

A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Web Conference

Dial-in number: 866-590-5055
Access code: 7539037

September 20, 2012
11 a.m. to 2 p.m. Eastern time

1. Welcome, review cabinet member roster and roll call. [Supplement No. 1]
2. Report from the June 14, 2012, cabinet teleconference. [Supplement No. 2]
3. Report from the NCAA Division I Board of Directors (including the reports of the NCAA Division I Leadership Council and the NCAA Division I Presidential Advisory Group). [Supplement No. 3a-c]

Background: The cabinet will review legislative actions related to recruiting and athletics personnel proposals and other items of interest.

Anticipated Action: None.

4. Report from the NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee. [Supplement No. 4]
5. Update of the NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model - Enforcement. [Supplement No. 5]

Background: The cabinet will receive an update of the Enforcement Working Group's efforts and recommendations.

Anticipated Action: None.

6. Update of the NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model - Rules. [Supplement No. 6]

Background: The cabinet will receive an update of the Rules Working Group's overall efforts and recommendations.

Anticipated Action: None.

7. Review of legislative proposals developed by the Rules Working Group. [Supplement No. 7]

Background: The cabinet has been asked to provide feedback to the Rules Working Group regarding proposals related to NCAA Bylaws 11 and 13.

Anticipated Action: The cabinet will discuss the proposals and provide feedback and/or take positions.

8. Discussion of legislative concepts that need further development and identification of additional concepts for consideration.

Background: The cabinet has been asked to discuss and provide any additional feedback to the Rules Working Group regarding remaining concepts related to Bylaws 11 and 13. (Refer to Supplement No. 6.) In addition, the cabinet has been asked to identify any additional concepts that should be considered. Cabinet members should be prepared to identify potential concepts.

Anticipated Action: The cabinet will discuss remaining concepts, identify additional concepts and provide feedback to the Rules Working Group.

9. General enforcement update.

Background: The staff will provide a general enforcement update related to athletics personnel and recruiting issues, and specific updates related to basketball and football.

Anticipated Action: The cabinet will discuss the information presented and provide feedback and/or take a position.

10. Request from the American Football Coaches Association (AFCA) to review a potential amendment to Bylaw 13.11.1.10 (Nonscholastic Practice and Competition – Bowl Subdivision Football). [Supplement No. 8]

Background: The AFCA has asked the cabinet to review issues related to the application of Bylaw 13.11.1.10 and a potential amendment.

Anticipated Action: The cabinet will discuss the information presented and provide feedback and/or take a position.

11. Educating prospective student-athletes about the new initial-eligibility standards. [Supplement No. 9]

Background: The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance requested that the NCAA staff engage the membership in a discussion regarding the broadening of current recruiting legislation for the sole purpose of educating prospective student-athletes about the newly adopted initial-eligibility standards.

Anticipated Action: The cabinet will discuss the information presented and provide feedback.

12. Issues related to rules governing transfers and permission to contact.

Background: Recently, much discussion has occurred in the membership and general public regarding NCAA rules governing transfer requirements and the process by which student-athletes must seek permission to transfer. Various entities in the governance structure have begun a review of these issues and the cabinet will likely be involved in providing guidance and feedback.

Anticipated Action: None.

13. Other business.

14. Future meetings.

- a. February 2013 – to be determined.
- b. June 2013 – to be determined.

15. Adjournment.

NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Member Roster

Member	Email	Conference	Term Expiration
Brown, Mr. Scott Faculty Athletics Representative University of Connecticut Gentry 124 249 Glenbrook Road, Unit 2064 Storrs, Connecticut 06269-2064 Phone: 860-486-0181 Fax: 860-486-8325 Assistant: Phone:	scott.brown@uconn.edu Assistant's Email:	Big East	1-Jul-14
Cook, Ms. Kellie Tennessee Technological University 1010 East 10th Street Apt. D201 Cookeville, Tennessee 38501 Phone: 256-714-2412	kelliecook3@gmail.com	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee	1-Jul-13
Doggett, Ms. Jana Exec Assoc AD for External Affairs/SWA Utah State University 7400 Old Main Hill Logan, Utah 84322-7400 Phone: 435-757-9183 Fax: 435-797-1800 Assistant: Ronda Christoffersen Phone: 437-797-2060	jana.doggett@usu.edu	Western Athletic Conference	1-Jul-14
Durban, Ms. Michelle SWA, Associate Dir of Athletics for Comp Samford University 800 Lakeshore Drive Birmingham, AL 352029 Phone: 205-726-4562 Fax: 205-726-2132 Assistant: Phone:	mldurban@samford.edu Assistant's Email: nnichol1@samford.edu	Southern Conference	1-Jul-15
Grant, Mr. Robert Director of Athletics Wright State University 3640 Col. Glenn Highway Dayton, Ohio 45435 Phone: 937-775-2771 (Eastern time) Fax: 937-775-2368 Assistant: Christopher Grant Phone: 937-775-2857	bob.grant@wright.edu Assistant's Email: christopher.grant@wright.edu	Horizon League	1-Jul-16

Member	Email	Conference	Term Expiration
Gurney, Ms. Ursula Associate Athletic Director for Academics University of Missouri-Kansas City 5100 Rockhill Road, SRC 201 Kansas City, Missouri 64110 Phone: 816-235-5093 Fax: 816-235-1035 Assistant: Phone:	gurneyu@umkc.edu Assistant's Email:	The Summit League	1-Jul-15
Horne, Mr. Derek Director of Athletics Florida A&M University 1835 Wahnish Way Tallahassee, FL 32307 Phone: 850-599-3868 Fax: 850-599-3810 Assistant: Robin Wallace Phone:	derek.horne@famu.edu Assistant's Email: Robin.wallace@famu.edu	Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	1-Jul-15
Langford, Mr. David Director of Athletics Fairleigh Dickinson University Metropolitan 1000 River Road Teaneck, NJ 07666 Phone: 201-692-2206 Fax: 201-692-9361 Assistant: Lorraine Fecanin Phone: 201-692-2208	langford@fdu.edu Assistant's Email: lfecanin@fdu.edu	Northeast Conference	1-Jul-15
Matthews, Mr. Mike Associate Commissioner, Compliance Pac-12 Conference 1350 Treat Boulevard, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, California 94597 Phone: 925-932-4411 Fax: 925-932-4601 Assistant: Phone:	mmatthews@pac-12.org Assistant's Email:	PAC-12	1-Jul-14
Mazzuto, Mr. Rick Director of Athletics California State University, Northridge 18111 Nordhoff Avenue Northridge, California 91330 Phone: 818-677-3208 (Pacific time) Fax: 818-677-4762 Assistant: Lauree Floback Phone: 818-677-3008	Rick.mazzuto@csun.edu Assistant's Email: Lauree.floback@csun.edu	Big West	1-Jul-16

Member	Email	Conference	Term Expiration
Mitch Malachowski Faculty Athletics Representative University of San Diego 5998 Alcala Park San Diego, CA 92110 Phone: 619-260-4032 (Pacific time) Fax: 619-260-2211 Assistant: Desiree Harpe Phone: 619-260-4053	malachow@san Diego.edu Assistant's Email: धारpe@san Diego.edu	West Coast Conference	1-Jul-15
McCaw, Mr. Ian Director of Athletics Baylor University 1500 S. University Parks Drive Waco, Texas 76707 Phone: 254-710-1222 Fax: 254-710-2823 Assistant: Callie Schrank Phone: 254-710-1222	Ian_McCaw@Baylor.edu Assistant's Email: Callie_Schrank@baylor.edu	Big 12	1-Jul-14
Oberg, Mr. Craig Faculty Athletics Representative Weber State University 2506 University Circle Ogden, Utah 84408 Phone: 801-626-6192 Fax: 801-643-7081 Assistant: Carrie Minnoch Phone: 801-626-6949	coberg@weber.edu Assistant's Email: cminnoch@weber.edu	Big Sky	01-Jul-16
Mr. Ross Parmley Director of Athletics University of Tulsa 800 South Tucker Drive Tulsa, OK 74104 Phone: 918-631-2181 (Central time) Fax: 918-631-3913 Assistant: Teresa Moyer Phone: 918-631-2181	Ross-parmley@utulsa.edu Assistant's Email: Teresa-moyer@utulsa.edu	Conference USA	1-Jul-14
Radakovich, Mr. Dan Director of Athletics Georgia Institute of Technology 150 Bobby Dodd Way, NW Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0455 Phone: 404-894-5411 Fax: 404-894-8864 Assistant: Nicole Jameson Phone: 404-894-5411	drad@gatech.edu Assistant's Email: njameson@athletics.gatech.edu	Atlantic Coast	1-Jul-14

Member	Email	Conference	Term Expiration
Shanahan, Ms. Alanna Wren Deputy Director of Athletics University of Pennsylvania 235 South 33 rd Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104 Phone: 215-898-6121 (Eastern time) Fax: 215-573-2095 Assistant: Phone:	awren@pobox.upenn.edu Assistant's Email:	The Ivy League	1-Jul-16
Stricklin, Mr. Scott Director of Athletics Mississippi State University P. O. Box 5327 Mississippi State, MS 39762 Phone: 662-325-0863 Fax: 662-325-7904 Assistant: Phone:	sstricklin@athletics.msstate.edu Assistant's Email:	Southeastern Conference	1-Jul-14
Toliver, Mr. Eric Senior Associate Director of Athletics University of Nevada, Las Vegas 4505 Maryland Parkway Las Vegas, Nevada 89154 Phone: 702-895-1314 Fax: 702-895-4468 Assistant: Susie Smith Phone: 702-895-1620	Eric.toliver@unlv.edu Assistant's Email: Susie.smith@unlv.edu	Mountain West	1-Jul-14
Weston, Ms. Marcy SWA / Executive Assoc. Director of Athletics Central Michigan University Rose Center 100C Mt. Pleasant, Michigan 48859-0001 Phone: 989-774-6689 Fax: 989-774-5391 Office Manager: Sara Whitman Phone: 989-774-3043	marcy.weston@cmich.edu Assistant's Email: whitm1si@cmich.edu	Mid-American	1-Jul-14
Wiggins, Ms. Nonie Faculty Athletics Representative Arkansas State University-Jonesboro 505 Melton Circle Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401 Phone: 870-972-3385 Fax: 870-972-2954 Assistant: Phone:	nwiggins@astate.edu Assistant's Email:	Sun Belt	1-Jul-14

Member	E-mail	Conference	Term Expiration
Willis, Ms. Michelle Executive Assoc. Director of Athletics The Ohio State University 1001 Fawcett Center 2400 Olentangy River Road Columbus, Ohio 43210 Phone: 614-688-3280 Fax: 614-292-0506 Assistant: Phone:	Willis.102@osu.edu Assistant's Email:	Big Ten	1-Jul-14
Woodruff, Ms. Donna Executive Assoc. Director of Athletics/SWA Stony Brook University SBU Sports Complex Stony Brook, New York 11794-3500 Phone: 631-632-7174 (Eastern time) Fax: 631-632-7122 Assistant: Amanda Alicea Phone: 631-632-7205	donna.woodruff@stonybrook.edu Assistant's Email: Amanda.alicea@stonybrook.edu	America East Conference	1-Jul-16

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS
PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
JUNE 14, 2012, TELECONFERENCE**

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Update on NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model – Enforcement.** The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet received a review of the work and recommendations of the Working Group on Collegiate Model – Enforcement.
2. **Update on Working Group on Collegiate Model – Rules regarding NCAA Bylaws 11 and 13.** The cabinet received an update on the Working Group on Collegiate Model – Rules regarding the work related to Bylaws 11 and 13. Specifically, the cabinet received information related to general feedback that has been received from the NCAA membership and whether the feedback has been favorable for particular concepts. The cabinet reiterated the feedback it provided in February and provided additional comments. In particular, the cabinet provided feedback related to the recently formulated concepts regarding noncoaching staff limits for men's and women's basketball and football and provided additional comments on concepts for which no definitive consensus has emerged from membership feedback. The specific feedback will be provided to the Rules Working Group for consideration in the formulation of potential legislative proposals.

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **February 7-8, 2012, cabinet meeting report.** The cabinet reviewed its February meeting report and took no action.
2. **Report of the May 11, 2012, NCAA Division I Communications and Coordination Committee teleconference.** The cabinet received the report and took no action.
3. **Reports of the January 10-14, 2011, NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee meeting and the April 5, 2012, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee teleconference.** The cabinet received the reports and took no action.

4. **Review of the NCAA Division I Board of Directors, NCAA Division I Leadership Council and NCAA Division I Legislative Council reports.** The cabinet reviewed legislative actions related to recruiting and athletics personnel proposals and other items of interest from the April meetings and took no action.
5. **Update on Working Group on Collegiate Model – Enforcement.** The cabinet received a review of the work and recommendations of the Working Group on Collegiate Model – Enforcement. No action was taken.
6. **Update on Working Group on Collegiate Model – Rules regarding Bylaws 11 and 13.** The cabinet received an update on the Working Group on Collegiate Model – Rules regarding the work related to Bylaws 11 and 13. Specifically, the cabinet received information related to general feedback that has been received from the NCAA membership and whether the feedback has been favorable for particular concepts. The cabinet reiterated the feedback it provided in February and provided additional comments. In particular, the cabinet provided feedback related to the recently formulated concepts regarding noncoaching staff limits for men's and women's basketball and football and provided additional comments on concepts for which no definitive consensus has emerged from membership feedback. The specific feedback will be provided to the Rules Working Group for consideration in the formulation of potential legislative proposals.

Cabinet Chair: Ian McCaw, Baylor University, Big 12 Conference

Staff Liaison(s): Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

Renee Gomila, Enforcement Services

Abigail Grantstein, Enforcement Services

Charnele Kemper, Academic and Membership Affairs

Jen Roe, Academic and Membership Affairs

June 14, 2012	
Attendees	Absentees
Jeff Barber, Liberty University, Big South Conference	Nonie Wiggins, Arkansas State University - Jonesboro, Sun Belt Conference
Scott Brown, University of Connecticut, Big East Conference	
Kaitlyn Carew, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee	

Report of the NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics

Personnel Issues Cabinet

June 14 2012, Teleconference

Page No. 3

Jana Doggett, Utah State University, Western Athletic Conference	
Michelle Durban, Samford University, Southern Conference	
Ursula Gurney, University of Missouri-Kansas City, The Summit League	
Derek Horne, Florida A&M University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	
David Langford, Fairleigh Dickinson University Metropolitan, Northeast Conference	
Bridget Martin, McNeese State University, Southland Conference	
Mike Matthews, Pac-12 Conference	
Ian McCaw, Baylor University, Big 12 Conference	
Dan Radakovich, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlantic Coast Conference	
Jill Redmond, Atlantic-10 Conference (alternate)	
Shondell Reed, Colgate University, Patriot League	
Alison Sexton, Fairfield University, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	
Scott Stricklin, Mississippi State University, Southeastern Conference	
Robert Stull, University of Texas at El Paso, Conference USA	
Eric Toliver, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Mountain West Conference	
Marcy Weston, Central Michigan University, Mid-American Conference	
Sarah Wilhelmi, West Coast Conference (alternate)	
Miechelle Willis, The Ohio State University, Big Ten Conference	
Other Participants: Steve Mallonee, Chris Strobel	

**REPORT OF THE AUGUST 2, 2012, MEETING OF THE
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

1. **Report of the April 26, 2012, Board of Directors Meeting.** The Board approved the report of its April 26, 2012, meeting. (Unanimous Voice Vote)
2. **President's Report.** NCAA President Mark Emmert reported the following:
 - a. **Governance.** President Emmert informed the Board that in his first two years as President of the NCAA, frustration has been expressed among membership groups regarding the speed of Board decisions, balance of power and representation within the governance structure. He recommended that the review of the governance structure begin after the 2013 NCAA Convention to allow time to complete the work of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model – Rules and Enforcement Working Groups. The Board agreed that the timetable for the governance review is appropriate.
 - b. **Institutional Integrity.** President Emmert noted that the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model – Rules and Enforcement Working Groups have noted that there appears to be important integrity issues outside their charges that need to be addressed. He plans to appoint a group of membership representatives to address institutional integrity and shared responsibility.
 - c. **Pennsylvania State University.** President Emmert noted that the Penn State case brings to the forefront the issue of institutional integrity. He informed the Board that Penn State will execute an Athletics Integrity Agreement with the Big Ten Conference and the NCAA later this month. Former Senator George Mitchell has been appointed as independent Integrity Monitor to ensure Penn State's compliance with NCAA sanctions and the Athletics Integrity Agreement. President Emmert also noted that the decision-making process in this case was extraordinary and unprecedented in nature and reassured the group that a new "normal" has not been created as the Association will continue to use the usual enforcement process in consideration of infractions cases.
3. **Presidential Retreat Initiatives.**
 - a. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Enforcement Working Group.** The Board received the final report from the Enforcement Working Group, but was asked not to take action until October in order to allow for additional membership comment and feedback. The final report includes recommendations in five areas: 1) Violation structure; 2) Process structure; 3) Penalty

- structure; 4) Shared responsibility, and 5) Implementation of new enforcement program. The Board expressed support for the recommendations and commended the efforts of the working group. [Reference Supplement No. 3.]
- b. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group.** The group received an update on the status of the work of the Rules Working Group, noting that action by the Board is expected in January 2013. The working group continues to seek membership feedback as it moves closer to finalizing the initial set of legislative recommendations, which the Board will review in October. It is anticipated that a substantial portion of the Division I Forum during the 2013 NCAA Convention will be dedicated to this topic. [Reference Supplement No. 4.]
- c. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group.** The Board received information regarding membership feedback related to the three options for increasing the value of a full grant-in-aid through the provision of a miscellaneous expense allowance. It was noted that consensus among conferences for an acceptable alternative has been elusive to date. The Board asked that the working group continue to review possible options for increasing the value of a full grant-in-aid.
- d. **NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance (CAP).** The Board was presented with the following recommendations from the Committee on Academic Performance and the NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet:
- (1) That the Board approve an amendment to the Academic Performance Program (APP) policies and procedures that would provide for an exception to the definition of the Academic Performance Rate (APR) cohort for student-athletes who are five years beyond initial full-time enrollment and have exhausted athletics eligibility as defined by CAP. This would be effective immediately, beginning with the 2011-12 APR cohort to be reported fall 2012.
 - (2) That the Board approve a revision to the APP Level-Three Penalty Waiver hearing policies to require the chief academic officer to attend the hearing with the institution, effective immediately, beginning with hearings conducted during the 2012-13 academic year.
 - (3) That the Board approve the following revisions to the APP penalty and ineligibility for postseason competition waivers policies and procedures, effective immediately:
 - (a) Amend the policies to require institutions to confirm the data considered as part of the waiver is accurate and no data issues are outstanding before

the staff and committee proceed with the review of the waiver. Failure to confirm accuracy and finality of data within the prescribed timeframe would result in denial of a waiver.

- (b) Amend the policy to provide one opportunity for an institution to request reconsideration of a waiver and limiting the scope of reconsideration to only nondata related mitigation. Any remaining data issues will be reviewed the following year in accordance with established policies and timelines for data reviews and adjustments.
- (c) Amend the policy to require an institution subject to postseason ineligibility to advise its student-athletes regarding the team's ineligibility for postseason competition and the transfer policy within 48 hours of the final notice of penalties or within 48 hours from the decision following the institution's final appellate opportunity, whichever is later. Additionally, require institutions to note within the NCAA's APP system the date that student-athletes have been notified.
- (4) That the Board adopt noncontroversial legislation that would expand the current prohibition against nonqualifiers serving as student hosts to include academic redshirts, effective August 1, 2016, for students initially enrolling in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2016. (See Proposal No. 2012-10.)
- (5) That the Board adopt noncontroversial legislation to amend Bylaw 14.5.4.2 from not a qualifier to nonqualifiers to specify that only nonqualifiers are ineligible for practice and financial aid if two-year college transfer standards are not satisfied. (See Proposal No. 2012-12.)
- (6) That the Board amend the effective date of the new early academic qualifications standards to August 1, 2013, for students initially enrolling full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2013. (See Proposal No. 2012-11.)

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the three recommended revisions to the APP policies and procedures, and the three noncontroversial legislative proposals. (Unanimous Voice Vote) [Reference Supplement Nos. 6A and 6B.]

- (7) The committee on also highlighted the following for the Board:
 - (a) The NCAA is involved in an extensive educational outreach effort to better educate students about the initial-eligibility standards that will take

effect in August 2016. The Board was informed that it is likely to see a recommendation in October to allow for earlier written/electronic communication between eighth-grade students and head coaches for the sole purpose of educating such students on the new academic requirements.

- (b) The committee reviewed and reaffirmed its current policies and procedures regarding APR data and implementation of academic penalties and/or postseason eligibility.
- (c) The committee continues its discussion of the timing of the imposition of the ineligibility for postseason competition.

4. **Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) Playing Season Exception.** The Board reviewed a legislative proposal to amend NCAA Bylaw 17.9.5.2 that would specify that one postseason game between the winners of two exempted postseason bowl games is exempt from the maximum number of Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) football contests. Further, to specify that participants in the two postseason bowl games shall be selected by FBS conferences and independent institutions. [Reference Supplement No. 5.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the revision to Bylaw 17.9.5.2 as recommended. [For 6 (Block, Hatch, Pastides, Ransdall, Schulz, Simon), Against 3 (Albrecht, Welty, Williams), Abstain 1 (Dunn)] (See Proposal No. 2012-13.)

5. **Division I Postseason Football – Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS).** The Board received a recommendation about how to address scenarios in a given year if there are not enough bowl-eligible teams to fulfill contractual commitments made by conferences/institutions with bowl operators of approved bowl games. It was noted that the current legislation (NCAA Bylaw 18.7.2.2) that defines a “deserving team” with regard to bowl eligibility would remain unchanged and the recommended selection process would be implemented only when there are no remaining bowl-eligible teams available to be selected. [Reference the Addendum to Supplement No. 5.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the recommended selection process. (Unanimous voice vote) (See Proposal No. 2012-14.)

6. **Division I Governance Structure Update.**

a. Leadership Council Report. Noreen Morris, chair of the Division I Leadership Council, reported on the Council's agenda for the upcoming year:

- (1) Women's Basketball Recruiting Model. The Leadership Council continues its work on developing a new women's basketball recruiting model and plans to make a recommendation to the Board in October.
- (2) Transfer Issues. The Leadership Council plans to collaborate with other constituent groups [e.g., Collegiate Commissioners Association (CCA)] as it conducts a review of current NCAA and conference regulations that govern transfers.
- (3) Role of the Leadership Council. In an effort to better clarify the role and charge of the Leadership Council, the Council will review its current charge to determine if it accurately reflects the actual work of the Council. The Council also will outline ways in which it can better lead the Division I membership instead of merely "reacting" to issues presented to it by other groups (e.g., cabinets, coaches associations). The desired outcome of this analysis is to position the Council to better assist the Board with its work.
- (4) Miscellaneous Expense Allowance (MEA). The Leadership Council will continue to discuss the MEA with the hope of finding an option that most of the membership can support.
- (5) Division I Governance Structure. When the review of the governance structure begins next year, the Council plans to be actively engaged in the review and evaluation process.

b. NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet Recommendations. The Board received the following recommendations from the Division I Administration Cabinet: [Reference Supplement No. 7.]

