

A G E N D A

The National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division II Management Council

Grand Hyatt Denver

July 20-21, 2009

1. Welcome and announcements; Tim Selgo.
2. Review of previous minutes.
 - a. Summary of Management Council meeting April 20-21 and Presidents Council meeting April 30, 2009; Selgo. [Supplement No. 1]
 - b. Presidents Council teleconference—June 2, 2009; Selgo. [Supplement No. 2]
 - c. Executive Committee meeting—April 30, 2009; Selgo. [Supplement No. 3]
 - d. Administrative Committee actions since the April meetings. [Supplement No. 4]
3. Division II vision statement; Terri Steeb. [Supplement No. 5]
4. 2009 Division II priorities; Terri Steeb. [Supplement No. 6]
5. Review of committee recommendations affecting Division II.
 - a. Association-wide and common committees.
 - (1) Walter Byers Committee; Darrell Axtell. [Supplement No. 7]
 - (2) Honors Committee; Willie Washington. [Supplement No. 8]
 - (3) Postgraduate Scholarship Committee; Kathleen Turner. [Supplement No. 9]
 - (4) Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct; Julie Ruppert. [Supplement No. 10]
 - (5) Committee on Women's Athletics; Barbara Hannum. [Supplement No. 11]

- b. Division II committees.
 - (1) Academic Requirements Committee; Ann Jirkovsky. [Supplement No. 12]
 - (2) Championships Committee; David Riggins. [Supplement No. 13]
 - (3) Legislation Committee; Ann Martin. [Supplement No. 14]
 - (4) Joint Championships Committee/Legislation Committee; Martin and Riggins. [Supplement No. 15]
 - (5) Committee for Legislative Relief; Sherman Ward. [Supplement No. 16]
 - (6) Membership Committee; Glenn Stokes. [Supplement No. 17]
 - (7) Nominating Committee; Tim Griggs. [Supplement No. 18]
 - (8) Planning and Finance Committee; Kathleen Brasfield.
 - (a) Report from April 29 committee meeting. [Supplement No. 19]
 - (b) Budget-to-actual report. [Supplement No. 20]
 - (9) Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee; Suzanne Sanregret. [Supplement No. 21]
- 6. NCAA Convention and legislation.
 - a. Presidents Council-sponsored legislation for the 2010 NCAA Convention; Maritza Jones. [Supplement No. 22]
 - b. Proposed legislation for the 2010 NCAA Convention submitted by the Division II membership; Stephanie Quigg. [Supplement No. 23]
 - c. Noncontroversial legislation per Constitution 5.3.1.1.1; Quigg. [Supplement No. 24]
 - d. Administrative regulations; Jones. [Supplement No. 25]
 - e. Modifications of wording to conform to the intent; Jones. [Supplement No. 26]

7. Division II project teams.
 - a. Convention Planning Project Team; Julie Ruppert. [Supplement No. 27]
 - b. Degree-Completion Award Committee; Terri Steeb. [Supplement No. 28]
 - c. Identity Subcommittee; Brasfield.
 - d. Membership Fund Selection Committee; Steeb. [Supplement No. 29]
8. Division II Management Council.
 - a. Discussion regarding SAR self-imposed penalties; Mike Racy. [Supplement No. 30]
 - b. Notice for election of chair of Management Council for 2010; Selgo. [Supplement No. 31]
 - c. Election for vice-chair of Management Council; Riggins. [Supplement No. 32]
 - d. Consider vacant Management Council committee/project team assignments; Selgo. [Supplement No. 33]
 - e. Discuss sports wagering policy and NCAA championships. [Supplement No. 34]
9. National office staff updates.
 - a. Evaluation of 2009 MC/SAAC Summit; Steeb.
 - b. Division II Game Environment Award of Excellence; Steeb. [Supplement No. 35]
10. Meeting recap/things to report to conferences; Selgo.
11. Other business.
12. Future meetings.
 - a. October 18, 2009; Woman of the Year Dinner; Indianapolis (*in conjunction with the October Management Council meeting*).

- b. October 19-20, 2009; Woman of the Year Dinner; Indianapolis.
 - c. January 13, 2010; Atlanta (*in conjunction with the 2010 NCAA Convention*).
 - d. January 13-16, 2010; 2010 NCAA Convention; Atlanta.
 - e. April 12-13, 2010; Indianapolis.
 - f. July 17-20, 2010; Management Council and MC/SAAC Summit; Indianapolis.
 - g. October 17, 2010; Woman of the Year Dinner; Indianapolis (*in conjunction with the October Management Council meeting*).
 - h. October 18-19, 2010; Indianapolis.
13. Adjournment.

SUMMARY OF SPRING 2009 QUARTERLY MEETINGS

The National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division II Management Council April 20-21, 2009 Indianapolis, Indiana	Division II Presidents Council April 30, 2009 Indianapolis, Indiana
---	--

PARTICIPANTS

Darrell Axtell, Saint Martin's University Sallie Beard, Missouri Southern State University Kathleen Brasfield, Angelo State University Rick Cole, Dowling College Bob Fortosis, Eckerd College Tim Griggs, Coker College Barbara Hannum, Hawaii Pacific University Stephanie Harrison-Dyer, Albany State University Ann Jirkovsky, Bellarmine University Ann Martin, Regis University Wendy Taylor May, University of California, San Diego Sandra Michael, Holy Family University Frances Nee, Indiana University of Pennsylvania Lloyd Raymond, Northern Sun Intercollegiate Conference Herb Reinhard, Valdosta State University David Riggins, Mars Hill College (<i>vice-chair</i>) Julie Ruppert, Northeast-10 Conference Tim Selgo, Grand Valley State University (<i>chair</i>) Karen I. Soto, University of Puerto Rico at Mayaguez Brenda Stevens, University of Charleston (WV) Glenn D. Stokes, Columbus State University Kathleen Turner, Oklahoma Panhandle State University Sherman Ward, Virginia State University Willie Washington, Benedict College	Mickey L. Burnim, Bowie State University Philip Gerbino, University of the Sciences in Philadelphia Joseph P. Grunenwald, Clarion University of Pennsylvania Stephen Jordan, Metropolitan State College of Denver (<i>chair</i>) Carolyn Mahoney, Lincoln University (Missouri) Ernest McNealey, Stillman College Cheryl Norton, Southern Connecticut State University J. Patrick O'Brien, West Texas A&M University Beverley J. Pitts, University of Indianapolis (<i>vice-chair</i>) Judith Ramaley, Winona State University David F. Rankin, Southern Arkansas University Hamid Shirvani, California State University, Stanislaus
--	---

ABSENTEES

Suzanne Sanregret, Michigan Tech University	Drew Bogner, Molloy College Tom Harden, Clayton State University Nancy Moody, Tusculum College
---	--

OTHER PARTICIPANTS

Gary Brown, NCAA Sharon Cessna, NCAA Jennifer Fraser, NCAA Marquette Jamison, NCAA Maritza Jones, NCAA Delise O'Meally, NCAA Stacey Osburn, NCAA Stephanie Quigg, NCAA Mike L. Racy, NCAA Ruth Reinhardt, NCAA, <i>recording secretary</i> Dave Schnase, NCAA Terri Steeb, NCAA Gregg Summers, NCAA Mary Wilfert, NCAA	Gary Brown, NCAA Elsa Cole, NCAA Bernard Franklin, NCAA Jennifer Fraser, NCAA Maritza Jones, NCAA Delise O'Meally, NCAA Stacey Osburn, NCAA Stephanie Quigg, NCAA Mike Racy, NCAA Ruth Reinhardt, NCAA, <i>recording secretary</i> Terri Steeb, NCAA Gregg Summers, NCAA
---	---

1. WELCOME AND ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Management Council. The meeting was called to order at 8:05 a.m. by the chair, Tim Selgo. The chair welcomed the new members—Sandy Michael, Julie Ruppert, Brenda Stevens, Kathy Turner and Sherman Ward—to their first full meeting. He also noted that Sandy Michael had been inducted (the previous night) into the inaugural Holy Family University Athletics Hall of Fame class of 2009. The chair also reminded the Council that Sallie Beard is retiring from Missouri Southern State University and this will be her final meeting on the Council.

Presidents Council. The meeting was called to order at 8:06 a.m. by the chair. The chair welcomed the new members—Mickey Burnim, Phil Gerbino, Carolyn Mahoney and Judith Ramaley, as well as the chair of the Management Council, Tim Selgo.

2. REVIEW OF PREVIOUS MEETING DOCUMENTATION.

a. Management Council Meeting—January 14; Presidents Council Meeting—January 15; and post-Convention Management Council Meeting—January 17.

Management Council. The Management Council approved the meeting summary of the January 14 and January 17, 2009, Management Council meetings, as presented.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the meeting summary of its January 15, 2009, Presidents Council meeting, as presented.

b. Presidents Council Teleconference—February 19.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the minutes of the Presidents Council's February 19 teleconference. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the minutes from the February 19, 2009 teleconference, as presented.

c. Executive Committee Meeting—January 17.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the minutes of the Executive Committee's January 17, 2009, meeting. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the minutes of the Executive Committee's January 17, 2009, meeting, noting in particular the information concerning the audit for fiscal year 2007-08; the recommended use of unallocated net assets; and the information presented to the Executive Committee on Division II issues. No action was necessary.

d. Administrative Committee Teleconferences—February 4 and March 4.

Management Council. The Management Council approved the minutes of the February 4 and March 4, 2009, teleconferences, as presented.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the minutes of the February 4 and March 4, 2009, teleconferences, as presented.

3. NCAA DIVISION II VISION STATEMENT.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the suggested Division II vision statement, as recommended by the Division II Administrative Committee. It was the consensus of the Council that a Vision Statement is important to the division but that the statement, as drafted, lacks any mention of athletics competition. The Council sent a recommended version to the Presidents Council for approval.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the recommended vision statement and approved the following version:

“Through a shared effort, Division II seeks to be a membership destination within the NCAA—a destination where intercollegiate athletics supports the mission of higher education by striking a balance among athletics competition, academic excellence and social growth while its colleges and universities prepare student-athletes to thrive in their lives and careers.”

4. 2009 DIVISION II PRIORITIES

Management Council. The Management Council received an update on the 2009 priorities and the progress made to date on each of the priorities. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. As part of the vice president's report, the Presidents Council received the list of 2009 priorities, as well as a first quarter update, which noted actions that had been taken January through April. No action was necessary.

5. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AFFECTING DIVISION II.

a. Association-wide and Common Committees.

(1) Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports.

(a) Bylaws 11.1.2. (Responsibility for violations of NCAA Regulations) and 30.5 (Drug-Testing Program).

Management Council. The Management Council was informed that the committee was withdrawing the recommendation to sponsor legislation to amend Bylaws 11.1.2 and 30.5.5 to hold athletics staff to the same level of responsibility to which student-athletes are held pertaining to knowledge of NCAA banned substances. No action was necessary by the Council.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Injury Surveillance.

Management Council. The Management Council was informed that the NCAA has contracted with the Datalys Center to begin conducting its sports injury surveillance services in July 2009. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(c) **Banned Drugs.**

Management Council. The Management Council received an update on the Division II year-round drug testing program and reviewed the edited list of banned drugs, as follows. No action was necessary.

- (i) Stimulants; (*Revised 2/10/06*)
- (ii) Anabolic agents; (*Revised 2/10/06*)
- (iii) **Alcohol and Beta Blockers (banned for rifle only)** *Substances banned for specific sports; (Revised 2/10/06)*
- (iv) Diuretics and other masking agents; (*Revised 2/10/06, 5/29/07*)
- (v) Street drugs; (*Revised 2/10/06*)
- (vi) Peptide hormones and analogues; (*Revised 2/10/06*)
- (vii) Anti-estrogens (*Adopted 10/27/06 effective 8/1/07*)
- (viii) **Beta 2 Agonists**

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received the list of banned drugs. No action was necessary.

(2) **Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee.**

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the committee's report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(3) **Postgraduate Scholarship Committee.**

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the listing of fall sports awardees, as provided by the committee. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(4) **Committee on Women's Athletics.**

Management Council. The Management Council was informed that the Division I Legislative Council was scheduled to take action on Division I Proposal No. 2008-59 (as it relates to the addition of sand volleyball to the emerging sports for women list) during its current meeting. Prior to adjournment of the meeting, the Council was informed that Division I had taken action to make sand volleyball an emerging sport for women. The governance structure, in consultation with the American Volleyball Coaches Association, is beginning its work to develop the necessary regu-

lations (e.g., financial aid, playing and practice seasons, minimum contests/participants requirements) for the sport. These legislative concepts will be considered by the Division II membership at the 2010 NCAA Convention. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

b. Division II Committees.

(1) Academic Requirements Committee.

(a) Committee Report.

(i) Bylaws 13.3 and 14.3.6 (Recruiting and Eligibility—Admissions and Graduation Data, Banned Drug List and Initial-Eligibility Standards—Reports and Notification—Eligibility Center.

Management Council. The Management Council agreed to recommend that the Presidents Council sponsor legislation for the 2010 Convention to amend Bylaws 13.3 and 14.3.6 to specify that the NCAA Eligibility Center shall provide the information contained within the disclosure reports related to admissions, graduation-rate data and academic success rate to a prospective student-athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the Eligibility Center and the Eligibility Center has received an institution's request to add the prospective student-athlete to the institution's institutional request list; further to specify that the Eligibility Center shall provide notification of initial-eligibility standards, the banned-drug list and information about nutritional supplements to a prospective student-athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the Eligibility Center.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council agreed to sponsor the legislation for the 2010 Convention, noting some questions that can be reviewed by the Legislation Committee at its summer meeting prior to the August Presidents Council meeting.

(ii) Bylaws 14.5.4.1 and 14.5.4.2 (Eligibility—Two-Year College Transfers—Eligibility for Competition, Practice and Athletics Aid.

Management Council. The Management Council defeated a recommendation to amend Bylaws 14.5.4.1 and 14.5.4.2 to specify that in order for a transfer student from a two-year college who was a qualifier and who has not previously attended a four year collegiate institution to be eligible for competition, practice and athletics aid during his/her first academic year in residence, he/she must have successfully completed three semester or four quarter hours of English and three semester or four quarter hours of mathematics at the two-year college that are transferable. The recommendation further specified that that in order for a transfer student from a two-year college who was a non-qualifier, a partial qualifier or a qualifier who has previously attended a four-year collegiate institution, to be eligible for competition, practice and athletics aid during his or her first academic year in residence, he or she must have successfully completed six semester or eight quarter hours of English and three semester or four quarter hours of mathematics at the two-year college that are transferable toward any baccalaureate degree program at the certifying institution.

The Council referred the recommendation back to the Academic Requirements Committee and requested clarification on several issues, including the effect of this change on remedial courses, students who have earned an associate degree and students who have previously attended a four-year institution.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

- (iii) **Bylaw 21.6.3.1 (Committees—Common Committees—Committees with Governance Administration Responsibilities—International Student Records Committee—Composition).**

Management Council. The Management Council approved noncontroversial legislation to amend Bylaw 21.6.3.1 to specify that the International Student Records Committee shall consist of eight members, including two Division I representatives, two Division II representatives, two representatives from either Division I or II and two ex-officio members, one of whom shall be the NCAA Eligibility Center's primary foreign student records consultant. The Council noted that this change does not have a budget im-

pact because the overall number of committee members does not change, only the composition.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(iv) **College Board Test, Prueba de Aptitud Academica (PAA).**

Management Council. The Management Council received an update on the discussions regarding whether the Prueba de Aptitud Academica (PAA) test administered in Puerto Rico should be accepted for purposes of meeting the test-score requirements for initial eligibility in Bylaw 14.3. Based on feedback from the NCAA Data Analysis Research Network recommending the PAA score might best be used as a stand-alone metric for determining initial-eligibility certification (as opposed to linking or concurring it to the SAT or ACT score) for students in Puerto Rico attending a Division II school in Puerto Rico, the committee had approved a model proposed by the NCAA research staff to attain such a stand-alone metric. The research staff will continue to work with the College Board to determine the proper test score for initial eligibility. A legislative recommendation may be forthcoming at the summer Council meeting

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received the updated information on the PAA in the Management Council report. No action was necessary.

(b) **Academic Performance Census.**

Management Council. The Management Council received an update on the first year's experience with the academic performance census. The Council noted that 90 percent of Division II schools submitted the APC data by the 18-week deadline and, of the 90 percent, 191 schools received an incentive payment for meeting the original 12-week deadline. Further, 15 Division II schools forfeited enhancement funds for the 2009-10 academic year for failing to submit the data. Any appeals will be heard by the Academic Requirements Committee this spring. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(2) Planning and Finance Committee.

(a) Division II Year-to-Date Budget-to-Actual Figures.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the 2008-09 budget-to-actual results as of February 28. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the 2008-09 budget-to-actual results as of February 28. No action was taken.

(b) Update from April 29 Meeting.

Management Council. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received an update from the vice chair on the April 29 Planning and Finance Committee meeting. The Planning and Finance Committee continued discussions on the enhancement fund distribution formula and whether the current formula aligns with the division's strategic positioning platform. The current formula used to distribute the \$4.8 million fund each spring is: (1) a third distributed to conferences based on performance in the men's and women's basketball championship; (2) a third distributed to conferences based on the number of conference championships sponsored with at least six active members; and (3) a third distributed equally to each active Division II school in good standing. As a part of its discussions, the committee reviewed the feedback received from the 2009 NCAA Convention. At this time, no formal decisions were made regarding modifications to the formula; however, the committee did determine how to allocate a scheduled \$300,000 increase in the fund for 2009-2010. The committee took action to devote the \$300,000 increase in 2009-2010 as a one-time allocation divided equally among Division II member schools.

The distribution will occur in May 2010, based on Division II membership as of September 1, 2009. No restrictions will be placed on how institutions use the allocation.

Additionally, the committee reviewed procedures to track implementation of the division's new three-year strategic plan.

(3) **Championships Committee.**

(a) **Committee report.**

(i) **Appointments and Reappointments.**

Management Council. The Management Council ratified the following sports committee appointments and reappointments, effective September 1, unless otherwise noted.

- **Baseball. Jim Givens**, associate athletics director, University of Findlay (reappointment);
- **Men's Basketball. Jim Johnson**, commissioner, Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association, replacing Lonn Reisman, administrator and coach, Tarleton State University;
- **Women's Basketball. Greg Bamberger**, director of athletics, Kutztown University of Pennsylvania (reappointment);
- **Football. Roberta Page**, director of athletics, Shippensburg University, replacing Bill Elias, former director of athletics, Gannon University; and **Thomas Spicer**, director of athletics, Colorado School of mines, replacing Kevin Buisman, director of athletics, Minnesota State University, Mankato;

[Note that **Derek Carter**, director of athletics, Bowie State University, was removed from consideration because of his resignation from Bowie State and change in divisional status. The Championships Committee will reopen the position.]

- **Women's Golf. James DeMello**, associate athletics director, University of Hawaii at Hilo (reappointment);
- **Men's Lacrosse. Matt Bassett**, director of athletics, LeMoyne College (reappointment);
- **Men's Soccer. Dennis Helsel**, director of athletics, Chowan University, replacing Paul Payne, coach, Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania; and **Bob-**

by Peterson, coach, Northeastern State University, replacing Kurt Portman, associate athletics director, Midwestern State University;

- **Softball. Lisa Sweany**, senior associate athletics director, Grand Valley State University, replacing Kathy Stewart, coach and administrator, Northern Kentucky University;
- **Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving. Lynn Griffin**, senior woman administrator and associate athletics director, University of Indianapolis, replacing Dixie Cirillo, associate athletics director, Colorado School of Mines;
- **Women's Volleyball. Jason Skoch**, coach, Truman State University, replacing Venera Flores-Stafford, associate athletics director and coach, Midwestern State University;
- **Wrestling. Doug Peters**, director of athletics, Minnesota State University Moorhead, replacing Patrick Timm, coach, Northern State University;
- **Women's Basketball Rules. Jamie Pewinski**, head women's basketball coach, Lake Superior State University, replacing David Marsh, associate athletics director, Northwood University;
- **Men's and Women's Soccer Rules. Ike Ofoje**, coach, University of South Carolina Aiken (reappointment); and
- **Women's Volleyball Rules. John Bo Pagiasotti**, head volleyball coach, Southwestern Oklahoma State University; replacing Carlos Chia, coach, Northern Kentucky University.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the appointments/reappointments. No action was taken.

(ii) Championships Selection Announcements.

Management Council. The Management Council was informed that the committee had adopted a policy to have championship brackets be announced as regions are completed, rather than via online selection shows, effective for the spring championships. A designated release time, prior to 9 p.m. EST on selection day, will be chosen by each respective committee to release the majority of regions via press releases on ncaa.com. Any regions still under consideration after the designated release will be posted online as available. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(iii) Regional Tournament Contingency Plan.

Management Council. The Management Council received information concerning the approval and implementation of a contingency plan for any regional championship site where all participating school teams must fly by charter or commercial means in order to reach the host site. The contingency plan will (1) include a two-host site format in which the No. 1 seed and the No. 2 seed will host a four-team tournament, with the winner of each host site competing for the regional championship; (2) the regional championship site will occur at the site of the highest remaining seed; and (3) the plan will be implemented by the governing sports committee if it is determined that a cost savings of at least \$10,000 will occur and the plan does not result in an undue hardship (as determined by the governing sports committee) to the participating schools.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary, as the Council had already approved the contingency plan during its February 19 teleconference.

(iv) 2010 Division II Fall Championships Festival.

Management Council. The Management Council was informed of the dates for the 2010 Division II Fall National Championships Festival—Tuesday, November 30, through Saturday, December 4. This festival will crown championships in the sports of men's and women's cross country, field hockey, men's and women's soccer, and women's volleyball. The Council noted that a waiver it approved in

April 2008 to permit soccer and women's volleyball to start a week earlier is moot. The waiver is not necessary because the championships dates for men's and women's soccer and women's volleyball will occur at their traditional time, based on the confirmed dates for the 2010 Fall Festival. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received the dates for the 2010 festival. No action was taken.

(b) Update from the 2009 Winter Championships Festival.

Management Council. The Management Council received an oral update on the 2009 Winter Championships Festival. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received information on the outcome of the 2009 Winter Championships Festival and the sanctions that had been levied upon those (individuals and institutions) that were involved in any misconduct, as defined in By-law 31.02.3. The Council noted that the Championships Committee will continue its discussions on whether to implement additional protocol or standards for Division II national championships at its summer meeting. No formal action was taken.

(4) Committee for Legislative Relief.

Management Council. The Management Council agreed with the committee's decision that, effective August 1, 2009, no relief of the organized competition or training prior to enrollment legislation will be provided for waiver requests involving institutions asserting that misinformation or a lack of information was provided to the prospective student-athlete during the recruitment process. In the future, such requests will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the April 2008 guidelines to determine if any additional mitigation outside the control of the student-athlete warrants relief. The Division II membership has received a significant amount of education regarding the organized competition or training prior to collegiate enrollment legislation; therefore, institutions will no longer benefit via the waiver process for assertions related to misinformation or a lack of information, in and of itself.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(5) Legislation Committee.

(a) Bylaw 11.1 (Personnel—Conduct of Athletics Personnel—Sports-Safety Training).

Management Council. The Management Council agreed to recommend that the Presidents Council sponsor legislation for the 2010 Convention to amend Bylaw 11.1 to specify that each head coach shall be certified in first aid, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and automatic external defibrillator (AED) use.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council discussed this legislative concept in detail and agreed to amend the legislation to specify that each head coach and all full-time coaches shall be certified in first aid, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and automatic external defibrillator (AED) use. The Council agreed to sponsor the legislation, as amended, for the 2010 Convention.

(b) Bylaws 13.02.5.1. and 13.6.6.5 (Recruiting—Official (Paid) Visit—Entertainment/Tickets on Official Visit—Student Host).

Management Council. The Management Council approved non-controversial legislation to amend Bylaws 13.02.5.1.-(f) and 13.6.6.5 to specify that a student host may receive a complimentary meal or a complimentary admission to a campus athletics event each time he/she accompanies a prospective student-athlete to a meal or campus athletics event, respectively, during the prospective student-athlete's official visit.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(c) Bylaw 13.1.9 (Recruiting—Contacts and Evaluations—Banquets and Meetings—All Sports).

Management Council. The Management Council approved non-controversial legislation to amend Bylaw 13.1.9 to specify that in all sports, a coach may speak at a meeting or banquet without using one of the institution's permissible contacts or evaluations, provided the coach does not make a recruiting presentation in conjunction with the appearance, the coach does not have any direct contact with any prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) in attendance and the coach does not engage in any evaluation activities; further, to specify that in all sports, a coach may speak at a meeting or banquet in conjunction with a community engagement activity and have contact with a prospective student-athlete(s) (or the prospective stu-

dent-athlete's relatives or legal guardians), provided the coach does not make a recruiting presentation in conjunction with the appearance, the contact is not for the purpose of securing the enrollment or ultimate participation of the prospective student-athlete(s) in the institution's intercollegiate athletics program and the meeting or banquet does not take place during a dead period.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(d) **Bylaw 16.8.1.2.1.1 (Awards and Benefits—Expenses Provided by the Institution for Practice and Competition—Departure/Return Expense Restrictions—Exceptions—Travel Following Championship Events and Postseason Football Games.**

Management Council. The Management Council approved non-controversial legislation to amend Bylaw 16.8.1.2.1.1 to specify that return transportation following contests in NCAA championship events, National Governing Body (NGB) championships in emerging sports or certified postseason football games that take place during the institution's official vacation period during the academic year or between regular academic terms is exempt from the requirement that a student-athlete remain not longer than 36 hours following the conclusion of the competition in order to receive actual and necessary expenses to return to campus.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(e) **Committee Referral.**

Management Council. The Management Council referred the following concept to the NCAA Division II Membership Committee for review and possible action:

- Bylaw 20.10.3.5.5 (Individual Sports – One or More Sites). Whether the Division II membership should consider adding an exception to the minimum contests and participant requirements for sports sponsorship in track and field to specify that if the number of student-athletes participating in a multiday meet on behalf of the institution over the course of the entire meet equals or exceeds the minimum number of participants required for sports sponsorship and the meet is conducted on consecutive days, an institution may use the competition as a contest in meeting the minimum contest re-

quirements. (See NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2008-63.)

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(f) **Discussion Regarding a Legislative Concept to Amend Bylaw 14.2.4.2 (Participation in Organized Competition or Training Prior to Initial Collegiate Enrollment).**

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed information presented by the Legislation Committee regarding the legislative concept to amend Bylaw 14.2.4.2. The committee had received additional feedback from different groups, and representatives from different coaches' associations have also been requested to provide feedback regarding the concept. The guidelines used by the NCAA Division II Committee for Legislative Relief when considering an institution's request to grant relief of the application of Bylaw 14.2.4.2 were reviewed to determine whether some of the guidelines should be incorporated into the legislation. The committee directed staff to prepare a single legislative concept for the committee's review at its June in-person meeting for a possible legislative recommendation, as follows:

- (i) Expansion of the "Grace Period" to One Year. To specify that an individual who does not enroll in a collegiate institution as a full-time student within one year following the date that the individual's high school class normally graduates from high school (or the international equivalent as specified in the NCAA Guide to International Academic Standards for Athletics Eligibility) shall use one season of intercollegiate competition for each year or sports season (following that date) in which the individual has participated in organized competition. The committee believes that by expanding the grace period to one year, individuals will have the opportunity to participate in organized competition for a reasonable period of time, without compromising the original intent of the rule. In addition, the committee noted that it is often that coaches begin recruiting individuals during the first year after high school graduation; therefore, an expanded grace period would allow these individuals ample time to make a decision regarding enrollment in college without compromising their opportunity to participate in Division II athletics. Finally, the committee noted that an expansion of the grace period will balance the impact of the amended definition of organized competition [see letter (c) below].

- (ii) Date of High School Graduation. To incorporate two different interpretations that clarify the application of the organized-competition legislation to individuals who either graduate high school early or are required to repeat an entire year of high school prior to graduating. [See 10/22/01 official interpretation Item No. 1 and 02/22/06 staff action Item No. 2.] The committee noted that by including such interpretations in the legislation it will provide the membership with ease of reference to these issues.
- (iii) Definition of Organized Competition. To specify that athletics competition shall be considered organized for purposes of this rule, if any of the following conditions exist: (1) competition is scheduled in advance; (2) official score is kept; (3) individual or team standings or statistics are maintained; (4) official timer or game officials are used; (5) admission is charged; (6) teams are regularly formed or team rosters are predetermined; (7) team uniforms are used; (8) an individual or a team is privately or commercially sponsored; or (9) the competition is either directly or indirectly sponsored, promoted or administered by an individual, an organization or any other agency. The committee believes that even though this definition of organized competition might cast a wider net than the definition under the current legislation, the determining factor for triggering the rule would be frequency and level of competition, rather than compensation. It would no longer be of importance if the individual, his or her teammate(s) or other individuals participating in an event received compensation, including a salary, prize money, actual and necessary expenses, etc.
- (iv) Exception to the Academic Year in Residence. To create an exception to the year in residence requirement for a student who has attended a two-year or four-year institution for at least two full-time semesters or three full-time quarters and who has satisfactorily completed an average of at least 12 semester or 12 quarter hours of transferable degree credit for each full-time academic term of attendance at the two-year or four-year collegiate institution. The committee noted that the requirement of a year in residence for individuals who trigger the organized-competition legislation is a very important piece of the legislation, as it requires individuals to demonstrate a commitment to academics prior to being allowed to participate in intercollegiate athletics. However, the committee believes that a transfer student

should not be required to serve an academic year in residence at the certifying institution if he or she has already demonstrated that commitment by presenting the certifying institution with the required academic information.

- (v) Postgraduate College Preparatory School Exception. To eliminate the current exception to the organized-competition legislation for individuals who trigger the rule during the initial year of enrollment in a postgraduate college preparatory school. The committee believes that individuals who delay initial full-time collegiate enrollment to enroll in a postgraduate college preparatory school would not need an exception if there is an extended one-year grace period for the application of the organized-competition legislation.
- (vi) Waiver Criteria Provision. Add a provision to specify that the Committee for Legislative Relief may approve waivers of the organized-competition legislation. The waiver provision should include the waiver criteria, as well as a list of circumstances that are considered within or beyond the control of the individual or the institution. The committee directed staff to use the guidelines currently in place for the Committee for Legislative Relief to create the waiver provision. The committee believes the waiver provision would help institutions when deciding whether or not to request a waiver of the application of the organized-competition legislation.
- (vii) Notification of Organized-Competition Legislation. To add a provision to specify that a Division II institution is required to provide information regarding the organized-competition legislation to prospective student-athletes at the first practical opportunity but not later than the day prior to the student-athlete's signed acceptance of the National Letter of Intent or institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid. The committee believes that this requirement makes sense because it requires institutions to provide the information early in the recruiting process. In addition, the committee believes that such provision would reduce the instances of lack of information or misadvisement in waiver requests.

The Legislation Committee will review this concept and any additional feedback at its June 2009 in-person meeting for a possible legislative recommendation. In addition, the committee agreed to

review a concept related to discontinued collegiate enrollment in conjunction with an overall review of the post-enrollment amateurism rules in Bylaw 12 during future meetings to determine whether there are any further legislative changes that need to be made. No formal action was taken by the Council.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council reviewed the information on Bylaw 14.2.4.2 without taking any formal action.

(6) Membership Committee.

(a) Committee Referral.

Management Council. The Management Council referred the following issue to the Division II Academic Requirements Committee for possible review and action by the July meeting of the committee:

- Bylaw 14.4 (Progress-Toward-Degree)—How does the progress-toward-degree legislation apply to student-athletes who attend an institution that operates on a trimester academic calendar?

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Membership Process.

Management Council. The Management Council was informed that the deadline for schools to apply to be considered for the Division II membership process is June 1, 2009. The Membership Committee will review all applications received by the June 1 deadline at its July 7-9 meeting. Determinations at their meeting on whether an application has been accepted to enter the Division II membership process will remain confidential until the Division II Management Council reviews the information at its July 20-21 meeting. An announcement regarding those applications accepted will be made following the Management Council meeting. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(c) Former Division II Institutions that Reclassified to Division I and Wish to Return to Division II.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the committee's information concerning the process for former Divi-

sion II institutions that reclassified to Division I and now wish to return to Division II. Such an institution shall not be required to go through the membership process set forth in Bylaw 20.3; rather, an institution in this scenario shall be required to submit the following documentation for review by the committee, which verifies that the institution is in compliance with Division II legislation: (1) squad lists for all sports, including financial aid equivalency information; (2) the schedules for all sports for the upcoming championship season; (3) the results from all of the sports' immediate previous championship season; and (4) information regarding the Division II academic and amateurism certification of all student-athletes as completed by the NCAA Eligibility Center. An orientation visit should take place on the institution's campus with the president and athletics director to discuss current Division II initiatives, and an institution that returns to Division II from Division I will be classed into the ISSG schedule as determined by the committee at the time of re-entry. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(d) Process for the Audit of an Institution Failing to Meet More Than One Membership Requirement in a 10-year Period per 2009 NCAA Convention Division II Proposal No. 6.

Management Council. The Management Council noted the process by which the audit will be performed for an institution that failed to meet more than one membership requirement in a 10-year period. The process will begin with a paper review by the Membership Committee. If the Membership Committee does not receive the appropriate documentation or is not satisfied with the information provided by the institution, the committee may conduct an on-campus audit. The following documents shall be provided by an institution in the initial paper audit: (1) sports schedules with results for all sports; (2) a list of student-athletes who competed in each contest for each sport; (3) the completed sports sponsorship form signed by the conference commissioner for the academic year under review; (4) completed squad lists; (5) information regarding how the institution satisfied minimum financial aid requirements as outlined in Bylaw 20.10.1.2 (minimum awards); (6) the executive summary document that lists the total financial aid awarded to each sport; and the institution's most recent ISSG submitted by the institution per NCAA Constitution 6.3.1.2 (failure to complete self study report). An institution subject to the audit will receive notice via a letter to the chancellor or president, with an e-mail copy to the athletics director, faculty athletics representative, senior woman administrator, compliance

coordinator and conference commissioner, if applicable, following the committee's November in-person meeting. The institution shall provide the requested documentation by mid-January for a review by the committee at its February in-person meeting. The penalties will vary depending on each institution's issues, and any egregious issues identified during the audit that do not pertain to the review shall be forwarded to the enforcement staff with notice to the institution to review the issue(s) and self-report any violation(s). No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(e) Institutions Requesting a Waiver of the Two-Year Reclassification Period of a Single Sport from Division I to Division II.

Management Council. The Management Council noted that the Membership Committee had determined that an institution seeking a waiver of the two-year reclassification period per Bylaw 20.4.2.3 (reclassification period) shall be required to submit the following documentation for review by the committee: (1) squad lists for the appropriate sport, including financial aid equivalency information; (2) a schedule for the sport for the upcoming championship season; (3) the results from the sport's immediate previous championship season; and (4) information regarding the Division II academic and amateurism certification of student-athletes as completed by the Eligibility Center. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(f) Removal of the Conference Commissioner's Signature on the Signature Page of the ISSG.

Management Council. The Management Council noted that the conference commissioner's signature shall no longer be required on the ISSG signature page prior to an institution's submission of the document to the national office. The Membership Committee agreed that individual conferences may add a requirement to their conference policy to review the ISSG if they deemed it appropriate. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(g) Institutions in the Division II Membership Process.

Management Council. The Management Council noted that there are currently 12 institutions in the Division II membership process.

The five candidacy year one institutions are Cal State East Bay, Dominican (California), Lambuth, Maryville (Missouri) and Ohio Dominican. The six candidacy year two institutions are King College (TN), Lincoln University (PA), St. Thomas University (FL), University of Arkansas, Fort Smith, University of Illinois, Springfield, and Urbana University. The provisional institution is Lake Erie College (OH). No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(7) Nominating Committee.

(a) Reappointments.

Management Council. The Management Council recommended that the Presidents Council approve the following reappointments, as recommended by the Nominating Committee:

- (i) **Championships Committee: Erin Lind**, associate commissioner, Northern Sun Intercollegiate Conference.
- (ii) **Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports: James Morgan**, professor of law, California State University, Chico.
- (iii) **International-Student Records Committee: Eileen McDonough**, faculty athletics representative, Barry University.
- (iv) **High School Review Committee: Cortez Dial**, Virginia State University.
- (v) **Committee on Infractions: Bruce Kirsh**, director of athletics, Franklin Pierce College.
- (vi) **Legislation Committee: Jay Newton**, assistant director of athletics, University of Southern Indiana.
- (vii) **Committee for Legislative Relief: Eugene Hermitte**, faculty athletics representative, Johnson C. Smith University.
- (viii) **Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement: Kim Vinson**, assistant director of athletics, Cameron University.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the reappointments.

(b) Appointments.

Management Council. The Management Council recommended that the Presidents Council approve the following appointments, effective September 1 unless otherwise noted.

- (i) **Championships Committee: Robert Dranoff**, commissioner, East Coast Conference.
- (ii) **Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports: Michelle Gober**, senior woman administrator, Kutztown University of Pennsylvania.
- (iii) **Foreign-Student Records Committee. Jennifer Minke**, assistant director of admissions, Texas A&M University-Kingsville.
- (iv) **Legislation Committee: Christina Whetsel**, director of compliance and student-athlete services, Angelo State University; and **Melissa Barrett**, associate director of athletics, Belmont Abbey College.
- (v) **Membership Committee:** Immediate vacancy—**Kimberly Pate**, director of athletics, Brevard College; and September 2009 vacancy—**Brian Swanson**, director of athletics, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona.
- (vi) **Nominating Committee: Jaime Lundgren**, associate director of athletics, University of Nebraska, Kearney; and **Charles Tucker**, faculty athletics representative, Valdosta State University.
- (vii) **Olympic Sports Liaison Committee: Venera Flores-Stafford**, associate director of athletics/head volleyball coach, Midwestern State University.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the appointments, as recommended by the Management Council.

(c) Committee for Legislative Relief Preferences.

Management Council. The Management Council approved the recommendation that, when selecting individuals to serve on the Committee for Legislative Relief, preference should be given to

athletics administrators with Division II longevity who have a clear understanding of NCAA Bylaws 12 and 14.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(8) Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee.

(a) Bylaw 14.2.5.2.3 (Eligibility—Hardship Waiver—Percent Calculation—Transfer Student-Athletes.

Management Council. The Management Council approved non-controversial legislation to amend Bylaw 14.2.5.2.3 to specify that the calculation for percentage of scheduled contests or dates of competition for a transfer student-athlete may be based on the method that would be most beneficial to the student-athlete (the rule applicable to the division where the injury or illness occurred or the Division II rule).

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Use of Chiropractor Records as Medical Documentation for Hardship Waivers.

Management Council. The Management Council referred the committee's recommendation to the Legislation Committee, for further review and definition, to issue an official interpretation determining that the medical documentation required to grant a hardship waiver (Bylaw 14.2.5.2.2.) must come from a physician (medical doctor) who administered care at the time of the injury or illness. Documentation from an individual other than a physician (e.g., chiropractor, physical therapist) only may be used to support the physician's documentation. The interpretation will provide proper clarification as to what is meant by 'medical doctor' and provides notification that chiropractic records may be provided as supplemental documentation.

(c) Competition and Practice Prior to Certification of Amateur Status.

Management Council. The Management Council was provided with an update regarding cases where a student-athlete has been permitted to participate in practice and/or competition prior to receiving final amateurism certification from the NCAA Eligibility Center (Bylaw 12.1.1.1.3). The Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee reviewed the guidelines originally approved at its December 2007 meeting and reaffirmed its position that relief from a

withholding condition for a violation of this bylaw should no longer be provided beginning with the 2009-10 academic year. The committee provided relief during the 2007-08 and 2008-09 academic years with the understanding that the amateurism certification process was new and many Division II member institutions were not familiar with the process and were inadvertently allowing student-athletes to participate prior to receiving their certification decisions. However, in reaffirming its guidelines effective for the 2009-10 academic year, the committee believes the membership has had ample time to become familiar with the new amateurism certification process. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

6. NCAA CONVENTION AND LEGISLATION.

a. Presidents Council-Sponsored Proposals for the 2010 Convention.

Management Council. The Management Council recommended that the Presidents Council approve the legislative form of the proposed legislation for the 2010 Convention.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the legislative form of the proposals, as recommended by the Management Council.

b. Noncontroversial Legislation per Constitution 5.3.1.1.1.

Management Council. The Management Council approved the legislative form of the noncontroversial proposals, already approved in concept by the Council.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

c. Administrative Regulations.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the legislative form of the administrative regulations, which had already been approved. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

d. Modification of Wording to Conform to the Intent.

Management Council. The Management Council approved the legislative form of the modification of wording proposals, previously approved in concept by the Council.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

e. Inclusion of Proposals into the Division II Manual.

Management Council. The Management Council agreed to recommend that the Presidents Council approve the inclusion into the Manual the legislative proposals approved in legislative form and in concept that are considered the “running supplements” of noncontroversial, administrative regulations, incorporations and modifications based on intent.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the recommendation.

7. MANAGEMENT COUNCIL ELECTIONS.

a. Election for Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association Representative.

Management Council. The Management Council recommended that the Presidents Council ratify the appointment of Robert Boerigter, director of athletics, Northwest Missouri State University, as the new Management Council representative from the Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association. Mr. Boerigter will complete the term of office for Sallie Beard (through January 2010) and then serve a full four-year term of office from January 2010 through January 2014.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council ratified the appointment.

b. Vice Chair of Management Council.

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the current duties of the vice chair of the Management Council and engaged in a discussion as to how to structure the position to best facilitate the work of the various sports commit-

tees and the Division II Championships Committee with that of the Management Council. With the current vice chair's term ending August 31, it was the consensus of the Council that the issues should be referred to the Championships Committee for discussion at their next meeting. The Management Council will review the recommendation that comes from the Championships Committee and make a decision as to how the position should be structured during its July meeting. The election for the new vice chair will take place at the same meeting, with an effective date of September 1.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

8. *DIVISION II PROJECT TEAMS.*

a. *Convention Planning Project Team.*

Management Council. The Management Council reviewed the report of the project team. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council engaged in a short preliminary discussion about the format for the 2010 Convention. It was decided that the Council will take some time to discuss the general focus for the Division II programming and potential keynote speakers during a future teleconference. No action was taken.

b. *Management Council Identity Subcommittee.*

Management Council. The Management Council was updated on the early morning meeting of the Identity Subcommittee. Among new items presented to the Council was the translation of the Division II strategic positioning platform into Spanish. No formal action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

9. *BYLAW 17—DIVISION II PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND CHAMPIONSHIPS POLICIES.*

Management Council. The Management Council engaged in roundtable discussions on aligning the division's playing and practice seasons regulations with the Division II strategic positioning platform. The Council agreed that the discussion must be strategic, rather than economically driven, and that proposed changes should be thoroughly vetted by the governance structure and other groups (e.g., coaches associations, Division II Athletics Directors Association, Division II Conference Commissioners Association) to ensure meaningful input.

As an outcome of the discussions, the Council began to identify those areas of emphasis for the 2010 NCAA Convention, which included a later start time for fall sports; a “dead period” for winter sports; a reduction in the number of contests in baseball and softball; and a possible later start time for spring sports.

- a. Preseason Start Time for Fall Sports.** A possible change in the start of the pre-season practice period for fall sports would have a direct impact on easing the financial burden that comes with having to house and feed student-athletes prior to the rest of the general student body arriving on campus for the start of classes.

Based on feedback received from the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sport, the current length of the preseason practice period is important to prepare student-athletes for the rigors of the competitive segment of their sport. Thus, the Management Council recommended maintaining the current length of the preseason practice period and moving the permissible start date for competition in the fall by one week later. By maintaining the first permissible start date for practice (which is 17 days prior to the first permissible start date for competition) and moving the start date for competition by one week, a review on a sport-by-sport basis is necessary to determine whether either shortening the overall season by one week or moving the start dates for championships by one week is appropriate.

Initially, the Management Council members noted that they did not want to reduce the overall number of football games because of the sport’s financial benefits (e.g., gate receipts, guarantees) for member schools, though they would consider a later championship if television could accommodate it. Additional comments included whether a delayed season for fall sports would overlap the start of winter sports and, thus, create facility pressures on campus.

Legislative Changes:

Sports Considered— Men’s and Women’s Cross Country
Field Hockey
Football
Men’s and Women’s Soccer
Women’s Volleyball

- Permissible start date for practice would remain at 17 days or 21 days (for football) prior to the first permissible contest date.
- First permissible contest date would change to the Thursday preceding September 6 (current legislation is the Thursday preceding August 30).

Additional considerations include reducing the length of the season by one week or moving the start dates for championships one week later. If the length of the season is reduced by one week (to accommodate the later start time for competi-

tion and practice and not moving the championships dates), then consideration must be given to the current permissible number of contests and whether a reduction is necessary.

- b. **“Dead Period” for Winter Sports.** The Management Council received a concept developed by the National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC) that would impose a “dead period” on practice and competition during several days of the winter break. The dates proposed by the NABC were December 20 through December 27. This “dead period” is proposed rather than reducing the overall number of contests.

Overall, the Council liked the concept and felt the concept could be applied to all winter sports (basketball, swimming and diving and wrestling) and could include a window of time (e.g., December 20 to January 1) to permit schools to select their own seven consecutive day period within the window of days.

