A G E N D A

The National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division II Presidents Council

Marriott Atlanta Marquis
M301

January 14, 2010
11 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.

1. Welcome and introductions; Stephen Jordan.

2. Report from the Presidents Council vice-chair; Beverley Pitts.

   a. Committee and project team assignments. [Supplement No. 1]

   b. Planning and Finance Committee—budget-to-actual report. [Supplement No. 2]

3. Report from the vice president of Division II; Mike Racy.

   a. Discuss plans for the June 18-19 Division II Chancellors and Presidents Summit. [Supplement No. 3]

   b. Update on fourth quarter activity related to 2009 Division II Priorities. [Supplement No. 4]

   c. 2009-12 Division II Strategic Plan Tracking Document. [Supplement No. 5]

4. Management Council report; Tim Selgo. [Supplement No. 6 will be distributed at the meeting.]

5. 2010 NCAA Convention

   a. Discuss Convention legislation. [The Official Notice will be distributed to those who do not bring their copy with them to the meeting.]

   b. Review procedural issues document for Division II business session; Stephanie Smith. [Supplement No. 7]

   c. Review Presidents Council representatives' assignments during the business session; Smith. [Supplement No. 8]

   d. Review question and answer document for Division II business session; Jenn Fraser. [Supplement No. 9]

   e. Review Convention schedule; Terri Steeb. [Supplement No. 10]

6. Update on NCAA president's search (12:15 to 12:45 p.m.); Drew Bogner, Jim Isch, Wally Renfro and Dan Parker.

7. Minutes; Jordan.

   a. Summary from October Management Council and Presidents Council meetings. [Supplement No. 11]

   b. Administrative Committee minutes. [Supplement No. 12]

   c. October 29, 2009, Executive Committee minutes. [Supplement No. 13]

8. Division II Presidents Council.

   - Recognize outgoing Council member and chair; Jordan and Pitts.

9. Future meetings.

   a. April 29, 2010; Indianapolis.

   b. June 18-19, 2010; Division II Chancellors and Presidents Summit; Indianapolis.

   c. August 12, 2010; teleconference.

   d. October 28, 2010; Indianapolis.

   e. January 13, 2011; San Antonio.

   f. April 28, 2011; Indianapolis.

   g. August 11, 2011; Indianapolis.

   h. October 27, 2011; Indianapolis.

10. Adjournment.

# # # # #

2010 NCAA DIVISION II PRESIDENTS COUNCIL

Committee/Project Team Assignments

Drew Bogner *(chair)*	Division II Administrative Committee *(chair)* Division II Planning and Finance Committee *(ex officio)* NCAA Executive Committee NCAA Executive Committee Administrative Subcommittee
Mickey Burnim	Division II Convention Planning Project Team
Philip Gerbino	NCAA Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues Advisor to the Division II Membership Committee
Stephen Jordan	NCAA Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues
Dorothy Leland	Division II Project Team to Review Issues Related to Diversity
Ernest McNealey	Division II Presidents Council ISSG and Compliance Advisor Division II Presidents Council Liaison to the Academic Requirements Committee
Carolyn Mahoney	NCAA Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues
Nancy Moody	Division II Convention Planning Project Team
Cheryl Norton	Division II Planning and Finance Committee
Patrick O'Brien	Division II Planning and Finance Committee Division II Administrative Committee
Beverley Pitts *(vice-chair)*	Division II Administrative Committee Division II Planning and Finance Committee *(chair)* NCAA Executive Committee NCAA Executive Committee Budget and Finance Committee
Judith Ramaley	Division II Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Liaison
David Rankin	Division II Presidents Council Championships Festival Advisor Division II Presidents Council Advisor to the Championships Committee
Hamid Shirvani	NCAA Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues Division II Media Advisory Group Division II Planning and Finance Committee
Albert Walker	Division II Convention Planning Project Team

The National Collegiate Athletic Association

2009-2010 Division II Budget-to-Actual Results as of October 31, 2009

	2008-2009 Year-end Actual	2008-09 YTD Actual	2009-10 Budget	2009-2010 YTD Actual	Budget vs. Actual
Revenue:					
Division II 4.37% Revenue Allocation	29,945,000	29,945,000	31,027,000	31,027,000	-
Good of the Game Fund	24,000	24,000	-	-	-
Total Revenue	29,969,000	29,969,000	31,027,000	31,027,000	-
Expenses:					
Championships Expense:					
Men's Championships Expenses					
Baseball	1,653,028	(6,456)	1,659,000	2,983	1,656,017
Basketball	1,387,139	(981)	1,582,600	1,989	1,580,611
Cross Country	289,368	2,470	426,200	2,508	423,692
Football	1,270,015	11,813	2,139,400	14,042	2,125,358
Golf	602,710	6,937	688,100	5,699	682,401
Lacrosse	92,120	1,321	145,700	3,580	142,120
I/D Track	359,499	2,741	425,200	766	424,434
O/D Track	517,144	4,979	630,700	3,329	627,371
Soccer	635,464	3,432	825,200	8,160	817,040
Swimming & Diving	363,876	279	402,400	(33)	402,433
Tennis	348,817	1,435	398,100	614	397,486
Wrestling	450,804	5,863	432,200	2,091	430,109
Total Men's Championships Expenses	7,969,984	33,833	9,754,800	45,728	9,709,072
Women's Championships Expenses					
Basketball	1,509,954	1,587	1,630,000	(675)	1,630,675
Cross Country	306,115	2,477	435,600	2,991	432,609
Field Hockey	111,788	4,144	201,700	2,006	199,694
Golf	349,849	4,096	452,400	553	451,847
Lacrosse	121,260	918	359,100	118	358,982
I/D Track	386,067	1,803	423,900	262	423,638
O/D Track	520,844	(376)	651,900	3,145	648,755
Soccer	1,040,392	3,705	1,109,000	9,514	1,099,486
Softball	1,550,464	1,045	1,923,850	6,563	1,917,287
Swimming and Diving	474,421	360	424,900	(692)	425,592
Tennis	342,796	2,397	429,500	-	429,500
Volleyball	854,147	800	1,249,100	3,698	1,245,402
Rowing	135,271	935	224,900	8	224,892
Total Women's Championships Expenses	7,703,368	23,891	9,515,850	27,491	9,488,359
Total Championships Expense	15,673,352	57,724	19,270,650	73,219	19,197,431

The National Collegiate Athletic Association

2009-2010 Division II Budget-to-Actual Results as of October 31, 2009

	2008-2009 Year-end Actual	2008-09 YTD Actual	2009-10 Budget	2009-2010 YTD Actual	Budget vs. Actual
Program Expenses					
Enhancement Fund	4,762,116	-	5,100,000	-	5,100,000
Strategic Alliance Matching Grant	608,267	535,405	700,000	508,088	191,912
Strategic Planning	793,233	41,396	1,100,000	58,756	1,041,244
Degree Completion	206,612	112,927	441,000	93,148	347,852
NACWAA Grant	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	-
FARA Grant	49,196	32,972	50,000	39,319	10,681
Student-Athlete Gov. Fund	9,846	-	25,000	-	25,000
Sports Festival	601,753	-	500,000	5,024	494,976
Graduation Rates	50,000	-	50,000	-	50,000
Public Identity (Marketing)	1,779,917	182,323	146,000	27,050	118,950
Championships and Regular Season Television	-	357,312	1,700,000	304,569	1,395,431
Leadership Conference	430,229	232,534	400,000	223,274	176,726
Assistant Coaches Grant	272,000	92	320,000	-	320,000
Drug Education	121,408	121,408	126,000	-	126,000
Conference Grants	2,605,667	2,489,700	2,825,000	2,613,000	212,000
Athletic Directors Association Support	40,000	40,000	50,000	41,005	8,995
MOAA	50,000	50,000	50,000	41,500	8,500
Membership Fund	132,780	5,000	367,220	3,441	363,779
Conference Commissioners Association Grant	32,023	31,432	50,000	49,614	386
Arbiter Officiating Fund	-	-	175,000	-	175,000
Miscellaneous	100,000	45,037	100,000	19,843	80,157
Total Program Expenses	12,695,047	4,327,538	14,325,220	4,077,631	10,247,589
					-
Championship Initiatives Overhead	457,350	457,350	454,850	457,350	(2,500)
Other Initiatives Overhead	188,100	188,100	188,100	188,100	-
Total Program and Overhead Expenses	13,340,497	4,972,988	14,968,170	4,723,081	10,245,089
Total Division II Expenses	29,013,849	5,030,712	34,238,820	4,796,300	29,442,520
Excess Revenue/Trust Allocation over Expense	955,151	24,938,288	(3,211,820)	26,230,700	
Prior Year Membership Trust Balance	14,141,050		15,096,201	15,096,201	
Membership Trust Balance	15,096,201	24,938,288	11,884,381	41,326,901	
Division II Unallocated Funds (Actual)	12,096,201	21,938,288	8,884,381	38,326,901	
Reserve Fund (Note 1)	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	

Note 1: Per the Division II Budget Guidelines and Principles, the membership trust balance should be maintained at $3,000,000.

SCHEDULE OF EVENTS

Friday, June 18

8 a.m. to Noon — *Division II Presidents Council Meeting*

Indiana F-G

Attendees and Guests arrive Friday
Please check in at the hotel registration desk for room accommodations.

1 to 5 p.m. — *Conference Meetings*

Marriott 1, Marriott 2, Marriott 3, Marriott 4, Michigan, Texas, Utah, Lincoln, Atlanta, Austin, Boston, Columbus, Denver, Florida and Illinois Rooms
(For those conferences that have chosen to conduct a meeting)

4 to 5:30 p.m. — *HSI and HBCU Meetings*

Locations to be Determined

6 to 7:30 p.m. — *Reception*

Chancellors, Presidents and Guests (Adults Only)

7:30 p.m. — Dinner (on your own)

Indianapolis has a plethora of restaurants in the immediate vicinity. Please see the listing provided and make reservations at the restaurant of your choice.

Saturday, June 19

Chancellors, Presidents and Commissioners ONLY should plan to attend the business session.
Dress: Business Casual

8 to 9 a.m. — *Continental Breakfast*

Marriott 7-9

9 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. — *Business Session*

Indiana Ballroom

12:30 to 1:30 p.m. — *Lunch*

Circle City Bar and Grille Restaurant

1:30 to 3:30 p.m. — *Business Session*

Indiana Ballroom

6 p.m. — *Social Activity*

Gather at hotel to transfer to NCAA Hall of Champions and NCAA National Office.

6:30 to 9:30 p.m.

Event at the NCAA Hall of Champions and the NCAA National office
Children are welcome to attend; however, please be advised that alcohol will be served.
Evening Activities: Chancellors, Presidents and Guests
Dress: Casual

Sunday, June 20

Open Day—Presidents and Chancellors depart the hotel at their convenience.

MLR/TMS:rcr/09/03/09

Division II Priorities for 2009

Activation of the Strategic Positioning Platform and Implementation of the Strategic Plan. Over the last year, the membership has activated strategic positioning at the local, conference and national levels. To continue activation, a new purchasing Web site and membership credit will be announced at the 2009 NCAA Convention. Additionally, the membership continues to align its initiatives, tools and resources with the positioning platform. By aligning key initiatives, the Division II Presidents Council and Management Council worked with a Strategic Planning Advisory Group to create a strategic plan for the division for 2009-2012. The plan includes five overarching goals and strategic funding initiatives: 1) Academics and Life skills; 2) Athletics Operations and Compliance; 3) Game Day and Conference and National Championships; 4) Membership and Positioning Initiatives; and 5) Diversity and Inclusion. Over the course of the year, committees and associations will begin work to implement the plan to accomplish the goals and priorities as established. Major programs for the division in these areas in 2009 include:

Priorities	Accomplishments
1. Academics and Life skills. Academic Performance Census (APC) Data. At the 2008 NCAA Convention, the membership adopted Proposal No. 10, which requires an institution, on an annual basis, to submit term-by-term academic data (e.g., credits, grade-point average) on its student-athletes. The first set of required data submission included 2006 and 2007 entering cohort information. The Academic Requirements Committee, working with the Presidents Council, will begin to review the data and determine methods for analyzing the data to assist with eligibility decisions and requirements. Life Skills Programming. In 2008, a model life skills resource was released to the Division II membership. This comprehensive tool is designed to provide suggestions, ideas and examples for creating and/or enhancing a life skills program on campuses. Over the year, Division II will look to boost partnerships and the integration among the campus community to support and foster the success of students and to achieve life skills programming as an integral part of the collegiate experience.	• February—Division II Academic Performance Census (APC) data reviewed and penalty letters—for failing to submit data—released. • April—Life skills resources letter sent to conference offices for consideration in conference grant funding. • July—Division conducts 12th annual Management Council/Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Summit. • July—Darren Treasure meets with SAAC to begin year-long project to engage Division II student-athletes in the division's "Life in the Balance" platform. • October—Development of a student affairs best practices tool for Division II membership begins. • November—Release of new Division II federal graduation rates and Academic Success Rates. • December—ASR Data by Division II Conference Membership Calculated. • December—ASR Incentives Being Processed.
2. Athletics Operations and Compliance. Conference Grant Program. The conference grant program has been aligned with the Division II strategic positioning platform and strategic plan and will continue to be overseen by the Division II Budget and Finance Committee. Conferences will be required to allocate grant funds consistent with the five strategic funding priorities, as outlined in the strategic plan. Additionally, on a yearly basis, conferences will be required to assess achievement and success in each of the five strategic funding areas. The process for grant requests and reporting of expenditures will move from a paper process to an online electronic process beginning with requests made in 2009-10. Integration of roles. As Division II continues to emphasize presidential commitment and involvement, the division is planning for a third Chancellors and Presidents Summit to be held in June 2010. Additionally, the 2009 NCAA Convention will feature an education session that includes representation from the Division II Athletics Directors Association, Conference Commissioners Association and Faculty Athletics Representatives Association. The division will commit to providing leadership and development opportunities for athletics administrators, coaches and faculty and to enhancing the communication and integration of the roles and positions within intercollegiate athletics in order to further enrich the student-athlete experience. Review of Key Operating Principles. During the upcoming year, the division will be reviewing a new concept related to the organized competition legislation (Bylaw 14.2.4.2), analyzing championship travel policies and budget practices and working to develop Division II specific tools and resources to assist with gender equity and diversity planning. Additionally, the Presidents Council will commit to develop tools and resources that assist active member institutions and conferences with evaluating their position in conjunction with the strategic position and philosophy of Division II intercollegiate athletics. Each of these items relates directly to the division's commitment to continuously analyze the division's operating principles to ensure that they reflect current practice and support the strategic position of the division.	• April—Electronic pilot system for conference grant program launched. • April—Bylaw 17 better business practice concepts forwarded for initial Management Council/Presidents Council review. • June—Division II "Life in the Balance" video released. • July—SAAC and Management Council meet to review Bylaw 17 "Life in the Balance" proposals for the Presidents Council to sponsor. • August—Planning and Finance Committee makes revisions to conference grant program (eliminates mid-year reports; removes independent institutions from conference grant program). • Fall meetings with Division II leadership groups (sports chairs; committee chairs; ADA; CCA). • October—Fifth Division II FAR Institute conducted. • December—Division II Financial Dashboard Tool Prepared for Pilot Testing.
3. Game Day and Conference and National Championships. Game Environment Recognition Program. Chancellors and presidents from across Division II pledge to reconnect the educational mission of intercollegiate athletics to the mission of higher education and to establish positive, family-friendly game	• February—Game Environment Award of Merit launched (conference and national winners to be announced in late Fall 2009).