- (1) That the Board elect Bryant University, University of North Dakota, Presbyterian College, Seattle University, University of South Dakota, and Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville, to active Division I membership status.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to elect the six recommended institutions to active Division I membership status. (Unanimous voice vote)

- (2) That the Board use its authority pursuant to Bylaw 21.7.2 to waive the requirement that no subdivision shall have more than 50 percent representation on any committee and approve the appointment of Aaron D'Addario, head diving coach at the University of Denver, to the NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to approve the appointment of Aaron D-Addario, head diving coach at the University of Denver, to the NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee.
(Unanimous voice vote)

7. **Litigation Update.** Donald Remy, NCAA general counsel, provided this report to the Board.
8. **Appointment to Executive Committee.** The Board approved the appointment of Gene Block, University of California, Los Angeles, Pacific-12 Conference to the Executive Committee. (Unanimous Voice Vote)
9. **Finance Committee.** It was noted that Tim White, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference has been appointed by the chair to serve as chair of the NCAA Executive Committee Finance Committee.
10. **Future Meeting Dates.**
 - a. Tuesday, October 30, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.
 - b. Saturday, January 19, 2013, Grapevine, Texas. [In conjunction with the NCAA Convention.]
 - c. Thursday, May 2, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana.
 - d. Thursday, August 8, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana.
 - e. Wednesday, October 30, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana.
 - f. Saturday, January 18, 2014, San Diego, California. [In conjunction with the NCAA Convention.]

Board of Directors chair: Nathan Hatch, Wake Forest University, Atlantic Coast Conference

Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance

Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

Division I Board of Directors August 2, 2012, Meeting	
ATTENDEES	ABSENTEES
Stanley Albrecht, Utah State University, Western Athletic Conference	Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida, Big East Conference
Gene Block, University of California, Los Angeles, Pacific-12 Conference	Patrick Harker, University of Delaware, Colonial Athletic Association
Rita Cheng, University of Illinois at Carbondale, Missouri Valley Conference	David Leebron, Rice University, Conference USA
John Dunn, Western Michigan University, Mid-American Conference, (alternate)	Sidney McPhee, Middle Tennessee State University, Sun Belt Conference
William R. Harvey, Hampton University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	John Peters, Northern Illinois University, Mid-American Conference
Nathan Hatch, Wake Forest University, Atlantic Coast Conference, chair	David Skorton, Cornell University, Ivy League
David Hopkins, Wright State University, Horizon League	
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference	
Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina, Southeastern Conference	
Gary Ransdall, Western Kentucky, Sun Belt Conference (alternate)	
Kirk Schulz, Kansas State University, Big 12 Conference	
Lou Anna Simon, Michigan State University, Big Ten Conference	
John Welty, California State University, Fresno, Mountain West Conference	
Timothy White, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference	
Gregory Williams, University of Cincinnati, Big East Conference (alternate)	

NCAA staff liaisons in attendance: David Berst, Jacqueline Campbell
Guests from other Division I governance bodies: Noreen Morris, Northeast Conference, chair of the Division I Leadership Council. Gregory Sankey, Southeastern Conference, chair of the Division I Legislative Council. Edward Ray, Oregon State University, chair of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group.
Other NCAA staff members in attendance for portions of the meeting: Kate Carrico, Erik Christianson, Joni Comstock, Diane Dickman, Mark Emmert, Bernard Franklin, Jennifer Fraser, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Jim Isch, Kevin Lennon, Mark Lewis, Steve Mallonee, Delise O’Meally, Tom Paskus, Dennie Poppe, Donald Remy, Wallace Renfro, Julie Roe Lach, Ronnie Ramos, Crissy Schluep, Naima Stevenson, Robert Vowels, Wendy Walters and Bob Williams.

**REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I LEADERSHIP COUNCIL
AUGUST 23, 2012, TELECONFERENCE**

INFORMATION ITEMS.

1. **Welcome and Introductions.** Noreen Morris, chair of the Leadership Council, welcomed the new members of the Council and noted the purpose of the teleconference was to outline plans for the upcoming year.
2. **Leadership Council Agenda.** The chair reviewed the agenda for the upcoming year:
 - a. Women's Basketball Recruiting Model. The Leadership Council Women's Basketball Recruiting Subcommittee has been discussing concepts for consideration in a new women's basketball recruiting model. The subcommittee has engaged a number of stakeholders [e.g., NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Women's Basketball Coaches Association (WBCA), Division I conferences, individuals within the Division I membership] in its review. The subcommittee will present its recommendations to the full Council during its October meeting. The Leadership Council will forward its final recommendation to the NCAA Division I Board of Directors in late October.
 - b. Men's Basketball Recruiting Model. The Council plans to review feedback regarding the July 2012 men's basketball evaluation period and summer access to student-athletes in order to determine if any changes should be recommended.
 - c. Football Recruiting Model. The Council was informed that the American Football Coaches Association (AFCA) has requested a review of the football recruiting model. The Council agreed to begin a review of the football recruiting model, noting that summer access in all sports will be discussed by the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model Rules Working Group during its review of Bylaw 17 (Playing and Practice Seasons).
 - d. Transfer Issue. With the growing media attention and membership concern regarding the Division I transfer rules, the Council, in conjunction with other governance bodies and various constituent groups, will conduct an extensive review of the Division I transfer rules.
 - e. Role of the Leadership Council. The chair encouraged the Council to be more engaged and proactive in setting its agenda. Moving forward, the Council will discuss its role in the governance process so it may better assist the Board of Directors in managing the Division I agenda.

- f. Miscellaneous Expense Allowance. The chair noted the Board's continued support of increasing the value of a full grant-in-aid. With the membership demonstrating no clear majority support for any of the three options that have been distributed by the Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group, the chair encouraged Council members to talk with their conferences about additional options for increasing the value of a full grant-in-aid.
- g. Division I Governance Structure. The chair noted that NCAA President Mark Emmert has retained the services of an outside consultant for the purpose of gathering information from the membership regarding the Division I governance structure. The Council requested the consultant meet with them as part of the information gathering process. Further, that a Leadership Council member serve on the working group that is appointed to evaluate the Division I governance structure.

3. Meeting Preparation and Follow Up. The chair urged Council members to read the meeting materials in advance of the meetings and consult with their conferences in order to be better prepared for discussions during the meeting. Following meetings, it was recommended that Council members share with their conferences the information discussed during the meetings.

4. Other Business.

- a. Administrative Committee. The chair noted that the Administrative Committee of the Council is in need of two representatives from the Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS). Any Council member interested in serving should contact Noreen Morris (nmorris@northeastconference.org) or Jackie Campbell (jgcampbell@ncaa.org).
- b. Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee (MOIC) Liaison. With the departure of Clyde McCoy from the Council, the MOIC is in need of a new Council liaison. Any Council member interested in serving should contact Noreen Morris (nmorris@northeastconference.org) or Jackie Campbell (jgcampbell@ncaa.org).
- c. Leadership Council Subcommittees. There will be several subcommittees formed to address the various issuers on the Council's agenda. NCAA staff will send out a communication requesting that Council members indicate their interest in serving on any of the subcommittees.

5. Future Meetings.

- October 11, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.
- January 17, 2013, Grapevine, Texas. [In conjunction with the 2013 NCAA Convention.]
- April 2013, TBD, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Leadership Council chair: Noreen Morris, Northeast Conference

Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
Kevin Lennon, academic and membership affairs

Division I Leadership Council August 23, 2012, Teleconference	
ATTENDEES	ABSENTEES
Mike Alden, University of Missouri, Southeastern Conference	Sandy Barbour, University of California, Berkeley, Pacific-12 Conference
Jeff Barber, Liberty University, Big South Conference	Robert Bernardi, Nicholls State University, Southland Conference
Harold Bardo, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, Missouri Valley Conference	Mike Bitter, Stetson University, Atlantic Sun Conference
Dave Blank, Elon University, Southern Conference	Beth DeBauche, Ohio Valley Conference
McKinley Boston, Jr., New Mexico State University, Western Athletic Conference	Amy Huchthausen, America East Conference
Ken Bothof, University of Wisconsin, Green Bay, Horizon League	Duer Sharp, Southwestern Athletic Conference
Morgan J. Burke, Purdue University, Big Ten Conference	Robert Stull, University of Texas at El Paso, Conference USA
Eugene Daniels, chair of the Division I SAAC	
John Hardt, Bucknell University, Patriot League	
Jeffrey Hathaway, Hofstra University, Colonial Athletic Association	
Kirby Hocutt, Texas Tech University, Big 12 Conference	
Lisa Kelleher, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Mountain West Conference	

DI Leadership Council Report

August 23, 2012

Page No. 4

Myndee Kay Larsen, Summit League	
Susan Cross Lipnickey, Miami University, Mid-American Conference	
Janet Lucas, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference	
Bill Maher, Canisius College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	
Chris Massaro, Middle Tennessee State University, Sun Belt Conference	
Bernadette McGlade, Atlantic 10 Conference	
Noreen Morris, Northeast Conference	
Jayne Nadolski, Big Sky Conference	
Derrick Ramsey, Coppin State University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	
Ky Snyder, University of San Diego, West Coast Conference	
Gary Walters, Princeton University, Ivy League	
NCAA staff liaisons in attendance: David Berst, Jacqueline Campbell, Kevin Lennon	
Other NCAA staff members who were in attendance for portions of the teleconference: Michelle Hosick and Steve Mallonee.	

**REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY GROUP
JULY 30, 2012, TELECONFERENCE**

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- 1. Report of April 25, 2012, Meeting.** The Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) approved the report of its April 25, 2012, meeting.
- 2. President's Report.** President Emmert reviewed with the group the discussions involving the NCAA Executive Committee and the NCAA Division I Board of Directors when determining the punitive and corrective actions that would be levied in the Pennsylvania State University case. President Emmert noted that the decision-making process in this case was extraordinary and unprecedented in nature and reassured the group that a new "normal" has not been created as the Association will continue to use the normal enforcement process in consideration of infractions cases. It was further noted that the issue of institutional integrity is a concept that the Board of Directors and the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model – Rules and Enforcement Working Groups have been discussing. A working group will be appointed in the near future to address jurisdiction and control of the "athletic" culture of institutions.
- 3. Update on Presidential Retreat Initiatives.**
 - a. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group.** The group was informed that the Enforcement Working Group will present its final report to the Board of Directors during its August 2 meeting, but action by the Board would not occur until October in order to allow for additional membership comment and feedback. The final report includes recommendations in five areas: 1) Violation structure; 2) Process structure; 3) Penalty structure; 4) Shared responsibility, and 5) Implementation of new enforcement program.
 - b. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group.** The group received an update on the status of the work of the Rules Working Group, noting that action by the Board is expected in January 2013. The working group continues to seek membership feedback as it moves closer to finalizing the initial set of legislative recommendations.
 - c. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group.** The group was informed that work continues on gathering reactions to several options

for increasing the value of a full grant-in-aid through the possible provision of a miscellaneous expense allowance.

4. Updates from the Division I Governance Structure.

- a. NCAA Division I Leadership Council. The group was informed of the key issues on the Leadership Council agenda for the upcoming year as the Council works to assist the Board in the evaluation and resolution of a variety of issues: 1) Transfer rules; 2) Governance, and 3) Miscellaneous expense allowance.

- b. NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet. It was noted that the Board will take action on two Administration Cabinet recommendations:

- (1) That the Board elect six institutions to active Division I membership, and

- (2) That the Board use its authority per NCAA Bylaw 21.7.2 to waive the subdivisional requirements for the NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee and appoint an additional Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) member.

- 5. Football Bowl Subdivision Playing and Practice Season Exception.** The group was informed that the Board will act on a recommended legislative change to FBS playing and practice season rules to accommodate the new plan for a Football Bowl Subdivision postseason event.

- 6. NCAA Division I Football Championship.** The group was updated on the plan for the 24-team Division I Football Championship, noting that the funding has been included in the upcoming triennial budget. It was noted that the working group of Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) presidents plans to meet in the near future to discuss the possibility of starting the FCS football season earlier so that the championship game could be moved back to December. The working group also plans to discuss a potential change in the labeling of the subdivision.

- 7. NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance.** The group received a report of the recent Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) meeting and was informed that CAP will recommend that the Board approve several recommended changes to the Academic Performance Program's Policies and Procedures. The Board also will review

recommendations for noncontroversial legislation to address language changes due to the new classification for qualifiers and nonqualifiers.

8. Future Meeting Dates and Times.

- (a) Monday, October 29, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.
- (b) Monday, January 14, 2013, 3 p.m. (Eastern time), conference call.
- (c) Wednesday, May 1, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana.
- (d) Monday, August 5, 2013, 3 p.m. (Eastern time), conference call.
- (e) Tuesday, October 29, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana.
- (f) Monday, January 13, 2014, 3 p.m. (Eastern time), conference call.

Committee chair: William Meehan, Jacksonville State University

Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance

Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

Division I Presidential Advisory Group July 30, 2012, Teleconference	
ATTENDEES	ABSENTEES
John Bravman, Bucknell University, Patriot League	David Chicoine, South Dakota State University, Summit League
Rita Cheng, University of Illinois at Carbondale, Missouri Valley Conference	Daniel Curran, University of Dayton, Atlantic 10 Conference
Charles Cobb, Appalachian State University, Southern University (alternate)	David Hopkins, Wright State University, Horizon League
Greg Dell'Omo, Robert Morris University, Northeast Conference	Kenneth Peacock, Appalachian State University, Southern Conference
Ronald Gallagher, St. Mary's College of California, West Coast Conference	David Skorton, Cornell University, Ivy League
Patrick Harker, University of Delaware, Colonial Athletic Association	Randall Webb, Northwestern State University, Southland Conference

Walter Harrison, University of Hartford, America East Conference	George C. Wright, Prairie View A&M University, Southwestern Athletic Conference
John Hurley, Canisius College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	
Penelope Kyle, Radford University, Big South Conference	
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference	
Kay Norton, University of Northern Colorado, Big Sky Conference	
Kerry Romesburg, Jacksonville University, Atlantic Sun Conference	
Timothy White, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference	
NCAA staff liaisons participating on the call: David Berst, Jacqueline Campbell	
Other NCAA staff members who participated on the teleconference: Mark Emmert, Jennifer Fraser, Jim Isch, Kevin Lennon, Bill Regan, Wallace Renfro and Julie Roe Lach.	

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
JULY 20-22, 2012**

The NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (Division I SAAC) submits the following report from its July 20-22, 2012, meeting.

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Review of NCAA Rules Working Group Concepts.** The committee reviewed concepts from the rules working group and provided comments on select concepts for NCAA Bylaws 12, 13, 14 and 16. The specific feedback will be provided to the NCAA Rules Working Group for consideration in the formulation of potential legislative proposals.
2. **Division I SAAC Priorities.** The committee reviewed and updated priorities and goals related to its effort to enhance the total student-athlete experience, including increasing awareness of SAAC and its role, cultivating a community service initiative and enhancing the student-athlete voice. The group discussed its plan to implement the agreed upon priorities over the coming year.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**
 - None.
2. **Nonlegislative Items.**
 - None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Guest Speakers.** The committee welcomed several guest speakers from the NCAA staff to discuss issues involving student-athlete well-being:
 - a. Jackie Thurnes, director of enforcement, provided the committee with an overview of the recommendations going before the Division I board of directors from the enforcement working group. It was noted that feedback provided by Division I SAAC was reviewed and will be considered by the NCAA Committee on Infractions.
 - b. Lynn Holzman and Binh Nguyen, director and associate director of academic and membership affairs, presented an overview of the process associated with the formation, development and role of the presidential working groups. Additionally, the concepts that have been developed through the work of the rules working group were reviewed and discussed by the committee.

- c. Scott Bearby, deputy general counsel and managing director of legal affairs, provided the committee with an overview of the NCAA general counsel's office, its relationship with SAAC and an update on ongoing litigation involving the Association.
 - d. Amy Dunham, managing director of communications, lead the committee through media training which entailed a series of activities designed to strengthen and enhance committee member's awareness of and attention to interviewing techniques.
 - e. Jackie Campbell, director of NCAA Division I Governance, provided an update on general governance issues focusing on items on the leadership council agenda including discussion surrounding a women's basketball recruiting model.
 - f. Dr. Christine Copper, Faculty Athletic Representative (FAR) at the U.S. Naval Academy, joined the group to discuss best practices and engaging FAR's on campus.
2. **2012 NCAA Gender Equity and Inclusion Forum.** Division I SAAC was provided an overview of selected topics and resources available from the 2012 NCAA Gender Equity and Inclusion Forum, which took place April 29 - May 1, 2012 in New Orleans, Louisiana.
3. **Review of NCAA Rules Working Group Concepts.** The committee reviewed concepts from the rules working group and provided comments on select concepts for NCAA Bylaws 12, 13, 14 and 16. The specific feedback will be provided to the NCAA Rules Working Group for consideration in the formulation of potential legislative proposals.
4. **Media Team Update.** The committee received an update regarding the SAAC media team's continued efforts to raise awareness of SAAC through social media. The media team highlighted steps included in its plan to address the priority of increasing SAAC awareness including featuring notable events and profiles of NCAA Division I student-athletes on its blog and website as well as strategies for increasing the number of followers of the Division I SAAC Twitter account.
5. **Community Service Team Update.** The committee discussed further collaboration and ways to partner with Samaritan's Feet. The committee shared strategies that will be used to further the relationship including review of an inventory of Samaritan's Feet service events that are already scheduled and increasing outreach by identifying committee members to participate in events held near their institutions. Additionally, it was noted that the committee will continue to conduct events to support Samaritan's Feet at its in-person meetings.

6. **Student-Athlete Voice Team Update.** The committee discussed the work of the project team charged with leading efforts to enhance the committee's voice at the national, conference and institutional levels. It was noted that best practices and other resources will be developed to share with institutions and conferences as part of the plan to address the priority of enhancing the voice of the student-athlete.
7. **Cabinet and Committee Reports.** The committee was provided updates from the NCAA Division I and Association-wide cabinets and committees on which Division I SAAC members serve.
8. **Board of Directors.** The committee was updated on the board of director's April meeting and its discussions related to the progress of the presidential working groups.
9. **NCAA Leadership Council.** The committee was updated on the leadership council's April meeting and its discussions related to the progress of the presidential working groups and review of the women's basketball recruiting model.
10. **NCAA Legislative Council.** The committee was updated regarding the legislative council's April meeting and its final review of legislative proposals related to student-athlete well-being from the 2011-12 legislative cycle.
11. **Division I SAAC, Association-wide and Division I Cabinet/Committee Appointments.** The committee appointed the following student-athletes to specified Association-wide and divisional cabinets and committees:
 - a. Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: Kellie Cook, Women's Basketball, Tennessee Technical University; Ohio Valley Conference.
 - b. Football Issues Committee: Christian Conley, Football, University of Georgia; Southeastern Conference.
 - c. Championships/Sports Management Cabinet: Alana Gerber, Women's Golf, Oakland University; The Summit League.
 - d. Committee on Women's Athletics: Carolyn Jesko, Women's Tennis, Youngstown State University; Horizon League.
 - e. Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct: Adam Purcilly, Baseball, St. Peter's College; Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference.

- f. Olympic Sports Liaison Committee: Chalonda Goodman, Women's Track, Indoor, Women's Track, Outdoor; University of Texas; Big 12 Conference.
 - g. Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical aspects of Sport: Alex Mendez, Baseball, University of South Florida; Big East Conference.
 - h. Women's Basketball Issues Committee: Jacqui Kalin, Women's Basketball, University of Northern Iowa; Missouri Valley.
 - i. SAAC Administrative Team: Melissa Minton, Women's Soccer, University of Louisiana at Lafayette; Sun Belt Conference; Missy White, Women's Volleyball, St. Mary's College of California; West Coast Conference; and Meaghan McCloskey, University of Idaho; Western Athletic Conference.
 - j. Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee: William Brown, Men's Golf, Prairie View A&M University; Southwestern Athletic Conference.
- 12. Student-Athlete Affairs Advisory Group.** The committee was informed that a representative from each of the divisional SAACs attended the June NCAA Student-Athlete Affairs Advisory Group (Advisory Group) in-person meeting. This was the first time that student-athletes had been included in the group and they will continue to be involved to ensure that the student-athlete perspective is included in discussions and as decisions are made. An overview of the business that took place during the Advisory Group meeting was also provided.
- 13. College Sports Information Directors of America Convention (CoSIDA).** An update from the CoSIDA convention was provided to the committee and it was noted that student-athlete representatives from each division were able to attend the convention for the first time and participated on a panel. CoSIDA expressed interest in continuing to build the relationship with SAAC and including student-athletes in its programming moving forward.
- 14. Future Meeting Schedule.**
- a. Fall 2012, Teleconference.
 - b. November 9-11, 2012, Indianapolis.
 - c. January 16-19, 2013, in conjunction with NCAA Convention, Grapevine, Texas.
 - d. Spring 2013, Teleconference.
 - e. July 2013, Indianapolis.

Committee Chair: Eugene Daniels, Colorado State University, Mountain West Conference

*Staff Liaisons: Stephanie Castera, Academic and Membership Affairs
Kelly Groddy, Academic and Membership Affairs
Katie Willett, Student-Athlete Affairs*

NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Meeting July 20-22, 2012	
Attendees	Absentees
Ashley Colin, Big South Conference	Natasha Law, Big Sky Conference
Morgan Boyd, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	TBD, Pacific-12 Conference
William Brown, Southwestern Athletic Conference	
Lauren Cochlin, Big Ten Conference	
Nicholas “Nik” Conklin, Northeast Conference	
Christian “Chris” R. Conley, Southeastern Conference	
Kellie Cook, Ohio Valley Conference	
Eugene Daniels, Mountain West Conference	
Katie DiGirolamo, Atlantic Sun Conference	
Christopher Everett, Jr., Southern Conference	
Alanna Gerber, The Summit League	
Chalonda Goodman, Big 12 Conference	
Carolyn L. Jesko, Horizon League	
Jacqui Kalin, Missouri Valley Conference	
Brian Lozes, Conference USA	
Meaghan McClosky, Western Athletic Conference	
Alejandro “Alex” Mendez, Big East Conference	
Melissa Minton, Sun Belt Conference	
Douglas “Doug” Prusoff, Patriot League Conference	
Adam Purcilly, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	
John Anthony Roberts, Jr., Southland Conference	
Madeline “Maddie” A. Salamone, Atlantic Coast Conference	
Curtis Schickner, America East Conference	
Ben Swinford, Ivy League	
Paul “Blase” Szysko, Atlantic 10 Conference	

Kaitlyn Vincek, Mid-American Conference	
Melissa “Missy” White, West Coast Conference	
Katherine Ann Williams, Colonial Athletic Association	
Jacob Yowell, Big West Conference	
Other Participants Scott Bearby, NCAA Jackie Campbell, NCAA Dr. Christine Cooper, U.S. Naval Academy Amy Dunham, NCAA Danielle Ghiloni, NCAA Beth Goode, Pacific 12 Conference Lynn Holzman, NCAA Susan Lipnicky, Mid-American Conference Bill Nesnidal, NCAA Binh Nguyen, NCAA KD Stearns, NCAA Jackie Thurnes, NCAA	

Executive Summary of Final Report

NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model – Enforcement
August 2012

In August 2011, NCAA President Mark Emmert met with over 50 presidents and chancellors of member institutions to address the erosion of public trust in intercollegiate athletics. The presidents identified several areas of concern, including the need to refocus the Association's enforcement program to place greater emphasis on those violations that most seriously denigrate the collegiate model. They also focused on the need to provide strong disincentives to deter violations. The Working Group on Collegiate Model - Enforcement ("working group") was charged with recommending revisions of the current enforcement program to protect the collegiate model and restore public trust in collegiate sports and the NCAA.

The working group circulated an Interim Report in February 2012 outlining its preliminary proposals. The working group sought and received feedback from the membership, the NCAA staff, members of the Committee on Infractions ("COI") and members of the Infractions Appeals Committee ("IAC"). After reviewing the feedback, the working group submits its Final Report together with proposed revisions to Bylaw 19. The working group's recommendations include significant changes to the current violation and penalty structures and to the infractions review process.

It is important to note at the outset that the current COI has provided helpful feedback and insights throughout this process and is committed and receptive to change. The COI is comprised of hard-working and capable individuals. The changes recommended in this report reflect recognition of the significant amount of work involved in the process and of the membership's expressed desire for greater consequences for violations.

Implementation of a Four-Tier Violation Structure

The working group recommends implementing a new four-level violation structure that identifies with greater precision the relative severity of infractions by using the following classifications: Level I – Severe Breach of Conduct; Level II – Significant Breach of Conduct; Level III – Breach of Conduct; and Level IV – Incidental Infractions. This structure will provide member institutions and involved individuals more detailed notice of the nature and gravity of alleged infractions and better ensure enforcement efforts are focused on behaviors that clearly violate NCAA enduring values.