- c. **Start Time for Spring Sports and Number of Contests in Baseball and Softball.** The Management Council considered whether the start date for competition for all spring sports should be the second Thursday in February, rather than February 1 (which is the current start date).

Further, regardless of whether the start date for competition is changed, the Council agreed that a reduction in the permissible number of contests for baseball and softball is warranted. The reduction should be considered separately for each sport, as the sports are not the same. The Council agreed to start with a possible reduction in baseball from 56 to 50 contests and for softball, eliminating the tournament exception. By eliminating the tournament exception in softball, each contest played would count in the overall limit of 56 contests.

The Management Council noted that this is a critical issue for the division, and the next several months will be important in order to gather feedback and input from the membership. The ideas noted represent those concepts that deal directly with Bylaw 17 and playing and practice seasons and could serve as legislative proposals to be voted on by the Division II membership at the 2010 NCAA Convention.

Further, the Council noted the need to continue to focus on championships policies with an eye toward aligning championships policies with the strategic positioning platform and with the foresight of establishing better business practices. The Council agreed to continue these discussions at its July meeting.

Presidents Council. At its Wednesday evening meeting with Division II conference board chairs, the Presidents Council began deliberations on how playing and practice seasons and championships policies can be better brought into alignment with the Division II strategic positioning platform and, at the same time, develop better business practices to assist with institutional athletics department budgets.

The presidents agreed with the themes that emerged from the Management Council (e.g., later start date for fall sports, a possible “dead period” for winter sports and reductions in the number of contests for baseball and softball and a later start time for spring sports), as the areas directly affect the strategic positioning of the division, but encouraged continued long-term more comprehensive discussions about how the division can align its playing and practice season regulations and championships policies with the division’s platform theme of “Life in the Balance.”

The Council noted that recommendations will be made this summer for the Presidents Council to sponsor a set of legislative proposals for the 2010 Convention. However, the Presidents Council and the conference board chairs agreed to continue their discussions at the NCAA Convention and the June 2010 Division II Chancellors and Presidents Summit.

10. *DIVISION II MANAGEMENT COUNCIL.*

- a. Management Council Project Team/Committee Assignments.** The Management Council reviewed the committee/project team assignments, noting that Sallie Beard's assignments will be open for consideration by Council members. No action was taken.
- b. Appeal of Legislation Committee decision per Constitution 5.4.1.2.1.3.** The Management Council considered an appeal of Constitution 5.4.1.2.1.3 and upheld an interpretation by the Division II Legislation Committee, which confirmed that participation while enrolled in a Collège d'Enseignement Général et Professionnel (CEGEP) program after completion of the prescribed academic path of a prospective student-athlete's home country would not be considered a continuation of secondary school. The prospective student-athlete is not granted relief through the postgraduate college preparatory school exception, as noted in Bylaw 14.2.4.2.7.4. [References: Bylaws 14.2.4.2 (organized competition), 14.2.4.2.3 (activities constituting use of a season) and 14.2.4.2.3.4 (postgraduate college preparatory school exception)]. The interpretation was reinforced at the March 2009 NCAA International Student Records Committee meeting, which determined that there is no evidence that the postgraduate college preparatory program model exists outside the United States; therefore, the postgraduate college preparatory exception should not apply to secondary educational systems in countries outside the United States.
- c. Management Council Topics for July Management Council/SAAC Summit.** The Management Council discussed ideas for topics for the summer Management Council/Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Summit, which included issues surrounding: Bylaw 17; gambling; alcoholism and tobacco. No action was taken.

- d. **Division II Strategic Alliance Matching Grant Enhancement Program.** The Management Council received an update on the SAMGEP and its recipients for 2009. No action was taken.
- e. **Management Council Recognition.** The Management Council recognized the outstanding service of Sallie Beard, director of athletics, Missouri Southern State University. Sallie is retiring from Missouri Southern at the end of the academic year.

11. DIVISION II PRESIDENTS COUNCIL.

- a. **Vice President's Report.** The vice president for Division II updated the Council on the travel contingency plan that was implemented for men's basketball this year; the 2010 presidents and chancellors summit; and the 2009 Rotary Bowl. The vice president also distributed a version of the Division II strategic positioning platform, which was translated into Spanish, to the Presidents Council. After discussion, the Presidents Council determined that it would like to begin formulating plans for the Presidents Council to look into the feasibility of conducting a meeting in October between the Division II Presidents Council and presidents/chancellors of Hispanic-serving institutions to discuss other ways the division can assist in making their Division II membership more meaningful and useful to their students. An update will follow on this issue.
- b. **Region 2 Election.** The Presidents Council elected Dorothy Leland, president, Georgia College and State University, to replace Tom Harden as a Region 2 representative on the Council, effective immediately. Dr. Leland will complete the remainder of Dr. Harden's term of office and then serve an additional four-year term of office, from January 2010 to January 2014.
- c. **Vice Chair Election.** The Presidents Council elected, by acclamation, Beverley Pitts, University of Indianapolis, to serve a second year as vice chair of the Council. Dr. Pitts' term will conclude August 31, 2010.

12. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT INITIATIVE.

a. April Community Engagement Workshop.

Management Council. The Management Council received an update on the April Community Engagement Workshop, which was held in Philadelphia April 16-17. It was noted that this was the first community engagement workshop that allowed for participation from persons, who were not either directors of athletics or commissioners. No formal action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received an update on the April workshop. No action was taken.

b. Community Engagement Award of Excellence Winners.

Management Council. The Management Council noted that Kutztown University of Pennsylvania was this year's winner of the Community Engagement Award of Excellence for its "Paint the Town Gold" activity. Representatives of the university attended the workshop and were presented with an award. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received the information on the Community Engagement Award of Excellence. No action was taken.

13. NATIONAL OFFICE STAFF UPDATES.

a. Game Environment Recognition Banners.

Management Council. The Management Council was updated on the status of the game environment recognition banners and those schools that have already qualified for them. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

b. Division II Purchasing Web site.

Management Council. The Management Council received an update on those Division II institutions and conferences that have made purchases on the Web site, taking advantage of the \$1,000 credit available until June 30. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received the purchasing Web site report. No action was taken.

c. Report from Office of Executive Vice President, Membership and Student-Athlete Affairs.

Management Council. The Management Council received a report from the director of membership and student-athlete affairs on 1) the collaborative efforts between the NCAA and the NAIA to establish a programmatic relationship; and 2) the audit of gender and diversity programs, which includes the review of 19 programs with a budget over \$6,000,000. A draft report is due to the group in July.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received a report from the executive vice president and director of membership and student-athlete affairs, which included: 1) an update on a sports wagering policy; 2) an update on the collaborative partnership between the NCAA and the NAIA; and 3) a preview of the Executive Committee meeting later that afternoon. [See Page No. 33, Item No. 14 for a full explanation of actions taken related to the sports wagering policy.]

d. Litigation Update.

Management Council. The Management Council received a written report from the office of the legal counsel for the NCAA.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council received an update from NCAA legal counsel on current issues. No action was taken.

14. OTHER BUSINESS.

• Sports Wagering Policy.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council discussed an NCAA sport wagering policy for championships that Division I and Division III have already approved. The Presidents Council approved the following policy for Division II effective June 1, which states:

"No session of an NCAA championship may be conducted in a metropolitan area with legal wagering that is based upon the outcome of any event (i.e., high school, college or professional) in a sport in which the NCAA conducts a championship."

15. MEETING RECAP/TAKEAWAYS.

Management Council. The Management Council was encouraged to report information on the following issues/topics to their member constituents: a) Bylaw 17 (Playing and Practice Seasons); b) Bylaw 14.2.4.2 (Participation in Organization Competition or Training Prior to Initial Collegiate Enrollment); c) Division II Purchasing Web site; d) Interpretation Related to Participation in Organized Competition or Training Prior to Initial

Collegiate Enrollment—Activities Constituting Use of Season—Exception—Postgraduate College Preparatory School Exception; e) game environment recognition banners; f) Relief related to Bylaw 14.2.4.2 (Participation in Organized Competition or Training Prior to Initial Collegiate Enrollment); g) Bylaw 12.1.1.1.3 (Eligibility for Practice and Competition—Participation Before Certification—Recruited and Nonrecruited Student-Athlete); and h) Division II membership process.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

16. FUTURE MEETINGS.

Management Council. The Management Council noted the upcoming meetings for the rest of 2009 and 2010. No action was taken.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council noted the upcoming meetings for the rest of 2009 and 2010, specifically the Presidents/Chancellors Summit June 18-19, 2010. No action was taken.

17. ADJOURNMENT.

Management Council. The meeting adjourned at 11:52 a.m. Tuesday, April 21.

Presidents Council. The meeting adjourned at 12:25 p.m. Thursday, April 30.

Minutes of the Division II Presidents Council Teleconference

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
June 2, 2009

PARTICIPANTS:

Mickey Burnim, Bowie State University
Philip Gerbino, University of the Sciences in Philadelphia
Joseph P. Grunenwald, Clarion University of Pennsylvania
Stephen Jordan, Metropolitan State College of Denver, *chair*
Dorothy Leland, Georgia College and State University
Carolyn Mahoney, Lincoln University (Missouri)
Ernest McNealey, Stillman College
Nancy Moody, Tusculum College
Cheryl Norton, Southern Connecticut State College
Pat O'Brien, West Texas A&M University
Judith Ramaley, Winona State University
David Rankin, Southern Arkansas University
Hamid Shirvani, California State University, Stanislaus

OTHER PARTICIPANTS:

Dianne Harrison, president, California State University Monterey Bay

NCAA STAFF PARTICIPATING:

Gary Brown, Mike Racy, and Ruth Reinhardt (*recording secretary*).

NOT PARTICIPATING:

Drew Bogner, Molloy College
Beverley Pitts, University of Indianapolis, *vice chair*
Tim Selgo, Grand Valley State University, *Management Council chair*

[Note: While certain items on the Presidents Council's agenda were acted upon by the Presidents Council at various times throughout the meeting, all final actions within a given topic are combined in these minutes for convenience of reference. Also, these minutes reflect only actions (formal votes or "sense of meeting"), in accordance with the established policy governing minutes of all NCAA entities. The only discussion included is that ordered by the chair or a member of the group.]

The teleconference convened at 1:03 p.m. Eastern time with a quorum of 13 of the 15 Presidents Council representatives.

1. Recommendation Concerning Composition of the Division II Presidents Council and Division II Planning and Finance Committee. Dr. Jordan welcomed and introduced Dianne Harrison, president of California State University Monterey Bay, who was participating in the call to represent the California Collegiate Athletic Association (CCAA). The CCAA had submitted a proposal to the Presidents Council in April to consider amending the legislation for composition of the Division II Presidents Council and the Division II Planning and Finance Committee, as follows:

- to amend legislation to require the Division II Presidents Council and the Division II Planning and Finance Committee be composed of one representative from each of the eight geographic regions, according to the Division II championships regionalization model.

Currently, Constitution 4.3.1 states that the composition of the Presidents Council shall be based on a weighted regional representation by institutions that include one president or chancellor per region for every 22 institutions in that region. In addition, two "at-large" positions shall exist to enhance efforts to achieve diversity of representation and to accommodate independent institutions.

Currently, Constitution 4.12.1 states that the composition of the Planning and Finance Committee shall consist of seven members, including the vice-chair of the Presidents Council, the vice-chair of the Management Council, three additional members of the Presidents Council and two additional members of the Management council. The chairs of the Presidents Council and the Management Council shall serve as ex officio, nonvoting members.

The Presidents Council discussed the proposal and the concerns of those in the West region and, specifically, California. It was the consensus of the Council that it is important that Division II retain its proportional weighted representation based on geographic location of its member institutions. The Presidents Council asked that the two representatives from California think about specific recommendations that the California Collegiate Athletic Association would like the Championships Committee and the Presidents Council to consider, specific to their circumstances, and bring those recommendations forward at a future meeting. No formal action was taken by the Council.

[Note that Dianne Harrison excused herself from the meeting at 1:28 p.m. The Presidents Council continued with the remainder of its business.]

2. 2010 NCAA Convention Schedule and Program. The Presidents Council engaged in a discussion related to the overall theme, schedule and possible keynote speaker for the 2010 Convention. The Council agreed that the Division II portion of the Convention

needed to be presented to the membership based on an overall theme. It was the consensus that a keynote speaker, one who has first-hand knowledge of Division II and a commitment to athletics, community and education, should be obtained for the Friday early morning session. That session should tie in to the overall topic that will then be discussed during the chancellors and presidents meeting immediately following. No specific suggestions were presented by the Council. It was decided that the topic should be a part of the agenda for the August 6, 2009, Presidents Council meeting.

There being no further business to be brought before the Council, the meeting adjourned at 2 p.m. Eastern time.

MINUTES OF THE
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

NCAA National Office
Indianapolis, Indiana

April 30, 2009

Participants:

Michael Adams, University of Georgia, chair
Charles Bantz, Indiana Univ.-Purdue Univ. at Indianapolis
James Barker, Clemson University
Damon Evans, Atlantic 10 Conference
Bruce Grube, Georgia Southern University
James Harris, Widener University
Stephen Jordan, Metropolitan State College of Denver
Robert Kustra, Boise State University
Lynn Oberbillig, Smith College
Harvey Perlman, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
John Peters, Northern Illinois University
Beverley Pitts, University of Indianapolis
Shirley Raines, University of Memphis
Edward Ray, Oregon State University
Tim Selgo, Grand Valley State University
Paul Tribble, Christopher Newport University
Myles Brand, NCAA
Bernard Franklin, NCAA
Delise O'Meally, NCAA, recording secretary

Anthony DiGiorgio, Winthrop University; Thomas Powell, Mount St. Mary's University, and Nancy Zimpher, University of Cincinnati, were not able to participate.

Also in attendance were: Gary Brown, director of NCAA News; Elsa Cole, vice president of legal affairs/general counsel; Joni Comstock, senior vice president of championships/senior woman administrator; Dennis Cryder, senior vice president of branding and communications; Greg Shaheen, senior vice president of basketball and business strategies; Jim Isch, senior vice president of administration/chief financial officer; Kevin Lennon, vice president of academic and membership affairs; Keith Martin, managing director of finance and operations; Rachel Newman, director of agents, gambling and amateurism; Wallace Renfro, vice president and senior advisor to the president; Robert Vowels, vice president of educational affairs;

Charlotte Westerhaus, vice president of diversity and inclusion; Bob Williams, managing director of public and media relations; David Berst, Daniel Dutcher and Mike Racy, NCAA governance vice presidents; and Beth DeBauche, Leah Kareti, and Terri Steeb, NCAA governance directors.

[Note: These minutes contain only actions taken (formal votes or stated "sense of the meeting") in accordance with NCAA policy regarding minutes of all Association entities. While certain items on the Committee's agenda were acted on at various times throughout the meeting, all final actions within a given topic are combined in these minutes for convenience of reference.]

The meeting was called to order at 3:38 p.m. by the chair, President Adams. All members were present as noted above.

1. Welcome and announcements. Adams welcomed all attendees and specifically welcomed James Harris, president of the Widener University and the incoming vice-chair of the Division III Presidents Council. Also, Adams presented Bruce Grube with an award for his service to the Executive Committee.

2. Approval of January 17, 2009, meeting minutes.

It was VOTED

"To approve the Executive Committee minutes of the January 17, 2009, meeting as distributed."

3. NCAA President's report. President Brand provided updates, discussed a series of initiatives and sought Executive Committee input.
 - a. Championships issue. Brand called on Newman to provide an overview of an NCAA policy that precludes the hosting of championship events in metropolitan areas where sports wagering occurs. Newman noted that the policy originally applied only to Division I men's basketball but was later expanded to include other sports and other divisions. The policy currently makes no distinction between predetermined and nonpredetermined championship sites. Additionally, it was noted that the policy speaks to a "metropolitan area" within a state that conducts sports wagering. The Committee agreed to refer the policy to the divisional championships committees and cabinets, as well as the NCAA

Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct Committee, for further discussion and recommended clarification on the predetermined/nonpredetermined issue, as well as the “metropolitan area” language.

- b. NCAA pandemic plan. Shaheen provided an update on the NCAA’s pandemic preparedness plan. Shaheen noted that the national office is closely monitoring the H1N1 flu outbreak and any impact the illness could have on spring championships and overall operations. Shaheen noted that the national office receives regular updates from federal agencies and is in communication with host institutions and conferences regarding ongoing planning. At this point, no changes are expected for spring championships but, depending on the progression of the outbreak, adjustments could be made.
- c. NCAA conflict-of-interest policy. O’Meally provided an update on revisions to the NCAA conflict of interest policy. O’Meally noted that, while the revisions do not change the substance of the document, they clarify the unique responsibility associated with committee service within a membership organization and, specifically, the representative capacity in which committee members sit.

It was VOTED

“To approve the revised conflict of interest statement.”

- d. Update on new membership initiatives. Franklin provided an update on ongoing discussions with the National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics (NAIA) related to possible collaboration between both associations. Franklin noted that the NAIA recently adopted legislation to establish an eligibility center to be operated by a third party. This action opens the door for a possible programmatic relationship between the NAIA and the NCAA Eligibility Center if an appropriate business plan can be developed. The Committee agreed to appoint a working group of presidents representing all three NCAA divisions to work with a similar group of presidents from NAIA institutions to consider future collaboration opportunities.
- e. Report from the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports. Brand called on Vowels to review the report from the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports. Vowels noted that the report included final results of the 2007-08 NCAA drug testing in championships events for all divisions, as well as year-round testing in Divisions I and II. Vowels noted a slight increase in positive tests for anabolic agents; however, the lab professionals believe this increase may be explained by advances in laboratory technologies, with analytical screening becoming more sensitive and

better able to detect. He also noted that future discussions will include possibilities for testing human growth hormone.

It was VOTED

“To approve the publication of the 2007-08 NCAA drug-testing results.”

4. NCAA Executive Committee Finance Committee report.

- a. Second quarter FY 2008-09 budget-to-actual. The Finance Committee reviewed the second quarter budget-to-actual statement and is satisfied that the Association is on target with its revenues and expenses. The second quarter budget reflects the \$4.7 million budget reductions approved by the Executive Committee in January. The Association is on target to meet the savings goal.
- b. Projected FY 2009-10 revenues and expenditures. The NCAA operates on a biennial budget process where programmatic increases are approved for a two-year period. This is the off-year of the biennium, and the recommended budget adjustments will maintain current programming levels and provide for the already agreed-upon divisional distributions.
- c. FY 2009-10 maintenance budget requests. The Finance Committee recommended the following Fiscal Year 2009-10 budget initiatives to maintain current program levels. Considering the difficult financial times, the Committee took a very conservative posture with respect to allocating additional maintenance dollars for the upcoming fiscal year.
 - (1) Modest increases on general services and operations expenditures, such as consulting services; building management; overhead and utilities; drug testing; travel and insurance. Many of these increases are contractual in nature or are unavoidable.
 - (2) A two percent merit adjustment and an additional 0.5 percent for equity adjustments for staff. The Finance Committee unanimously decided to give Brand with the authority to provide up to the requested amount if projected revenues appeared to be stable for the upcoming year.
 - (3) Five hundred thousand dollars for research and assistance with the possible transition to a different media and marketing rights contract. These funds will only be expended as the process is undertaken.

- (4) Funding to suspend dues for a one-year period and provide temporary reserve funds that will be distributed in accordance with the Association's year-end unallocated resources policies should the resources not be used.

It was VOTED

"To approve the fiscal year 2009-10 maintenance budget requests."

- d. Cost savings. In January 2009, the national office implemented processes to save a minimum of \$4 million from its FY 2008-09 operating budget. The savings represent an approximate three percent reduction of its operating budget. While only three months have passed since implementation, staff reports substantial progress has been made toward achieving the savings targets. Moreover, staff believes these savings can be achieved and, ultimately, money can be returned to the membership.
- e. Committee meeting policy. The Committee recommends that, as a first option, all NCAA meetings be held in Indianapolis. When fully implemented, the policy will save the Association approximately \$500,000 annually. Additionally, the NCAA national office will be reducing the number of staff traveling to out-of-town meetings, including committee meetings. These savings would be above and beyond what has already been discussed in Supplement No. 7 and will allow the national office to maximize its human and technology resources.

It was VOTED

"To approve the proposed meeting policy for holding committee meetings in Indianapolis.

Request: The Executive Committee Finance Committee recommends that, as a first option, all NCAA meetings will be held in Indianapolis. The change in policy will help enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of NCAA operations. Furthermore, the adoption of the policy will save the Association approximately \$500,000 annually when fully implemented.

Rationale: Indianapolis is centrally located and has less expensive airline and hotel costs compared to other meeting locations. Moreover, the opportunity for meeting participants to access the full array of NCAA staff and services makes Indianapolis an attractive location for an efficient and effective meeting. The table below provides a summary of the estimated cost saving.

Committee and Staff Hotel	\$ 230,000
Committee and Staff Airfare	\$ 235,000
Staff Meals	\$ 50,000

TOTAL **\$ 515,000**

“Estimated savings for committee lodging are based on Indianapolis hotel expenses averaging 12 percent less per night than hotels in other cities. Estimated savings for committee air travel are based on flights to or from Indianapolis being 10 percent less than flights versus other meeting locations. Estimated savings for staff expenses are based on actual staff costs for the 2008 fiscal year that could be eliminated as a result of meetings being local. In addition, the national office can leverage their meeting space and other services, such as technology, that are more cost efficient if meetings are held in the national office.

“Policy: As a first option, all NCAA meetings will be held in Indianapolis. The change in policy will enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of Association operations.

“There will be a limited number of exceptions to the policy. For instance, all meetings that have a signed contract for a property outside of Indianapolis will be honored. Moreover, a request for an exception may be submitted when lodging rooms and meeting space are not available in Indianapolis or when meeting specifications indicate that a meeting can be conducted more efficiently and cost effective at a location other than Indianapolis.

“Request for exceptions to the policy should be submitted to the senior or executive vice president with budget responsibility for the committee. If approved by the senior or executive vice president, the approval shall be forwarded to the travel staff, along with the request rationale and the estimated meeting cost. Should the meeting outside of Indianapolis be approved, the committee liaison will provide Short’s Travel with at least three options to determine the property and city that best meets cost-savings goals and the requirements of the meeting. Short’s Travel will work with the liaison to determine the ultimate meeting location.”

5. NCAA Division I Board of Directors and Divisions II and III Presidents Councils reports.
 - a. Division I Board of Directors. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division I Board of Directors that included the following:

- (1) Charged the Division I governance structure with examining its operational bylaws and policies to identify possible cost-savings initiatives.
 - (2) Received presentations on the following topics:
 - (a) Youth Basketball Initiative. The new chief executive officer of the Youth Basketball Initiative, Kevin Weiberg, provided an update on this joint NCAA/NBA initiative. The Board noted the importance of the relationship between this initiative and the work of the Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group.
 - (b) NCAA Bundled Rights Agreement. Shaheen led a discussion regarding the NCAA Bundled Rights Agreement.
 - (c) Empirical effects of intercollegiate athletics. Mark Israel, senior vice president at Compass Lexecon, LLC, and an NCAA economic consultant, presented on the empirical effects of intercollegiate athletics.
 - (d) NCAA financial dashboard indicators. Isch provided an update on the NCAA financial dashboard indicators program. He also discussed the national office cost-savings initiatives.
 - (3) Division I Committee on Academic Performance (CAP). Received a report from CAP and learned more about efforts to develop a coaches' rate portfolio. Additionally, the Board received a data presentation about recent Academic Performance Rate trends, which generally reflected good news regarding the academic performance of Division I student-athletes.
 - (4) Division I Legislative Council. Received a report from the April 20 meeting of the Legislative Council, which reflected the legislative actions taken by the body, and the Board offered no objection to the Legislative Council's actions.
 - (5) Division I Leadership Council. Asked the Leadership Council to thoroughly vet the issue of the level of appropriate representation in the governance structure of faculty to help ensure the academic view is heard throughout the structure.
- b. Division II Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division II Presidents Council that included the following:
- (1) Sports wagering policy. Discussed the NCAA's sports wagering policy that Divisions I and III previously approved. After deliberate discussions

and consideration of the alternatives, the Presidents Council agreed to recommend the following policy for Division II effective June 1, which states:

“No session of an NCAA championship may be conducted in a metropolitan area with legal wagering that is based upon the outcome of any event (i.e., high school, college or professional) in a sport in which the NCAA conducts a championship.”

- (2) Presidents Council election. Elected Dorothy Leland, Georgia College and State University, as its newest representative from Region 2. President Leland will complete the rest of Tom Harden's term of office, which would have ended in January 2010, and serve an additional four-year term of office.
- (3) Division II Strategic Positioning Platform. Spanish-speaking members of the Division II Management Council and the NCAA staff have collaborated on the translation of the Division II Strategic Positioning Platform into Spanish. The division is in the process of having the platform reproduced and made available to member schools in Puerto Rico, as well as others in the United States that customarily serve those whose primary language is Spanish. Additionally, Division II is looking into the feasibility of conducting a meeting in October between the Division II Presidents Council and presidents/chancellors of Hispanic-serving institutions to discuss other ways the division can assist in making their Division II membership more meaningful and useful to their students.
- (4) NCAA Bylaw 17 (playing seasons). At its Wednesday evening meeting with Division II conference board chairs, the Presidents Council began deliberations on how Bylaw 17 can be better brought into alignment with the Division II strategic platform and, at the same time, develop better business practices to assist with institutional athletics department budgets. The Division II governance committees have begun their review of this bylaw, beginning with those areas that directly affect the strategic positioning of the division and are easily adaptable to change. Those issues that are more complex in nature will be scrutinized and adjusted for membership consideration at the 2011 NCAA Convention. All of these measures are aimed at allowing member institutions to help student-athletes live out the fundamental principle of Division II – balance. The division also will continue discussions this summer about travel policies and administrative costs for NCAA Division II championships.

- (5) Central Washington softball video. Shared a video about three Division II student-athletes whose actions reflected the spirit of sportsmanship, respect, civility and honor that transcends intercollegiate athletics.
- c. Division III Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division III Presidents Council. These included:
 - (1) New members. Welcomed three new members to their first meeting: Ron Thomas from the University of Puget Sound, Jim Schmotter from Western Connecticut State University and Ken Baker from Ohio Northern University.
 - (2) 2009-10 budget. Approved recommendations from the Division III Strategic Planning and Finance Committee for inflationary adjustments and reallocations for the 2009-10 budget, highlighted by an inflationary increase to championships per diem and the allocation of funds to begin the Division III Identity strategic communication effort.
 - (3) Membership requirements and penalties. Agreed to place six institutions on probation for failure to meet conditions and obligations of membership. The Council asked the Division III Membership Committee to review the 10-year probationary period for the violation of membership requirements and develop a new sliding scale of two to five years, with greater flexibility to address mitigating circumstances. Changes to the penalty structure would be applied retroactively for any institutions currently on probation.
 - (4) Summary of financial aid reporting process. Received results of the first four years of the financial aid reporting process. In the reporting period including 2005-06 to 2008-09, 104 institutions underwent a comprehensive review of financial aid policies and outcomes, and 47 institutions were forwarded to enforcement services based on the Financial Aid Committee's conclusion of a financial aid violation. The vast majority of cases were deemed to be secondary violations by the enforcement services staff, but a handful of cases are under review as potential major violations.
 - (5) White papers and strategic considerations. Engaged in a series of strategic conversations based on recommendations in the Division III white papers issued last fall in response to membership growth. The Council focused on the following three issues:
 - (a) Presidential leadership. The Council endorsed, in concept, a series of recommendations that would allow it, and the broader community of Division III presidents, to focus on more strategic issues,

while empowering the Management Council to assume more leadership related to operational and administrative issues. The Council will review these concepts in August with the Presidents Advisory Group. The proposals are targeted for a membership vote at the 2011 Convention.

- (b) Philosophy and identity of Division III. Division III is engaged in a comprehensive initiative to better articulate its identity, focusing on both the campus community and external constituents. The Council received a presentation on the preliminary findings of 61 interviews conducted by NCAA consultant Jeff Jacobs. The Council also conducted a valuable round table exercise to test some of those findings. The next phase of the effort is a series of surveys to be conducted in May and June. Summary findings and a communications platform will be shared with the Presidents Advisory Group in August, and an activation plan will be developed this fall. The Council intends to formally unveil its work at the 2010 Convention.
- (c) Academic considerations. The Presidents Council approved the establishment of an academic reporting pilot related to student-athlete graduation rates. The division's philosophy statement calls for student-athlete academic performance to meet or exceed that of the overall student body, but the term "academic success" has not been defined nor have measures for success been established. Division III institutions are not required to calculate or submit separate student-athlete graduation rates because they do not award athletics aid. The pilot will use data for the general student body, which already is collected for federal graduation-rate calculations, and determine the additional burden, if any, of creating separate student-athlete rates. The end goal will not be punitive – the intention is not to establish minimum graduation rates or specific eligibility standards for the division or establish related penalties. Instead, the goal is to ensure that this information is available and relevant on each campus. The pilot was approved for 2010-11, but staff will explore whether implementation in 2009-10 is feasible.

- 6. NCAA Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues. The Committee received the subcommittee's report, which included updates from the NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics and the NCAA Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee. The subcommittee also heard a report on the Association's diversity awards program and discussed how to use the award to create greater awareness by presidents

and chancellors. The subcommittee received an overview of a research study designed to measure career aspirations and perceptions of careers in intercollegiate athletics among females. The study, Gender Equity in College Coaching and Administration – Perceived Barriers (Barriers 2007-08), seeks to outline the factors that continue to influence women's careers, motivate women to seek careers in intercollegiate athletics, and to identify potential obstacles institutions may face in recruiting and retaining women in coaching, administrative and officiating positions. The subcommittee will continue to discuss this issue at its next meeting.

7. NCAA Executive Committee Administrative Subcommittee. The Committee received a report on actions taken by the Administrative Subcommittee during its recent teleconference. It was noted that the Administrative Subcommittee adopted a resolution to extend the term of Adams as chair of the Executive Committee through April 2010.
8. Executive session. The Committee convened a brief executive session to discuss employment matters.
9. Future meetings. The Committee reviewed its future meetings schedule.
10. Adjournment. Adams adjourned the meeting at 5:10 p.m.

#

**INTERIM ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE DIVISION II ADMINISTRATIVE
COMMITTEE VIA E-MAIL**

On April 30, an e-mail was sent to Division II Administrative Committee members asking for their approval of a waiver of NCAA Bylaw 31.2.1.3 (deadline waivers) requested by Carson Newman College. Carson Newman College added the sport of women's golf for the 2008-09 academic year; however when they submitted their sports-sponsorship report to the NCAA, data regarding the addition of women's gold was included in the total number of equivalencies section, but was omitted from the sport sponsorship listing.

Since the college did not notice the omission until after the March 1 deadline for spring sports, it asked the Administrative Committee to grant a waiver.

The Administrative Committee unanimously granted the waiver.

NCAA DIVISION II

VISION STATEMENT

*(as approved by the Division II
Presidents Council--April 2009)*

Through a shared effort, Division II seeks to be a membership destination within the NCAA--a destination where intercollegiate athletics supports the mission of higher education by striking a balance among athletics competition, academic excellence and social growth while its colleges and universities prepare student-athletes to thrive in their lives and careers.

Division II Priorities for 2009



Activation of the Strategic Positioning Platform and Implementation of the Strategic Plan. Over the last year, the membership has activated strategic positioning at the local, conference and national levels. To continue activation, a new purchasing Web site and membership credit will be announced at the 2009 NCAA Convention. Additionally, the membership continues to align its initiatives, tools and resources with the positioning platform. By aligning key initiatives, the Division II Presidents Council and Management Council worked with a Strategic Planning Advisory Group to create a strategic plan for the division for 2009-2012. The plan will be released to the Division II membership at the 2009 NCAA Convention. The plan includes five overarching goals and strategic funding initiatives: 1) Academics and Life skills; 2) Athletics Operations and Compliance; 3) Game Day and Conference and National Championships; 4) Membership and Positioning Initiatives; and 5) Diversity and Inclusion. Over the course of the year, committees and associations will begin work to implement the plan to accomplish the goals and priorities as established. Major programs for the division in these areas in 2009 include:

1. Academics and Life skills.

Academic Performance Census (APC) Data. At the 2008 NCAA Convention, the membership adopted Proposal No. 10, which requires an institution, on an annual basis, to submit term-by-term academic data (e.g., credits, grade-point average) on its student-athletes. The first set of required data submission included 2006 and 2007 entering cohort information. The Academic Requirements Committee, working with the Presidents Council, will begin to review the data and determine methods for analyzing the data to assist with eligibility decisions and requirements.

Life Skills Programming. In 2008, a model life skills resource was released to the Division II membership. This comprehensive tool is designed to provide suggestions, ideas and examples for creating and/or enhancing a life skills program on campuses. Over the year, Division II will look to boost partnerships and the integration among the campus community to support and foster the success of students and to achieve life skills programming as an integral part of the collegiate experience.

2. Athletics Operations and Compliance.

Conference Grant Program. The conference grant program has been aligned with the Division II strategic positioning platform and strategic plan and will continue to be overseen by the Division II Budget and Finance Committee. Conferences will be required to allocate grant funds consistent with the five strategic funding priorities, as outlined in the strategic plan. Additionally, on a yearly basis, conferences will be required to assess achievement and success in each of the five strategic funding areas. The process for grant requests and reporting of expenditures will move from a paper process to an online electronic process beginning with requests made in 2009-10.

Integration of roles. As Division II continues to emphasize presidential commitment and involvement, the division is planning for a third Chancellors and Presidents Summit to be held in June 2010. Additionally, the 2009 NCAA Convention will feature an education session that includes representation from the Division II Athletics Directors Association, Conference Commissioners Association and Faculty Athletics Representatives Association. The division will commit to providing leadership and development opportunities for athletics administrators, coaches and faculty and to enhancing the communication and integration of the roles and positions within intercollegiate athletics in order to further enrich the student-athlete experience.

Review of Key Operating Principles. During the upcoming year, the division will be reviewing a new concept related to the organized competition legislation (Bylaw 14.2.4.2), analyzing championship travel policies and budget practices and working to develop Division II specific tools and resources to assist with gender equity and diversity planning. Additionally, the Presidents Council will commit to develop tools and resources that assist active member institutions and conferences with evaluating their position in conjunction with the strategic position and philosophy of Division II intercollegiate athletics. Each of these items relates directly to the division's commitment to continuously analyze the division's operating principles to ensure that they reflect current practice and support the strategic position of the division.

3. Game Day and Conference and National Championships.

Game Environment Recognition Program. Chancellors and presidents from across Division II pledge to reconnect the educational mission of intercollegiate athletics to the mission of higher education and to establish positive, family-friendly game environments. Additionally, the division released an interactive tool (diicommunity.org/gameenvironment) to assist schools and conferences in creating and maintaining positive game environments. This year, the division will establish a program to recognize achievements by schools and conferences in creating positive game environments. Winners will be selected from each active Division II conference; an overall national winner will be selected from the conference winners.

Community Partnerships. In 2008, the Division II community engagement Web site registered more than two million hits and more than 250 community engagement ideas that work. The membership will continue to strengthen on-campus partnerships through coordinated efforts of engagement, communication and outreach and look to foster relationships with the broader community at-large.

National Championships Festivals. The division will host its first winter National Championships Festival March 10-14, 2009, in Houston, Texas. The festival will feature championships in men's and women's swimming and diving, men's and women's track and field and wrestling. The festivals continue to be a premier event for the NCAA and the division. Additionally, the Championships Committee will announce a location for the 2010 Fall Championships Festival. The 2010 festival will be the fifth overall festival for the division and the second fall festival.

4. Membership and Positioning Initiatives.

Regular Season Media Partnership. The division will be completing its three-year partnership with CBS College Sports following the 2009 basketball season. The partnership has provided the division a national television presence that included opportunities to showcase more than 65 Division II institutions and a platform to amplify the division's identity and its key attributes and characteristics. A Division II Media Advisory Group, composed of Division II presidents, athletics directors and conference commissioners, working with the Division II Presidents Council, will consider whether to extend the partnership with CBS College Sports or with another media entity.

Enhancement Fund Distribution. As the Division II Budget and Finance Committee continues to review funding and budgeting practices for the division to ensure such practices align with the strategic positioning platform, the committee is seeking input from the Division II membership regarding the current three-prong approach for the enhancement fund distribution and particularly questioning whether the prong that awards dollars for success in the men's and women's basketball championships is consistent with what we value as a division. The committee will host an open forum discussion on this topic during the business session at the 2009 NCAA Convention and will continue to work with the membership on developing a distribution model that aligns with the characteristics and attributes valued by the division.

Membership Fund. The membership fund was an outcome of the 2007 Chancellors and Presidents Summit, during which presidents determined the need for resources to retain current active institutions and assist in attracting new schools or conferences to the division. This annual allocation of \$250,000 provides an opportunity to collectively benefit the division. Parameters and uses of the fund will be determined by a subgroup working with the Division II Membership Committee, Championships Committee and Budget and Finance Committee. Schools and conferences may begin submitting requests for dollars from the membership fund following the 2009 NCAA Convention. Specific details, including a request form, will be posted online.

5. Diversity and Inclusion.

MOAA Division II Academy. As a part of the biannual budget process, the Division II Budget and Finance Committee allocated \$50,000 to the Minority Opportunities Athletics Association (MOAA) for the 2008-09 and 2009-10 fiscal years. The mission of MOAA is to provide opportunities to exchange ideas, advocate increased participation and administrative opportunities for minorities in athletics. The MOAA also promotes generating a sports culture that supports the values necessary to teach and learn respect for self and others. Through the grant program, MOAA will provide an opportunity for each Division II conference to nominate a minority administrator to attend the 2009 and 2010 NACDA Conventions to take part in MOAA sessions and a Division II Academy.

REPORT OF THE
NCAA WALTER BYERS SCHOLARSHIP COMMITTEE
APRIL 19-20, 2009, MEETING

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

Association-wide.

1. **Online Application.** The committee discussed the possibility of an online application for the Walter Byers Scholarship similar to the NCAA's postgraduate scholarship application. No action was taken. The liaison was instructed to determine the possibility and to report back to the committee.
2. **Selection of Walter Byers Recipients.** The committee selected the two Walter Byers Scholarship recipients and two alternates.

Committee Chair: Lee Meserve, Bowling Green State University, Mid-American Conference.
Staff Liaison(s): Roberto Vicente, Research.

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA HONORS COMMITTEE**

ACTION ITEMS.

- **None.**

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

Division II only.

- **Division II Management Council referral.**

At its January 2009 meeting, the Division II Management Council requested that the Honors Committee look at the possibility of the NCAA Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct's Sportsmanship Awards being presented annually during the NCAA Convention. The Honors Committee reviewed the referral and decided that the Honors program should remain as it is without the additional awards. The committee believes that the Honors Celebration is not the appropriate venue to present these awards. The Honors Celebration currently honors eight student-athletes who exhibit excellence in athletics, academics and leadership, a component of which is sportsmanship qualities. To add six additional awards could add confusion to the event and lengthen the program.

Committee Chair: Gibbs Knotts, Western Carolina University
Staff Liaison: Sharon Tufano, Governance

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA POSTGRADUATE SCHOLARSHIP COMMITTEE
APRIL 23-25, 2009, AND JUNE 18-20, 2009, MEETINGS**

ACTION ITEMS.

- **None.**

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

Association-wide.

1. Winter sports awardees.

- (1) Men awardees. Mark Anderson, Colgate University; Jacob Bateman, The Ohio State University; Alec Bonander, Luther College; Harrison Brown, Emory University; Paul Crook, Washington and Lee University; Keith Diggs, Emory University; Robert Dekker, Kalamazoo College; Jason Dunford, Stanford University; Andrew Gardella, Case Western Reserve University; Kevin Greer, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa; Andrew Hickey, University of Nevada, Reno; Paul Hogan, New York University; Kyle Holliday, Ohio Wesleyan University; John Kegelman, Johns Hopkins University; Theodore Marschall, Carleton College; Brandon Mason, Oklahoma State University; Bryan Mullins, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale; Sho Nakamori, Stanford University; Joel Patch, Susquehanna University; David Pearson, Gustavus Adolphus College; Bryan Pelka, Carthage College; Andrew Roland, University of Kentucky; Craig Sheedy, University of Arizona; Peter Truszkowski, Duke University; Jesse Wagstaff, Metropolitan State College of Denver; Travis Whipple, Winona State University; Andrew Wicke, Belmont University; Brett Winkelman, North Dakota State University; Benjamin Youel, North Central College.
- (2) Women awardees. Anna Atkinson, Wingate University; Melanie Auguste, Colorado College; Jennifer Basel, Southern Methodist University; Amie Boles, Texas Woman's University; Elizabeth Carlton, Kenyon College; Keli Coleman, Augustana College (Illinois); Lamarra Currie, University of North Carolina, Charlotte; Heather Dorniden, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities; Elisabeth Driscoll, Montana State University-Bozeman; Laura Hermanson, North Dakota State University; Amanda Hiltunen, Randolph-Macon College; Kristen Hohl, Denison University; Courtney Kupets, University of Georgia; Christine Magnuson, University of Tennessee, Knoxville; Tracy Menzel, Kenyon College; Betsy Miller, University of Nebraska, Lincoln; Brittany Parker, Texas Women's University; Ashley Puga, Northwest Nazarene University; Rachel Regone, Clemson University; Abigail Rogers, U.S. Air Force Academy; Jennifer Shaughnessy, University of

Minnesota, Twin Cities; Ashley Shepard, North Carolina State University; Theresa Simcic, Shippensburg University of Pennsylvania; Sara Smith, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University; Sarah Stevens, Arizona State University; Eleanor Waite, Rice University; Elizabeth Webb, Washington and Lee University; Christina Wirth, Vanderbilt University; Julia Zimmerman, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

2. Spring sports awardees.

- (1) Men awardees. Timothy Balise, University of Massachusetts, Amherst; Thomas Bellama, Arizona State University; Bram Berge, University of Mississippi; Alexander Buxbaum, Haverford College; Derek Carson, Nebraska Wesleyan University; Mat Clark, University of Northern Iowa; Charles Cooper, Towson University; Matthew Glasnapp, Nebraska Wesleyan University; Michael Green, University of Georgia; Brian Harvey, Carnegie Mellon University; Garrett Heath, Stanford University; James Kielek, Washington College (Maryland); Tyler Kreitz, Gettysburg College; Gregory Kuczynski, Rowan University; Peter Malnati, University of Missouri, Columbia; William McKenzie, Belmont Abbey College; James Oliver, University of Alabama at Birmingham; Galen Rupp, University of Oregon; Drew Shackleton, University of California, Los Angeles; Kyle Shackleton, University of California, Los Angeles; Grant Stone, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa; Nicholas Sullivan, University of Nebraska, Lincoln; Xuehan Xiong, University of Arizona; John Wagle, Augustana College (Illinois); Lawrence Wang, Claremont McKenna-Harvey Mudd-Scripps Colleges; Benjamin Watkins, University of Pittsburgh, Johnstown; Brandon Williams, Stanford University; Matthew Williams, Duke University; Bradley Zarembski, Defiance College.
- (2) Women awardees. Danielle Abraham, Boston University; Samantha Bailey, Mississippi State University; Megan Bendik, Clemson University; Catherine Beuerle, Shenandoah University; Lindsay Blair, Truman State University; Sarah Bowman, University of Tennessee, Knoxville; Lauren Buck, University of Notre Dame; Allison Carter, Northern Arizona University; Jordan Casey, University of the South; Rachael Click, Gustavus Adolphus College; Stacy Huss, South Dakota State University; Esther Kaplan, Agnes Scott College; Lisa Kingsmore, Winthrop University; Kimbra Kosak, Gustavus Adolphus College; Nora Kuiper, Hope College; Alison Maki, Willamette University; Jessica Mapes, Arizona State University; Marcie Mullen, Central Washington University; Stacey Nelson, University of Florida; Kaleigh Rafter, Florida State University; Jenna Rhodes, Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University; Shyla Rider, Barry University; Brittany Rogers, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa; Brittanie Roldan, University of Central Missouri;

Randi Schuler, University of California, Davis; Jessica Scott, University of Wisconsin, Platteville; Staten Spencer, Louisiana State University; Katelyn Thompson, Wartburg College; Alexandra Tomlinson, Wagner College.

Committee Chair: Glada Horvat, University of Georgia, Southeastern Conference.
Staff Liaison: Teaera Strum, Diversity and Inclusion.

**JUNE 2009 REPORT OF THE
NCAA COMMITTEE ON SPORTSMANSHIP AND ETHICAL CONDUCT**

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

Association-wide.

1. Divisional reports.

- a. Division I. The Division I National Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) has formed a sportsmanship subcommittee and developed concepts to further develop student-athletes' involvement with the national RESPECT sportsmanship campaign. This includes a summary of the campaign with ideas of how student-athletes can implement the campaign on campus. It also includes a "Sportsmanship Spotlight" whereby campus SAACs that are activating the campaign would receive public attention. The committee suggested that the spotlight be on a seasonal basis (fall, winter, spring) as opposed to monthly. It also was suggested that this be added to Division II and Division III National SAAC agendas for discussion.

Additionally, SAAC representatives developed examples of good and bad heckling, and how this has even expanded to online social networking sites. The committee agreed that this may be an area that needs guidelines and recommendations and asked for additional education about cyber sportsmanship at the November meeting.