Priorities	Accomplishments
environments. Additionally, the division released an interactive tool (diicommunity.org/gameenvironment) to assist schools and conferences in creating and maintaining positive game environments. This year, the division will establish a program to recognize achievements by schools and conferences in creating positive game environments. Winners will be selected from each active Division II conference; an overall national winner will be selected from the conference winners. Community Partnerships. In 2008, the Division II community engagement Web site registered more than two million hits and more than 250 community engagement ideas that work. The membership will continue to strengthen on-campus partnerships through coordinated efforts of engagement, communication and outreach and look to foster relationships with the broader community at-large. National Championships Festivals. The division will host its first winter National Championships Festival March 10-14, 2009, in Houston, Texas. The festival will feature championships in men's and women's swimming and diving, men's and women's track and field and wrestling. The festivals continue to be a premier event for the NCAA and the division. Additionally, the Championships Committee will announce a location for the 2010 Fall Championships Festival. The 2010 festival will be the fifth overall festival for the division and the second fall festival.	• March—First winter championship festival in Houston; bids out for Fall 2010 Festival. • April—Third Division II Community Engagement Training Workshop conducted in Philadelphia. • June—Division II Championships Committee approves sanctions for Championships Festival incidents; new coach and S-A code of conduct implemented for Division II championships. • June—Division II Purchasing Web site orders completed by approximately 90 percent of the membership. • Fall—Work begins on redesign of Division II community network Web site. • October—Two new game environment teachable moments videos released on Web site. • November—New Division II public service announcement featuring Division II student-athletes produced in Indianapolis. • December—Press Conference in Louisville, site of 2010 Division II Championships Festival. • December—West Florida Selected as First National Game Environment Award Winner
4. Membership and Positioning Initiatives. Regular Season Media Partnership. The division will be completing its three-year partnership with CBS College Sports following the 2009 basketball season. The partnership has provided the division a national television presence that included opportunities to showcase more than 65 Division II institutions and a platform to amplify the division's identity and its key attributes and characteristics. A Division II Media Advisory Group, composed of Division II presidents, athletics directors and conference commissioners, working with the Division II Presidents Council, will consider whether to extend the partnership with CBS College Sports or with another media entity. Enhancement Fund Distribution. As the Division II Budget and Finance Committee continues to review funding and budgeting practices for the division to ensure such practices align with the strategic positioning platform, the committee is seeking input from the Division II membership regarding the current three-prong approach for the enhancement fund distribution and particularly questioning whether the prong that awards dollars for success in the men's and women's basketball championships is consistent with what we value as a division. The committee will host an open forum discussion on this topic during the business session at the 2009 NCAA Convention and will continue to work with the membership on developing a distribution model that aligns with the characteristics and attributes valued by the division. Membership Fund. The membership fund was an outcome of the 2007 Chancellors and Presidents Summit, during which presidents determined the need for resources to retain current active institutions and assist in attracting new schools or conferences to the division. This annual allocation of $250,000 provides an opportunity to collectively benefit the division. Parameters and uses of the fund will be determined by a subgroup working with the Division II Membership Committee, Championships Committee and Budget and Finance Committee. Schools and conferences may begin submitting requests for dollars from the membership fund following the 2009 NCAA Convention. Specific details, including a request form, will be posted online.	• April—Two-year extension with CBS College Sports finalized. • April—Nineteen applications received in the first cycle for Division II Membership Fund annual grants. • May—Six-game television package with CBS College Sports announced for Division II football. • July—First Division II Membership Fund grants awarded. • August—SAAC announces 2008-09 fundraising total of $359,187 for Make-A-Wish®. • Fall—Eight new schools, including the first Canadian institution, begin the strengthened Division II membership process. • October—Chancellors and presidents from new member schools meet with Division II Presidents Council members. • Fall—News stories in USA Today and New York Times on Division II Bylaw 17 initiative. • December—Enhancement Fund Distribution Survey Collected from Division II Conferences. • December—Eight Game Division II Basketball National TV Schedule Finalized.
5. Diversity and Inclusion. MOAA Division II Academy. As a part of the biannual budget process, the Division II Budget and Finance Committee allocated $50,000 to the Minority Opportunities Athletics Association (MOAA) for the 2008-09 and 2009-10 fiscal years. The mission of MOAA is to provide opportunities to exchange ideas, advocate increased participation and administrative opportunities for minorities in athletics. The MOAA also promotes generating a sports culture that supports the values necessary to teach and learn respect for self and others. Through the grant program, MOAA will provide an opportunity for each Division II conference to nominate a minority administrator to attend the 2009 and 2010 NACDA Conventions to take part in MOAA sessions and a Division II Academy.	• April—Workshop agenda finalized for June Minority Opportunities Athletics Association Division II governance workshop. • June—Conducted the first MOAA Division II Governance Workshop. • June—Invitations sent for fall meeting with presidents and chancellors at Division II Hispanic serving institutions (meeting postponed until April). • September—Division II Project Team to Review Issues Related to Diversity meets to review current Division II diversity initiatives. • December—Gender Equity Programming Finalized for Division II Convention Session.

SUPPLEMENT NO. 5
DII Pres Council 01/10

MEMORANDUM

December 11, 2009

TO: NCAA Division II Management Council and Presidents Council.

FROM: Mike L. Racy
Vice President for Division II

Terri M. Steeb
Director of Division II

SUBJECT: 2009-12 Division II Strategic Plan.

The NCAA Division II Planning and Finance Committee has the responsibility for monitoring the Division II strategic plan, and assessing and reporting on its implementation.

To carry out this responsibility, the Planning and Finance Committee developed a template ("Individual Progress Report") for use by all oversight groups to create and share their respective action steps to achieve the stated priorities and goals of the strategic plan.

Additionally, the Planning and Finance Committee set forth a timeline for review of the strategic plan. According to this timeline, the Planning and Finance Committee conducted an initial review of actions steps during its December 2009 teleconference. During the teleconference, the committee reviewed action steps from the following Division II general committees: Academic Requirements Committee, Legislation Committee, Membership Committee and Nominating Committee. [See attachments A through D for summary charts and individual progress reports related to action steps for goals one, two, four and five of the strategic plan.]

The Planning and Finance Committee was pleased with the work that the oversight groups have done so far in creating action steps for implementation of the strategic plan. The committee appreciated the efforts that the oversight groups made in completing the information requested in the individual progress reports regarding data, strengths and challenges associated with each action step. However, the committee expressed some general concerns regarding a lack of timelines for completion of action steps and requested that each oversight group identify such timelines and noted that the template will be updated to request timeline information. The committee also requested that oversight groups make sure that the action steps are measurable and relate to the primary standards identified for each goal of the strategic plan.

The Planning and Finance Committee made a general endorsement of the action steps as presented to the committee during the December teleconference. However, the committee referred back some of the action steps to oversight groups and asked that certain issues be

addressed. [See Attachments A and D for additional information on referrals to the Academic Requirements Committee and Nominating Committee.]

The Planning and Finance Committee will review additional action steps from oversight groups during its April 2010 in-person meeting. It is the expectation that during this meeting the committee will be able to review at least one action step for each of the priorities of the strategic plan from each of the oversight groups.

The Planning and Finance Committee will share additional status/progress report with the Management Council and Presidents Council during their summer 2010 meetings.

MLR/TMS/MSJ:rcr

Attachments

Goal One: Academics and Life Skills

Provide Division II student-athletes a path to graduation while cultivating skills and knowledge for life ahead; learning in high-level athletics competition; and developing positive societal attitudes through service to community.

Priority	Action Step	Summary of Progress and Relevant Dates/Timelines
Priority 1.1: Promote and honor academic measures of success and retention, including graduation rates. ***Oversight: Division II Academic Requirements Committee***	Action Step 1.1.1: Development of template language that can be used by institutions/conferences when communicating the academic successes of its student-athletes with the local media. [Academic Requirements Committee]	Template language was developed and attached to e-mail notification to conference commissioners and institutions regarding the release of the Academic Success Rate and graduation rates data to the public. Template will also be posted on the NCAA Web site.
	Action Step 1.1.2: Creation of a mechanism to recognize institutions in the "Top 25" of the Academic Success Rate. [Academic Requirements Committee.]	NOTE: The Division II Planning and Finance Committee referred back this action step to the Academic Requirements Committee for further discussion. [See individual progress report for Action Step 1.1.2.]
Priority 1.2: Analyze and assess research to establish requirements that impact and advance the academic success of student-athletes. ***Oversight: Division II Academic Requirements Committee***	Action Step 1.2.1: Incorporate modifications into the Academic Tracking System to make it more user-friendly. [Academic Requirements Committee]	
	Action Step 1.2.2: Continue to review Academic Performance Census data to determine whether amendments to the division's initial-eligibility standards should be considered. [Academic Requirements Committee.]	
Priority 1.3: Provide leadership, development and service opportunities for		

Priority	Action Step	Summary of Progress and Relevant Dates/Timelines
student-athletes. ***Oversight: NCAA Educational Affairs staff, Division II Student-Athlete Advisory Committee***		
Priority 1.4: Promote and honor student-athlete service, achievement and success through various Division II platforms (e.g., championships, convention). ***Oversight: NCAA Honors Committee, Division II Faculty Athletics Representatives, Division II Academic Requirements Committee, Division II Championships Committee***		
Priority 1.5: Enhance student-athlete communications and voice in the governance process at the local, conference and national levels. ***Oversight: Division II Student-Athlete Advisory Committee***		
Priority 1.6: Protect the health, safety and well-being of student-athletes.		

Priority	Action Step	Summary of Progress and Relevant Dates/Timelines
Oversight: NCAA Educational Affairs staff, NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sport, Division II Student-Athlete Advisory Committee, Division II Committee for Legislative Relief		
Priority 1.7: Enhance the partnerships and the integration among the conference and campus community to support and foster the success of student-athletes and the student body. ***Oversight: Campus and Conferences SAACs, Division II Faculty Athletics Representatives, Division II Directors of Athletics, Division II Student-Athlete Advisory Committee***		

Individual Progress Reports

Goal One: Academics and Life Skills

Provide Division II student-athletes a path to graduation while cultivating skills and knowledge for life ahead; learning in high-level athletics competition; and developing positive societal attitudes through service to community.

Priority 1.1: Promote and honor academic measures of success and retention, including graduation rates.
Oversight: Division II Academic Requirements Committee

Action Step 1.1.1:

Development of template language that can be used by institutions/conferences when communicating the academic successes of its student-athletes with the local media.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

As part of this tool, the NCAA research staff will be able to provide institutions/conferences with general information regarding the Division II Academic Success Rate (ASR) and Federal Graduation Rates. Each institution/conference will be able to share with their local media background information, reporting categories and comparisons between the federal rates and ASR data. They will also be able to add institutional-level data and sport-by-sport breakdown to celebrate its own academic successes.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The Academic Requirements Committee continues to search for additional avenues for promoting the academic successes of Division II student-athletes. One of the ways in which this has traditionally been done is by posting the federal rates and the ASR data on the NCAA Web site and releasing this information via a press conference on a yearly basis. However, the committee believes that more efforts need to be made in communicating each institution's academic successes. The committee agreed that the best place to start was providing information

to the institution's faculty, staff and alumni. The committee directed staff to develop template language that could be used by institutions/conferences when communicating the academic success of its student-athletes with the local media, including Division II aggregate data, but focusing on each institution's data. This will allow each institution/conference to promote its own successes with their local community and honor the efforts taken towards graduating their student-athletes.

Template language was developed and attached to e-mail notification to conference commissioners and institutions regarding the release of the Academic Success Rate and graduation rates data to the public. Template will also be posted on the NCAA Web site.

Challenges/Barriers:

The biggest challenge will be disseminating the tools created so that each institution/conference understands the advantages of communicating their successes within their communities. The committee will make efforts so that each institution/conference is aware of the template language; however, once the tool is created and disseminated to the membership, it will be up to each institution/conference to take advantage of it. The committee will also track how much the tool is being used by the membership and make any changes/updates that are needed over time.

Action Step 1.1.2:

Creation of a mechanism to recognize institutions in the “Top 25” of the Academic Success Rate.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

As part of this mechanism, the NCAA research staff will provide general information regarding the Division II Academic Success Rate. The staff will compile the list of "Top 25" institutions and provide the list to media and post to the NCAA Web site.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The Academic Requirements Committee continues to search for additional avenues for promoting the academic successes of Division II student-athletes. One of the ways in which this has traditionally been done is by posting the federal rates and the ASR data on the NCAA Web site and releasing this information via a press conference on a yearly basis. However, the committee believes that more efforts need to be made in communicating the top academically performing institutions. The committee agreed that one way to reward institutions that are top academic performers is to provide a list of the "Top 25" institutions based on the institution's ASR.

Challenges/Barriers:

One challenge in recognizing the "Top 25" institutions is that institutions that sponsor football may be at a disadvantage. Specifically, due to the number of student-athletes that participate in football and the typical academic performance of these student-athletes, the overall ASR for an institution sponsoring football could be lower than those institutions that do not sponsor football. Typically, graduation rates are not reported on an institutional level but reported based on sport or other subgroup.

NOTE:
The Division II Planning and Finance Committee referred back this action step to the Academic Requirements Committee for further discussion. The committee expressed general concerns with ranking institutions. The committee noted the “heterogeneous” nature of Division II institutions and does not believe a ranking system to be appropriate. The Planning and Finance Committee encouraged the Academic Requirements Committee to think of other ways to recognize the academic successes of institutions, for example, selecting one school from each conference.

Priority 1.2: Analyze and assess research to establish requirements that impact and advance the academic success of student-athletes.
Oversight: Division II Academic Requirements Committee

Action Step 1.2.1:

Incorporate modifications into the Academic Tracking System (ATS) to make it more user-friendly.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

Feedback from the initial year of Academic Performance Census (APC) data submission revealed some confusion on the part of users in the membership regarding which data to enter into the ATS and how to enter it. This confusion resulted in data anomalies which, while not rendering the data invalid, did cause the NCAA research staff to use caution in their analyses and caveats in their presentations. Prior to the second data-submission cycle in the fall of 2009, several significant changes were made to the ATS User Guide and on-screen labels, validations and instructions.

Going forward, the Academic Requirements Committee believes that the best strategy for improving the user experience is ensuring that data import accurately and completely from the Compliance Assistant (CA*i*). Input from several key users in the membership will enable the research staff to work with the NCAA Academic and Membership Affairs staff and NCAA Information Technology staff to solidify the connection between CA*i* and the ATS.

Strengths/Opportunities:

Because of the importance of APC data for future policy making in Division II, the committee wants to ensure that there are as few barriers as possible to successful data entry and submission. Making the connection between CA*i* and the ATS as seamless as possible will not only make supplying data less time-consuming for the membership and improve the integrity of the data, but it will also increase the value of CA*i* to Division II institutions and may prompt even greater use of the software.

Challenges/Barriers:

The biggest challenge will be changing the process by which CA*i* updates are prioritized and processed. Enhancements to CA*i* have never taken the Division II ATS into account, and have historically been driven by factors unrelated to data-gathering programs. The committee will rely on its staff liaisons to make CA*i* developers aware of the importance of ATS-related updates. In addition, because approximately 20 percent of Division II members do not use CA*i*, the focus of user-friendly enhancements to the ATS cannot rest solely on CA*i*. Research staff will need to maintain a dual focus on continued improvements to ATS documentation, labeling and screen design.

Action Step 1.2.2:

Continue to review Academic Performance Census data to determine whether amendments to the division's initial-eligibility standards should be considered.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

The Academic Requirements Committee and research staff will review academic outcomes (e.g., Academic Success Rate and federal graduation rates) to assess whether the division's initial-eligibility standards are resulting in prospective student-athletes who are sufficiently prepared to be academically successful at the college level. Data will be collected and analyzed each year as part of the Academic Performance Census. The committee reviewed a preliminary analysis of first-year academic outcomes from the APC at its September 2009 meeting, an abridged version of which is attached.

Strengths/Opportunities:

As part of its legislated duty to manage and monitor eligibility standards, the committee has the opportunity to review the division's initial-eligibility requirements in order to ensure that

prospective student-athletes are entering Division II institutions with sufficient academic preparation and a reasonable likelihood of first-year academic success and eventual graduation from an institution. The academic performance of specific groups of student-athletes, particularly certain sub-populations and those near the current initial-eligibility standards will be reviewed as the committee considers whether revisions to the current initial-eligibility requirements are appropriate. Notably, the committee has the opportunity to assess whether core course grade-point average and standardized test score should be equally weighted for initial eligibility purposes, as they are under current Division II standards, or if the grade-point average should be emphasized since research indicates that it is more predictive of academic success in college. If necessary, the committee will develop goals and principles to guide its review of initial-eligibility standards.