Distinctions between the most serious violations and corresponding penalties will be further refined based on aggravating and mitigating factors in a case. Specifically, Level I and II violations will be sub-classified as aggravated, standard or mitigated, and the COI may prescribe penalties from a higher or lower range based on its weighing of these factors.

Adoption of Penalty Guidelines for Core Penalties

As revised, Bylaw 19 would include penalty guidelines clearly specifying core penalties for Level I and II cases. Based on the message from the August 2011 presidential meeting, and on membership input, these penalty guidelines represent a ratcheting up of typical penalties. After determining the appropriate sublevel (aggravation, standard or mitigation) for Level I or II cases, the COI will prescribe a penalty from a range of set penalty guidelines in each of the following areas: (a) competition limitations; (b) financial penalties; (c) scholarship limitations; (d) recruiting limitations; (e) probation; (f) when applicable, show-cause orders. If extenuating circumstances are found, the COI will have discretion to depart from the core penalties. The COI will also retain discretion to apply additional penalties and to consider the impact on student-athletes who were not involved in the violation. Although the COI retains some discretion to prescribe the appropriate mix of penalties for a particular case, it is expected that the penalties for these Level I and II cases will be significantly more stringent than those for the current major cases.

- Although the "repeat violator" terminology does not appear in the proposed bylaw, the concept will be expanded by treating violation history as an aggravating factor for purposes of calculating a penalty. When warranted by the circumstances, the so-called "death penalty" in current Bylaw 19.5.2.1.2 will be available.
- Core penalties will include head coach suspensions, through show-cause orders, for Level I and II violations by the coach's staff where the coach has not promoted an atmosphere of compliance or monitored staff, and in certain cases resolved through Level III procedures. Head coaches must set the tone for compliance within sport programs and will be held accountable for oversight when violations occur.
- The new bylaw also is designed to provide greater accountability for the leadership of member institutions. Specifically, COI decisions in certain cases may identify head coaches, presidents or chancellors, directors of athletics, and/or any individual with direct responsibility and oversight of the athletics department, even where those individuals were not directly involved in the underlying violations. If appropriate, the COI may identify the chair or other members of the institution's governing body in the public decision as well.
- A new penalty structure will allow the COI to prescribe effective penalties, provide predictability through fixed penalty ranges, and better delineate between individual and institutional responsibility for infractions. It also will deter violations because institutions and involved individuals will know that any advantage gained from committing a violation will be outweighed by the corresponding penalty.

Expansion of the Committee of Infractions and Streamlining Review of Alleged Infractions

The following proposals are examples of many procedural recommendations designed by the working group to expedite resolution of alleged violations with fairness and transparency:

- Expand the COI to no more than 24 members, including the following individuals (if possible): current or former university presidents or other senior institutional administrators, current or former directors of athletics, former NCAA coaches, representatives from conference offices, university faculty (including faculty athletics representatives), athletics administrators with compliance experience and members of the general public with legal backgrounds. A larger COI will decrease individual workload, thereby encouraging service on the committee and expedite the timeline for resolution of cases.
- Level I and II cases will be heard by panels of five to seven COI members. The current appeal process would remain largely the same. Level III cases would be processed by the enforcement staff, with appeals presented to a panel of the COI. Conferences would be responsible for resolving Level IV cases.
- Increased use of video or telephone conference hearings, increased opportunities for written submission of cases and broader use of the summary disposition process will allow institutions and involved individuals more control over the means by which cases are heard and make resolution more cost effective.

Increased Focus on Shared Responsibility and Institutional Integrity

The working group believes the Association should endeavor to expand the focus on the principles of shared responsibility and institutional integrity. Expectations of institutions, conferences and the enforcement staff should be clearly communicated so all parties understand their responsibilities at the outset and during an investigation of a potential Level I or II violation. Institutions and involved individuals should be recognized in the enforcement process for exceeding those expectations. The working group believes there are critical issues surrounding the notion of institutional integrity that go beyond the scope of the group's charge yet need to be addressed. To that end, the working group recommends that a separate group be charged with defining institutional integrity. The working group will make specific recommendations regarding the focus of institutional integrity and pledges its ongoing support to that group.

Other Changes to Bylaws 19 and 32

In addition to recommendations regarding the COI procedures and the penalty/violation structures, the working group also took the opportunity to update and streamline bylaws governing the enforcement program. For example, the working group recommends legislative

changes designed to codify current practices. The working group also recommends creating a single bylaw addressing the entire enforcement program rather than retaining Bylaws 19 and 32 separately.

Conclusion

These recommendations and others are discussed in greater detail in the full report, and many are codified in the proposed Bylaw 19. The working group believes the changes, if adopted, would support the NCAA's enduring values, further the Division I Collegiate Model and satisfy the charge assigned by the NCAA leadership.



MEMORANDUM

July 19, 2012

P.O. Box 6222

Indianapolis, Indiana 46206

Telephone: 317/917-6222

Shipping/Overnight Address:

1802 Alonzo Watford Sr. Drive

Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

www.ncaa.org

TO: NCAA Division I Board of Directors.

FROM: President Jim Barker, chair of the
NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model – Rules.

SUBJECT: NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model – Rules.

The NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model - Rules continues its mission to help transform our regulatory culture into one in which the rules are consequential, enforceable and supportive of student success. During a July 13 teleconference, the working group reviewed the extensive feedback received to date from the membership. Based on the feedback, the working group considered concepts: (1) Supported by the membership; (2) Those supported, at least in part, but that require additional discussion; and (3) Concepts not supported by the membership (Attachments A and B). The working group agreed on concepts to be drafted as proposed amendments, identified others requiring additional discussion and reached consensus on those no longer considered by the working group.

The Rules Working Group and the NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model - Enforcement continue to work together to ensure that a more flexible, common-sense rule book that protects and enhances the student-athlete experience is paired with an enforcement structure that will punish the most egregious violators – simply put, "smarter rules, tougher enforcement." (Attachment C)

Once drafted in legislative form, the concepts identified by the Rules Working Group will be made available to the membership in mid-August. This will kick off the second major feedback loop which will continue through the September cabinet and October council meetings and culminate with initial legislative recommendations forwarded to the Board in October. It is anticipated that the Board will take initial action on the first set (Phase I) of legislative proposals during its January 2013 meeting following discussion at the NCAA Convention.

Phase II of the Rules Working Group's reform agenda will include a review of NCAA Bylaw 15 (Financial Aid), Bylaw 17 (Playing Seasons) and the remainder of Bylaw 12 (Amateurism). Groups of key thought leaders for Phase II are being formed to work with the Rules Working Group and initial plans of action have been shared with the relevant Division I cabinets.

JB:ld

cc: Selected NCAA Staff Members

 National Collegiate Athletic Association

An association of more than 1,200 members serving the student-athlete
Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer

Overview of New Approach to NCAA Regulations

Commitments. *[Fundamental priorities and values agreed on by the Division I membership that furthers the Division I Collegiate Model.]*

The commitments include the commitment to:

- Amateurism;
- Fair Competition;
- Integrity and Sportsmanship;
- Institutional Control and Compliance;
- Student-Athlete Well-Being;
- Sound Academic Standards;
- Responsible Recruiting Standards; and
- Diversity and Inclusion.

[Comments: There seems to be consensus that the commitments identified are appropriate for Division I. The practical application of the commitment to fair competition has drawn the most discussion. Some are concerned about the long-term stability of the division, as these individuals believe moving away from the concept of competitive equity will further increase the chasm in Division I.]

General Provisions and Operating Bylaws. *[These express the desired outcomes of regulations and specific legislation to guide conduct.]*

Phase I review includes the following approaches to the provisions and bylaws:

1. NCAA Bylaw 11 (Athletics Personnel).

Division I membership supports changes to the regulations related to personnel to place greater emphasis on the establishment and compliance with institutional policies to govern contractual agreements, to eliminate some unnecessary levels of regulation and to reduce some costs through use of technology in the scouting of opponents. Finally, the Division I membership supports the establishment of enhanced methods to improve the education of and accountability of coaches.

2. Bylaw 12 (Amateurism).

Division I membership reaffirms that the collegiate model does not support payment to student-athletes for participation in sport, and the need to maintain a demarcation between professional sports and intercollegiate athletics, with a focus on the educational experience of student-athletes. The membership reaffirms its commitment to prohibiting the use of agents by student-athletes and unauthorized benefits received by professional organizations. The membership continues to examine how to best provide educational information to help students make informed decisions while not compromising their amateur status. There also is consensus that a more flexible application of actual and necessary expenses received without compromising amateur status is appropriate.

3. Bylaw 13 (Recruiting).

The Division I membership supports a significant level of deregulation in the recruitment of prospective student-athletes. Areas of increased emphasis (with accompanying penalties) include use of impermissible third-parties in the recruitment of prospective student-athletes, and improper tampering with currently enrolled student-athletes. Areas of re-regulation focus on aspects determined not to be meaningful or enforceable. The membership supports earlier access to prospective student-athletes to provide better information to the prospective student-athletes, and to require schools to determine their best practices to represent their institutions in the most appropriate manner. There continues to be some interest in some sport groups for sport-specific legislation in some areas.

4. Bylaw 16 (Awards, Benefits and Expenses).

Division I membership recognizes that individuals working directly with students are in the best position to determine a student's individual needs to help them work, earn a degree and develop the skills necessary to find a career and contribute to society. NCAA rules should not limit opportunities for institutions to provide reasonable services to help students grow and develop. The Division I membership also recognizes that campuses need to be able to provide reasonable medical expenses and services for the health, safety and physical and mental well-being for their student-athletes. This includes meeting the nutritional needs of all student-athletes in a less restrictive environment.

5. Bylaws 14 and 23 (Academics and Eligibility).

Division I membership acknowledges the academic reform efforts over the last decade have had many positive outcomes for student-athletes and institutions. The establishment of the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program is holding teams accountable for its collective academic performance, and recognizing top-performing teams. Increased individual academic performance expectations for incoming, transfer and continuing students is designed to have better prepared and performing student-athletes. Enhancements will continue to be considered by the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance and the NCAA Division I Board of Directors to meet the stated goal of improved academic performance. Emphasis will be placed on the academic preparedness and performance of all prospective and enrolled student-athletes, including the integrity of the credentials used to determine eligibility.

There is an increased interest in establishing a single bylaw to address all academic issues (individual and team) important to the Division I membership, and to more clearly distinguish bylaws with specific academic outcomes rather than other competitive equity/fairness issues. The Division I membership has expressed some interest in enhancing the progress-toward-degree requirements for semester-by-semester progress for all sports.

Phase 2 includes continued examination of issues related to amateurism, playing and practice season/time demands and financial aid models.

**NCAA Working Group on the Collegiate Model - Rules
Executive Summary of Initial Set of Recommendations - Operating Bylaws**

Feedback and comments specific to the commitments and legislative concepts developed as a result of the work of the NCAA Working Group on the Collegiate Model - Rules continue to indicate overall support of the working group's charge to reduce the volume of unenforceable or inconsequential rules that do not support the NCAA's enduring values and to emphasize the most strategically important matters of the Association.

The Rules Working Group concepts that were initially developed and provided to the membership for feedback are summarized in this document based on the following categories and then distinguished by applicable bylaw:

- "Support" indicates initial Rules Working Group support for the concept based on membership feedback and the group's discussions. Therefore, the Rules Working Group intends to recommend the NCAA Division I Board of Directors take legislative action in January on the proposed concept.
- "Support/Needs Additional Discussion" indicates that the Rules Working Group and membership generally support the concept; however, additional work and development of that concept is necessary prior to forwarding to the Board. The Rules Working Group intends to further develop the concept (e.g., additional legislative details, collection and evaluation of data) by engaging the governance structure and other appropriate entities prior to moving the concept forward to the Board. No recommendation for legislative action by the Board is anticipated at this time.
- "Opposed" indicates that Rules Working Group and membership feedback is generally in opposition to the proposed concept and no legislative change will be recommended to the Board.

Concepts identified in the "support" category will be available in as proposed legislative amendments by mid-August. The proposals will be available on the Rules Working Group webpage through NCAA Connect (<http://www.ncaa.org/workinggroups>) or may be accessed through the Legislative Services Database for the Internet (*LSDBi*). The membership and governance structure is encouraged to continue its review of these concepts through the remainder of 2012. Feedback on the legislative proposals or any concept continuing to be discussed by the working group should be submitted to rulesworkinggroup@ncaa.org.

SUPPORT

NCAA Bylaw 11 (Athletics Personnel and Noncoaching Staff Limits)

- Eliminate regulations governing contractual agreements and compensation from sources outside of the institution.
- Eliminate regulations defining recruiting activities that must be performed by head or assistant coaches.
- Eliminate restrictions governing the number off-campus recruiters at one time.
- Prohibit all live in-person scouting or eliminate regulations governing scouting of opponents.*

Bylaw 12 (Amateurism)

- Establish a uniform definition of "actual and necessary" expenses.
- Calculate actual and necessary expenses over a calendar year rather than on an event-by-event basis in both individual and team sports for prospective student-athletes who have not enrolled full time at any collegiate institution.
- Establish a \$300 de minimus standard for individuals who receive above actual and necessary expenses from an otherwise permissible source.
- Eliminate regulations associated with competition-related expenses received from outside sources, excluding professional sports organizations, boosters and agents.
- Permit prospective student-athletes and student-athletes to receive up to actual and necessary competition-related expenses, pre and post-enrollment, in team and individual sports, from an amateur team or sponsor of the event.
- Expand opportunities for individuals to receive training expenses from governmental or provincial entities.
- Regulate student-athlete employment only by the requirement that compensation is for work actually performed and at a rate commensurate with the going rate in the locality.

Bylaw 13 (Recruiting)

- Establish that a prospective student-athlete who has signed a National Letter of Intent (NLI) (or for those institutions not subscribing to the NLI, a written offer of admission or financial aid) is no longer considered prospective student-athletes.
- Establish regulations that provide for earlier access with prospective student-athletes.
- Eliminate restrictions on the modes and number of recruiting communications.
- Eliminate legislation specific to publishing and providing admissions data, graduation rates, NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate data, banned drug list and initial-eligibility standards to prospective student-athletes.

- Eliminate all recruiting publicity regulations after a prospective student-athlete commits to an institution, while maintaining prohibition against media presence during recruiting visits.
- Modify the camps and clinics legislation related to employment of prospective and current student-athletes.
- Eliminate restrictions on employment and participation of football prospective student-athletes, who are high school seniors, in institutional camps and clinics.
- Deregulate printed recruiting materials by eliminating restrictions on printed recruiting materials entirely or prohibit all printed recruiting materials except general correspondence.*

Bylaw 14 (Eligibility)

- Eliminate or modify legislation that is directly supported by institutional academic policy (e.g., early admission program waiver, designation of degree, standard 45-day period for temporary certification for all sports, high school all-star games - effect on eligibility).

Bylaw 16 (Awards, Benefits and Expenses)

- Provide discretion to institutions, conferences and the NCAA to determine specifics related to the timing of institutional awards.
- Permit institutions or the awarding agency to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete associated with noninstitutional awards for athletics accomplishments.
- Permit the NCAA, institution or conference to provide student-athletes any reasonable and appropriate academic support, career counseling or personal development services.
- Permit the NCAA, institution or conference to provide student-athletes reasonable and appropriate medical expenses and services.
- Replace all existing references to a student-athlete's parents, legal guardians or spouse to a "relative or individual of a comparable relationship" throughout Bylaw 16.
- Permit an institution to provide expenses to any "relative or individual of comparable relationship" or student-athletes to be present in situations of any illness or injury.
- Permit unlimited complimentary admissions to an institutional awards banquet to any "relative or individual of comparable relationship."
- Permit reasonable meals and food for a student-athlete's "relative or individual of comparable relationship" in conjunction with educational meetings, celebratory events, and on an occasional basis for other reasons.
- Permit the NCAA, conference or institution to provide reasonable entertainment in conjunction with, as determined by the institution, practice or competition.
- Permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete's participation in practice, competition and when representing the institution in other events.

- Permit institutions to provide actual and necessary expenses related to national team tryouts, championship events, national team practice and competitions.
- Permit a student-athlete's relatives or individuals of a comparable relationship to receive nonmonetary benefits provided to the relatives or individuals of comparable relationships of all national team members in conjunction with practice and competition (e.g., eliminate only Olympic Games exception).

SUPPORT/NEEDS ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION

Bylaw 11 (Athletics Personnel)

- Eliminate the annual certification requirement for coaches.
- Coaching category and limitations:
 - Eliminate coaching categories.
 - Establish specific criteria for determining a countable coach.
 - Permit additional countable coaches in Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) football.
 - Maintain the current number of strength and conditioning coaches (5) in FBS football.
 - Exclude graduate assistant coaches as countable coaches provided certain criteria are met.
 - Eliminate the volunteer coaching category and permit one or more additional countable coach in sports that allow volunteer coaches.
- Noncoaching Staff Members:
 - Establish numbers of noncoaching staff members who may be involved in supporting football and basketball programs.
 - Place limits on the number of noncoaching staff members in the bench area in football, men's and women's basketball.
- Require noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities in football, men's and women's basketball to have no previous professional or collegiate coaching experience as a head or assistant coach.
- Prohibit employment of individuals associated with prospective student-athletes in noncoaching categories in certain sports.

Bylaw 12 (Amateurism)

- Permit prospective student-athletes to sign a contract or written agreement that provides for more than actual and necessary expenses, provided the prospective student-athlete does not actually receive above actual and necessary expenses.
- Agents and Advisors:
 - Permit prospective and current student-athletes with non-opt-in-drafts to use an agent for purpose of deciding whether to turn professional
 - Permit prospective student-athletes, including two-year college and non-NCAA college athletes, to use an agent for purpose of deciding whether to turn professional.
- Change the current preferential treatment legislation.
- Change elements of the promotional activities legislation.

Bylaw 13 (Recruiting)

- Eliminate restrictions related to general advertising or promotional materials to solicit the enrollment of prospective student-athletes, while maintaining prohibition against personalized promotions.
- Develop more flexible recruiting calendars.
- Change restrictions governing official visits, and address issues through written institutional policies.
- Expand on-campus evaluations to all sports.

Bylaw 14 (Eligibility)

- Create an academic success operating bylaw that focuses on student-athlete and team academic success.
- Consider the appropriate definition of academic fraud and the role the NCAA should play in investigating and resolving allegations of academic fraud.
- Consider modifying current four-year transfer requirements to include academic components.
- Consider modifying progress-toward-degree requirements.

Bylaw 16 (Awards, Benefits and Expenses)

- Permit an institution or conference to provide student-athletes food during specified time periods, as a benefit incidental to participation.
- Provide former student-athletes the same benefits available to current student-athletes.

NO SUPPORT

Bylaw 11 (Athletics Personnel)

- Prohibit employment of coaches who remain employed in coaching prospective student-athletes participating on nonscholastic teams.
- Prohibit employment of individuals associated with prospective student-athletes in noncoaching categories in all sports.

Bylaw 12 (Amateurism)

- Permit a booster who lives in the locale of the prospective or enrolled student-athlete to provide payment based on performance.

Bylaw 13 (Recruiting)

- Eliminate regulations related to involvement of an institution and staff members in high school all-star games.

Bylaw 16 (Awards, Benefits and Expenses)

- Eliminate housing legislation related to athletics dormitories and athletics blocks.



The Rules and Enforcement Working Groups

In pursuit of a more flexible, common-sense rule book paired with an enforcement structure that will punish the most egregious violators – simply put, an environment in which student-athletes can learn and excel.



PUTTING IT INTO ACTION

The Shared Responsibility Model

The shared responsibility model will involve trust, but will lead to a more common-sense approach to rules and rule breakers. Each person working in Division I athletics will be expected to understand his or her role in meeting and upholding the standards of fair competition.





Why focus on fairness of competition instead of competitive equity?

- The current justification for rules as creating a level playing field has produced too many rules that are not meaningful, enforceable or contributory to student-athlete success.
- This shift acknowledges that natural advantages exist between campuses that cannot – and should not – be regulated.
- We are seeking to better define what fairness means in terms of eligible student-athletes, scholarships, the length of the playing and recruiting seasons, and the number of coaches.
- Ultimately, do we really believe that retaining the current rules will impede the competitive shift?

Won't the changes being suggested result in increased campus costs?

- Allowing more local decision-making is consistent with how much of higher education works.
- The changes being contemplated by the working groups are minimal when compared with other existing athletics expenditures (e.g., scholarships, salaries, facilities).
- NCAA rules should not dictate expenditures when fairness between schools and teams is not compromised.
- Yes, presidents, athletics directors and coaches will have to respond to budgetary requests seeking to match what another school is doing. The answer rests with institutional priorities in their budgets.

Why should head coaches be singled out for more responsibility for the actions of others?

- Head coaches are uniquely positioned to establish explicit expectations for those working for them.
- The current environment of plausible deniability for the actions of individuals working directly for a head coach is unrealistic and counterproductive to fair play.
- The cost-benefit analyses made in some programs to willfully violate rules negatively impact the vast majority of programs that play fair. Changing this culture by placing more responsibility on head coaches will not negatively impact most coaches as they – and their assistants – already act with integrity.
- Of course, presidents and athletics directors have a level of accountability that will continue to be emphasized and strengthened in a new regulatory culture.

Why rely more on campus-level policies and procedures than national legislation?

- We recognize the challenge that some schools will be pressured to adopt policies and procedures to not place their program at a competitive disadvantage.
- NCAA rules would require that policies be in place in specified areas, that they address key components or values and that they will be followed. NCAA violations would occur if policies are not developed nor followed.

What is the impact of “deregulating” the recruiting environment?

- The NCAA would no longer attempt to regulate recruiting matters that have been deemed inconsequential – particularly those that have proven to be monitoring challenges.
- Additional flexibility will allow schools more autonomy in using their time and resources while away from campus and allow compliance efforts to focus on matters that threaten the integrity of college sport.
- The suggested model requires schools and coaches to make mature judgments on the frequency of communication with prospects, and prospects to make known their preferences in terms of the modes and frequency of communication.
- Schools would be encouraged (or required) to establish reasonable institutional practices to govern behaviors in the recruiting process not specified by national rules.

**NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model - Rules
Comprehensive Timeline
(Last Updated: July 27, 2012[#])**

Significant Dates and Activities*	Key Items	Actions by Membership
August 2011 Presidential Retreat	Division I presidents created and charged working groups to affect change in the areas of student-athlete well-being, academics, NCAA bylaws, enforcement/penalties and fiscal sustainability.	
October 11, 2011 Rules Working Group (RWG) Meeting		
December 13, 2011 RWG Meeting		
2012 NCAA Convention <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Legislative Council Meeting Leadership Council Meeting Board of Directors Meeting Division I Business Session Forum 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RWG progress report. Division I Forum: Overview of Presidential Reform initiatives, including RWG. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participated in Division I Forum. Reviewed Leadership Council, Legislative Council and Board of Directors reports.
January 30, 2012 RWG Teleconference		
February 10, 2012 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Launch of Presidential Working Group web pages NCAA Connect Article 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presidential Reform Working Group's Web Site Launched on NCAA.org. Summary of January 30 RWG teleconference available on NCAA.org. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewed information on RWG web page. Read RWG NCAA Connect summary article.
February 20-21, 2012 RWG Meeting	Reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication strategies. Draft Commitments and concepts for Bylaw 11. 	
February 25, 2012 NCAA Connect Article	Summary of February 20-21 RWG meeting available. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outlined outreach plan and different opportunities for feedback. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewed information on RWG web page. Read RWG meeting NCAA Connect summary article.
March 5, 2012 RWG Teleconference		
March 12, 2012 Materials made available to membership (Commitments, Bylaw 11 packet) through RWG web page.		Reviewed information on RWG web page and started developing initial positions.
March 19 through April 20, 2012	Outreach with membership to collect feedback on Commitments and concepts. Specific items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Commitments. Bylaw 11 (athletics personnel). Bylaw 13 (recruiting). Bylaw 16 (awards, benefits and expenses). 	Provided feedback on Commitments and draft concepts.
March 22, 2012 NCAA Connect Article	Update on RWG: "Barker Goal is to connect all rules to NCAA values."	Read summary article.