The committee agreed that this may be an area that needs guidelines and recommendations and asked for additional education about cyber sportsmanship at the November meeting. Specifically, the committee noted that there appears to be an increase in student-athletes exhibiting negative sportsmanship behaviors through social networking sites.

- b. Division II. Division II continues to incorporate the RESPECT campaign as part of the Division II game environment piece.
- c. Division III. The Division III commissioners are engaged and anxious to begin using and developing localized plans for their campuses.

2. **Techniques for Effective Alcohol Management (TEAM) Coalition best practices to address alcohol management.** The committee reviewed the Fan Code of Conduct program produced by the TEAM Coalition. The committee supported a recommendation for the NCAA to develop a Fan Code of Conduct for NCAA events, similar to what has been undertaken by several of the professional leagues, and agreed to form a subcommittee to develop guidelines. The committee agreed that these guidelines should be concise and shared among all three divisions.
3. **RESPECT sportsmanship initiative.** The committee received a report regarding campaign activation at the Men's Frozen Four, Men's College World Series, Women's College World Series and Division I Men's Lacrosse Championship and reviewed the materials available on www.ncaachampspromotion.com.

The committee discussed possible next steps for campaign activation, including:

- Adding RESPECT inventory to the basic signage package received by all championships.
- RESPECT patches for teams competing in NCAA championships.
- Public service announcement video and spots before an online game broadcast.
- Display inventory at the Hall of Champions.
- Reaching out to Division I basketball conference championships regarding using the RESPECT banners at conference championships.
- Explore a partnership with CoSIDA.

American Football Coaches Association (AFCA) implementation. The committee received a report regarding a potential opportunity to partner with the AFCA and hold a weekend celebrating and raising awareness to the RESPECT campaign. The committee noted that an area of focus this year at the AFCA is the postgame handshake by the head coaches, which is a visible and important element of sportsmanship.

4. **Sports wagering update.**

Championships policy. The committee affirmed that the championships policy should apply as written to both predetermined and nonpredetermined sites. The committee noted that, inasmuch as sports wagering threatens the integrity of intercollegiate athletics and

can directly compromise a student-athlete's eligibility, the policy should be applied uniformly for both predetermined and nonpredetermined championship events. The committee understood the concern regarding teams that had earned the right to host who would not be permitted to do so, but felt that the overall concerns related to sports wagering should be given more weight. Additionally, the committee recommended that "metropolitan area" be changed to "state" to provide a clear and more definitive boundary. The committee believed that metropolitan areas were difficult to define and could create inconsistencies in application.

5. Sportsmanship award subcommittee chairs.

The committee selected the following divisional chairs for the 2008-09 award selections:

- a. Division I: Gene Doris.
- b. Division II: LaToya Greene.
- c. Division III: Chuck Mitrano.

*Committee Chair: John Blanchard, senior associate athletics director,
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.*

*Staff Liaisons: Ty Halpin, championships, Rachel Newman, enforcement; and,
Julie Roe, enforcement.*

REPORT OF THE
NCAA COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ATHLETICS
May 18-19, 2009

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

Association-wide.

1. **Committee Appointments.** Faith Shearer, associate director of athletics, Elon University, was elected to serve as chair of the Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA) beginning September 2009 and Stan Williamson, director of athletics, Campbell University, was elected to serve as vice-chair.
2. **Diversity Leadership Strategic Planning Committee (DLSPC) Issues.** The committee reviewed the programmatic and legislative recommendations from the final report of the DLSPC and discussed which initiatives it was interested in addressing in the area of Support, Promote and Integrate Women's Sports. The committee continues to support and requests staff to provide additional information regarding the following recommendations:
 - a. Develop and implement, for the membership, an interactive Web-based gender-equity education training program on the status of women's athletics.
 - b. Annually publish the gender-equity data collected by the Association on an individual institutional basis and also by division and conference.
 - c. Develop a process by which to standardize gender-equity planning for all three divisions.
3. **NCAA Woman of the Year.** The committee received an update regarding the 2009 application process. 418 institutional submissions are being reviewed by the participating conferences. The timeline for the process was provided and committee members will select the winner from the nine finalists in August.

4. **Strategic Planning Subcommittee.** The strategic planning subcommittee had a follow-up meeting to identify its priorities. The subcommittee expressed a desire to assist schools evaluating gender equity in challenging economic times. The committee developed and shared a position paper with athletics directors, conference commissioners, campus senior woman administrators, faculty athletics representatives and Title IX coordinators electronically and via mail. For 2009-10, the CWA identified two overarching themes to employ when prioritizing its areas of focus. First, the CWA will focus its efforts on awareness for the NCAA membership of the available opportunities in existing professional development programs and educational materials and resources. Second, the CWA will provide and serve as a resource for the membership as it evaluates and makes decisions during these challenging economic times. In both cases, the CWA's goal is to provide assistance to the appropriate audiences and be relevant to the present.

At its May 2009 meeting, the CWA identified five areas of its strategic plan that contribute to these two overarching themes. These are in no particular order and the first three relate to female professional development/opportunity issues attempting to address some of the findings of the 2009 NCAA Barriers Study report:

- a. Gender equity training/best practices and resources, including work/life balance. (Goal III, Objective 3, Items 6, 7 and 8) (Goal IV, Objective 1, Items 1, 2 and 3) (Goal IV, Objective 2, Items 1, 2, 3 and 4) (Goal IV, Objective 5, Item 1).
- b. Officiating. (Goal IV, Objective 4, Items 2 and 3).
- c. Senior Woman Administrator resources and ongoing development of the position. (Goal I, Objective 2, Items 1 and 2).
- d. Development of gender equity web-based training program as a result of the DSPLC report. (Goal III, Objective 3, Items 6, 7, and 8).
- e. Education/outreach to campus Title IX coordinators. (Goal III, Objective 3, Item 2)

5. **Emerging Sports for Women Program Updates.**

- a. Squash. The committee requested an update on the status of the recommendations outlined in the 2008 action plan from sport contacts. No response has been received to date. An invitation will be extended to representatives from the squash community to attend the September meeting to

present and answer questions relative to assisting the committee in determining next steps.

- b. Sand Volleyball. Division I adopted NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2008-59 (as it relates to the addition of sand volleyball to the emerging sports for women list), effective August 1, 2010. Division II adopted NCAA Division II Proposal No. 2009-5, which included the addition of sand volleyball to the list, effective August 1, 2010. The Divisions I and II governance structures, in consultation with the American Volleyball Coaches Association, are beginning their work to develop the necessary regulations (e.g., financial aid, playing and practice seasons, and minimum contest/participant requirements) for the sport. Those legislative concepts will be considered during the 2009-10 Division I legislative cycle and, for Division II, at the 2010 NCAA Convention.
- c. CWA will examine the process recently used in identifying an emerging sport for women to be added to the list, to explore developing additional guidelines in assisting other sports seeking emerging sport sponsorship.
- d. Olympic softball initiative and women's baseball. The committee was provided an informational update on the efforts being made to reinstate softball into the 2016 Olympics. The committee also discussed efforts to grow women's baseball.
- e. Equestrian. Divisions I and II are interested in continuing discussions regarding the ongoing development in increasing sports sponsorship of equestrian. The committee has requested to have representatives from the sport provide a presentation during the September meeting to assist in determining next steps.

6. Updates on Women's Professional Development Opportunities. The committee received an update on the following programs.

- a. NCAA Women's Leadership Symposium. Cambridge, Massachusetts. The committee had an opportunity to review the agenda and evaluative summary of the event. 169 participants registered for the symposium, which was successful in its efforts to encourage young administrators to pursue coaching and/or administration as a profession.
- b. Beyond the Baseline. April 6, 2009, St. Louis, Missouri, Division I Women's Final Four. The committee received an update regarding the one-day program designed to establish a template for future "legacy programs" matching executive women as mentors ("coaches") with at-risk girls from the local community. The program received excellent feedback from both the coaches and participants.

- c. Gender Equity and Issues Forum. April 27-29, 2009, San Diego, California. The committee received an overview of the program; 165 participants registered for the event.
-
- 7. **Review of NCAA Diversity and Gender Professional Development Programming.** Dr. Bernard Franklin, Executive Vice President of the NCAA, met with the committee to provide an update on the status of the gender equity and diversity programs under review. The committee received an initial draft of the programs, which account for approximately \$5 million dollars of the national office budget. The programs are being reviewed to determine baseline measures of successful programs through qualitative analysis, a quantitative study and focus groups. The Executive Committee will receive the final report in October.
-
- 8. **Title IX Update.** The committee received an update on the following Title IX-related matters.
 - a. News articles.
 - (1) Quinnipiac University cutting athletics teams and related Title IX lawsuit.
 - (2) Fresno State, Senate Majority Leader introducing legislation to help hold public educational institutions responsible for upholding the principles of Title IX.
 - (3) Landmark settlement in Arizona State University rape case. The committee requested additional information about the university's development of a security professional to help improve campus efforts to prevent sexual assaults.
 - (4) President Obama's support of Title IX improved enforcement.
 - b. Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act Changes. The committee was informed that the Department of Education is advising institutions to count male practice players in its EADA reporting. The NCAA is seeking additional information about this change.
 - c. U. S. Commission on Civil Rights. A report is expected on the 2007 briefing on the 2005 Office of Civil Rights clarification on third-prong accommodation of interests and abilities compliance methods.

9. National Office Updates.

- a. Health and Safety. The committee received an update from NCAA staff regarding ongoing health and safety initiatives: drug testing and research, the Campus Climate survey, injury surveillance program, nutrition and performance Web site and the resource exchange center.
- b. Branding and Communication. Members of the branding and communications (BC) senior staff provided the committee with an update on current initiatives. BC staff members took the initiative to review the current CWA strategic plan and were supportive of continuing the partnership to forecast and develop messaging on issues of significance to the committee.
- c. NCAA Intern Program. The 2009-10 intern class will begin on June 8, 2009. The diversity of the class of 19 is as follows: minority males – 4, minority females – 2, white females – 10 and white males – 3.
- d. Women's Basketball Issues. The committee was provided with an update on current issues:
 - (1) The development of a leadership institute for the sport as a development opportunity.
 - (2) Monitoring of the NCAA/NBA Youth Basketball Initiative to determine what role the women's game will play in the process.
 - (3) Review of Division I, II and III items of importance.
- e. Plans for creation of a national student-athlete training forum for those interested in careers as coaches and eventually for those interested in administrative and officiating careers. Educational Affairs is conducting a pilot program in Florida this month.

10. Other Business.

- a. Negative Recruiting Resources. The committee received an update on the upcoming Think Tank on the Inclusion of Transgender Athletes in High School and Collegiate Athletics sponsored by the National Center of Lesbian Rights and the Women's Sports Foundation on October 25 and 26 in Indianapolis, Indiana. The committee suggested a best practices document be developed as a resource tool for coaches regarding negative recruiting with suggestions as to the roles and responsibilities of those involved in the recruiting process.
- b. Diversity and Inclusion Award. Data collection for Division III is in the process of being completed. The selected 2009 institutions for Division I and Division II have not been announced at this time. More information will be provided to the committee when it becomes available.
- c. Hiring Report Card. An informational update was provided.
- d. Women of Color Symposium. The Women of Color Symposium took place in Indianapolis, Indiana, May 7 and 8. The symposium was a collaborative effort between the NCAA and the Black Women's in Sport Foundation. The committee will be provided with a complete update in September by Charlotte Westerhaus, vice president for diversity and inclusion.

11. Future Meeting Dates and Sites.

- a. September 14-15, 2009, Indianapolis, Indiana. Joint meeting with the Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee.
- b. February 11, conference call, to be determined.
- c. May 24-25, 2010, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Committee Chair: Patrick Nero, America East Conference.

Staff Liaisons: Kimberly Ford, Diversity and Inclusion

Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs

Karen Morrison, Gender Initiatives.

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS COMMITTEE
MAY 26, 2009, TELECONFERENCE**

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Action Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Action Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- 1. Review of Waivers for Failure to Submit Academic Performance Census (APC) data.** The committee reviewed requests for waivers of the penalty for failure to submit APC data by the applicable deadline in the 2008-09 academic year, pursuant to NCAA Bylaw 30.1.1 (Academic Performance Census – Failure to Submit). The committee granted one-time waivers to four institutions: Benedict College, Catawba College, Morehouse College and Washburn University of Topeka. Morehouse College's waiver was granted with conditions. The conditions required that the institution submit its APC data by June 15, a condition that was subsequently met. The waiver requests were granted based on the totality of the circumstances, including the institutional staff's diligence in attempting to submit APC data before the deadline and confusion experienced with the Academic Tracking System software. The committee denied waiver requests from the University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras, and Wheeling Jesuit University due to a lack of unusual or extraordinary circumstances.
- 2. Referral from the NCAA Division II Management Council Regarding Progress-Toward-Degree Legislation.** The committee reviewed a referral from the NCAA Division II Management Council related to Bylaw 14.4 (progress toward degree). At its April meeting, the Council asked the committee to review and possibly take action on how progress-toward-degree legislation applies to student-athletes who attend an institution operating on a trimester academic calendar. The issue was sent to the Management Council by the Division II Membership Committee, which was led to believe that a Canadian institution that is interested in applying to enter the membership process in fall 2009 operated on a trimester calendar. The staff informed the committee that, subsequent to the referral, it was learned that the institution operates on a traditional semester calendar. The committee noted that this information makes the referral moot.

3. **Placement of Transfer Student-Athletes in Academic Success Rate (ASR) and APC Cohort.** The committee received a report from staff regarding a change in how transfer student-athletes are placed in a cohort for the Division I Graduation Success Rate and Academic Performance Program. Previously, transfers were placed in the cohort that corresponded to their academic standing when they first enrolled at their new institution. Thus, a student-athlete with sophomore academic standing at the time of enrollment would be placed in the cohort for the previous academic year, even if he or she was entering the third year of full-time postsecondary enrollment. Under the revised Division I cohort definition, which will be effective for 2009-10 data submission, a transfer student-athlete's graduation cohort will correspond to the first academic year of full-time postsecondary enrollment, regardless of the number of credits accepted by the receiving institution. Staff reported that Division I made the change because the previous formula was confusing to institutional personnel, and as a result, cohort placement was being done inconsistently throughout the membership. The committee agreed that the new cohort-placement criterion should also be applied to the Division II ASR and APC in 2009-10, noting that the new criterion more accurately reflects the chronological progress of student-athletes through postsecondary education and that consistency among divisions is desirable.

Committee Chair: Paul Leidig, Grand Valley State University
Staff Liaison(s): Maritza Jones, Academic and Membership Affairs
Gregg Summers, Research

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS COMMITTEE
JULY 10, 2009, TELECONFERENCE**

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Item.

• **2010 NCAA Convention – NCAA Bylaw 14.3 – Eligibility – Freshman Academic Requirements.**

- (1) Recommendation. Sponsor legislation for the 2010 NCAA Convention to amend NCAA Bylaw 14.3 (freshman academic requirements) to create an exception to the test-score requirement for initial eligibility that specifies that a minimum score of 730 on the Prueba de Aptitud Académica may be used by a student-athlete attending an institution located in Puerto Rico to meet such requirements.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2010, for those student-athletes first entering an institution in Puerto Rico full time on or after August 1, 2010.
- (3) Rationale. The Prueba de Aptitud Académica (PAA) is an aptitude test created by The College Board and administered to secondary school students in Puerto Rico and several Latin American countries. It is used as an entrance exam to universities and colleges in Puerto Rico, and more than 95 percent of enrollees at Puerto Rican institutions take the PAA. The PAA is a Spanish-language test, but it is not a translation of the SAT. Since the majority of instruction at Puerto Rican institutions is conducted in Spanish, the PAA should be accepted for the purpose of meeting the test-score requirements for initial eligibility for student-athletes attending an institution located in Puerto Rico. Data available demonstrates that the PAA is similar to the SAT in its ability to predict college academic outcomes for students attending an institution in Puerto Rico. Data from The College Board indicates that a score of 730 on the PAA is at approximately the same point on the overall distribution of test scores (one standard deviation below the average of all test-takers) as 820 on the SAT and 68 on the ACT, which are the current test-score standards. This score on the PAA, in addition to meeting all other academic qualifications for initial eligibility (e.g., high school graduation, minimum number of core courses, a high school grade-point average of 2.000) would be sufficient for initial-eligibility certification of student-athletes enrolling in one of the institutions located in Puerto Rico.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Potential cost for the NCAA Eligibility Center.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. Will allow student-athletes attending an institution located in Puerto Rico to use the PAA as a substitute for the SAT/ACT test-score requirement.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- 1. Discussion Regarding Additional Requirements of English and Math Coursework for Transfer Students from Two-Year Colleges.** The staff updated the NCAA Division II Academic Requirements Committee on a referral by the NCAA Division II Management Council regarding a previous recommendation by the committee to sponsor legislation for the 2010 Convention to require a student-athlete who is transferring from a two-year institution to meet additional requirements of English and math coursework. The committee discussed the concerns raised by the Management Council, specifically regarding the use of remedial courses and courses earned at previous four-year institutions to satisfy these additional requirements. The committee directed staff to draft a possible legislative option that addresses the concerns raised by the committee and the Management Council for further review at its September in-person meeting for a possible legislative recommendation for the 2011 Convention.
- 2. Discussion Regarding NCAA Proposal No. 2010-2 (Recruiting And Eligibility – Admissions And Graduation Data, Banned Drug List And Initial-Eligibility Standards – Reports And Notification – Eligibility Center).** The staff updated the committee on questions raised by the NCAA Division II Presidents Council regarding NCAA Proposal No. 2010-2. This proposal would shift the responsibility related to providing specific information (related to admissions, graduation-rate data, academic success rate, initial-eligibility standards, banned-drug list and information regarding nutritional supplements) to a prospective student-athlete and his or her parents and legal guardians from member institutions to the NCAA Eligibility Center. The Presidents Council posed questions regarding whether the Eligibility Center could develop the necessary programs to copy a member institution that has placed a prospective student-athlete on the institution's Institutional Request List (IRL) on e-mails sent to the prospective student-athlete and to include the member institution's Web site on such e-mails. The staff updated the committee on the work being done by the Eligibility Center to accommodate these functions.

Committee Chair: Paul Leidig, Grand Valley State University
Staff Liaisons: Maritza Jones, Academic and Membership Affairs
Gregg Summers, Research

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II CHAMPIONSHIPS COMMITTEE
June 22-23, 2009, Meeting**

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Action Items.

a. Noncontroversial Legislation – NCAA Constitution 4.7 and NCAA Bylaw 21.8.5.2 – Organization and Committees – Division II Management Council – Duties of the Vice Chair – Championships Committee.

- (1) Recommendation. Adopt noncontroversial legislation to amend NCAA Constitution 4.7 (Division II Management Council) and NCAA Bylaw 21.8.5.2 (Championships Committee) to specify that the vice chair of the Management Council shall serve as an ex officio, nonvoting member of the Championships Committee and all Division II sports committees; further, to specify that members of the Championships Committee shall serve as ex officio, nonvoting members of Division II sports committees; further to eliminate the provision that the vice chair of the Division II Management Council shall serve as the chair of the Championships Committee.
- (2) Effective Date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. The proposal revises the duties of the vice-chair of the Management Council to serve as an ex-officio, non-voting member of the Championships Committee and all Division II sports committees (rather than serve as chair of the Championships Committee). By permitting the vice-chair to serve as a non-voting member of all sports committees, the vice-chair will have an opportunity to provide guidance and direction to the sports committees and be a conduit of information between the sports committees and the Championships Committee to the Management Council. This is similar to the chair of the Management Council serving as a conduit for information from the Division II governance committees to the Management Council and Presidents Council. Further, the Championships Committee would be responsible for electing its chair (similar to all other Division II committees). The proposal also amends the duties of the Championships Committee to specify that committee members shall serve as ex-officio, non-voting members of Division II sports committees. This structure is similar to the current Management Council structure in that members of the Management Council serve as voting members of Division II governance committees and NCAA Association-wide committees. This relationship between the Championships Committee and the sports committees currently exists on an informal basis. By codifying this formal duty of the Championships Committee in the legislation, it will ensure the Championships Committee

is able to be a part of discussions (e.g., budgets, bracket format, selection criteria) had by sports committees that are eventual recommendations to the Championships Committee.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

b. Adjustment of Committee Structure.

(1) Recommendation. Adopt noncontroversial legislation to amend Bylaw 21.3.6 (ice hockey rules committee, men's and women's) to adjust the composition to consist of eight voting members (a reduction from 12), with a proposed composition of four Division I representatives, one Division II representative (may be a Division II all-sport institution that competes at the Division I level) and four Division III representatives. The committee shall include five members representing men's ice hockey and three members representing women's ice hockey, which corresponds to the sponsorship numbers. Of the 221 teams, 138 (62 percent) are men's programs and 83 (38 percent) are women's programs.

(2) Rationale. In a review of the team sports for which the NCAA writes rules, ice hockey has, by far, more committee members representing a small number of schools. The committee believes reducing the number of members through attrition will increase its efficiency and more accurately reflect the divisional and gender breakdown of the membership. Additionally, the reduction of four committee members falls in line with the overall NCAA directive to reduce the number and size of committees where appropriate.

Team Sport	Institutions	Rules Committee	Ratio*
Baseball	906	8	113
Men's Basketball	1,033	12	86
Women's Basketball	1,056	12	88
Football	631	12	52
M/W Ice Hockey	221	12	18
Men's Lacrosse	243	8	30
Women's Lacrosse	313	8	39
M/W Soccer	1,737	8	217
Softball	952	8	79
Volleyball	1,016	8	127

**Ratio is the number of committee members per sponsoring institution.*

(3) Effective Date: Immediate, via attrition.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Long term, the reduction of committee members will provide an estimated \$10,000 savings per year.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative Action Items.

See Supplement 13-B.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Regional Formats.** The committee approved a pilot program that establishes a process that gives regions the opportunity to recommend a different regional tournament format for the current eight-team bracket in men's and women's basketball and women's volleyball. The new regional tournament format will be launched as a pilot this coming academic year and reviewed by the committee in the summer of 2010. Below are set of guiding principles that were provided to each region, which outline the intent and process of the new regional tournament format. Additionally, a financial simulation model specific to the region was provided and included three possible formats/models. The three models include (1) one site hosting seven teams (current format); (2) two sites each hosting three teams; and (3) four sites each hosting one team. Additionally, alternative options may be submitted for review by the Championships Committee.

NCAA DIVISION II REGIONAL TOURNAMENT FORMAT
GUIDING PRINCIPLES

- a. Conferences within each region will work together to establish a regional format advisory group. The suggested composition of this group is approximately 10 members and must include all conference commissioners in the region; at least one NCAA Division II Championships Committee member; the national committee regional representative and other members of the regional advisory committee (RAC) from women's volleyball, men's basketball and women's basketball. Any other members must be approved by the championships committee.
- b. The regional format advisory group shall provide its recommendations for its suggested regional tournament formats to the championships committee for volleyball by August 1 and for men's and women's basketball by September 1.
- c. Recommendations from the regional format advisory group may be the same or different for all three sports (women's volleyball, men's basketball and women's basketball).

- d. Regions may recommend any format/model to the championships committee. The championships committee will analyze the recommendations and make all final decisions.
 - e. Expenses for running an eight-team bracket at one site have set the baseline number the championships committee will be using when reviewing all recommendations. This is an opportunity to establish better business practices for Division II Championships, so a more expensive recommendation will be difficult to approve.
 - f. Final decisions made by the championships committee will include integrity of the bracket, overall student-athlete experience and financial implications. Decisions for women's volleyball will be communicated late August and decisions for men's and women's basketball will be communicated late September.
- 2. **Baseball.** The committee approved that the baseball championship finals shall be reduced to no more than a six-day tournament, effective with the 2011 championship. The committee directed the Baseball Committee to develop a new format for the finals of the championship for the committee's review and approval.
 - 3. **Men's Basketball.** The committee denied the waiver submitted by Fort Lewis College to receive additional reimbursement for championship travel during the 2009 tournament.
 - 4. **Men's and Women's Tennis.** The committee approved that the bracket size for the men's and women's tennis championship shall be reduced from 64 to at least 48, effective with the 2011 championship. The committee directed the Tennis Committee to develop a new format for the championship for the committee's review and approval at the September meeting.
 - 5. **Softball.** The committee granted a waiver to permit the softball championship finals to occur the fifth Saturday of May (current policy is the fourth Saturday in May) for the 2010 championship. This ensures the finals will occur over Memorial Day weekend.
 - 6. **Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving.** The committee approved that the field size for the men's and women's swimming and diving championships will be reduced. The committee would like the sport committee to consider a cap of 175-180, effective with the 2011 championship. The committee directed the Swimming and Diving Committee to develop a new championship structure for the committee's review and approval at the September meeting.
 - 7. **Governing Sports Committee Reports.** The committee took the following actions on the recommendations from sports committees:

a. Football.

- (1) Earned access. Denied that effective with the 2009 championship, in order for earned access to the playoffs to be gained by a conference, its conference representative must also be the conference champion.
- (2) Football Selection Criteria – Index Factor. Denied that effective for the 2010 championship, a Division II index factor as an additional primary criterion be added that would be considered during the selection process. This index factor would be calculated by first determining how many Division II football contests an institution plans to play in a given year, with a ceiling of 10 games that would be a factor for consideration. Although it is noted that a team could play 11 contests, a team is only required to play a minimum of 10 opponents during the regular season to be eligible for the playoffs. The factor would be determined by dividing the number of Division II games played up to a maximum of 10 by the minimum number of required Division II contests. As such, the numerator will always be either: eight, nine or 10 and the denominator will always be eight. This creates an incentive for a team to play 10 Division II contests, as that institution would receive the maximum index factor possible of 1.250 (10 Division II contests scheduled divided by the eight game Division II minimum). If a team plays only nine Division II contests, its index factor would be 1.125 (nine Division II contests scheduled divided by the eight game Division II minimum). Finally, if a team plays only eight Division II contests, its index factor would be 1.0 (eight Division II contests scheduled divided by the eight game Division II minimum). After conference schedules are determined, this is a factor that is completely within the control of each school in maximizing its ability to generate the highest possible index factor of 1.250. This criterion clearly creates an incentive to schedule at least 10 Division II opponents during the regular season.
- (3) Football Squad Size. Denied that effective with the 2009 championship, the squad size be increased from 54 to 58, while leaving the official travel party at 70.
- (4) Appointment of Committee Chair. Approved that Shawn Jones, associate director of athletics at the University of Central Missouri, serve as chair of the committee for the 2009-10 academic year.
- (5) Football Officials. (a) Approved that any competition between conference schools be allowed to use conference officials to officiate the game. (b) Denied that supervisor of officials be instructed to select the best overall officials at each position for all rounds of the playoffs, rather than submitting the best overall crews for the playoffs.

- (6) Football National Championship Game – Bid Requirements. Denied that effective with the 2012 NCAA Division II Football Championship game, an additional requirement for prospective bidders would require that the championship site have a synthetic playing surface; rather, the Championships Committee believed that should be a preferred criteria.
- b. Men's Soccer.
- (1) Opening Round Guarantee. That the guarantee for the two opening round contests be set at \$350 each.
- (2) Appointment of Committee Chair. That Van Taylor, head men's soccer coach at Lander University, be named as chair, replacing Kurt Portmann of Midwestern State University, effective September 1, 2009.
- c. Women's Soccer. That Kim Sutton, head women's soccer coach at California State University, Chico, be named as chair to replace Patricia Hughes, head women's soccer coach, University of Montevallo, effective September 1, 2009.
- d. Volleyball.
- (1) Selection Criteria. Approved a change to the current requirement that a team must have an overall won-lost record of .500 or better to be considered for an at-large berth to the championship to requiring that a team have a Division II won-lost record of .500 or better.
- (2) Selection Criteria. That the tiebreaker selection criteria be adjusted to: If the evaluation of the primary criteria does not result in a decision, the committee will select teams based on the following criteria, (listed in rank priority order):
- Head-to-head results;
 - In-region won-lost results;
 - Results versus in-region common opponents;
 - In-region strength of schedule/record;
 - Results versus out-of-region common opponents; and
 - Division II strength of schedule/record.
- *In-region record*
**Overall Division II record*
- (3) Appointment of Committee Chair. That Robin Salters, Assistant Athletics Director/Head Women's Volleyball Coach, at University of New Haven, be appointed the chair for the 2009-10 year.

8. Site Selection. The committee approved the following championship sites:

- a. Football. Approved that Florence, Alabama be designated as the site for the 2010 and 2011 NCAA Division II Football Championships. The Shoals National Championship Committee (SNCC) and the University of North Alabama (UNA) will serve as the hosts for the competition to be conducted at Braly Municipal Stadium.
- b. Men's and Women's Tennis. That Altamonte Springs, Florida, be designated as the site for the 2010 NCAA Division II Men's and Women's Tennis Championships. Rollins College and the Central Florida Sports Commission will serve as co-hosts for the competition to be conducted at Sanlando Park. {The host site for the 2011 championship will be determined following the approval of the new format of the championship to coincide with the reduction in the bracket size of 64 to at least 48.}
- c. Men's and Women's Track and Field. That Charlotte, North Carolina, be designated as the site for the 2010 NCAA Division II Men's and Women's Outdoor Track and Field Championships. Johnson C. Smith University will serve as the host for the competition to be conducted at Irwin Belk Complex.

9. Automatic Qualifications.

- a. Women's Soccer. California Collegiate Athletic Association, Conference Carolinas, Central Atlantic Collegiate Conference, East Coast Conference, Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic Conference, Great Lakes Valley Conference, Great Northwest Athletic Conference, Gulf South Conference, Lone Star Conference, Heartland Conference, Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association, Northeast-10 Conference, Northern Sun Intercollegiate Conference, Pacific West Conference, Peach Belt Conference, Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference, Rocky Mountain Athletic Conference, South Atlantic Conference, Sunshine State Conference and West Virginia Intercollegiate Athletic Conference.
- b. Women's Volleyball. California Collegiate Athletic Association, Central Atlantic Collegiate Conference, Central Intercollegiate Athletic Association, Conference Carolinas, East Coast Conference, Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic Conference, Great Lakes Valley Conference, Great Northwest Athletic Conference, Gulf South Conference, Lone Star Conference, Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association, Northeast-10 Conference, Northern Sun Intercollegiate Conference, Peach Belt Conference, Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference, Rocky Mountain Athletic Conference, South Atlantic Conference, Southern Intercollegiate Athletic Conference, Sunshine State Conference and West Virginia Intercollegiate Athletic Conference.

- 10. Charter Protocol.** The committee reviewed the current Association-wide policies for charter flights for NCAA championships and noted that charter flights are only paid for by the NCAA when there are no reasonable commercial options available. After it is determined there are no commercial options, bids are sent out to charter carriers for each route needed. The carriers respond with a price and the type of aircraft being used. Short's Travel awards the flight to the carrier with the best price, taking into account all charter flights needed. If a team wants to take additional people over the travel party paid for by the NCAA, they are charged back for each additional traveler. The cost per person is determined by dividing the cost of the charter by the number of seats on the aircraft. The team is not being charged for any empty seats, only for those being used by their additional people.

If a team does not like the commercial option that is presented, they have the option of upgrading to a charter. In this situation, it is determined what the NCAA's cost would be for the commercial flight, including any additional ground transportation or per diem that may be necessary to accommodate the commercial flight. The institution is then charged the difference between the commercial cost and the cost of the charter. When the institution chooses to upgrade to a charter, they then, in essence, own all of the seats on that aircraft. If they upgrade to a charter and take additional people, they are not charged both an upgrade fee and an additional traveler fee.

Over the past few years, the NCAA travel department has looked at the policies for charter flights many times to try to determine a way that would let teams take additional travelers at a reduced fee but have, thus far, been unable to come up with a way to do it that treats everyone equally. The issue is that there is no comparable commercial rate and there is a premium to flying by charter, as opposed to flying commercially. Teams have the convenience of flying when they want, to and from the airports they choose and with little security hassles. This does, and should, cost more than a commercial flight. So trying to charge what a commercial flight would be is at this time not an option.

There are several other issues as well, especially those of fairness when one team gets a bigger plane than another so it can bring more fans at a lower cost; potential for additional costs to the NCAA when a team wants a big plane for extra fans at a lower cost and then doesn't fill the seats; taking too many people and maxing the payload so equipment has to be shipped at an additional cost to the NCAA; etc.

- 11. Sports Wagering Policy and NCAA Championships.** The committee noted the policy adopted by the Division II Presidents Council at its April 2009 meeting that stated "*No session of an NCAA championship may be conducted in a metropolitan area with legal wagering that is based upon the outcome of any event (i.e., high school, college or professional) in a sport in which the NCAA conducts a championship.*" The committee was asked to provide feedback on whether "metropolitan area" should be "state" and whether the policy should apply to both pre-determined and non-pre-determined championships sites. The committee voted that the policy should be amended to note "state" rather than "metropolitan area" and the policy should apply only to pre-

determined sites. The committee agreed that the policy is similar to the Confederate flag policy (which only applies to pre-determined sites) because the ability for sports wagering to occur within a state is a state action rather than under the control of the institution; therefore, an institution should not be penalized if it earns (e.g., top seed) the right to host an NCAA championship.

- 12. Expectations of Coaches and Student-Athletes at Division II Championships.** In light of actions occurring following the 2009 Winter Championships Festival, the committee discussed current requirements and expectations of student-athletes, coaches, and administrators at Division II championships. The committee directed staff to develop a list of expectations for coaches, student-athletes and administrators at Division II championships for its review at the September meeting.
- 13. Feedback from Division II Winter Championships.** The committee reviewed the feedback provided (via a survey instrument) by student-athletes and coaches on the Division II winter championships, including the Winter National Championships Festival. The committee noted the positives and negatives to each event and agreed that the National Championships Festival continues to be an important event for Division II and for the student-athlete experience.

Committee Chair: David Riggins, Mars Hill College

Staff Liaisons: Roberta Page, Director of Championships

Shonna Brown, Assistant Director of Championships

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II CHAMPIONSHIPS COMMITTEE**

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Action Items.

See Supplement No. 13-A.

2. Nonlegislative Action Items.

- **Committee Appointments.** Ratify the following committee appointments and reappointments effective September 1, unless noted otherwise. The attachment includes a chart of each committee that has a vacancy, as well as nominees considered.
 - (1) Baseball. That Jason Trufant, assistant director of athletics, Molloy College, replace Joseph Clinton, director of athletics at Dominican College (New York).
 - (2) Men's Basketball. That E.J. Brophy, director of athletics, University of West Alabama, replace Michael Anderson, sports information director at Michigan Technological University.
 - (3) Women's Basketball. That Kathy Anderson, associate athletics director for internal operations, Central Missouri University, replace Sally Brooks, head coach at Angelo State University.
 - (4) Field Hockey. That Molly Myers, assistant commissioner, Northeast-10 Conference, replace Shannon Hlebichuk, head coach at University of Massachusetts at Lowell.
 - (5) Football. That Frank Condino, director of athletics, Indiana University of Pennsylvania, replace Roberta Page, director of athletics at Shippensburg University; and a one-year extension for Monte Cater, head coach at Shepherd University.
 - (6) Women's Lacrosse. That Michelle Gober, associate director of athletics, Kutztown University of Pennsylvania, be reappointed.
 - (7) Women's Lacrosse Rules Committee. That Julie Redman, head women's lacrosse coach, Converse College, replace Cecil Pilson, head coach at Mercyhurst College.
 - (8) Rowing. That Patricia Pinkerton, boathouse director /head coach, University of California, San Diego, replace Erin O'Connell, assistant director of athletics at Seattle Pacific University; and Christopher O'Brien, head

coach/assistant CCD at Philadelphia University, replace Frank Pizzardi, head coach/Director of Rowing at Dowling College.

- (9) Women's Soccer. That Ged O'Conner, head women's soccer coach, St. Leo University, replace Patricia Hughes, head coach/assistant director of athletics at the University of Montevallo; and that Pat Britz, commissioner, South Atlantic Conference, be reappointed.
- (10) Women's Softball. That Christi Wade, assistant athletics' director/head softball coach/SWA, St. Leo University, replace Sam Goodwin, director of athletics at Henderson State University.
- (11) Softball Rules Committee. That Patti Gerckens, head softball coach, University of California, San Diego, replace Jamie Brown, head coach at California State University, Chico.
- (12) Men's and Women's Tennis. That Pamela Arpe, assistant athletics' director, Stonehill College, be reappointed.
- (13) Men's and Women's Track and Field. That Kristene M. Kelly, associate athletics' director, St. Augustine's College, replace Dianne Watkins, administrator, Morehouse College; and that Jamie Moreno, head men's and women's cross country and track coach, Florida Southern College, replace Danielle Barney, assistant director of athletics, Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania.
- (14) Women's Volleyball. That Taylor Mott, associate athletics' director/SWA/head volleyball coach, Flagler College, replace Ginger Crissman-Ashley, head coach at Catawba College.

2008-09 DIVISION II BASEBALL COMMITTEE

Composition: Eight members. One from each of the Division II baseball regions (West, Central, South Central, Midwest, South, Southeast, Atlantic, East).
Quota of 50 percent administrators: **4**.

Vacancies: **One vacancy. East region, coach or administrator.**

Committee preference: **50% coaches.**

Recommendation: **Jason Trufant**
Due to duplicate regional representation Nate Salant left the committee 9/08. He will be reappointed to complete his term in 9/11 when Jeff Schaly leaves the committee. He will complete the remaining two years of his term which will expire September 1, 2013.

Staff Liaison: Russ Yurk

Chair:

REG	POS	EM/ GEN	NAME, INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
East	AD	N/M	Joseph Clinton Dominican College (New York	Central Atlantic Collegiate	Clinton*			
Atl	C	Y/M	Terrance Whittle Elizabeth City State University	Central Intercollegiate Athletic	Whittle	Whittle	Whittle	Whittle*
So	Asst AD	N/M	Jeff Schaly Lynn University	Sunshine State	Schaly	Schaly	Schaly*	
SoC	SID	N/M	Justin Maskus Missouri Southern State University	Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics	Maskus	Maskus	Maskus*	
West	C	N/M	Jeremiah Robbins Western Oregon University	Great Northwest Athletic	Robbins	Robbins	Robbins	Robbins*
SoE	SID	N/M	Christian Stryker Coker College	Conference Carolinas	Stryker	Stryker	Stryker	Stryker*
Mid W	Assoc AD	N/M	Jim Givens University of Findlay	Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletics	Givens			
Cen	AD	N/M	Jon McBride University of Nebraska at Kearney	Rocky Mountain Athletic	McBride	McBride*		

*Not eligible for reappointment 4/8/09

DIVISION II BASEBALL COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

SELECTED						
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
Jason Trufant	Assistant Director of Athletics	Molloy College	East Coast Conference	M		Committee's only recommendation. RAC experience (soccer); former coach and student-athlete.

NOT SELECTED					
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity
Tom Emberley	Assistant Commissioner	East Coast Conference	East Coast Conference	M	

Division II Baseball Committee Nominee Information

Name	Jason Trufant
Designation	Assistant Director of Athletics
Gender	M
Ethnicity	N
Institution	Molloy College
Conference	East Coast Conference
Playing Conference	East Coast Conference
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	Jason has a strong baseball background as player, coach and administrator. He is a resource for the conference office in the sport in terms of his perspective on division II baseball throughout the northeast and nation. His knowledge of the game and knowledge of Division II baseball will make him a strong resource for the NCAA committee.
Committees Served On	NCAA Men's Soccer Regional Committee September 2008-present
Prof Empl Hist	<p>*Assistant Director of Athletics August 2007-present Molloy College</p> <p>* East Coast Conference Women's Soccer Chair June 2008- present Molloy College</p> <p>* Facilities Coordinator/ Game Day Event Manager August 2004 – July 2007 Frostburg State University</p> <p>* Head Assistant Coach August 2000 – May 2003, August 2004 – May 2007 Frostburg State University</p> <p>Duties Include: Recruiting, Baseball Field Maintenance, Budget Maintenance, Complete Control of Pitching Staff</p>
Education	<p>Bachelor of Arts, Sociology, May 2000 With a special emphasis on a minor in Education University at Albany</p> <p>Master of Science, Human Performance, December 2002 Frostburg State University</p>
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	I have been involved at numerous levels for conference championships. Recently, I coordinated the 2008 ECAC Women's Lacrosse Semi-final game and the opening round of the 2007-08 East Coast Conference Women's Basketball Tournament. I have successfully coordinated two Alleghany Mountain Collegiate Conference(DIII) Baseball Championship Tournaments, two AMCC Volleyball Championships, four AMCC Soccer Championships, and an ECAC playoff football game.
Regional Ranking Exp	I am a current member of the NCAA Men's Soccer Regional Committee. Duties include weekly conference calls to discuss East Region rankings, discussion on regionalization models, and providing a recommendation to the national committee for NCAA post-season selection.
References	<p>Joe Altieri (518) 690-0771 Director of Media, Marketing & Public Relations at the N.Y.S.P.H.S.A.A</p> <p>Susan Cassidy-Lyke (516) 678-5000 ext 6329 Director of Athletics at Molloy College</p> <p>Robert Dranoff (631) 348-3451 *Commissioner of the East Coast Conference</p> <p>Chris McKnight (240) 580-4012 * Manager of the Texarkana Gunslingers (Continental League)</p>
Contacted References	Y

2008-09 DIVISION II MEN'S BASKETBALL COMMITTEE

Composition: Eight members. One from each of the Division II men's basketball regions (West, Central, South Central, Midwest, South, Southeast, Atlantic, East). Quota of 50 percent administrators: **4**.

Vacancies: **One vacancy. South region. Coach or administrator.**

Due to duplicate regional representation, Amrit Rayfield left the committee 9/08. He will be reappointed to complete his term when Claudie Mackey leaves the committee in 9/10. Rayfield will complete the remaining three years of his term which will then expires 9/13.

Recommendation: **E.J. Brophy**

Staff Liaison: Jeff Jarnecke

Chair:

REG	POS	EM/G EN	NAME AND INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
So	SID	N/M	Michael Anderson Gulf South Conference	Gulf South	Anderson*			
Mid W	Asso c AD	N/M	Kevin Luke Michigan Technological University	Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic	Luke	Luke	Luke	Luke*
East	C	Y/M	Alfred Johnson Holy Family University	Central Atlantic Collegiate	Johnson	Johnson	Johnson	Johnson*
SoC	A/C	N/M	Lonn Reisman Tarleton State University (Jim Johnson Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletic Association)	Lone Star (Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletic)	Reisman*	(Johnson)	(Johnson)	(Johnson 9/13*)
Atl	FAR	Y/M	Claudie Mackey Elizabeth City State University	Central Intercollegiate Athletic	Mackey	Mackey*		
Cen	AD	N/M	Steve Kirkham University of Colorado, Colorado Springs	Rocky Mountain Athletic	Kirkham	Kirkham*		
SoE	AD/ C	N/M	Mark Peeler Erskine College	Conference Carolinas	Peeler	Peeler	Peeler	Peeler*
W	AD	N/M	Rich Sanders Northwest Nazarene University	Great Northwest Athletic	Sanders	Sanders	Sanders*	

4/8/09

DIVISION II MEN'S BASKETBALL COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION						
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
E.J. Brophy	Director of Athletics	University of West Alabama	Gulf South Conference	M		Only nominee. RAC experience (baseball).

Name	William Earl Brophy, Jr.
Designation	AD
Gender	M
Ethnicity	N
Institution	University of West Alabama
Conference	Gulf South Conference
Playing Conference	Gulf South Conference
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	I have been involved in collegiate/professional athletics for the past 20 years in every level from athlete to coach to administrator. I feel that my experience in these areas from student-athlete to collegiate coach to admimnistrator to Director of Athletics would be invaluable to this respective committee.
Committees Served On	I have been a member of the NCAA Division II Regional Baseball Committee for the past 1.5 years.
Prof Empl Hist	<p>June 2006-Present The University of West Alabama, Director of Athletics</p> <p>March 2005-May 2006 University of Alabama at Birmingham, Asst AD for External Affairs</p> <p>January 2003-March 2005 University of Alabama at Birmingham, Assistant AD Development</p> <p>August 1998 -January 2003 Wallace Community College Selma, Head Baseball Coach</p> <p>August 1997 -June 1998 University of Montevallo, Assistant Baseball Coach</p> <p>September 1995 -June 1996 Samford University, Head Assistant Baseball Coach</p> <p>June 1998 -August 1997 Jamestown Eagles, Head Baseball Coach, American Legion</p> <p>August 1996-June 1997 Clay-Chalkville Middle School, PE Instructor</p> <p>August 1997 -June 1998 Elvin Hill Elementary School, Physical Education Instructor</p> <p>June 1992 -September 1995 Professional Baseball Player</p> <p>September 1988 -June 1992 Student-Athlete, University of Alabama at Birmingham</p>
Education	<p>Master of Arts in PE, June 1998, University of Alabama at Birmingham</p> <p>Bachelor of Science in PE, December 1995, University of Alabama at Birmingham</p> <p>On track to earn PhD from UAB in August 2009.</p>
Former SA HS Sport	baseball, football
Former Coach HS Sport	baseball, football
Former SA College Sport	baseball
Former Coach College Sport	baseball
Regional Ranking Exp	I have been a member of the NCAA Division II Regional Baseball Committee for the past 1.5 years.
References	<p>Gene Bartow, President, Memphis Grizzlies, (901) 229-0490</p> <p>Brian Mackin, Director of Athletics, UAB, (205) 934-0766</p> <p>Dr. Jim Eck, Associate VP for Academic Affairs, Rollins College (407) 646-2375</p>
Contacted References	Y

2008-09 DIVISION II WOMEN'S BASKETBALL COMMITTEE

Composition: Eight members. One representative from each of the women's basketball regions (West, Central, South Central, Midwest, South, Southeast, Atlantic, East). Quota of 50 percent administrators: **4**.