Challenges/Barriers:

The committee must remain cognizant to maintain a high level of communication with the NCAA Division II Presidents Council, Management Council and membership regarding the findings from the Academic Performance Census. Ongoing communication will help facilitate a more inclusive review process and allow for membership feedback and investment throughout the process. Communication will also help set the stage in the event that the committee eventually recommends revisions to the initial-eligibility requirements. In addition, the committee will want to consider whether any recommendations add complexity to the initial-eligibility requirements as standards that are easier to understand are also easier to apply. Finally, the committee will monitor whether any recommendations have the potential to disproportionately affect any specific sport or other group of prospective student-athletes.

Goal Two: Athletics Operations and Compliance

Commit to institutional control by establishing a system for operations and compliance that is engaged and functioning.

Priority	Action Step	Summary of Progress and Relevant Dates/Timelines
Priority 2.1: Continue to enhance and support presidential commitment and involvement in the affairs of athletics at the local, conference and national levels. ***Oversight: Division II Presidents Council, Division II Committee on Infractions***		
Priority 2.2: Provide leadership and development opportunities for athletics administrators, coaches and faculty. ***Oversight: Division II Diversity Project Team, Division II Nominating Committee, Division II Management Council***	Action Step 2.2.1: Enhance communications with institutions/conferences to provide information about committee openings and nominating procedures as a way to assist in soliciting qualified athletics administrators, coaches and faculty to be involved in the governance structure. [Nominating Committee.]	
Priority 2.3: Involve, enhance and recognize the role of athletics administrators, coaches and faculty in the governance process at the local, conference and national levels. ***Oversight: Division II Conferences, Division***	Action Step 2.3.1: Ensure that committee composition includes a diverse positional make-up. [Nominating Committee]	
	Action Step 2.3.2: Development of a committee-member "exit survey" to determine committee service satisfaction. [Nominating Committee.]	

Priority	Action Step	Summary of Progress and Relevant Dates/Timelines
II Nominating Committee, Division II Management Council, Division II Faculty Athletics Representatives		
Priority 2.4: Develop the role of Division II conference offices as leaders and advocates for rules compliance and academic achievement. ***Oversight: Division II Conference Commissioners Association, Division II Conference Office Compliance Administrators***		
Priority 2.5: Develop, enhance and increase educational materials, resources (e.g., technology), tools and services that support and maintain an effective compliance system. ***Oversight: Division II Committee for Legislative Relief, Division II Legislation Committee, Division II Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee***	Action Step 2.5.1: Creation of a user's guide for institutions that are not currently using Compliance Assistant internet (CA*i*). [Legislation Committee.]	
	Action Step 2.5.2: Creation of a tutorial for building a bridge from student-tracking systems (e.g., Banner, People Soft) to CA*i*. [Legislation Committee.]	
	Action Step 2.5.3: Permit conference offices to have their own user name and password to be able to access CA*i*. [Legislation Committee.]	

Individual Progress Reports

Goal Two: Athletics Operations and Compliance

Commit to institutional control by establishing a system for operations and compliance that is engaged and functioning.

Priority 2.2: Provide leadership and development opportunities for athletics administrators, coaches and faculty.
Oversight: Division II Diversity Project Team, Division II Nominating Committee, Division II Management Council

---

Action Step 2.2.1:

Enhance communications with institutions/conferences to provide information about committee openings and nominating procedures as a way to assist in soliciting qualified athletics administrators, coaches and faculty to be involved in the governance structure.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

Conference commissioners will be requested to provide their best practices regarding solicitation for committee nominations. The compiled best practices will be distributed to the commissioners at an upcoming Conference Commissioners Association (CCA) meeting.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The Nominating Committee recognizes that conference commissioners play a large role in the solicitation of qualified committee nominations. The Nominating Committee has representation from all regions and that regionalization helps to ensure information is provided to all conferences and that the conferences receive a consistent message regarding committee service.

Challenges/Barriers:

The challenges faced by the Nominating Committee include receiving buy in from the conference offices. Some conference offices are more engaged in the solicitation process than

others. In addition, information may not be distributed in a manner that gets the word out on a broad basis.

Priority 2.3: Involve, enhance and recognize the role of athletics administrators, coaches and faculty in the governance process at the local, conference and national levels.

Oversight: Division II Conferences, Division II Nominating Committee, Division II Management Council, Division II Faculty Athletics Representatives

---

Action Step 2.3.1:

Ensure that committee composition includes a diverse positional make up.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

The Nominating Committee has created a specific list of preferences for the composition of each Division II general committee. These principles aid the Nominating Committee in making committee appointments that enhance the membership of each of the Division II committees.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The Nominating Committee recognizes the benefit of having committee members with different backgrounds and perspectives.

Challenges/Barriers:

The Nominating Committee at times has difficulty matching the pool of nominees to the criteria/preferences identified for each committee.

Action Step 2.3.2:

Development of a committee-member "exit survey" to determine committee service satisfaction.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

The compilation of the results of the exit survey will help the Nominating Committee identify areas of concern in committee service. The results can also be used to market favorable aspects of committee service to encourage future committee nominations.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The exit survey will enable the Nominating Committee to identify and remove barriers and also market positive committee service.

Challenges/Barriers:

The honesty of exiting committee members while completing the survey will affect the usefulness of the instrument.

Priority 2.5: Develop, enhance and increase educational materials, resources (e.g., technology), tools and services that support and maintain an effective compliance system.
Oversight: Division II Legislation Committee

Action Step 2.5.1:

Creation of a user's guide for institutions that are not currently using Compliance Assistant internet (CA*i*).

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

The Legislation Committee directed the academic and membership affairs staff to use the Division II Conference Offices Compliance Administrators to gather data to determine the number of institutions that are using CA*i* and the extent to which each of those institutions are using the software.

Strengths/Opportunities:

Institutions that are not currently using CA*i* may feel reluctant to start doing so based on the amount of data that will need to be inputted initially. By creating a step-by-step guide for new users, individuals will know exactly what to expect initially, which will alleviate some of the concerns with the amount of time it takes to get started. Another benefit of helping institutions get started on CA*i* is that different tasks (such as monitoring records, certifying eligibility and reporting) will become much easier for those institutions.

Challenges/Barriers:

The committee recognized that change can be difficult; however, the overall consistency of rules application and increased efficiency for institutions will be a great benefit.

Action Step 2.5.2:

Creation of a tutorial for building a bridge from student-tracking systems (e.g., Banner, People Soft) to CA*i*.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

Strengths/Opportunities:

The committee directed the academic and membership affairs staff to identify institutions that have successfully built the bridge between some commonly used student tracking systems and CA*i* and to create a "how-to" guide for institutions that are interested in building such a bridge. Having a bridge between CA*i* and other frequently used student-tracking systems would eliminate the need for manually imputing grades, grade-point averages or financial aid awards. CA*i* users would be able to import data from the student-tracking system to CA*i* and immediately be able to pull necessary reports. Creating a “how-to” guide for building the bridge between the two systems will streamline the data and reporting process.

Challenges/Barriers:

The committee identified a few challenges with this action step, namely that information technology systems differ from one campus to the next and resources vary; however, the committee felt that if the tutorial was made available, it would make the transition and building process smoother.

Action Step 2.5.3:

Allowing conference offices to have their own user name and password to be able to access CA*i*.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

Strengths/Opportunities:

Conference offices are often the first place institutions call with questions regarding CA*i*. Currently, in order for conference administrators to have access their institutions' CA*i* accounts, each institution is required to establish the conference office as an individual user, which means that conference administrators have up to 16 institution-specific user names and passwords. The committee directed the academic and membership affairs staff to explore the possibility of granting conference offices read-only access to each of their institution's CA*i* accounts to assist communications between conference offices and institutions regarding CA*i* questions. Another benefit is that many conferences require institutions to supply eligibility and squad lists prior to the first contest, and by providing conference administrators one access point to all members, there will be ease in gathering those reports.

Challenges/Barriers:

Not all conference offices require the same data from institutions and not all institutions throughout a conference are currently using CA*i*. The committee understands that conferences are in varying points with CA*i*, but believes that granting one access point will be a great advantage.

Goal Four: Membership and Positioning Initiatives

Utilize the uniqueness of the Division II model to establish Division II as a membership destination and to ensure long-lasting stability.

Priority	Action Step	Summary of Progress and Relevant Dates/Timelines
Priority 4.1: Commit to fiscal responsibility at the local, conference, regional and national levels. ***Oversight: Division II Institutions, Division II Conferences, Division II Planning and Finance Committee, Division II Championships Committee***		
Priority 4.2: Allocate funds and resources in a manner that recognizes and supports the unique characteristics and attributes of Division II. ***Oversight: Division II Planning and Finance Committee, Division II Management Council***		
Priority 4.3: Analyze and assess budget policies and procedures to position for new media contracts for the division and the Association. ***Oversight: Division II Media Advisory Group, Division II Planning and Finance Committee***		
Priority 4.4: Attract and retain members that support the strategic position and	Action Step 4.4.1: Development of minimum requirements for institutions seeking Division	

Priority	Action Step	Summary of Progress and Relevant Dates/Timelines
philosophy of Division II intercollegiate athletics. ***Oversight: Division II Membership Committee***	II membership based on the Division II strategic positioning platform, philosophy and strategic plan. [Membership Committee]	
	Action Step 4.4.2: Development of additional evaluation criteria for institutions seeking Division II membership based on the Division II strategic positioning platform, philosophy and strategic plan. [Membership Committee]	
	Action Step 4.4.3: Continue to develop systems to assist Canadian institutions as they align themselves with the NCAA and Division II philosophy. [Membership Committee.]	
Priority 4.5: Commit to develop tools and resources that assist active member institutions and conferences with evaluating its position in conjunction with the strategic position and philosophy of Division II intercollegiate athletics. ***Oversight: Division II Legislation Committee, Division II Membership Committee, Division II Presidents Council***	Action Step 4.5.1: Encourage conference offices to promote the Division II strategic positioning platform on their Web sites in an effort to have that information accessible to each member institution. [Legislation Committee.]	
	Action Step 4.5.2: Create educational tools for coaches associations to make sure that coaches are aware of the benefits of Division II. [Legislation Committee]	
	Action Step 4.5.3: Promote the use of compliance tools, such as the NCAA Division II Compliance Blueprint and Audit Guide. [Legislation Committee.]	
	Action Step 4.5.4: Review of the Institutional Self Study Guide (ISSG). [Membership Committee.]	

Priority	Action Step	Summary of Progress and Relevant Dates/Timelines
	Action Step 4.5.5: Create an online delivery system for the ISSG. [Membership Committee.]	
	Action Step 4.5.6: Assist institutions in understanding minimum sports sponsorship and minimum financial aid requirements. [Membership Committee.]	
Priority 4.6: Promote the use of tools and resources that assess the value of Division II intercollegiate athletics and strengthen the awareness of Division II and its characteristics and attributes. ***Oversight: Division II Membership Committee, Division II Identity Subcommittee***	Action Step 4.6.1: Promote the use of the Division II Values Study as an important tool used by institutions seeking Division II membership. [Membership Committee.]	
	Action Step 4.6.2: Promote the use of the Model Division II Athletics Department document by active member institutions and institutions seeking Division II membership. [Membership Committee.]	
Priority 4.7: Enhance communication and partnerships with the media and other organizations to provide opportunities for communicating the unique characteristics and attributes of Division II membership. ***Oversight: Division II Institutions, Division II Conferences, Division II Management Council***		
Priority 4.8: Recognize outstanding achievements with strategic initiatives (e.g., community engagement) by Division II institutions and conferences.		

Individual Progress Reports

Goal Four: Membership and Positioning Initiatives

Use the uniqueness of the Division II model to establish Division II as a membership destination and to ensure long-lasting stability.

Priority 4.4: Attract and retain members that support the strategic position and philosophy of Division II intercollegiate athletics.
Oversight: NCAA Division II Membership Committee

Action Step 4.4.1:

Development of minimum requirements for institutions seeking Division II membership based on the Division II strategic positioning platform, philosophy and strategic plan.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

An institution applying for Division II membership is expected to provide documentation demonstrating it is meeting the minimum requirements for consideration into Division II membership, as developed by the Membership Committee.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The minimum requirements are specific Division II characteristics that the Membership Committee requires all incoming institutions to demonstrate prior to evaluation of the application for membership. The committee uses these minimum requirements in evaluating each institution and determining the institutions that would best support the strategic position and philosophy of Division II. During the 2009 review of applications, 15 applications were received, seven were accepted to enter into the Division II membership process and eight were not invited to begin the process. The eight institutions that were not accepted did not meet the minimum requirements for consideration into Division II membership. The minimum requirements provided each institution with specific criteria to demonstrate why the institution was not invited to begin the membership process.

Challenges/Barriers:

There is a limit of 10 institutions per year that are permitted to start the membership process. (the number actually invited may be less). There may be more than 10 applications per year the

meet the minimum requirements; therefore, the committee developed additional evaluation criteria to continue to delineate the requirements of Division II membership. The committee is strengthening the minimum requirements and adding additional evaluation criteria to further outline the expectations for institutions wishing to enter the membership process.

Action Step 4.4.2:

Development of additional evaluation criteria for institutions seeking Division II membership based on the Division II strategic positioning platform, philosophy and strategic plan.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

An institution applying for Division II membership is expected to provide documentation (through the membership application) demonstrating it is meeting the additional evaluation criteria for consideration into Division II membership. The Membership Committee has determined that the yearly class size should be limited to 10 institutions (may be less) and 30 total institutions in the process at any one time.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The committee determined additional evaluation criteria was necessary to ensure that the institutions that best fit the Division II attributes are invited to start the membership process. The criteria will be used to evaluate the applicants that meet the minimum requirements for consideration for Division II membership and provide additional documentation of the institutions' readiness for Division II membership. The committee will select institutions that most closely meet the criteria.

Challenges/Barriers:

The committee will use the additional evaluation criteria when reviewing the 2010 class of applicants. The committee will evaluate the effectiveness of the evaluation criteria and make changes as necessary prior to review of the 2011 class of applicants.

Action Step 4.4.3:

Continue to develop systems to assist Canadian institutions with their alignment with the NCAA and Division II philosophy.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

The Membership Committee has spent a significant amount of time reviewing issues involved in the admission of institutions from Canada. The committee has created a policy that permits Canadian institutions to advance through the Division II membership process as they concurrently advance through the United States accreditation process. The committee has also implemented a policy to address concerns over the monetary differences between the United States and Canada to ensure a level playing field in funding and athletics scholarships. To further ensure a level playing field, Canadian institutions must also report gender equity and graduation rates data in the same manner as domestic institutions.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The committee recognizes that Canada offers an exciting opportunity to expand Division II and the Association into a new market. Potentially this expansion could result in additional institutions being accepted into the Division II membership from the north and northwest regions of North America. The result could be additional competition opportunities for our current Division II members in the western and northwestern parts of the United States.

Challenges/Barriers:

There have been and continue to be significant challenges with integrating Canadian institutions into the Association. There has been a significant amount of work accomplished in this area by the committee, but more work lies ahead. Among the chief concerns of the committee are the differences in how amateurism is defined between the United States and Canada. In addition, many Canadian institutions have not begun the process of becoming accredited by one of the six regional accrediting agencies in the United States. The process of becoming accredited could delay a Canadian institution becoming an active member in the Association. Finally, financial aid could be a potential issue in terms of funding and with athletics scholarships and could cause an uneven playing field to develop as the monetary value fluctuates from year to year.