Significant Dates and Activities*	Key Items	Actions by Membership
April 12, 2012 Leadership Council Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update on the progress of the RWG, and the communication and outreach plans to keep the membership informed and involved in the process. 	Reviewed Leadership Council report and NCAA Connect summary article.
April 12, 2012 RWG Teleconference	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Received summary of feedback on Commitments, Bylaws 11 (athletics personnel), 13 (recruiting) and 16 (awards, benefits and expenses). Reviewed initial draft of concepts for Bylaws 12 (amateurism) and 14 (eligibility). Reviewed initial action plans for Bylaws 15 (financial aid) and 17 (playing and practice seasons). 	
April 16-17, 2012 Legislative Council Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update on progress of RWG. Discussed concepts identified by RWG. 	Reviewed Legislative Council report and NCAA Connect summary article.
April 26, 2012 Board of Directors Meeting	RWG progress report; no legislative action.	Reviewed Board of Directors report and NCAA Connect summary article.
May 3, 2012 RWG Teleconference	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key insights on Commitments, Bylaws 11 (athletics personnel), 13 (recruiting) and 16 (awards, benefits and expenses): Finalized concepts to be sent to membership for feedback on noncoaching staff members, Bylaws 12 (amateurism) and 14 (eligibility). 	
May 7, 2012 NCAA Connect Article	Update on RWG: "Rules group seeks additional feedback"	Reviewed RWG NCAA Connect summary article.
May 7 Through June 29, 2012 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conference Meetings Governance Meetings NCAA Regional Rules Seminars Coaches Associations and other Affiliate Groups 	<p>Additional outreach on Commitments and concepts in NCAA Bylaw 11 (athletics personnel), Bylaw 13 (recruiting) and Bylaw 16 (awards, benefits and expenses) that require additional discussion. Outreach with membership to collect feedback on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bylaw 12 (amateurism). Bylaw 14 (eligibility). 	Reviewed concepts and submitted feedback to RWG.
July 13, 2012 RWG Teleconference	<p>Anticipated actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agreement on Commitments and first set of legislative concepts based on membership feedback. Review and plan for concepts that feedback has indicated level of support but additional development necessary. Finalize plans for Bylaw 15 (financial aid) and Bylaw 17 (playing and practice seasons) reviews based on cabinet input. 	
Week of July 23, 2012 NCAA Connect Article	Summary of July 13 RWG teleconference available on NCAA.org.	Review RWG summary article.
August 2, 2012 Board of Directors Meeting	RWG progress report; no legislative action anticipated.	Review Board of Directors report and NCAA Connect summary article.

Significant Dates and Activities*	Key Items	Actions by Membership
By August 15, 2012 Publication of Proposed Legislation available	RWG Commitments and first set of legislative recommendations available through online publication and proposal format in Publication of Proposed Legislation (POPL).	
August 15 through December 31, 2012		Review first set of legislative proposals, identify modifications to enhance proposals and develop further consensus.
September 2012 Cabinet Meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review Commitments and first set of legislative proposals. Discussion of legislative concepts that need further development. Identification of additional concepts for consideration. 	Review cabinet reports and NCAA Connect summary articles.
September 25-26, 2012 RWG Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feedback report on Commitments and first set of legislative recommendations presented to membership and governance structure. Continued development on additional legislative concepts and other discussion items relating to reform of regulatory culture. 	
Week of October 1, 2012 NCAA Connect Article	Summary of September 25-26 RWG meeting available.	Review RWG summary article.
October 2012 Leadership Council Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update on progress of RWG. Update and review of Commitments and first set of legislative concepts identified by RWG. Discuss additional legislative concepts and regulatory issues. 	Review Leadership Council report and NCAA Connect summary article.
October 15-16, 2012 Legislative Council Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update on progress of RWG. Update and review of Commitments and first set of legislative proposals identified by RWG. Discuss additional legislative concepts and regulatory issues. 	Review Legislative Council report and NCAA Connect summary article.
October 30, 2012 Board of Directors Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RWG report to Board of Directors. Request Board of Director's endorsement of Commitments and first set of legislative recommendations; no final legislative action anticipated. 	Review Board of Directors report and NCAA Connect summary article.
November 15, 2012 Official Notice available	RWG recommended Commitments and first set of legislative recommendations, including any modifications made since August 15, available through online publication and proposal format in Official Notice.	
December 17-18, 2012 RWG Meeting		
Week of December 24, 2012 NCAA Connect Article	Summary of December 17-18 RWG meeting available.	Review RWG NCAA Connect summary article.

Significant Dates and Activities*	Key Items	Actions by Membership
2013 NCAA Convention <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Legislative Council Meeting Leadership Council Meeting Board of Directors Meeting Division I Business Session Forum 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Membership forum to discuss Presidential reform efforts. Update on progress of RWG. Discussed concepts identified by RWG. Board of Directors legislative action anticipated on RWG first set of recommendations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participate in forum. Review Leadership Council, Legislative Council and Board of Directors reports and NCAA Connect summary articles. Review Board of Directors report outlining adopted legislative proposals.
Mid-January through August 1, 2013	Outreach, education and development of implementation strategies on legislative proposals adopted by the Board of Directors.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Educate coaches and other institutional personnel. Modify institutional policies and procedures and compliance systems appropriately.
February 6-7, 2013 RWG Meeting		
Week of February 11, 2013 NCAA Connect Article	Summary of February 6-7 RWG meeting available.	Review RWG summary article.
Late February through June, 2013 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conference Meetings Governance Meetings NCAA Regional Rules Seminars Coaches Associations and other Affiliate Groups 	Outreach with membership to collect feedback on additional legislative concepts in previous bylaws and including Bylaws 15 (Financial Aid) and 17 (Playing and Practice Seasons).	Review concepts and submit feedback to RWG.
April 2013 Leadership Council Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update on progress of RWG. Discussed concepts identified by RWG. 	Review Leadership Council report and NCAA Connect summary article.
April 15-16, 2013 Legislative Council Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update on progress of RWG. Discussed concepts identified by RWG. 	Review Legislative Council report and NCAA Connect summary article.
April 25, 2013 Board of Directors Meeting	RWG progress report on development of second set of recommendations; no legislative action anticipated.	Review Board of Directors report and NCAA Connect summary article.
August 1, 2013 First set of legislative proposals effective		
August 8, 2013 Board of Directors Meeting	RWG progress report on development of second set of recommendations; no legislative action anticipated.	Review Board of Directors report and NCAA Connect summary article.
By August 15, 2013 Publication of Proposed Legislation available	RWG second set of legislative recommendations available through online publication and proposal format in Publication of Proposed Legislation (POPL).	
August 15 through December 31, 2013		Review second set of legislative proposals, identify modifications to enhance proposals and develop further consensus.

Significant Dates and Activities*	Key Items	Actions by Membership
September 2013 Cabinet Meetings	Review second set of legislative proposals.	
October 2013 Leadership Council Meeting	RWG progress report.	Review Leadership Council report and NCAA Connect summary article.
October 14-15, 2013 Legislative Council Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RWG progress report. • Review second set of legislative proposals. 	Review Legislative Council report and NCAA Connect summary article.
October 31, 2013 Board of Directors Meeting	RWG report to Board of Directors. Request Board of Director's endorsement of second set of legislative recommendations. No legislative action anticipated.	Review Board of Directors report and NCAA Connect summary article.
November 15, 2013 Official Notice available	RWG second set of legislative recommendations, including any modifications made since August 15, available through online publication and proposal format in Official Notice.	
2014 NCAA Convention <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Legislative Council Meeting • Leadership Council Meeting • Board of Directors Meeting • Division I Business Session Forum 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Membership forum to discuss Presidential reform efforts. • Update on progress of RWG. • Discussed concepts identified by RWG. • Board of Directors legislative action anticipated on RWG second set of recommendations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participate in forum. • Review Leadership Council, Legislative Council and Board of Directors reports and NCAA Connect summary articles. • Review Board of Directors report outlining adopted legislative proposals.
Mid-January through August 1, 2014	Outreach, education and development of implementation strategies on legislative proposals adopted by the Board of Directors.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Educate coaches and other institutional personnel. • Modify institutional policies and procedures and compliance systems appropriately.
August 1, 2014 Second set of legislative proposals effective		

[#]The Board of Directors management of the Presidential Reform initiative may impact the information provided in this document. Significant dates and activities, key items and suggested actions by the membership will be updated based on activities of the RWG and direction provided by the Board of Directors.

^{*}Throughout the timeline, the Board of Directors, Leadership Council, Legislative Council and Cabinets are noted Division I governance bodies. However, continual engagement with regard to RWG outreach efforts and feedback on concepts being considered has taken place with other association-wide and Division I committees (e.g., Committee on Women's Athletics, Committee on Infractions, Student-Athlete Advisory Committee), conferences and affiliate organizations (e.g., IA AD's, NAAC, CCACA, FARA).

Rules Working Group Legislative Proposals – August 15, 2012

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
Constitution 2 Commitments to the Collegiate Model		
<u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 2-1</u> -- PRINCIPLES FOR CONDUCT OF INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS -- COMMITMENTS TO THE COLLEGIATE MODEL Effective Date: August 1, 2013	To establish the commitments to the collegiate model applicable to Division I institutions, as specified.	As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA's enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. As part of the Working Group's charge, it became evident that certain provisions of the NCAA Constitution should be amended to more accurately reflect their application to a Division I Collegiate Model. Currently, Constitution 2 contains 16 different principles to which members of all three divisions of the Association are committed. The principles are designed to articulate priorities at the highest level and to set the overall direction for the Association. The recommended commitments are designed to more accurately capture the fundamental principles of the Division I collegiate model, update language, and streamline and simplify fundamental provisions.
Athletics Personnel		
<u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 11-1</u> -- ATHLETICS PERSONNEL -- CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS, COMPENSATION AND REMUNERATION Effective Date: August 1, 2013	To deregulate national legislation governing contractual agreements and compensation from sources outside the institution; further, to specify that an institution shall establish and adhere to policies and procedures related to the reporting by all full-time or part-time employees of athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution.	The regulations governing contractual agreements between an institution and its athletics department staff members, and those governing the receipt of compensation from sources outside the institution do not need to be administered at the national level. It should be each institution's responsibility to address such matters in accordance with its own policies and procedures. Failure to establish and adhere to such policies and procedures would constitute a violation and could result in more stringent institutional and individual penalties under the proposed new enforcement penalty structure.

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 11-2 --</u> ATHLETICS PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- ELIMINATION OF RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To eliminate legislation related to recruiting coordination functions that must be performed by head or assistant coaches.</p>	<p>The regulations defining recruiting coordination functions that must be performed only by a head or assistant coach are not of national significance and, in many instances, present enforcement challenges. Each institution should be responsible for establishing its own policies and procedures related to the recruitment of prospective student-athletes, including the roles and responsibilities of all athletics department staff members. The current rules requiring off-campus recruitment to be performed only by countable coaches would remain applicable.</p>
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 11-3-A</u> ATHLETICS PERSONNEL -- DEREGULATION OF SCOUTING OF OPPONENTS -- COUNTABLE COACHES ONLY</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To deregulate the restrictions on scouting opponents; further, to specify that scouting of opponents is limited to the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations on coaches.</p>	<p>The regulations governing scouting of opponents are not of national significance. Widespread availability of video would suggest minimal competitive advantage would be gained from in-person scouting and would be offset by a coach's diversion from other coaching responsibilities.</p>
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 11-3-B</u> ATHLETICS PERSONNEL -- LIMITATION ON SCOUTING OF OPPONENTS -- IN-PERSON SCOUTING PROHIBITED</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To prohibit in-person scouting except for scouting future opponents participating in the same tournament at the same site or the same double header event at the same site.</p>	<p>In the interest of simplicity and consistency, it is appropriate for one rule regarding scouting to apply to all sports. In most cases, video of future opponents is readily available either through institutional exchange, subscription to a recording/dubbing service or internet sites accessible to the general public.</p>

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 11-4</u> -- ATHLETICS PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- ELIMINATION OF LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To eliminate the limitations on the number of coaches who may recruit off campus at any one time.</p>	<p>The limits on the number of coaches who may recruit off-campus at any one time were initially adopted as cost containment measures, but there is no data, anecdotally or otherwise, that would suggest the rules have furthered that objective. Each institution should be responsible for establishing its own policies and procedures related to the off-campus recruitment of prospective student-athletes by authorized countable coaching staff members.</p>
Amateurism		
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 12-1</u> -- AMATEURISM -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- ACTUAL AND NECESSARY EXPENSES</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To establish a uniform definition of actual and necessary competition-related expenses.</p>	<p>Current amateurism legislation regarding what constitutes an actual and necessary competition-related expense is inconsistent and results in confusion by the membership, as well as perceptions of unfairness to prospective and enrolled student-athletes. This change will establish a consistent definition, thus eliminating unnecessary regulations and interpretations.</p>
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 12-2</u> -- AMATEURISM -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- CALCULATION OF ACTUAL AND NECESSARY EXPENSES</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To specify that the calculation of a prospective student-athlete's actual and necessary expenses incurred prior to initial full-time collegiate enrollment shall be based on expenses incurred during each calendar year (rather than on an event-by-event basis).</p>	<p>Currently, prior to initial full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual whose annual expenses significantly exceed his or her annual prize money may still incur a violation if the prize money exceeded expenses in a single event. Calculation of expenses versus prize money over a calendar year is a more equitable, less bureaucratic approach that does not compromise the values of the collegiate model.</p>

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 12-3</u> -- AMATEURISM -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- NOMINAL BENEFIT</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To specify that prior to initial full-time enrollment at an NCAA institution, if an individual receives expenses from an otherwise permissible source (e.g., event sponsor, club team) that exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses by \$300 or less, the eligibility of the individual shall not be affected; further, to specify that if a student-athlete engages in permissible outside competition and receives expenses from an otherwise permissible source (e.g., event sponsor, club team) that exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses by \$300 or less, the eligibility of the student-athlete shall not be affected and the institution is not required to submit a self-report of the infraction.</p>	<p>This change is consistent with the membership's efforts to streamline the student-athlete reinstatement process. Currently, an infraction in which a prospective student-athlete receives more than actual and necessary expenses from an otherwise permissible source typically results in immediate reinstatement of eligibility once he or she provides a donation in the amount of the benefit to a charity of his or her choice. In 2011-12, there were a total of 110 amateurism certification process cases in which the student-athlete reinstatement penalty was repayment only. For 43 of the 110 cases, the total penalty involved was \$300 or less. Any expenses from agents, professional teams (unless consistent with the professional team exception) or boosters generally remain impermissible regardless of the amount.</p>
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 12-4</u> -- AMATEURISM -- EXPENSES, AWARDS AND BENEFITS -- COMPETITION-RELATED EXPENSES FROM OUTSIDE SPONSOR</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To specify that an individual may receive actual and necessary competition-related expenses from an outside sponsor other than from an agent or a professional sports organization; further, to specify that an individual may receive actual and necessary competition-related expenses from a representative of an institution's athletics interests only if the representative lives in the same locale in which the individual resides and the expenses are provided as part of a local community fundraiser.</p>	<p>Currently, there are several bylaws addressing the provision of competition-related expenses to prospective and enrolled student-athletes that are overly complicated and bureaucratic. This proposal provides the opportunity for prospective and enrolled student-athletes to seek out additional permissible financial resources to support their athletics aspirations without compromising the fundamental purposes of the collegiate model.</p>

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
<u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 12-5</u> -- AMATEURISM -- PAYMENT BASED ON PERFORMANCE -- FROM AMATEUR TEAM OR EVENT SPONSOR -- SPORTS OTHER THAN TENNIS Effective Date: August 1, 2013	In sports other than tennis, to specify that an individual may receive up to actual and necessary competition-related expenses in both team and individual sports from an amateur team or a sponsor of event.	Currently, there are several bylaws addressing the provision of payment based on performance for prospective and enrolled student-athletes that are overly complicated and bureaucratic. This change would streamline the legislation for individuals prior to and following enrollment and for both individual and team sports without compromising the fundamental purpose of the collegiate model.
<u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 12-6</u> -- AMATEURISM -- GENERAL REGULATIONS -- EXCEPTION FOR TRAINING EXPENSES -- GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES Effective Date: August 1, 2013	To specify that an individual may receive actual and necessary training expenses to cover developmental training, coaching, facility usage, equipment, apparel, supplies, comprehensive health insurance, travel, room and board that are provided by a governmental entity.	Several government programs currently available to athletes do not meet the criteria of the training expenses legislation. This change would reduce bureaucracy and confusion regarding the application of the applicable amateurism legislation and enhance student-athlete well-being by providing increased access to funding to further athletics development.

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 12-7</u> -- AMATEURISM – EMPLOYMENT</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To deregulate the Bylaw 12 student-athlete employment legislation, as specified.</p>	<p>The requirements that compensation is for work actually performed and at a rate commensurate with the going rate in the locality for similar services are adequate and appropriate safeguards for governing employment by student-athletes. Although a student-athlete may be employed as a result of his or her value to an employer based on athletics reputation, it remains impermissible for the student-athlete to be compensated simply for the value he or she may have to the employer as a result of such reputation. In addition, it remains impermissible for a student-athlete to receive compensation or awards (other than actual and necessary expenses) in conjunction with speaking engagements or attendance at events such as luncheon meetings of booster clubs or civic organizations.</p>
Recruiting		
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-1</u> -- RECRUITING -- PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETE -- STUDENT-ATHLETE ON SIGNING NATIONAL LETTER OF INTENT</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To specify that an individual is no longer considered a prospective student-athlete at the once he or she signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) (or, for an institution that does not use the NLI in a particular sport, the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid).</p>	<p>This proposed change is designed to further student-athlete success and well-being by allowing an individual who has demonstrated a significant commitment to attend a particular institution to be treated similarly to the enrolled student-athletes attending that institution. In addition, this proposal would promote a greater development of the student-athlete/coach relationship by permitting increased access between the coach and an incoming signee.</p>

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-2 --</u> RECRUITING -- INITIAL DATE FOR COMMUNICATION AND IN-PERSON CONTACT</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To specify that communication and in-person contact may begin with a prospective student-athlete on or after June 15 at the completion of his or her sophomore year in high school or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.</p>	<p>The establishment of regulations that provide for earlier access with prospective student-athletes is designed to support student-athlete success and well-being by allowing both the prospective student-athlete (and his or her family) and the institution greater opportunities to make more informed, and thus more sound, recruiting decisions.</p>
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-3 --</u> RECRUITING -- DEREGULATION OF MODES AND NUMERICAL LIMITATIONS ON COMMUNICATION</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To eliminate restrictions governing modes and numerical limitations on recruiting communication.</p>	<p>The current regulations governing modes and restrictions on recruiting communication are cumbersome and present numerous enforcement challenges. Institutions and/or conferences, at their discretion, should be responsible for establishing policies and procedures governing the recruitment of prospective student-athletes by athletics department staff members.</p>
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-4 --</u> RECRUITING -- ELIMINATION OF PUBLICATION OF ADMISSIONS AND GRADUATION DATA, BANNED DRUG LIST AND INITIAL-ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To eliminate the legislation related to publishing/providing admissions, graduation rates and NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate data, banned drug list and initial-eligibility standards to prospective student-athletes.</p>	<p>The current legislation is not consequential as it simply codifies policies that will continue to be executed by the Eligibility Center, regardless of their inclusion in the NCAA Manual.</p>

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-5-A</u> -- RECRUITING -- ELIMINATION OF PRINTED RECRUITING MATERIALS AND VIDEO/AUDIO LEGISLATION</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To eliminate the legislation governing printed recruiting material and video/audio materials that may be provided to prospective student-athletes.</p>	<p>The current legislation governing recruiting materials presents enforcement challenges. Arguably, a prospective student-athlete's decision to attend a particular institution is not significantly influenced by the volume of recruiting material received. In addition, the current legislation does not further the working group's proposed principle of fair competition, which is designed to reduce rules that have been adopted to regulate an institution's potential financial advantages.</p>
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-5-B</u> -- RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE ONLY</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To prohibit sending or providing prospective student-athletes any recruiting materials other than general correspondence, as specified.</p>	<p>The current legislation governing recruiting materials presents enforcement challenges. Arguably, a prospective student-athlete's decision to attend a particular institution is not significantly influenced by the volume of recruiting material received. In addition, the current legislation does not further the working group's proposed principle of fair competition, which is designed to reduce rules that have been adopted to regulate an institution's potential financial advantages. It would remain permissible for an institution to post materials on its website to be accessed by prospective student-athletes.</p>
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-6</u> -- RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ADVERTISEMENTS AND PROMOTIONS -- PERSONALIZED PROMOTIONS PROHIBITED</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To eliminate restrictions related to general advertising or promotional materials designed to solicit enrollment of prospective student-athletes, while maintaining prohibitions against personalized promotions.</p>	<p>The current restrictions related to general (as opposed to personalized) advertisements/promotions present enforcement challenges. In addition, the current legislation does not further the working group's proposed principle of fair competition, which is designed to reduce rules that have been adopted to regulate an institution's potential financial advantages.</p>

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
<u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-7</u> -- RECRUITING -- PUBLICITY -- NO RESTRICTIONS AFTER COMMITMENT Effective Date: August 1, 2013	To eliminate the restrictions on publicity related to a prospective student-athlete after he or she has signed a National Letter of Intent or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or after the institution has received his or her financial deposit in response to its offer of admission, as specified.	Any regulations on publicity after a student-athlete has committed to an institution are inconsequential and any currently prohibited activities should be left to the discretion of the institution and/or conference.
<u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-8</u> -- RECRUITING -- CAMPS AND CLINICS -- PROSPECTIVE AND ENROLLED STUDENT- ATHLETE EMPLOYMENT Effective Date: August 1, 2013	To deregulate the camps and clinics employment legislation related to prospective and enrolled student-athletes, as specified; further; in football, to eliminate the participation prohibition on senior prospective student-athletes.	Student-athlete employment should be regulated only by the requirement that compensation is for work actually performed and at a rate commensurate with the going rate in the locality for similar services. Consistent with the general prohibition on the receipt of compensation by a student-athlete in conjunction with speaking engagements or attendance at events such as luncheon meetings of booster clubs or civic organizations, it remains impermissible for a student-athlete to receive compensation if he or she only lectures or demonstrates at a camp or clinic. In addition, prospective student-athletes who have demonstrated a commitment to attend an institution should be allowed to be employed in that institution's camps and clinics since no recruiting advantage will be gained through such employment.
Eligibility		
<u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 14-1</u> -- ELIGIBILITY -- ACADEMIC POLICIES AND INCONSEQUENTIAL LEGISLATION Effective Date: August 1, 2013	To eliminate or modify specified Bylaw 14 regulations, including legislation that is directly supported by institutional academic policy.	Legislation that is inconsequential or directly addressed by institutional academic policy should no longer be included in the Manual.