Vacancies: **One vacancy. South Central region. Coach or administrator.**

Recommendation: **Kathy Anderson.**

Reappoint: Greg Bamberger.

Staff Liaison: Tina Krah

Chair:

REG	POS	EM/ GEN	NAME, INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
SoE	C	N/M	Dean Walsh Carson-Newman College	South Atlantic	Walsh	Walsh*		
East	Sr. Assoc AD	N/F	Joan Lehoullier University of Massachusetts at Lowell	Northeast-10	Lehoullier	Lehouiller	Lehouiller	Lehouiller*
Atl	AD	N/M	Greg Bamberger Kutztown University of Pennsylvania Pending approval.	Pennsylvania State Athletic	Bamberger	(Bamberger)	(Bamberger)	(Bamberger 9/13*)
SoC	C	N/F	Sally Brooks Angelo State University	Lone Star	Brooks*			
MidW	AD	N/F	Kris Dunbar Lake Superior State University	Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic	Dunbar	Dunbar	Dunbar*	
West	C	Y/F	Sharon Turner-Dean California State University, Stanislaus	California Collegiate Athletic	Turner-Dean	Turner-Dean*		
So	SWA	N/F	Ann Walker Sunshine State Conference	Sunshine State	Walker	Walker	Walker*	
Central	Assoc Comm	N/F	Sandee Mott Rocky Mountain Athletic Conference	Rocky Mountain Athletic	Mott	Mott	Mott	Mott*

*Not eligible for reappointment 4/8/09

DIVISION II WOMEN'S BASKETBALL COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

SELECTED						
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
Kathy Anderson	Assoc. AD for Internal Operations	University of Central Missouri	Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association	F		Committee's only recommendation. Previous committee and RAC experience; former coach and student-athlete.

NOT SELECTED					
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity
David Scott Gines	Director of Athletics	Texas A&M University-Kingsville	Lone Star Conference	M	
L. Renae Myles	Assistant Athletic Director	Southwest Baptist University	Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association	F	Y

Name	Kathy Lynn Anderson
Designation	Assoc. AD for Internal Operations/SWA
Gender	F
Ethnicity	N
Institution	University of Central Missouri
Conference	Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association
Playing Conference	Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	I believe that I would bring the perspective of both a coach and administrator to this committee. As a long time coach of women's basketball, I believe I possess the necessary knowledge of the sport to assist in the ranking of teams according to published criteria, to assist in the development of this criteria and to contribute as a member of a committee to the overall continued development of the the sport of women's basketball and its championships. As an administrator, I possess experience in areas of sport/event management, the communication skills to deal with coaches, administrators and outside entities and believe my experience on the DII National Softball Committee is invaluable in understanding the requirements of this position and provides a sound foundation for consideration for this committee. Presently serving on the DII Women's Basketball RAC for the South Central Region provides me with the knowledge of the present environment of my region.
Committees Served On	2004-2007 - Div. II Softball Committee 2006-2007 - Chair, Div. II Softball Committee 2007-present - Chair, MIAA Institutional Representatives 2005-2007 - Vice Chair, MIAA Institutional Representatives
Prof Empl Hist	2005 - present - Assoc AD for Internal Operations, Univ of Central Missouri (SWA) 2002-2005 - Assistant Athletic Director, Northwest Missouri State University (SWA) 1998-2002 - Director of Men's Basketball Operations - University of Washington 1996-1998 - Asst to the AD for Men's and Women's Basketball, Univ of Washington 2000 - Assistant coach for the Seattle Storm (WNBA) 1998 - Assistant coach for the Phoenix Mercury (WNBA) 1985-1996 - Assistant Women's Basketball coach - University of Washington 1980-1985 - Assistant Women's Basketball coach - California State University, Fullerton
Education	M.S. in PE with emphasis in Sports Administration - Seattle Pacific University - 2000 B.S. in Physical Education - University of Central Missouri - 1980
Former SA HS Sport	volleyball, basketball
Former Coach HS Sport	track and field
Former SA College Sport	basketball, softball, track and field
Former Coach College Sport	basketball
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	Presently serve on the MIAA conference men's and women's basketball tournament championship committee. Also, as the current chair of the MIAA conference institutional representatives have been involved in planning and operation of all conference tournament championships. University of Central has hosted conference championships in the sports of volleyball, track and field, w. soccer, and baseball.

Anderson (cont'd)

Regional Ranking Exp	2002-2003 - DII Women's Basketball Regional Advisory Committee - South Central Region 2003-2007 - DII Softball National Committee - North Central Region☐ 2006-2007 - Chair of the NCAA National Softball Committee☐ 2008-present - DII Women's Basketball Regional Advisory Committee - South Central Region
References	Mr. Jim Johnson - MIAA Conference commissioner☐ Dr. Bob Boerigter - Athletic Director, Northwest Missouri State University☐ Mr. Jerry Hughes - Athletic Director, University of Central Missouri
Contacted References	Y

2008-09 DIVISION II FIELD HOCKEY COMMITTEE

Composition: Four members. Two from each of the Division II field hockey regions – North (East region); South (Mid Atlantic, Midwest and Southeast regions). Quota of 50 percent administrators: **2** Coach: **2**

Vacancies: **One vacancy. Administrator from the North region.**

Recommendation: **Molly Myers**

Staff Liaison: Jeff Jarnecke

Chair:

REG	POS	EM/ GEN	NAME, INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
N	C	N/F	Shannon Hlebichuk University of Massachusetts at Lowell	Northeast 10	Hlebichuk*			
S	C	N/F	Betty Wesner Kutztown University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic	Wesner	Wesner*		
S	Assoc. AD	N/M	Jeff Michaels Shippensburg University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic	Michaels	Michaels*		
N	C	N/F	Kelley Frassinelli Southern Connecticut University	Northeast-10	Frassinelli	Frassinelli	Frassinelli	Frassinelli*

*Not eligible for reappointment

4/8/09

DIVISION II FIELD HOCKEY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION						
SELECTED						
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
Molly Myers	Assistant Commissioner	Northeast-10 Conference	Northeast-10 Conference	F		Only nominee. Vacancy has been advertised twice.

Name	Molly Myers
Designation	Assistant Commisssioner
Gender	F
Ethnicity	N
Institution	Northeast-10 Conference
Conference	Northeast-10 Conference
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	
Committees Served On	Division I Initial-Eligibility Waivers Committee, Transcript-Change/GPA Subcommittee; Dec. 2007 - September 2008
Prof Empl Hist	Assistant Commissioner, Northeast-10, September 2008-present Compliance Coordinator, Villanova University, September 2004-September 2008 Asst AD/SWA, Compliance, Academic Advisor, Longwood University, July 2003-04 Compliance Assistant, Cornell Unviersity, September 2002-June 2003 School/Team Sales Representative, Anaconda Sports, Oct. 2001 – Sept. 2002 Administrative Intern, Patriot League, Aug. 2000 - May 2001
Education	Indiana University, Bloomington, Ind., June 2000, Master of Science in Athletic Administration/Sports Management Hartwick College, Oneonta, N.Y., May 1999, BA in Political Science and Economics
Former SA HS Sport	Cross Country, Outdoor Track & Field
Former Coach HS Sport	Tennis
Former SA College Sport	Tennis
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	At the Northeast-10 and Patriot League, I have
References	
Contacted References	

2008-09 DIVISION II FOOTBALL COMMITTEE

Composition: Eight members. Two from each of the Division II football super regionals – Super Regional 1 (East and Atlantic regions), Super Regional 2 (South and Southeast regions), Super Regional 3 (Central and Midwest regions) and Super Regional 4 (South Central and West regions). Quota of 50 percent administrators: 4.

Each member must represent a different member conference or independent institution.

Vacancies: **One immediate vacancy. Robert Page has taken employment at the NCAA. The appointee must be from Super Regional 1. Coach or administrator. One September 2009 vacancy. Super Regional 1. Coach or administrator.**

Recommendations: **One-year term extension for Monte Cater; appoint Frank Condino replace Roberta Page.**

Staff Liaison: Randy Buhr

Chair:

REG	POS	EM/ GEN	NAME, INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
1	A/C	N/M	Monte Cater Shepherd University	West Virginia Intercollegiate Athletic	Cater*			
1	AD	N/F	Roberta Page Shippensburg University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic	Page	Page	Page*	
2	AD	N/M	Jay Adcox Southern Arkansas University	Gulf South	Adcox	Adcox	Adcox	Adcox*
2	C	N/M	Timothy Clifton Mars Hill College	South Atlantic	Clifton	Clifton*		
3	AD (AD)	N/M (N/M)	Kevin Buisman Minnesota State University, Mankato (Tom Spicer Colorado School of Mines)	Northern Sun Intercollegiate (Rocky Mountain Athletic)	Buisman*	(Spicer)	(Spicer)	(Spicer 9/13*)
3	AD	N/M	Tom Kirinovic Ferris State University	Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic	Kirinovic	Kirinovic		
4	C	N/M	William Maskill Midwestern State University	Lone Star	Maskill	Maskill	Maskill	Maskill*
4	Assoc AD	N/M	Shawn Jones University of Central Missouri	Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletic	Jones	Jones	Jones	Jones*

6/9/09

DIVISION II FOOTBALL COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

SELECTED						
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
Frank J. Condino	AD	Indiana University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference	M		Committee's first choice. Extensive football background (former coach); long time athletics administrator.
Todd Jay	Associate AD	Edinboro University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference	M		Committee's second choice. RAC experience.

NOT SELECTED					
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity
Bryan Collins	AD/ Head Football Coach	C.W. Post Campus/Long Island University	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference	M	
Thomas Gioglio	AD	East Stroudsburg University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference	M	
Chris Snyder	AD	Seton Hill University	West Virginia Intercollegiate Athletic Conference	M	
Dennis E. Helsel*	Vice president/Director of Athletics	Chowan University	Central Intercollegiate Athletic Association	M	

***Current committee service.**

At the request of the Football Committee the Nominating Committee considered a recommendation to grant a one-year term extension for Monte Cater. This request is being forwarded to the Championships Committee. The Nominating Committee believes that due to the turnover on the Football Committee in this region it is in the best interest of the committee to grant the term extension. (Please see attached e-mail request.)

Name	Frank Condino
Designation	AD
Gender	M
Ethnicity	N
Institution	Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Conference	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	I have been an athletics administrator since 1990 and a collegiate coach for over a decade before that. I believe my collegiate coaching and administrative warrant an opportunity to serve.
Committees Served On	regional golf 2005-06
Prof Empl Hist	1972-75 US Marine Corps 1975-76 served as a GA in football and administration at East Stroudsburg University of PA 1976-78 taught and coached in high schools in NY and PA 1978-80 served as a teaching GA and one year GA in football at West Virginia University 1980-84 taught and coached at Ashland University 1984-2006 coached and served as an administrator at Indiana University of PA
Education	1968-72 BS Degree Lock Haven University of PA 1975-76 MEd Degree East Stroudsburg University of PA 1978-80 EdD Degree West Virginia University
Former SA HS Sport	football, track&field
Former Coach HS Sport	football, track&field
Former SA College Sport	football, baseball
Former Coach College Sport	football, track&field
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	Baseball Sports Committee Chairperson; Track and Field Sports Committee Chairperson; Golf sports Committee Chairperson
Regional Ranking Exp	Was on the regional golf committee
References	Fran Nee Indiana University of PA
Contacted References	Y

Name	Todd V. Jay
Designation	Associate Athletic Director
Gender	M
Ethnicity	N
Institution	Edinboro University of Pennsylvania
Conference	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	I feel very strongly that I am qualified for this position because of my extensive past experience on regional advisory committees. In addition, as our university's rules and compliance coordinator my involvement with the NCAA has been continuous during my tenure as the Associate Athletic Director. If and when you check with my referneces you will find that my knowledge of the sports and my integrity has never been in question. I am always prepared and have been a intregal part of the decision making process as a long time member of the regional advisory committees. But most important is my attention to the overall well being of the student athletes and what is fair to them in all of my decision making. I have always been a strong advocate of the NCAA and believe what we are doing on these committees is always in the interest of the student athlete. The formulas developed have been well thought out and give the proper balance to selection of the teams for the championships.
Committees Served On	Mens basketball- current RAC; also 98-01 and one other three year block women's basketball- 01-04 football- 05-07
Prof Empl Hist	Sports Information Director 1985-1997 Assitant in Enrollment Management 1997-1998 Associate Athletic Director 1998-current All appointments at Edinboro Universsity of PA
Education	BS Education- Clarion University of PA 1982 MS Communication- Clarion 1985 teunure faculty member with 15 additional post graduate credits at Edinboro
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	Have hosted numerous Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference, Eastern Wrestling League Touraments and some national championship regional events during my 23 years as an athletic administrator at Edinboro University of Pennsylvania
Regional Ranking Exp	To the best of my memory over my tenure I have been on the regional advisory committees for football, men's and women's basketball for a total of 10 years
References	Steve Murray- PSAC commissioner Monte Cater- Shepherd College head football coach Bruce Baumgartner- AD Edinboro Universiy
Contacted References	Y

From: Buhr, Randy
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 9:49 AM
To: Tufano, Sharon
Subject: Monte Cater - Term Extension Request

Sharon,

Per our conversation yesterday, I wanted to make sure that the Division II Nominating Committee had information for their June 8 conference call regarding the Division II Football Committee's desire for a one-year term extension for Monte Cater. Mr. Cater is the head football coach at Shepherd University (West Virginia Intercollegiate Athletic Conference representative), and he has served admirably on the committee for four years. He has been very diligent and thorough in the administration of his duties.

As you know, the Northeast Region (now referenced as Super Regional One) has had high turnover in the last several years. Bob DeFelice of Bentley resigned two years ago just prior to the selection weekend. Monte really had to step up that postseason. In addition, immediately following the most recent 2008 championship, Bill Elias, Jr. of Gannon resigned from the committee after only one year of service because he took a new job at Miami of Ohio. In addition, Roberta Page was recently appointed to the Division II Football Committee from this selection region, and she was to have begun her service on September 1. She will not be able to accept this appointment as she accepted a new job starting next month with the NCAA as a director of championships. There is also a second vacancy for this selection region that was not filled earlier. As such, there are still two openings on the Division II Football Committee from Super Regional One.

In addition, the composition of next year's regional advisory committee (RAC) in this selection region is such that there will be huge turnover. There will be four and possibly five new members on the Super Regional One RAC in 2009 (out of eight members), and the other three selection regions will respectively only have two; one; and one replacements that are needed per region. Monte Cater would be able to provide some continuity in the process, and much needed guidance and leadership with so many new RAC members in this selection region.

Finally, after reviewing the list of nominees that you mentioned, I don't believe any of them have any Division II Football RAC experience, with the exception of Todd Jay of Edinboro. Although I know that the Division II Championships Committee does not look favorably on term extensions, I thought that it would be important that I express the strong desires of the Division II Football Committee to provide Monte Cater with a one-year term extension. I think the aforementioned rationale expresses very well the reasons for their making this recommendation. I think that it would be a good idea also that the second committee member appointed to this committee have Division II Football RAC experience.

I hope this information is helpful.

Randy

Randy L. Buhr
Associate Director of Championships
National Collegiate Athletic Association
Phone: 317/917-6222
Fax: 317/917-6235
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 6222, Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6222
Shipping/Overnight Address: 1802 Alonzo Watford Sr. Drive, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

2008-09 DIVISION II WOMEN'S LACROSSE COMMITTEE

(Division II Championships Administration Only)

Composition: Four members, two from the North (East) and two from the South (Central, Midwest, Southeast and Atlantic). Quota of 50 percent administrators: **2**.

Vacancies: One immediate vacancy. Julian Domenech has resigned from the committee due to leaving Pfeiffer University. The appointee must be an administrator from the South region.

Reappoint: **Michelle Gober.**

Staff Liaison: Jeff Jarnecke

Chair:

REG	POS	EM/ GEN	NAME AND INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
N	Assoc AD	N/M	Brendan Sullivan Stonehill College	Northeast-10	Sullivan	Sullivan	Sullivan	Sullivan*
N	C	N/M	Tom Campolettano Molloy College	East Coast	Campolettano	Campolettano *		
S	C	N/F	Kristen Selvage Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic	Selvage	Selvage	Selvage*	
S	Assoc AD	N/F	Michelle Gober Kutztown University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic	Gober			

*Not eligible for reappointment

4/8/09

2008-09 WOMEN'S LACROSSE RULES COMMITTEE

(Association-Wide Rules Administration)

Nine members including a nonvoting secretary-rules editor. Four members from Division I (including each subdivision of Division I in which a substantial number of schools sponsor the sport), one member from Division II and three members from Division III. One member shall be elected chair. Quota of 25 percent administrators: **2**. Quota of 50 percent coaches: **4**.

Policy: Each division will adhere to the 50 percent coaches independently.

Vacancies: One vacancy. Coach or administrator.

Recommendation: Julie Redman.

Staff Liaison: Ty Halpin

Chair: Danie Caro (9/08-9/10)

DIV	POS	EM/ GEN	NAME, INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
FCS	C	N/F	Missy Doherty Towson University	Colonial Athletic	Doherty	Doherty	Doherty	Doherty*
FBS	C	N/F	Kelly Amonte-Hiller Northwestern University	Big Ten	Amonte-Hiller	Amonte-Hiller*		
DI (FCS)	Sr Assoc AD (C)	N/F (N/F)	Barbara Questa St. Bonaventure University (Keely McDonald Brown University)	Atlantic 10 (Ivy Group)	Questa*	(McDonald)	(McDonald)	(McDonald 9/13*)
FCS	C	N/F	Danie Caro Quinnipiac University	Northeast	Caro	Caro	Caro*	
II	C	N/M	Cecil Pilson Mercyhurst College	Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic	Pilson*			
III	AD	N/F	Gail Cummings-Danson Skidmore College	Liberty League	Cummings- Danson	Cummings- Danson	Cummings- Danson	Cummings- Danson*
III	C/A	N/F	Kathy Railey Villa Julie College	Capital Athletic	Railey	Railey*		
III	C	N/F	Christine Paradis Amherst College	New England Small College Athletic	Paradis	Paradis	Paradis*	
<i>Secretary-Rules Editor</i>								

*Not eligible for reappointment 4/8/09

WOMEN'S LACROSSE RULES COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION						
SELECTED						
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
Julie Redman	Head Women's Lacrosse Coach	Converse College	Conference Carolinas	F		Committee's first choice. RAC experience; former student-athlete.
Lyndsey Boswell	Head Women's Lacrosse Coach	St. Andrews Presbyterian College	Conference Carolinas	F		

NOT SELECTED					
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity
Tom Emberley	Assistant Commissioner	East Coast Conference	East Coast Conference	M	

Name	Julie Redman
Designation	Head Women's Lacrosse Coach
Gender	F
Ethnicity	N
Institution	Converse College
Conference	Conference Carolinas
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	I feel it is important to garner as much experience as possible at the national level to help bring exposure to my institution and to the sport of lacrosse. As the sport is evolving so quickly I would like to be a part of the evolution and the development of the sport so that my daughters will be able to play and compete in the same sport that I did. I believe in the importance of collegiate athletics and what it can do to develop leadership and confidence in our young women. I have sat on a number of regional lacrosse committees and feel this would be the next step for me to take where I could potentially impact the game. I take all of my responsibilities incredibly seriously and am aware and look forward to the tenure this position requires.
Committees Served On	2008 – NCAA Regional Advisory Board - lacrosse
Prof Empl Hist	May 2008 – Present Converse College Head Women's Lacrosse Coach 2002-08 University of New England Head Women's Lacrosse/Field Hockey Coach Clinic Experience/Certifications/Memberships: 2008 – Present – NCAA Regional Advisory Board 2007/2008 – Facilitator NCAA Regional Leadership Conferences 2007 - CHAIR, ECAC Division III New England Regional Selection Committee 2007 - NCAA Women Coaches Academy Dimension II Graduate 2006 – NCAA Women Coaches Academy Graduate 2006 – Head Clinician IWLCA Coach's Clinic, NH 2003 – Women's Lacrosse Coordinator, US Scholar Athlete Games 1998 – Present: Red Cross First Aid/CPR Certified 2002 – Present: Member of US Lacrosse, IWLCA, and NFHCA.
Education	1995 – 1999 University of New Hampshire •BS - Kinesiology (emphasis in Athletic Training) 2007 – Present Northeastern University Masters in Sport Management/Leadership (Estimated graduation date: May 2009)
Former SA HS Sport	girls lacrosse
Former Coach College Sport	women's lacrosse
Regional Ranking Exp	2007 - CHAIR, ECAC Division III New England Regional Selection Committee
References	Director of Athletics, Converse College, Joy Couch, 864-577-2050 Assistant Director of Athletics, Converse College, Susan Kunkler, 864-577-2050 Director of Athletics, University of New England, Kim Allen, 207-283-0171
Contacted References	Y

Name	Lyndsey Boswell
Designation	Head Women's Lacrosse Coach
Gender	F
Ethnicity	N
Institution	St. Andrews Presbyterian College
Conference	Conference Carolinas
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	I have been at this level of lacrosse for 9 years, as a player for four years, and coaching for five years. I keep myself up to date with rules and the game and I feel like I would be able to contribute.
Committees Served On	IWLCA weekly ranking poll committee(2007-present)
Prof Empl Hist	Pfeiffer University, assistant lacrosse coach...2years St. Andrews, Head Coach...3 years
Education	Pfeiffer University, 4 year degree
Former SA HS Sport	lacrosse, basketball
Former SA College Sport	Lacrosse
Former Coach College Sport	Lacrosse
Regional Ranking Exp	I have been on the IWLCA ranking committee for two years.
References	Brian Mand- Athletic Director at St. Andrews Presbyterian College Dave Davis- Basketball Coach at Pfeiffer University Keith Williams- Baseball Coach at St. Andrews Presbyterian College
Contacted References	Y

2008-09 DIVISION II WOMEN'S ROWING COMMITTEE

(Championship)

Legislated Three members. One from each region. East (East, Midwest and Atlantic regions), South and West. Required number of administrators: **2**

Requirements:

Vacancies: Two vacancies. West and East regions. Both must be administrators.

Recommendation: West region: Patricia Pinkerton. East region: Christopher O'Brien.

Staff Liaison: Mark Bedics

Chair: Erin O'Connell (9/06-9/08)

REG	POS	EM/ GEN	NAME AND INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
S	C	N/M	John Gartin Nova Southeastern University	Sunshine State	Gartin	Gartin	Gartin*	
W	Asst. AD	N/F	Erin O'Connell Seattle Pacific University	Great Northwest	O'Connell* (one year term extension)			
E	C/A	N/M	Frank Pizzardi Dowling College	East Coast	Pizzardi*			

6/9/09

DIVISION II ROWING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**SELECTED -- West region**

Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
Patricia Pinkerton	Boathouse Director/head coach	University of California, San Diego	California Collegiate Athletic Association	F		Only nominee. Former student-athlete; has administrative duties. Vacancy has been advertised twice.

SELECTED -- East region

Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
Christopher O'Brien	Head Rowing Coach/assistant director of compliance	Philadelphia University	Central Atlantic Athletic Conference	M		Only nominee. RAC experience; former student-athlete.

Name	Christopher L. O'Brien
Designation	Head Rowing Coach/Asst. CCD
Gender	M
Ethnicity	N
Institution	Philadelphia University
Conference	Central Atlantic Collegiate Conference
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	With eight years of high school and collegiate coaching experience, three years of directing and overseeing a full collegiate program and my recent role as East Regional Committee member, I am well prepared to accept the title of East Region Chair and National Committee member.
Committees Served On	NCAA East Regional Women's Rowing Committee: 1/1/08-Present.
Prof Empl Hist	Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania (2003-2006); relationship manager within Commercial Real Estate Division, handling loans from 10 Million to 100 Million to Real Estate Developers. Philadelphia University (2006-Present); Head Coach of Men's and Women's Rowing, Assistant Director of Compliance
Education	Villanova University (1998-2002) B.S. Business Administration Philadelphia University (2009-?) M.B.A.
Former SA College Sport	Rowing
Former Coach College Sport	Rowing
Former Coach Other Sport	Penn A.C. Boathouse: Rowing
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	The Division II East Region does not officially have a conference or a regional championships. However, when Phila U started rowing in 2007-2008, we began a regional championship. In the first year, Phila U hosted the regatta, which included planning of referees, regatta site, timers, travel and hotel arrangements with teams, etc.
Regional Ranking Exp	I have spent two years of the East Region ranking committee, which involves weekly conference calls with two other committee members, discussing the previous weeks racing and proper rankings for each team within the east region.
References	Tom Shirley, Director of Athletics; Philadelphia University (215-951-2720) Lynn Tubman, Senior Woman's Administrator; Philadelphia University (215-951-5638) Dr. Geoff Cromarty, Vice President of Planning and Institutional Advancement; Philadelphia University (215-951-2972)
Contacted References	Y

Name	Patricia Ellen Pinkerton
Designation	Boathouse Director/Head Coach
Gender	F
Ethnicity	N
Institution	University of California, San Diego
Conference	California Collegiate Athletic Association
Playing Conference	Independent
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	I believe I can make a contribution to the Women's D-II Rowing Committee. I have a broad overview of the history of women's rowing in the US, and after running many regional clinics for the Women's Rowing Association and Van Dusen Racing Boats, I believe I have an understanding of the characteristics of the different regions. I am strongly committed to the principles of the NCAA and to developing student athletes and the sport.
Committees Served On	<p>2000-Present: Chair of the Intercollegiate Rowing Consortium (handles all Boathouse issues for University of San Diego and University of California, San Diego's shared facility.)²</p> <p>Steward 2006-Present: Western Intercollegiate Rowing Association (independent rowing organization manages Conference Championships for 33 universities and colleges, ranging from D-I through D-II, D-II and club rowing programs)²</p> <p>NCAA Regional Committee- 2003-05 (I think); 2007-Present Regional DII Rowing Committee</p> <p>Minister's Sports Coaching Commission-1995-1998 West Australian regional sports advisory committee reporting directly to the Minister of Sport, handling sport coach development, education and grant proposals</p> <p>Board of Directors- Women's Sports Foundation- Australia- 1995-1998²</p> <p>Board of Directors-US Rowing 1982-1985 governing body and NGB of US Rowing²</p> <p>President- National Women's Rowing Association 1980-1984- at the time, the NWRA governed women's rowing, ran regional and national championships</p>
Prof Empl Hist	<p>1999-Present- Boathouse Manager/ Head Women's Rowing Coach- UC San Diego-manages all aspects of rowing, and manages jointly owned boathouse facilities.²</p> <p>1998-1999 Head Women's Rowing Coach at Gonzaga University²</p> <p>1990-1998 Senior Coach West Australian Institute of Sport- developed Talent Identification Program, and coached state and National teams to National and International competition.²</p> <p>1988-1992 Head Rowing Coach- Perth College²</p> <p>1985-1988 Director- West Australian Rowing Association- managed conference, staged conference championships, managed budgets and program delivery²</p> <p>1983-1985 Executive Director San Diego Rowing Club²</p> <p>1978-1983- Customer Service- Van Dusen Racing Boats (Composite Engineering)- worked as office manager, and also ran rowing clinics, and handled all equipment issues in the field, including rigging and repairs (locally and internationally)</p>
Education	<p>B.A. Sports Administration, University of the Americas 2006²</p> <p>Graduate Diploma of Coaching, University of Queensland, Australian Coaching Council 1999</p>
Former SA HS Sport	swimming, rowing, volleyball
Former Coach HS Sport	ROWING
Former SA College Sport	softball, volleyball, rowing

2008-09 DIVISION II WOMEN'S SOCCER COMMITTEE

Composition: Eight members. Division II women's soccer regions: West, Central, South Central, Midwest, South, Southeast, Atlantic, East. Quota of 50 percent administrators: **4**.

Vacancies: **One vacancy. South region. Coach or administrator.**

Reappoint: **Pat Britz**

Recommendation: **Ged O'Connor**

Staff Liaison: Russ Yurk

Chair:

REG	POS	EM/ GEN	NAME, INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
Atl	C	N/M	Gary Kagiavas Edinboro University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic	Kagiavas	Kagiavas	Kagaivas	Kagaivas*
Cen	A	N/F	Sarah Meier Rocky Mountain Athletic Conference	Rocky Mountain Athletic	Meier	Meier*		
East	Assoc AD	N/F	Susan Zawacki University of New Haven	Northeast-10	Zawacki	Zawacki	Zawacki	Zawacki*
SoE	Comm	N/M	Patrick Britz South Atlantic Conference	South Atlantic	Britz			
So	A/C	N/F	Patricia Hughes University of Montevallo	Gulf South	Hughes*			
Mid W	SWA	N/F	Bridget Beerman Northern Michigan University	Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic	Beerman	Beerman		
West	C	N/F	Kim Sutton California State University, Chico	California Collegiate Athletic	Sutton	Sutton*		
SoC	C	N/M	Jeff Hansen Missouri Western State University	Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics	Hansen	Hansen	Hansen*	

*Not eligible for reappointment

4/8/09

Name	Ged O'Connor
Designation	Head Women's Soccer Coach
Gender	F
Ethnicity	N
Institution	Saint Leo University
Conference	Sunshine State Conference
Playing Conference	Sunshine State Conference
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Committees Served On	n/a
Prof Empl Hist	Head Women's Soccer Coach, SLU. 2006- current Asst at SLU. 2002- 2006 Director of Coaching, Everton Youth Soccer Club. 2005- present NSCAA Staff Coach/ clinician. 2007- present
Qualification Statement	I am interested in getting involved and giving back to the student- athletes, via the NCAA and be a servant to the sport in as many ways as possible. I feel it is vital to support the sport and organization through which I make a living doing a job that I love to do.
Education	B.Sc. Business Webber College, 1999 M.Sc. USM, 2002 MBA Saint Leo University, 2004
Former SA HS Sport	Soccer
Former Coach HS Sport	Cricket
Former Coach College Sport	Soccer
Regional Ranking Exp	NSCAA Regional Chair for the past 2 years
References	Fran Reidy, AD at SLU Mike Goodrich, Head Women's Soccer Coach at Nova Southeastern University
Contacted References	Y

DIVISION II WOMEN'S SOCCER COMMITTEE NOMINEES						
SELECTED						
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
Ged O'Connor	Head Women's Soccer Coach	Saint Leo University	Sunshine State Conference	F		Only nominee. Former student-athlete; NSCAA regional ranking experience.

Pinkerton (cont'd)

Former Coach College Sport	Rowing
Former SA Other Sport	international rowing
Former Coach Other Sport	West Australian Institute of Sport-Rowing
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	As President of the US National Women's Rowing Association, I ran three National Championships (Regatta Director), while living in Australia, I helped run two international regattas (Regatta Director), and have worked at four Olympic rowing regattas (Equipment Specialist, journalist, television camera boat driver, announcer), and three World Championships (boatman, Latin American liason/translator, journalist) and several Pan American Games (Rio-games volunteer-course management, and volunteer official at 3 annual Kayak Pan American regattas. I have also established and managed the San Diego Row for the Cure for the past 5 years.
Regional Ranking Exp	I have been on the NCAA Regional Ranking committee for the West Region for two terms. I'm also on the D-II CRCA Polling committee, and WIRA (Western Intercollegiate Rowing Association) Championship Polling.
References	Wendy Taylor UC San Diego wstaylor@ucsd.edu Leanne Crain Head womens Coach- University of Central Florida Robin Meiggs- Head Womens' Coach; Humboldt State University
Contacted References	Y

2008-09 DIVISION II WOMEN'S SOFTBALL COMMITTEE

Composition: Eight members. One from each Division II softball region (West, Central, South Central, Midwest, South, Southeast, Atlantic, East). Quota of 50 percent administrators: 4.

Vacancies: One vacancy. South region. Coach or administrator.

Recommendation: Christi Wade.

Staff Liaison: Shonna Brown

Chair: Kathy Anderson

REG	POS	EM/ GEN	NAME, INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
Mid W	C/A (Sr Assoc AD)	N/F (N/F)	Kathy Stewart Northern Kentucky University (Lisa Sweany Grand Valley State University) Pending approval.	Great Lakes Valley (Great Lakes Intercollegiate)	Stewart*	(Sweany)	(Sweany)	(Sweany 9/13*)
W	C	N/F	Pamela Knox Western Oregon University	Great Northwest Athletic	Knox	Knox	Knox	Knox*
Atl	C	N/F	Kelley Green Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic	Green	Green	Green*	
Cen	C/A	N/F	Lori Meyer Minnesota State University, Mankato	Northern Sun Intercollegiate	Meyer	Meyer	Meyer*	
So	AD	N/M	Sam Goodwin Henderson State University	Gulf South	Goodwin*			
SoC	C	N/M	Kevin Blaskowski West Texas A&M University	Lone Star	Blaskowski	Blaskowski*		
East	AD	N/F	Laura Liesman Georgian Court University	Central Atlantic Collegiate	Liesman	Liesman		
SoE	A/C	N/M	Jimmy Martin Limestone College	Conference Carolinas	Martin	Martin	Martin	Martin*

*Not eligible for reappointment

4/8/09

Name	Christi Wade
Designation	Assistant A.D./Head Softball Coach/SWA
Gender	F
Ethnicity	N
Institution	Saint Leo University
Conference	Sunshine State Conference
Playing Conference	Sunshine State Conference
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	I have and presently now serving on the softball regional advisory committee I know and understand the work that goes into this committee. This committee has the toughest job each year in setting its policy and educating the membership, I would like to use my years of experience to work on this committee to make a difference for all the student-athletes and institutions that would have the opportunity to participate in a NCAA Championship.
Committees Served On	Softball Rules Committee (2002-2006)☐ Softball Rule Committee Chair (2004-2006)☐ South Region Advisory Committee (1996-1997, 1998-2001)☐ South Region Advisory Committee (2006-2009) ☐ Sunshine State Conference Officiating Committee ? (2005 - present)☐ Sunshine State Conference SAAC Committee Advisor ? (1999 ? present)☐ Sunshine State Conference Tournament Committee - (2006-)
Prof Empl Hist	1997-present ☐Assistant Athletic Director-Internal/ SWA,Saint Leo University 1996-present☐Head Softball Coach, Saint Leo University Accomplishments: Career Coaching Record 1996-2006 (333-233) 2000, 2002, 2005, 2006 Post Season Participant in the NCAA South Regional Tournament 2001, 2002 2nd Place finish in Sunshine State Conference Finished in the top 5 the past 8 years in the Sunshine State Conference Broke the school record in wins for two consecutive seasons 1999, 2000, 2002 1999-present☐Adjunct Professor, Saint Leo University Teach Wellness courses in the Department of Education.
Education	1995-1996☐Master?s of Education ? Special Education (MR), University of West Alabama 1989-1994☐Bachelor of Science in Physical Education (K-12), University of West Alabama
Former SA HS Sport	Volleyball, Softball
Former SA College Sport	Volleyball, Softball
Former Coach College Sport	Softball

Wade (cont'd)

Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	Within the past year Saint Leo University hosted three major conference championships (Men's Soccer, Men & Women's Cross Country, and Men's & Women's Basketball), as well as the South Region Men's Soccer Tournament. I was involved in all aspects of planning each championship. Men's Soccer ? I was directly responsible for field set-up (banners, crowd flow, media tent, and hospitality tent), tickets and concessions and practice times for the visiting teams. Men & Women's Cross Country ? I was involved in the planning and oversight of the championship that took place at an off campus venue. Men's & Women's Basketball ? I was director in the planning and oversight of the facility (staging of the gym, hospitality room, media room, ticket set-up, locker rooms, and working with all the outside vendors).
Regional Ranking Exp	I have served on the south region softball advisory committee in the past and understand how hard and valuable the committee work is. I have had two stints on the advisory committee in the past and will begin serving again this year.
References	Dr. Arthur F. Kirk, President, Saint Leo University, (352) 588-8242 Mike Marcil Commissioner Sunshine State Conference (407) 248-8460 Fran Reidy Director of Athletics Saint Leo University (352)588-8246
Contacted References	Y

2008-09 SOFTBALL RULES COMMITTEE

(ASSOCIATION-WIDE RULES ADMINISTRATION)

Legislated
Requirements: Nine members. Four Division I, two Division II, two Division III and an additional member shall be secretary-rules editor. Quota of 25 percent administrators:
2. Quota of 50 percent coaches: 4.

Policy: Each division shall adhere to the 50% coaches' requirement independently.

Vacancies: **Two immediate vacancies.** Division I: Gwen Lewis has retired from the University of Evansville. Per cabinet policy the Missouri Valley Conference may submit a nominee to complete the remainder of Ms. Lewis' term. The appointee should be a coach. **Division II: Jamie Brown has resigned from California, Chico. The appointee may be a coach or administrator.**

Recommendation: **Patti Gerckens.**

Staff Liaison: Teresa Smith

Chair:

DIV	POS	EM/ GEN	NAME, INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
FBS	C	N/M	Ken Ericksen University of South Florida	Big East	Ericksen	Ericksen	Ericksen*	
FBS	Assoc AD	N/F	Heather Lyke Catalano Ohio State University	Big Ten	Catalano	Catalano	Catalano	Catalano*
DI	C	N/F	Gwendolyn Lewis University of Evansville	Missouri Valley	Lewis	Lewis	Lewis*	
FCS (FCS)	C (Asst AD)	N/F (N/F)	Jane Worthington Eastern Kentucky University (Meaghan Almon Hofstra University)	Ohio Valley (Colonial Athletic)	Worthington*	(Almon)	(Almon)	(Almon 9/13*)
II	C	Y/F	Jamie Brown California State University, Chico	California Collegiate Athletic	Brown	Brown*		
II	C	N/M	Jerry Snyder University of South Carolina Aiken	Peach Belt	Snyder	Snyder	Snyder*	
III	AD (C)	N/F (N/F)	Lynn Oberbillig Smith College (Ruth Kmak University of Chicago)	New England Women's and Men's Athletics (University Athletic)	Oberbillig*	(Kmak)	(Kmak)	(Kmak 9/13*)
III	C/a	N/F	Vickie Van Kleeck Ferrum College	USA South Athletic	Van Kleeck	(Van Kleeck)	(Van Kleeck)	(Van Kleeck 9/13*)
<i>Secretary-rules editor</i>			<i>Dee Abrahamson</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>Abrahamson</i>	<i>Abrahamson</i>	<i>Abrahamson*</i>	

* Not eligible for reappointment 6/9/09

Name	Patti Gerckens
Designation	Head Softball Coach
Gender	F
Ethnicity	N
Institution	University of California, San Diego
Conference	California Collegiate Athletic Association
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	I have a great respect and understanding for the rules and want to take part in future interpretations and changes. I feel that I have been coaching for a good number of years to make an impact on any rules that may be proposed or contested.
Committees Served On	West Regional Ranking Committee Member - 2003-06 Head Coaches Committee representative for West- Present Ethics Committee - 2004
Prof Empl Hist	1989-1991 Jr. High/High School Teacher in Cresskill, NJ 1991- 1992 Assistant Softball Coach UC San Diego 11992-Present Head Softball coach, UC San Diego
Education	BA University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill in Health/Physical Education MA San Diego State University in Sport Psychology
Former SA HS Sport	Volleyball, Basketball
Former Coach HS Sport	Softball
Former Coach College Sport	Softball
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	Participated in the last 2 of 3 conference championships and I am confident that I could run a conference tournament.
Regional Ranking Exp	Division II - West Regional Committee member 2004-2006 Division III - West Regional Comittee member 1993-97 Division III I was on the Top 25 Ranking committee
References	Wendy Taylor May Judy Sweet
Contacted References	Y

SOFTBALL RULES COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

SELECTED						
Nominee	Designation	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
Patti Gerckens	Head Softball Coach	University of California, San Diego	California Collegiate Athletic Association	F		Committee's only recommendation. Previous committee experience; 17 years experience.

NOT SELECTED					
Nominee	Designation	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity
Kathleen Cummings	Head Softball Coach	Millersville University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference	F	
Melissa Frost-Fisher	Head Women's Softball Coach	University of Indianapolis	Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic Conference	F	
Miguel A. Justiniano, Jr.	Head Softball Coach	Fayetteville State University	Central Intercollegiate Athletic Association	M	Y
Kristene M. Kelly	Associate Athletics Director	St. Augustine's College	Central Intercollegiate Athletic Association	F	Y
Kellianne Milliner	Assistant AD/SWA	West Chester University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference	F	
Fred Nuesch	Coordinator of Athletic External Affairs	Texas A&M University-Kingsville	Lone Star Conference	M	
Paige Smith	Softball Coach	Adelphi University	Northeast-10 Conference	F	
Angela Tressel	Assistant Commissioner/CCD	Conference Carolinas	Conference Carolinas	F	
Christi Wade	Associate AD/ Head Softball Coach / SWA	Saint Leo University	Sunshine State Conference	F	

2008-09 DIVISION II MEN'S AND WOMEN'S TENNIS COMMITTEE

Composition: Eight members. Three positions will be allocated for men, three positions allocated for women and two positions unallocated. Quota of 50 percent administrators: **4**.

One from each of the tennis regions: West, Central, South Central, South, Southeast, Midwest, Atlantic, East.

Vacancies: Two immediate vacancies. John Ruppert resigned from the committee due to Bryant reclassifying to Division I. The appointee must be from the East region and must be an administrator. Amine Boustani has left Drury. The appointee must be from the Midwest region and may be a coach or administrator. One September 2008 vacancy. West region. Coach or administrator.

Reappoint: **Pamela Arpe**

NOTE: Due to duplicate regional representation. Beverly Buckley left the committee in September 2008 with one year remaining on her term. She will be reappointed to the committee at the end of Derrick Racine's term (9/11) to complete her appointment which will end September 1, 2012.

Staff Liaison: Liz Suscha

Chair: Eric Steidlmayer (9/06-9/08)

REG	POS	EM/ GEN	NAME, INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
SoE	A/C	N/F	Robin Langley University of North Caroline at Pembroke	Peach Belt	Langley	Langley	Langley*	
SoC	AD	N/M	Jared Mosley Abilene Christian University	Lone Star	Mosley	Mosley*		
MidW	C	N/M	John Black Grand Valley State University	Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic	Black	Black		
So	C	Y/M	Derrick Racine University of West Florida	Gulf South	Racine	Racine	Racine*	
West	C	N/M	Greg Prudhomme Grand Canyon State University	Pacific West	Prudhomme	Prudhomme	Prudhomme	Prudhomme*
Atl	AD	Y/F	Peggy Davis Virginia State University	Central Intercollegiate Athletic Association	Davis	Davis	Davis	Davis*
Cen	C	N/M	Daniel MacDonald Mesa State College	Rocky Mountain Athletic	MacDonald	MacDonald	MacDonald	MacDonald*
East	Asst AD	N/F	Pamela Arpe Stonehill College	Northeast-10	Arpe			

*Not eligible for reappointment 4/8/09

2008-09 DIVISION II MEN'S AND WOMEN'S TRACK AND FIELD COMMITTEE

(Division II Championships Administration Only)

Composition: Eight members, including four representing men's track and four representing women's track. Two positions are allocated for men, Two for women. Quota of 50 percent administrators: **4**

Regions: West, Central, South Central, Midwest, South, Southeast, Atlantic, East.