Priority 4.5: Commit to developing tools and resources that assist active member institutions and conferences with evaluating its position in conjunction with the strategic position and philosophy of Division II intercollegiate athletics.
Oversight: NCAA Division II Legislation Committee, Division II Membership Committee, NCAA Division II Presidents Council

---

Action Step 4.5.1:

Encourage conference offices to promote the Division II strategic positioning platform on their Web sites in an effort to have that information accessible to each member institution.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

Data received through a survey illustrated that conference offices were well-informed of the strategic positioning platform.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The conference office has the furthest reach, after the national office, to share information with institutions about the strategic positioning platform and other initiatives that are being undertaken by the division as a whole. Providing conference offices with the tools to share the message will give institutions greater access to those tools and the trickledown effect will have far-reaching benefits.

Challenges/Barriers:

Each conference office is different and there are varying levels of engagement and involvement with the member institutions. While one conference may design its Web page using the Division II hexagon, another may choose to display the six characteristics at championship events. There is no cookie-cutter model for disseminating and displaying the strategic positioning platform information due to the differences in conference offices.

Action Step 4.5.2:

Create educational tools for coaches associations to make sure that coaches are aware of the benefits of Division II.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

Data received through a survey clearly showed that coaches in general are the least informed / aware of the Division II strategic positioning initiatives. However, coaches are sometimes in the best position to communicate the value and benefits of Division II intercollegiate athletics to student-athletes and the community.

Strengths/Opportunities:

Student-athletes spend more time with their coaches that any other institutional administrator. If coaches are not aware of the strategic positioning platform, then student-athletes are also likely unaware. The Legislation Committee directed staff to create educational tools specifically designed for coaches associations in all sports to better inform coaches about current and future initiatives. Creating an educational tool specifically for coaches not only benefits coaches and student-athletes, but it will provide coaches with a recruiting tool unlike any other division. Coaches will be able to articulate what it means to be a Division II student-athlete with the information in the educational tool.

Challenges/Barriers:

The NCAA shares a great deal of information with the coaches associations. Coaches may not be interested in learning about or accepting the strategic positioning platform.

Action Step 4.5.3:

Promote the use of compliance tools, such as the NCAA Division II Compliance Blueprint and Audit Guide.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

The Legislation Committee wants to continue to document the number of institutions that utilize the audit guide and compliance blueprint to track on any action that is necessary to encourage

such use. Reports from the Membership Committee will also assist in gathering data regarding institutions that are not meeting minimum membership requirements so that the staff may reach out to those institutions with information about the compliance tools that are available.

Strengths/Opportunities:

Institutions that take part in an audit program get the benefit of knowing where their strengths and weaknesses lie. By identifying those factors, institutions may highlight their strengths and draw on them while focusing efforts on strengthening the weaknesses. What comes from an audit program is a stronger athletics department.

Challenges/Barriers:

` There is a negative perception around the evaluation process, and the committee believes that if more institutions use the tools that are available there would be greater understanding and acceptance of the usefulness of the exercises.

Action Step 4.5.4:

Review of the Institutional Self Study Guide (ISSG).

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

The Membership Committee is reviewing the current ISSG to determine if it should be separated into two distinct documents. One document would focus on compliance related items and the other document would focus on strategic and operational initiatives.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The committee determined the ISSG has become a document of both strategic and operational best practices and legislated compliance related items. The committee is reviewing the ISSG to determine if the document should be split into two distinct documents with different due dates. The committee is considering a compliance related document that would remain on the once every five year schedule and a strategic and operational document that would require completion on a rotation too be determined. The committee believes that creating separate and distinct documents will assist Division II institutions in evaluating their athletics program in conjunction with the Division II strategic positioning platform and philosophy.

Challenges/Barriers:

Institutions are already subject to numerous reporting deadlines. Therefore, an additional requirement of reporting related to the ISSG could be perceived as additional work for campus administrators.

Action Step 4.5.5:

Create an online delivery system for the ISSG.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

Strengths/Opportunities:

The Membership Committee reviewed the current paper format of the ISSG and determined that an online version would assist institutions in the evaluation of their athletics program. The committee directed staff to create a program that would allow institutions to answer questions and upload documents in an online format. Once the online ISSG was completed, the committee determined that 10-15 institutions in the ISSG class due June 1, 2010 would make up the pilot for the online ISSG. The pilot institutions will provide feedback on the process and necessary improvements will be implemented prior to releasing the online program to all Division II institutions. The projected date of release to all Division II institutions of the online version of the ISSG is June 1, 2010.

Challenges/Barriers:

The committee is awaiting the feedback from the pilot institutions to determine the effectiveness of an online delivery system of the ISSG. The committee will review the feedback and concerns of the pilot institutions and address them prior to providing the online ISSG to all Division II institutions.

Action Step 4.5.6:

Assist institutions in understanding minimum sports sponsorship and minimum financial aid requirements.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

The Membership Committee has reviewed a number of waivers submitted by Division II schools requesting relief from the minimum sports sponsorship and minimum financial aid requirements. The staff liaisons also have reviewed information from the annual reporting done by all Division II institutions. The annual reporting suggests that many institutions do not understand the legislation as it relates to counting contests and having the minimum number of participants at a competition in order to count it for sports sponsorship requirements.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The committee believes an opportunity exists to ensure that all active institutions are aware of the legislation regarding minimum requirements to be a Division II institution. In order to improve the accuracy of the reporting done by institutions, the committee will discuss the possibility of institutions reporting their data on minimum sports sponsorship and minimum financial aid requirements after each sport season as opposed to once a year. The committee will also discuss the possibility of creating an online tool to aid institutions in their reporting.

Challenges/Barriers:

The committee will discuss if requiring reporting after each sport season will create an undue burden on athletics department staff to provide this information in a timely manner. Also, there could be significant hurdles from a technological standpoint in generating an online tool to assist in this reporting. There would need to be an educational effort undertaken by the committee for conference commissioners as well as athletics department staff members to make them aware of how to accurately report their financial aid and sports sponsorship numbers.

Priority 4.6: Promote the use of tools and resources that assess the value of Division II intercollegiate athletics and strengthen the awareness of Division II and its characteristics and attributes.
Oversight: NCAA Division II Membership Committee, Division II Identity Subcommittee

---

Action Step 4.6.1:

Promote the use of the Division II Values Study as an important tool used by institutions seeking Division II membership.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

Strengths/Opportunities:

The Division II Presidents Council, with the help of an outside consulting firm, has created an instrument to assist institutions in determining the value of their investment in Division II intercollegiate athletics. The Division II Values Study provides specific and relevant data to institutions on the value of the partial scholarship model. Institutions interested in Division II membership can input their institution's own financial characteristics and learn how Division II membership can bolster their enrollment.

The Division II Values Study provides a fair measure of fit and challenges for the institutions as they consider membership in Division II. The tool is available to any institution using data it already has. The tool is flexible, in that you can manipulate sports and equivalency values.

Challenges/Barriers:

The tool is not intuitive and can be difficult to use. It only gives institutions limited information and does not provide competitiveness information based on how many scholarships an institution provides.

Action Step 4.6.2:

Promote the use of the Model Division II Athletics Department document by active member institutions and institutions seeking Division II membership.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

Strengths/Opportunities:

The Model Division II Athletics Department document is a tool that has been reviewed for many years and represents the combined input of various constituencies in Division II on how best to operate an athletics department. The committee believes this document to be the standard by which all new and current members' athletics departments should be judged.

The use of the Model Division II Athletics Department document will further enhance the Division II identity for current and future members. This document will also provide a blueprint for an institution on how to efficiently run its athletics program.

Challenges/Barriers:

It can be intimidating and overwhelming when reviewing the document in terms of resources needed to have a model Division II athletics department. Due to variables present at institutions located throughout the country, it might be difficult for some schools to meet all the standards in the document and might cause some institutions to feel they are at a competitive disadvantage due to lack of resources.

Goal Five: Diversity and Inclusion

Promote diversity and foster an environment of inclusion.

Priority	Action Step	Summary of Progress and Relevant Dates/Timelines
Priority 5.1: Continue to partner and build collaborative relationships with other organizations to support and enhance the diversity of administrators, coaches and student-athletes at the local, conference and national levels. ***Oversight: NCAA Diversity and Inclusion Staff, Division II Diversity Project Team, Division II Conferences, Division II Institutions***		
Priority 5.2: Enhance commitment by presidents/chancellors, athletics administrators, coaches, faculty and student-athletes to be advocates for establishing environments that foster diversity and inclusion. ***Oversight: NCAA Diversity and Inclusion Staff, Division II Diversity Project Team, Division II Nominating Committee, Division II Conferences, Division II Institutions***	Action Step 5.2.1: Promote diversity in committee nominations that more closely resembles that of the Division II membership. [Nominating Committee.]	NOTE: The Division II Planning and Finance Committee referred back this action step to the Nominating Committee for further discussion. [See individual progress report for Action Step 5.2.1.]
Priority 5.3: Analyze and assess research and current programming to provide		

Priority	Action Step	Summary of Progress and Relevant Dates/Timelines
programs, tools and resources that support environments of diversity and inclusion. ***Oversight: NCAA Diversity and Inclusion Staff, Division II Diversity Project Team***		
Priority 5.4: Provide tools and resources that assist schools and conferences in recruiting, hiring and retaining diverse groups and individuals. ***Oversight: NCAA Diversity and Inclusion Staff, Division II Diversity Project Team***		
Priority 5.5: Provide tools and resources to schools and conferences that provide education on the importance, value, benefits and role of diversity and inclusion in higher education and intercollegiate athletics. ***Oversight: NCAA Diversity and Inclusion Staff, Division II Diversity Project Team, Division II Nominating Committee***	Action Step 5.5.1: Participate in educational sessions to increase nominations for committee openings, encourage committee service and provide information on the appointment and selection process. [Nominating Committee]	

Individual Progress Reports

Goal Five: Diversity and Inclusion

Promote diversity and foster an environment of inclusion.

Priority 5.2: Enhance commitment by presidents/chancellors, athletics administrators, coaches, faculty and student-athletes to be advocates for establishing environments that foster diversity and inclusion.
Oversight: NCAA Diversity and Inclusion Staff, Division II Diversity Project Team, Division II Nominating Committee, Division II Conferences, Division II Institutions

---

Action Step 5.2.1:

Promote diversity in committee nominations that more closely resembles that of the Division II membership.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

The Nominating Committee can draw on the gender and ethnic diversity data compiled by the NCAA research staff to evaluate potential nominee pools. The committee is also tracking the gender and ethnic diversity breakdown of nominees by committee and conference.

Strengths/Opportunities:

The Nominating Committee believes that committee nominations should more closely resemble the make-up of the membership in general. By enhancing awareness of the number of males, females and ethnic minorities within the Division II membership in comparison to the number of male, female and ethnic minorities that are actually nominated for committee service, the committee believes these two numbers can draw closer.

Challenges/Barriers:

The data can help identify potential nominee make-up; however, not all individuals are willing or able to commit to service in the governance structure.

NOTE:
The Division II Planning and Finance Committee referred back this action step to the Nominating Committee for further discussion. The committee expressed general concerns with

the lack of measurable action steps. The Planning and Finance Committee encouraged the Nominating Committee to make sure that the action steps relate to the primary standards or metrics of measure identified for Goal Five of the strategic plan. For example, a more measurable action step related to this priority would be to increase diversity (rather than promote diversity) in committee nominations to more closely resemble that of the Division II membership.

Priority 5.5: Provide tools and resources to schools and conferences to understand the importance, value, benefits and role of diversity and inclusion in higher education and intercollegiate athletics.
Oversight: NCAA Diversity and Inclusion Staff, Division II Diversity Project Team, Division II Nominating Committee

---

Action Step 5.5.1:

Participate in educational sessions to increase nominations for committee openings, encourage committee service and provide information on the appointment and selection process.

PHASE ONE

Research/Data Summary:

The Nominating Committee has created a document housed on the NCAA Web site to provide information to potential committee nominees. Data compiled by the Nominating Committee will also be provided to the conference commissioners to raise awareness of conference nominations.

Strengths/Opportunities:

Participation of Nominating Committee members in educational sessions provides useful information to potential nominees and helps remove the mystery of committee service. An in-person presentation also helps to put a face to the Nominating Committee and provides a contact person for individuals potentially interesting in serving on a committee.

Challenges/Barriers:

It can be difficult for potential nominees to locate information on the NCAA Web site. The committee has to identify potential presentation opportunities. It can also be difficult to get individuals interested in attending education sessions (e.g., Convention sessions).

2010 NCAA Convention
Procedural Issues and Clarifications Regarding NCAA Division II Proposals

1. Corrections.

   There are no corrections to the 2010 NCAA Convention Division II Official Notice.

2. Amendments-to-Amendments. Following the main proposals being moved and seconded, an amendment-to-amendment will then be considered for the following proposals:

   a. NCAA Division II Proposal No. 2010-5-1, Page Nos.18 and 19, sponsored by the Northeast-10 Conference.

   b. Proposal No. 2010-7-1, Page Nos. 24-26, sponsored by the Great Northwest Athletic Conference.

3. Reordering of Proposals.

   There are no reordering issues at this time.

4. Immediate Effective Date. The following proposals have an immediate effective date. The immediate effective date must be considered first, followed by the vote on the merits of the proposal. If the immediate effective date is defeated and the proposal is adopted, the effective date will be August 1, 2010. The following proposals have an immediate effective date:

   a. Proposal No. 2010-1 – Consent Package.

   b. Proposal No. 2010-3 – Consent Package.

   c. Proposal No. 2010-10.

5. Withdrawal Issues.

   There are no withdrawal issues at this time.

6. Mootnicity Issues.

   There are no mootnicity issues at this time.

7. Interpretations. The following interpretation, related to a proposal to be considered at Saturday's 2010 NCAA Convention Division II business session, has been approved by the NCAA Division II Management Council.

- **2010 NCAA Convention Division II Proposal No. 2010-7 (Playing and Practice Seasons – Winter Break), Page Nos. 22-24.**

  Transportation From an Away-From-Home Competition During the Winter Break. (II) The Management Council determined that an institution may return to campus on December 20 following the completion of an away-from-home competition, provided the team departs the competition location at the earliest practical opportunity, but not later than 11:59 p.m. (local time) December 19, and all contact between institutional staff members (e.g., coaches) and student-athletes ceases on arrival to campus.

  [Reference: Proposal No. 2010-7 (playing and practice seasons – winter break)]

8. Modification of Wording Based on Intent. The Management Council has adopted the following modification of wording based on intent.

- **Modification of Wording to Proposal No. 2010-7 (Playing and Practice Seasons – Winter Break), Page Nos. 22-24**. The Management Council has adopted the following modification of wording to Proposal No. 2010-7, with an immediate effective date. This modification of wording based on intent clarifies that in basketball, swimming and diving, indoor track and field and wrestling, an institution shall not provide travel expenses or transportation December 20 through December 26 in conjunction with away-from-home competition. If adopted, the bylaws will read as follows:

  16.8.1.2.1 Departure/Return Expense Restrictions. An eligible student-athlete may receive actual and necessary travel expenses to represent the institution in athletics competition, provided the student-athlete departs for the competition no earlier than 48 hours before the start of the actual competition and remains no more than 36 hours after the conclusion of the actual competition, even if the student-athlete does not return with the team. (See Bylaws 16.8.2.4, 17.1.6.6.3.2, 17.3.4, 17.22.4, 17.24.4 and 17.27.4 regarding travel expense restrictions December 20 through December 26.)

16.8.2 Nonpermissible.

[16.8.2.1 through 16.8.2.3 unchanged.]

16.8.2.4 Travel Expenses During the Winter Break. An institution shall not provide travel expenses December 20 through December 26 in conjunction with away-from-home competition.

17.1.6 Time Limits for Athletically Related Activities. In all sports, the following time limitations shall apply:

[17.1.6.1 through 17.11.6.55 unchanged.]

17.1.6.6 Additional Restrictions.

[17.1.6.6.1 through 17.1.6.6.2.1 unchanged.]

17.1.6.6.3 Winter Break.

17.1.6.6.3.1 Voluntary Athletically Related Activities. A student-athlete shall not participate in any voluntary athletically related activities on campus from December 20 through December 26, unless the facility is open to the general student body. (See Bylaw 17.02.1.2.)