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
Awards, Benefits and Expenses		
<u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 16-1</u> -- AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- AWARDS -- AFTER INITIAL FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT AT THE CERTIFYING INSTITUTION Effective Date: August 1, 2013	To specify that an institution, conference or the NCAA may provide an award to a student-athlete anytime after his or her initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution.	Deregulating the legislation related to the timing of a student-athlete's receipt of an award (during the academic year or during the summer) will allow greater discretion to institutions, conferences and the NCAA to determine when to provide awards.
<u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 16-2</u> -- AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES TO RECEIVE NONINSTITUTIONAL AWARDS Effective Date: August 1, 2013	To specify that a conference, an institution, the U.S. Olympic Committee, a national governing body or the awarding agency may provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to receive a noninstitutional award or recognition for athletics or academic accomplishments; further, to specify that actual and necessary expenses may be provided for the student-athlete's parents (or legal guardians), spouse or other relatives to attend the recognition event or awards presentation.	This proposal will provide greater flexibility for applicable entities to provide student-athletes with expenses to receive any noninstitutional award or recognition as a result of their accomplishments. Deregulating the legislation related to providing expenses for a student-athlete to receive a noninstitutional awards (e.g., hometown award, established regional, national, or international award) will create consistency within the legislation and will enhance the student-athlete experience.
<u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 16-3</u> -- AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- ACADEMIC AND OTHER SUPPORT SERVICES Effective Date: August 1, 2013	To specify that an institution, conference or the NCAA may finance other academic support, career counseling or personal development services that are reasonable for the success of student-athletes.	This proposal will provide institutions and conferences with the flexibility to provide student-athletes with reasonable support services and will enhance the student-athlete experience. Given the recent emphasis on academics and the various support services available, deregulating this area will allow institutions and conferences to further support the academic and personal success of student-athletes.

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 16-4</u> -- AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- MEDICAL AND RELATED EXPENSES AND SERVICES</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To specify that an institution, conference or the NCAA may provide medical and related expenses and services to a student-athlete.</p>	<p>This proposal provides institutions, conferences and the NCAA with the flexibility to provide student-athletes with medical and related expenses and services. Such flexibility will protect and enhance the health, safety, and mental and physical well-being of student-athletes.</p>
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 16-5</u> -- AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- PERMISSIBLE BENEFITS -- STUDENT- ATHLETE'S RELATIVES OR INDIVIDUALS OF A COMPARABLE RELATIONSHIP</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To revise all references to a student-athlete's "spouse," "parents," "family member" or "children" in Bylaw 16 to "relative or individual of a comparable relationship"; further, to permit a student-athlete's relative or individual of comparable relationship to receive benefits, as specified.</p>	<p>This proposal replaces the current and varying legislative references to various relatives with a broader definition for individuals who would be permitted to receive identified benefits in conjunction with the student-athlete experience. This concept includes nontraditional families, yet maintains a regulatory level that encourages a shared responsibility between student-athletes and institutions. An institution is in the best position to determine who in a student-athlete's life is a relative or individual of a comparable relationship. Because this proposal maintains a level of legislative definition, it will continue to minimize undue pressures from third parties. Further, the modifications to the benefits provided enhances the student-athlete experience by providing greater opportunities for members of a student-athlete's family to share in the student-athlete's success and to be present during injury or illness.</p>

Proposal Number, Title, Effective Date	Intent	Rationale
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 16-6</u> -- AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- TEAM ENTERTAINMENT -- IN CONJUNCTION WITH PRACTICE OR COMPETITION</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To specify that an institution, conference or the NCAA may provide reasonable entertainment to student-athletes in conjunction with practice or competition.</p>	<p>This proposal allows institutions and conferences to exercise their discretion with regard to entertainment that may be provided to student-athletes in conjunction with practice or competition. Further, this proposal will enhance the student-athlete experience.</p>
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 16-7</u> -- AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION AND NON-COMPETITIVE EVENTS</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To specify that an institution may provide actual and necessary expenses to a student-athlete to represent the institution in practice and competition (including expenses for activities/travel that are incidental to practice or competition) and for a student-athlete to represent the institution in non-competitive events (e.g., goodwill tours, media appearances, student-athlete advisory committee meetings).</p>	<p>The current legislation may be simplified by deregulating many prescriptive bylaws. Specifically, a general rule that permits an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to represent the institution and in practice and competition provides enough framework for an institution to determine how to apply the legislation. Simplifying the legislation surrounding the provision of expenses for travel allows an institution to use discretion to provide expenses, including incidental expenses, in accordance with institutional policies, whether for competition or for non-competitive events.</p>
<p><u>RWG PROPOSAL NO. 16-8</u> -- AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS, PRACTICE AND COMPETITION</p> <p>Effective Date: August 1, 2013</p>	<p>To specify that a student-athlete may receive actual and necessary expenses and reasonable benefits associated with national team practice and competition; further, to specify that an institution may provide actual and necessary expenses for an unlimited number of national team tryouts and championship events.</p>	<p>This proposal will simplify the current legislation by establishing a general rule that allows actual and necessary expenses and reasonable benefits associated with national team practice and competition. Further, an institution may use discretion to provide expenses for a student-athlete's participation in an unlimited number of national team tryouts. Such permissive regulations will enhance student-athlete success and well-being and eliminate the need for prescriptive legislation.</p>

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 2-1 -- PRINCIPLES FOR CONDUCT OF INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS -- COMMITMENTS TO THE COLLEGIATE MODEL

Intent: To establish the commitments to the collegiate model applicable to Division I institutions, as specified.

Constitution: Amend 2, as follows:

2 Principles for Conduct of Intercollegiate Athletics

[2.01 through 2.16 unchanged.]

2.17 Commitments of the Collegiate Model -- Division I.

2.17.1 The Commitment to Value-Based Legislation.

Bylaws proposed and enacted by the Association's members governing the conduct of intercollegiate athletics shall be designed to advance the Collegiate Model, foster competition in amateur athletics and promote the Association's enduring values set forth in this Constitution. In some instances, a careful balancing of these values may be necessary to help achieve the purposes of the Association.

2.17.2 The Commitment to Amateurism.

Member institutions shall conduct their athletics programs for students who participate as an avocation and as part of their educational experience, thus maintaining a line of demarcation between student-athletes who choose to participate in the Collegiate Model and athletes competing in the professional model.

2.17.3 The Commitment to Fair Competition.

Bylaws of the Association shall be designed to promote the opportunity for institutions and eligible student-athletes to engage in fair competition. The concept of fair competition requires that all member institutions compete within the framework of the Collegiate Model of athletics in which athletics competition is an integral part of the student-athlete's pursuit of higher education. Areas to be regulated include, but are not limited to, personnel, eligibility, amateurism, recruiting, financial aid, the length of playing and practice seasons and the number of institutional competitions per sport. Fair competition does not support bylaws designed to prevent or reduce the advantages that arise from a student-athlete's level of talent or an institution's financial or other natural advantages.

2.17.4 The Commitment to Integrity and Sportsmanship.

It is the responsibility of each member institution to conduct its athletics programs and manage its representatives and student-athletes in a manner that promotes the ideals of higher education and the integrity of intercollegiate athletics. Member institutions are committed to encouraging behavior that advances the interests of the Association, its membership and the Collegiate Model of athletics. All individuals associated with intercollegiate athletics programs and events should adhere to such fundamental values as

respect, fairness, civility, honesty, responsibility, academic integrity and ethical conduct. These values should be manifest not only in athletics participation, but also in the broad spectrum of activities affecting the athletics programs.

2.17.5 The Commitment to Institutional Control and Compliance.

It is the responsibility of each member institution to monitor and control its athletics programs, staff members, representatives and student-athletes to ensure compliance with the Constitution and bylaws of the Association. It is also the responsibility of each member institution to report all instances of noncompliance to the Association in a timely manner and cooperate with the Association's enforcement efforts. Upon a finding of one or more violations, an institution shall be subject to such disciplinary and corrective actions as may be prescribed by the Association on behalf of the entire membership. Responsibility for maintaining institutional control ultimately rests with the institution's president or chancellor and its governing board.

2.17.6 The Commitment to Student-Athlete Well-Being.

Intercollegiate athletics programs shall be conducted in a manner designed to enhance the well-being of student-athletes who choose to participate and to prevent undue commercial or other influences that may interfere with their scholastic, athletics or related interests. The time required of student-athletes for participation in intercollegiate athletics shall be regulated to minimize interference with their academic pursuits. It is the responsibility of each member institution to establish and maintain an environment in which student-athletes' activities are conducted to encourage academic success and individual development and as an integral part of the educational experience. Each member institution should also provide an environment that fosters fairness, sportsmanship, safety, honesty and positive relationships between student-athletes and representatives of the institution.

2.17.7 The Commitment to Sound Academic Standards.

Standards of the Association governing participation in intercollegiate athletics, including postseason competition, shall be designed to ensure proper emphasis on educational objectives and the opportunity for academic success, including graduation, of student-athletes who choose to participate. Intercollegiate athletics programs shall be maintained as a vital component of the educational program and student-athletes shall be an integral part of the student body. Each member institution's admission and academic standards for student-athletes shall be consistent with the standards adopted by the institution for the student body in general.

2.17.8 The Commitment to Responsible Recruiting Standards.

Recruiting bylaws shall be designed to promote informed decisions and balance the interests of prospective student-athletes, their educational institutions, the Association's member institutions and intercollegiate athletics as a whole. This commitment includes minimizing the role of external influences on prospective student-athletes and their families and preventing excessive contact or pressure in the recruitment process.

2.17.9 The Commitment to Diversity and Inclusion.

The Association shall promote an atmosphere of respect for and sensitivity to the dignity of every person and the inclusion of diverse perspectives in the pursuit of academic and athletic excellence. The Association should not adopt bylaws that would prevent member institutions from promoting diversity or from complying with applicable laws, ordinances or policies regarding civil rights or gender equity.

2.17.9.1 Nondiscrimination. It is the policy of the Association to refrain from discrimination with respect to its educational programs, activities and employment policies, including on the basis of age, color, disability, gender, national origin, race, religion, creed, sexual orientation, genetic information or any other classification protected by federal law. It is the responsibility of each member institution to comply with applicable laws regarding nondiscrimination and to determine independently and adhere to its own nondiscrimination policies.

2.17.9.2 Governance Diversity. The Association shall promote diversity of representation within its governance structure. This commitment includes assuring diverse membership in Association-wide bodies, each divisional governing body and its supporting committees, cabinets, councils and other groups.

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model -- Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Principles for Conduct of Intercollegiate Athletics

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA's enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. As part of the Working Group's charge, it became evident that certain provisions of the NCAA Constitution should be amended to more accurately reflect their application to a Division I Collegiate Model. Currently, Constitution 2 contains 16 different principles to which members of all three divisions of the Association are committed. The principles are designed to articulate priorities at the highest level and to set the overall direction for the Association. The recommended commitments are designed to more accurately capture the fundamental principles of the Division I collegiate model, update language, and streamline and simplify fundamental provisions.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 11-1 -- ATHLETICS PERSONNEL -- CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS, COMPENSATION AND REMUNERATION

Intent: To deregulate national legislation governing contractual agreements and compensation from sources outside the institution; further, to specify that an institution shall establish and adhere to policies and procedures related to the reporting by all full-time or part-time employees of athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution.

Bylaws: Amend 11, as follows:

11 Conduct and Employment of Athletics Personnel

[11.01 through 11.1 unchanged.]

11.2 ~~Contractual Agreements.~~

~~11.2.1 Stipulation That NCAA Enforcement Provisions Apply. Contractual agreements or appointments between a coach and an institution shall include the stipulation that a coach who is found in violation of NCAA regulations shall be subject to disciplinary or corrective action as set forth in the provisions of the NCAA enforcement procedures, including suspension without pay or termination of employment for significant or repetitive violations.~~

~~11.2.2 Athletically Related Income. Contractual agreements, including letters of appointment, between a full time or part time athletics department staff member (excluding secretarial or clerical personnel) and an institution shall include the stipulation that the staff member is required to provide a written detailed account annually to the president or chancellor for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution. In addition, the approval of all athletically related income and benefits shall be consistent with the institution's policy related to outside income and benefits applicable to all full time or part time employees. Sources of such income shall include, but are not limited to, the following:~~

~~(a) Income from annuities;~~

~~(b) Sports camps;~~

~~(c) Housing benefits (including preferential housing arrangements);~~

~~(d) Country club memberships;~~

~~(e) Complimentary ticket sales;~~

~~(f) Television and radio programs; and~~

~~(g) Endorsement or consultation contracts with athletics shoe, apparel or equipment manufacturers.~~

~~11.3~~ Compensation and Remuneration.

11.32.1 Control of Employment and Salaries. The institution, as opposed to any outside source, shall remain in control of determining who is to be its employee and the amount of salary the employee is to receive within the restrictions specified by ~~NCAA legislation~~ **institutional policy**.

11.32.2 Income in Addition to Institutional Salary. **An institution shall establish and adhere to policies and procedures related to the reporting by all full-time or part-time employees of athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution.**

~~11.3.2.1 Bona Fide Outside Employment. A staff member may earn income in addition to the institutional salary by performing services for outside groups.~~

~~11.3.2.2 Supplemental Pay. An outside source is prohibited from paying or regularly supplementing an athletics department staff member's annual salary and from arranging to supplement that salary for an unspecified achievement. This includes the donation of cash from outside sources to the institution earmarked for the staff member's salary or supplemental income. It would be permissible for an outside source to donate funds to the institution to be used as determined by the institution, and it would be permissible for the institution, at its sole discretion, to use such funds to pay or supplement a staff member's salary.~~

~~11.3.2.3 Bonuses for Specific and Extraordinary Achievement. An institution may permit an outside individual, group or agency to supplement an athletics department staff member's salary with a direct cash payment in recognition of a specific and extraordinary achievement (e.g., contribution during career to the athletics department of the institution, winning a conference or national championship, number of games or meets won during career/season), provided such a cash supplement is in recognition of a specific achievement and is in conformance with institutional policy.~~

~~11.3.2.4 Noninstitutional Publications That Report on Athletics Program. Athletics department staff members shall not endorse (either orally or in writing) any noninstitutional publication dedicated primarily to reporting on an institution's athletics activities, except as provided in this section, and shall not write for such publications~~

~~11.3.2.4.1 Educational Articles. Athletics department staff members may write educational articles related to NCAA rules and crowd control for noninstitutional publications dedicated primarily to reporting on an institution's athletics activities.~~

~~11.3.2.5 Recruiting Service Consultants. Institutional athletics department staff members may not endorse, serve as consultants or participate on advisory panels for any recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student athletes~~

~~11.3.2.6 Quotations and Pictures Used to Promote a Camp. An institution's coaching staff member may not promote a noninstitutional camp or clinic by permitting the use of~~

~~his or her quotations and/or pictures in the camp or clinic brochure, unless that coaching staff member is employed by the camp.~~

~~11.3.2.7 Consultant for or Endorsement of Noninstitutional Athletics Events Involving Prospective Student-Athletes. An athletics department staff member may not serve as a consultant for a noninstitutional athletics event that primarily involves prospective student-athletes and may not endorse or promote such an event.~~

~~11.3.2.8 Promotion or Endorsement of a Prospective Student Athlete's Team, Coach or Athletics Facility. An athletics department staff member shall not promote or endorse a prospective student-athlete's team or coach, or an athletics facility that is primarily used by prospective student-athletes.~~

[11.4 through 11.7 renumbered as 11.3 through 11.6, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model – Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model -- Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing current Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA's enduring values and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. The regulations governing contractual agreements between an institution and its athletics department staff members, and those governing the receipt of compensation from sources outside the institution do not need to be administered at the national level. It should be each institution's responsibility to address such matters in accordance with its own policies and procedures. Failure to establish and adhere to such policies and procedures would constitute a violation and could result in more stringent institutional and individual penalties under the proposed new enforcement penalty structure.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 11-2 -- ATHLETICS PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- ELIMINATION OF RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS

Intent: To eliminate legislation related to recruiting coordination functions that must be performed by head or assistant coaches.

A. Bylaws: Amend 11.01, as follows:

11.01 Definitions and Applications.

[11.01.1 through 11.01.2 unchanged.]

11.01.3 Coach, Graduate Assistant -- Bowl Subdivision Football and Women's Rowing. In bowl subdivision football, a graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. In women's rowing, a graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, the individual is not required to be enrolled in a specific graduate degree program unless required by institutional policy. The following provisions shall apply:

[11.01.3-(a) through 11.01.3-(h) unchanged.]

(i) The individual may not evaluate or contact prospective student-athletes off campus, regardless of whether compensation is received for such activities. ~~The individual may not perform recruiting coordination functions (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2); however, it is permissible for a graduate assistant coach to make telephone calls to and receive telephone calls from prospective student-athletes, provided the coach has successfully completed the coaches' certification examination per Bylaw 11.5.1.1.~~

[11.01.3.1 unchanged.]

11.01.4 Coach, Undergraduate Student Assistant. An undergraduate student assistant coach is any coach who is a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility in the sport or has become injured to the point that he or she is unable to practice or compete ever again, and who meets the following additional criteria:

[11.01.4-(a) through 11.01.4-(c) unchanged.]

(d) Is not involved in contacting and evaluating prospective student-athletes off campus or scouting opponents off campus ~~and does not perform recruiting coordination functions (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2.2).~~

11.01.5 Coach, Volunteer. In sports other than football and basketball, a volunteer coach is any coach who does not receive compensation or remuneration from the institution's athletics department or any organization funded in whole or in part by the athletics department or that is involved primarily in the promotion of the institution's athletics program (e.g., booster club, athletics foundation association). The following provisions shall apply:

(a) The individual is prohibited from contacting and evaluating prospective student-athletes off campus or from scouting opponents off campus ~~and may not perform recruiting coordination functions (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2).~~

[Remainder of 11.01 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 11.7.1, as follows:

11.7.1 General Provisions Applicable to All Sports with Numerical Coaching Limits.

11.7.1.1 Designation of Coaching Category. An individual who coaches and either is uncompensated or receives compensation or remuneration of any sort from the institution, even if such compensation or remuneration is not designated for coaching, shall be designated as a head coach, assistant coach, volunteer coach, graduate assistant coach or student assistant coach by certification of the institution.

[11.7.1.1.1 through 11.7.1.1.2 unchanged.]

~~11.7.1.2 Recruiting Coordination Functions. The following recruiting coordination functions (except related routine clerical tasks) must be performed by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4:~~

~~(a) Activities involving athletics evaluations and/or selection of prospective student-athletes; and~~

~~(b) Making telephone calls to prospective student athletes (or prospective student athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches).~~

~~11.7.1.2.1 Exception — Graduate Assistant Coach — Bowl Subdivision Football and Women's Rowing. In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, a graduate assistant coach may perform the functions set forth in Bylaw 11.7.1.2 (a) (on campus only) and 11.7.1.2 (b) if the coach has successfully completed the coaches' certification examination per Bylaw 11.5.1.1.~~

~~11.7.1.2.2 Exceptions — Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches.~~

~~(a) After National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Written Commitment. A noncoaching staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may perform the~~

~~functions set forth in Bylaw 11.7.1.2 (b) after the calendar day on which the prospective student athlete signs a National Letter of Intent or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid.~~

~~(b) After Receipt of Financial Deposit. A noncoaching institutional staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may perform the functions set forth in Bylaw 11.7.1.2 (b) after the calendar day on which the institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.~~

~~(c) Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. A noncoaching staff member or coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may initiate telephone calls to a prospective student athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student athlete during the prospective student athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit.~~

~~(d) Telephone Calls Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues. A noncoaching staff member or coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may initiate telephone calls to a prospective student athlete (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that relate solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information), provided no recruiting conversation or solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs during such calls.~~

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.2.5, as follows:

13.1.2.5 Off-Campus Contacts or Evaluations. Only those coaches who are identified by the institution, in accordance with Bylaws 11.7.2.2, 11.7.3.2 and 11.7.4, may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus. [D]

[13.1.2.5.1 unchanged.]

~~13.1.2.5.2 Department Wide Responsibilities. An athletics department staff member who has department wide responsibilities (e.g., recruiting coordinator) may not contact or evaluate prospective student athletes off campus unless the staff member is counted as a countable coach in the applicable sport (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2 for restrictions related to recruiting coordination functions). [D]~~

D. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

[13.1.3.1 through 13.1.3.2 unchanged.]

13.1.3.3 Exceptions.

13.1.3.3.1 Official-Visit Exception. Institutional ~~coaching~~ staff members (~~see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1~~) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete during the five days immediately preceding the prospective student-athlete's official visit (per Bylaw 13.6) to that institution. If more than one call per week occurs under this exception and a scheduled official visit is canceled due to circumstances beyond the control of the prospective student-athlete or the institution (e.g., trip is canceled by the prospective student-athlete, inclement weather conditions), such calls shall not be considered institutional violations and shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility. However, the institution shall submit a report to the conference office noting the cancellation of the official visit and the reasons for such cancellation.

13.1.3.3.1.1 Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. ~~Athletics department~~ **Institutional** staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student-athlete during the prospective student-athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit. (~~See Bylaw 11.7.1.2.2.~~)

13.1.3.3.2 Letter-of-Intent Signing-Date Exception. Institutional ~~coaching~~ staff members (~~see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1~~) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete on the initial date for the signing of the National Letter of Intent and during the two days immediately following the initial signing date.

13.1.3.3.2.1 Football Exception. In football, institutional ~~coaching~~ staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to prospective student-athletes during the period 48 hours before and 48 hours after 7 a.m. on the initial signing date for the National Letter of Intent.

[13.1.3.3.3 unchanged.]

13.1.3.3.4 Off-Campus Contact Exception. Institutional ~~coaching~~ staff members (~~see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1~~) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete on the day a permissible, in-person, off-campus contact occurs with the prospective student-athlete.

~~13.1.3.4 Permissible Callers.~~

~~13.1.3.4.1 Institutional Coaching Staff Members — General Rule. All telephone calls made to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians or coaches) must be made by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4 (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2). In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, such telephone calls also may be made by a graduate assistant coach,~~

~~provided the coach has successfully completed the coaches' certification examination per Bylaw 11.5.1.1.~~

~~13.1.3.4.1.1 Exceptions — Before Commitment. Before a prospective student athlete signs a National Letter of Intent or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or before the institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission, the following institutional staff members may make telephone calls to a prospective student athlete (or the prospective student athlete's parents or legal guardians) as specified:~~

~~(a) President or Chancellor/Faculty Athletics Representative/Director of Athletics/Senior Woman Administrator. It is permissible for an institution's chancellor or president, faculty athletics representative, director of athletics and senior woman administrator to return (as opposed to initiate) telephone calls from a prospective student athlete (or the prospective student athlete's parents or legal guardians). Under such circumstances, there are no restrictions on the content of the conversation that may occur during the call; however, any return call is subject to any applicable limitations on the number of telephone calls that an institution may place to a prospective student athlete.~~

~~(b) Academic Advisors. It is permissible for academic advisors (including academic advisors within the athletics department) to make calls to a prospective student athlete (or the prospective student athlete's parents or legal guardians) related to admissions or academic issues, subject to any applicable limitation on the number of telephone calls an institution may place to a prospective student athlete.~~

~~(c) Compliance Administrators. It is permissible for a compliance administrator to make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student athlete (or the prospective student athlete's parents or legal guardians) with no limit on the timing or number of such telephone calls, provided the calls relate only to compliance issues.~~

~~(d) Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches — Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. A noncoaching staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may initiate telephone calls to a prospective student athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student athlete during the prospective student athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit.~~

~~13.1.3.4.1.2 Exception — Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches — After Commitment. A noncoaching institutional staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head~~

~~and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may make telephone calls to a prospective student athlete (or the prospective student athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:~~

~~(a) The prospective student athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or~~

~~(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.~~

[13.1.3.5 renumbered as 13.1.3.4, unchanged.]

13.1.3.6⁵ Collect and Toll-Free Telephone Calls. Institutional ~~coaching~~ staff members (~~see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1~~) may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than July 1 following completion of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school.

13.1.3.6⁵.1 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, institutional ~~coaching~~ staff members may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete.

13.1.3.6⁵.2 Exception -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, institutional ~~coaching~~ staff members may accept collect and toll-free telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are not placed earlier than the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.3.1.3).

[13.1.3.7 renumbered as 13.1.3.6, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model – Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing current Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the

NCAA's enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. The regulations defining recruiting coordination functions that must be performed only by a head or assistant coach are not of national significance and, in many instances, present enforcement challenges. Each institution should be responsible for establishing its own policies and procedures related to the recruitment of prospective student-athletes, including the roles and responsibilities of all athletics department staff members. The current rules requiring off-campus recruitment to be performed only by countable coaches would remain applicable.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 11-3-A -- PERSONNEL -- DEREGULATION OF SCOUTING OF OPPONENTS -- COUNTABLE COACHES ONLY

Intent: To deregulate the restrictions on scouting opponents; further, to specify that scouting of opponents is limited to the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations on coaches.

