include student-athletes. Further, Management Council confirmed the following for a coach who is monitoring the facility as part of his or her normal employment arrangement:

- A coach may have interaction with the enrolled student-athlete that is necessary to stop a dangerous or unsafe activity; however, a coach is not permitted to instruct the enrolled student-athlete as to how to properly perform the activity;
- The coach's job duties for facility monitoring must be properly documented in a manner consistent with all employees of the institution; and
- The coach's job duties for facility monitoring must be specifically noted, as opposed to a broad provision such as "other duties as assigned," and observation of enrolled student-athletes is only permitted if it occurs in the specific facilities for which the coach has designed monitoring duties.

[References: Division III Bylaw 17.02.1.1.1 (athletically related activities – exception) and official interpretations (3/25/10, Item No. 2 and 3/25/10, Item No. 3) which have been archived]

The Council agreed with the committee that it would be helpful to combine the facility monitoring interpretation with the interpretation regarding observation of enrolled student-athletes. Since the issues are connected, it would be easier for the membership to understand if combined into a single interpretation addressing enrolled student-athletes. Similar action was requested in action item (e) for prospective student-athletes.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(g) Archive and Approve Official Interpretation – Meals in Conjunction with Home Competition.

January 12 Management Council. The Council approved a recommendation to archive an official interpretation (4/27/99, Item No. 1) and issue the following new official interpretation regarding meals in conjunction with home competition:

Meals in Conjunction with Home Competition. The NCAA Division III Management Council confirmed that it is permissible for an institution to provide meals to student-athletes at the institution's discretion of the day(s) of competition until the student-athletes are released by institutional personnel. An institution shall not provide cash in lieu of a meal during this time period. An institution, at its discretion, may provide a meal or cash (but not both) to student-athletes at the time of their release by institutional personnel.

[References: NCAA Division III Bylaw 16.5.1 (housing and meals - exceptions) and an official interpretation (4/27/99, Item Ref. 1) which has been archived]

The Council noted the committee considered whether it is permissible for an institution to provide pregame meals to student-athletes as a meal incidental to participation. In its consideration, the committee reviewed the legislative history of Proposal No. 2000-73 that focused on benefits in Bylaws 16.3 (academic and other support services) and 16.5 (housing and meals) with the primary intent to ensure that student-athletes were not treated differently than students in general. The committee also noted, however, an official interpretation (4/27/99, Item No. 1) that remained active and permits an institution to provide one pregame meal. Consequently, the committee agreed pregame meals were not intended to be eliminated with Proposal No. 2000-73. While the provision regarding meals to incidental to participation was eliminated, it was primarily focused on the references to athletics scholarships in the legislation which are not permitted in Division III. The critical student-athlete well-being function served with meals on the day of competition causes them to be a necessary permissible benefit.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

e. Association-Wide and Common Committees.

Staff Liaisons: **Klossner Wilfert**

Council Rep: Martinez

(1) Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports (CSMAS).

January 12 Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liaison: **Tufano**

Council Rep: Klika

(2) Honors Committee.

Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liaisons: **Bracken, Ford, Rossello**

Council Rep: Ching

(3) Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee (MOIC).

January 12 Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liaisons: **Calandro, Smith, Suscha**

Council Rep: Chapman

(4) Olympic Sports Liaison Committee (OSLC).

January 12 Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liaison: **Strum**

Council Rep: Chapman

(5) Postgraduate Scholarship Committee.

January 12 Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liaison: Bracken

Council Rep: Ryerson

(6) Research Committee.

(a) Staff Members Conducting Research Outside of Research Staff.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee's report that discussed the implications, considerations and challenges of internal staff members outside the research staff conducting research on behalf of the Association. Additionally, the breadth of research that is being requested both internally and by committees was highlighted and suggestions as to how to manage that process were

vetted. The committee strongly encourages the leadership of the Association to support and enforce an internal research policy as to reduce membership survey fatigue and improve potentially diminished results. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Data Security.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee's report regarding data security; highlighting the current and ongoing efforts of the staff pertaining to data security and management. The committee plans to keep this topic at the fore for suggestions on improvements and emerging issues. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(c) Graduate Student Research Grant Program Presentation.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee's report on three grant winners of the 2010 Research Committee Graduate Student Research Grant Program. The Council noted the support of individuals in research in the area of athletics. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(7) Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct.

Staff Liaisons: **Miller, Smith**

Council Rep: Ingold

(a) The Impact of Violent Behavior Involving Student-Athletes.