17.1.6.6.3.2 Transportation During the Winter Break. An institution shall not provide transportation December 20 through December 26 in conjunction with away-from-home competition.

17.3 BASKETBALL. Regulations for computing the basketball playing season are set forth in Bylaw 17.1, General Playing Season Regulations. (See Figures 17-1 and 17-2.)

[17.3.1 through 17.3.3.1 unchanged.]

17.3.4 Limitations on Countable Athletically Related Activities and Transportation – Winter Break. A student-athlete shall not participate in any countable athletically related activities from December 20 through December 26 (see Bylaw 17.02.1). An

institution shall not provide transportation December 20 through December 26 in conjunction with -away-from-home competition.

[17.3.5 through 17.3.9.5, unchanged.]

17.22 SWIMMING AND DIVING. Regulations for computing the swimming and diving playing season are set forth in Bylaw 17.1, General Playing-Season Regulations. (See Figures 17-1 and 17-2.)

[17.22.1 through 17.22.3 unchanged.]

17.22.4 Limitations on Countable Athletically Related Activities and Transportation – Winter Break. A student-athlete shall not participate in any countable athletically related activities from December 20 through December 26 (see Bylaw 17.02.1). An institution shall not provide transportation December 20 through December 26 in conjunction with away-from-home competition.

[17.22.5 through 17.22.10.2, unchanged.]

17.24 TRACK AND FIELD, INDOOR/OUTDOOR. Regulations for computing the indoor/outdoor track and field playing season are set forth in Bylaw 17.1, General Playing-Season Regulations. (See Figure 17-1 and Figure 17-2.)

[17.24.1 through 17.24.3 unchanged.]

17.24.4 Limitations on Countable Athletically Related Activities and Transportation – Winter Break. A student-athlete shall not participate in any countable athletically related activities from December 20 through December 26 (see Bylaw 17.02.1). An institution shall not provide transportation December 20 through December 26 in conjunction with away-from-home competition.

[17.24.5 through 17.24.10.2, unchanged.]

17.27 WRESTLING. Regulations for computing the wrestling playing season are set forth in Bylaw 17.1, General Playing-Season Regulations. (See Figure 17-1 and Figure 17-2.)

[17.27.1 through 17.27.3 unchanged.]

17.27.4 Limitations on Countable Athletically Related Activities and Transportation – Winter Break. A student-athlete shall not participate in any countable athletically related activities from December 20 through December 26 (see Bylaw 17.02.1). An institution shall not provide transportation December 20 through December 26 in conjunction with away-from-home competition.

[17.27.5 through 17.27.10.2, unchanged.]"

9. Noncontroversial Proposal. The NCAA Division II Management Council has adopted the following noncontroversial proposal.

- **Noncontroversial Proposal to Amend Proposal No. 2010-11 (Eligibility – Criteria for Determining Season of Eligibility – Participation in Organized Competition Prior to Initial Collegiate Enrollment), Page Nos. 29-35**. The Management Council has adopted the following noncontroversial proposal to amend Proposal No. 2010-11, with an immediate effective date. This noncontroversial proposal amends the effective date of Proposal No. 2010-11 from August 1, 2010, for individuals initially enrolling full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2010 to August 1, 2010, for individuals who are issued a final amateurism certification by the NCAA Eligibility Center on or after April 1, 2010.

2010 NCAA CONVENTION

DIVISION II PRESIDENTS COUNCIL ASSIGNMENTS

COUNCIL MEMBER'S NAME	PRESENTATION/REPORT	BUSINESS SESSION SPEAKING ASSIGNMENTS
DREW BOGNER	CHANCELLORS AND PRESIDENTS MEETING PRESENTATION	PROPOSAL NO. 6
MICKEY BURNIM		PROPOSAL NO. 7
PHIL GERBINO		PROPOSAL NO. 8
JOE GRUNENWALD		PROPOSAL NO. 5 PROPOSAL NO. 8
STEPHEN JORDAN	NCAA PRESIDENT'S BREAKFAST	
ERNEST MCNEALEY		PROPOSAL NO. 5
CHERYL NORTON	CHANCELLORS AND PRESIDENTS MEETING PRESENTATION	PROPOSAL NO. 7
PAT O'BRIEN	CHANCELLORS AND PRESIDENTS MEETING PRESENTATION	PROPOSAL NO. 11
BEVERLEY PITTS	NCAA PRESIDENT'S BREAKFAST CHANCELLORS AND PRESIDENTS MEETING PRESENTATION DIVISION II BUSINESS SESSION PRESENTATION	PROPOSAL NO. 6 PROPOSAL NO. 14

SPEAKERS CHART
2010 NCAA CONVENTION – DIVISION II BUSINESS SESSION

Official Notice No. (SPOPL No.)	Title	Effective Date	Presidents Council Position (Source)	Speaker(s) (Back-Up)	Notes
Consent Package				Selgo	
2010-1 (2-2)	Recruiting -- Offers and Inducements -- Summer Facility Fees for Prospective Student-Athletes	Immediate	NCAA Division II Presidents Council [Management Council (Legislation Committee)].	(Rivera)	Consent Package
2010-2 (2-3)	Recruiting and Eligibility -- Admissions and Graduation Data, Banned Drug List and Initial-Eligibility Standards -- Reports and Notification -- Eligibility Center	August 1, 2010, for all prospective student-athletes who register with the NCAA Eligibility Center on or after August 1, 2010.	Presidents Council [Management Council (Academic Requirements Committee)].	(Van Drie Andrzjewski)	Consent Package
2010-3 (2-5)	Recruiting -- Tryout Exceptions -- Use of Institutional Facilities	Immediate	Presidents Council [Management Council (Legislation Committee)].	(Nee)	Consent Package
2010-4 (2-7)	Eligibility -- Freshman Academic Requirements -- Test-Score Requirement -- Exception -- Institutions Located in Puerto Rico -- Prueba De Aptitud Académica	August 1, 2010, for those students first entering a collegiate institution in Puerto Rico full time on or after August 1, 2010.	Presidents Council [Management Council (Academic Requirements Committee)].	(Jirkovsky)	Consent Package
2010-5 (2-11)	Playing and Practice Seasons -- Fall Sports	August 1, 2010; however, contracts signed before August 6, 2009, for contests or dates of competition occurring between August 26, 2010, and September 1, 2010, may be honored.	Presidents Council [Management Council (Championships Committee and Legislation Committee)].	Grunenwald McNealey (Sanregret)	Roll-Call Vote

SPEAKERS CHART
2010 NCAA CONVENTION – DIVISION II BUSINESS SESSION

Official Notice No. (SPOPL No.)	Title	Effective Date	Presidents Council Position (Source)	Speaker(s) (Back-Up)	Notes
2010-5-1	Playing and Practice Seasons -- Fall Sports -- Field Hockey -- Maximum Number of Contests -- Effective Date	August 1, 2011	Northeast-10 Conference	Kling	Roll-Call Vote
2010-6 (2-12)	Playing and Practice Seasons -- Spring Sports	August 1, 2010	Presidents Council [Management Council (Championships Committee and Legislation Committee)].	Pitts Bogner (Fortosis)	Roll-Call Vote
2010-7 (2-9)	Playing and Practice Seasons -- Winter Break	August 1, 2010; however, contracts signed before August 6, 2009, for contests or dates of competition occurring between December 20, 2010, and December 26, 2010, may be honored.	Presidents Council [Management Council (Championships Committee and Legislation Committee)].	Norton Burnim (Raymond)	Roll-Call Vote
2010-7-1	Playing and Practice Seasons -- Winter Break -- Return Travel	August 1, 2010	Great Northwest Athletic Conference	**None**	Roll-Call Vote
2010-8 (2-13)	Playing and Practice Seasons -- Winter Sports -- Basketball	August 1, 2010	Presidents Council.	Gerbino Grunenwald (Washington)	Roll-Call Vote
2010-9 (2-1)	Personnel -- Conduct of Athletics Personnel -- Sports-Safety Training	August 1, 2010	Presidents Council [Management Council (Legislation Committee)].	Cole (Grant)	
2010-10 (2-4)	Recruiting -- Official Visit -- Written Notice	Immediate	Lone Star Conference, Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association and South Atlantic Conference.	Nee (Rivera)	Roll-Call Vote Membership-Sponsored Proposal

SPEAKERS CHART
2010 NCAA CONVENTION – DIVISION II BUSINESS SESSION

Official Notice No. (SPOPL No.)	Title	Effective Date	Presidents Council Position (Source)	Speaker(s) (Back-Up)	Notes
2010-11 (2-6)	Eligibility -- Criteria for Determining Season of Eligibility -- Participation in Organized Competition Prior to Initial Collegiate Enrollment	August 1, 2010, for individuals who are issued a final amateurism certification by the NCAA Eligibility Center on or after April 1, 2010.	Presidents Council [Management Council (Legislation Committee)].	O'Brien Reinhard (Kling)	Roll-Call Vote
2010-12 (2-8)	Financial Aid, Playing and Practice Seasons and Division Membership -- Sand Volleyball	August 1, 2010	Presidents Council [Management Council (Legislation Committee)].	Fortosis (Stevens)	
2010-13 (2-10)	Playing and Practice Seasons -- Golf -- Out-of-Season and Nonchampionship Segment Athletically Related Activities -- Nonchampionship Segment Activities -- 60-Consecutive Calendar Day Period	August 1, 2010	Rocky Mountain Athletic Conference and Northern Sun Intercollegiate Conference.	**None**	Roll-Call Vote Membership-Sponsored Proposal
2010-14 (2-14)	Playing and Practice Seasons -- Basketball -- First Contest	August 1, 2010	Peach Belt Conference, Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association and West Virginia Intercollegiate Athletic Conference.	Pitts Martin (Whetsel)	Roll-Call Vote Membership-Sponsored Proposal

2010 NCAA CONVENTION
CORE SCHEDULE OF
ASSOCIATION-WIDE AND DIVISION II EVENTS

DATE	TIME	MEETING	LOCATION
EACH DAY		Division II Booth	Imperial Foyer
		Division II Governance Office	L405-406
Wednesday, January 13	8 a.m. to 5 p.m.	Division II Management Council Meeting	M301-302
	11:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.	Division II and Division III Joint Management Council Lunch	A704
	5 to 6:30 p.m.	NCAA Convention Trade Show Reception	Grand Hall
	6 to 8 p.m.	NCAA Diversity and Inclusion Reception	Centennial Ballroom 1
Thursday, January 14	7:30 to 9 a.m.	Joint Division II Presidents Council/Management Council/SAAC Breakfast	L401-402
	9 to 10:30 a.m.	Joint Division II Presidents Council/Management Council Breakfast	M303-304
	9 to 11 a.m.	Division II Candidacy Meeting	M101
	10 to 11 a.m.	Division II Provisional Meeting	M102
	11 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.	Division II Presidents Council Meeting	M301-302
	11 a.m. to 3 p.m.	Division II Conference Commissioners Association Meeting	A702
	1:45 to 3 p.m.	Education Session: The Value of Division II Intercollegiate Athletics: How Well Are We Telling Our Story?	Imperial Ballroom B
	1:45 to 3:15 p.m.	Education Session: Membership Growth…A Panel Discussion on the Impact of Membership Growth from a Divisional and A-W Perspective	Imperial Ballroom A
	3:30 to 4:45 p.m.	NCAA Opening Business Session	Centennial Ballroom
	5 to 6:30 p.m.	NCAA Delegates Reception	Regency Ballroom
Friday, January 15	7:30 to 9 a.m.	NCAA President's Breakfast	Regency Ballroom VI

	8:30 to 9:30 a.m.	Division II General Session with Keynote Speaker (Brig. Gen. Baxter)	Imperial Ballroom B
	9:45 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.	Division II Education Sessions for Administrators, Faculty and Student-Athletes (6 sessions; 3 during each time slot; 2 consecutive slots)	Marquis A, C and D
	10 a.m. to Noon	Division II Chancellors and Presidents Meeting	Imperial Ballroom B
	Noon to 1 p.m.	Division II Chancellors and Presidents Luncheon	M302-304
	1 to 5 p.m.	Various Division II Conference Meetings	See Convention Schedule for Locations
	2 to 5 p.m.	NCAA Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues Meeting	Hanover D
	3:30 to 5:30 p.m.	NCAA Outreach Program for First-Time Chancellors and Presidents	M303
	5 to 6 p.m.	Division II Legislation Committee Meeting	L505
	6 to 8 p.m.	NCAA Honors Celebration	Atrium Ballroom A-B
Saturday, January 16	7 to 8:30 a.m.	Division II Delegates Breakfast	Marquis Foyer
	8 a.m. to 1 p.m.	Division II Business Session	Marquis Ballroom
	11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.	Division II Delegates Lunch	Marquis Foyer
	1:30 p.m. on 30 minutes after adjournment of DII Business Session *(whichever is earlier)*	Division II Post-Convention Management Council Meeting	MI103-105
	5:30 to 8 p.m.	NCAA Executive Committee Meeting	International Ballroom South

SUMMARY OF FALL 2009 QUARTERLY MEETINGS

The National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division II Management Council October 19-20, 2009 Indianapolis, Indiana	Division II Presidents Council October 29, 2009 Indianapolis, Indiana

PARTICIPANTS

Darrell Axtell, Saint Martin's University	Drew Bogner, Molloy College
Robert Boerigter, Northwest Missouri State University	Mickey Burnim, Bowie State University
Kathleen Brasfield, Angelo State University	Philip P. Gerbino, University of the Sciences in Philadelphia
Rick Cole, Dowling College	Joseph P. Grunenwald, Clarion University of Pennsylvania
Bob Fortosis, Eckerd College	Stephen Jordan, Metropolitan State College of Denver *(chair)*
Tim Griggs, Coker College	Dorothy Leland, Georgia College and State University
Barbara Hannum, Hawaii Pacific University	Carolyn Mahoney, Lincoln University (Missouri)
Stephanie Harrison-Dyer, Albany State University	Ernest McNealey, Stillman College
Ann Jirkovsky, Bellarmine University	Nancy Moody, Tusculum College
Ann Martin, Regis University	Cheryl Norton, Southern Connecticut State University
Wendy Taylor May, University of California, San Diego	J. Patrick O'Brien, West Texas A&M University
Sandra Michael, Holy Family University	Beverley J. Pitts, University of Indianapolis *(vice chair)*
Frances Nee, Indiana University of Pennsylvania	Judith Ramaley, Winona State University
Lloyd Raymond, Northern Sun Intercollegiate Conference *(vice-chair)*	David Rankin, Southern Arkansas University
Herb Reinhard, Valdosta State University	Hamid Shirvani, California State University, Stanislaus
David Riggins, Mars Hill College	Tim Selgo, Grand Valley State University
Julie Ruppert, Northeast-10 Conference	
Tim Selgo, Grand Valley State University *(chair)*	
Karen I. Soto, University of Puerto Rico at Mayaguez	
Brenda Stevens, University of Charleston (WV)	
Glenn D. Stokes, Columbus State University	
Kathleen Turner, Oklahoma Panhandle State University	
Sherman Ward, Virginia State University	
Willie Washington, Benedict College	

ABSENTEES

Suzanne Sanregret, Michigan Tech University

OTHER PARTICIPANTS

Linda Andrzjewski, Wilmington University (Delaware)
Gary Brown, NCAA
Elsa Cole, NCAA
Jaime Fluker, NCAA
Bernard Franklin, NCAA
Jennifer Fraser, NCAA
Tamara Gingerich, NCAA
Jim Isch, NCAA
Marta Lawrence, NCAA
Delise O'Meally, NCAA
Roberta Page, NCAA
David Pickle, NCAA
Stephanie Quigg, NCAA
Mike L. Racy, NCAA
Ronnie Ramos, NCAA
Ruth Reinhardt, NCAA (*recording secretary)*
Chris Ruckdaschel, NCAA
Carl Segura, NCAA
Juanita Sheely, NCAA
Terri Steeb, NCAA
Gregg Summers, NCAA
Danielle Teetzel, NCAA
Allison Tookes, Wayne State University (Michigan)
Mary Wilfert, NCAA

Gary Brown, NCAA
Elsa Cole, NCAA
Bernard Franklin, NCAA
Jennifer Fraser, NCAA
Jim Isch, NCAA
Delise O'Meally, NCAA
Stacey Osburn, NCAA
Stephanie Quigg, NCAA
Mike Racy, NCAA
Ruth Reinhardt, NCAA *(recording secretary)*
Carl Segura, NCAA
Terri Steeb, NCAA
Gregg Summers, NCAA

1. WELCOME AND ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Management Council. The meeting was called to order at 8:02 a.m. by the chair, Tim Selgo. The chair asked for a moment of silence in memory of Dr. Myles Brand. The chair also commented on the Woman of the Year Awards Banquet, held Sunday evening. The chair noted the absence of Suzanne Sanregret due to illness. He also noted staff and introduced the two NCAA Fellows in attendance. He quickly ran through the schedule for the next two days.