Vacancies: **Two vacancies. One must represent men's interests and one must represent women's interests. Atlantic and South regions. Coaches or administrators.**

Recommendation: **Atlantic region: Kristene Kelly. South region: Jamie Moreno.**

Staff Liaison: Maisha Palmer

Chair: Thomas McPhail – 9/05-9/07

DIV.	REG.	POS.	EM/ GEN	NAME AND INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
II (M)	West	AD	N/F	Kim Duyst California State University, Stanislaus	California Collegiate Athletic	Duyst	Duyst	Duyst*	
II (M)	So	A	Y/F	Dianne Watkins Morehouse College	Southern Intercollegiate Athletic	Watkins*			
II (M)	Cen	SWA	Y/F	Sylvia Barnier Minnesota State University Moorhead	Northern Sun Intercollegiate	Barnier	Barnier*		
II (M)	SoE	C/A	N/M	Mike Mead Clayton State University	Peach Belt	Mead	Mead	Mead	Mead*
II (W)	East	A	N/M	Adam Siepiola Adelphi University	East Coast	Siepiola	Siepiola*		
II (W)	SoC	C	N/M	Kirk Pedersen University of Central Missouri	Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics	Pedersen	Pedersen	Pedersen	Pedersen*
II (W)	MidW	Asst C	N/M	Lou Andreadis Grand Valley State University	Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic	Andreadis	Andreadis	Andreadis*	
II (W)	Atl	Asst. AD	N/F	Danielle Barney Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic	Barney*			

* Not eligible for reappointment

4/8/09

Name	Kristene M. Kelly
Designation	Associate Athletics Director
Gender	F
Ethnicity	Y
Institution	St. Augustine's College
Conference	Central Intercollegiate Athletic Association
Playing Conference	
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Committees Served On	CoSIDA publications committee☐ BC-SIDA - Secretary/Treasurer☐ CIAA-SIDA - Vice President
Prof Empl Hist	I worked for six and a half years as the sports information director at Johnson C. Smith University. Now, I am the Associate Athletics Director at Saint Augustine's College.
Qualification Statement	While employed at Johnson C. Smith University, I was the co-chair of the 2007 NCAA Division II Men's and Women's Outdoor Track & Field Championships. I handled several aspects of the championships including but not limited to: packet pick-up, media room, food vendor's village, banquet, some budgetary information, etc.
Education	I have two bachelor's degrees (public relations and sports management) from Johnson C. Smith University and a master's in sports management from the University of Tennessee, Knoxville. I am currently a doctoral student at the United States Sports Academy.
Former Coach HS Sport	Softball
Former SA College Sport	Softball
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	I helped manage the CIAA conference championships for the sports of volleyball and softball. I served as the host SID for the 2003 and 2004 CIAA Spring Sports Championships and served on the 2008 CIAA Volleyball championships committee.
References	Tonia Walker - Associate AD/SWA - Winston-Salem State University Monique Morgan - Associate Com/SWA - Central Intercollegiate Athletic Association
Contacted References	Y

Name	Keith White
Designation	Assistant M & W Track and Field Coach
Gender	M
Ethnicity	N
Institution	Kutztown University of Pennsylvania
Conference	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference
Playing Conference	
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Committees Served On	I will list some of the groups I have served on. With over 28 years in the game the years have become all lost in one over the career! ECAC PSAC NCAA Games Committees, USTCCCA 1st 2nd Vice Pres and President for XC, USTCCCA 1st Vice President, National and regional XC Pollster, National Newsletter Editor
Prof Empl Hist	25 years as Head Coach at Millersville University for XC and Track and Field 3 years as Assistant Track Coach at Kutztown University 4 years as CEO of the Red Rose Distance Project-event management and post collegiate track and field support team.
Qualification Statement	Keith White has over 30 years of coaching experience.
Education	B.S. Education Millersville University
Former SA HS Sport	cross country, track and field
Former Coach HS Sport	cross country
Former SA College Sport	cross country, track and field
Former Coach College Sport	cross country, track and field
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	I have been the meet director of past PSAC Conference XC Championships (2) and PSAC Track and Field Championships.(4) I also am the CEO of the Red Rose Distance Project an event management organization that raises money for post collegiate track athletes, through road race, xc and track event management.
Regional Ranking Exp	I was a regional representative and national pollster in XC for over 7 years.
References	Joe Koch East Stroudsburg University Track and Field Coach Kim Duyst Cal. St. Stanislaus Associate A.D. and S.W.A. Aaron Russell Lock Haven University Track and Field Coach Greg Bamberger Kutztown University Athletic Director
Contacted References	Y

Name	Jamie Moreno
Designation	Head Men's & Women's CC and Track Coach
Gender	M
Ethnicity	N
Institution	Florida Southern College
Conference	Sunshine State Conference
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Committees Served On	
Prof Empl Hist	<p>Warrensburg High School, 1996-2004 Head Boys and Girls Cross Country/Asst. Track & Field MS and HS Business Education Teacher</p> <p>University of Central Missouri State Volunteer Assistant Track and Field Coach 2002-2004</p> <p>William Woods University 2004-2008 Head Men's & Women's Cross Country & Track Coach Physical Education Professor</p> <p>Florida Southern College July 2008 to present Head Men's and Women's Cross Country & Track Coach Physical Education Professor</p>
Qualification Statement	<p>I feel I would be good for this position because of my passion for the sport. I want to do more for the sport on a regional and national level and feel this would be a great opportunity for myself and a way I can give back to the sport. I feel I could be a good voice from the SE United States and I bring a lot of coaching experience from the Midwest. I was a part of a very successful college program at the University of Central Missouri (NCAA DII) as a coach and as an athlete. I am now the head coach at an NCAA DII school with a rich tradition in Cross Country. I feel my educational background in Business and my 13 years of Head Coaching experience would allow me to be a valuable asset to this committee.</p>
Education	<p>Fort Osage High School Graduation of May 1990</p> <p>University of Central Missouri 1990-1995 BS Business Administration - Management Master of Science Educational Technology</p>
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	<p>I was a volunteer assistant track & field coach at the University of Central Missouri. I helped with timing and running off events for several years at the MIAA Championships indoor. While the head coach at William Woods University, I was responsible for running off 2 home conference xc meets. I was also the conference Liaison for cross country in the American Midwest Conference while at WWU. I initiated the start up of the conference cross country meet while at WWU, as well as the first ever conference outdoor track meet this past spring of 2008. I was also the head cross country coach at Warrensburg HS from 1996-2003 where we hosted 4 conference cross country meets and 4 track and field meets.</p>
Regional Ranking Exp	<p>While at William Woods University (NAIA), I was a ranking spokesperson for our conference. Communicated on a regular basis to the Coach at Williams Baptist, TX about our conference schools and schools in MO about where we fit in in the region rankings. Have attended several ranking committee meetings at the NAIA National Cross Country meets over the past 4 years.</p>
References	<p>Kirk Pedersen, Head Cross Country Coach/Co-Head Track and Field Coach, University of Central Missouri State, (573) 592-4205</p> <p>Joe Harlan, Athletic Director, University of Wisconsin Stout, (715) 505-1459</p> <p>Paul Orscheln, Director of Admissions, Northern Arizona University, (928) 523-5995</p>
Contacted References	Y

DIVISION II MEN'S AND WOMEN'S TRACK AND FIELD COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

SELECTED

Region	Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
Atlantic	Kristene M. Kelly	Associate Athletics Director	St. Augustine's College	Central Intercollegiate Athletic Association	F	Y	Committee's first choice for Atlantic region. Ethnic minority female; championships management experience.
Atlantic	Keith White	Assistant M & W Track and Field Coach	Kutztown University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference	M		
South	Jamie Moreno	Head Men's & Women's CC and Track Coach	Florida Southern College	Sunshine State Conference	M		Only South region nominee. Vacancy has been advertised twice.

NOT SELECTED

Region	Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity
Atlantic	G. Anthony Grant	Associate Athletic Director	Millersville University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference	M	Y
Atlantic	Michael J. Rohl	Head Men's Track & Field Coach/Head Men's and Women's Cross Country Coach	Mansfield University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference	M	

2008-09 DIVISION II WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL COMMITTEE

Composition: Composition: Eight members. One from each women's volleyball region: South, Atlantic, South Central, West, Central, Midwest, Southeast, East.
 Quota of 50 percent administrators: **4.**
Vacancies: **One vacancy. Southeast region. Administrator.**
Recommendation: **Taylor Mott.**
 Staff Liaison: Kristen Fasbender
 Chair: Tia Brandel-Wilhelm

REG	POS	EM?	NAME, INSTITUTION	CONFERENCE	9/08-9/09	9/09-9/10	9/10-9/11	9/11-9/12
SoC	A/C (C)	Y/F (N/M)	Venera Flores Midwestern State University (Jason Skoch West Texas A&M University)	Lone Star (Lone Star)	Flores*	(Skoch)	(Skoch)	(Skoch 9/13*)
SoE	C	N/F	Ginger Crissman-Ashley Catawba College	South Atlantic	Crissman-Ashley*			
Cen	C	N/M	James Boos University of Minnesota Duluth	Northern Sun Intercollegiate	Boos	Boos	Boos	Boss*
East	A/C	Y/F	Robin Salters University of New Haven	East Coast	Salters	Salters*		
Mid W	C	N/F	Jody Butera University of Indianapolis	Great Lakes Valley	Butera	Butera	Butera*	
West	Asst Comm	F/N	Tricia Haddock Great Northwest Athletic Conference	Great Northwest Athletic	Haddock	Haddock	Haddock	Haddock*
So	C	N/F	Melissa Wolter University of West Florida	Gulf South	Wolter	Wolter*		
Atl	C	N/F	Leanne Piscotty Shippensburg University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Athletic	Piscotty	Piscotty	Piscotty*	

*Not eligible for reappointment
 6/9/09

Name	Taylor Mott
Designation	Assoc AD/SWA/Head Volleyball Coach
Gender	F
Ethnicity	N
Institution	Flagler College
Conference	Peach Belt Conference
50% Rule	Y
Inst Spons Sport	Y
Qualification Statement	I would like to become a member of the Division II Women's Volleyball Committee for several reasons. I feel as a head coach and an administrator I am involved in all areas of our women's volleyball program and other programs on campus. As a previous member of the NAIA, I was directly involved in conference and regional championships and was also our region rater for 3 seasons. I am also very involved with the AVCA. I have worked with TJ Meagher to create and modify the legislation for the emerging sport of sand volleyball. I would like to continue to be involved with women's volleyball at the conference and NCAA level.
Committees Served On	None.
Prof Empl Hist	1996-97, Galena Middle School, Galena, MS-Science/Reading teacher. Trinity High School Volleyball coach-Tuepolo High School, MS ☐ 1997-99, Oxford High School, MS- Algebra Teacher. Head Coach for Volleyball and started the women's Fastpitch Softball program.☐ 1999-present, Flagler College, FL-Associate Athletics Director/SWA/Head Volleyball Coach. Started the women's golf program and was the head coach for 8 seasons.
Education	Undergraduate Degree, University of Mississippi, Elementary Education, 1996☐ Master's Degree, University of Mississippi, Curriculum and Instruction, 1998
Former SA HS Sport	volleyball, basketball, softball
Former Coach HS Sport	volleyball, fastpitch softball
Former SA College Sport	volleyball
Former Coach College Sport	volleyball, women's golf
Conf Champ Mgmt Exp	Flagler College was an NAIA institution until 2005. During our years as an NAIA program I helped run several conference and regional championship tournaments in volleyball, men's and women's soccer and baseball. I was responsible for many aspects of the tournaments such as organizing the banquets, game day programs, ID badges, publicity and event game day management.
Regional Ranking Exp	As a member of the NAIA, I was the regional volleyball rater for 3 seasons until we transitioned to an NCAA DII program.
References	Dave Brunk, Peach Belt Conference Commissioner, 706-860-8499☐ David Barnett, Flagler College Athletics Director, 904-819-6252☐ TJ Meagher, University of Houston Assistant Athletics Director/AVCA Legislative Representative, 713-748-9663
Contacted References	Y

DIVISION II WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION						
Nominee	Job Title	Institution	Conference	Gender	Ethnicity	Rationale
Taylor Mott	Assoc AD/SWA/Head Volleyball Coach	Flagler College	Peach Belt Conference	F		Only nominee. Coach/administrator; former student-athlete; served as an NAIA RAC member.

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II LEGISLATION COMMITTEE
JUNE 24-25, 2009 MEETING**

ACTION ITEMS.

- **Legislative Action Items.**
 - a. **2010 NCAA Convention Legislation – NCAA Bylaw 13.11.3 – Recruiting – Tryouts – Tryout Exceptions – Use of Institutional Facilities.**
 - (1) Recommendation. Sponsor legislation for the 2010 NCAA Convention to amend NCAA Bylaw 13.11.3 (tryout exceptions) to establish a tryout exception that allows an institution's athletics department staff members and representatives of its athletics interests to be involved in the conduct and administration of the use of a member institution's facilities for physical activities by a group that includes prospective student-athletes, as specified; further, to establish a tryout exception that permits a group that includes prospective student-athletes to use an institution's facilities for physical activities without the use being considered a tryout, provided the physical activities are related to a sport that is not sponsored by the institution on the varsity intercollegiate level.
 - (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2010.
 - (3) Rationale. Currently, under the tryout exception that allows the use of a member institution's facilities by prospective student-athletes for activities not involving the institution's staff, athletics department staff members may not sell concessions or work at a scorer's table. Division II institutions depend on such activities to generate critical revenue for their departments, and for these activities to happen, it is often necessary for a member of the athletics department staff to administer aspects related to the activity. The current legislation allows institutional staff members to operate in capacities incidental to supervising the activities, but they cannot be involved in the conduct, promotion or administration of the activity otherwise. The existing legislation hinders access to collegiate facilities and, thus, hinders community relationships between higher education institutions and their neighbors. Establishing an exception to the tryout restrictions in those instances in which the physical activities are related to a sport that is not sponsored by the institution on the intercollegiate level would not diminish either the intent or impact of the tryout restrictions, but rather would allow athletics department staff members an additional level of involvement in the conduct of these events, most of which are hosted for fundraising purposes.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. This change to the legislation will provide institutions with more opportunities to generate revenue (e.g., rental fees of facilities, concession stands), thus providing opportunities to positively impact the department's net income.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

b. 2010 Convention Legislation –Bylaw 14.2.4.2 – Eligibility – Criteria for Determining Season of Eligibility – Participation in Organized Competition Prior to Initial Collegiate Enrollment.

(1) Recommendation. Sponsor legislation for the 2010 Convention to amend Bylaw 14.2.4.2 (participation in organized competition prior to initial collegiate enrollment), as follows:

- (a) To specify that an individual who does not enroll in a collegiate institution as a full-time student in the regular academic term that begins immediately after a one-year time period following his or her high school graduation date shall use one season of intercollegiate competition for each consecutive 12-month period of time after the one-year grace period and prior to enrollment at the certifying institution in which the individual participates in organized competition;
- (b) To specify that an individual's high school graduation date shall be considered to be the graduation date of the final high school class of which he or she was a member. Further, individuals who either graduate high school early or are required to repeat an entire year of high school prior to graduating become members of that graduating class. Finally, an individual who discontinues high school enrollment and then participates in organized competition shall use one season of intercollegiate competition for each consecutive 12-month period of time after the one-year grace period and prior to initial full-time collegiate enrollment;
- (c) To specify that athletics competition shall be considered organized for purposes of this rule, if any of the following conditions exist: (1) competition is scheduled in advance; (2) official score is kept; (3) individual or team standings or statistics are maintained; (4) official timer or game officials are used; (5) admission is charged;

- (6) teams are regularly formed or team rosters are predetermined;
 - (7) team uniforms are used; (8) an individual or a team is privately or commercially sponsored; or (9) the competition is either directly or indirectly sponsored, promoted or administered by an individual, an organization or any other agency;
- (d) To create an exception to the year in residence requirement for a student who has attended a two-year or four-year institution for at least two full-time semesters or three full-time quarters and who has satisfactorily completed an average of at least 12 semester or 12 quarter hours of transferable degree credit for each full-time academic term of attendance at the two-year or four-year collegiate institution;
 - (e) To eliminate the current exception to the organized competition legislation for individuals who trigger the rule during the initial year of enrollment in a postgraduate college preparatory school;
 - (f) To specify that the NCAA Division II Committee for Legislative Relief (CLR) may approve waivers of the organized competition legislation;
 - (g) To specify that a Division II institution is required to provide information regarding the organized competition legislation to prospective student-athletes at the first practical opportunity but not later than the day prior to the student-athlete's signed acceptance of the National Letter of Intent (NLI) or institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2010, for student-athletes first entering a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2010.
 - (3) Rationale. Since January 2008, the governance structure has been examining issues related to the organized competition legislation. There has been significant analysis of whether the frequency and level of competition, rather than compensation, should determine organized competition. Per the proposal, it will no longer be of importance if the individual, his or her teammate(s) or other individuals participating in an event received compensation, including prize money, actual and necessary expenses, etc. An expanded "grace period" would allow individuals ample time to participate in organized competition and make a decision regarding enrollment in college without compromising their opportunity to

participate in Division II athletics. Further, a transfer student will not be required to serve an academic year in residence at the certifying institution if he or she transfers after meeting certain academic requirements. By presenting the certifying institution with this academic information, the transfer student would demonstrate the requisite commitment to academics. Requiring institutions to provide individuals with information regarding the organized competition legislation ensures that individuals are provided with this information early in the recruiting process. Finally, a waiver provision specifies the committee authorized to waive the application of the organized competition legislation.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. Individuals who delay initial collegiate enrollment and participate in organized competition will have fewer season(s) of competition and will be required to serve an academic year in residence.

c. 2010 Convention Legislation –Bylaws 15.5, 17 and 20.10.3.5 – Financial Aid – Playing and Practice Seasons – Division Membership – Sand Volleyball.

- (1) Recommendation. Sponsor legislation for the 2010 NCAA Convention to amend NCAA Bylaws 15.5 (maximum institutional grant-in-aid limitations by sport), 17 (playing and practice seasons) and 20.10.3.5 (minimum contests and participants requirements for sports sponsorship) to establish the maximum institutional grant-in-aid equivalency limit, the playing and practice season regulations and the minimum contests and participants requirement for sports sponsorship for sand volleyball. [Attachment]
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2010.
- (3) Rationale. At the 2009 Convention, the Division II membership adopted a proposal to include sand volleyball on the list of emerging sports for women. Establishing a maximum financial aid equivalency limit of five for institutions that sponsor sand volleyball will provide institutions the opportunity to offer athletics aid to student-athletes consistent with the Division II Strategic Positioning Platform. It is anticipated that during the initial years of sponsorship, sand volleyball student-athletes would also participate in volleyball at institutions that sponsor both sports. By

creating a “hierarchy model” (i.e., multisport student-athlete who participates in sand volleyball and volleyball to count against the volleyball equivalency), the proposed change will preclude institutions from gaining a competitive advantage in volleyball by offering sand volleyball financial aid to student-athletes who were recruited to play volleyball. The proposed playing and practice season legislation mirrors that of the other Division II sports. In addition, establishing eight as the minimum number of contests and 10 as the minimum number of participants necessary for the contest to count toward sports sponsorship for membership will provide reasonable minimal competitive opportunities. Finally, including additional parameters associated with the different forms of competition (e.g., dual competitions, tournaments) for meeting minimum-contest requirements provides institutions an opportunity to legitimately sponsor the sport and student-athletes having a true intercollegiate sport experience.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Will vary dependent on an institution’s decision whether to sponsor sand volleyball.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

d. Noncontroversial Legislation –Bylaw 14.2.5.2.2 – Eligibility – Hardship Waiver – Medical Documentation.

- (1) Recommendation. Adopt noncontroversial legislation to amend Bylaw 14.2.5.2.2 (medical documentation) to specify that the contemporaneous-medical documentation used in the administration of a hardship waiver must come from a physician (medical doctor); further, to specify that documentation from an individual other than a physician (e.g., chiropractor, physical therapist) may only be used to support the physician’s documentation.
- (2) Effective Date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. Currently, the legislation does not outline the required credentials that an individual must have in order to provide contemporaneous-medical documentation on behalf of a student-athlete in a hardship waiver. This proposal clarifies that the requirement for medical documentation in a hardship waiver must come from a physician and cannot be satisfied solely by treatment records from a chiropractor or physical therapist. This proposal will provide proper clarification of this

issue within the legislation. The recommended clarification provides notification to student-athletes of documentation standards for medical hardship waivers.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Discussion Regarding the Alignment of Bylaw 17 (Playing and Practice Seasons) and Championships Policies with the Division II Strategic Positioning Platform.** The NCAA Division II Legislation Committee discussed and prioritized various issues that it will continue to review pursuant to the alignment of Bylaw 17 with the Division II strategic positioning platform. The Legislation Committee determined that a further review of the following areas will be the focus at future in-person meetings: (1) annual and discretionary exemptions for all sports; (2) nonchampionship segment activities; (3) nonchampionship competition opportunities in baseball and softball; and (4) the maximum number of contests or dates of competition in all sports.
2. **Review 2010 NCAA Convention NCAA Division II Presidents Council-Sponsored Proposals.** The Legislation Committee reviewed three NCAA Division II Presidents Council sponsored proposals for the 2010 Convention to determine if any of the three should move forward as noncontroversial legislation. The Legislation Committee did not recommend any changes to the three proposals.
3. **Review of NCAA Division II Compliance Forms.** The Legislation Committee reviewed and approved the 2009-10 NCAA Division II Compliance Forms. The Legislation Committee received an update on the modifications to the compliance forms; specifically, that the Summary of NCAA Regulations form is now optional.
4. **Issuance of Official Interpretation.** The Legislation Committee discussed the issue of whether a student-athlete, who has been certified with conditions by the NCAA Eligibility Center pursuant to the amateurism certification process based on the individual

triggering the use of a season(s) of competition per Bylaw 14.2.4.2, may continue to practice after the certification. The Legislation Committee decided to issue an official interpretation, as follows: Eligibility for practice after a final certified with conditions amateurism certification decision is rendered pursuant to the participation in organized competition or training prior to initial-collegiate enrollment legislation. The Legislation Committee confirmed that an individual who has been certified with conditions pursuant to the amateurism certification process based on the individual triggering the use of a season(s) of competition per Bylaw 14.2.4.2, may continue to practice after such certification, provided the individual is otherwise eligible for practice (e.g., certified as a qualifier or partial qualifier, enrolled in a minimum full-time program of studies, sign a drug-testing consent form, included on the institution's squad list, certify insurance coverage of medical expenses per Constitution 3.2.4.9). [Reference: NCAA Bylaws 12.1.1 (validity of amateur status), 12.1.1.1 (amateurism certification process) and 14.2.4.2 (participation in organized competition or training prior to initial collegiate enrollment)]

5. **Discussion Regarding Meals and Lodging While in Transit to Official Visit.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an official interpretation recently issued by the NCAA Division I Legislative Review and Interpretations Committee regarding meals and lodging while in transit to an official visit (Reference: 03/25/09, Item No. 1). The Legislation Committee noted that current Division II legislation and interpretations already address the issues noted in the Division I official interpretation. The Legislation Committee decided not to issue a similar interpretation for Division II.
6. **Discussion Regarding the Use of a Highlight Video of a Prospective Student-Athlete Produced by an Institution.** The Legislation Committee discussed the issue of whether it would be permissible for an institution to produce and post a highlight video of a prospective student-athlete on the institution's Web site. The Legislation Committee agreed that this would be permissible pursuant to Bylaw 13.10.7 (media release regarding signing) provided the prospective student-athlete has signed an NLI and/or accepted the institution's offer of admission and/or financial aid, and the institution considers its Web site to be a media outlet.
7. **Discussion Regarding Maximum Equivalency Limits and Summer Financial Aid.** The Legislation Committee discussed a particular practice for awarding institutional financial aid, in which a coach and a student-athlete would verbally agree to the student-athlete's reduction of institutional financial aid for the following academic year, with the understanding that the student-athlete would receive an additional award (based on the amount of what was reduced between the two academic years) for use during a summer

term(s). This was done by the coach in an attempt to save equivalencies in the sport to provide to additional scholarship student-athletes in the following academic year. It should be noted that the institution was in compliance with the financial aid regulations on the period of the financial aid award in Bylaw 15.3.3.1 (one-year limit), the notice of reduction of the previous year's award in Bylaw 15.3.5.1 (institutional obligation to renew the award on or before July 1 before the academic year in which it is to be effective) and notice of the hearing opportunity in Bylaw 15.3.2.4. The Legislation Committee concluded that in this situation, the institution did not violate any NCAA legislation. The institution satisfied the financial aid legislation in Bylaw 15 regarding the period of the award, the notice of reduction of the award and the hearing opportunity for the following academic year and the provision of awarding summer financial aid. The Legislation Committee agreed to monitor this situation to determine whether it is a common practice that would affect student-athlete well-being and merits legislation to be recommended to address the issue.

8. **Discussion Regarding Providing Expenses Related to Medical Examinations Prior to the First Permissible Practice Date.** The Legislation Committee discussed the issue of whether an institution can begin providing preseason practice expenses to a student-athlete one day prior to the day designated for issuance of equipment and taking squad pictures. The Legislation Committee noted that it is permissible to administer medical examinations prior to the first permissible date for practice. However, the Legislation Committee agreed that while it is permissible to start providing preseason expenses the evening prior to the day designated for equipment and pictures, it would not be permissible to provide expenses for an additional day to conduct medical examinations.
9. **Review of Minutes Issued by the NCAA Interpretations Subcommittee of the Division II Legislation Committee.** The Legislation Committee approved the minutes of the interpretations subcommittee since its last in-person meeting in March 2009. Also, the Legislation Committee approved the minutes from April 13 and June 1.
10. **Review of Recently Issued Division I Official Interpretations.** The Legislation Committee reviewed recently issued Division I official interpretations and determined that the following interpretations are applicable in Division II:
 - a. **Calls Regarding Institutional Camp and Clinic Logistical Issues.** The Legislation Committee determined that a telephone call to an individual (or his or her parents, guardians, relatives or coach) that relates solely to institutional camp and clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) is not subject to

the restrictions on telephone calls, provided no recruiting conversation or solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs. [References: NCAA Bylaws 13.1.3 (telephone calls to prospective student-athletes), 13.1.3.1 (time period for telephone calls -- general rule), 13.1.3.4 (permissible callers), 13.1.3.4.1 (institutional staff members) and 13.12.1.3 (recruiting calendar exceptions)]

- b. **Advertising of Intercollegiate Contests Held in Conjunction with High School Contests.** The Legislation Committee determined that if an institution permits competition between high schools, preparatory schools or two-year colleges to be conducted in conjunction with an intercollegiate event, the institution may include the names of the participating teams in advertising for the event. However, the institution may neither publicize the appearance of any specific prospective student-athlete nor use individual or team photographs of participating prospective student-athletes in any advertising for the event. [References: NCAA Bylaws 13.10.4 (prospective student-athlete's visit) and 13.11.1.3 (competition in conjunction with a high school, preparatory school or two-year college) and a staff interpretation (5/17/95, Item b), which has been archived]
- c. **Competition Between Outside Teams Made up of Prospective Student-Athletes Conducted in Conjunction with a Collegiate Athletics Event.** The Legislation Committee determined that an institution shall not permit competition between outside club teams that include any prospective student-athletes to be conducted in conjunction with an intercollegiate athletics event. [References: NCAA Bylaws 13.11.1.3 (competition in conjunction with a high school, preparatory school or two-year college) and 13.15.1.4 (high school contest in conjunction with college competition) and a staff interpretation (2/6/91, Item No. a), which has been archived]

- 11. **Discussion Regarding a November 7, 2008, Official Interpretation Regarding the *College d'Enseignement General et Professionnel (CEGEP)*.** The Legislation Committee discussed whether an official interpretation, or the corresponding educational column, that was issued November 7, 2008, to clarify the expected date of graduation for a prospective student-athlete from Quebec who completes a *College d'Enseignement General et Professionnel (CEGEP)* should be amended. The Legislation Committee directed NCAA staff to update the educational column to ensure consistency with the official interpretation. Specifically, the education column should be amended to read, when a prospective student-athlete does not complete the *CEGEP* within a two-year period by earning a *Diplome d'Etudes Collegialles (DEC)*, any additional year(s) beyond the completion of the *Diplome d'Etudes Secondaires (DES)*, constitute a delay of initial collegiate enrollment.

12. Division II Editorial Revisions. The Legislation Committee reviewed the following editorial revisions:

- a. **Recruiting Materials -- Printed Recruiting Materials -- Application to Parent or Legal Guardians and Coaches.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 13.4 (recruiting materials) to clarify that the recruiting materials legislation generally applies to prospective student-athletes, their parents or legal guardians and their coaches.
- b. **Recruiting and Financial Aid -- Elements of Financial Aid -- Summer Financial Aid -- Before Initial Full-Time Enrollment at the Certifying Institution.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaws 13.2.7 (academic support services/use of training-room facilities) and 15.2.7 (summer financial aid) to clarify that summer financial aid may be awarded to a student-athlete to attend an institution in the summer before the student's initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution.
- c. **Recruiting -- Definitions and Applications -- Enrolled Student-Athlete -- Recruiting Activities.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to eliminate duplicative provisions regarding recruiting activities for student-athletes and move Bylaw 13.02.5.1 (permissible recruitment activities for enrolled student-athletes) to 13.1.2.4 (student-athlete).
- d. **Organization -- Division II Management Council -- Duties of the Management Council Subcommittee -- Appeals of Decisions Involving the Academic Success Rate.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Constitution 4.7.2.3 (duties of the NCAA Division II Management Council Subcommittee on Infractions Appeals and Waiver) to add the academic success rate as an area the management council subcommittee on infractions appeals and waiver is responsible for hearing and acting on appeals.
- e. **Eligibility -- Season-of-Competition Waiver -- Percent Calculation.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 14.2.6.1.1 (ten-percent calculation) and 14.2.7 (season-of-competition waiver – competition while eligible) to clarify the criteria that shall be employed for the percent calculation involving season-of-competition waivers.
- f. **Committees -- Division II General Committees.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 21.8.5 (Division II general committees) to bring consistency to the titles of Division II general committees throughout the legislation.

- g. Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Advertisements and Promotions -- Nonathletics Institutional Advertisements.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 13.4.4.1.1 (nonathletics institutional advertisements) to include the use of television and radio advertisements as permissible forms of advertising, provided the conditions are satisfied.
- h. Eligibility -- Transfer Regulations -- Four-Year College Transfers -- One-Time Transfer Exception -- Calculation of Cumulative Grade-Point Average.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 14.5.5.3.10 (one-time transfer exception) to clarify the criteria that shall be used when an institution applies the one-time transfer exception for a four-year college transfer student-athlete who has one season of competition remaining or two full-time semesters or three full-time quarters remaining and has not earned a baccalaureate degree.
- i. Executive Regulations -- Eligibility For Championships -- Ineligibility For Use Of Banned Drugs -- Banned Drugs -- Alcohol, Beta Blockers And Beta 2 Agonists.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 31.2.3.4 (banned drugs) to identify a specific class of substances banned for a specific sport consistent with the identification of other banned classes and clarifies that beta 2 agonists are a banned class.
- j. Amateurism -- Involvement with Professional Teams -- Tryout After Enrollment -- 48-Hour Period.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 12.2.1.1 (tryout after enrollment) to clarify the application of the 48-hour tryout period.
- k. Amateurism -- Promotional Activities -- Congratulatory Advertisement -- Distribution of Institutional Items and Schedule Cards.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 12.5.1.4 (commercial advertisement) to provide a more appropriate title and move Bylaws 12.5.1.4.1 (schedule cards) and 12.5.1.5 (distribution of institutional items through commercial outlets) to Bylaw 12.5 (promotional activities) because those provisions more closely relate to institutional promotional activities.
- l. Recruiting -- Telephone Calls to Prospective Student-Athletes -- Additional Regulations -- During Conduct of Athletics Contest.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 13.1.3.2.1 (during conduct of athletics contest) to clarify the definition of “the conduct of the institution’s intercollegiate athletics contests” for purposes of the prohibition on placing telephone calls to prospective student-athletes.

- m. **Eligibility -- General Principles and Progress-Toward-Degree Requirements -- Removal of Duplicative Provisions.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaws 14.01.2 (academic status), 14.01.2.1 (good academic standing) and 14.4.1 (progress-toward-degree requirements) to remove language that goes beyond the scope of what should be included in general principles and provisions that are addressed in other, more appropriate sections of the Manual.
- n. **Eligibility -- Freshman Academic Requirements -- Core-Curriculum Requirements -- Courses for Students with Education-Impacting Disabilities -- NCAA Eligibility Center.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 14.3.1.2.5 (courses for students with education-impacting disabilities) to clarify that the Eligibility Center is the entity that reviews disability documentation.
- o. **Eligibility -- General Eligibility Requirements -- Eligibility for Male Students or Male Student-Athletes to Practice with Women's Teams.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 14.1.8 (full-time enrollment) to place the requirements related to male students or male student-athletes practicing with women's teams in a more appropriate location.
- p. **Financial Aid -- Maximum Limit on Financial Aid -- Individual -- Recruited Student-Athlete Receiving Institutional Financial Aid.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 15.1.2 (recruited student-athlete receiving institutional financial aid) to clarify that unearned financial aid administered by an institution to an athletically recruited student is considered to be athletically related financial aid only if the faculty athletics representative and the director of financial aid cannot certify that the aid was granted without regard in any degree to athletics ability.
- q. **Eligibility -- Ineligibility -- Application of Ineligibility Ruling Pending Appeal -- Interpretations.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 14.11.1.1 (application of ineligibility ruling pending appeal) to clarify that NCAA staff and official interpretations are binding on institutions and more clearly specify the applicable bylaws.
- r. **Playing and Practice Seasons -- Golf -- Number of Dates of Competition -- Annual Exemptions -- Elimination of Sun Bowl All-American Classic.** The Legislation Committee reviewed an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 17.12.7.3 (annual exemptions) to remove the Sun Bowl All-American Classic from the list of annual exemptions.

13. Review of NCAA Divisions I and III Editorial Revisions. The Legislation Committee reviewed editorial revisions issued in Divisions I and III in 2009 and directed NCAA staff to draft the following editorial revisions for Division II:

- a. **Division I Proposal No. ER-2009-10 (awards, benefits and expenses – expenses for student-athlete’s friends and relatives – family member of student-athlete).** The Legislation Committee directed NCAA staff to draft an editorial revision to amend Bylaws 16.6.1.2.1 (relative of student-athlete) and 16.11.1.12 (miscellaneous benefits) to clarify that an institution may provide transportation, housing and meal expenses to a student-athlete’s relatives in conjunction with funeral arrangements in the event of the death of the student-athlete’s relative. In addition, this revision separates the expenses that may be provided to relatives and friends and those that may be provided to the student-athlete into the appropriate legislative sections.
- b. **Division I Proposal No. ER-2009-11 (playing and practice seasons – general playing-season regulations – countable athletically related activities prohibited after competition).** The Legislation Committee directed NCAA staff to draft an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 17.1.6.3.2.2 (practice prohibited after competition) to clarify that any countable athletically related activity is prohibited after competition.
- c. **Division I Proposal No. ER-2009-14 (eligibility- progress-toward-degree requirements – good academic standing and minimum grade point average requirements).** The Legislation Committee directed NCAA staff to draft an editorial revision to amend Bylaws 14.4.1 (progress-toward-degree requirements) and 14.4.3.2 (fulfillment of minimum grade-point average requirements) to clarify that the minimum grade-point average requirements are separate from good academic standing requirements. While a student-athlete still must be in good academic standing in order to be eligible for competition, the NCAA minimum grade-point average requirements also must be fulfilled and may be more stringent than an institution’s minimum grade-point average requirement for good academic standing.
- d. **Division I Proposal No. ER-2009-17 (admissions and graduation data, banned drug list and initial-eligibility standards – disclosure report – report distribution).** The Legislation Committee directed NCAA staff to draft an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 13.3.1.2 (report distribution) to account for changes in technology and the efficient practice of providing institutional graduation data to guidance offices and high school and tow-year college coaches via the NCAA Web site.

- e. **Division I Proposal No. ER-2009-18 (amateurism – financial donations from outside organizations – professional sports organizations – to noncollegiate amateur team).** The Legislation Committee directed NCAA staff to draft an editorial revision to amend Bylaw 12.6.1 (professional sports organizations) to eliminate Bylaw 12.6.1.1 (to noncollegiate amateur team) based on the adoption of Proposal No. 2003-10.
- 14. **Update Regarding the NCAA Eligibility Center and the Amateurism Certification Process.** The Eligibility Center staff provided an update regarding amateurism certification trends in Division II. Between March 1 and June 15, 2009, the Eligibility Center processed 32 cases resulting in imposition of season(s) of competition due to participation in organized competition or training prior to initial collegiate enrollment.
- 15. **Discussion Regarding Prospective Student-Athletes' Employment at Sports Camps and Clinic.** The Legislation Committee reviewed current legislation regarding the employment of prospective student-athletes at an institution's sports camp or clinic. The Legislation Committee asked NCAA staff to create a document with all permissible and impermissible activities for prospective student-athletes pursuant to Bylaws 13 (recruiting) and 16 (awards and benefits) for review at a future in-person meeting. Following the review of that document, the Legislation Committee will determine if it is appropriate to recommend amendments to the current legislation regarding a prospective student-athlete's employment at a camp or clinic.
- 16. **Review of 2009-12 NCAA Division II Strategic Plan.** The Legislation Committee reviewed areas of the NCAA Division II Strategic Plan for which the Legislation Committee has direct responsibility. The Legislation Committee agreed to continue focusing on establishing standards and metrics by which the Legislation Committee can impact the priorities it has responsibility for. Specifically, the Legislation Committee noted: (1) it will develop measures to increase awareness of the sample forms that are available via the NCAA Web site with the intent that it will increase efficiency for athletic departments; (2) work to include additional required forms in compliance assistant for the internet (CAi) or other compliance software with the intent of increasing the number of institutions that use the software services and (3) a checklist of what is expected and needed should be created as a reference tool for compliance administrators to ease the burden on those individuals.

17. **Review Revisions to the NCAA Conflict of Interest Policy.** The Legislation Committee reviewed the revisions to the NCAA Conflict of Interest Policy and agreed to incorporate the changes into the committee's policies and procedures.
18. **The Legislation Committee Staffing Issues.** The Legislation Committee discussed issues related to staffing of the subcommittees:
 - a. **Election of a New Chair.** NCAA Legislative Review Subcommittee of the Division II Legislation Committee. The current legislative review subcommittee chair's term of service will end in August. The Legislative Committee elected Carol Rivera, assistant commissioner, California Collegiate Athletic Association as chair of the legislative review subcommittee.
 - b. **Subcommittee Appointments.** The Legislation Committee appointed Christina Whetsel, Angelo State University, to the interpretations subcommittee and Melissa Barrett, Belmont Abbey College, to the legislative review subcommittee.

Committee Chair: Ann Martin, Regis University

*Staff Liaisons: Jennifer Fraser, Academic and Membership Affairs
Maritza Jones, Academic and Membership Affairs*

FINANCIAL AID, PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND DIVISION MEMBERSHIP – SAND VOLLEYBALL

Convention Year: 2010

Effective Date: August 1, 2010

Source: NCAA Division II Presidents Council [Management Council (Legislation Committee)].

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Status: Submitted to National Office

Intent: In sand volleyball, to establish the maximum institutional grant-in-aid equivalency limit, the playing and practice season regulations and the minimum contests and participants requirement for sports sponsorship, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 15.5, as follows:

15.5 MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT.

[15.5.1 through 15.5.1.9 unchanged.]

15.5.2 Equivalency Sports.

[15.5.2.1 through 15.5.2.1.1.1 unchanged.]

15.5.2.1.2 Women's Sports. There shall be a limit on the value (equivalency) of financial aid awards (per Bylaw 15.02.4.1) that an institution may provide in any academic year to counters in each women's sport, as follows:

Archery	9.0	Rowing	20.0
Badminton	10.0	Rugby	12.0
<u>Sand Volleyball</u>			<u>5.0</u>
Basketball	10.0	Skiing	6.3
Bowling	5.0	Soccer	9.9
Cross Country/Track and Field	12.6	Softball	7.2
Equestrian	15.0	Squash	9.0
Fencing	4.5	Swimming and Diving	8.1
Field Hockey	6.3	Synchronized Swimming	5.0

Golf	5.4	Team Handball	12.0
Gymnastics	6.0	Tennis	6.0
Ice Hockey	18.0	Volleyball	8.0
Lacrosse	9.9	Water Polo	8.0

[15.5.2.1.3 through 15.5.2.2.1 unchanged.]

15.5.3 Multisport Participants. A counter who participates in two or more sports shall be counted in one or more sports as specified on the individual's financial aid agreement.

[15.5.3.1 through 15.5.3.2 unchanged.]

15.5.3.3 Volleyball – Additional Requirements. In volleyball, a counter who participates (e.g. practices, competes) in volleyball and sand volleyball shall be counted toward institutional limits in volleyball.

[15.5.3.3 renumbered as 15.5.3.4, unchanged.]

[Remainder of 15.5 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 17, as follows:

17 Playing and Practice Seasons

[17.01 through 17.18.8.2.1 unchanged]

17.19 SAND VOLLEYBALL

Regulations for computing the sand volleyball playing season are set forth in Bylaw 17.1, General Playing-Season Regulations. (See Figure 17-1 and Figure 17-2.)

17.19.1 Length of Playing Season – Championship and Nonchampionship Segments. The length of an institution's playing season for both segments in sand volleyball shall be limited by the dates and regulations set forth in the remainder of this section.

17.19.2 First Date of Practice -- Championship Segment. A member institution shall not commence practice sessions in sand volleyball in the championship segment before January 10 or the first day of class, whichever is earlier.

17.19.3 First Date of Competition – Championship Segment. A member institution shall not engage in its first date of competition with outside competition in the championship segment before February 1.

17.19.4 End Date of Practice and Competition – Championship Segment. A member institution shall conclude all practice and competition (games and scrimmages) in sand volleyball in the championship segment by the last day of final examinations for the regular academic year.

17.19.5 First Date of Practice and Competition – Nonchampionship Segment. A member institution shall not commence practice sessions or engage in outside competition in the nonchampionship segment before September 7 or the first day of class, whichever occurs first.

17.19.6 End Date of Practice and Competition – Nonchampionship Segment. A member institution shall conclude all practice and competition in the nonchampionship segment no later than November 15.

17.19.7 Number of Contests.

17.19.7.1 Maximum Limitations – Institutional. A member institution shall limit its total playing schedule with outside competition in sand volleyball during the institution's sand volleyball playing season to 16 dates of competition during the segment that ends with a national collegiate championship event, and four dates of competition during the nonchampionship segment, except for those dates of competition excluded under Bylaws 17.19.7.3 and 17.19.7.4 (see Bylaw 20.10.3.5 for minimum contests and participants requirements).

17.19.7.2 Maximum Limitations – Student-Athlete. An individual student-athlete may participate each academic year in 16 dates of competition in sand volleyball during the segment in which a national collegiate championship is conducted and four dates of competition during another segment (this limitation includes those contests in which the student represents the institution in accordance with Bylaw 17.02.8, including competition as a member of the varsity, junior varsity or freshman team of the institution).

17.19.7.3 Annual Exemptions. The maximum number of dates of competition shall exclude the following:

- a) Conference Championship. Competition in one conference championship tournament or playoff;**
- (b) Season-Ending Championship Tournament. Competition in one recognized national intercollegiate championship event in sand volleyball (e.g., National Collegiate Sand Championship). A season-ending tournament involves**

competition after the end of the regular season between teams that are not identified until the close of the regular season;

(c) Alumni Game. One contest with an alumni team of the institution;

(d) Foreign Team in U.S. A date of competition against a foreign team in the United States played in the facility in which the member institution regularly plays its home dates of competition;

(e) Hawaii, Alaska, Puerto Rico. Any contests played in Hawaii, Alaska or Puerto Rico, respectively, either against or under the sponsorship of an active member institution located in Hawaii, Alaska or Puerto Rico by a member institution located outside those locales;

(f) Fundraising Activity. Any activities in which student-athletes from more than one of the institution's athletics teams participate (or in individual sports per Bylaw 17.02.14.2, in which team members of that sport participate) with and against alumni and friends of the institution, the purpose of which is to raise funds to benefit the institution's athletics or other programs, provided the student-athletes do not miss class as a result of their participation (see Bylaw 12.5.1.1);

(g) Celebrity Sports Activity. Competition involving a maximum of two student-athletes from a member institution's team who participate in local celebrity activities in sand volleyball conducted for the purpose of raising funds for charitable organizations, provided:

(1) The student-athletes do not miss class as a result of the participation; and

(2) The involvement of the student-athletes has the approval of the institution's athletics director; and

(h) U.S. National Team. One date of competition against a U.S. National Team as by the appropriate national governing body in that sport.

17.19.7.4 Once-in-Four-Years Exemption – Foreign Tours. An institution may exempt the dates of competition played on a foreign tour, provided the tour occurs only once in a four-year period and is conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in Bylaw 30.7 (see Bylaw 17.32).

17.19.8 Out-of-Season Athletically Related Activities. Student-athletes and members of the coaching staff shall not engage in countable athletically related activities outside the championship segment except for the following:

(a) Conditioning, Weight-Training and Skill Instruction. Student-athletes may participate in conditioning, weight-training and skill instruction in accordance with Bylaw 17.1.6.2; and

(b) Nonchampionship Segment Activities. During the segment in which a national collegiate championship does not occur, student-athletes may participate in any practice or competition activity as permitted by other legislation provided such activity is restricted to a maximum of 24 days that occur within a period of 45 consecutive calendar days, omitting vacation and examination days officially announced or on days that the institution is closed due to inclement weather, as long as no practice or competition occurs on such days. The 45 consecutive calendar days must be within the dates set forth in Bylaws 17.19.5 and 17.19.6.

17.19.8.1 Summer Practice. Practice that is organized or financially supported by a member institution shall be prohibited during the summer unless specifically authorized in the bylaws (e.g., foreign tour) or through official interpretations approved by the Management Council. An institution may pay fees associated with the use of institutional practice and competition facilities by student-athletes engaged in voluntary athletically related activities in his or her sport.

17.19.9 Camps and Clinics. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes in sand volleyball who may be employed (e.g., as counselors) in camps or clinics (see Bylaw 13.12). Currently enrolled student-athletes may not participate as campers in their institution's camps or clinics.

17.19.10 Other Restrictions.

17.19.10.1 Noncollegiate, Amateur Competition.

17.19.10.1.1 In Season. A student-athlete shall be denied eligibility for intercollegiate sand volleyball competition for the remainder of the season if, after enrollment in college and during any year in which the student-athlete is a member of an intercollegiate sand volleyball squad or team, she competes or has competed as a member of any outside sand volleyball team in any noncollegiate, amateur competition (e.g., tournament play, exhibition games or other activity) during the institution's intercollegiate sand volleyball season (see Bylaw 14.7.5 for exceptions and waivers).