January 12 Management Council. The Council noted the NCAA Executive Committee recently charged several committees including the Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct (CSEC) to examine the impact of violent behavior involving student-athletes in more detail, and develop recommendations related to policies and best practices. Protecting and preserving student-athlete well-being is a fundamental principle of the NCAA and President Emmert emphasized its continuance to be at the forefront of NCAA priorities. By exploring the extent and scope of student-athlete violence, this initiative would be extending that commitment to protect student-athlete well-being. Based on recently reported acts of violence involving student-athletes and recent inquiries to the national office regarding the NCAA's role and responsibility regarding this subject, the results of this work will provide resources to the membership that can be positively affect the student-athlete experience. The CSEC continues their support of the work of the internal Violence Impact Team. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Sportsmanship RESPECT Initiative.

January 12 Management Council. The Council noted the committee's report that banners with RESPECT logo sent to all member institutions and conference offices to promote the RESPECT campaign and an environment of sportsmanship. The RESPECT logo will appear at NCAA championships via banners, volunteer logo shirts and markings on the participant gifts. President Emmert will record a new video message of sportsmanship for use at NCAA championships. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liaison: Chichester

Council Rep: Thomforde

(8) Walter Byers Scholarship Committee.

January 12 Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Staff Liaisons: Barnhart, Holzman, Morrison

Council Rep: Oberbillig

(9) Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA).

January 12 Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

4. *2011 NCAA CONVENTION LEGISLATION.*

a. Review of 2011 NCAA Convention Notice and Program.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the Convention Notice and Program. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

b. Legislative Proposal Question and Answer Guide.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the Legislative Q & A document. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

c. Review of Parliamentary and Voting Issues.

January 12 Management Council. The Council recommended the Presidents Council approve the parliamentary and voting issues document noting that the sponsor intends to withdraw Proposal No. 9. It also reviewed the process for Proposal Nos. 7 and 7-1.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the parliamentary and voting issues document.

d. Review of Speaker Assignments and Position Papers.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the speaker assignments and position papers noting the following:

- Proposal No. 4 – Amateurism – Preferential Treatment – Exception – Designation of Fundraising Money.

  January 12 Management Council. The Council recommended the Presidents Council support this proposal.

  Based on the position and input from the SAAC, the Council voted to change its previous position of "no position" to "support" for this proposal, noting that it provides increased flexibility and autonomy for institutions to manage fundraising activities within reasonable parameters to prevent abuse. The Council agreed with the SAAC's position that the proposal is permissive and that concerns regarding equity and team chemistry can best be addressed by individual institutions.

  Presidents Council. The Presidents Council maintained its position in opposition of this proposal.

- Proposal No. 9 – Executive Regulations – Administration of NCAA Championships – Squad Limits – Bench Size Limits – All Sports.

  January 12 Management Council and Presidents Council. The Council noted that this proposal will be withdrawn by the sponsors.

- Proposal No. 13 – Executive Regulations – Administration of NCAA Championships – Restricted Advertising and Sponsorship Activities – Professional Sports Organizations or teams.

  January 12 Management Council. The Council noted that the Presidents Council will withdraw this proposal.

  Presidents Council. No action necessary.

5. 2011 NCAA CONVENTION PROGRAMMING AND LOGISTICS.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the agendas regarding all Convention sessions. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed logistics for the Convention, specifically the format of the Division III Issues Forum, the Presidents Forum and Luncheon and the Business Session. No action was necessary.

January 15 Management Council. The Council welcomed staff from NCAA Convention Management to its meeting. Discussion and suggestions regarding logistics were as follows:

- Council expressed concern regarding institution names too small on name badges to read.
- Delegates Reception was nice; however, it was too cold to have a portion outside.
- The Opening Business Session was very well done and Council appreciated the inclusion of student-athletes from all three divisions.
- Liked the meal options, especially the low-priced food court in the nearby mall.
- The Honors Celebration was very well done.
- Suggest that names for the memorial resolutions appear on the screen during the Opening Business Session and not just in the program.
- Membership felt unity at the Grand Hyatt – hotels did a great job and all meetings were easily accessible.
- There was not enough food for the delegate's breakfast on the morning of the Division III Business Session.
- Great idea to have box lunches available earlier on Saturday due to those who may have to leave early.
- Council was concerned at the low attendance for the Association-wide luncheon. A lot of people did not show up and food was wasted. Convention Management discussed handling this session like the Honors Celebration, by invitation close to the time of the event.
- Expressed the desire to produce an Honors Celebration DVD.
- Council expressed concern that three sessions were concurrent and prevented the membership from taking advantage of attending and learning about the various topics.
- Council suggested videotaping more of the educational sessions in the event that several are scheduled at the same time.

The Council then discussed the Division III Convention Sessions.

Joint MC/PC/SAAC breakfast. The Council expressed appreciation for the opportunity to speak with the student-athletes and found the round table discussions valuable.

"Defining the Educational Value of Division III Intercollegiate Athletics Experience." The Council noted the session was well received.

Sports Wagering. The Council noted that this session was well done and the membership thoroughly enjoyed Michael Franceze.