Presidents Council. The meeting was called to order at 8:05 a.m. by the chair, Stephen Jordan. The chair noted 100 percent attendance for this meeting and welcomed Dorothy Leland to her first in-person meeting of the Council. He briefly talked to the Council about the search process for the new president of the NCAA, as well as the meeting held Wednesday for new candidacy members of Division II.

2. REVIEW OF PREVIOUS MINUTES.

a. Management Council Meeting--July 20-21; and Presidents Council Meeting—August 5-6, 2009.

Management Council. The Management Council approved the meeting summary of the July 20-21 Management Council meeting, as presented.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the meeting summary of the August 5-6 Presidents Council meeting, as presented.

b. Executive Committee Meeting—August 6, 2009.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the minutes of the August 6 Executive Committee meeting, noting the update on new membership initiatives, the championships policy related to sports wagering and the Division II Presidents Council report to the Committee . No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the minutes of the August 6 Executive Committee meeting, noting in particular the same information as above. No action was necessary.

d. Administrative Committee Actions.

Management Council. The Management Council approved the minutes of the August 26 and October 7 Administrative Committee meetings.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the minutes of the August 26 and October 7 Administrative Committee meetings.

3. DIVISION II STRATEGIC POSITIONING AND INITIATIVES.

a. Platform.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the strategic positioning platform. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

b. 2009 Division II Priorities with Accomplishments.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the 2009 priorities, noting those accomplishments thus far this year. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the 2009 priorities, with accomplishments noted. No action was taken.

c. 2010 Division II Priorities.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed and endorsed the priorities for 2010, as previously approved by the Administrative Committee.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed and endorsed the priorities for 2010, as previously approved the Administrative Committee and endorsed by the Management Council.

4. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AFFECTING DIVISION II.

a. Association-wide Committees.

(1) Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports.

(a) Institutional Responsibility for Drug-Use Deterrence.

Management Council. The Management Council voted to refer back to the committee a request to sponsor legislation for the 2011 NCAA Convention that would specify that athletics staff shall be held to the same level of accountability to which student-athletes are held pertaining to knowledge of NCAA banned substances.

The Council was concerned that the recommended legislation prescribes the process/operations to be used by an institution instead of prescribing what a school is not permitted to do. Additionally, the Council had questions regarding who was intended to be the point person on campus; what is the mechanism for staff to be

made aware of the banned substance list; and what is the penalty for failure to comply with this requirement. Additionally, the Council noted that Division I and Division III were reviewing the same recommendation from the committee.

[Subsequent to the meeting, it was determined that Division I will consider the recommendation during the 2010-2011 legislative cycle and that the Division III Management Council referred the item back to the committee for further review.]

(b) Drug-Testing Notice.

Management Council. The Management Council was informed that the committee had directed Drug Free Sport to support the Management Council's recommendation to provide Division II schools with 48-hour notice for scheduled year-round drug testing. The committee will discuss the issue again at its December meeting, as more information was requested regarding the impact of the length of notification.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received the information. No action was necessary.

(2) Olympic Sports Liaison.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the committee's report. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(3) Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the committee's report. No formal action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(4) Committee on Women's Athletics.

(a) Division II Dashboard Indicators.

Management Council. The Management Council noted the committee's request to add current gender equity and athletically related financial aid as components of the Division II Dashboard Indicators. The Council noted that the financial dashboard indica-

tor project is underway and agreed to refer the committee's request to the Division II Planning and Finance Committee.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Emerging Sports Interest.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the information concerning sand volleyball and competitive cheer. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(5) Joint MOIC/CWA Committee Report.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the joint report. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

b. Division II Committees.

(1) Academic Requirements Committee.

(a) Bylaw 14.5.1.2—Eligibility—Transfers—Disciplinary Suspension—Disciplinary Suspension from an Institution's Team for Athletic Reasons.

Management Council. The Management Council referred back to the committee a recommendation to sponsor legislation to specify that a student-athlete who transfers to a Division II institution from a collegiate institution while the student-athlete is disqualified or suspended from the previous institution's athletics team for disciplinary reasons must complete one-calendar year of residence at the certifying institution; further, to specify that at the time of transfer, a student-athlete who transfers to a Division II institution while serving or following a disciplinary suspension from the previous institution or the previous institution's athletics team, must be athletically eligible had he or she remained at the institution from which the student-athlete transferred. The Council asked the committee to address certain questions that it has regarding the legislation, noting that the recommendation is rather broad and could have some unintended consequences.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Bylaw 14.5.4.2—Eligibility—Two-year College Transfers—Eligibility for Competition, Practice and Athletics Aid—Partial Qualifiers and Nonqualifiers—Transferable English and Math.

Management Council The Management Council agreed to recommend that the Presidents Council sponsor legislation for the 2011 Convention to amend Bylaw 14.5.4.2 (eligibility for competition, practice and athletics aid – all other qualifiers, partial qualifiers and nonqualifiers) to specify that in order for a transfer student-athlete from a two-year college who was a partial qualifier or a nonqualifier to be eligible for competition, practice and athletics aid during his or her first academic year in residence, he or she must have successfully completed six semester or eight quarter hours of English and three semester or four quarter hours of mathematics that are transferable toward any baccalaureate degree program at the certifying institution; further, to specify that English and math remedial courses may not be used by a student-athlete to satisfy this provision of the two-year college transfer rule. Effective August 1, 2011, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2011.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council agreed to sponsor the legislation, but requested that the committee review the legislation to ascertain the intent behind the current conversion of semester hours to quarter hours.

(c) **Bylaw 14.5.4.2—Eligibility—Two-Year College Transfers—Eligibility for Competition, Practice and Athletics Aid—All Other Qualifiers, Partial Qualifiers and Nonqualifiers—Exception—Two-Year College Transfers with Previous Four-Year Collegiate Attendance who Attended the Last Two-Year College as a Full-Time Student for Only One Semester or Quarter and Graduated.**

Management Council. The Management Council agreed to recommend that the Presidents Council sponsor legislation for the 2011 Convention to amend Bylaw 14.5.4.2 (eligibility for competition, practice and athletics aid—all other qualifiers, partial qualifiers and nonqualifiers) to create an exception to permit a two-year college transfer student-athlete with previous four-year collegiate attendance who attended the last two-year college as a full-time student-athlete for only one semester or quarter and graduated, to be eligible for competition, practice and athletics aid during the first year at the certifying institution. Effective August 1, 2011, for a two-year college transfer student-athlete with previous four-year

collegiate attendance enrolling at a Division II institution on or after August 1, 2011.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council agreed to sponsor the legislation.

(d) Bylaws 14.4.1.1, 14.5.1.5 and 14.5.5.3.2—Eligibility—Transfer Regulations—Exchange Student Exception.

Management Council. The Management Council referred back to the committee the recommendation to adopt noncontroversial legislation to amend Bylaws 14.4.1.1, 14.5.1.5 and 14.5.5.3.2 to specify that a foreign exchange student or other exchange student may qualify for an exception to the one-year transfer residence requirement, provided he or she is enrolled in the certifying institution for a specified period of time as an exchange student participating in a formal and established educational exchange program recognized by the institution's academic authorities.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(e) Bylaw 21.6.3—Committees—Common Committees—Committees with Governance Administration Responsibilities--International-Student Records Committee—Composition.

Management Council. The Management Council adopted noncontroversial legislation to amend Bylaw 21.6.3 (international student records committee) to specify that the NCAA International-Student Records Committee shall consist of six members, including two Division I representatives, two Division II representatives and two representatives who may be from either Division I or Division II. The Council noted that the change does not amend the current number of voting positions on the Council.

[Note that the International Student Records Committee is a common committee and that this legislation will not be effective unless the same legislation is adopted by Division I.]

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(f) Issuance of Official Interpretation.

Management Council. The Management Council agreed to issue an official interpretation, which states that the evaluation of transferable hours for a transfer student satisfying the six-hour rule is made by evaluating the number of transferable hours as they appear on the first institution's transcript.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(g) Academic Performance Census.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed a referral from the committee to establish a two-year pilot program to collect additional data on all two-year college transfer students in the sports of baseball, men's and women's basketball and football in the Division II Academic Performance Census for the 2010-11 and 2011-12 reporting years. The Management Council sent the referral back to the committee for its review of the list of data collection elements prior to making any decision on the pilot program and to determine if Division II will be able to use any of the data that will be collected in Division I on two-year college transfer students.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(h) Academic Success Rate and Federal Graduation Rate Update.

Management Council. The Management Council received a presentation on the latest information on the Academic Success Rate and the Federal Graduation Rate and was notified that the information has not been released to the public, as yet. A November 18 date has been set for a public release of the information.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(2) Championships Committee.

(a) Committee report.

(i) Committee Appointments.

Management Council. The Management Council ratified the following sports committee appointments, effective immediately.

- Men's Basketball. Jeff Ligney, assistant commissioner at Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic Conference, to replace Kevin Luke, associate athletics director at Michigan Technological Institute.

- Women's Ice Hockey. Shannon Miller, head coach at University of Minnesota Duluth, to replace Jeff Vizenor, head coach at Minnesota State Mankato.

- Men's Lacrosse. Glenn Hofmann, director of athletics, Merrimack College, to replace Matt Bassett, director of athletics, Le Moyne College.

- Women's Softball. Kris Decker, associate director of athletics/compliance, Mansfield University of Pennsylvania, to replace Kelley Green, head coach, Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania.

- Women's Water Polo. Donald Sherman, associate director of athletics, Gannon University, to replace Larry Sanders, former head coach, University of California, San Diego.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(ii) Site Selection for the Fall 2010 Division II Championships Festival.

Management Council. The Management Council noted that Louisville, Kentucky, was selected as the site for the 2010 Division II National Championships Festival for fall sports. The festival will be conducted November 30-December 4. The 2010 Festival will be the fifth time Division II has staged the Olympic-style event and the second time for fall sports. More than 800 student-athletes from 70 teams will compete for championships in six sports. Bellarmine University and the Louisville Sports Commission will host the championships in men's and women's cross country, women's volleyball, field hockey, and men's and women's soccer. The university's Knights Hall and Owsley B. Frazier Stadium will serve as the practice and competition venues for volleyball and soccer, respectively. The field hockey finals will be at the University of Louisville's Trager Stadium, and E.P. "Tom" Sawyer State Park will serve as the cross country venue.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received this information. No action was necessary.

(iii) Division II Championships Code of Conduct.

Management Council. The Management Council noted that the committee has approved a code of conduct that lists specific expectations for all student-athletes, coaches and administrators participating in a Division II National Championship. All members of the travel party will be expected to certify (via a signature) that all NCAA rules pertaining to the Division II Championships Code of Conduct have been read and have agreed to abide by the expectations set forth and understand the possible penalties for misconduct. The Championships Code of Conduct will be used starting with Fall 2009 Division II championships.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received this information. No action was necessary.

(iv) Minimum Number of Contests for Selections.

Management Council. In light of the Division II Life in the Balance Initiative and the proposed changes to Bylaw 17, including the reduction in contests in 10 sports, the Management Council noted that the Championships Committee reviewed the current minimum number of contests required for selections to determine whether any adjustments or changes were necessary. The committee agreed, at this time, to make no changes in any sport to the current minimum number of contests for selections to Division II championships. The committee agreed to continue to review this item because the "Life in the Balance" initiative is a multi-year approach and agreed that future changes may be necessary, depending on any new proposed changes to the legislation.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received this information. No action was necessary.

(v) Regional Formats.

Management Council. The Management Council noted that each of the eight regions was asked to submit a recommended regional format for women's volleyball and men's and women's basketball. All eight women's volleyball regions voted to stay with the current regional format. Seven of the eight men's and women's basketball regions

voted to stay with the current regional format; however, the Atlantic region voted to have a two-site format. All recommendations were approved.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(vi) Division II Regional Tournament Contingency Plan.

Management Council. The Management Council was informed that for any regional championship site where all seven participating teams must fly by charter or commercial means in order to reach a site, a contingency tournament format plan must be executed.

- This contingency plan shall include a two-site format in which the No. 1 seed and the No. 2 seed will host a four-team tournament. The winner of each host site will compete for the regional championship. – or – The contingency plan shall include a four-site format in which the No. 1, No. 2., No. 3., and No. 4 seeds host. The winner of each host site will convene at the site of the highest remaining seed to compete for the regional championship. Determination of whether a two-site or four-site format will be used will be based on cost and location of each of the host sites.

- The regional championship game will occur at the site of the highest remaining seed.

- The contingency plan (i.e., two-site format, four-site format) shall be implemented by the governing sports committee if it is determined that a cost savings (includes travel and administrative costs) of at least $20,000 will occur and the plan does not result in an undue hardship, as determined by the governing sports committee, to the participating schools (e.g., No. 1 and No. 2 hosts sites must meet regional tournament host guidelines and specifications).

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(vii) The Elite 88 Award.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the information on the Elite 88 Award, which will be presented to the student-athlete with the highest cumulative grade-point average participating at the final site for each of the NCAA's 88 championships.

- The student-athlete must be at least a sophomore in academic standing and be in their second year of participation (in any sport) at their current institution.
- The student-athlete must be an active member on the roster, and be a member of the designated squad size for the championship site.
- The student-athlete must have his or her current grade-point average verified by the signature of an academic officer of the institution on the nomination form.

The Championships Committee endorsed the award concept and recommended an award be given to both the student-athlete and institution.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Charter protocol information.

Management Council. The Management Council asked that the Championships Committee keep the charter protocol policy discussions active in order to ascertain if a workable compromise could be developed that would mollify all parties involved.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(3) Committee on Infractions.

(a) Bylaw 10.1 (Unethical Conduct).

Management Council. The Management Council adopted noncontroversial legislation to provide a basis of accountability and authority in ethical conduct violations that outlines culpability as it refers to providing false or misleading information or influencing others to provide false or misleading information to the NCAA or member institutions designed to conceal a violation of NCAA legislation.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Informational Items.

Management Council. The Management Council received an update on the committee concerning recent cases that it had decided and upcoming work for the committee. The Council was also informed that there are currently 10 Division II member institutions on probationary status. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(4) Committee for Legislative Relief.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the committee's report. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(5) Membership Committee.

(a) Probation Status for Institutions Failing to Meet the Three-Season Requirement during the 2008-09 Academic Year.

Management Council. The Management Council was informed that the following institutions are on probation for the 2009-10 academic year for failure to meet the three-season requirements set forth in NCAA Bylaw 20.10.4.1 (five-sport/three-season requirement) in the 2008-09 academic year: Armstrong Atlantic State University and Augusta State University. Armstrong Atlantic State and Augusta State University did not meet the three-season requirement because each institution did not sponsor a men's fall sport. The institutions are required to meet the three-season requirement during the 2009-10 academic year or they will be placed in restricted membership status during the 2010-11 academic year. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Review of Documentation Regarding Miles College and the Minimum Sports Sponsorship Requirements for Men's and Women's Outdoor Track and Field During the 2005-06 through 2007-08 Academic Years.