17.19.10.1.2 Out of Season. There are no limits to the number of student-athletes with eligibility remaining in intercollegiate sand volleyball who may practice or compete out of season on an outside, amateur sand volleyball team.

17.19.10.1.2.1 Involvement of Coaching Staff. No member of the coaching staff of a member institution may be involved in any capacity (e.g., coach, official, player or league/team administrator) at any time during the academic year, vacation periods and summer with an outside team that involves any student-athlete with eligibility remaining from the institution's sand volleyball team except as provided under Bylaws 14.7.5, 16.8.1.3.1 and 17.32.

17.19.10.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution.

17.19.10.2 Equipment Issue, Squad Pictures. It shall be permissible to designate a single date for issuing sand volleyball equipment and for taking squad pictures after the beginning of classes in the fall term or the day before the beginning of a segment as specified in Bylaw 17.19.2.

17.19.10.2.1 Exception for Sundays. If the day before the beginning of a segment is a Sunday, the member institution may designate the preceding Saturday for issuing equipment and taking squad pictures.

[17.17 through 17.33 renumbered as 17.20 through 17.34, unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 20.10.3.5, as follows:

20.10.3.5 Minimum Contests and Participants Requirements for Sports Sponsorship. In each sport, the institution's team shall engage in at least a minimum number of intercollegiate contests (against four-year, degree-granting collegiate institutions) each year. In the individual sports, the institution's team shall include a minimum number of participants in each contest that is counted toward meeting the minimum-contest requirement. The following minimums are applicable:

Team Sports	Minimum Contests	Individual Sports	Minimum Contests	Minimum Participants
Baseball	24	Women's Archery	5	5
Basketball	22	Women's Badminton	8	6
Field Hockey	10	Women's Bowling	8	5
Football	8	Cross Country	5	5
Men's Ice Hockey	20	Equestrian	6	12
Women's Ice Hockey	20	Men's Fencing	6	5

Lacrosse	8	Women's Fencing	6	5
Women's Rowing	6	Golf	6	5
Women's Rugby	9	Men's Gymnastics	6	6
Sand Volleyball	8	Women's Gymnastics	6	5
Soccer	10	Rifle	8	4
Softball	24	Women's Gymnastics	6	5
Women's Synchronized Swimming	8	Skiing	5	5
Women's Team Handball	10	Women's Squash	8	9
Volleyball	9	Swimming and Diving	8	11
Men's Water Polo	15	Tennis	10	5
Women's Water Polo	10	Track and Field, Indoor	4	10
		Track and Field, Outdoor	4	14
		Wrestling		

(Note: The minimum-contest requirements set forth in Bylaws 20.10.3.5.1 through 20.10.3.5.8 apply only to the provisions of this section and do not apply to minimum-contest requirements in Bylaws 14 and 17.)

[20.10.3.5.1 through 20.10.3.5.8 unchanged.]

20.10.3.5.9 Sand Volleyball. A member institution shall meet minimum sports sponsorship in sand volleyball by applying the following:

- (a) No less than three of the eight contests shall be dual, one-day competitions where all five, two-person teams compete (e.g., institution versus institution on a single day on which no other competition occurs);**
- (b) The remaining number of contests shall be achieved by satisfying Bylaw 20.10.3.5.9-(a) or multi-opponent competitions. For a tournament to qualify for sport sponsorship purposes, all five, two-person teams must be in competition with all other participating institutions and one institution shall be crowned**

champion of the tournament based on the performance of its five, two-person teams;

(c) All other competition formats will constitute the use of a date of competition but not count towards the minimum sports sponsorship requirement; and

(d) Contests against a collegiate institution's club team may not be counted toward meeting the minimum-contest requirements, except for the following:

(1) During each intercollegiate season, one contest against a collegiate institution's club team may be used in meeting the minimum-contest requirements provided the contest is a dual, one-day competition in which all five two-person teams compete.

[20.10.3.5.9 through 20.10.3.5.9.2 renumbered as 20.10.3.5.10 through 20.10.3.5.10.2, unchanged.]

Rationale: At the 2009 Convention, the Division II membership adopted a proposal to include sand volleyball on the list of emerging sports for women. Establishing a maximum equivalency of five for institutions that sponsor sand volleyball will provide institutions the opportunity to offer athletics aid to student-athletes consistent with the Division II Strategic Positioning Platform. It is anticipated that during the initial years of sponsorship sand volleyball student-athletes would also participate in volleyball at institutions that sponsor both sports. Creating what is referred to as the “hierarchy model”, which causes a multi-sport student-athlete who participates in sand volleyball and volleyball to count against the volleyball equivalency, precludes institutions from gaining a competitive advantage in volleyball by offering sand volleyball financial aid to student-athletes who were recruited to play volleyball. The proposed playing and practice season legislation mirrors that of the other Division II sports. Establishing eight as the minimum number of contests and 10 as the minimum number of participants necessary for the contest to count toward sports sponsorship for membership will provide reasonable minimal competitive opportunities. Finally, including additional parameters associated with the different forms of competition (e.g., dual competitions, tournaments) for meeting minimum-contest requirements provides institutions an opportunity to legitimately sponsor the sport and student-athletes being provided a true intercollegiate sport experience.

Review History:

June 25, 2009: Reviewed Concept – Legislation Committee

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II CHAMPIONSHIPS COMMITTEE
AND LEGISLATION COMMITTEE
JUNE 24, 2009, JOINT MEETING

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

a. 2010 NCAA Convention – NCAA Bylaw 17 – Playing and Practice Seasons – Fall Sports.

- (1) Recommendation. Sponsor legislation for the 2010 NCAA Convention to amend NCAA Bylaw 17 (playing and practice seasons), as follows:
 - (a) In cross country, field hockey, soccer and women's volleyball, to specify that a member institution shall not commence practice sessions in the championship segment before 17 days prior to the first permissible contest or date of competition or five days prior to the first day of classes, whichever is earlier;
 - (b) In football, to specify that a member institution shall not commence practice sessions in the championship segment before 21 days prior to the first permissible contest or seven days prior to the first day of classes, whichever is earlier;
 - (c) In cross country, field hockey, soccer and women's volleyball, to specify that during the preseason practice period before the first day of classes, a student-athlete's participation in countable athletically related activities shall be limited to six hours per day, only five of which may be devoted to physical activities (e.g., practice, weight training, conditioning); further, to specify that during the preseason practice period before the first day of classes, physical activities shall last not longer than three hours and that a student-athlete must be provided with at least three continuous hours of recovery time between any physical activities occurring that day;
 - (d) In football, to specify that during preseason activities after the five-day acclimatization period, on those days during which more than one practice is permitted, a student-athlete may not participate in on-field practice activities that last longer than three hours at a time and must be provided with at least three continuous hours of recovery time between each practice;

- (e) In cross country, field hockey, football, soccer and women's volleyball, to specify that an institution shall not engage in its first contest or date of competition with outside competition in the championship segment before the Thursday preceding September 6;
 - (f) In golf and tennis, to specify that an institution that is a member of a conference that conducts its only conference championship or plays the majority of its conference matches during the fall, or an institution that declares fall as its institution's championship segment, shall not engage in its first date of competition before the Thursday preceding September 6;
 - (g) In field hockey, to reduce the maximum number of contests during the segment in which the NCAA championship is conducted from 20 to 18;
 - (h) In soccer, to reduce the maximum number of contests during the segment in which the NCAA championship is conducted from 20 to 18; and
 - (i) In women's volleyball, to reduce the maximum number of dates of competition during the segment in which the NCAA championship is conducted from 28 to 26.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2010; however, contracts signed before August 6, 2009, for contests occurring between the Thursday preceding August 30 and the Wednesday preceding September 6 will be honored.
- (3) Rationale. Following the 2009 Convention, the presidents and chancellors in Division II directed the governance structure to review the playing and practice seasons legislation to ensure that it is in alignment with the division's Strategic Positioning Platform, and to review the rules to confirm the principle of balance between academics and athletics. The proposal amends the length of the preseason practice period to 17 days (21 days in football) prior to the first contest or date of competition or five days (seven days in football) prior to the first day of classes, whichever is earlier. The proposal also amends the first date of competition for fall sports to one week later. The proposal strengthens the division's focus on balance because it will reduce the number of days that student-athletes in fall sports are on campus prior to classes beginning at the institution. This change will have a direct impact on easing the financial burden on

institutions that comes with housing and feeding student-athletes prior to the general student body arriving on campus prior to the start of classes. Since there will be fewer days to practice in the preseason practice period when classes are not in session, in an attempt to minimize catastrophic health events during preseason conditioning and to reduce the risk of injury and heat illnesses, this proposal adds limitations on countable athletically related activities during the preseason practice before the first day of classes. Finally, the proposal reduces the maximum number of contests in several sports. These reductions would likely assist institutions with challenges in their budgets because of an estimated decrease in costs for travel and/or game related expenses. In addition, the proposed changes would likely result in less missed class time, missed study time and time away from campus for student-athletes, which should contribute to improved academic performance overall.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Will vary for each institution based on sports sponsorship in the fall segment.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. Will allow student-athletes to have a longer summer vacation period before beginning the rigors of their sport in the fall and will reduce the overall time spent participating in countable athletically related activities during the season.

b. 2010 Convention – Bylaw 17 – Playing and Practice Seasons – Winter Sports.

- (1) Recommendation. Sponsor legislation for the 2010 Convention to amend Bylaw 17 to specify that in basketball, swimming and diving, indoor track and field and wrestling, a student-athlete is prohibited from participating in any countable athletically related activities or voluntary athletically related activities on campus from December 20 through December 26.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2010; however, contracts signed before August 6, 2009, for contests occurring between December 20 and December 26 will be honored.
- (3) Rationale. Following the 2009 Convention, the presidents and chancellors in Division II directed the governance structure to review the playing and practice seasons legislation to ensure that it is in alignment with the division's Strategic Positioning Platform, and to review the rules to confirm the principle of balance between academics and athletics. This proposal establishes a "dead" period during the championship segment for winter sports, in which it would not be permissible for an institution to

have practice, competition or other countable athletically related activities, or voluntary athletically related activities on campus. This seven-day period (December 20 through 26) will allow student-athletes to travel home during the winter break, work or simply relax. In addition, institutional athletics personnel (e.g., coaches, facilities managers, athletic trainers) will be able to achieve work-life balance because they will not be required to be on campus to attend to the management of student-athletes and facilities.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Will vary for each institution based on sports sponsorship in the winter segment.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. Will allow student-athletes to travel home, work or relax during the winter break without the pressure of practice or competition.

c. 2010 Convention – Bylaw 17 – Playing and Practice Seasons – Spring Sports.

- (1) Recommendation. Sponsor legislation for the 2010 Convention to amend NCAA Bylaw 17, as follows:
 - (a) In baseball, to reduce the maximum number of contests from 56 to 50;
 - (b) In golf, to reduce the maximum number of dates of competition from 24 to 21; and
 - (c) In softball, to eliminate the tournament dates legislation.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2010; however, contracts signed before August 6, 2009, for contests or dates of competition that exceed the maximum number of contests or dates of competition in the 2010-11 academic year will be honored.
- (3) Rationale. Following the 2009 Convention, the presidents and chancellors in Division II directed the governance structure to review the playing and practice seasons legislation to ensure that it is in alignment with the division's Strategic Positioning Platform, and to review the rules to confirm the principle of balance between academics and athletics. This proposal reduces the maximum number of contests in baseball and golf by approximately 10 percent. In addition, the proposal amends how an institution shall count contests in softball. Specifically, the change would

require institutions to count each softball contest in a tournament as one contest against the maximum limitations. The proposed amendments would likely assist institutions with challenges in their budgets because of an estimated decrease in costs for travel and/or game related expenses. In addition, the proposed changes would likely result in less missed class time, missed study time and time away from campus for baseball, golf and softball student-athletes, which should contribute to improved academic performance overall.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Will vary for each institution based on sports sponsorship in the spring segment.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. Will reduce the overall time spent participating in countable athletically related activities during the season.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Discussion Regarding Dates of NCAA Division II Football Championship.** The NCAA Division II Championships Committee and Legislation Committee approved a policy change to the NCAA Division II Football Championship dates, effective with the 2010 championship. This policy change is contingent on the approval of a legislative proposal to amend Bylaw 17 to specify that an institution shall not engage in its first contest with outside competition in the championship segment before the Thursday preceding September 6. According to the new policy, the start and end dates for the national championship will be one week later. For example, in 2010, the championship will start with the first round November 20 (Saturday of the 12th week after the first permissible contest) and end with the championship game December 18 (Saturday of the 16th week after the first permissible date of competition).
2. **Discussion Regarding Additional Options for Amending Bylaw 17 and Championship Policies.** The committees discussed additional options for amending Bylaw 17. The committees discussed championships policies, additional reductions to the length of the playing seasons, the maximum number of contests or dates of competition and additional changes in championships dates. The committees also discussed possible amendments to the list of annual and discretionary exemptions from

the maximum number of contests or dates of competition, to the nonchampionship segment legislation and to the recruiting calendars in Bylaw 30.11. The committees decided to not make any additional recommendations for amendments to Bylaw 17 or championships policies.

3. **Development of a Long-Term Strategy for Review of Bylaw 17 and Championship Policies.** The committees discussed and prioritized various issues that they will continue to review pursuant to the initiative to align Bylaw 17 with the Division II Strategic Positioning Platform. The committees determined that in future meetings the focus of the discussion for possible amendments to Bylaw 17 will be on annual and discretionary exemptions from the maximum number of contests or dates of competition for each sport, nonchampionship segment activities and additional reductions to the maximum number of contests or dates of competition in each sport.

*Committee Chairs: Ann Martin, Regis University, Legislation Committee
David Riggins, Mars Hill College, Championships Committee*

*Staff Liaisons: Shonna Brown, Championships
Sharon Cessna, Championships
Jennifer Fraser, Academic and Membership Affairs
Maritza Jones, Academic and Membership Affairs
Roberta Page, Championships*

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II COMMITTEE FOR LEGISLATIVE RELIEF

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Action Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- **Summary of Cases.** From January 16 through June 15, 2009, there were a total of 361 cases received during this period (NCAA Divisions I, II and III combined). Of that total, there were 50 Division II cases, 29 of which were decided before June 15, 2009 (21 cases are still in progress). The report is divided into reviewing NCAA staff and NCAA Division II Committee for Legislative Relief decisions for the identified time period. The following is a summary of the decisions made by the staff and the committee:
 - a. A total of 29 cases were reviewed and decided by the staff;
 - Fourteen cases involved the organized competition prior to enrollment legislation (NCAA Bylaw 14.2.4.2) and 10 involved the transfer legislation (Bylaw 14.5).
 - b. Staff granted 19 cases (including three that were granted with conditions);
 - c. Of the 19 staff granted cases, seven were granted based on the totality of the circumstances (the committee will review and vote to approve these cases granted based on the totality of circumstances during a July 17 teleconference);
 - d. Staff denied 10 cases;
 - e. One of the 10 staff denials was appealed to the committee; and
 - f. The committee affirmed the staff denial in the one appeal.

Subcommittee Chair: Gene Hermitte, Johnson C. Smith University, Pacific-10 Conference

Staff Liaisons: Jennifer Fraser, Membership Services
Vanessa Fuchs, Membership Services

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II PLANNING & FINANCE COMMITTEE

APRIL 29, 2009

1. **ACTION ITEMS.**

None.

2. **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.**

- a. **Enhancement Fund Revisions.** The Planning and Finance Committee reviewed the feedback received from a survey conducted during the annual Convention. The committee asked the national office staff to prepare an analysis showing the effect of removing the basketball portion of the distribution. During the discussion, the committee addressed the increased enhancement fund allocation that will be in effect in 2009-10. The additional dollars (\$300,000) will be incorporated into the equal distribution allocation that will be sent to 2009-10 active and eligible Division II institutions in May 2010.
- b. **Division II Strategic Plan Metrics.** A presentation was given regarding the breakdown of available strategic plan progress information. A review was done of items that are easily collected and measurable versus those that are more subjective. The committee chair will participate in a conference call with other Division II committee chairs to determine how best to communicate the results and progress of the strategic plan.

Committee Chair: Beverley Pitts, University of Indianapolis
Staff Liaison: Joyce W. Collins, Administrative Services

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
2008-2009 Division II Budget-to-Actual Results as of May 31, 2009

	2007-2008 Year-end Actual	2007-08 YTD Actual	2008-09 Budget	2008-2009 YTD Actual	Budget vs. Actual
Revenue:					
Division II 4.37% Revenue Allocation	28,039,000	28,039,000	28,889,000	28,889,000	-
Good of the Game Fund	24,000	24,000			-
Total Revenue	28,063,000	28,063,000	28,889,000	28,889,000	-
Expenses:					
Championships Expense:					
Men's Championships Expenses					
Baseball	1,232,713	1,137,384	1,477,500	366,778	1,110,722
Basketball	1,474,332	1,463,308	1,528,900	1,340,620	188,280
Cross Country	266,658	260,558	417,100	291,503	125,597
Football	1,727,989	1,731,287	2,073,900	1,240,707	833,193
Golf	619,418	331,838	669,100	173,827	495,273
Lacrosse	111,059	41,488	143,200	19,620	123,580
I/D Track	338,204	281,801	394,900	331,506	63,394
O/D Track	524,335	253,296	611,600	43,628	567,972
Soccer	607,915	607,730	805,800	641,315	164,485
Swimming & Diving	280,869	255,519	369,800	307,844	61,956
Tennis	361,883	232,992	380,100	139,047	241,053
Wrestling	261,776	260,607	350,800	371,296	(20,496)
Total Men's Championships Expenses	7,807,151	6,857,808	9,222,700	5,267,691	3,955,009
Women's Championships Expenses					
Basketball	1,525,350	1,494,342	1,531,700	1,396,105	135,595
Cross Country	256,032	256,035	425,700	304,228	121,472
Field Hockey	110,215	110,221	198,700	111,402	87,298
Golf	321,931	145,112	428,400	119,336	309,064
Lacrosse	156,763	83,830	353,300	23,241	330,059
I/D Track	301,738	248,396	393,400	358,197	35,203
O/D Track	513,744	250,637	637,100	57,777	579,323
Soccer	967,115	968,810	1,065,300	1,051,149	14,151
Softball	1,509,349	862,515	1,886,750	409,480	1,477,270
Swimming and Diving	282,854	253,968	338,400	404,370	(65,970)
Tennis	382,318	248,441	410,500	138,041	272,459
Volleyball	971,604	973,441	1,217,600	865,341	352,259
Rowing	183,262	82,157	220,100	20,294	199,806
Total Women's Championships Expenses	7,482,275	5,977,905	9,106,950	5,258,961	3,847,989
Total Championships Expense	15,289,426	12,835,713	18,329,650	10,526,652	7,802,998

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
2008-2009 Division II Budget-to-Actual Results as of May 31, 2009

	2007-2008				
	Year-end Actual	2007-08 YTD Actual	2008-09 Budget	2008-2009 YTD Actual	Budget vs. Actual
Program Expenses					
Enhancement Fund	4,760,762	4,929,399	4,800,000	4,777,539	22,461
Supplemental Distribution	1,076,000	1,076,000		-	-
Strategic Alliance Matching Grant	548,129	504,917	700,000	571,391	128,609
Strategic Planning	1,217,110	1,014,502	1,500,000	447,786	1,052,214
Degree Completion	406,070	86,899	441,000	175,290	265,710
NACWAA Grant	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	-
FARA Grant	48,126	47,118	50,000	48,569	1,431
Student-Athlete Gov. Fund	15,233	8,553	25,000	7,427	17,573
Sports Festival	512,386	273,678	450,000	576,092	(126,092)
Graduation Rates	49,998	49,999	50,000	49,999	1
Public Identity (Marketing)	1,614,514	1,514,990	1,646,000	1,724,282	(78,282)
Leadership Conference	512,658	491,847	400,000	421,046	(21,046)
Assistant Coaches Grant	288,451	292	320,000	-	320,000
Drug Education	112,000	-	100,000	-	100,000
Conference Grants	2,843,721	2,849,990	2,825,000	2,607,700	217,300
Athletic Directors Association Support	47,000	47,000	50,000	40,000	10,000
MOAA	-	-	50,000	50,000	-
Membership Fund	256,475	-	250,000	61,855	188,145
Conference Commissioners Association Grant	37,286	37,286	50,000	31,432	18,568
Miscellaneous	161,133	240,561	100,000	196,480	(96,480)
Total Program Expenses	14,557,052	13,223,030	13,857,000	11,836,888	2,020,112
Championship Initiatives Overhead	410,400	410,400	457,350	457,350	-
Other Initiatives Overhead	188,100	188,100	188,100	188,100	-
Total Program and Overhead Expenses	15,155,552	13,821,530	14,502,450	12,482,338	2,020,112
Total Division II Expenses	<u>30,444,978</u>	<u>26,657,243</u>	<u>32,832,100</u>	<u>23,008,990</u>	<u>9,823,110</u>
Excess Revenue/Trust Allocation over Expense	(2,381,978)	1,405,757	(3,943,100)	5,880,010	
Prior Year Membership Trust Balance	16,523,028	16,523,028	14,141,050	14,141,050	
Membership Trust Balance	<u>14,141,050</u>	<u>17,928,785</u>	<u>10,197,950</u>	<u>20,021,060</u>	
Division II Unallocated Funds (Actual)	<u>11,141,050</u>	<u>14,928,785</u>	<u>7,197,950</u>	<u>17,021,060</u>	
Reserve Fund (Note 1)	<u>3,000,000</u>	<u>3,000,000</u>	<u>3,000,000</u>	<u>3,000,000</u>	

Note 1: Per the Division II Budget Guidelines and Principles, the membership trust balance should be maintained at \$3,000,000.

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II COMMITTEE ON STUDENT-ATHLETE REINSTATEMENT
JUNE 9-11, 2009, MEETING

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Action Items.

a. 2010 NCAA Convention Legislation – NCAA Division II Bylaw 12.5.1.1(h) – Amateurism – Promotional Activities - Institutional, Charitable, Educational or Nonprofit Promotions - *De Minimis* Violations.

- (1) Recommendation. Sponsor legislation for the 2010 NCAA Convention to amend NCAA Division II Bylaw 12.5.1.1[h] (institutional, charitable, educational or nonprofit promotions) to expand situations when violations of Bylaw 12.5.1.1 are considered *de minimis* and, thus, do not impact the eligibility of a student-athlete for any permissible promotional activity where the legislative requirement that is not satisfied is the failure to obtain signatures of student-athletes and an authorized representative of the charitable, educational or nonprofit agency on a release statement ensuring a student-athlete's name, image or appearance is used in a manner consistent with the requirements of this section. Violations of this bylaw shall remain an institutional violation but not impact a student-athlete's eligibility, provided the student-athlete and authorized representative indicate, subsequent to discovery of the violation, that had the release statement been presented, it would have been signed.
- (2) Effective Date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. Many times when the NCAA student-athlete reinstatement staff is presented with reinstatement requests involving violations of this legislation, the promotional activity is otherwise permissible and the violation is only triggered based on a failure to obtain receipt of appropriate signatures. In all cases, the signatures are subsequently received once the violation is discovered and reinstatement is sought. In all such cases, the eligibility of the involved student-athlete is reinstated without conditions by the student-athlete reinstatement staff. In addition to an effort to reduce bureaucracy, the recommendation is consistent with existing legislation involving a failure to obtain written approval from the institution's chancellor or president involving permissible promotional activities, which is currently *de minimis*.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative Action Items.

- **None.**

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- 1. Competition and Practice Prior to Certification of Amateur Status.** The committee was provided with an update and reviewed case precedent involving situations where a student-athlete has been permitted to participate in practice and competition prior to receiving final amateurism certification from the NCAA Eligibility Center (Bylaw 12.1.1.1.3). The committee directed the student-athlete reinstatement staff to apply the guidelines previously approved at the December 2007 meeting, which have an effective date of August 1, 2009, for student-athletes first enrolling at a Division II institution on or after the 2009-10 academic year. [Attachment A]

2. Overview of Prescribed Penalties.

- a. **Discussion of Prize Money Guidelines in Prescribed Penalties.** The committee reviewed the current guidelines in the prescribed penalties chart regarding student-athletes accepting prize money subsequent to initial full-time enrollment at a non-NCAA institution. In its discussions, the student-athlete reinstatement staff specifically addressed situations when a student-athlete accepts prize money subsequent to initial full-time enrollment at a non-NCAA institution while the student-athlete is not enrolled full time or the student-athlete has a period of time after enrolling full time when he or she was not enrolled, thus, avoiding the application of the organized competition rule in Bylaw 14.2.4.2. The committee approved the recommended prescribed penalty proposed by the student-athlete reinstatement staff charging the student-athlete one season for every calendar year (subsequent to initial full-time enrollment at a non-NCAA institution) that he or she receives prize money while not enrolled full time. [Attachment B]
- b. **Discussion of Involvement with Professional Teams.** The committee reviewed the current guidelines in the prescribed penalties chart regarding student-athletes competing on a professional team subsequent to initial enrollment at a non-NCAA institution. In its discussions, the student-athlete reinstatement staff specifically addressed situations when a student-athlete competes on a professional team subsequent to initial full-time enrollment at a non-NCAA institution while the student-athlete is not enrolled full time or the student-athlete has a period of time after enrolling full time when he or she was not enrolled, thus, avoiding the application of the organized competition rule in Bylaw 14.2.4.2. The student-

athlete reinstatement staff recommended the committee amend the current guidelines in the prescribed penalties chart by incorporating the term “full time” into the description of the violation to address the intent of the committee’s prescribed penalty. The committee approved this amendment and the proposed prescribed penalty charging the student-athlete one season for every calendar year in which the student-athlete was competing with a professional team while not enrolled full time at the non-NCAA institution. [Attachment C]

- c. **Amending Violation Language.** The committee reviewed the description of the violation language currently found in the topic “Agent Violations,” located in the prescribed penalties chart and approved adding the language “relatives or friends” within the description of the violation. [Attachment D]
3. **Division II Student-Athlete Reinstatement Policies and Procedures.** The committee reviewed and approved the revised policies and procedures document presented by the student-athlete reinstatement staff. The specifics of the changes can be found in Attachment E. Specifically, the updates included changing the language to make it consistent with the current governance structure for all three divisions and language regarding the requirement for signature for appeals and applications.
4. **Review of NCAA Amateurism Certification Process.** The student-athlete reinstatement staff provided an update to the committee regarding the amateurism certification process and an overview of the amateurism certification cases processed in which a reinstatement condition was imposed.
5. **Paperless Decision Letter Process Update.** The student-athlete reinstatement staff provided an update to the committee regarding the status of shifting to a paperless decision letter. In addition, the student-athlete reinstatement staff provided the committee with the language that will be used in the e-mail sent to institutions notifying them that a decision in their specific case has been reached. The committee is supportive of the shift to a paperless decision letter.
6. **Use of Service Learning.** The student-athlete reinstatement staff provided an update to the committee regarding the use of service learning to fulfill a reinstatement condition. During its December 2008 committee meetings, the student-athlete reinstatement staff was directed to seek guidance from the NCAA Division II Presidents Council regarding the appropriateness of using service learning as a condition of reinstatement. The student-athlete reinstatement staff forwarded this item to the NCAA Division II

Presidents Council (via the NCAA Division II governance group) for their feedback. The student-athlete reinstatement staff will provide an update to the committee during the December 2009 meetings regarding the outcome of the Presidents Council discussions.

7. **Potential Penalty Associated with Granting Season-of-Competition Waivers – Competition While Eligible Coach’s Documented Misunderstanding of the Legislation.** The committee reviewed and approved a new chancellor’s and president’s letter created by the student-athlete reinstatement staff to be used specifically in situations when the student-athlete reinstatement staff grant a student-athlete a season-of-competition waiver – competition while eligible due to coach’s documented misunderstanding of the legislation. The committee also discussed the potential for fining institutions or coaches and/or suspending coaches for documented misunderstanding of the legislation that results in a student-athlete using a season of competition. The committee directed the staff to seek guidance from the NCAA Division II Committee on Infractions regarding imposing an institutional fine and/or coach’s penalty associated with the granting of this waiver.
8. **Administration of Hardship Waiver for Injury or Illness Occurring at a non-NCAA Institution (Bylaw 14.2.5.1.1).** The committee reviewed a request to consider amending the hardship waiver legislation as it relates to the administration of the hardship waiver for an injury or illness occurring at a non-NCAA institution (Bylaw 14.2.5.1.1). The committee believes that the legislation ensures consistency in the application of the hardship waiver across all Division II institutions. The committee noted a staff interpretation that was incorporated into the NCAA Division II Manual specifically addressing the application of the hardship waiver. The committee believes that maintaining the legislation as written strongly supports the beliefs of the Division II membership.
9. **Flexible Student-Athlete Reinstatement Decisions.** The committee reviewed flexible cases decided since the last meeting and had a dialogue regarding the specific circumstances of each case. As a result of these discussions, the committee archived Eligibility Case No. 32076 due to the unique circumstances of the case. In addition, the committee asked the staff to consider conducting teleconferences during which flexible cases would be reviewed. The committee believes that conducting these teleconferences instead of discussing these cases during each committee meeting will allow more time to be spent on other agenda items.

- 10. Review of Chancellors' and Presidents' Letters.** The student-athlete reinstatement staff reviewed with the committee the current language used in the chancellor's and president's letter. The committee advised the student-athlete reinstatement staff to continue sending the chancellor's and president's letter with such language. In addition, the committee directed the student-athlete reinstatement staff to send a chancellor's and president's letter even when the institutional staff member who made the error is no longer employed at the institution. Finally, the committee requested the student-athlete reinstatement staff research why the chancellor's and president's letter is not sent to those institutional staff members who receive copies of the decision letter and the conference commissioner. The student-athlete reinstatement staff will report its findings to the committee at the December 2009 meeting. [Attachment F]
- 11. Review of NCAA Division II Guidelines Document.** The committee reviewed the current NCAA Division II Student-Athlete Reinstatement Guidelines document and approved it with one amendment. Specifically, the committee requested the student-athlete reinstatement staff amend the section addressing Division II Bylaw 10 to include misconduct as well as academic fraud. Including misconduct in this guideline was the original intent of the committee when it amended the guideline in May 2008.
- 12. 2009 NCAA Regional Rules Seminars Update and Feedback.** The student-athlete reinstatement staff provided the committee with feedback received from the 2009 NCAA Regional Rules Seminars in Atlanta and Anaheim. The committee expressed concern regarding the limited number of sessions for the Division II membership.
- 13. Review of Reinstatement Appeals.** The student-athlete reinstatement staff provided the committee with an update regarding student-athlete reinstatement appeals heard by the committee from October 1, 2008, through March 31, 2009.
- 14. Medical Standards for Hardship Waiver Appeals and Extensions.** The committee discussed amending the hardship waiver legislation language to further clarify the intent of the legislation. The committee determined that the current legislation is appropriate and no modification needs to be made at this time. The committee believes the membership understands the hardship waiver legislation and is comfortable with the legislation as written. The committee was informed by the student-athlete reinstatement staff that the NCAA Division II Legislation Committee is currently looking at the issue of chiropractor records as medical documentation.

The committee directed the student-athlete reinstatement staff to send the hardship waiver memorandum to all Division II conference commissioners, athletics directors, senior woman administrators, faculty athletics representatives, compliance coordinators and athletic trainers again this fall and continue this practice each fall.

15. ***De minimis* Review of Bylaw 13.1.1.2.** The committee reviewed a recommendation from the student-athlete reinstatement staff to make violations of Bylaw 13.1.1.2 *de minimis* and, thus, not impact student-athlete eligibility. The committee was not in support of this change and believed that removing the eligibility impact for these violations would send the wrong message to the membership and student-athletes, and give a false perception of the importance of the bylaw.
16. **NCAA Agent, Gambling and Amateurism Activities Update.** The committee received an update from the NCAA agent, gambling and amateurism activities (AGA) staff. The AGA staff noted changes to NFL Players Association rules and the pending release of a gambling study conducted by the NCAA research staff.
17. **Technology Update.** The student-athlete reinstatement staff updated the committee on the new database and submission of reinstatement requests and waivers. The project is currently being reviewed by the consultant group KPMG. The goal is to provide an entire online submission process for student-athlete reinstatement violations and waivers.
18. **Cross-Divisional Issues.** The committee approved the use of a cross-divisional issues chart presented by the student-athlete reinstatement staff. The chart's purpose is to clearly display which division's legislation applies when a student-athlete transfers divisions and which division's committee has jurisdiction over an appeal. The committee requested that an additional column be added to include when a student-athlete's participation occurred at a non-NCAA institution. The committee directed the student-athlete reinstatement staff to use the chart and incorporate it within the policies and procedures, which will be posted online for membership access.
19. **Conflict of Interest and Speaking Agent Policy.** The committee reviewed the NCAA conflict of interest and speaking agent policy.

- 20. Preparation and Documents for Committee Meetings.** The committee requested the student-athlete reinstatement staff find ways to simplify committee meeting materials for ease of comprehension and more efficient use of meeting time. In addition, the committee requested the materials be more easily accessible through the use of external jump drives and/or zip drives.

Committee Chair: Suzanne Sanregret, Michigan Technological University
Staff Liaison(s): Danielle Teetzel, Academic and Membership Affairs
Nino Berticelli, Academic and Membership Affairs
Payton Williams, Academic and Membership Affairs

Division II

Effective 8/1/09 for Student-Athletes first enrolling at a Division II institution on or after 2009-10 academic year.

Impermissible Participation Prior to Final Amateurism Certification

(Violations of NCAA Bylaw 12.1.1.1.3)

	Subsequently Certified	Provided ACP Penalty[^]
Practice Beyond 45 days	1-2 Withholding	1-2 Withholding
Competition	1-2 Withholding*	1-1 Withholding After Completion of Penalty

* Maximum of 10 Percent of one season of competition

Division II - All student-athletes have 45 days to practice before withholding.

Prize Money

(These acts are only violations subsequent to initial-collegiate enrollment)

Description of Violation	Guidelines
1. Following initial full-time enrollment at a non-NCAA member institution, student-athlete accepts prize money. The prize money is accepted during a time when the student-athlete is enrolled full time at a collegiate institution and student-athlete does not have a period where he or she was not enrolled in college after triggering initial-collegiate enrollment.	Eligibility reinstated based on repayment.
2. Following initial full-time enrollment at a non-NCAA member institution, the student-athlete accepts prize money. Prize money is accepted while student-athlete is not enrolled full time or student-athlete has a period of time after enrolling full time where he or she was not enrolled. <u>(Intent here is to identify cases where student-athlete attempted to avoid application of organized competition rule with collegiate enrollment AND was not enrolled full time when received prize money).</u>	Eligibility reinstated based on charging the student-athlete one season for every calendar year that he or she received prize money while not enrolled full time.

Involvement with Professional Teams

(These acts are only violations subsequent to initial-collegiate enrollment.)

Description of Violation	Guidelines
1. Following initial full-time enrollment at a non-NCAA member institution, student-athlete signs an agreement to participate in professional athletics (e.g., signs an agreement allows play on professional team, checks professional box on tennis entrance form).	Eligibility reinstated based on being withheld from 10 percent of the institution's season.
2. Following initial full-time enrollment at a non-NCAA member institution, student-athlete competes on a professional team while enrolled full time in the non-NCAA member institution. The student-athlete must have been continuously enrolled full time at the collegiate institution and not have competed on a professional team while not enrolled or only enrolled part time.	Eligibility reinstated based on being withheld one contest for every contest competed at the professional level.
3. Following initial full-time enrollment at a non-NCAA member institution, student-athlete competes on a professional team while either not enrolled in the non-NCAA institution or only enrolled part time at the non-NCAA institution when competition took place. <u>(Intent here is to identify cases where student-athlete attempted to avoid application of organized competition rule with collegiate enrollment AND was not enrolled full time when competed).</u>	Eligibility reinstated based on being charged with one season for every calendar year in which student-athlete was competing for professional team while not being enrolled full time at a non-NCAA institution.
4. Following initial full-time enrollment at a non-NCAA member institution, student-athlete accepted impermissible actual and necessary expenses.	Eligibility reinstated based on repayment.
5. Following initial full-time enrollment at a non-NCAA member institution, student-athlete accepts money above actual and necessary expenses, excluding prize money (e.g., salary).	Sit a year and require repayment.

**NCAA Division II Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement
Prescribed Penalties**

Agent Violations

(This act is a violation for individuals prior to and after enrollment.)

Description of Violation	Guidelines
1. Prospective student-athlete (includes any individual who is subject to review by amateurism certification staff) signs an agreement with an agent or an agreement indicating that an individual will represent him or her.	Eligibility not reinstated.
2. Prospective student-athlete (includes any individual who is subject to review by amateurism certification staff) relatives or friends accept benefit(s) from an agent valued at less than \$100.	Eligibility reinstated based on repayment of value of impermissible benefit(s) and 10 percent withholding condition.
3. Prospective student-athlete (includes any individual who is subject to review by amateurism certification staff), relatives or friends accept benefit(s) from an agent that are greater than or equal to \$100.	Case-by-case review by student-athlete reinstatement staff (minimum condition of repayment and 10 percent withholding condition).
4. Prospective student-athlete (includes any individual who is subject to review by amateurism certification staff) signs an agreement with a talent evaluation service or agent that is conditioned on the service or agent securing an athletics scholarship for the prospective student-athlete.	Eligibility reinstated based on 10 percent withholding condition.

**NCAA DIVISIONS I, II AND III COMMITTEES ON
STUDENT-ATHLETE REINSTATEMENT**

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

(Including Reinstatement Requests, Waivers and Extension Requests)

The NCAA student-athlete reinstatement process provides for the evaluation of institutional self-reports submitted on behalf of student-athletes/prospective student-athletes who have been involved in a violation of NCAA regulations that affect their eligibility in order to assess the student-athlete(s)/prospective student-athlete(s)' responsibility and to determine appropriate conditions for reinstatement of eligibility. This process also provides for a review of institutional requests for various waivers for which the NCAA Divisions I, II and III Committees on Student-Athlete Reinstatement have the authority to act. Decisions for both reinstatement requests and other waiver requests are based on national standards established by the membership, the NCAA Division I Legislative Council, NCAA Divisions II and III Management Councils and the student-athlete reinstatement committees, and are applied by the NCAA student-athlete reinstatement staff.

Initial-Staff Decision - Reinstatement Requests

1. When a member institution determines that a prospective or enrolled student-athlete has been involved in a violation of NCAA rules, it is obligated under NCAA Division I Bylaw 14.11.1 to declare the individual ineligible and withhold the student-athlete from all intercollegiate competition. The student-athlete reinstatement committees process reinstatement requests for violations of Bylaw 10 (ethical conduct), Bylaw 12 (amateurism), Bylaw 13 (recruiting), Bylaw 14 (eligibility), Bylaw 15 (financial Aid), Bylaw 16 (extra benefits) and Bylaw 18 (drug testing). If necessary, an institution should contact the NCAA academic and membership affairs staff to obtain an interpretation concerning the appropriate application of the legislation.
2. If an individual is ineligible under NCAA legislation and the institution believes the circumstances warrant requesting reinstatement of eligibility, it may submit a request for reinstatement to the NCAA student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator. An application is currently available on the NCAA Web site (www.ncaa.org) and will be mandatory when the electronic database is operational. All student-athletes or prospective student-athletes shall be required to complete a signed Buckley Statement when an institution requests reinstatement. Any exception to this policy must be granted by the lead administrator. If the institution requires an immediate decision (e.g., because of pending competition), it should be noted in its request. The request for reinstatement shall include a statement that a violation has taken place; a statement indicating that the institution has declared the involved prospective student-athlete or student-athlete ineligible and is requesting reinstatement of eligibility; a description of the violation, including the rule citation and amount or value of any benefit received, the identity of all

coaches, prospective student-athletes or student-athletes and other individuals involved in the violation; the means by which the institution became aware of the violation; the reason(s) the violation occurred; the involved prospective student-athlete's or student-athlete's knowledge of the rule in question; a list of corrective or disciplinary actions taken by the institution or conference; a statement describing factors, if any that might mitigate the violation; and supporting documentation. The institution is responsible for developing complete, accurate and thorough information prior to submitting a reinstatement request to the student-athlete reinstatement staff.

3. After a request for reinstatement is received by the national office, it is assigned to a student-athlete reinstatement staff member who reviews the request and may obtain additional information prior to reaching a decision. While the student-athlete reinstatement staff may gather additional information relevant to the reinstatement request, its primary purpose is to ensure that the facts are developed. Its primary function is not to act as a fact-finding body. The institution primarily is responsible for gathering the facts necessary to process a reinstatement request.

- a. Involvement with NCAA Agent, Gambling and Amateurism Activities Staff. If the reinstatement request involves a preferential treatment, agent or gambling violations, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will provide a copy of the institution's report to the agent, gambling and amateurism (AGA) activities staff of enforcement services. If the AGA staff agrees that the report appears complete, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will continue with its process. If the AGA staff determines that the report appears incomplete, the AGA staff may conduct additional follow-up with the institution to ensure that all relevant facts are included in the request before the student-athlete reinstatement staff issues a decision.

If the reinstatement request involves a post-enrollment amateurism violation (not currently being processed by the NCAA Eligibility Center amateurism certification staff) self-reported by the institution, the student-athlete reinstatement staff may provide a copy of the institution's report to the AGA staff to ensure accuracy and a complete representation of the facts. Also, if the student-athlete reinstatement staff determines that in-depth follow-up is warranted, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will notify the institution of the concerns related to the facts as reported and indicate that additional follow-up appears to be needed. The AGA staff and the student-athlete reinstatement staff will work jointly to conduct the needed follow-up to develop the set of facts.

If the reinstatement request involves an amateurism case that was initiated by the AGA staff through investigative efforts, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will provide a copy of the institution's report to the AGA staff to ensure accuracy and a complete representation of the relevant facts. If the AGA staff determines that relevant facts need to be added to the institution's report, the AGA staff will contact the institution to discuss the report and establish a set of agreed-upon facts which the student-athlete reinstatement staff will base its decision. If the student-athlete reinstatement staff notifies the institution that the report appears to be incomplete, but the institution determines that the facts are complete as reported, then the student-athlete reinstatement staff will make a decision based on the reported facts. If the staff's concerns are substantiated with facts, then the institution could be subject to the enforcement process and the reinstatement decision could be voided.

- b. Involvement with NCAA Major Enforcement Staff. If the reinstatement request involves a violation connected to a major infractions case, the student-athlete reinstatement staff may provide a copy of the report to the major enforcement staff involved in the case. If the enforcement staff determines that the report appears complete, then the student-athlete reinstatement staff will process the case.

If the enforcement staff determines that the report appears incomplete, the student-athlete reinstatement staff and major enforcement staff may follow up with the institution specific to the concerns related to the facts. If the institution agrees that the report needs to be developed, the major enforcement and/or student-athlete reinstatement staff will assist with that investigative process. However, if the institution determines that the report is complete, then the student-athlete reinstatement staff will make a decision based on the institution's set of facts. If the student-athlete reinstatement staff's concerns are substantiated, then the institution could be subject to the enforcement process, and the reinstatement decision could be voided.

- c. Involvement with the NCAA Eligibility Center Amateurism Certification Process. The Divisions I and II Committees on Student-Athlete Reinstatement will create and update prescribed penalties to be administered by the amateurism certification staff of the Eligibility Center. The amateurism certification staff may impose a reinstatement penalty from the committee's approved prescribed penalty schedule if the violation(s) is listed on the penalty schedule. The amateurism certification staff will compile a summary of the violations and penalties assessed according to the prescribed penalty schedule for the committee's review prior to each committee's in-person meeting.

- (1) The amateurism certification staff will forward cases to the student-athlete reinstatement staff to determine appropriate reinstatement conditions in certain instances not limited to the following (Division I and II only): if the violation(s) is on the penalty schedule but the amateurism certification staff is unable to render a decision because mitigation is present that warrants an additional review, or
- (2) if the violation(s) is not on the penalty schedule.

The student-athlete reinstatement staff will use the summary of facts agreed upon by the amateurism certification staff, the involved prospective student-athlete and, when applicable, the involved institution. If the appropriate parties have not agreed, the reinstatement staff will rely upon the facts as rendered by the NCAA Divisions I and II Amateurism Fact-Finding Committee. If additional information is needed, the student-athlete reinstatement staff and amateurism certification staff will work jointly to gather additional information.

As with any request, the student-athlete reinstatement staff may not render a decision if any fact(s) and/or violation(s) are in dispute.

If a violation is not on the prescribed penalty list or if mitigation is present, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will review the facts of the case and render a reinstatement decision. The student-athlete reinstatement staff will notify the amateurism certification staff of the reinstatement decision/condition so the prospective student-athlete's certification can be completed. If a case is reviewed as part of the initial process, the decision will be forwarded to the amateurism certification staff at the Eligibility Center for notification.

Once a decision has been issued involving a violation that impacts eligibility (including decisions by student-athlete reinstatement staff or decisions assessed from the prescribed penalty list), correspondence will be sent from the Eligibility Center and student-athlete reinstatement staff outlining relevant amateurism rules and penalties and explaining that continued violations will result in more significant penalties and possible permanent ineligibility.