Bylaws: Amend 11.6, as follows:

11.6 ~~Limitations on~~ Scouting of Opponents. **Scouting of opponents is limited to the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4.**

~~11.6.1 Basketball, Football and Women's Volleyball Prohibition. In basketball, football and women's volleyball, off-campus, in person scouting of opponents is prohibited, except as provided in Bylaw 11.6.1.1.~~

~~11.6.1.1 Regular Season Tournaments, Double Header Events or Postseason Tournaments. An institution may pay the expenses of a member of its coaching staff to attend a regular season or postseason tournament or, in basketball, a double header event in which the institution's intercollegiate team is a participant. Under such circumstances, the individual may scout future opponents also participating in the same tournament at the same site or, in basketball, the same double header event at the same site, without being subject to the scouting prohibition.~~

~~11.6.1.2 Purchasing Video -- Postseason. In basketball and women's volleyball, following the selection of any postseason championship field until the conclusion of the championship, it shall be permissible for the participating institution to pay the costs of purchasing video for scouting purposes from individuals or professional scouting services.~~

~~11.6.2 Sports Other Than Basketball, Football and Women's Volleyball. In sports other than basketball, football and women's volleyball, a member institution shall not pay or permit the payment of expenses incurred by its athletics department staff members or representatives (including professional scouting services) to scout its opponents or individuals who represent its opponents, except as provided in Bylaw 11.6.3 in lacrosse. A coaching staff member who receives any expenses from the institution related to recruiting or team travel shall not scout an institution's opponents in conjunction with such travel.~~

~~11.6.2.1 Regular Season or Postseason Tournaments. An institution may pay the expenses of a member of its coaching staff to attend a regular season or postseason tournament in which the institution's intercollegiate team is a participant. Under such circumstances, the individual may scout future tournament opponents also participating in the same tournament at the same site.~~

~~11.6.3 Lacrosse. In lacrosse, it shall be permissible for an institution to pay the expenses of one person to scout each opponent on one occasion. When an individual is reimbursed to travel by automobile to scout an opponent, others who travel with the individual in the same vehicle to the site are not considered to have received transportation expenses. A coach may scout opponents at his or her own expense.~~

~~11.6.3.1 Regular Season or Postseason Tournaments. An institution may pay the expenses of a member of its coaching staff to attend a regular season or postseason tournament in which the institution's intercollegiate team is a participant. Under such circumstances, the individual may scout future tournament opponents also participating in the same tournament at the same site without being subject to the one occasion limitation.~~

~~11.6.3.2 Salary Increases to Cover Scouting Expenses. It is not permissible for an institution to increase a staff member's salary to cover expenses incurred by the staff member in scouting opponents in accordance with the provisions of Bylaw 11.6.3.~~

~~11.6.3.3 Designating the Opponent. If two opponents of an institution compete against each other and an individual receives expenses for scouting the contest, the institution may designate the opponent it is scouting and then pay expenses to scout the other opponent on another occasion. If two individuals receive expenses to scout the contest, each opponent shall be considered to have been scouted on one occasion.~~

~~11.6.4 Cost of Exchanging Video. It shall be permissible to pay the costs of exchanging video for scouting purposes in any sport, including the expenses of an individual traveling to pick up the video.~~

~~11.6.4.1 Use of Commercial Entity. It shall be permissible in all sports for an institution to obtain video of a future opponent's athletics contests for scouting purposes from a commercial entity that provides video recording/dubbing services, provided the institution requesting the video pays no fees or expenses related to obtaining the video of the future opponent's athletics contests, except for providing a blank videotape or DVD (or other medium) and paying postage costs.~~

~~11.6.5 Complimentary Game Tickets. In sports in which it is permissible to scout opponents, a member institution's staff member is permitted to receive press box tickets or complimentary tickets from an opponent for the purpose of scouting the opponent.~~

~~11.6.5.1 Lacrosse. In lacrosse, receipt of press box tickets or complimentary tickets from an opponent shall constitute a countable scouting opportunity.~~

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model -- Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model -- Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing current Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA's enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. The regulations governing scouting of opponents are not of national significance. Widespread availability of video would suggest minimal competitive advantage would be gained from in-person scouting and would be offset by a coach's diversion from other coaching responsibilities.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 11-3-B -- PERSONNEL -- LIMITATION ON SCOUTING OF OPPONENTS -- IN-PERSON SCOUTING PROHIBITED

Intent: To prohibit in-person scouting except for scouting future opponents participating in the same tournament at the same site or the same double header event at the same site.

Bylaws: Amend 11.6, as follows:

11.6 Limitations on Scouting of Opponents.

11.6.1 ~~Basketball, Football and Women's Volleyball~~ In-Person Scouting Prohibition. ~~In basketball, football and women's volleyball, off~~ **Off**-campus, in-person scouting of opponents is prohibited, except as provided in Bylaw 11.6.1.1.

11.6.1.1 ~~Regular Season Tournaments,~~ Exception -- Double-Header Events or ~~Postseason~~ Tournaments. ~~An institution may pay the expenses of a member of its institution's coaching staff to attend a regular season or postseason tournament or, in basketball, a double header event in which the institution's intercollegiate team is a participant. Under such circumstances, the individual may scout future opponents also participating in the same tournament at the same site or, in basketball, the same double-header event at the same site, without being subject to the scouting prohibition.~~

11.6.1.1.1 Complimentary Game Tickets. An institution's coaching staff member is permitted to receive press-box tickets or complimentary tickets from an opponent for the purpose of scouting the opponent during a regular-season tournament, double-header event or postseason tournament.

11.6.1.2 Purchasing Video -- Postseason. ~~In basketball and women's volleyball, following~~ **Following** the selection of any postseason championship field until the conclusion of the championship, it shall be permissible for the participating institution to pay the costs of purchasing video for scouting purposes from individuals or professional scouting services.

~~**11.6.2 Sports Other Than Basketball, Football and Women's Volleyball.** In sports other than basketball, football and women's volleyball, a member institution shall not pay or permit the payment of expenses incurred by its athletics department staff members or representatives (including professional scouting services) to scout its opponents or individuals who represent its opponents, except as provided in Bylaw 11.6.3 in lacrosse. A coaching staff member who receives any expenses from the institution related to recruiting or team travel shall not scout an institution's opponents in conjunction with such travel.~~

~~**11.6.2.1 Regular Season or Postseason Tournaments.** An institution may pay the expenses of a member of its coaching staff to attend a regular season or postseason tournament in which the institution's intercollegiate team is a participant. Under such~~

~~circumstances, the individual may scout future tournament opponents also participating in the same tournament at the same site.~~

~~11.6.3 Lacrosse. In lacrosse, it shall be permissible for an institution to pay the expenses of one person to scout each opponent on one occasion. When an individual is reimbursed to travel by automobile to scout an opponent, others who travel with the individual in the same vehicle to the site are not considered to have received transportation expenses. A coach may scout opponents at his or her own expense.~~

~~11.6.3.1 Regular Season or Postseason Tournaments. An institution may pay the expenses of a member of its coaching staff to attend a regular season or postseason tournament in which the institution's intercollegiate team is a participant. Under such circumstances, the individual may scout future tournament opponents also participating in the same tournament at the same site without being subject to the one occasion limitation.~~

~~11.6.3.2 Salary Increases to Cover Scouting Expenses. It is not permissible for an institution to increase a staff member's salary to cover expenses incurred by the staff member in scouting opponents in accordance with the provisions of Bylaw 11.6.3.~~

~~11.6.3.3 Designating the Opponent. If two opponents of an institution compete against each other and an individual receives expenses for scouting the contest, the institution may designate the opponent it is scouting and then pay expenses to scout the other opponent on another occasion. If two individuals receive expenses to scout the contest, each opponent shall be considered to have been scouted on one occasion.~~

11.6.42 Cost of Exchanging Video. It shall be permissible to pay the costs of exchanging video for scouting purposes in any sport, including the expenses of an individual traveling to pick up the video.

11.6.42.1 Use of Commercial Entity. It shall be permissible in all sports for an institution to obtain video of a future opponent's athletics contests for scouting purposes from a commercial entity that provides video recording/dubbing services, provided the institution requesting the video pays no fees or expenses related to obtaining the video of the future opponent's athletics contests, except for providing a blank videotape or DVD (or other medium) and paying postage costs.

~~11.6.5 Complimentary Game Tickets. In sports in which it is permissible to scout opponents, a member institution's staff member is permitted to receive press box tickets or complimentary tickets from an opponent for the purpose of scouting the opponent.~~

~~11.6.5.1 Lacrosse. In lacrosse, receipt of press box tickets or complimentary tickets from an opponent shall constitute a countable scouting opportunity.~~

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model -- Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing current Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA’s enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. In the interest of simplicity and consistency, it is appropriate for one rule regarding scouting to apply to all sports. In most cases, video of future opponents is readily available either through institutional exchange, subscription to a recording/dubbing service or internet sites accessible to the general public.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 11-4 -- ATHLETICS PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- ELIMINATION OF LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME

Intent: To eliminate the limitations on the number of coaches who may recruit off campus at any one time.

A. Bylaws: Amend 11.7, as follows:

11.7 Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches.

[11.7.1 unchanged.]

11.7.2 Bowl Subdivision Football. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and four graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football.

[11.7.2.1 unchanged.]

11.7.2.2 Contact and Evaluation of Prospective Student-Athletes. Only those coaches who are counted by the institution within the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus. ~~In addition, there is a limit of seven coaches (including the head coach) who may contact or evaluate prospective student athletes off campus at any one time (see Bylaw 11.7.4).~~

~~11.7.2.2.1 Exception — Fall Evaluation Period. Ten coaches (including the head coach) may evaluate prospective student athletes at any one time during one week (any seven consecutive days) of the fall evaluation period in which no regular season competition is scheduled. Before its first regular season contest, the institution shall declare, in writing, the week in which it will use this exception. The selected week shall not be revised after the declaration deadline. The institution shall submit the declaration to its conference office. An independent institution shall keep the declaration on file in the office of the athletics director.~~

~~11.7.2.2.2 Banquets or Meetings. A coaching staff member who attends a banquet or meeting that is designed to recognize prospective student athletes that occurs during a contact or evaluation period is considered an off-campus recruiter, regardless of whether the coach is speaking at the banquet/meeting or only attending the function. Further, the coach is not permitted to attend such a recognition banquet or meeting that occurs outside a contact or evaluation period, unless the coach has been asked to speak at the function (see Bylaws 13.1.8 and 13.02.5.5).~~

~~11.7.2.2.3 Additional Recruiters — National Service Academies. For the national service academies, the off-campus contact and evaluation of prospective student-~~

~~athletes shall be limited to a total of nine coaches at any one time, which may include the head coach.~~

11.7.3 Championship Subdivision Football. There shall be a limit of 11 coaches of any type who may be employed by an institution in championship subdivision football.

[11.7.3.1 unchanged.]

11.7.3.2 Off-Campus Contact and Evaluation of Prospective Student-Athletes. Only those coaches who are counted by the institution within the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus. ~~In addition, there is a limit of seven coaches (including the head coach) who may contact and evaluate prospective student athletes off campus at any one time (see Bylaw 11.7.4).~~

~~11.7.3.2.1 Exception — Fall Evaluation Period. Ten coaches (including the head coach) may evaluate prospective student athletes at any one time during one week (any seven consecutive days) of the fall evaluation period in which no regular-season competition is scheduled. Before its first regular season contest, the institution shall declare, in writing, the week in which it will use this exception. The selected week shall not be revised after the declaration deadline. The institution shall submit the declaration to its conference office. An independent institution shall keep the declaration on file in the office of the athletics director.~~

~~11.7.3.2.2 Banquets or Meetings. A coaching staff member who attends a banquet or meeting that is designed to recognize prospective student athletes that occurs during a contact or evaluation period is considered an off-campus recruiter, regardless of whether the coach is speaking at the banquet/meeting or only attending the function. Further, the coach is not permitted to attend such a recognition banquet or meeting that occurs outside a contact or evaluation period, unless the coach has been asked to speak at the function (see Bylaws 13.1.8 and 13.02.5.5).~~

11.7.4 Limitations on Number of Coaches and Off-Campus Recruiters. There shall be a limit on the number of coaches who may be employed by an institution and who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus ~~at any one time~~ in each sport as follows:

Sport	Limit on Number of Coaches	Limit on Off-Campus Recruiters
Baseball	3	2
Basketball, Men's	4	3
Basketball, Women's	4	3
Bowling, Women's	2	2
Equestrian	3	2
Fencing, Men's	2	2
Fencing, Women's	2	2

Sport	Limit on Number of Coaches	Limit on Off-Campus Recruiters
Football, Bowl Subdivision (See Bylaw 11.7.2)	14	7
Football, Championship Subdivision (See Bylaw 11.7.3)	11	7
Field Hockey	3	2
Golf, Men's	2	2
Golf, Women's	2	2
Gymnastics, Men's	3	2
Gymnastics, Women's	3	2
Ice Hockey, Men's	3	2
Ice Hockey, Women's	3	2
Lacrosse, Men's	3	2
Lacrosse, Women's	3	2
Rifle, Men's	2	2
Rifle, Women's	2	2
		2 (during the institution's academic year)
Rowing, Women's	3	3 (during the summer)
Rugby, Women's	3	2
Sand Volleyball, Women's	2	2
Skiing, Men's	2	2
Skiing, Women's	2	2
Soccer, Men's	3	2
Soccer, Women's	3	2
Softball	3	2
Swimming, Men's	2	2
Swimming and Diving, Men's	3	2
Swimming, Women's	2	2
Swimming and Diving, Women's	3	2
Tennis, Men's	2	2
Tennis, Women's	2	2
Cross Country, Men's (Without Track and Field)	2	2
Track and Field, Men's	3	2
Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's	3	2
Cross Country, Women's (Without Track and Field)	2	2
Track and Field, Women's	3	2
Cross Country/Track and Field, Women's	3	2
Volleyball, Men's	3	2
Volleyball, Women's	3	2

Sport	Limit on Number of Coaches	Limit on Off-Campus Recruiters
Water Polo, Men's	2	2
Water Polo, Women's	2	2
Wrestling	3	2

[11.7.4.1 through 11.7.4.2 unchanged.]

~~11.7.4.3 Off Campus Recruiting—At Any One Time. It is permissible for a coach to leave campus to engage in off-campus contact or evaluation before another coach who is off campus actually returns to campus, provided the total number of coaches recruiting on behalf of the institution at any time does not exceed the permissible number. The coach being replaced must complete his or her recruiting activities before another coach may begin any off campus recruiting activity. Further, the replaced coach may not engage in additional recruiting activities until after he or she has returned to the institution's campus.~~

~~11.7.4.3.1 Exception—Basketball—July Evaluation Periods. During the July evaluation periods, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than three coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.~~

~~11.7.4.3.2 Exception—Sports Other Than Basketball—June, July and August. In sports other than basketball, during June, July and August, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than the permissible number of off-campus recruiters in the particular sport engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day (see Bylaw 11.7.4).~~

~~11.7.4.4 Banquets or Meetings. In sports other than football, a coaching staff member who attends a banquet or meeting that is designed to recognize prospective student-athletes that occurs during a contact or evaluation period is considered an off-campus recruiter in the applicable sport, regardless of whether the coach is speaking at the banquet/meeting or only attending the function. In those sports with recruiting calendars (e.g., basketball, softball), a coach is not permitted to attend such a recognition that occurs outside a contact or evaluation period, unless the coach has been asked to speak at the function (see Bylaw 13.1.8).~~

~~11.7.4.5 On Campus Events—Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, a coaching staff member who attends an athletics event on the institution's campus that involves women's basketball prospective student-athletes is considered an off-campus recruiter.~~

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.8, as follows:

13.1.8 Banquets, Meetings and NCAA Promotional Activities.

[13.1.8.1 through 13.1.8.2 unchanged.]

13.1.8.3 Attendance Outside a Contact or Evaluation Period. In sports with recruiting calendars (see Bylaw 13.17), a coach is not permitted to attend a banquet or meeting that is designed to recognize prospective student-athletes and that occurs outside a contact or evaluation period (or in men's basketball, a recruiting period), unless the coach has been asked to speak at the function.

[13.1.8.3 through 13.1.8.4 renumbered as 13.1.8.4 through 13.1.8.5, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model – Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA's enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. The limits on the number of coaches who may recruit off-campus at any one time were initially adopted as cost containment measures, but there is no data, anecdotally or otherwise, that would suggest the rules have furthered that objective. Each institution should be responsible for establishing its own policies and procedures related to the off-campus recruitment of prospective student-athletes by authorized countable coaching staff members.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-1 -- RECRUITING -- PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETE - - STUDENT-ATHLETE ON SIGNING NATIONAL LETTER OF INTENT

Intent: To specify that an individual is no longer considered a prospective student-athlete once he or she signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) (or, for an institution that does not use the NLI in a particular sport, the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid).

Bylaws: Amend 13.02.12, as follows:

13.02.12 Prospective Student-Athlete. A prospective student-athlete is a student who has started classes for the ninth grade. In addition, a student who has not started classes for the ninth grade becomes a prospective student-athlete if the institution provides such an individual (or the individual's relatives or friends) any financial assistance or other benefits that the institution does not provide to prospective students generally. An individual remains a prospective student-athlete until one of the following occurs (whichever is earlier):

[13.02.12-(a) unchanged.]

(b) The individual participates in a regular squad practice or competition at a four-year collegiate institution that occurs before the beginning of any term; ~~or~~

(c) The individual officially registers and enrolls and attends classes during the summer prior to initial enrollment; or

(d) The individual signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) (or, for an institution that does not use the NLI in a particular sport, the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid).

[13.02.12.1 unchanged.]

13.02.12.2 Institutional Orientation Session. A recruited prospective student-athlete ~~who has signed a National Letter of Intent (NLI) (or, for an institution that does not use the NLI in a particular sport or for a prospective student-athlete~~ who is not eligible to sign ~~an NLI~~ a National Letter of Intent (e.g., four-year college transfer), **but has signed** the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid ~~and has reported to an institutional orientation session that is open to all incoming students within 14 calendar days prior to the opening day of classes of a regular year term shall not be subject to the contact restrictions in Bylaw 13 and shall be considered a student-athlete for purposes of Bylaw 16 as of the opening day of the orientation session.~~

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model -- Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA’s enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. This proposed change is designed to further student-athlete success and well-being by allowing an individual who has demonstrated a significant commitment to attend a particular institution to be treated similarly to the enrolled student-athletes attending that institution. In addition, this proposal would promote a greater development of the student-athlete/coach relationship by permitting increased access between the coach and an incoming signee.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-2 -- RECRUITING -- INITIAL DATE FOR COMMUNICATION AND IN-PERSON CONTACT

Intent: To specify that off-campus contact shall not be made with an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) before the opening day of his or her junior year in high school; further, to specify that communication (e.g., telephone calls, electronic communication) may begin with an individual on or after June 15 at the completion of his or her sophomore year in high school or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.1.1, as follows:

13.1.1.1 Time Period for Off-Campus Contacts -- General Rule. Off-campus recruiting contacts shall not be made with an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) before ~~July 1 following the completion~~ **the opening day** of his or her junior year in high school (~~July 7 after the junior year in high school in women's ice hockey and July 15 after the junior year in high school in women's gymnastics~~), ~~or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.~~ U.S. service academy exceptions to this provision are set forth in Bylaw 13.16.1.

~~13.1.1.1.1 Exception — Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, off campus recruiting contacts shall not be made with an individual (or his relatives or legal guardians) before the opening day of his junior year in high school. Contacts that occur during a prospective student athlete's junior year during recruiting periods other than the April recruiting period may occur only at the prospective student athlete's educational institution. During the April recruiting period of a prospective student athlete's junior year, contacts may occur at either the prospective student athlete's educational institution or residence.~~

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- General Rule. Telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before ~~July 1 following~~ **June 15 at** the completion of his or her ~~junior~~ **sophomore** year in high school (~~subject to the exceptions below~~), or the opening day of classes of his or her ~~senior~~ **junior** year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week.

13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, **Women's Basketball**, Cross Country/Track and Field, **Football**, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, **women's basketball**, cross country/track and field, **football**, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, ~~telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following~~

~~the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such~~ **once it is permissible to make** telephone calls **to an individual, such calls** shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

~~13.1.3.1.2 Exception—Football. In football, one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may be made from April 15 through May 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Additional telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before September 1 of the beginning of the individual's senior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone contact is limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.~~

13.1.3.1.3² Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, ~~telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere), telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter,~~ **once it is permissible to make telephone calls to an individual,** an institution may make telephone **such** calls ~~to a prospective student-athlete~~ at its discretion.

[13.1.3.1.3.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.1.2.1, unchanged.]

~~13.1.3.1.4 Exception—Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, telephone calls may be made to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:~~

~~(a) One telephone call during the month of April of the individual's junior year in high school on or after the Thursday after the conclusion of the NCAA Division I Women's Final Four.~~

~~(b) One telephone call during the month of May of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~(c) One telephone call on or after June 1 through June 20 of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~(d) One telephone call on or after June 21 through June 30 of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~(e) Three telephone calls during the month of July following the individual's junior year in high school, with not more than one telephone call per week [see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.1 (c)]. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere), the institution may begin to place one telephone call per week to the individual beginning on the opening day of classes of the individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(f) Thereafter, one telephone call per week outside a contact period and unlimited telephone calls during a contact period.~~

13.1.3.1.4.1 ~~3~~ Additional Restrictions -- Additional Communication with a Parent or Legal Guardian Who Serves on Staff of a Nonscholastic Team -- **Women's Basketball**. ~~A#~~ **In women's basketball, outside a contact period, an** institution may not have any additional communication with a parent or legal guardian of a prospective student-athlete who serves on the staff of his or her daughter's nonscholastic team unless the parent or legal guardian also serves as a head coach of his or her daughter's scholastic team and the additional communication is unrelated to recruitment of his or her daughter.

13.1.3.1.4.2 Additional Restrictions -- July Evaluation Periods **-- Women's Basketball**. In women's basketball, during the July evaluation periods, all communication with a prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's coach or any individual associated with the prospective student-athlete as a result of the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, directly or indirectly, is prohibited.

[13.1.3.1.4.2.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.1.4.1, unchanged.]

~~13.1.3.1.5 Exception -- Men's Ice Hockey. In men's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the individual's junior year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~13.1.3.1.5.1 Nontraditional Academic Calendars -- Men's Ice Hockey. In men's ice hockey, if an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere), telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) as follows:~~

~~(a) One telephone call per month from the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school to the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(b) One telephone call per week beginning on the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~13.1.3.1.6 Exception — Women's Ice Hockey. In women's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or guardians) who is a resident of a foreign country on or after July 7 through July 31 following the completion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning July 7 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.~~

13.1.3.1.7~~5~~ Application of Telephone Call Limitations. Once an institution reaches the applicable limit on telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) for a particular time period (e.g., ~~one per month~~, one per week, ~~two per week~~), the institution may not initiate an additional telephone call during the same time period, even if no direct conversation occurs during the additional call (e.g., voicemail message).

[13.1.3.1.8 renumbered as 13.1.3.1.6, unchanged.]

13.1.3.2 Additional Restrictions.

[13.1.3.2.1 unchanged.]

13.1.3.2.2 Telephone Calls Initiated by Prospective Student-Athlete at His or Her Expense. Institutional staff members may receive telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete at the prospective student-athlete's own expense at any time, ~~including before July 1 following the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school.~~

[13.1.3.3 through 13.1.3.4 unchanged.]

13.1.3.5 Nonpermissible Callers.

[13.1.3.5.1 unchanged.]