Management Council. The Management Council noted that the committee had reviewed a report from the NCAA enforcement staff that indicated Miles College did not meet the minimum sports-sponsorship requirements in women's outdoor track and field for 2005-06 through 2007-08 academic years and in men's outdoor track and field for the 2007-08 academic year. This resulted in the institution not meeting minimum sports-sponsorship requirements, as required of all active institutions per Bylaw 20.10.3 (sports sponsorship) and Bylaw 20.10.3.5 (minimum contests and participants requirements for sports sponsorship). This institution was placed on probation for the 2009-10 academic year per Bylaw 20.2.5.1.2.1 (one-year probationary period).

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(c) Discussion Regarding Winston Salem State University Returning to Division II Membership for the 2010-11 Academic Year.

Management Council. The Management Council noted that the committee had received information from the staff regarding Winston Salem State University's request to return to Division II membership from Division I reclassification for the 2010-11 academic year. The committee directed staff to request a plan from the institution to include information on how the institution plans to meet Division II legislation by the 2010-11 academic year. The plan should address Division II maximum financial aid limitations, sports sponsorship, conference affiliation, eligibility certification and education of staff members of Division II legislation and compliance issues. In addition, a committee member and staff member will visit the institution during the 2010 spring term for a Division II orientation visit. Finally, the institution will be required to have an outside contractor complete an audit of the institution's compliance with Division II legislation and provide a report to the committee to be reviewed at the fall 2010 in-person meeting.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(d) Reorganization of the Institutional Self-Study Guide.

Management Council**.** The Management Council noted that the committee was directed by the Division II Presidents Council to consider the development of two documents for the Institutional Self-Study Guide (ISSG), which will encourage best practices and compliance with Division II legislation. Currently, the ISSG includes both legislated and best practice questions and is completed once every five years by all Division II institutions. The commit-

tee directed staff to create two documents (one focused on best practices and one focused on legislated requirements) for review at the November in-person meeting. The committee would like to provide completed documents to the Presidents Council by June 2010. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(6) Nominating Committee.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the committee's report, noting the current annual Committee and Gender Diversity Report. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(7) Planning and Finance Committee.

(a) Committee Report.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the committee's report. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the committee's report. No action was taken.

(b) Year-to-Date Budget-to-Actual Report.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the preliminary budget-to-actual results for 2008-09. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the preliminary budget-to-actual results for 2008-09. No action was taken.

(c) Biennial budget process.

Management Council. The Management Council received a document that outlines the biennial budget process timeline for the 2010-11 and 2011-12 fiscal years. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received the document for the biennial budget process. No action was taken.

(7) Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee—Community Service as a Reinstatement Condition.

Management Council. The Management Council received an update from NCAA staff on the use of service learning as a condition of reinstatement, pursuant to NCAA Division II Student-Athlete Guidelines. The Management Council provided its feedback to staff, emphasizing that service learning should be viewed as a positive experience for the student-athlete.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(8) Student-Athlete Advisory Committee—SAAC multimedia resource.

Management Council. The Management Council was updated by NCAA staff on the multimedia resource developed by the national Student-Athlete Advisory Committee to assist in the development of campus and conference SAACs. A DVD resource has been developed and will be sent to all Division II directors of athletics, senior woman administrators and commissioners. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

5. NCAA CONVENTION AND LEGISLATION.

a. Proposed Legislation for the 2010 NCAA Convention (SPOPL).

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the proposed legislation for the 2010 Convention and took the following actions:

(1) Regarding SPOPL NO. 2-6 (Eligibility—Criteria for Determining Season of Eligibility—Participation in Organized Competition Prior to Initial Collegiate Enrollment). The Management Council agreed to approve non-controversial legislation to change the effective date of the legislation, if adopted by the membership, to August 1, 2010, for any final amateurism certifications issued by the Eligibility Center on or after April 1, 2010.]

(2) Regarding SPOPL No. 2-11 (Playing and Practice Seasons—Fall Sports). The Management Council discussed the portion of the proposal that deals with the reduction in contests for field hockey. A motion was made to defer the effective date for the reduction in field hockey contests from 20 to 18 until August 1, 2011, because of the 2010 Division II National Championships Festival. The motion was defeated.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the Second Publication of Proposed Legislation. No action was taken.

b. Proposed Grouping of Legislative Proposals and Roll Call Votes.

Management Council. The Management Council agreed to recommend that the Presidents Council approve the proposed grouping of legislative proposals and the designated 'roll-call' votes for the purpose of production of the 2010 Convention Official Notice. As recommended, the consent package will contain four proposals: No. 1 (SPOPL 2-2); No. 2 (SPOPL 2-3); No. 3 (SPOPL 2-5); and No. 4 (SPOPL 2-7). Additionally, there will be a total of eight proposals designated as roll-call votes: No. 5 (SPOPL 2-11); No. 6 (SPOPL 2-12); No. 7 (SPOPL 2-9); No. 8 (SPOPL 2-13); No. 10 (SPOPL 2-4); No. 11 (SPOPL 2-6); No. 13 (SPOPL 2-10); and No. 14 (SPOPL 2-14). Unlike previous years, the proposals this year will be divided into different categories, to include the consent package and the Presidents Council "Life in the Balance" package, as well as those proposals dealing with personnel, recruiting, eligibility, financial aid, and playing and practice seasons.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the proposed grouping and the designated 'roll-call' votes, as recommended by the Management Council.

c. Suggested Speakers for 2010 NCAA Convention Legislation.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the speakers chart, as compiled by NCAA staff. The Council was informed of the duties that go along with being a designated or back-up speaker and was asked to advise staff of any changes individuals would like to make. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the speakers chart and was advised of duties inherent with being designated as a speaker. No action was taken.

d. Committee's Review of Membership-Sponsored Proposals.

(1) Proposal No. 2-4 (Recruiting—Official Visit—Written Notice).

Management Council. The Management Council agreed to oppose this proposal for the same reasons that the Legislation Committee opposes it.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council agreed to oppose this proposal for the same reasons that the Legislation Committee and Management Council oppose it.

[Note that the Legislation Committee opposed this proposal. It noted that without the written notification requirement, prospective student-athletes may not be aware of the five-visit limitation for official visits and will, therefore, not track their visits and possibly exceed the maximum number

allowed. The committee further noted that providing prospective student-athletes with written notification of the five-visit limit is not an administrative burden in that compliance administrators will still be required to monitor the rule.]

(2) Proposal No. 2-10 (Playing and Practice Seasons—Golf).

Management Council. The Management Council agreed to take no position on this proposal.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council agreed to take no position on this proposal.

[Note that the Legislation Committee also agreed to take no position on this proposal.]

(3) Proposal No. 2-14 (Playing and Practice Seasons—Basketball—First Contest).

Management Council. The Management Council agreed to oppose this proposal for the same reasons that the Legislation Committee opposes it.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council agreed to oppose this proposal for the same reasons that the Management Council and Legislation Committee oppose it.

[Note that the Legislation Committee opposed this proposal. It noted that the proposed amendment increases the length of the regular playing season, while shortening the length of the preseason practice period. The committee also noted that the proposal could lead to season/sport overlap during the championship segments. The committee further noted that some institutions prefer to have more campus engagements on weekdays rather than weekends because there are more campus personnel and students available to attend contests during the week. The committee also noted that the proposed amendment is contrary to the "Life in the Balance" initiative.

e. "Life in the Balance" Education Initiative.

Management Council. The Management Council was provided with an overview, in the form of a written document, of the four "Life in the Balance" proposals for the 2010 NCAA Convention. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received a copy of the overview of the proposals. No action was taken.

f. Noncontroversial Legislation Per Constitution 5.3.1.1.2.

Management Council. The Management Council approved in legislative format those proposals that had previously been approved in concept.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

g. Administrative Regulations.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the administrative proposals in legislative format. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

h. Modifications of Wording to Conform to the Intent.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the modifications of wording. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

i. Review of Convention Schedule and Programs.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the overall Convention schedule and the educational sessions scheduled for Division II members. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents council reviewed the overall Convention schedule and the educational sessions scheduled for Division II members. No action was taken.

6. DIVISION II PROJECT TEAMS.

a. Project Team to Review Issues Related to Diversity.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the report from the Division II Diversity Project Team and was asked to give specific feedback on the Division II Coaches Grant relative to the continuance of the program by the institution after the initial two-years of funding by the NCAA. It was the consensus of the Council that, as a condition of the grant funding, each institution should keep the position for at least a five-year period—the two years of the grant funding and three additional years thereafter.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

b. Identity Subcommittee.

Management Council. The Management Council received an update from the subcommittee's meeting earlier that morning. Specifically, the Council was reminded that the Division II homepage may be accessed via www.ncaa.org/dii. The Council was asked to share this direct link with conference members.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

7. DISCUSSION TOPIC—STUDENT AFFAIRS PROJECT.

Management Council. The Management Council received a report on a student affairs project that is being researched by the governance intern. The Council provided its feedback to questions asked by the intern. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

8. DIVISION II MANAGEMENT COUNCIL.

a. Elections to Fill Conference Vacancies and One At-Large Vacancy.

Management Council. The Management Council agreed to recommend that the Presidents Council ratify the elections of the following representatives to the Management Council. All persons will begin their terms at the post-Convention Management Council meeting Saturday, January 16, 2010, and continue for a four-year period ending January 2014.

- Conference Carolinas—Brenda Cates, faculty athletics representative, Mount Olive College, replacing Tim Griggs, Coker College;
- Great Lakes Valley Conference—Jim Naumovich, commissioner, replacing Ann Jirkovsky, Bellarmine University;
- Great Northwest Athletic Conference—Erin O'Connell, senior woman administrator, Seattle Pacific University, replacing Darrell Axtell, Saint Martin's University; and
- At-Large—Clint Bryant, director of athletics, Augusta State University, replacing Stephanie Harrison-Dyer, Albany State University.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council ratified the appointments.

b. Election of Management Council Chair.

Management Council. The Management Council agreed to recommend that the Presidents Council ratify the appointment of Kathleen Brasfield, director of ath-

letics, Angelo State University, to a one-year appointment as chair of the Management Council, effective with the post-Convention Management Council meeting Saturday, January 16, 2010.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council ratified the appointment.

9. DIVISION II PRESIDENTS COUNCIL.

a. Division II Candidacy Membership Meeting. The Presidents Council received an update on the meeting held Wednesday, October 28, with presidents and chancellors of Division II year one candidacy institutions. No action was taken.

b. Vice Presidents Report. The vice president of Division II updated the Council on the education initiative for the "Life in the Balance" package. No action was taken.

c. Elections.

(1) Region 1 Election. The Presidents Council elected Albert Walker, president, Bluefield State College, as the Region 1 representative to the Presidents Council, effective following the adjournment of the 2010 NCAA Convention for a period of four years. Dr. Walker replaces Joe Grunewald, Clarion University of Pennsylvania.

[Note that Pat O'Brien's original term of office ends January 2010. When Dr. O'Brien was appointed to the Council, he was appointed with the caveat that he could serve a second term—from January 2010-January 2014. Dr. O'Brien had indicated, via e-mail, his desire to be reappointed.]

(2) Chair Election. The Presidents Council elected Drew Bogner, president, Molloy College, for a one-year term of office beginning at the conclusion of the 2010 Business Session, replacing Stephen Jordan, Metropolitan State College of Denver. Dr. Bogner will be eligible to seek a second term as chair, if he so desires.

d. Division II Membership and Strategic Growth. The Presidents Council engaged in a discussion on the minimum expectations for Division II membership and other topics related to the strategic growth of Division II and protocol concerning former members returning to the division. Presidents Council representatives were asked to submit their thoughts and feedback to Dr. Bogner prior to the Membership Committee meeting in November. No action was taken.

10. NATIONAL OFFICE STAFF UPDATES.

a. Division II Membership Report Demonstration.

Management Council. The Management Council viewed a demonstration of the 2008-09 edition of the Division II Membership Report, which is now available online at the following URL: http://catalog.proemags.com/publication/561dc229#/561dc229/1 or by clicking "2009 Membership Report" from the Division II homepage at ncaa.org/dii.

This year's report is the most interactive and comprehensive since the report began being published in 2002. The 40-page report provides links to the Division II strategic-positioning platform, the economic values study that helps institutions determine the value of Division II's partial-scholarship model, and the community-engagement and game-environment Web sites. The report is presented in a V-Mag format, meaning that it presents on screen as a magazine (NCAA Champion magazine uses the same application). Any page of the report can be downloaded as a high-resolution PDF file. Staff informed the Council that a one-page flyer would be made available on the information table at the 2010 NCAA Convention. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

b. Staff Liaisons/Committee Chairs Meeting and Division II Strategic Plan.

Management Council. The Management Council received the agenda and strategic plan information that was discussed at the Committee Chairs Webinar in September. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received an update on the 2009-12 strategic plan. No action was taken.

c. Conference Compliance Officers Meeting.

Management Council. The Management Council received the agenda for the Division II conference compliance officers meeting that was held in the national office September 30. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

d. FAR Fellows Institute.

Management Council. The Management Council received the agenda for the fifth annual Division II FAR Fellows Institute, which was scheduled after the Management Council meeting, on October 23-25. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

e. Staff Presentations.

(1) Interim President's Update.

Management Council. The Association's interim president spoke to the Council on his focus for the next several months, while the search is taking place to find a new president of the Association. Mr. Isch indicated that his intent is to keep a focus on those issues that Dr. Brand had begun during his tenure as president, to include academic reform, financial stability of the organization; diversity and inclusion; and the student-first philosophy. No formal action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council was also introduced to the interim president. He spoke on his priorities to the Presidents Council and also spoke on the creation of three internal task forces at the NCAA—one to develop contingency plans for open records; one to explore effective ways to communicate with the membership; and one to explore performance management systems. He also promised to hold a more in depth discussion with the Council in January on enterprise risk. No formal action was taken.

(2) Executive Vice President of Membership and Student Affairs' Update.

Management Council. The Management Council received an update from Dr. Franklin on the ongoing discussions with the NAIA and the internal review of gender and diversity programs. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The executive vice president of membership and student affairs updated the Council on the discussions between the NCAA and the NAIA. He indicated that there would be an Association-wide educational session at the 2010 Convention to discuss this topic, and that a working group would be established to discuss managing membership issues as an Association, rather than on a divisional basis. No action was taken.

(3) ncaa.org.

Management Council. The Management Council was given an update on the status of the NCAA Web site. Members of the media staff informed the Council that ncaa.org is being restructured to better communicate to all NCAA audiences. There will actually be two Web sites—one to communicate with the public and another for the membership.

The public site will attempt to increase awareness of and advocacy for the positive values of intercollegiate athletics among the media and the public and within the membership; while the newly designed site will focus on academics, student-athlete well-being, key Association issues and give users a greater understanding of the Association and its role in intercollegiate athletics.

The password protected members-only section will allow users to conduct the Association's business and will enable users to share and vet best practices and more effectively do business. This section will also allow the membership to personalize its homepage based on their specific role and job requirements. Information should be easier to find and updates and changes will be prominently featured. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(2) Litigation Update.

Management Council. Legal counsel presented a litigation update to the Management Council. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. Legal counsel presented a litigation update to the Presidents Council. No action was taken.

11. MEETING RECAP.

Management Council. The Management Council was asked to provide information from the meeting to their conferences/institutions. NCAA staff will provide an e-mail to elaborate on the following issues/topics: 2010 NCAA Convention Schedule; 2008-09 electronic Division II Membership Report; feedback on student affairs relationships; "Life in the Balance" initiative; and the Division II homepage. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

12. FUTURE MEETINGS.

Management Council. Future meetings, through 2011, were highlighted for the Council. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. Future meetings, through the 2011 Convention, were highlighted for the Council. No action was taken.

13. ADJOURNMENT.

Management Council. The meeting adjourned at 11:35 a.m. Tuesday, October 20.

Presidents Council. The meeting adjourned at 12:30 p.m. Thursday, October 30.