4. If a reinstatement request involves issues, arguments or mitigation of education, impacting disabilities, depression, alcoholism, eating disorders or other psychiatric disorders, the case information may be shared with an expert in that field. The expert

will review the information and provide an analysis. The analysis provided by the expert will be included in the written record of the case and considered by the student-athlete reinstatement staff and committee when reviewing the case.

5. If a reinstatement request contains information or allegations against another NCAA member institution or institutional employee, in order for the allegations to be considered within the reinstatement request, the institution or employee against whom the allegations are made must be provided a copy of all relevant documentation and given 10 business days to respond to the allegations. If a response is received, the response will be included as part of the case record. If no response is received, the case will be processed and the allegations may be considered by the student-athlete reinstatement staff and committee. The institution submitting the reinstatement request should work with the student-athlete reinstatement staff to ensure all relevant information is provided to the institution or employee against whom the allegations are made and to ensure that the 10-day response period is explained.
6. Division I Bylaw 21.6.6.2.3.2.3.1-(a), NCAA Division II Bylaw 21.7.6.4.2.2 and NCAA Division III Bylaw 21.8.6.3.4 authorizes the student-athlete reinstatement staff to act on behalf of the three student-athlete reinstatement committees to apply the eligibility rules of the Association. The student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator shall provide oversight and consultation, when necessary, regarding the eligibility decisions of the student-athlete reinstatement staff. In cases where the student-athlete reinstatement staff provides a level of relief from established case precedent, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will provide the committee a chance to review decisions made in those cases. In Division I, these cases shall remain unpublished until the committee reviews the staff's decision and are not considered binding precedent for the committee on appeal. If the committee accepts the staff's decision, the case will remain published (or be published in the case of Division I) and continue to serve as case precedent. In addition, the committee may archive a case. An archived case would not be considered binding case precedent and would not be published.
7. After the student-athlete reinstatement staff has reviewed the institution's request and has completed its research, the student-athlete reinstatement staff may reinstate eligibility immediately, may impose appropriate conditions for reinstatement of eligibility or may conclude that eligibility should not be reinstated.
8. If the next contest involves an exhibition contest, nonchampionship contest or any other contest that may not be used to fulfill a reinstatement condition and once the student-athlete reinstatement staff has conducted a cursory review to determine that permanent ineligibility or a complete season penalty is not warranted, the student-athlete reinstatement staff may provide partial reinstatement to the student-athlete for the

exhibition, nonchampionship or other contest that may not be used to fulfill a reinstatement condition prior to completion of processing the reinstatement request and reaching a final determination if withholding is appropriate.

9. Repayment of an impermissible benefit must be made to the source (if it is the institution) or a charity of the student-athlete's choice. If repayment is made to a charity of the student-athlete's choice, the entity must be a 501(c)(3) tax exempt organization. Further, if the student-athlete is donating to a charitable athletics entity, the donation must be made to an entity that he or she will not directly benefit from as well as an entity that the student-athlete has not had any direct involvement (e.g., former athletics team). In addition, repayment must be made by the student-athlete or his or her legal guardian (e.g., fundraising or donations may not be used to repay the benefit). Any limited exception to this policy must be approved by the student-athlete reinstatement staff or committee. If the reinstatement condition requires repayment, an institution may choose to enter into a repayment plan with the student-athlete. A plan must be approved by the student-athlete reinstatement staff and will be overseen by the institution. If a student-athlete competes while not current with an approved repayment plan, the institution is in violation of NCAA rules and must report that violation to the student-athlete reinstatement staff and secondary enforcement staff. Failure by a student-athlete to satisfy or meet the terms of an approved repayment plan after competing under the plan may result in the staff not entering into repayment plans with that institution for a four-year period. Default occurs on a repayment plan if at the conclusion of the plan all payments have not been received; or the institution may choose to notify the student-athlete reinstatement staff that a student-athlete has defaulted and begin the four-year period on notification of default. If an institution wishes to appeal the four-year period, the institution must submit a written request explaining its reason for relief. Any appeal of the four-year period may only be submitted once another situation arises where the institution wishes to enter into a repayment plan. The lead administrator, in consultation with the chair, will review the information presented by the institution and determine if relief to the four-year period is warranted. If it is determined that relief is not warranted or that full committee review is needed, the information will be forwarded to the full committee for review. Cases will be reviewed by written record, unless the chair determines a conference call is warranted.
10. The institution may be notified verbally of the reinstatement decision, if necessary, and all decisions shall be confirmed in writing. The following individuals will receive copies of the decision: the director of athletics, the faculty athletics representative, the senior woman administrator, the conference commissioner, if applicable, and the institutional staff member who submitted the request if he or she is not one of the aforementioned persons. The division committees also shall be apprised in writing of all staff decisions that deviate from case precedent within its division regardless of whether the decision is appealed to the division committee. In Divisions II and III written decision letters will be

sent via electronic mail directing all recipients to view the case via the NCAA Legislative Services Database Internet (LSDBi). *(Approved December 2008)*

11. Student-athlete reinstatement requests will be prioritized based on the date of the next contest, the order in which the case was received and the timing of when the violation was discovered. After a case is complete, the student-athlete reinstatement staff generally requires 48 hours to complete a thorough review of the information presented. Thus, if a case file is not complete until less than 48 hours prior to competition; the student-athlete reinstatement staff may not be able to render a decision prior to the contest. All requests should be submitted to the student-athlete reinstatement staff in a timely fashion once the violation is discovered to ensure a complete review can be conducted prior to the next contest.
12. An institution may ask the student-athlete reinstatement staff to reconsider its decision if the institution obtains new information related to the original case (e.g., same transaction, occurrence or series of events). The institution shall submit the information to the student-athlete reinstatement staff which will reopen the case and make a decision based on the new set of facts.
13. For decisions that involve withholding from competition as a condition, the student-athlete must fulfill the reinstatement condition when he or she is otherwise eligible and during one of his or her four seasons of competition. In addition, a student-athlete must fulfill a reinstatement condition when he or she is medically cleared to play by the institution.

Scrimmage, exhibition or nonchampionship contests may not be used to fulfill a reinstatement condition unless specifically indicated by the student-athlete reinstatement staff.

The competitions used to fulfill a reinstatement condition must be applied as follows:

- a. Team sports – the contests must be among those considered for team selection to the NCAA championship;
- b. Individual sports with separate team championship – the dates of competition must be among those considered for team selection to the NCAA championship;
- c. Individual sports without a separate team championship – the date of competition must be among those used to qualify for the NCAA championship; and
- d. Sports without an NCAA championship – the date must be regularly scheduled.

If the next contest in the institution's schedule is part of the NCAA championship or other postseason competition, then the student-athlete must be withheld from those contests.

14. The student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator and the vice president for academic and membership affairs have the authority to stay a decision if the following conditions are met: (1) The institution and student-athlete first become aware of the violation within 48 hours of the competition; and (2) case precedent is unclear whether withholding from competition as a condition for reinstatement is warranted. If the student-athlete reinstatement staff does grant a stay, the student-athlete will be eligible for competition until the committee's first available opportunity for an appeal call.
15. The student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator in consultation with the division-specific chair has the ability to suspend a reinstatement condition in very limited circumstances if the next contest is the NCAA championship. The general practice is that student-athletes are withheld from the next contests even if the next contests are part of the NCAA championship and that policy remains in place. The ability to suspend is only to be used in limited circumstances where the student-athlete is innocently involved, no competitive advantage was gained and withholding from the championship does not seem appropriate. Further, the suspension can only be used if the student-athlete has eligibility remaining the following academic year.
16. In situations where relief is provided to a student-athlete based on an institutional error or misinformation provided by the institution, a letter will be sent from the student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator to the institution's chancellor or president. The letter will not be punitive in nature but will serve as notification to the institution that relief was provided as a result of institutional error or misinformation.
17. **Application of Reinstatement Conditions to Transfer Student-Athletes.** The committees determined that a student-athlete who transfers between divisions and was involved in a violation would be subject to his or her current division's reinstatement standards and conditions. Reinstatement conditions across divisions are not always consistent and, in some cases, may be more or less significant. If a student-athlete is involved in a violation and wishes to compete at an institution in a different division, he or she should be subject to the reinstatement standards of that division. If, at the time of transfer from a different division, the student-athlete's current institution determines the student-athlete was involved in a violation at his or her previous institution, the current institution should contact the former institution, gather all relevant facts and submit the case to the student-athlete reinstatement staff. The student-athlete reinstatement staff will assess the case in the context of the standards of the student-athlete's current institution and division. The committee noted the review and

application of division-specific reinstatement standards would apply whether or not a student-athlete had been reinstated for a violation while attending a different institution in a different division, but the student-athlete reinstatement staff would consider any condition in assessing the appropriate penalty.

Initial Staff Decision - Other Waivers/Extension Requests

1. The student-athlete reinstatement committees have the authority to process five types of waivers: Division I Bylaws 14.2.1, 14.2.2 and 30.6.1 (five-year/10-semester waiver), Division I Bylaw 14.2.1.5 (athletics activity waiver), Bylaw 14.2.4 and Divisions II and III Bylaw 14.2.5 (hardship waiver) (independent institutions or appeal of conference office decisions submitted by the conference office); Division I Bylaw 14.2.5 and Bylaw 14.2.6 and Division II and III Bylaw 14.2.6 (season-of competition waiver – competition while ineligible); Division I Bylaw 14.2.6 and Division II and III Bylaw 14.2.7 (season-of-competition waiver – competition while eligible).
2. For an institution submitting an extension request, a checklist and table have been created to assist the institution in submitting all appropriate and necessary information. These materials can be found on the NCAA Web site or can be sent to the institution via e-mail by the student-athlete reinstatement staff. For waivers other than extension requests, the institution should submit a cover letter explaining its request, a detailed description of the student-athlete's circumstances and an indication of the specific bylaw the institution believes is applicable and appropriate supporting documentation. An application is currently available on the NCAA Web site (www.ncaa.org) and will be mandatory once the electronic submission database is operational. All student-athletes or prospective student-athletes are required to complete a signed Buckley Statement when an institution requests a waiver from the student-athlete reinstatement staff. This signature is in addition to the standard Buckley Statement all student-athletes sign during athletics orientation meetings. Any exception to this policy must be granted by the lead administrator. Only written materials will be reviewed by the student-athlete reinstatement staff and committee. Photographs, x-rays, etc. will not be considered.
3. After an institution submits its request, it is assigned to a student-athlete reinstatement staff member for review. The student-athlete reinstatement staff may request that the institution gather and submit additional information in an effort to meet the standards set by the legislative criteria. Once all materials relevant to the institution's request have been submitted, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will make a decision on behalf of the divisional student-athlete reinstatement committee.

4. If a reinstatement request or waiver involves issues, arguments or mitigation of education, impacting disabilities, depression, alcoholism, eating disorders or other psychiatric disorders, the case information may be shared with an expert in that field. The expert will review the information and provide an analysis. The analysis provided by the expert will be included in the written record of the case and considered by the student-athlete reinstatement staff and committee when reviewing the case.
5. If a waiver request contains information or allegations against another NCAA member institution or institutional employee, in order for the allegations to be considered within the waiver request, the institution or employee against whom the allegations are made must be provided a copy of all relevant documentation and given 10-business days to respond to the allegations. If a response is received, the response will be included as part of the case record. If no response is received, the case will be processed and the allegations may be considered by the student-athlete reinstatement staff and committee. The institution submitting the waiver request should work with the student-athlete reinstatement staff to ensure all relevant information is provided to the institution or employee against whom the allegations are made and to ensure that the 10-day response period is explained.
6. An institution may ask the student-athlete reinstatement staff to reconsider its decision if the institution obtains new information related to the original case (e.g., same transaction, occurrence or series of events). The institution shall submit the information to the student-athlete reinstatement staff who will reopen the case and make a decision based on the new set of facts.
7. In situations where relief is provided to a student-athlete based on an institutional error or misinformation provided by the institution, a letter will be sent from the student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator to the institution's chancellor or president. The letter will not be punitive in nature but will serve as notification to the institution that relief was provided as a result of institutional error or misinformation.
8. For a waiver to be processed prior to competition, the waiver must be received one month prior to the date of competition. Further, complete information regarding the waiver must be received one week prior to the first contest.
9. Season-of-Competition Waiver – Competition While Eligible. The circumstances of the season-of-competition waiver – competition while eligible request will be reviewed pursuant to the legislation of the division in which the competition occurred. Thus, if a student-athlete competed in limited competition while attending a Division II institution and subsequently transferred to a Division I institution, the season-of-competition waiver

would be processed under the criteria established by Division II, and any appeal would be handled by the Division II committee.

Appealing Staff Decisions to the Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee

1. Once an institution has received written notice of the student-athlete reinstatement staff's decision, it may appeal this decision to the student-athlete reinstatement committee for the division in which the institution holds membership in the sport where the violation occurred or waiver is requested. The division committee's consideration of an appeal is the committee's first review of the institution's request, and its decision is final, binding and shall not be subject to review by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council or the NCAA Divisions II and III Management Councils.

An institution's full written appeal, including the required appeal form, shall be submitted to the student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator within 30-calendar days from the date on the initial staff decision letter. An appeal request submitted after the 30-day appeal period will not be processed. Exceptions to this policy may be granted by the division chair when an institution is able to demonstrate in writing that exceptional circumstances caused the institution's appeal to be submitted beyond the 30-day appeal period. Exceptions to the 30-day period may be granted by the lead administrator in cases involving the Eligibility Center.

The institution's written appeal of the student-athlete reinstatement staff's decision shall be submitted by the chancellor or president (or individual designated by the chancellor or president), faculty athletics representative, senior woman administrator or director of athletics. The institution is required to state in its written appeal the reasons it believes the initial staff decision was incorrect and should be modified or overturned. The student-athlete/prospective student-athlete shall submit a statement and/or information with the institution's request as part of the appeal. The committee requires a minimum of 48 hours to review documentation prior to a teleconference appeal or prior to rendering a decision for a paper review. Exceptions to this policy can be made if the student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator and the committee chair determine that the urgency of the case warrants immediate consideration, and the committee is able to thoroughly review the documentation prior to the call, or in the case of a paper review, prior to issuing a decision.

The committees have one or two scheduled appeal times each week. Appeals will be scheduled during these times unless pending competition or a student-athlete's class schedule warrants review during another time. Appeals will be scheduled based on date of receipt of appeal and date of pending competition.

2. For all appeals handled by the student-athlete reinstatement committee, all factual disputes must be resolved prior to the division committee reviewing the matter. Prior to consideration of the matter, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will make available to the members of the division committee the institution's request and the information upon which the student-athlete reinstatement staff based its decision. The institution will receive a copy of the same information. The student-athlete reinstatement staff shall include a copy of applicable case precedent in the appeal materials.
3. There are two different types of appeals processed by the student-athlete reinstatement committee.
 - a. Reinstatement of Eligibility Appeals-Violations. Appeals of reinstatement decisions are generally heard via teleconference with the division-specific committee. If the appeal is to be conducted via teleconference, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will schedule a teleconference with the appropriate division committee and will advise the institution of the date and time of the hearing. However, if both the reinstatement staff and the institution agree that an appeal may be heard via paper review, an appeal of a reinstatement decision may be conducted based on a review of the written record. If any member of the committee determines that a teleconference is essential in order to make a decision, that member may contact the chair with the request, and a teleconference shall be conducted.
 - Review of a violation appeal by the appropriate division committee via paper review will generally take seven (7) business days for review.
 - b. Waivers and Extension Request Appeals. Waivers and extension requests are reviewed on appeal via the written record. The institution's written appeal should include all materials the institution wishes to be considered by the division - committee during its review. The prospective or enrolled student-athlete shall submit a statement and/or information with the institution's request as part of the appeal. Information submitted subsequent to this request for appeal shall not be considered by the committee in its review of the matter, unless the information is newly available to both the student-athlete and the institution or newly existent to both the student-athlete and the institution. This request for appeal shall include a statement indicating whether the institution prefers the committee to conduct the appeal through either a review of the written documentation and correspondence or through a teleconference call. If a teleconference is requested by the institution, it must present sufficient rationale that a teleconference call is essential for the committee to reach a decision in the case. After reviewing the entire case file, the

chair of the division committee shall have the authority to determine whether a teleconference call is warranted.

- (1) If the chair determines that a review of the written documentation and correspondence is appropriate to process the appeal, the student-athlete reinstatement staff shall send copies of the documentation and correspondence relevant to the case to the division committee and the institution. On receipt of the case materials, if any member of the division committee determines that a teleconference is essential in order to make a decision, that member may contact the chair with the request and a teleconference shall be conducted.
 - (2) The division committee shall determine, by a majority, whether to uphold or modify the staff's decision. Each member shall contact the chair with his or her vote and the chair will determine whether the majority requirement has been met. The chair of the division committee shall communicate this decision to the student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator. A member of the student-athlete reinstatement staff shall then contact the institution with the committee's decision.
 - (3) Written **waiver** appeals generally take three weeks to be reviewed.
4. All committee materials as well as the appeal proceedings are confidential. Institutional representatives and other participants on the call shall maintain the confidentiality of the information discussed as well as the identity of the participants.
5. For all appeals conducted by teleconference call, at least one of the following institutional representatives must participate in the hearing: chancellor or president (or individual designated by the chancellor or president), faculty athletics representative, senior woman administrator or director of athletics. In addition, other institutional representatives, including the institution's legal counsel and the student-athlete's or prospective student-athlete's legal counsel, may participate. The involved prospective student-athlete or student-athlete is required to participate in this hearing. The teleconference will not proceed if the involved prospective student-athlete or student-athlete is unable to participate in the hearing. The student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator or the student-athlete reinstatement representative(s) who handled the case also shall participate on the call. Also, any members of the enforcement services staff or Eligibility Center staff involved in the case may participate on the call.
 - a. Once all parties participating in the hearing have been introduced, the student-athlete reinstatement staff has ten (10) minutes to describe the facts of the appeal,

the applicable precedent and the rationale for the staff's decision. The institution has ten (10) minutes, and the involved prospective student-athlete or student-athlete has ten (10) minutes to describe the case and explain the reasons for requesting that the staff's decision be overturned or modified. All participants on the call may ask questions of any other participant. Once all questions have been answered, the call will conclude with the student-athlete reinstatement staff, institution and student-athlete each providing a closing statement not to exceed five minutes.

- b. The division committee has the authority not to render a decision if it has questions or needs additional information it believes the institution or student-athlete reinstatement staff reasonably can and should provide prior to a decision by the committee.
 - c. When the hearing has concluded, the institutional representatives, the involved prospective student-athlete or student-athlete, legal counsel and the staff shall leave the call. It is the responsibility of the student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator to ensure that the tape recording is terminated at that time and all parties except the committee leave the call at the end of the hearing prior to the committee's deliberations. The division committee members shall deliberate in private and reach a decision by majority vote. The chair then shall notify the student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator with the committee's conclusion and the lead administrator or student-athlete reinstatement representative primarily responsible for processing the case shall notify the institution of the result. The decision by the division committee is considered final with no other appeal opportunity. Written confirmation of the decision shall be provided by the student-athlete reinstatement staff. The division committee may affirm or modify the staff's decision.
6. Appealing prescribed penalties and/or a condition imposed by the student-athlete reinstatement staff as part of the amateurism certification process (Divisions I and II only.) All requirements for an appeal previously noted are in effect as well as the following:
- a. When a prospective student-athlete receives notice of conditions imposed as a result of amateurism violations reviewed by the Eligibility Center's amateurism certification staff, an institution may appeal the decision on behalf of the involved prospective student-athlete. An institution may appeal either a prescribed penalty imposed by the Eligibility Center's amateurism certification staff or a decision reached by the student-athlete reinstatement staff.

- b. The institution's written appeal will include all relevant documentation provided from the amateurism certification staff. In addition, an institution should include any additional mitigating materials the institution wishes to be considered for review. (Student-athlete reinstatement staff may share this additional information with the amateurism certification staff.) Information submitted subsequent to this request for appeal shall not be considered in review of the matter. If information becomes newly available to both the prospective student-athlete and the institution or newly existent to both the prospective student-athlete and institution the institution should notify the amateurism certification staff immediately. If the appeal is a prescribed penalty imposed by the amateurism certification staff, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will reconsider the entire set of facts prior to the appeal being presented to the committee to determine if any of the factors and mitigating information warrant a different decision.
 - c. The student-athlete reinstatement staff will notify the amateurism certification staff at the Eligibility Center of a decision, and the student-athlete reinstatement staff will provide a decision including committee rationale to institution.
- 7. Reinstatement decisions issued by the committee and the student-athlete reinstatement staff are based on the record put forward by the institution. If further information becomes available at a later date (e.g., through an enforcement investigation) and the facts of the original decision change, the original reinstatement decision becomes moot and the case will be reopened with a decision rendered on the new facts. Further, in situations involving multiple student-athletes who are part of an ongoing case where the core violation is the same, an institution shall make every effort to process all reinstatement cases at the same time to provide the student-athlete reinstatement staff and committee with a complete understanding of the totality of the circumstances.
- 8. Subsequent to the division committee's decision, an institution may ask the student-athlete reinstatement staff to reconsider its decision if it obtains newly discovered, nonrepetitive information that existed at the time of the decision but was not available to the institution and the student-athlete. If the institution receives new information that did not exist when the case was originally submitted, it shall submit the new information to the student-athlete reinstatement staff who will share such new information with the amateurism certification staff. If the new information standard is met, the student-athlete reinstatement staff will reconsider the case. If the student-athlete reinstatement staff does not amend its decision, the division committee chair, on the institution's request, shall review the new information and may grant a new hearing only after concluding that the new information is of such significance as to make a different result reasonably probable. If a new hearing is granted by the chair, the chair will determine whether the hearing will be a teleconference or a paper review conducted on the written record. Subsequent to this

determination, all case materials will then be compiled by the student-athlete reinstatement staff and sent to the division committee for its review. If the appeal is to be conducted on the written record through a paper review, any member of the division committee, after reviewing the case materials, may request that the chair conduct a teleconference appeal if that committee member believes a conference call is essential to make a decision in the case. New teleconference hearings or paper review opportunities shall not be granted solely on the basis of factual occurrences after the initial decision by the division committee.

General Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee Policies

1. Authority of the Chair. Each division committee has a chair that is selected by the committee and subject to approval by the Division I Legislative Council or the Divisions II and III Management Councils. The division committee chair may terminate a hearing at any time if the information is repetitive in nature, substantive new information is introduced, the institution does not believe the facts constitute a violation of NCAA rules or the parties do not stipulate the facts of the case. Individuals who wish to participate in a hearing but are not among the designated participants (institutional representatives, the prospective or enrolled student-athlete and legal counsel) may do so on request and approval from the division committee chair.
2. Confidentiality. The vice president for academic and membership affairs, the student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator and the director of public and media relations may confirm whether an eligibility reinstatement request has been submitted, whether a decision has been reached and what that decision is in a particular case. The student-athlete reinstatement staff's release of information shall always comport with federal law (i.e., Federal Educational Rights and Privacy Act). The vice president and the student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator have the discretion to prepare a press release on behalf of the NCAA when appropriate.
3. Ex Parte Communications. Members of the committee shall not discuss a pending request for reinstatement or a pending appeal with the student-athlete reinstatement staff, institutional representatives, the prospective or enrolled student-athlete, or his or her legal counsel without all parties having the opportunity to participate. Prior to or after an appeal call, all communication regarding a reinstatement case should be directed to the student-athlete reinstatement staff. Institutions or other interested parties shall not have contact with committee members. Such contact may jeopardize the integrity of a reinstatement case and decision.

The student-athlete reinstatement staff may contact division committee members to arrange a teleconference or a paper review of an institution's appeal. When an institution requests reconsideration of a division committee's decision, the student-athlete reinstatement staff may contact the chair of the division committee to provide the information submitted by the institution, along with the student-athlete reinstatement staff's evaluation of it. The student-athlete reinstatement staff may also contact the chairs of the division committees regarding procedural matters relevant to processing an institution's appeal. Further, the division committee chairs may contact the student-athlete reinstatement staff to request additional information to be obtained about the case.

4. Quorum. A quorum for committee review of appeals shall be three members. If the designated division committee chair cannot participate in the review, he or she will appoint a presiding chair who is from the same division committee. If it is not possible to have the entire division committee hear or review the appeal and it is necessary to do so without delay, the proceeding or review may take place with less than a full committee as long as the quorum requirements are met.
5. Recusal. A committee member or student-athlete reinstatement staff member shall recuse himself or herself from participating in proceedings (e.g., representing his or her institution or deliberating as a committee member) connected with a reinstatement case when he or she is directly connected with the involved institution, including, but not limited to, a member of the committee member's institution or institution's conference. A committee or staff member with a personal relationship or institutional affiliation that reasonably would result in the appearance of bias or prejudice should refrain from participating in any manner in the processing of a reinstatement appeal or waiver/extension request appeal. It is the responsibility of the committee or student-athlete reinstatement staff member to remove himself or herself if a conflict exists. Institutional objections to a committee or student-athlete reinstatement staff member participating in a review of a case should be raised with and resolved by the chair or the most senior member of the committee as soon as recognized but will not be considered unless the concern is raised prior to the scheduled hearing. Exceptions to the recusal policy may be granted by the chair or most senior member of the committee due to time constraints.
6. Revision of Procedures. The committee has the authority to revise the procedures governing reinstatement requests, waivers and extension requests, as well as the applicable appeal procedures for each, at any time, subject to review by the Division I Legislative Council and Divisions II and III Management Councils.

7. Voting. For the committee to take action, a majority vote is required (for reinstatement appeals and waiver/extension request appeals) of those members who have agreed to hear or review the appeal. In the event of a tie vote, the initial decision of the student-athlete reinstatement staff shall be considered to have been upheld, and the institution's appeal shall be denied. Vote tallies of decisions are private and will not be provided to the media or the involved institution.
8. Recording. Each teleconference appeal shall be recorded, however, the committee's deliberations, subsequent to the hearing, shall not be recorded. Copies of the recordings shall be maintained by the student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator for a seven-year period. The chairs of the division committees who hear the appeal have the authority to instruct the student-athlete reinstatement lead administrator to forward a copy of the recording or a transcript of the proceedings to any other NCAA committee that has a legitimate purpose for requesting access to the proceedings.
9. Flow of Information. All materials relevant to the consideration of a reinstatement request, waiver or extension request, or an appeal to the committee shall be submitted to the student-athlete reinstatement staff through the institution by institutional officials. The involved student-athlete or prospective student-athlete, as well as his or her legal counsel, shall work with and through the institution in preparing and submitting its request or appeal. Information submitted directly to the student-athlete reinstatement staff shall be sent to the institution for it to determine whether the information should be included in its request or appeal. It is the responsibility of the institution to advise the prospective student-athlete or student-athlete of the reinstatement process, which includes explaining the decision of the staff and/or committee.
10. Materials for Appeal Call. All materials provided to the committee as part of an appeal call are confidential and will be used only for the purpose of the appeal call. At the conclusion of an appeal call, each committee member will discard all materials for the call and the complete file of the case will be maintained at the NCAA national office.
11. Publication of Decisions. All actions on behalf of or by the committee shall be reported to the NCAA membership via the Web site on a regular basis in a manner that does not identify the names of the institutions or the student-athletes.
12. Archiving Case Precedent. The committee has the ability to archive cases based on a change in committee philosophy (with appropriate notice given to the membership), a determination by the committee that a case should not remain published or based on the decision date of a case (i.e., cases decided prior to a given date are designated as archived). Cases shall be archived by the student-athlete reinstatement staff every five years. The archived cases serve only as a historical resource to the membership and

student-athlete reinstatement staff. The student-athlete reinstatement staff may provide archived cases to the membership for historical and educational purposes within the reinstatement process or for other NCAA purposes (e.g., NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions).

Date

President
Institution Name
Street Address
City, State Zip code

Dear President:

This letter is in reference to a request for a season-of-competition waiver — competition while eligible submitted by Example University on behalf of Danielle Teetzel, a Women's Volleyball student-athlete. The Student-Athlete Reinstatement staff granted the season-of-competition waiver — competition while eligible due to coach's documented misunderstanding of the legislation. Specifically, the women's head volleyball coach, Jennifer Henderson, provided a statement stating she allowed Ms. Teetzel to participate in a limited amount of competition during the 2007-08 volleyball season due to her misunderstanding of the season-of-competition waiver — competition while eligible legislation.

According to the NCAA Division II Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement Policies and Procedures, if relief is provided or a waiver is granted as a result of institutional error, the chancellor or president of the institution will be notified by letter. The committee strongly recommends the institution take appropriate steps to educate the involved coaching staff member so the misunderstanding does not occur in the future. Please find a copy of the reinstatement decision letter attached which details the facts of your institution's request.

Please contact Kelly Groddy, associate director of academic and membership affairs, or me if you have any questions regarding the processing of this case.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Henderson
Director of Academic and Membership Affairs

JH:aw

Attachment

PRESIDENTS COUNCIL

Attached are the legislative drafts of the proposals being sponsored by the NCAA Division II Presidents Council for the 2010 NCAA Convention for your review. Proposal Nos. 2010-2 and 2010-3 are new for you to review in legislative format. These proposals were previously reviewed and approved by the NCAA Division II Management Council and Presidents Council in concept, but have not yet been approved in legislative form.



Presidents Council Sponsored Proposal for the 2010 NCAA Convention

Title: RECRUITING -- OFFERS AND INDUCEMENTS -- SUMMER FACILITY FEES FOR PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES

Convention Year: 2010

Effective Date: Immediate

Official Notice Number: 2010-1

Source: NCAA Division II Presidents Council [Management Council (Legislation Committee)].

Category: Presidents Council

Topical Area: Recruiting

Status: Ready for Convention Vote

Intent: To permit an institution to pay fees associated with the use of institutional practice and competition facilities by a prospective student-athlete to participate in voluntary athletically related activities in his or her sport during the summer prior to initial collegiate enrollment, provided the prospective student-athlete has signed a National Letter of Intent or written offer of admission and/or financial aid.

Bylaws: Amend 13.2, as follows:

13.2 OFFERS AND INDUCEMENTS

[13.2.1 through 13.2.8 unchanged.]

13.2.9 Summer Facility Fees for Prospective Student-Athletes. An institution may pay fees associated with the use of institutional practice and competition facilities by a prospective student-athlete to participate in voluntary athletically related activities in his or her sport during the summer prior to initial collegiate enrollment (see Bylaw 13.11.2.3), provided the prospective student-athlete has signed a National Letter of Intent or written offer of admission and/or financial aid.

Rationale: Currently, it is permissible for an institution to pay fees for the use of institutional practice and competition facilities by a student-athlete for voluntary activities in his or her sport during the summer. It is also permissible for a prospective student-athlete who has signed a National Letter of Intent or written offer of admission and/or financial aid to participate in voluntary weightlifting or conditioning activities on the institution's campus in the presence of the institution's strength and conditioning coach, provided such activities are not prearranged, and the strength and conditioning coach is performing normal duties and responsibilities in the supervision of the weight room or facility in use, and does not work directly with the prospective student-athlete. This proposal permits an institution to pay fees for the use of institutional practice and competition facilities by a prospective student-athlete who has signed a National Letter of Intent or written offer of admission and/or financial aid for voluntary activities in his or her sport during the summer prior to initial collegiate

enrollment, just as it is currently allowed for a regularly enrolled student-athlete. The immediate effective date will allow institutions to pay the fees during summer 2010.

Review History:

November 7, 2008: Recommends Approval - Legislation Committee

January 14, 2009: Approved in Concept - Management Council

January 15, 2009: Approved in Concept - Presidents Council

April 21, 2009: Approved in Legislative Format - Management Council

April 30, 2009: Approved in Legislative Format - Presidents Council

Title: RECRUITING AND ELIGIBILITY -- ADMISSIONS AND GRADUATION DATA, BANNED DRUG LIST AND INITIAL-ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS -- REPORTS AND NOTIFICATION -- ELIGIBILITY CENTER

Convention Year: 2010

Effective Date: August 1, 2010, for all prospective student-athletes who register with the NCAA Eligibility Center on or after August 1, 2010.

Official Notice Number: 2010-2

Source: NCAA Division II Presidents Council [Management Council (Academic Requirements Committee)].

Category: Presidents Council

Topical Area: Recruiting

Status: Ready for Consideration by Management Council

Intent: To specify that the NCAA Eligibility Center shall provide the information contained within the disclosure reports related to admissions, graduation-rate data and academic success rate to a prospective student-athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the Eligibility Center and the Eligibility Center has received an institution's request to add the prospective student-athlete to the institution's institutional request list; further, to specify that the Eligibility Center shall provide notification of initial-eligibility standards, the banned-drug list and information about nutritional supplements to a prospective student-athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the Eligibility Center.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.3, as follows:

13.3 ADMISSIONS AND GRADUATION DATA, BANNED DRUG LIST AND INITIAL-ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS

13.3.1 Disclosure Report.

13.3.1.1 Report Publication. The Association's national office annually shall publish the admissions and graduation-rate data specified in Bylaw 30.1 and the academic success rate data specified in Constitution 3.2.4.10 and shall identify the information on an institution-specific basis.

13.3.1.2 Report Distribution. ~~Member institutions~~ **The NCAA Eligibility Center** shall provide ~~to prospective student-athletes and to prospective student-athletes' parents or legal guardians~~ the information contained within the report **to a prospective student-athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the Eligibility Center and the Eligibility Center has received an institution's request to add the prospective student-athlete to the institution's institutional request list.**

~~Member institutions shall also provide the prospective student athletes and their parent(s) or legal guardians with a copy of the institution's academic success rate report. The NCAA shall provide a compilation of graduation data to the prospective student-athletes' guidance offices and high school and two-year college coaches. All specified information shall be provided at the earliest opportunity after the institution's first arranged in person encounter with the prospective student athlete or on request; however, in no event shall an institution provide the information later than the day before a prospective student athlete's signed acceptance of the National Letter of Intent or signed acceptance of the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid. Violations of this bylaw shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1; however, such violations shall not affect the student athlete's eligibility.~~

13.3.2 Banned Drug List and Information about Nutritional Supplements.

13.3.2.1 Report Publication. The Association's national office annually shall publish the banned drug list specified in Bylaw 31.2.3.4 and shall update the list on its Web site.

13.3.2.2 Report Distribution. ~~Member institutions~~ **The NCAA Eligibility Center** shall provide ~~to all incoming prospective student athletes and to prospective student athletes' parents or legal guardians~~ the NCAA banned drug list ~~(or the NCAA Web site address at which the list is located)~~ (see Bylaw 31.2.3.4) and information about nutritional supplements **to a prospective student-athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the Eligibility Center.** ~~The information shall be provided at the earliest practical opportunity (e.g., after the institution's first arranged in person encounter with the prospective student athlete) or upon request; however, in no event shall an institution provide the information later than July 1 before the prospective student athlete's initial enrollment at the institution. For a prospective student athlete whose recruitment is initiated after July 1, the institution must send the banned drug list and information about nutritional supplements at the earliest opportunity. Violations of this bylaw shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1; however, such violations shall not affect the prospective student athlete's eligibility.~~

13.3.3 Notification of Initial-Eligibility Standards. ~~Member institutions~~ **The NCAA Eligibility Center** shall provide ~~to high school prospective student athletes and their parents or legal guardians~~ information regarding the initial-eligibility standards contained in Bylaw 14.3 **to a prospective student-athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the Eligibility Center.** ~~The information shall be provided at the earliest practical opportunity after the institution begins recruiting the prospective student athlete, but not later than the day prior to the student athlete's signed acceptance of the National Letter of Intent or institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid. An institution may provide the information in hard copy or electronic form (e.g., electronic mail, link to Web site). Violations of this bylaw shall~~

~~be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1; however, such violations shall not affect the prospective student athlete's eligibility.~~

B. Bylaws: Amend 14.3.6, as follows:

14.3.6 Notification of Initial-Eligibility Standards. ~~Member institutions~~ **The NCAA Eligibility Center** shall provide ~~to high school prospective student athletes and their parents or legal guardians~~ information regarding the initial-eligibility standards contained in Bylaw 14.3 **to a prospective student-athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the Eligibility Center.** ~~The information shall be provided at the earliest practical opportunity after the institution begins recruiting the prospective student athlete, but not later than the day prior to the student athlete's signed acceptance of the National Letter of Intent or institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid. An institution may provide the information in hard copy or electronic form (e.g., electronic mail, link to Web site). Violations of this bylaw shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1; however, such violations shall not affect the prospective student athlete's eligibility.~~

Rationale: Current legislation places the burden on institutions to distribute information that could be provided to prospective student-athletes by the Eligibility Center. The information related to admissions, graduation-rate data and the academic success rate is already collected and published by the NCAA. The creation of the Eligibility Center has provided increased efficiency and customer service to prospective student-athletes and their parents. This proposed role in the central coordination and distribution of required reports would enhance the Eligibility Center's service to the membership. The close relationship between the NCAA national office and the Eligibility Center would facilitate the sharing of the necessary data. The required information could be provided to prospective student-athletes by the most efficient method (e.g., e-mail or other technology), as determined by the Eligibility Center. This shift in report distribution would merely be administrative in nature. Institutions would remain responsible for responding to any questions raised by prospective student-athletes and their parents or legal guardians. The Eligibility Center will begin performing these duties for all prospective student-athletes who register with the NCAA Eligibility Center on or after August 1, 2010. The delayed effective date would allow the Eligibility Center to develop the programs necessary to perform these new tasks.

Review History:

February 20, 2009: Recommends Approval - Academic Requirements Committee

April 21, 2009: Approved in Concept - Management Council

April 30, 2009: Approved in Concept - Presidents Council

Title: PERSONNEL -- CONDUCT OF ATHLETICS PERSONNEL -- SPORTS-SAFETY TRAINING

Convention Year: 2010

Effective Date: August 1, 2010

Official Notice Number: 2010-3

Source: NCAA Division II Presidents Council [Management Council (Legislation Committee)].

Category: Presidents Council

Topical Area: Personnel

Status: Ready for Consideration by Management Council

Intent: To specify that each head coach and all other coaches who are employed as full-time staff members at an institution shall be certified in first aid, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and automatic external defibrillator (AED) use.

Bylaws: Amend 11.1, as follows:

11.1 CONDUCT OF ATHLETICS PERSONNEL

[11.1.1 through 11.1.5 unchanged.]

11.1.6 Sports-Safety Training. Each head coach and all other coaches who are employed as full-time staff members at an institution shall be certified in first aid, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and automatic external defibrillator (AED) use.

Rationale: Legislation requiring first aid, CPR and AED certification promotes student-athlete safety and well-being. A survey of the NCAA death claims since 1992 reports 60 percent of all nonvehicle-related deaths have been due to heart-related conditions and 83 percent of all deaths occurred during practice or conditioning. Although a similar proposal was defeated by the membership at the 2007 NCAA Convention, this proposal differs slightly from the previous in that it does not require the certified individual to be present during athletically related activities, nor does it specify the certifying organization. This proposal promotes and encourages the safety and well-being of student-athletes by requiring all head coaches [regardless of employment status (e.g., full time, part time, voluntary)] and all other coaches who are employed full time to be certified in first aid, CPR and AED use. Additionally, Division II grant and initiative funding may be used to certify all coaches in first aid and CPR or to purchase an AED; however, it is not required for an institution to purchase an AED.

Review History:

March 24, 2009: Recommends Approval - Legislation Committee

April 21, 2009: Approved in Concept - Management Council

April 30, 2009: Approved in Concept - Presidents Council



Membership-Sponsored Proposals for the 2010 NCAA Convention

Title: RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL VISIT -- LIMITATIONS ON OFFICIAL VISIT --
NUMBER OF OFFICIAL VISITS -- PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETE LIMITATION
-- WRITTEN NOTICE

Convention Year: 2010

Date Submitted: July 3, 2009

Effective Date: Immediate

IPOPL Number: 1-1

Source: Lone Star Conference, Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association and South Atlantic Conference.

Category: Membership Proposal

Topical Area: Recruiting

Status: Ready for Convention Vote

Intent: To eliminate the requirement that an institution must provide a prospective student-athlete written notice of the five official-visit limitation.

Bylaws: Amend 13.6.1.2, as follows:

13.6.1.2 Number of Official Visits -- Prospective Student-Athlete Limitation. A prospective student-athlete may take a maximum of five expense-paid visits, with no more than one permitted to any single institution. This restriction applies regardless of the number of sports in which the prospective student-athlete is involved and only for expense-paid visits to Divisions I and II institutions.

~~13.6.1.2.1 Written Notification Required. Each member institution shall be required to notify the prospective student athlete in writing, at the time of its invitation but before the visit, of the five visit limitation. Violations of this bylaw shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1; however, they shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.~~

[13.6.1.2.2 renumbered as 13.6.1.2.1, unchanged.]

Rationale: This proposal will eliminate unnecessary paperwork and inadvertent violations due to administrative oversight. By reducing some of the administrative burdens placed on Division II compliance personnel who are charged with monitoring official visits, appropriate attention can be shifted to other areas. The immediate effective date will bring instant relief to the compliance workload. In 2004, Division I eliminated this requirement and there have not been any resulting issues with monitoring official visits.

Primary Contact Person:

Stan Wagon, Commissioner

Lone Star Conference

1221 W. Campbell Rd.

Suite 245

Richardson, TX 75080

(972) 234-0033

(972) 234-4110

Email: wagnons@lonestarconference.org

Cosponsorship:

Conference:

Lone Star Conference

Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association

South Atlantic Conference

Title: PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS – GOLF – OUT-OF-SEASON AND NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT ATHLETICALLY RELATED ACTIVITIES – NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT ACTIVITIES – 60-CONSECUTIVE CALENDAR DAY PERIOD

Convention Year: 2010

Effective Date: August 1, 2010

IPOPL Number: 1-2

Source: Rocky Mountain Athletic Conference and Northern Sun Intercollegiate Conference.

Proposal Category: Membership Proposal

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Status: Ready for Convention Vote

Intent: In golf, to specify that during the segment in which the NCAA championship does not occur, a student-athlete may participate in any practice or competition activity as permitted by other legislation, provided such activity is restricted to a maximum of 24 days that occur within a period of 60 consecutive calendar days; further, to specify that an institution that declares fall as its championship segment per Bylaw 20.10.4.3 and discontinues championship segment activities by November 1 (instead of November 15) may add 15 calendar days to the period of 60 consecutive calendar days available during the nonchampionship segment.

A. Bylaws: Amend 17.1.6.2, as follows:

17.1.6.2 Weekly Hour Limitations – Outside of Playing Season. Outside of the playing season during the academic year, only a student-athlete's participation in weight-training, conditioning, individual skill instruction and, in football, review of game film shall be permitted. A student-athlete's participation in such activities shall be limited to a maximum of eight hours per week, of which not more than two hours per week may be spent on individual skill workouts set forth in Bylaw 17.1.6.2.1 and, in football, review of game film. All countable athletically related activities outside the playing season are prohibited one week prior to the beginning of the final examination period through the conclusion of the institution's final examinations. Participation in voluntary individual workouts monitored by strength and conditioning personnel for safety purposes per Bylaw 17.02.1.1 shall not count against the weekly hour limitation.

[17.1.6.2.1 through 17.1.6.2.3 unchanged.]

17.1.6.2.4 Exception – Alternate Playing Season – Golf and Tennis. In golf and tennis, an institution that conducts its championship segment during the fall term must discontinue practice at the conclusion of its nonchampionship segment (golf - designated 45 ~~60~~- or 60 ~~75~~-consecutive calendar day period; tennis – designated 45-

or 60-consecutive calendar day period). Such a team may resume practice the day following the conclusion of the institution's final examination period for the applicable academic term or 10-consecutive calendar days before the start of the championship, whichever is earlier, provided the institution has reasonable belief that it is under consideration for selection to participate in an NCAA, NCCAA or NAIA championship event.

B. Bylaws: Amend 17.12, as follows:

17.12 GOLF. Regulations for computing the golf playing season are set forth in Bylaw 17.1, General Playing-Season Regulations. (See Figure 17-1 and Figure 17-2.)

[17.12.1 through 17.12.4 unchanged.]

17.12.5 First Date of Practice and Competition – Nonchampionship Segment. A member institution shall not commence practice sessions or engage in outside competition in the nonchampionship segment before September 7 or the first day of class, whichever occurs first.

17.12.5.1 Exception – Alternate Playing Season. An institution that is a member of a conference that conducts its only conference championship or plays the majority of its conference matches during the fall; or an institution that declares fall as its institution's championship segment per Bylaw 20.10.4.3, may use the playing season dates for sports that conduct a fall championship. Multi-day tournaments (up to a maximum of five tournaments) may count as one date of the 24 practice or competition dates, regardless of the number of days of the tournament. Further, an institution that uses this exception and discontinues its championship segment activities by November 1 (instead of November 15) may add 15 calendar days to the period of ~~45~~ **60** consecutive calendar days available during the nonchampionship segment. The institution is eligible for the NCAA championship.

[Remainder of 17.12.5.1 through 17.12.7 unchanged.]