Division III Issues Forum. The discussion of the Drug Education and Testing Pilot round table discussion will help the division frame the direction for the coming year.

Division III Business Session. The announcement of the Special Olympics partnership was a highlight of the Convention. The Council noted the enthusiasm of the membership and how it ties with our Identity Initiative. It was suggested that presentations such as the update on the academic reporting pilot not be shared during the Business Session, but rather during the Issues Forum the prior day.

The Council noted that the student-athletes were exceptional throughout this Convention. They also appreciated that two Division III conferences use money from the Conference Grant Program to bring student-athletes to the Convention. The governance staff expressed interest in exploring ways for more conferences to take advantage of this opportunity.

6. PROPOSED LEGISLATION FOR THE 2012 CONVENTION.

a. Review of Modifications of Wording Adopted by the Management Council per Constitution 5.3.1.1.1.

- **M-2012-1 – Eligibility – Transfers – Four-Year Transfers – Eligibility at the Time of Transfer.**

  January 12 Management Council. The Council approved in legislative format to clarify that a transfer student's eligibility is determined by whether he or she would have been academically and athletically eligible for competition at his or her previous institution at the time the student transfers to the certifying institution.

  Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

b. Review of Incorporations of Interpretations Adopted by the Management Council per Constitution 5.4.1.1.1.

- **I-2012-1 – Executive Regulations – Definitions and Applications – Automatic Qualification - Single-Sport Conference.**

  January 12 Management Council. The Council approved in legislative format a proposal to incorporate an official interpretation (Reference: 3/25/10, Item No. 6) that clarifies a single-sport conference as a conference that only sponsors one sport.

  Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

c. Review of Noncontroversial Legislation Adopted by the Management Council per Constitution 5.3.1.1.1.

- **NC -2012-1 – Playing and Practice Seasons – Foreign Tours – Sanctioned Outside Team Tours – Limit on Number of Student-Athletes – Women's Rowing.**

  January 12 Management Council. The Council approved in legislative format a proposal in women's rowing, to specify that the number of student-athletes from any one institution who may participate in international competition as a member of an outside team on a foreign tour shall not exceed four.

  Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

- **NC – 2012-2 – Awards and Benefits – Expenses to Receive Noninstitutional Awards – Established Regional, National or International Awards – Actual and Necessary Expenses Provided by Institution.**

  January 12 Management Council. The Council approved in legislative format a proposal to permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete and his or her relatives, or individuals of a comparable relationship, to travel to a banquet designed to recognize the student-athlete's accomplishments as an athlete as part of an established regional, national or international award.

  Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

- **NC-2012-3 – Recruiting – High School All-Star Contests – Coach and Athletics Department Staff Member Involvement – Administrative and Operational Duties.**

  January 12 Management Council. The Council approved in legislative format a proposal to specify that any coach or athletics department staff member directly involved in the recruiting of student-athletes shall not participate in the coaching, officiating or player selection for a high school all-star event; further, to specify that such staff members may serve in an administrative or operational capacity for a high school all-star event.

  Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

- **NC-2012-4 – Recruiting – Four-Year Prospective Student-Athletes - Permission to contact – Response to Request and Hearing Opportunity.**

  January 12 Management Council. The Council approved in legislative format a proposal to specify that if an institution receives a written request from a student-athlete to permit another institution to contact him or her about transferring, the

institution shall grant or deny the request within seven business days of receipt of the request; to specify that if the request is denied and a student-athlete requests a hearing, the institution shall conduct a hearing and provide written results of the hearing within 15 business days of receipt of the request; to specify that the student-athlete shall be provided the opportunity to appear in-person or via telephone and actively participate in the hearing; to specify that if the institution fails to respond to the student-athlete's written request or fails to conduct a hearing or provide written results within the specified time period, permission to contact shall be granted by default and the institution shall provide the written permission to the student-athlete; further, to define a business day as any weekday that is not recognized as a national holiday, including any weekday that an institution is closed for other reasons.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

- **NC-2012-5 – Eligibility – Transfer – Four-Year Transfers – Academic Requirements – Exception.**

  January 12 Management Council. The Council approved in legislative format a proposal to permit a student-athlete to qualify for an exception to the transfer residence requirement if the student transfers from a four-year collegiate institution that did not sponsor the student-athlete's sport, provided the student-athlete successfully completed at least 24 semester or 36 quarter hours of transferable-degree credit and completed at least two full-time semesters or three full-time quarters of attendance at the previous institution.

  Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

- **NC-2012-6 – Playing and Practice Seasons – Men's Volleyball.**

  January 15 Management Council. The Council approved in legislative format a proposal in men's volleyball, to amend the playing and practices season's regulations, as specified.

  Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

- **NC-2012-7 – Committees – Sports Committees with only Championships Administration Responsibilities – Committee Membership – Men's Volleyball Committee.**

  January 15 Management Council. The Council approved in legislative format a proposal in men's volleyball, to establish a four-person sport committee, representing two regions, to govern the administration of the men's volleyball championship.

  Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

7. DIVISION III INITIATIVES AND UPDATES.

a. Division III Identity Initiative.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the summary from the Purchasing Website, noting to date approximately 224 institutions/conferences have spent $134,000 of the funds allocated for the Identity Initiative. They also discussed the Educational Session scheduled for Thursday morning in an effort to help the membership understand how to use the activation materials available along with some best practices.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the summary from the Purchasing Website noting to date approximately 210 institutions/conferences have spent $130,000same issue of the funds allocated for the Identity Initiative.

b. Drug Education and Testing.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the structure of the Issues Forum Friday and noted there will be two parts of the presentation: (1) preliminary findings from the pilot and (2) educational resources/campus experience regarding alcohol and other drugs. The Council noted a Summary will be created from the round table discussions and forwarded to the Strategic Planning and Finance Committee (SPFC) for their March teleconference, with recommendations shared during the April Management Council and Presidents Council meetings.

Presidents Council. The Council reviewed the structure of the Issues Forum Friday and noted there will be two parts of the presentation: (1) preliminary findings from the pilot and (2) educational resources/campus experience regarding alcohol and other drugs. The Council noted a Summary will be created from the round table discussions and forwarded to the Strategic Planning and Finance Committee (SPFC) for their March teleconference, with recommendations shared during the April Management Council and Presidents Council meetings.

c. Academic Reporting.

January 12 Management Council. The Council reviewed the PowerPoint that was to be shared during the Business Session Saturday. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

d. Virtual Focus Group Communication.

January 12 Management Council. The Council agreed to forward results from the Virtual Focus Group Communication to the Membership Committee for their review since the topic was largely focused on educational efforts and concepts.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

e. Conference/Independent Meetings Update.

January 12 Management Council. The Council discussed the Communication Plan developed for conference visits and some of the difficulties that arise. This plan was developed for each conference to have a voice on the Management Council. Due to logistical challenges, the Council decided to try a new approach for this calendar year.

In an effort to build relationships, a letter will be sent following the convention on behalf of the continuing and new Management Council members. Council members and their conferences will then have the flexibility to schedule attendance at any meeting throughout the year. Any conference that does not respond to continued outreach by the Council member will be forwarded to the governance structure.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

f. Committee/Subcommittee Assignments and Communication Plan.

January 15 Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee/subcommittee assignments and communication plan noting that the two athletics direct reports need to be added.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

g. Departing Council Members.

January 12 Management Council. The chair thanked outgoing Council members Chapman, Fulks, Marsh Ryerson and Royer for their service. The chair was acknowledged for her service and dedication to the Council.

Presidents Council. The chair thanked outgoing Council members Joyce and Owens for their service, and the chair was also recognized for his contributions to the Council.

8. ASSOCIATION-WIDE UPDATES AND ISSUES.

a. Executive Committee Scorecard and Divisional Priorities.

January 12 Management Council. The Council received a presentation on the Performance Management Program showing how the NCAA Scorecard may provide information that is helpful in decision-making and broad oversight of the division. The Strategic Planning and Finance Committee will discuss on its March teleconference and forward a recommendation to the Council in April. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received a presentation on the Performance Management Program showing how the NCAA Scorecard may provide information that is helpful in decision-making and broad oversight of the division. The Strategic Planning and Finance Committee will discuss on its March teleconference and forward a recommendation to the Council in April. No action was necessary.

b. Preliminary Division III Results from the NCAA GOALS Study.

January 12 Management Council. The Council received a presentation on the preliminary results from the NCAA GOALS study. The GOALS is a study of approximately 20,000 current student-athletes that was conducted during spring 2010. Analyses for the presentation focused on three general primary areas: 1) recruitment and college choice; 2) ethical leadership issues; and 3) student-athlete time demands. The first two areas are new areas of inquiry within the GOALS study, and the third is an issue that received much attention from the membership when first studied in 2006. More detailed information will be available for the council's April meeting. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Council received a presentation on the preliminary results from the NCAA GOALS study, noting that additional details will be available for its April meeting. No action was necessary.

c. Litigation Update.

January 12 Management Council and Presidents Council. The Councils accepted the Litigation Report. No action was necessary.

d. Governmental Relations Report.

January 12 Management Council and Presidents Council. The Councils accepted the Governmental Relations Report. No action was necessary.

9. ADJOURNMENT.

January 12 Management Council. The Management Council meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council meeting adjourned at 2:10 p.m.

January 15 Management Council. The Post-Convention Management Council meeting adjourned at 2:35 p.m.