INTERIM ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE DIVISION II ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE VIA E-MAIL

On December 7, an e-mail was sent to Division II Administrative Committee members asking for their approval for appointment of Joshua Thomas Edenborough, basketball student-athlete from Oklahoma Panhandle State University, to replace Tara Wicketts, St. Mary's University, as the Heartland Conference representative to the Division II Student-Athlete Advisory Committee. This appointment was effective immediately and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

On December 10, an e-mail was sent to Division II Administrative Committee members asking for an appeal of Bylaw 31.2.1.3 (Deadline Waivers) for Mars Hill College. Through an administrative oversight, the college had neglected to include the sports of men's and women's indoor track and field on its sports sponsorship form for 2009-10. The Committee unanimously approved the waiver.

MINUTES OF THE

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

DIVISION II ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE

Teleconference No. 5 December 2, 2009

Participants:

Stephen M. Jordan, Metropolitan State College of Denver, *chair*
Beverley Pitts, University of Indianapolis
Lloyd Raymond, Northern Sun Intercollegiate Conference
Tim Selgo, Grand Valley State University
Gary Brown, NCAA
Jennifer Fraser, NCAA
Mike Racy, NCAA
Ruth Reinhardt, NCAA, *recording secretary*
Stephanie Smith, NCAA
Terri Steeb, NCAA

Not Participating:

Pat O'Brien, West Texas A&M University

[Note: These minutes reflect only actions (formal votes or "sense of meeting"), in accordance with the established policy governing minutes of all NCAA entities. The only discussion included is that ordered by the chair or a member of the group.]

The teleconference was called to order at 3:30 p.m. Eastern time. Members were present as noted above.

Acting for the NCAA Division II Management Council and Presidents Council, the Committee took the following actions:

1. 2010 NCAA Convention Business.

   a. Management Council/Presidents Council's Positions on Amendment-to-Amendments. The Committee reviewed the two amendment-to-amendments that had been submitted by the membership, as follows:

      - Amendment-to-Amendment No. 2010-5-1, which amends Proposal No. 2010-5 in field hockey to delay the effective date of the reduction of the maximum number of contests until August 1, 2011.

- Amendment-to-Amendment No. 2010-7-1, which amends Proposal No. 2010-7 to specify that it is permissible for an institutions to travel on December 20 after an away-from-home competition that occurs on December 19, provided that is the earliest practical opportunity to return to campus.

Both of these amendments are being opposed by the Legislation Committee. The Committee voted to recommend to the Management Council and Presidents Council that they oppose Amendment-to-Amendment 5-1 and take no position on Amendment-to-Amendment 7-1. Additionally, it was the consensus of the Committee that it should direct the Legislation Committee to take no stance on the Convention floor with regard to Amendment-to-Amendment 7-1. The Committee noted that the Legislation Committee's opposition to that proposal is noted in the Official Notice.

b. "Life in the Balance" Legislative Package. The Committee reviewed the position papers, as prepared by NCAA staff for those persons designated as speakers for the four proposals. The Committee was asked to send any feedback to staff via e-mail. No formal action was taken.

c. 2010 Convention Registration Numbers. The Committee reviewed the Division II registration numbers as of 11/25/2009. To date, Division II has a total of 725 persons registered—91 presidents and chancellors; 228 directors of athletics; 125 faculty athletics representatives; 20 commissioners; and 261 designated as others (associate directors of athletics, compliance coordinators, vice presidents, assistant commissioners, and other institutional representatives). No formal action was taken.

2. Appointments.

a. Division II General Committee Appointments.

(1) Infractions Appeals Committee. The committee voted to appoint Ann Jirkovsky, faculty athletics representative, Bellarmine University; Gregory Moore, commissioner, Southern Intercollegiate Athletic Conference; and LaKeisha Marsh, office of compliance and legal affairs, The Chicago School of Professional Psychology, to the Division II Infractions Appeals Committee, effective December 1, 2009.

(2) Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee. The Committee voted to appoint Tim Gotto, associate director of athletics, director of compliance, Lewis University, to replace Harry Stinson on the Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee, effective immediately.

b. Division II Sports Committee Appointments. The Committee voted to appoint Jimmy Wilson, associate director of athletics/golf coach, Georgia College and State University, to the men's golf committee, replacing Ryan Kaiser, Newberry College, effective immediately.

3. Management Council Project Team and Committee Assignments. The Committee reviewed the Management Council project team and committee assignments as recommended. It was voted to approve the assignments as recommended.

4. Presidents Council Project Team and Committee Assignments. The Committee reviewed the Presidents Council project team and committee assignments as recommended. It was voted to approve the assignments as recommended.

5. Student-Athlete Advisory Committee's Make-A-Wish® Fundraising. The Committee voted to approve a $15,000 contribution from the Division II contingency fund to the Division II Student-Athlete Advisory Committee's fundraising efforts for Make-A-Wish for 2009-10.

6. Adjournment. There being no further business to be discussed, the meeting was adjourned at 4:25 p.m.

SUPPLEMENT NO. 13
DII Pres Council 01/10

MINUTES OF THE

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

NCAA National Office
Indianapolis, Indiana

October 29, 2009

Participants:

Michael Adams, University of Georgia, chair
Charles Bantz, Indiana Univ.-Purdue Univ. at Indianapolis
James Barker, Clemson University
Damon Evans, University of Georgia
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida
James Harris, Widener University
Stephen Jordan, Metropolitan State College of Denver
Robert Kustra, Boise State University
Lynn Oberbilling, Smith College
Ann Millner, Weber State University
Kevin Mullen, Siena College
Harvey Perlman, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
John Peters, Northern Illinois University
Beverley Pitts, University of Indianapolis
Shirley Raines, University of Memphis
Edward Ray, Oregon State University
Tim Selgo, Grand Valley State University
Paul Trible, Christopher Newport University
Bernard Franklin, NCAA
Jim Isch, NCAA
Delise O'Meally, NCAA, recording secretary

Drew Bogner, Molloy College, attended a portion of the meeting. William Harvey, Hampton University, was not able to participate.

Also in attendance were: Scott Bearby, managing director of legal affairs; Gary Brown, director of NCAA News; Elsa Cole, vice president of legal affairs/general counsel; Joni Comstock, senior vice president of championships/senior woman administrator; Dennis Cryder, senior vice president of branding and communications; Greg Shaheen, senior vice president of basketball and business strategies; Keith Martin, interim vice president of administration/chief financial officer; Kevin Lennon, vice president of academic and membership affairs; Todd Leyden, president of the Eligibility Center, LLC; Wallace Renfro, vice president and senior advisor to the president;

Greg Shaheen, senior vice president of basketball and business strategies; Robert Vowels, vice president of educational affairs; Charlotte Westerhaus, vice president of diversity and inclusion; Bob Williams, managing director of public and media relations; David Berst, Daniel Dutcher and Mike Racy, NCAA governance vice presidents; and Jacqueline Campbell, Leah Kareti, and Terri Steeb, NCAA governance directors.

[Note: These minutes contain only actions taken (formal votes or stated "sense of the meeting") in accordance with NCAA policy regarding minutes of all Association entities. While certain items on the Committee's agenda were acted on at various times throughout the meeting, all final actions within a given topic are combined in these minutes for convenience of reference.]

The meeting was called to order at 1:15 by the chair, President Adams. All members were present as noted above.

1. Welcome and announcements. Adams welcomed all attendees and noted the appointment of new member William Harvey from Hampton University.

2. Executive session. Adams convened an executive session during which the Committee received an update from NCAA Interim President Jim Isch and other staff members.

3. Approval of August 6, 2009, meeting minutes.

   It was VOTED

   "To approve the Executive Committee minutes of the August 6, 2009, meeting as distributed."

4. Interim President's report. Adams noted that the Interim President's report was received during executive session. The Committee took action on two items:

   a. The Committee approved with unanimous support, and one abstention, funding for a building addition to the NCAA national office. Construction will begin in spring 2010 and is projected to be completed early in 2012.

   b. The Committee endorsed with unanimous support, and one abstention, a contribution from the NCAA to the Myles Brand Chair in Cancer Research at the Indiana University School of Medicine.

5. Litigation update. The Committee received a report from the NCAA general counsel regarding litigation and settlement actions.

6. NCAA Limited Liability Company (LLC) updates. The Committee received an update on NCAA Limited Liability Companies, including the NCAA Eligibility Center, ArbiterSports and the NIT. Todd Leyden, president of the NCAA Eligibility Center, LLC, provided an overview of the Eligibility Center's mission and scope of operations, as well as challenges and areas of focus for the foreseeable future. Leyden noted that last year the Eligibility Center conducted a survey of the membership to determine whether it was meeting its service goals. The results from that survey were generally favorable. A follow-up survey will be released in the coming year. Greg Shaheen, senior vice president of basketball and business strategies, provided updates on ArbiterSports and the NIT. Shaheen noted that the five-year period within which the NCAA committed to retaining the NIT in the New York area was coming to a close, and decisions would be made regarding the future location of that event.

7. NCAA Division I Board of Directors and Divisions II and III Presidents Councils reports.

   a. Division I Board of Directors. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division I Board of Directors that included the following:

      (1) Infractions Appeals Committee policies. Unanimous approval of the following recommendations for two changes to the Infractions Appeals Committee's policies:

         (a) Modification to NCAA Bylaw 32.10.1.2 to require individual appellants to disclose current or future employment at a member institution.

         (b) Modification of the committee's policies and procedures to require individual appellants to disclose current or future employment at a member institution.

      (2) Men's Basketball recruiting. Received a presentation from several members of the enforcement staff, four commissioners and the executive director of the National Association of Basketball Coaches regarding recurring issues of concern in the men's basketball recruiting world. The Board approved the group's proposed four-tier plan that will begin to address the recruiting issues in question.

(3) Eligibility for team selection to a bowl game. Agreed to sponsor legislation presented by the Big 12 Conference that would, in the Football Bowl Subdivision, permit an institution with a final winning percentage of .500 or better to be selected for participation in a bowl game.

(4) Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group. Reviewed proposals recommended by the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group and expressed concern regarding the proposals related to the summer athletics development-retention model and providing travel expenses for parents accompanying prospects on official visits. The Board agreed not to enter these proposals into the 2009-10 legislative cycle but rather use them as a discussion topic at the Division I Forum during the January NCAA Convention in an effort to encourage all parties (coaches, faculty, commissioners and administrators) to seek consensus in initiatives that will enhance graduation rates. It voted unanimously to sponsor the remaining proposals in this year's legislative cycle.

(5) Elections. Identified Harvey Perlman, University of Nebraska, to serve as chair of the Board when President Barker's term end, which will be following the April 2010 meeting. Additionally, with the departure of Tom Powell, the Board selected William Harvey, to serve as the NCAA Football Championship Subdivision representative on the Executive Committee.

b. Division II Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division II Presidents Council that included the following:

(1) Life in the Balance Initiative. Continued discussions related to the division's Life in the Balance initiative. The Life in the Balance Initiative is a four-proposal package that aligns Division II legislation with its strategic positioning platform. It was designed to streamline playing and practice seasons and reduce contests, where appropriate, to ensure a stronger balance between academics and athletics. As a supplementary effect, the package also introduces better business practices, alleviating some of the financial pressures plaguing higher education. Division II members will vote on the package of legislation at the NCAA Convention in January.

(2) 2010 Division II priorities. Endorsed the 2010 priorities, which correspond with the five strategic goals and funding initiatives of the 2009-12 Division II strategic plan, and emphasize three overarching strategies for Division II: a) continuing to work to engage chancellors and presidents with strategic issues; b) working to sustain the Division II "Life in the

Balance" strategic positioning platform in all student-athlete well-being, regulatory, financial and membership decisions; and c) promoting the institutional benefit and value of Division II membership.

(3) Division II Championships Festival. Selected Louisville, Kentucky, as the site for the 2010 Division II National Championships Festival, to be conducted November 30-December 4. The festival will be co-hosted by Bellarmine University and the Louisville Sports Committee. This will be the fifth Championships Festival that Division II has staged and the second time for fall sports. Championships will be crowned in the sports of men's and women's cross country, women's volleyball; field hockey and men's and women's soccer.

(4) Presidents Council chair. Elected Drew Bogner, president, Molloy College, to serve as chair of the Presidents Council in 2010. President Molloy also will be eligible to run for and serve a second term, if he so desires.

(5) Management Council chair. Kathleen Brasfield, director of athletics at Angelo State University, was elected to serve as chair of the Management Council in 2010.

c. Division III Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division III Presidents Council. These included:

(1) Division III Identity Initiative.

(a) Reviewed the final draft of the Strategic Positioning Platform, a document which describes the essence of Division III and highlights key communication points specific to different audience, both internal and external to the membership.

(b) The Convention will include a full membership release of the platform, including a creative video depicting the essence of Division III and other creative expressions of the platform.

(c) Pre-Convention outreach has or will include teleconferences with all members of the Presidents Advisory Group, all Division III presidents, senior administrators with athletics oversight and several other key constituents, including commissioners, chairs of governance committees, attendees at the Faculty Athletics Representative Association annual symposium, the board of the National

Association of Division III Athletics Administrators, representatives from the College Sports Information Directors Association, and the Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.

(d) The Pre-Convention outreach effort also includes four pilot conference meetings, where Division III athletics administrators have been presented with the details of the platform, and have engaged in a dialog about what methods or materials would prove most useful and effective for institutions and conferences to communicate the contents of the platform to internal and external constituents.

(e) Long term, the division will continue to develop materials to activate the platform, which will also guide strategic, financial and policy decisions for the division.

(2) Drug education and testing pilot. Reviewed the results of the recently concluded Drug Education and Testing Pilot, which was a study designed to test the deterrent effect of an education-based drug prevention program supplemented by academic year round drug testing. Student-athlete well-being and competitive equity were the guiding principles of the pilot study, which also assessed student-athlete and athletics administrator perceptions and experiences with drug education and testing. The results of the pilot, especially related to drug testing, proved largely inconclusive. Awareness, understanding and perceptions of the educational programs and processes in place to deter substance use are at less than desired levels.

The next steps in the division's exploration of academic year round drug testing includes further refinement of the meaning of the pilot results leading to a 2011 NCAA Convention presentation of pilot results. A full release of the results will not occur until after the January Convention. Any change to the division's current drug testing program would not be implemented without a full membership vote, which would likely not take place until the 2012 Convention.

(3) 2010 Convention legislation. Reviewed the nine proposals up for membership vote at the 2010 Convention, approved voting order and proposal groupings, and took positions on membership-sponsored proposals.

One significant proposal would clarify in the Division III philosophy statement expectations for presidential leadership at the campus, conference and national levels. This important concept is based on the NCAA

model of presidential leadership but has not previously been codified in the philosophy statement.

Other significant proposals would deregulate Division III tryout legislation, and practically address the issue of facility monitoring by athletics personnel in light of the strict Division III prohibition on out-of season skill instruction.

(4) Presidents Council leadership. Appointed James Harris and James Bultman to serve as chair and vice chair, respectively, of the Division III Presidents Council, effective January 2010 to January 2011.

The Presidents Council approved four nominations to the Presidents Council for four-year terms (January 2010 – January 2014):

(a) Brian Levin-Stankevich, University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire.

(b) Frederick Ohles, Nebraska Wesleyan University.

(c) Rosalind Reichard, Emory and Henry College.

(d) Jack Ohle, Gustavus Adolphus College.

8. NCAA Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues. The Committee received a written report of the work of the Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues. The subcommittee is discussing representational diversity on Association-wide committees and also is currently reviewing its overall structure and composition to determine whether it is strategically positioned to effectively fulfill its charge.

9. Election. The Committee approved the appointment of Ed Ray as chair of the Executive Committee, effective immediately. The former chair, Michael Adams, announced his resignation.

10. Presidential search. The Committee appointed a six-member presidential panel chaired by Ed Ray to oversee the search for a successor to the late NCAA President Myles Brand. Ray will be joined by Harvey Perlman, William Harvey, Drew Bogner, James Harris and

Ann Millner. Ray noted that the process will be characterized by two important principles, integrity and confidentiality. Further, Ray noted that the search effort must be open, inclusive, deliberative and fair. The search committee anticipates having a new president in place by the beginning of the next academic year.

11. Future meetings. The Committee reviewed its future meetings schedule.

12. Adjournment. Ray adjourned the meeting at 3 p.m.

# # # # #