13.1.3.5.2 Enrolled Student-Athletes. Enrolled student-athletes or other enrolled students shall not make or participate in telephone calls to prospective student-athletes at the direction of a coaching staff member or financed by the institution

or a representative of its athletics interests; however, they may receive telephone calls at the expense of the prospective student-athlete on or after *July 1 following June 15 at* the completion of the prospective student-athlete's *junior* **sophomore** year in high school **or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.** An enrolled student-athlete may receive telephone calls made at the expense of a prospective student-athlete prior to *July 1 following June 15 at* the completion of the prospective student-athlete's *junior* **sophomore** year in high school **or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier,** provided there is no direct or indirect involvement by athletics department staff.

[13.1.3.5.2.1 unchanged.]

13.1.3.6 Collect and Toll-Free Telephone Calls. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than *July 1 following completion of the prospective student athlete's junior year in high school* **the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete.**

13.1.3.6.1 Exception — Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student athlete and the prospective student athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete.

13.1.3.6.2 Exception — Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll-free telephone calls placed by a prospective student athlete and the prospective student athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are not placed earlier than the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.3.1.4).

[13.1.3.7 unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.5, as follows:

13.1.5 Contacts.

13.1.5.1 Sports Other Than Football and Basketball. In sports other than football and basketball, each institution is limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). *During the senior year of high school, not more than three of the seven opportunities may be off-campus contacts at any site and shall include contacts with the prospective student-*

~~athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but shall not include contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.~~

13.1.5.2 Football. In football, each institution shall be limited to six in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts per prospective student-athlete at any site and shall include contacts made with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, ~~but shall not include contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.~~

13.1.5.3 Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete. Men's basketball staff members shall not exceed 130 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods. **Contacts that occur during a prospective student-athlete's junior year during recruiting periods other than the April recruiting period may occur only at the prospective student-athlete's educational institution. During the April recruiting period of a prospective student-athlete's junior year, contacts may occur at either the prospective student-athlete's educational institution or residence.**

13.1.5.4 Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete; ~~however, during the prospective student-athlete's senior year, the institution is limited to not more than three in-person, off-campus contacts (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5).~~ Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

[13.1.5.4.1 through 13.1.5.4.2 unchanged.]

[13.1.5.5 through 13.1.5.10 unchanged.]

D. Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1, as follows:

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. ~~In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school. In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an~~ **An** institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school **or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.** ~~In men's basketball, if an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to such an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school.~~

[Remainder of 13.4.1 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model -- Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing current Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA's enduring values and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. The establishment of regulations that provide for earlier access with prospective student-athletes is designed to support student-athlete success and well-being by allowing both the prospective student-athlete (and his or her family) and the institution greater opportunities to make more informed, and thus more sound, recruiting decisions.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-3 -- RECRUITING -- DEREGULATION OF MODES AND NUMERICAL LIMITATIONS ON COMMUNICATION

Intent: To eliminate restrictions governing modes and numerical limitations on recruiting communication.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- General Rule. Telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school (subject to the exceptions below), or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; ~~thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week.~~ **Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete at its discretion.**

~~13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.~~

~~13.1.3.1.2 Exception -- Football. In football, one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may be made from April 15 through May 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Additional telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before September 1 of the beginning of the individual's senior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone contact is limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.~~

13.1.3.1.3~~1~~**1** Exception -- Men's Basketball **and Men's and Women's Ice Hockey.** In men's basketball **and men's and women's ice hockey**, telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere), telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an

institution may make telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete at its discretion.

[13.1.3.1.3.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.1.1.1, unchanged.]

~~13.1.3.1.4 Exception — Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, telephone calls may be made to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:~~

~~(a) One telephone call during the month of April of the individual's junior year in high school on or after the Thursday after the conclusion of the NCAA Division I Women's Final Four.~~

~~(b) One telephone call during the month of May of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~(c) One telephone call on or after June 1 through June 20 of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~(d) One telephone call on or after June 21 through June 30 of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~(e) Three telephone calls during the month of July following the individual's junior year in high school, with not more than one telephone call per week [see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.1 (c)]. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere), the institution may begin to place one telephone call per week to the individual beginning on the opening day of classes of the individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(f) Thereafter, one telephone call per week outside a contact period and unlimited telephone calls during a contact period.~~

~~13.1.3.1.4.1 Additional Restrictions — Additional Communication with a Parent or Legal Guardian Who Serves on Staff of a Nonscholastic Team. An institution may not have any additional communication with a parent or legal guardian of a prospective student-athlete who serves on the staff of his or her daughter's nonscholastic team unless the parent or legal guardian also serves as a head coach of his or her daughter's scholastic team and the additional communication is unrelated to recruitment of his or her daughter.~~

13.1.3.1.4.2 ~~Additional Restrictions~~ — July Evaluation Periods -- **Women's Basketball**. In women's basketball, during the July evaluation periods, all communication with a prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's coach or any individual associated with the prospective student-athlete as a result of the

prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, directly or indirectly, is prohibited.

[13.1.3.1.4.2.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.1.2.1, unchanged.]

~~13.1.3.1.5 Exception — Men's Ice Hockey. In men's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the individual's junior year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~13.1.3.1.5.1 Nontraditional Academic Calendars — Men's Ice Hockey. In men's ice hockey, if an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere), telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) as follows:~~

~~(a) One telephone call per month from the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school to the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(b) One telephone call per week beginning on the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~13.1.3.1.6 Exception — Women's Ice Hockey. In women's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or guardians) who is a resident of a foreign country on or after July 7 through July 31 following the completion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning July 7 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.~~

~~13.1.3.1.7 Application of Telephone Call Limitations. Once an institution reaches the applicable limit on telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) for a particular time period (e.g., one per month, one per week, two per week), the institution may not initiate an additional telephone call during the same time period, even if no direct conversation occurs during the additional call (e.g., voicemail message).~~

~~13.1.3.1.8 Effect of Violations. Violations of Bylaw 13.1.3.1 and its subsections involving the first occasion when a staff member exceeds the permissible number of telephone calls during a given week (when it is otherwise permissible to call) shall be considered an institutional violation per Constitution 2.8.1 and shall not affect the prospective student athlete's eligibility.~~

13.1.3.2 Additional Restrictions.

[13.1.3.2.1 unchanged.]

13.1.3.2.2 Telephone Calls Initiated by Prospective Student-Athlete at His or Her Expense. Institutional staff members may receive telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete at the prospective student-athlete's own expense at any time, ~~including before July 1 following the prospective student athlete's junior year in high school.~~

~~13.1.3.3 Exceptions.~~

~~13.1.3.3.1 Official Visit Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student athlete during the five days immediately preceding the prospective student athlete's official visit (per Bylaw 13.6) to that institution. If more than one call per week occurs under this exception and a scheduled official visit is canceled due to circumstances beyond the control of the prospective student athlete or the institution (e.g., trip is canceled by the prospective student athlete, inclement weather conditions), such calls shall not be considered institutional violations and shall not affect the prospective student athlete's eligibility. However, the institution shall submit a report to the conference office noting the cancellation of the official visit and the reasons for such cancellation.~~

~~13.1.3.3.1.1 Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. Athletics department staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student athlete during the prospective student athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit.~~

~~13.1.3.3.2 Letter of Intent Signing Date Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student athlete on the initial date for the signing of the National Letter of Intent and during the two days immediately following the initial signing date.~~

~~13.1.3.3.2.1 Football Exception. In football, institutional coaching staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to prospective student-~~

~~athletes during the period 48 hours before and 48 hours after 7 a.m. on the initial signing date for the National Letter of Intent.~~

~~13.1.3.3.3 Telephone Calls After Commitment. There shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by the institution to a prospective student athlete (or the prospective student athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:~~

~~(a) The prospective student athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or~~

~~(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.~~

~~13.1.3.3.4 Off Campus Contact Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student athlete on the day a permissible, in person, off campus contact occurs with the prospective student athlete.~~

[13.1.3.4 through 13.1.3.7 renumbered as 13.1.3.3 through 13.1.3.6, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.4, as follows:

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school.

In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school. In men's basketball, if an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his parents or legal guardians) until the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. [D]

[13.4.1.1 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2 Electronic Transmissions. ~~Electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent to a prospective student athlete (or the prospective student athlete's parents or legal guardians) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.6.2.) All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited. Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other~~

~~than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence. [D]~~

~~13.4.1.2.1 Exception — Men's Basketball.~~ Electronic correspondence (e.g., electronic mail, Instant Messenger, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards or posts to "walls"). (See Bylaws 13.1.6.2 and 13.10.2.) Color attachments may be included with electronic correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic correspondence. [D]

~~13.4.1.2.2 Exception — Electronic Transmissions After Commitment. There shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:~~

~~(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or~~

~~(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.~~

~~13.4.1.2.3 Exception — Electronic Mail and Facsimiles Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues — Sports Other Than Men's Basketball. Electronic mail and facsimiles to an individual (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that relate solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) are not subject to the restrictions on recruiting materials, provided the correspondence does not contain recruiting language and no solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs.~~

13.4.1.2.4¹ Exception -- Electronic Correspondence Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues —Men's Basketball. Electronic correspondence to an individual (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that relates solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) is not subject to the restrictions on recruiting materials, provided the correspondence does not contain recruiting language and no solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs.

[Remainder of 13.4.1 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model -- Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing current Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA's enduring values and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. The current regulations governing modes and restrictions on recruiting communication are cumbersome and present numerous enforcement challenges. Institutions and/or conferences, at their discretion, should be responsible for establishing policies and procedures governing the recruitment of prospective student-athletes by athletics department staff members.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-4 -- RECRUITING -- ELIMINATION OF PUBLICATION OF ADMISSIONS AND GRADUATION DATA, BANNED DRUG LIST AND INITIAL-ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS

Intent: To eliminate the legislation related to publishing/providing admissions, graduation rates and NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate data, banned drug list and initial-eligibility standards to prospective student-athletes.

Bylaws: Amend 13, as follows:

13 Recruiting

[13.1 through 13.2 unchanged.]

~~13.3 Admissions and Graduation Data, Banned Drug List and Initial-Eligibility Standards.~~

~~13.3.1 Disclosure Report~~

~~13.3.1.1 Report Publication. The Association's national office annually shall publish the admissions and graduation rate data, academic progress rate and graduation success rate specified in Bylaws 18.4.2.2.1, 18.4.2.2.2 and 18.4.2.2.3 and shall identify the information on an institution-specific basis~~

~~13.3.1.2 Report Distribution. The NCAA Eligibility Center shall provide the information contained within the report to a prospective student athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the NCAA Eligibility Center and the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an institution's request to add the prospective student athlete to the institution's request list. The NCAA shall make a compilation of graduation data available to prospective student athletes' guidance offices and high school and two-year college coaches via the NCAA website.~~

~~13.3.2 Banned Drug List and Information about Nutritional Supplements.~~

~~13.3.2.1 Report Publication. The Association's national office annually shall publish the banned drug list and shall update the list on its website (see Bylaw 31.2.3.4).~~

~~13.3.2.2 Report Distribution. The NCAA Eligibility Center shall provide the NCAA banned drug list, and information about nutritional supplements (see Bylaw 31.2.3.4), to a prospective student athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the NCAA Eligibility Center.~~

~~13.3.3 Notification of Initial Eligibility Standards. The NCAA Eligibility Center shall provide information regarding the initial eligibility standards contained in Bylaw 14.3 to a prospective student athlete and his or her parents or legal guardians after he or she has registered with the NCAA Eligibility Center.~~

[13.4 through 13.20 renumbered as 13.3 through 13.19, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model -- Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA’s enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. The current legislation is not consequential as it simply codifies policies that will continue to be executed by the Eligibility Center, regardless of their inclusion in the NCAA Manual.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-5-A -- RECRUITING -- ELIMINATION OF PRINTED RECRUITING MATERIALS AND VIDEO/AUDIO LEGISLATION

Intent: To eliminate the legislation governing printed recruiting material and video/audio materials that may be provided to prospective student-athletes.

Bylaws: Amend 13.4, as follows:

13.4 Recruiting Materials.

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials **and Electronic Transmissions**. In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, **or send electronic transmissions** to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school. In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, **or send electronic transmissions** to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school. In men's basketball, if an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, **or send electronic transmissions** to such an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. [D]

~~13.4.1.1 Printed Recruiting Materials. As specified below, an institution may provide the following printed materials [hard copy or electronically (see Bylaw 13.4.1.2)] to prospective student-athletes, their parents or legal guardians, their coaches or any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student athlete is involved:[D]~~

~~(a) General Correspondence. General correspondence may be sent only by mail, subject to the following provisions:~~

~~(1) The correspondence shall include a single sheet of institutional letterhead, which shall not exceed 8 1/2 by 11 inches in size;~~

~~(2) There are no restrictions on the design or content of one side of the single sheet of institutional letterhead. The opposite side shall be blank, except for text (typed or handwritten) used to communicate a message to the recipient and any other handwritten information;~~

~~(3) Additional pages of the correspondence shall be limited to plain white paper (not to exceed 8 1/2 by 11 inches in size) and black ink. The additional pages shall be blank, except for text (typed or handwritten) used to communicate a message to the recipient and any other handwritten information;~~

~~(4) Attachments to general correspondence may only include materials printed on plain white paper (not to exceed 8 1/2 by 11 inches in size) with black ink that are not created for recruiting purposes, except for other permissible printed materials (e.g., camp brochures, questionnaires);~~

~~(5) An envelope used to send the correspondence may only include the institution's name and logo or an athletics logo (in addition to the postage, return address and addressee information) on the outside, must be blank on the inside when produced and may not exceed 9 by 12 inches; and~~

~~(6) All institutional staff members (e.g., faculty members, athletics department staff members and administrators) may prepare general correspondence.~~

~~(b) Business Cards.~~

~~(c) Camp or Clinic Brochures. Brochures are not restricted by content or design, except that they must indicate that the camp or clinic is open to any and all entrants (limited only by number, age, grade level and/or gender). Brochures are restricted to a single two sided sheet, not to exceed 17 by 22 inches in size when opened in full. Camp or clinic brochures may be provided to a prospective student athlete at any time. (See Bylaw 12.5.1.6.)~~

~~(d) Questionnaires. An institution may provide questionnaires to a prospective student athlete at any time.~~

~~(e) Nonathletics Institutional Publications. An institution may provide nonathletics institutional publications available to all students at any time (e.g., official academic, admissions and student services publications published by the institution and available to all students).~~

~~(f) NCAA Educational Material Published by the NCAA (e.g., NCAA Guide for the College-Bound Student Athlete). Such material may be provided to a prospective student athlete at any time.~~

~~(g) Game Programs. Game programs (which may not include posters) may be provided to prospective student athletes only during official and unofficial recruiting visits and may not be mailed.~~

~~(h) Pre enrollment Information. Necessary pre enrollment information regarding orientation, conditioning, academics and practice activities, may be provided to a prospective student athlete, provided he or she has signed a National Letter of Intent or institutional financial aid agreement or has been officially accepted for enrollment. (See Bylaw 13.4.1.5.4.)~~

~~(i) Institutional Note Cards. Institutional note cards may not exceed 8 1/2 by 11 inches when opened in full. In addition, such cards may only contain the institution's name and~~

~~logo or an athletics logo on the outside, must be blank on the inside (one side of the card when opened in full) when produced and may include only handwritten information (e.g., words, illustrations) on the inside when provided to the recipients.~~

~~(j) Postcards. An institution may send an institutional postcard, provided its dimensions do not exceed 4 1/4 by 6 inches, it includes only the institution's name and logo or an athletics logo on one side when produced and it includes only handwritten information, (e.g., words, illustrations) on the opposite side when provided to the recipients. Blank postcards issued by the U.S. postal service also may be sent.~~

~~13.4.1.1.1 Express Mail Services. An institution is not permitted to use express mail delivery services and may only use first-class mail or a lesser rate of service (e.g., parcel post) with no extra services (e.g., certified mail, delivery confirmation) to provide permissible printed recruiting materials to prospective student athletes, their parents or legal guardians, their coaches or any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student athlete is involved, who reside within the 50 United States, other than the National Letter of Intent or other written admissions and/or financial aid commitment to attend the institution and necessary pre-enrollment information per Bylaw 13.4.1.1 (h).~~

~~13.4.1.1.2 Athletics Publication. An institution may produce a printed media guide; however, an institution shall not provide a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1 to a prospective student athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student athlete. It is not permissible to make a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1 available free of charge to a prospective student athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student athlete, even if such publications are available to other members of the general public free of charge. An institution may not create a printed portfolio of information (e.g., pictures) to be used in the recruiting process. An institution may only provide a media guide to a prospective student athlete via an electronic mail attachment or hyperlink.~~

~~13.4.1.1.2.1 Media Guide Restrictions. A printed media guide may have only one color of print inside the cover and may not exceed 8 1/2 by 11 inches in size and 208 pages in length. An institution may not produce a separate printed supplemental media guide. Any supplemental information (e.g., historical information, records) may be posted on the institution's website and may be printed in black and white, and provided to the media.~~

13.4.1.21 Electronic Transmissions. Electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or

legal guardians) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.6.2.) All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited. ~~Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence.~~ [D]

13.4.1.2¹ Exception -- Men's Basketball. Electronic correspondence (e.g., electronic mail, Instant Messenger, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards or posts to "walls"). (See Bylaws 13.1.6.2 and 13.10.2.) ~~Color attachments may be included with electronic correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic correspondence.~~ [D]

[13.4.1.2.2 through 13.4.1.2.4 renumbered as 13.4.1.1.2 through 13.4.1.1.4, unchanged.]

~~13.4.1.3 Other Recruiting Materials. An institution may post recruiting materials not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1 on its website. General information (e.g., information not created for recruiting purposes) posted to an institution's website (e.g., press release, competition schedule) may be sent to a prospective student athlete via electronic mail as an attachment or a hyperlink or such information may be printed on plain white paper with black ink and provided to a prospective student athlete as an attachment to general correspondence, via facsimile or during any permissible on- or off-campus contact. [D]~~

[13.4.1.4 renumbered as 13.4.1.2, unchanged.]

~~13.4.1.5 Video/Audio Materials. An institution may not produce video or audio materials to show to, play for or provide to a prospective student athlete except as specified in this section. Permissible video or audio material may only be provided to a prospective student athlete via an electronic mail attachment or hyperlink, except as provided in Bylaw 13.4.1.5.4. [D]~~

~~13.4.1.5.1 Media Available to All Students.—Official academic admissions and student services media produced by the institution and available to all students may be provided to prospective student athletes.~~

~~13.4.1.5.2 Material Not Created for Recruiting Purposes.—An institution may produce video or audio material to show to, play for or provide to a prospective student athlete, provided such material includes only general information related to an institution or its athletics programs and is not created for recruiting purposes. [D]~~

~~13.4.1.5.3 Computer Generated Recruiting Presentations.—An institution may produce a computer generated recruiting presentation (e.g., using presentation software) to show to, play for or provide to a prospective student athlete, subject to the following provisions: [D]~~

~~(a) The presentation may be posted to the institution's website;~~

~~(b) The presentation may include general informational video/audio material that relates to an institution or its athletics programs and is not created for recruiting purposes;~~

~~(c) The presentation may not be personalized to include a prospective student athlete's name, picture or likeness; and~~

~~(d) The presentation may not be created by an entity outside the institution.~~

~~13.4.1.5.4 Pre-enrollment Information.—An institution may provide any necessary pre-enrollment information (that is not otherwise considered to be general information related to an institution or its athletics programs) regarding orientation, conditioning, academics and practice activities in a video format (e.g., video playbook, games clips) to a prospective student athlete, provided he or she has signed a National Letter of Intent or institutional financial aid agreement, or has been officially accepted for enrollment. Such information may be provided via a digital media storage device (e.g., DVD, flash drive). [See Bylaw 13.4.1.1 (h).] [D]~~

~~13.4.2 Conference Restrictions.—A member conference is precluded from providing recruiting materials to prospective student athletes. [D]~~

[13.4.3 renumbered as 13.4.2, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model -- Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA’s enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. The current legislation governing recruiting materials presents enforcement challenges. Arguably, a prospective student-athlete's decision to attend a particular institution is not significantly influenced by the volume of recruiting material received. In addition, the current legislation does not further the working group's proposed principle of fair competition, which is designed to reduce rules that have been adopted to regulate an institution's potential financial advantages.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-5-B -- RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE ONLY

Intent: To prohibit sending or providing prospective student-athletes any recruiting materials other than general correspondence, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 13.4, as follows:

13.4 Recruiting Materials

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide ~~recruiting materials, including~~ general correspondence related to athletics; to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school. In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide ~~recruiting materials, including~~ general correspondence related to athletics; to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school. In men's basketball, if an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) an institution shall not provide ~~recruiting materials, including~~ general correspondence related to athletics; to such an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school.

13.4.1.1 ~~Printed Recruiting Materials~~ **General Correspondence Related to Athletics.** As specified below, an institution may provide ~~the following printed materials~~ **general correspondence** [hard copy or electronically (see Bylaw 13.4.1.2)] to prospective student-athletes, their parents or legal guardians, their coaches or any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student-athlete is involved;.

~~(a) General Correspondence.~~ General correspondence may be sent only by mail, subject to the following provisions:

~~(1)~~**(a)** The correspondence shall include a single sheet of institutional letterhead, which shall not exceed 8 1/2 by 11 inches in size;

~~(2)~~**(b)** There are no restrictions on the design or content of one side of the single sheet of institutional letterhead. The opposite side shall be blank, except for text (typed or handwritten) used to communicate a message to the recipient and any other handwritten information;

~~(3)~~**(c)** Additional pages of the correspondence shall be limited to plain white paper (not to exceed 8 1/2 by 11 inches in size) and black ink. The additional pages shall be blank, except for text (typed or handwritten) used to communicate a message to the recipient and any other handwritten information; **and**

~~(4) Attachments to general correspondence may only include materials printed on plain white paper (not to exceed 8 1/2 by 11 inches in size) with black ink that are not created for recruiting purposes, except for other permissible printed materials (e.g., camp brochures, questionnaires);~~

~~(5)(d) An envelope used to send the correspondence may only include the institution's name and logo or an athletics logo (in addition to the postage, return address and addressee information) on the outside, must be blank on the inside when produced and may not exceed 9 by 12 inches; and~~

~~(6) All institutional staff members (e.g., faculty members, athletics department staff members and administrators) may prepare general correspondence.~~

~~(b) Business Cards.~~

~~(c) Camp or Clinic Brochures. Brochures are not restricted by content or design, except that they must indicate that the camp or clinic is open to any and all entrants (limited only by number, age, grade level and/or gender). Brochures are restricted to a single two sided sheet, not to exceed 17 by 22 inches in size when opened in full. Camp or clinic brochures may be provided to a prospective student athlete at any time. (See Bylaw 12.5.1.6.)~~

~~(d) Questionnaires. An institution may provide questionnaires to a prospective student athlete at any time.~~

~~(e) Nonathletics Institutional Publications. An institution may provide nonathletics institutional publications available to all students at any time (e.g., official academic, admissions and student services publications published by the institution and available to all students).~~

~~(f) NCAA Educational Material Published by the NCAA (e.g., NCAA Guide for the College-Bound Student Athlete). Such material may be provided to a prospective student athlete at any time.~~

~~(g) Game Programs. Game programs (which may not include posters) may be provided to prospective student athletes only during official and unofficial recruiting visits and may not be mailed.~~

~~(h) Pre enrollment Information. Necessary pre enrollment information regarding orientation, conditioning, academics and practice activities, may be provided to a prospective student athlete, provided he or she has signed a National Letter of Intent or institutional financial aid agreement or has been officially accepted for enrollment. (See Bylaw 13.4.1.5.4.)~~

~~(i) Institutional Note Cards. Institutional note cards may not exceed 8 1/2 by 11 inches when opened in full. In addition, such cards may only contain the institution's name and~~

~~logo or an athletics logo on the outside, must be blank on the inside (one side of the card when opened in full) when produced and may include only handwritten information (e.g., words, illustrations) on the inside when provided to the recipients.~~

~~(j) Postcards. An institution may send an institutional postcard, provided its dimensions do not exceed 4 1/4 by 6 inches, it includes only the institution's name and logo or an athletics logo on one side when produced and it includes only handwritten information, (e.g., words, illustrations) on the opposite side when provided to the recipients. Blank postcards issued by the U.S. postal service also may be sent.~~

13.4.1.1.1 Express Mail Services. An institution is not permitted to use express mail delivery services and may only use first-class mail or a lesser rate of service (e.g., parcel post) with no extra services (e.g., certified mail, delivery confirmation) to provide ~~permissible printed recruiting materials~~ **general correspondence** to prospective student-athletes, their parents or legal guardians, their coaches or any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student-athlete is involved, who reside within the 50 United States, other than the National Letter of Intent or other written admissions and/or financial aid commitment to attend the institution ~~and necessary pre-enrollment information per Bylaw 13.4.1.1 (h).~~

13.4.1.1.2 Athletics Publications. An institution may produce ~~a printed media guide~~ **athletics publications (e.g., media guide)**; however, an institution shall not provide ~~a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1~~ **publications** to a prospective student-athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student-athlete. It is not permissible to make ~~a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1~~ **athletics publications** available free of charge to a prospective student-athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student-athlete, even if such publications are available to other members of the general public free of charge. ~~An institution may not create a printed portfolio of information (e.g., pictures) to be used in the recruiting process.~~ An institution may only provide ~~a media guide~~ **an athletics publication** to a prospective student-athlete via ~~an~~ **a hyperlink in** electronic mail ~~attachment or hyperlink~~ **correspondence**.