17.12.8 Out-of-Season and Nonchampionship Segment Athletically Related Activities. Student-athletes and members of the coaching staff shall not engage in countable athletically related activities outside the championship segment except for the following:

[17.12.8-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Nonchampionship Segment Activities. During the segment in which the NCAA championship does not occur, student-athletes may participate in any practice or competition activity as permitted by other legislation provided such activity is restricted to

a maximum of 24 days that occur within a period of ~~45~~ 60 consecutive calendar days, omitting vacation and examination days officially announced or on days that the institution is closed due to inclement weather, as long as no practice or competition occurs on such days. Multi-day tournaments (up to a maximum of five tournaments) may count as one date of the 24 practice or competition dates, regardless of the number of days of the tournament. The ~~45~~ 60 consecutive calendar days must be within the dates set forth in Bylaws 17.12.5 and 17.12.6. It is not permissible for an institution that declares fall as its championship segment and operates on the quarter system to engage in practice and competition from the time period of seven calendar days prior to the first date of the institution's final examination period for the winter quarter until the first day of classes of the spring quarter. The days during which practice and competition is prohibited may be exempted from the period of ~~45~~ 60 consecutive calendar days.

(1) Exception. A member institution that declares fall as its institution's championship segment per Bylaw 20.10.4.3 and discontinues championship segment activities by November 1 (instead of November 15) may add 15 calendar days to the period of ~~45~~ 60 consecutive calendar days available during the nonchampionship segment (See Bylaw 17.12.5.1).

[Remainder of 17.12 unchanged.]

Rationale: This proposal would benefit student-athletes by spreading out the nonchampionship segment and allowing them to avoid missing class time for several weeks in a row. The change would not increase missed class time because the 24 days of practice and competition in the nonchampionship segment would not increase and most institutions already compete in four to five tournaments during the segment. The proposal will allow institutions additional flexibility in scheduling, which is vital as many institutions do not own their golf facilities and are subject to the availability of the venues in which they compete. Competition in the nonchampionship segment has equal weight to competition in the championship segment for determination of postseason participation. Under the current non-championship segment model, student-athletes typically have less than a week of practice time before their first competition of the season, which leads to the majority of the first week of practice consisting of qualifying rounds because a coach has not had the opportunity to assess his or her roster. Finally, since most coaches coach both the men's and women's programs, this change will allow them to spend adequate practice time with both programs.

Primary Contact Person:

Joel R. Smith, Commissioner
Rocky Mountain Athletic Conference
1867 Austin Bluffs Parkway
Suite 101
Colorado Springs, CO 80918
Phone: (719) 471-4813
Fax: (719) 471-0088
Email: jrsmith@rmacsports.org

Cosponsorship:

Conference:

Northern Sun Intercollegiate Athletic Conference
Rocky Mountain Athletic Conference

Title: PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASKETBALL -- FIRST CONTEST

Convention Year: 2010

Date Submitted: July 10, 2009

Effective Date: August 1, 2010

IPOPL Number: 1-3

Source: Peach Belt Conference, Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association and West Virginia Intercollegiate Athletic Conference.

Category: Membership Proposal

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Status: Ready for Convention Vote

Intent: In basketball, to specify that a member institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition prior to the second Friday of November.

Bylaws: Amend 17.5.3, as follows:

17.5.3 First Contest. A member institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in basketball ~~before~~ **prior to the second Friday of** November ~~15~~, except as provided under Bylaw 17.5.3.1.

Rationale: Currently, an institution shall not play its first contest against outside competition prior to November 15, aside from exceptions outlined in Bylaw 17.5.3.1. This proposal does not change that date substantially, it simply allows institutions to schedule that first contest on a weekend night. This proposal will not allow an institution to compete earlier than what is allowed under current legislation as most Division II basketball teams compete in exempted contests on or soon after November 1. The proposal will only change the date for the first permissible "countable" contest. This change will also provide a benefit to student-athletes as no season-opening games or events will take place during the week and teams can also schedule "countable" games earlier in the season to provide flexibility for conference playing dates that occur during final examination periods. Further, this change is easy to administer as all schools can easily determine when the second Friday is and coaches can better schedule knowing the season starts on a weekend every year. Adoption of this proposal will likely result in all Division II basketball teams officially starting their season on the same day.

Primary Contact Person:

David R. Brunk, Commissioner

Peach Belt Conference

503 Blackburn Drive

Augusta, GA 30907

(706) 860-8499

(706) 650-8113

Email: dbrunk@peachbeltconference.org

Cosponsorship:

Conference:

Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association
Peach Belt Conference
West Virginia Intercollegiate Athletic Conference

DRAFT

ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS

Attached are the administrative proposals. These proposals were previously reviewed and approved by the NCAA Division II Management Council and NCAA Division II Presidents Council in legislative form.



Administrative Regulations

Title: ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE CENSUS --
FAILURE TO SUBMIT -- WAIVER -- AUTHORITY

Convention Year: 2010

Date Submitted: December 9, 2008

Effective Date: Immediate

Official Notice Number: ADM-2010-1

Source: NCAA Division II Presidents Council [Management Council (Academic Requirements Committee)].

Category: Administrative Regulations

Topical Area: Administrative Regulations

Status: Adopted

Intent: To specify that the Academic Requirements Committee may grant a waiver regarding an institution's failure to submit its Academic Performance Census data if it deems unusual circumstances warrant such action; further, to specify that the Management Council Subcommittee shall hear and act on an institution's appeal of a decision made by the Academic Requirements Committee.

A. Constitution: Amend 4.7.2.3, as follows:

4.7.2.3 Duties of the Management Council Subcommittee. The Management Council Subcommittee shall:

[4.7.2.3-(a) through 4.7.2.3-(c) unchanged.]

(d) Hear and act on appeals regarding decisions of the Academic Requirements Committee on progress-toward-degree waivers involving Division II student-athletes; ~~and~~

(e) Hear and act on appeals regarding decisions of the Academic Requirements Committee on waivers involving the Academic Performance Census; and

[4.7.2.3-(e) relettered as 4.7.2.3-(f) unchanged.]

B. Administrative: Amend 30.1.1, as follows:

30.1.1 Academic Performance Census -- Failure to Submit. If an institution fails to submit its Academic Performance Census by the applicable deadline, in a format approved and administered by the Presidents Council (or a committee designated by the Presidents Council), that institution shall forfeit Division II institutional enhancement funds for the following academic year.

30.1.1.1 Waiver. The Academic Requirements Committee may grant waivers of Bylaw 30.1.1 if it deems that unusual circumstances warrant such action. The

Management Council shall hear and act on an institution's appeal of a decision made by the Academic Requirements Committee.

Rationale: Currently, there is not a waiver provision in place regarding an institution's failure to submit its Academic Performance Census data. Since there is no committee, subcommittee or conference with the authority to grant such waivers, the Committee for Legislative Relief would have jurisdiction over these waivers. Seeking relief from the Academic Requirements Committee is a more appropriate avenue due to the expertise of the committee in this area and, therefore, this committee should have the authority to grant waivers in situations where unusual circumstances are present. This legislation lessens the bureaucracy for institutions and provides an avenue for relief when warranted.

Review History:

September 11, 2008: Recommends Approval - Academic Requirements Committee

October 21, 2008: Approved in Concept - Management Council

October 30, 2008: Approved in Concept - Presidents Council

January 14, 2009: Approved in Legislative Format - Management Council

January 15, 2009: Approved in Legislative Format - Presidents Council

Title: EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- NATIONAL STATISTICS PROGRAM

Convention Year: 2010

Date Submitted: December 8, 2008

Effective Date: Immediate

Official Notice Number: ADM-2010-2

Source: NCAA Division II Presidents Council [Management Council (Championships Committee)].

Category: Administrative Regulations

Topical Area: Executive Regulations

Status: Adopted

Intent: To specify that the Management Council shall oversee the national statistics program and approve its policies and procedures; further, to specify that the policies and procedures shall be published on the NCAA Web site.

Administrative: Amend 31.9, as follows:

31.9 NATIONAL STATISTICS PROGRAM. A national statistics program shall be maintained for active member institutions in football, men's and women's basketball, baseball, men's and women's ice hockey, men's and women's lacrosse, men's and women's soccer, women's softball and women's volleyball. The Management Council shall ~~determine~~ **oversee** the statistics program's **and approve its** policies and procedures. **The national statistics program policies and procedures shall be published on the NCAA Web site.**

~~31.9.1 General Policies.~~

~~31.9.1.1 Statistics Reporting. For a member institution to be eligible for either an individual or a team national statistics title, it shall have filed reports with the national office before the midway point in its regular season schedule and shall have reported weekly thereafter. If a conference office files the reports, both the league and the institution share the responsibility for providing the updates on a regular basis as described above.~~

~~31.9.1.2 Substantiation of Statistics. Filing a statistics report does not ensure automatically a member institution's inclusion in the NCAA's national statistics rankings. The report shall be mathematically accurate. Unusual statistics that cannot be promptly supported will not be included in the rankings. The NCAA shall withhold such reports from the ranking until a satisfactory explanation and substantiation of the statistics are submitted by an appropriate official of the member institution involved.~~

~~31.9.1.3 Correlation with Conference Statistical Rules. If a conference adopts a statistical rule different than that of the NCAA, the Executive Committee shall determine on a case-by-case basis how the statistics resulting from use of the special rule will be related to the national statistics program.~~

~~31.9.1.4 Countable Opponents. Only contests against varsity intercollegiate teams of four-year, degree-granting institutions shall be included in individual and team statistics, won lost record and coaching records. Contests against service teams, professional teams, semiprofessional teams, amateur teams, two-year colleges and club teams are specifically excluded. Further, only contests against varsity intercollegiate teams of four-year, degree-granting institutions that play a majority of their contests in that sport against varsity intercollegiate teams (per Constitution 3.2.4.4) of United States four-year, degree-granting institutions shall be tabulated for inclusion in such statistics (and counted for purposes of team selection of an NCAA championship per Bylaw 31.3.3.1).~~

~~31.9.1.5 Ranking Minimums. Ranking minimums shall be determined by the national office to assure a valid comparative basis. The minimums shall be based on the normal participation or performance levels of the "field" in a given category and shall be reviewed annually.~~

~~31.9.1.6 Individual Minimum Games Requirement. To be ranked, a student athlete must appear in 75 percent of the team's games (a volleyball student athlete must appear in 66.6 percent of the team's games, an ice hockey goaltender must play in 33.3 percent of the team's minutes and a lacrosse goaltender must play in 60 percent of the team's minutes). National per-game rankings shall be based on the number of games an individual actually plays; and even if a player is in the lineup for only one play, the player shall be charged with a game played whether or not the player touches the ball.~~

~~31.9.1.6.1 Exception. For statistical purposes only, if a player appears in a football game only to hold the ball on point-after-touchdown kick attempts, he shall not be charged with a game played. However, if he becomes statistically involved on the play (e.g., successfully runs, passes or kicks following an aborted kick attempt), he shall be charged with a game played.~~

~~31.9.1.7 Less Than Minimum Game Rule. If a player has participated in fewer than the required percentage of the team's games, but the player's per game average still would be highest if charged with the necessary required games, the player shall be declared champion. However, no player shall be declared champion by the application of both the necessary required games and the minimums required for punting and kick-return championships (see Bylaws 31.9.4.6 and 31.9.4.7).~~

~~31.9.1.8 Statistics of Ineligible Student Athletes.~~

~~31.9.1.8.1 Declared Ineligible during Season. The individual statistics of any student athlete declared ineligible by a member institution, a conference or the NCAA for any remaining portion of a season shall not be included in the national statistics rankings from the date the student athlete is declared ineligible.~~

~~31.9.1.8.2 Declared Ineligible Subsequent to Championships Competition. When a participant in an NCAA championship is declared ineligible after the championship competition, all of the student athlete's statistics in the championship, the team's championships won lost record and all of its statistics shall be asterisked and footnoted, and its place in the final standings shall be asterisked and noted as vacated. All team and individual statistics for the offending team's opponents shall remain unaffected~~

~~31.9.2 Baseball and Softball Statistics.~~

~~31.9.2.1 Fall Games. For purposes of national statistics, games played during the fall shall not be included in a team's won lost record and statistics.~~

~~31.9.2.2 Exhibition Games. A game will be counted by both teams as an official contest for purposes of won lost records and team and individual statistics unless both institutions agree in writing before the game that it is to be an exhibition contest. If it is an exhibition contest, the team and individual statistics records cannot be counted for either team.~~

~~31.9.2.3 Batting Championship. The national batting championship shall be based on a minimum of 2.5 times at bat per game played for baseball and 2.0 times at bat per game played for softball, as well as a minimum total number of official at bats established annually by the national office based on current conditions and the division involved. However, if there is a player with fewer than the required number of at bats whose average would be the highest if the player were charged with the required number of bats with zero additional hits, that player shall be declared champion.~~

~~31.9.2.4 Strikeout Ratio (Strikeouts per Seven or Nine Innings). The national individual strikeout ratio champion for pitchers shall be based on at least one inning pitched for every game played by the player's team, as well as a minimum total number of innings pitched established annually by the national office based on current conditions and the divisions involved. However, if there is a player with fewer than the required number of innings pitched whose ratio would be the highest if the player were charged with the required number of innings with zero strikeouts, that player shall be declared champion.~~

~~31.9.3 Basketball Statistics. All tournament games (e.g., holiday, postseason and conference playoffs) shall be included in the official statistics.~~

~~31.9.4 Football Statistics.~~

~~31.9.4.1 Seven Game Requirement. An institution shall be required to play at least seven games against four year, degree granting varsity opposition (per Bylaw 31.9.1.4) to be eligible for national statistics rankings.~~

~~31.9.4.2 Conference Championship Game. A conference game that matches two divisional winners for the purpose of determining the league championship shall be counted in statistics and won-lost records.~~

~~31.9.4.3 Bowl Games, Conference Playoffs, National Playoffs. Postseason bowl, conference playoffs that do not determine the league champion or national championship playoff games are not considered as part of an institution's regular season schedule. Consequently, the statistics of these games shall not be included in any team's or player's season or career records, and such statistics shall not affect single-game records. The results of such games, however, are included in a coach's career record, the team's all-time won-lost record and all team "streaks" (e.g., winning and consecutive scoring).~~

~~31.9.4.4 Overtime Games. Statistics of extra period (overtime) games are included in the contest's total statistics.~~

~~31.9.4.5 Passing Champion. The national passing champion shall be determined by a rating formula with a minimum number of pass attempts required per game. However, if a player has fewer than the required number of pass attempts per game and his rating points still would be highest if he were charged with the necessary required pass attempts, and counting each attempt as an interception, he shall be declared champion.~~

~~31.9.4.6 Punting Champion. The national individual punting champion shall be determined by the average yards per punt with a minimum number of punts per game. However, if a player has fewer than the required number of punts per game and his average per punt still would be the highest if he were charged with the necessary required punts for zero additional yards, he shall be declared champion.~~

~~31.9.4.7 Kick Return Champions. The national individual punt return and kickoff return champions shall be determined by average per return with a minimum number of returns required per game. However, if there is a player with fewer than the required minimum returns per game whose average per return would be the highest if he were charged with the necessary required returns with zero additional yards, he shall be declared the champion.~~

~~31.9.4.8 Minimum Games Requirement. For purposes of Bylaws 31.9.4.6 and 31.9.4.7, if a player has not participated in the required 75 percent of the team's games but has the required minimum number of returns or punts per game played, he is not to be charged with the number of games necessary for qualification because it cannot be assumed that he would have maintained his average in the additional games.~~

~~31.9.5 Forfeits. Neither the outcome nor the statistics in any completed contest are reversible by a forfeit due to postgame administrative actions, except as provided in Bylaw 31.9.5.4 or by Committee on Infractions' decisions. The actual results of the contest and the statistics shall be entered as such in both teams' all-time records, as well as in the coaches' records and in individual statistics. Contests later forfeited shall be denoted by the institution with an asterisk and footnote.~~

~~31.9.5.1 Consistency of Application. Each member institution involved in a forfeited contest is encouraged, but not required, to enter the results of the contest in its records in the same manner as the Association to prevent confusion in national rankings of team and coaching records.~~

~~31.9.5.2 "No Contest" Declaration. There is no forfeit of a contest until all participating teams are present and the referee or other appropriate contest official has assumed jurisdiction in accordance with the applicable playing rules. When a team does not appear (e.g., due to weather conditions, accidents, breakdown of vehicles, illness or catastrophic causes), a forfeit is not recorded. An institution shall not, for statistical purposes, declare a forfeit for nonfulfillment of a contract. Such instances shall be considered as "no contest." In circumstances involving institutions from the same conference, the league office has the option to declare a forfeit win and loss for conference standings purposes only, but this does not change an institution's overall win-loss record.~~

~~31.9.5.2.1 Basketball. If, under the NCAA Men's Basketball Rules Committee's interpretation regarding interrupted games, the officials do not declare a winner or loser in such a contest, the status shall be "no contest." Statistics shall not be counted, nor shall either team's won-lost record or coach's record be changed.~~

~~31.9.5.3 Contest in Progress. If a forfeit is declared while a contest is in progress or a situation occurs that forces a premature end to the contest, all statistics (other than won-lost and coach's records) are voided unless the contest has progressed to a "reasonable point of conclusion" (e.g., three quarters in football and lacrosse, 30 minutes in basketball and five innings in baseball and softball and two periods in ice hockey; for volleyball, all completed games in a match shall count, as well as a partial game if the team ahead has scored at least 10 points), in which case all statistics shall count and shall be reflected in all records. The team's won-lost record shall include the forfeit, but if the statistics are voided, all averages in future rankings shall be computed without inclusion of the forfeited contests.~~

~~31.9.5.4 Actions by NCAA Management Council. An institution required to forfeit contests under the restitution provisions of Bylaw 19.7 shall vacate and strike from its records the individual records and performances achieved by the ineligible player during the period of ineligible participation in the following manner:~~

~~(a) Team victories shall be abrogated and coaching records altered by the institution and the national office for those contests in which the player participated while ineligible;~~

~~(b) It is not required that similar action be taken in regard to the individual records and performances of other players (teammates and opponents) who participated in contests during the period in which the player participated while ineligible;~~

~~(c) While neither the forfeiting institution nor the national office has the authority to require opponents receiving those forfeits to alter their season records, the forfeiting institution should actively encourage its opponents to do so; and~~

~~(d) These same conditions shall be applicable to the records of a conference. Neither the institution nor the national office has the authority to require a conference to alter its records. However, the institution should actively encourage such a result.~~

~~31.9.6 Career Records.~~

~~31.9.6.1 Cohead Coaches. In the event that an institution designates a cohead coaching system, the won-lost records of both coaches for each season that they are cohead coaches are to be included in each coach's total career record. In such instances, both coaches' career records shall be denoted by an asterisk and a footnote [e.g., includes 40-20 record as a cohead coach with (name of other coach) during the years indicated].~~

~~31.9.6.2 Division Classification. For career record purposes, a player's statistics are recognized by the Association only for the years that the individual's college was an active member of the Association. In the event an individual's college changed divisions while a member, career statistics for the individual are credited only in the division in which he or she actually competed each year.~~

~~31.9.6.3 Collegiate Record Category. In the above mentioned case where an individual's college changed divisions while a member, the player's full career statistics are to be entered in the collegiate record category.~~

~~31.9.6.4 Determination of Head Coach at an Institution. In order for a coach to be credited with wins, losses or ties, that individual must be designated as the institution's head coach for the entire sports season. Individuals serving on an advisory or preseason basis may not be credited with the wins, losses or ties. If the head coach is not present at a contest due to illness or other unexpected circumstances, or otherwise is unable to complete the sports season, it is up to the institution to determine whether the win, loss or tie for that contest(s) shall be credited to the head coach or to an interim or assistant coach, as determined by the institution before the contest(s).~~

Rationale: Removing the policies and procedures of the national statistics program from the legislative process while having the Management Council continue to oversee and approve

changes will eliminate the current bureaucracy and confusion in the process. This action also will help ensure the statistics program is applied consistently across all three divisions of the Association. The national statistics program policies and procedures are already provided to the membership via the NCAA Web site. This proposal is being recommended as an administrative proposal, inasmuch as broader consultation and debate are unlikely to improve the proposal in any substantial way, significant disagreement or alternative points of view will not be generated and there does not appear to be a significant impact on existing or proposed legislation.

Review History:

September 10, 2008: Recommends Approval - Championships Committee

October 21, 2008: Approved in Concept - Management Council

October 30, 2008: Approved in Concept - Presidents Council

January 14, 2009: Approved in Legislative Format - Management Council

January 15, 2009: Approved in Legislative Format - Presidents Council

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II CONVENTION PLANNING PROJECT TEAM
Teleconference Conducted June 10, 2009

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Action Items.

None.

2. Nonlegislative Action Items.

a. 2010 NCAA Convention—Theme and Keynote Speaker.

- (1) Recommendation. Approve "Life in the Balance" as the overarching theme for the 2010 Division II Convention and begin the search for a keynote speaker for the general Division II session and the Chancellors/Presidents session Friday morning.
- (2) Rationale. The Convention Planning Project Team reviewed the information presented from the Presidents Council teleconference June 2. The Presidents Council agreed that the Division II portion of the Convention should be presented to the membership based on an overall theme, and it was the consensus of the Council and the project team to continue the "Life in the Balance" idea. Both groups also agreed that obtaining a keynote speaker—one with first-hand knowledge of Division II and a commitment to athletics, community, and education—should be a focus.
- (3) Budget Impact. Travel costs and honorarium for keynote speaker.

b. 2010 Convention—Education Sessions.

- (1) Recommendation. Approve the following topics for the education sessions to be presented at the Convention.
 - (a) Communicating the Division II strategic initiatives to specific audiences—an overview of a model/template presentation.
 - (b) Student-Athlete Advisory Committee session (focus on gambling education for student-athletes).
 - (c) How to have campus discussions regarding gender equity and developing a gender-equity plan.
 - (d) Trends and concerns in Division II infractions cases.

- (e) FAR Fellows Institute—A celebration of five years of FAR Institutes.
- (f) Division II Championships—The past, present and future.

For the Association-wide session Thursday:

- Campus experiences—the benefits of Division II athletics for a campus (possibly incorporating a segment on life skills into the session or panel).

- (2) Rationale. The project team reviewed the proposed list of topics for the education sessions and felt that it was representative of the topics that are currently relevant to the Division II membership. The six educational sessions will be offered in two blocks of time Friday morning, with three being offered in the first time frame and three being offered in the session immediately following. Each education session will be offered for 1 1/4 hours.
- (3) Budget Impact. No change from previous years.

c. 2010- Convention—Division II Schedule.

- (1) Recommendation. Approve the overall Division II schedule as presented in the attachment.
- (2) Rationale. The project team reviewed the tentative schedule as presented. Discussion ensued concerning the possibility of changing various meetings to different days to encourage more presidents/chancellors to attend, but the group ultimately felt that it was probably too late in the planning stages to proceed accordingly.
- (3) Budget Impact. No change from previous years.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- **Friday Morning Joint Session and Chancellors/Presidents Meeting.** The project team discussed in detail ideas for the joint session Friday morning (in previous years, the Issues Forum) and the Chancellors/Presidents Meeting and Lunch. Pending approval from the Councils, the overarching theme of "Life in the Balance" would be incorporated into the joint session Friday morning and would include the entire Division II membership. The speaker would be someone who can weave his/her own Division II experience into the theme and present a positive message for the membership. This message would continue into the Chancellors/Presidents meeting and include some or all of the following topics:

- a. Dialogue with Keynote Speaker on the 'Life in the Balance' Theme.
- b. Discussion and Review—Division II Better Business Practices and Legislative Concepts for consideration at the 2010 and 2011 Conventions.
- c. Preview--Division II Financial Dashboard Indicators.
- d. Discuss Plans for June Chancellors/Presidents Summit (Chancellors/presidents help shape the summer agenda).
- e. Discussion—How Presidents and Chancellors Explain the Value of Their Intercollegiate Athletics Program to Key Campus and Community Groups.

Project Team Chair: Stephen M. Jordan, President, Metropolitan State College of Denver.

Liaisons: Mike Racy and Terri Steeb.

**2010 NCAA CONVENTION
CORE SCHEDULE OF
DIVISION II EVENTS**

Wednesday, January 13

8 a.m. to 5 p.m. Division II Management Council Meeting

Thursday, January 14

7:30 to 9 a.m. Division II PC/MC/SAAC Breakfast
9 to 11:30 a.m. Division II Joint MC/PC Meeting
Noon to 3 p.m. Division II Presidents Council Meeting

Friday, January 15

8 to 9:30 a.m. Joint session for all Division II Delegates (*keynote speaker to address delegates*)
9:45 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. Breakout Education Sessions for Division II Athletics Administrators, Faculty and Student-Athletes (*six sessions at 1 hour, 15 minutes each over two time blocks*)
10 a.m. to 1 p.m. Division II Chancellors and Presidents Meeting and Luncheon
1 p.m. to 5 p.m. Division II Conference Meetings.

Saturday, January 16

8 a.m. to 1 p.m. Division II Business Session.
1:30 to 4 p.m. Division II Management Council Post-Convention Meeting

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II DEGREE-COMPLETION AWARD COMMITTEE
MAY 7-8, 2009, MEETING**

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- **Additional Appointment from the Faculty Athletics Representatives Association (FARA).**

(1) Recommendation. Add an additional person to the selection committee, who would be appointed by the Faculty Athletics Representatives Association (FARA).

(2) Rationale. The NCAA Division II Degree-Completion Award Committee is currently comprised of two representatives from the Division II Academic Requirements Committee, one Management Council representative, one individual from FARA and one individual from the Division II Athletic Directors Association. The selection committee would like to add a roster spot for another member appointed by FARA. Currently, the committee could have as few as one faculty member, based on the appointees from the other bodies. The number of applications has increased since the program's inception, and another individual's review and input would be beneficial to the selection process.

In addition, some applications require more extensive review, and an additional individual would allow for those applicants to be reviewed thoroughly without infringing on the time spent on the other applications.

(3) Estimated Budget Impact. The cost of travel, hotel and per diem for an additional member. Current committee expenses are part of the overall degree-completion budget.

(4) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- 1. Selection of Award Recipients for the 2008-09 Academic Year.** The committee reviewed 188 applications and selected the following 82 recipients. The total awarded for the 2008-09 academic year is \$354,000.

NAME	INSTITUTION
Lorin Anderson	Fort Lewis College
Molly Anderson	Winona State University
Shannon Anderson	University of Alabama in Huntsville
Shaneka Bates	University of West Georgia
Kelsey Berkeland	St. Cloud State University
Natalie Bertucci	Northern Michigan University
Kristen Brown	Arkansas Tech University
Anthony Buhr	St. Cloud State University
Nandelle Cameron	Lincoln University (Missouri)
Alira Carpenter	Montana State University-Billings
Blair Carson	Anderson University (South Carolina)
Jordan Cortez	Angelo State University
Dagoberto Darezzo	University of South Carolina Aiken
Alex Dom	New York Institute of Technology
Brady Ellis	Missouri Western State University
Jennifer Enos	Humboldt State University
Christine Falzon	Minnesota State University Moorhead
Shannon Farden	Belmont Abbey College
Rosemary Feikert	California State University, Stanislaus
Brilynn Fields	New York Institute of Technology
Kristin Fletcher	Emporia State University
Allison Fogle	Florida Southern College
Amanda Foltz	Shippensburg University of Pennsylvania
Christine Forsyth	Flagler College
Sylvia Guerrieri	Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Amy Hake	Rockhurst University
Abby Hancock	Mesa State College
Peter Hantack	University of Missouri, St. Louis
Kathryn Hoffman	New York Institute of Technology
Erin Hopkins	Florida Southern College
Amanda Jager	Ferris State University
Wadner Joseph	Lincoln Memorial University
J. Ryan King	Truman State University
Caitlin Klug	Humboldt State University
Amber Korb	Angelo State University
Kelsy Kress	Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania
Nicole Krueger	Northwest Missouri State University
Ryan Kussmaul	Mount Olive College
Megan Lakes	University of South Carolina Aiken
Jessica Lechner	Montana State University-Billings
Bryce Leggins	Minnesota State University Moorhead
Dane Little	Wayne State University
Weronika Lomacka	Lake Superior State University
Jessica Mainz	Washburn University
Brooke McKelvogue	Minnesota State University, Mankato
Heidi Mertle	Sonoma State University
Lisa Meyer	Winona State University

Brista Michael	Texas Woman's University
Rachelle Morales	California State University, Monterey Bay
Jessica Moran	University of North Alabama
Cassandra Moulton	Carson-Newman College
Lance Neal	Missouri Western State University
Udobong Oboteete	Colorado State University at Pueblo
Nick Orgill	Dixie State College of Utah
Katie Perea	Carson-Newman College
Matthew Petrowsky	University of Pittsburgh, Johnstown
Stephanie Plochochi	Dowling College
Amy Puthoff	Augustana College (South Dakota)
Paula Radmard	University of Montevallo
Kristen Rausch	Fairmont State University
Tara Reed	Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania
Allison Renberg	Emporia State University
Andrea Rich	Ferris State University
Rebecca Rudenga	Grand Valley State University
Anne Rush	University of Alabama in Huntsville
Allison Rutherford	Augustana College (South Dakota)
Ashley Scroggins	Albany State University (Georgia)
Amanda Smith	Northern Kentucky University
Jennifer Smith	Montana State University-Billings
Sean Smith	Wayne State University
Emily Taylor	University of Alabama in Huntsville
Ines Teuma	Rollins College
Lauren Tortorici	University of Montevallo
Monika Tortorici	University of Montevallo
Rachael Urquhart	Arkansas Tech University
Elizabeth Wallace	Central Washington University
Benjamin Watkins	University of Pittsburgh, Johnstown
MacKenzie Willis	University of Arkansas, Monticello
Matthew Winterhalter	Northern Kentucky University
Melvin Jamal Womble	Fairmont State University
Kimberly Wyngaard	Grand Valley State University
Jenna Young	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

- 2. Selection of Alternate Award Recipients for the 2008-09 Academic Year.** The committee also selected six alternates in the event that any of the recipients decline the award.

Committee Chair: Dennis McMillen, University of Charleston (West Virginia).
Staff Liaison: Ellen Summers, Research.

REPORT OF THE NCAA

DIVISION II MEMBERSHIP FUND SELECTION COMMITTEE

ACTION ITEMS.

None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Grant Funding.** The Division II Membership Fund Selection Committee met June 12 to select the first class of grants from the newly created membership fund.

The committee made the following allocations:

- \$7,000 to the Great Lakes Valley Conference to conduct a two-day educational orientation on campuses of two prospective conference members (institutions that are currently progressing through the Division II membership process).
- \$24,000 to the East Coast Conference for exploratory efforts to expand league membership. The ECC had requested a three-phased approach totaling \$63,000, but the selection committee decided to fund the first phase and ask for progress reports before committing to additional steps.
- \$4,500 to the Pacific West Conference to help defray travel expenses for a meeting that occurred among conference office personnel and prospective member schools.
- \$9,600 to Conference Carolinas for efforts to stabilize current league membership and explore potential new members.
- \$10,000 to the Great Northwest Athletic Conference for league personnel to visit potential new member campuses, including Simon Fraser, which is the first Canadian institution to officially apply for Division II membership.
- \$2,500 to the Central Intercollegiate Athletic Association to fund exploratory visits to potential new members.
- \$2,500 to Dixie State College to defray travel expenses for a meeting in which the athletics director presented to schools exploring potential membership in the Pac West.
- \$15,000 to the California Collegiate Athletic Association to hold a two-day summit that would address ways to bolster Division II membership in the West region. (The selection committee preliminarily agreed to fund up to the requested amount but asked for a more detailed description of the summit and its attendees.)

The total allocation for the eight grants is \$75,100. Note that the Presidents Council had agreed earlier to allocate \$70,000 from the fund as a one-time incentive for institutions to comply with a new academic-data reporting system.

The committee is not required to use the entire fund from year to year. Unallocated funds from this round of submissions will roll over to the 2009-10 fiscal year. The fund balance from 2008-09 will be combined with the \$250,000 in the 2009-10 fiscal year budget, providing a total of \$354,900 for 2009-10.

2. **Non-awardees.** Proposals not funded during this initial round included support for establishing new sports at various institutions, funding or supporting postseason or regular-season events or upgrading facilities. Selection committee members did not believe those requests supported the broader purpose of the membership fund as a way to benefit the entire division rather than a more narrow segment.
3. **Guidelines and Application.** The selection committee refined the guidelines for the fund. For example, the committee is not interested in funding team travel, helping to upgrade or build campus facilities, helping to sponsor sports, or funding institutional staff travel expenses to meetings—items that would otherwise be considered as part of an institution's or conference's general operating budget.

Committee members also suggested improvements to the application that would help conferences and institutions more clearly align requests with the fund's guidelines. That includes identifying whether the funds requested represent "seed dollars" for ongoing projects or if there is a matching-fund component from the entity making the request. Additionally, the application will request entities to clearly articulate how the activity/event supports (a) member development; (b) member stabilization; and/or (c) member loss prevention.

Allocations from this first round of proposals will be distributed later this summer. September 30 is the next deadline for applications to the membership fund. The selection committee will meet in November to determine allocations from that pool that will be distributed in January.

Management Council Chair Duties

Tim Selgo's term as chair of the Management Council will expire in January 2010; therefore, the Management Council will need to conduct an election for a new chair at its October 2009 meeting.

Listed below are the duties and responsibilities of the Division II Management Council chair:

1. Preside at Management Council meetings;
2. Serve as ex officio, nonvoting member of the Executive Committee;
3. Serve as a member of the Division II Administrative Committee;
4. Report to the Division II membership at the annual Convention the activities of the Management Council and Division II committees;
5. Serve as an ex officio, nonvoting member of any Division II committee or project team that reports to the Division II Management Council; and
6. Serve as an ex officio, nonvoting member of the Division II Budget and Finance Committee.
7. Serve as the Division II representative on the NCAA President's Advisory Group for Marketing and Promotional Issues.

As noted in Constitution 4.7.3.2.1, a member must serve a minimum of one year on the Council before serving as chair or vice-chair.

If you have an interest in the chair position or would like to nominate another Management Council representative for the position, please contact Mike, Terri or Tim prior to the October meeting.

DIVISION II MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
VICE-CHAIR ELECTION

With the expiration of the term of David Riggins as vice-chair of the Management Council, the Management Council needs to elect a vice-chair, whose term of office will become effective September 1, 2009.

The duties of the Management Council vice-chair are listed below:

1. Take the chair's place and perform the chair's duties if the chair is absent or incapacitated;
2. Serve as a member of the Division II Administrative Committee;
3. Serve as a member of the Division II Budget/Finance Committee; and
4. Serve as chair of the Division II Championships Committee.

Note that the vice-chair of the Council shall serve a term of office not to exceed two years and will not be eligible for immediate reelection to that position. In addition, a member must serve a minimum of one year on the Council before serving as vice-chair.

The following person(s) have been nominated to serve in the vice-chair position.

1. Butch Raymond, commissioner, Northern Sun Intercollegiate Conference

Please use the attached ballot to vote for the candidate of your choice.

**DIVISION II MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
VICE-CHAIR ELECTION BALLOT**

☐

Butch Raymond, commissioner, Northern Sun Intercollegiate
Conference.

☐

(Write in Nominee)

NCAA DIVISION II MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
Committee and Project Team Assignments for 2009

New Management Council representatives have been assigned a mentor, whose name is listed in *italics* below the new person's name. Mentor assignments typically last until the new representative has attended a couple of meetings and feels comfortable in his/her position.

Management Council Representative (Mentor)	Committees/Project Teams
Darrell Axtell	NCAA Research Committee DII Convention Planning Project Team NCAA Walter Byers Scholarship Committee Division II Parliamentarian
Bob Boerigter	TBD
Kathleen Brasfield	DII Planning and Finance Committee DII Management Council Identity Subcommittee(<i>chair</i>)
Rick Cole (<i>Brasfield</i>)	DII Student-Athlete Involvement Project Team DII Student-Athlete Advisory Committee DII Management Council Subcommittee (+)
Bob Fortosis	DII Academic Requirements Committee DII Management Council Subcommittee DII Management Council Identity Subcommittee
Tim Griggs	DII Management Council Identity Subcommittee DII Nominating Committee
Barbara Hannum (<i>Jirkovsky</i>)	DII Student-Athlete Involvement Project Team NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics DII Project Team to Review Issues Related to Diversity
Stephanie Harrison-Dyer	NCAA Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee DII Management Council Subcommittee+ DII Project Team to Review Issues Related to Diversity
Ann Jirkovsky	DII Academic Requirements Committee DII Management Council Subcommittee + (<i>chair</i>) DII Management Council Subcommittee to Review Infractions Penalties

Management Council Representative (Mentor)	Committees/Project Teams
Ann Martin	DII Student-Athlete Advisory Committee DII Student-Athlete Involvement Project Team DII Legislation Committee (<i>chair</i>)
Wendy Taylor May	DII Nominating Committee DII Management Council Subcommittee + (<i>chair</i>) DII Committee on Infractions DII Management Council Subcommittee to Review Infractions Penalties
Sandra Michael (<i>Beard</i>)	DII Membership Committee
Frances Nee	DII Management Council Subcommittee DII Legislation Committee DII Management Council Subcommittee to Review Infractions Penalties
Butch Raymond	DII Management Council/Championships Committee Appeals Subcommittee DII Planning and Finance Committee
Herb Reinhard	NCAA Olympic Sports Liaison Committee DII Convention Planning Project Team DII Identity Subcommittee DII Management Council Subcommittee to Review Infractions Penalties
David Riggins (<i>vice chair</i>)	DII Administrative Committee DII Championships Committee (<i>chair</i>) DII Planning and Finance Committee DII Management Council/Championships Committee Appeals Subcommittee
Julie Ruppert (<i>Sanregret</i>)	NCAA Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct DII Convention Planning Project Team
Suzanne Sanregret	DII Championships Committee DII Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee (<i>chair</i>) DII Management Council Subcommittee to Review Infractions Penalties

Management Council Representative (Mentor)	Committees/Project Teams
Tim Selgo (<i>chair</i>)	DII Administrative Committee DII Planning and Finance Committee (ex officio) DII Management Council/Championships Committee Appeals Subcommittee NCAA Executive Committee NCAA Marketing/Promotions Advisory Group DII Management Council Identity Subcommittee (ex officio) DII Management Council Subcommittee to Review Infractions Penalties
Karen Soto	DII Project Team to Review Issues Related to Diversity
Brenda Stevens (<i>Nee</i>)	NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports DII Management Council Identity Subcommittee
Glenn Stokes	DII Membership Committee (<i>chair</i>) DII Management Council Subcommittee
Kathleen Turner (<i>Soto</i>)	NCAA Postgraduate Scholarship Committee DII Finder of Fact Group
Sherman Ward (<i>Stokes</i>)	DII Committee for Legislative Relief DII Management Council Identity Subcommittee
Willie Washington	DII Management Council Identity Subcommittee NCAA Honors Committee DII Management Council Subcommittee to Review Infractions Penalties

⁺Management Council Subcommittee handles Infractions and Membership Committee Appeals (Ann Jirkovsky, chair); and expedited hearings for initial-eligibility or progress-toward-degree appeals (Wendy Taylor May, chair). The Management Council Subcommittee also acts as the appellate body for the Amateurism Certification Finder of Fact Group and Championships Committee appeals (Rick Cole, chair).

To Be Filled	DII Degree Completion Scholarship Committee DII Convention Planning Project Team DII Management Council Identity Subcommittee
--------------	---

NCAA Sports Wagering Policy

The following action was taken by the Division II Presidents Council at its April 30, 2009, quarterly meeting.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council discussed an NCAA sport wagering policy for championships that Division I and Division III have already approved. The Presidents Council approved the following policy for Division II effective June 1, which states:

"No session of an NCAA championship may be conducted in a metropolitan area with legal wagering that is based upon the outcome of any event (i.e., high school, college or professional) in a sport in which the NCAA conducts a championship."

Please note the recommendation in the report of the Division II Championships Committee—Supplement No. 13-A, Information Item No. 10, Page No. 8.



Division II Game Environment
Award of Excellence

**“Recognizing Achievement in Fostering a
Positive Game Environment”**

Each Division II school and conference is eligible to submit its best game environment initiative, activity and/or event. Submissions must be received no later than **October 16, 2009**.

Submissions must include the following:

1. Overview (no more than one page) of the game environment initiative, activity or event. Identify the theme for the initiative, activity or event (civility, comfort and/or entertaining) and note the means in which the theme was used.
2. Explanation of how the game environment initiative, activity or event has made an impact on the campus or conference. Please cite specific examples.
3. Still photos (no more than 10) highlighting the game environment initiative, activity or event.
4. Video, on DVD (if one exists), depicting the game environment initiative, activity or event.
5. Any flyers or other promotional materials related to the game environment initiative, activity or event (including any newspaper articles in the local or campus paper).

The game environment initiative, activity or event must be posted under “Ideas that Work” on diicomunity.org/gameenvironment and must have occurred between August 2008 and September 2009.

The Selection Committee will announce the 22 Conference Winners, the one Independent Winner and the National Winner by November 20, 2009.

Each Conference Winner and the Independent Winner will receive \$500.
The National Winner will be recognized at the NCAA Convention
in Atlanta, GA in January 2010.

Submit your nomination for receipt no later than **October 16** to:

Marquette Jamison

NCAA Administrative Assistant for Divisions II and III Governance

mjamison@ncaa.org

Phone: 317/917-6943

Mailing Address:

P.O. Box 6222
Indianapolis, IN 46206

UPS/Federal Express Address:

1802 Alonzo Watford Sr. Drive
Indianapolis, IN 46202

Program allows DII schools to promote game-environment success

Apr 30, 2009 9:22:08 AM

By Gary Brown
The NCAA News

Now that Division II's game-environment initiative has been around for a couple of years, Division II is providing a way to acknowledge successes on campus.

Division II has established a [Game-Environment Recognition Program](#) that allows institutions – after completing a series of self-assessment exercises – to display a banner proclaiming “We Chose a Positive Game Environment.” Schools receive the banner only after the president or chancellor and the AD acknowledge that they have considered and discussed a checklist covering coach, spectator, student and support-group behavior.

Division II initiated the game-environment discussion two years ago, piggybacking off of its community-engagement philosophy – the thought being that if institutions want to attract community members to their athletics events, they should make sure they have a family friendly, lively and comfortable atmosphere that makes fans want to return. Since then, the initiative has been bolstered through national seminars and workshops teaching administrators how to implement best practices.

The Game-Environment Recognition Program keeps the discussion going on campus, with the [self-assessment tool](#) meant to identify areas for improvement. The program is self-administered, and there aren't any check-ups from peer groups or NCAA staff members. Organizers hope it creates a natural incentive to attain the banner and then to ensure that the behavior matches the visual declaration.

“It's also another way institutions can share in the branding of Division II as a collection of institutions interested in interacting with their community fan base and providing the right kind of game environment for those fans,” said Division II Vice President Mike Racy.

Getting the banner isn't automatic. The questions in the self-assessment tool challenge institutional personnel to have address relevant areas, including areas that don't relate directly to sportsmanship.

Here are some of the questions:

- Are your coaches instructed to interact appropriately with game officials when calls are disputed?
- Are your coaches instructed not to incite crowds through agitated behavior? If so, how is that instruction accomplished (face-to-face talk, staff meeting, memo, contract)?
- Does your institution define what constitutes appropriate spectator behavior? If so, does it have in place a plan to confront bad behavior?
- What steps do you have in place to ensure that spectators are kept an appropriate distance from the competing student-athletes?

- Are concession staff members adequately trained?
- What steps has your institution taken to ensure the comfort of the fans (for example, surveying their needs, adequate and clean restrooms, nearby parking, chairback seats, pleasant entryways)?
- Do you permit students to sit behind the visiting team's bench? If so, do you have in place a policy that ensures that the visiting student-athletes and coaches are not heckled?
- Is there a dedicated "family-friendly" seating area?
- Do you enlist student leadership on your campus to help create a better game environment at your athletics events?
- Do you believe the pep bands/marching bands and cheerleaders at your institution contribute to a positive environment?
- Do the band director and cheering advisors interact with athletics administration at your institution?
- Do you explicitly prohibit the band or cheer squads from leading or encouraging abusive cheers? If so, how is this policy enforced?
- Do you screen music before the game to ensure the absence of gang-related or profane language?
- Do you believe that the public address announcers at your institution contribute to a positive game environment?
- What steps do you take to remind spectators that the competition taking place is a part of the educational experience?
- Does your institution's conference provide a way for visiting teams to cite poor treatment, with the expectation that the problem will be remedied?

"These review points are meant to engage the athletics department – and in some cases the campus – in the game-environment discussion," Racy said. "Yes, you might be able to take a short cut or two to acquire the banner, but institutions risk embarrassment if that banner is hanging in the gym when there is an incident that could have been prevented by taking the self-assessment tool seriously."

Racy said institutions should be encouraged to develop a plan for their campus and include all staff involved (athletics, bands, cheerleaders, security, concessions, parking and others) to ensure the desired outcome.

The recognition program also has a conference and national component. As with the annual community-engagement conference award, Division II will recognize a conference game-environment initiative award winner each year. Evaluation to determine the winner will be based on conference submissions to the “Ideas That Work” page of the [Division II Game-Environment Web site](#)..

Conference award winners will be eligible to receive the “National Game Environment Award of Excellence,” which will be presented annually at the NCAA Convention.