[13.4.1.1.2.1 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2 Electronic Transmissions. Electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.6.2.) All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited. ~~Color~~ **No** attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence, **but hyperlinks to information on the institution's website or other**

websites may be included. ~~provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence.~~ [D]

13.4.1.2.1 Exception -- Men's Basketball. Electronic correspondence (e.g., electronic mail, Instant Messenger, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards or posts to "walls"). (See Bylaws 13.1.6.2 and 13.10.2.) ~~Color~~ **No** attachments may be included with electronic correspondence, **but hyperlinks to information on the institution's website or other websites may be included.** ~~provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic correspondence.~~ [D]

[13.4.1.2.2 through 13.4.1.2.4 renumbered as 13.4.1.1.2 through 13.4.1.1.4, unchanged.]

13.4.1.3 Other Recruiting Materials. An institution may post recruiting materials ~~not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1~~ on its website. ~~General information (e.g., information not created for recruiting purposes) posted to an institution's website (e.g., press release, competition schedule) may be sent to a prospective student athlete via electronic mail as an attachment or a hyperlink or such information may be printed on plain white paper with black ink and provided to a prospective student athlete as an attachment to general correspondence, via facsimile or during any permissible on- or off-campus contact.~~ [D]

13.4.1.4 Responding to Prospective Student-Athlete's Request. Institutional staff members (including athletics staff members) may respond to a prospective student-athlete's letter or electronic mail requesting information from an institution's athletics department prior to the permissible date on which an institution may begin to ~~provide recruiting materials~~ **send general correspondence or electronic transmissions** to a prospective student-athlete, provided the written response does not include information that would initiate the recruitment of the prospective student-athlete or information related to the institution's athletics program (e.g., the reply contains an explanation of current NCAA legislation or a referral to the admissions department). [D]

13.4.1.5 Video/Audio Materials. An institution may only ~~not produce video or audio materials to show to, play for or provide to a prospective student athlete except as specified in this section.~~ Permissible **provide** video or audio material ~~may only be provided~~ to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) via ~~an~~ **hyperlinks in** electronic **correspondence** ~~mail attachment or hyperlink, except as provided in Bylaw 13.4.1.5.4~~ **pre-enrollment information, which may also be provided via attachment to electronic correspondence or a digital media storage device (e.g., DVD, flash drive).** [D]

~~13.4.1.5.1 Media Available to All Students. Official academic admissions and student services media produced by the institution and available to all students may be provided to prospective student athletes.~~

~~13.4.1.5.2 Material Not Created for Recruiting Purposes. An institution may produce video or audio material to show to, play for or provide to a prospective student athlete, provided such material includes only general information related to an institution or its athletics programs and is not created for recruiting purposes. [D]~~

~~13.4.1.5.3 Computer-Generated Recruiting Presentations. An institution may produce a computer-generated recruiting presentation (e.g., using presentation software) to show to, play for or provide to a prospective student athlete, subject to the following provisions: [D]~~

~~(a) The presentation may be posted to the institution's website;~~

~~(b) The presentation may include general informational video/audio material that relates to an institution or its athletics programs and is not created for recruiting purposes;~~

~~(c) The presentation may not be personalized to include a prospective student-athlete's name, picture or likeness; and~~

~~(d) The presentation may not be created by an entity outside the institution.~~

~~13.4.1.5.4 Pre-enrollment Information. An institution may provide any necessary pre-enrollment information (that is not otherwise considered to be general information related to an institution or its athletics programs) regarding orientation, conditioning, academics and practice activities in a video format (e.g., video playbook, games clips) to a prospective student athlete, provided he or she has signed a National Letter of Intent or institutional financial aid agreement, or has been officially accepted for enrollment. Such information may be provided via a digital media storage device (e.g., DVD, flash drive). [See Bylaw 13.4.1.1 (h).] [D]~~

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model – Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA’s enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. The current legislation governing recruiting materials presents enforcement challenges. Arguably, a prospective student-athlete's decision to attend a particular institution is not significantly influenced by the volume of recruiting material received. In addition, the current legislation does not further the working group's proposed principle of fair competition, which is designed to reduce rules that have been adopted to regulate an institution's potential financial advantages. It would remain permissible for an institution to post materials on its website to be accessed by prospective student-athletes.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-6 -- RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ADVERTISEMENTS AND PROMOTIONS -- PERSONALIZED PROMOTIONS PROHIBITED

Intent: To eliminate restrictions related to general advertising or promotional materials designed to solicit enrollment of prospective student-athletes, while maintaining prohibitions against personalized promotions.

Bylaws: Amend 13.4.3, as follows:

13.4.3 Personalized Recruiting Advertisements and Promotions.

~~13.4.3.1 Recruiting Advertisements. The publication of advertising or promotional material, by or on behalf of a member institution, designed to solicit the enrollment of a prospective student athlete is not permitted, except as provided in Bylaw 13.4.3.1.1. Accordingly, a member institution may not buy or arrange to have space in game programs or other printed materials published to provide information concerning the athletics participation or evaluation of prospective student athletes (e.g., recruiting publications) for any purpose whatsoever, including advertisements, a listing of prospective or enrolled student athletes who will attend the institution and informative materials related to the institution.~~

~~13.4.3.1.1 Nonathletics Institutional Advertisements. An institution (or a third party acting on behalf of the institution) may publish nonathletics institutional advertisements or promotional material [e.g., use of signage, booths, kiosks and distribution of printed materials] at high school or two-year college athletics events provided:—~~

~~(a) The funds generated by the advertisements or promotional materials are not used for the high school or two-year college's athletics program;~~

~~(b) The institution's athletics department is not involved in the advertisement or promotional activities;~~

~~(c) The advertisements or promotional materials do not contain athletics information (unless as noted in Bylaw 13.4.1 (e)); and~~

~~(d) The institution documents the cost of the advertising and promotional activities.~~

~~13.4.3.1.2 Camp or Clinic Advertisements. Advertisements for an institution's camp or clinic are permissible if placed in a recruiting publication (other than a high school, two-year college or nonscholastic game program) that includes a camp directory that meets the following requirements:~~

~~(a) The size (not to exceed one-half page) and format of such advertisements must be identical; and~~

~~(b) The camp directory must include multiple listings of summer camps on each page (at least two summer camp advertisements of the same size must appear on each page).~~

~~13.4.3.1.2.1 Advertisements Directed Toward a Particular Audience. An institution may advertise or promote an institutional camp or clinic toward a particular audience (e.g., elite camp), provided the advertisement or promotion indicates that the camp or clinic is open to any and all entrants (limited only by number, age, grade level and/or gender).~~

~~13.4.3.2 NCAA or Conference Championship Posters. An institution hosting an NCAA or conference championship may produce a poster promoting the championship and send it to a high school coach and/or his or her educational institution. It is not permissible to send such a poster to a prospective student athlete.~~

~~13.4.3.2.1 NCAA or Conference Championship Promotional Materials. The NCAA or member conference [or a third party acting on behalf of the NCAA or member conference (e.g., host institution, host conference, or local organizing committee)] may produce and provide championship promotional materials to any individual or group, provided the materials:~~

~~(a) Are solely for the purpose of promoting the championship event rather than the host institution, and use factual information (e.g., date, time, location, identification of host school/conference, ticket information, photos of previous championships);~~

~~(b) Are not sent exclusively to prospective student athletes;~~

~~(c) Are available to the general public; and~~

~~(d) Do not promote the institution's athletics program.~~

~~13.4.3.3 Conference Sponsored Sportsmanship Initiatives. A conference office may buy or arrange to have space in game programs or other printed materials published to provide information concerning the athletics participation of prospective student athletes, provided the content of the printed materials is limited exclusively to promoting sportsmanship, and the materials are not designed to solicit the enrollment of prospective student athletes. In addition, a conference office may produce posters, limited exclusively to promoting sportsmanship, which may be sent to any educational institution. It shall not be permissible to send any printed materials related to a sportsmanship initiative (e.g., poster) to a prospective student athlete.~~

~~13.4.3.4 Miscellaneous Promotions.~~ Member institutions and their representatives of athletics interests are prohibited from financing, arranging or using recruiting aids (e.g., newspaper advertisements, bumper stickers, message buttons) designed to publicize the institution's interest in a particular prospective student-athlete.

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model – Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA's enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. The current restrictions related to general (as opposed to personalized) advertisements/promotions present enforcement challenges. In addition, the current legislation does not further the working group's proposed principle of fair competition, which is designed to reduce rules that have been adopted to regulate an institution's potential financial advantages.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-7 -- RECRUITING -- PUBLICITY -- NO RESTRICTIONS AFTER COMMITMENT

Intent: To eliminate the restrictions on publicity related to a prospective student-athlete after he or she has signed a National Letter of Intent or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or after the institution has received his or her financial deposit in response to its offer of admission, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 13.10, as follows:

13.10 Publicity

13.10.1 Presence of Media During Recruiting Contact. A member institution shall not permit a media entity to be present during any recruiting contact made by an institution's coaching staff member.

13.10.2 Publicity Before Commitment.

13.10.2.1 Comments Before Signing Commitment. Before the signing of a prospective student-athlete to a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid **or before the institution receives his or her financial deposit in response to its offer of admission**, a member institution may comment publicly only to the extent of confirming its recruitment of the prospective student-athlete. The institution may not comment generally about the prospective student-athlete's ability or the contribution that the prospective student-athlete might make to the institution's team; further, the institution is precluded from commenting in any manner as to the likelihood of the prospective student-athlete **committing to or** signing with that institution.

13.10.2.1.1 Evaluations for Media, Recruiting Services. Athletics department staff members shall not evaluate or rate a prospective student-athlete for news media, scouting services or recruiting services *prior to the prospective student-athlete's signed acceptance of the institution's written offer of admission as a student and/or written tender of financial assistance to be provided upon the prospective student-athlete's enrollment.*

[13.10.3 through 13.10.7 renumbered as 13.10.2.2 through 13.10.2.6, unchanged.]

13.10.82.7 Photograph of Prospective Student-Athlete. It is permissible for an institution to photograph a prospective student-athlete during a campus visit to be used in the institution's permissible publicity and promotional activities (e.g., press release, media guide), but the photograph may not be provided to the prospective student-athlete. *It is permissible for an institution to use a prospective student-athlete's photograph in printed institutional items (e.g., season ticket brochure) that promote a sport's season in which the prospective student-athlete will participate as a student athlete, provided the prospective student-athlete has signed a National Letter of Intent or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid and the prospective student-athlete's name*

~~or photograph is not used in any promotional items that involve the purchase or receipt of commercial advertising (e.g., season ticket advertisement in newspapers or on a billboard).~~

~~13.10.93 Media Release Regarding Signing~~ **Publicity After Commitment.** ~~Publicity released by an institution concerning a prospective student athlete's commitment to attend the institution shall occur only~~ **There are no restrictions on publicity related to a** ~~after the prospective student-athlete~~ **after he or she** ~~has signed a National Letter of Intent or~~ **after the prospective student athlete's signed acceptance of the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid** **or after the institution has received his or her financial deposit in response to its offer of admission, except as set forth in Bylaw 13.10.1.** ~~Such communications (with no limit on number or content) may be released to media outlets at the institution's discretion except as limited by Bylaw 13.10.9.2. However, an institution is prohibited from purchasing or receiving commercial advertising (e.g., print, media or billboard) to be used to identify a prospective student athlete by name or picture.~~

~~13.10.9.1 Video Clips. It shall be permissible for an institution to show video clips of a prospective student athlete during its announcement of the prospective student athlete's signing, provided such publicity occurs only after the prospective student athlete has signed a National Letter of Intent or after the prospective student athlete's signed acceptance of the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid. Other prospective student athletes may appear in the video clips, provided the focus of the clips is related to the prospective student athlete who has committed to the institution.~~

~~13.10.9.2 Announcement of All Signings. An institution may conduct one formal press conference on the institution's campus for the purpose of presenting the names of all prospective student athletes in a sport who have signed a National Letter of Intent or accepted written offers of admission and/or financial aid from the institution, with the understanding that no prospective student athletes (or their friends or relatives) may be in attendance at such an announcement.~~

~~13.10.9.3 Independent Announcement by Prospective Student Athlete. Press conferences to announce a signing may be arranged independently by the prospective student athlete (or the prospective student athlete's family), provided there is no arrangement or involvement whatsoever by the institution or representatives of its athletics interests.~~

~~13.10.9.4 Celebratory Functions. Coaching staff members may attend functions designed to celebrate the institution's signees in the applicable sport and may discuss prospective student athletes who have signed commitments to attend the institution, including discussions with working media, provided the institution previously has released communications of the prospective student athletes' commitments to attend the institution to media outlets.~~

~~13.10.9.4.1 Highlight Video. An institution may produce a single highlight video (one per sport), which includes clips of prospective student athletes who have signed a National Letter of Intent or accepted a written offer of admission and/or~~

~~financial aid from the institution, to show in conjunction with celebratory functions. Other prospective student athletes may appear in the video clips, provided the focus of the clips is related to the prospective student athlete who has committed to the institution.~~

~~13.10.9.5 Signings on Campus. An institution is responsible for signings on its campus (whether involving an individual or a group of prospective student athletes). Any contact by the media with prospective student athletes on campus during the time the prospective student athlete signs a National Letter of Intent or tender of financial aid shall be considered to be prima facie evidence of institutional involvement in arranging media involvement and shall be prohibited.~~

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model -- Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA’s enduring values, and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. Any regulations on publicity after a student-athlete has committed to an institution are inconsequential and any currently prohibited activities should be left to the discretion of the institution and/or conference.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RWG PROPOSAL NO. 13-8 -- RECRUITING -- CAMPS AND CLINICS -- PROSPECTIVE AND ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETE EMPLOYMENT

Intent: To deregulate the camps and clinics employment legislation related to prospective and enrolled student-athletes, as specified; further, in football, to eliminate the participation prohibition on senior prospective student-athletes.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.12.1, as follows:

13.12.1 Institution's Sports Camps and Clinics.

[13.12.1.1 through 13.12.1.2 unchanged.]

13.12.1.3 Attendance Restriction. A member institution's sports camp or clinic shall be open to any and all entrants (limited only by number, age, grade level and/or gender).

~~13.12.1.3.1 Exception -- Football. In football, a "senior prospective student athlete" shall not be permitted to enroll, participate or be employed at any such sports camp or clinic.~~

~~13.12.1.3.1.1 Senior Prospective Student Athlete Defined. For purposes of this legislation, a "senior prospective student athlete" is a prospective student athlete who is eligible for admission to a member institution or who has started classes for the senior year in high school. A preparatory school or two year college student is considered to be a "senior prospective student athlete" for purposes of this rule.~~

~~13.12.1.3.1.2 No Physical Activity. A "senior prospective student athlete" may attend a camp or clinic, provided the prospective student athlete does not participate in any physical activities.~~

[13.12.1.4 through 13.12.1.6 unchanged.]

13.12.1.7 Employment of Prospective Student-Athletes/No Free or Reduced Admission Privileges.

13.12.1.7.1 General Rule. An institution, members of its staff or representatives of its athletics interests shall not employ or give free or reduced admission privileges to a prospective student-athlete who is an athletics award winner or any individual being recruited by the institution per Bylaw 13.02.12.1. An institution may offer discounted admission to its camps and clinics based on objective criteria unrelated to athletics abilities (e.g., registration prior to a specific date, online registration, attendance at multiple sessions, group discounts), provided such discounts are published and available on an equal basis to all who qualify.
[R]

13.12.1.7.1.1 Exception -- Employment after Commitment. An institution may employ a prospective student-athlete in a camp or clinic, provided he or she has signed a National Letter of Intent or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or after the institution has received his or her financial deposit in response to its offer of admission. Compensation may be paid only for work actually performed and at a rate commensurate with the going rate in the locality for similar services.

[13.12.1.7.2 through 13.12.1.7.5 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.12.2, as follows:

13.12.2 Employment at Camp or Clinic.

13.12.2.1 Student-Athletes. A student-athlete ~~who is~~ **may be** employed in any sports camp or clinic ~~must meet the following requirements:~~ **provided compensation is provided pursuant to the criteria of Bylaw 12.4.1. A student-athlete who only lectures or demonstrates at a camp/clinic may not receive compensation for his or her appearance at the camp/clinic.** [R]

~~(a) The student athlete must perform duties that are of a general supervisory character in addition to any coaching or officiating assignments.~~

~~(b) Compensation provided to the student athlete shall be commensurate with the going rate for camp or clinic counselors of like teaching ability and camp or clinic experience and may not be paid on the basis of the value that the student athlete may have for the employer because of the athletics reputation or fame the student athlete has achieved. It is not permissible to establish varying levels of compensation for a student athlete employed in a sports camp or clinic based on the level of athletics skills of the student athlete.~~

~~(c) A student athlete who only lectures or demonstrates at a camp/clinic may not receive compensation for his or her appearance at the camp/clinic.~~

~~13.12.2.1.1 Self Employment. A student athlete with remaining eligibility is not permitted to conduct his or her own camp or clinic. [R]~~

~~13.12.2.1.2 Provision of Travel Expenses to All Employees. A student athlete may receive actual travel expenses (including lodging and meals in transit and prepaid plane tickets or cash advances) only if such travel expenses are paid and procedures for reimbursement of expenses are used for all employees of the camp/clinic. Credit cards may not be provided to a student athlete to pay such expenses. [R]~~

~~13.12.2.1.3 Cash Advances Based on Anticipated Earnings. In the case in which all employees do not receive travel expenses, a cash advance based on anticipated earnings may be provided to a student athlete only if such advance does not exceed the estimated actual and necessary cost of travel or the student athlete's estimated employment earnings, whichever is less, and such a benefit is available to all camp employees. [R]~~

~~13.12.2.1.4 Transportation from Coach or Representative of Athletics Interests. Actual transportation from an institution's coach or representative of its athletics interests may be provided to a student athlete only if travel expenses are paid for all employees of the camp/clinic. [R]~~

~~13.12.2.1.5 Employment in Own Institution's Camp or Clinic — Sports Other Than Bowl Subdivision Football. In sports other than bowl subdivision football, a member institution (or employees of its athletics department) may employ its student athletes as counselors in camps or clinics, provided the student athletes do not participate in organized practice activities other than during the institution's playing season in the sport (see Bylaw 17.1.1).~~

~~13.12.2.1.6 Employment in Camp or Clinic — Bowl Subdivision Football.~~

~~13.12.2.1.6.1 Employment in Specialized Camp — Student Athlete's Institution. In bowl subdivision football, no member of an institution's football squad who has eligibility remaining may be employed or otherwise participate in that institution's football camp. [R]~~

~~13.12.2.1.6.2 Employment in Specialized Camp — Other Than Student Athlete's Institution. In bowl subdivision football, a member of an institution's football squad who has eligibility remaining may be employed in any camp that specializes in football, other than his own institution's camp, provided not more than one football student athlete from any one Division I institution is employed. [R]~~

~~13.12.2.1.6.3 Employment in Diversified Sports Camp. In bowl subdivision football, a member of an institution's football squad who has eligibility remaining may be employed as a counselor in any diversified camp, including a diversified camp conducted by the student athlete's own institution, provided not more than one member with eligibility remaining of the previous year's football squad of any one Division I institution is employed. [R]~~

Source: NCAA Division I Working Group on the Collegiate Model -- Rules

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: As a result of the Presidential Retreat in August 2011, the Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group was formed and charged with reviewing current Division I rules with a view toward reducing the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the NCAA’s enduring values and emphasizing the most strategically important matters. This proposal is part of a package recommended by the Rules Working Group designed to accomplish those objectives. Student-athlete employment should be regulated only by the requirement that compensation is for work actually performed and at a rate commensurate with the going rate in the locality for similar services. Consistent with the general prohibition on the receipt of compensation by a student-athlete in conjunction with speaking engagements or attendance at events such as luncheon meetings of booster clubs or civic organizations, it remains impermissible for a student-athlete to receive compensation if he or she only lectures or demonstrates at a camp or clinic. In addition, prospective student-athletes who have demonstrated a commitment to attend an institution should be allowed to be employed in that institution's camps and clinics since no recruiting advantage will be gained through such employment.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Nonscholastic Practice and Competition –Bowl Subdivision Football

Background

In January, the membership adopted NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2011-46 in order to address the proliferation of nonscholastic football events being conducted on Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) institutions campuses by not allowing any institutional involvement with nonscholastic football events. However, this legislation did not impact regular and postseason scholastic events. It remains permissible for regular and postseason scholastic events to be played on an institution's campus in accordance with applicable NCAA legislation (i.e., tryout exception).

The American Football Coaches Association (AFCA) has been working with the national high school coaches association for the last several years. In June, the AFCA invited a committee of high school executive directors to speak with them about issues that high school football coaches face. During the meeting, the high school coaches association expressed concern regarding the consequences of the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-46. As a result of this discussion, the AFCA agreed to reach out to the NCAA to get feedback on a proposed amendment to NCAA Division I Bylaw 13.11.1.10. The proposal would allow not-for-profit organizations recognized by the state high school athletics governing body in which a nonscholastic event is conducted to host, sponsor or conduct one all-star game on an FBS institution's campus. Participants in the game would include only student-athletes who have completed their high school eligibility in football. The AFCA is looking for feedback on this proposed amendment as they have a unique opportunity to build a strong relationship with high school football associations across America.

Current Legislation

Bylaw 13.11.1.10 – Nonscholastic Practice or Competition -- Bowl Subdivision Football. [FBS]

In bowl subdivision football, an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs. (*Adopted: 1/14/12; a contract signed before 8/15/11 may be honored*)

Points to Consider

1. Is it reasonable to allow only not-for-profits organizations to be allowed to conduct one all-star game?
2. What are the legal implications?
3. How would this be monitored?

Potential Options

1. Provide feedback to the AFCA.
2. Take a formal position on the amendment.



VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

MEMORANDUM

August 20, 2012

P.O. Box 6222

Indianapolis, Indiana 46206

Telephone: 317/917-6222

Shipping/Overnight Address:

1802 Alonzo Watford Sr. Drive

Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

www.ncaa.org

TO: Executive Directors and Chief Executive Officers of Select Coaches Associations.

NCAA Division I Conference Commissioners.

FROM: Walter Harrison, chair
NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance.

SUBJECT: Newly Adopted NCAA Division I Initial-Eligibility Standards and Educational Efforts.

During its October 2011, meeting, the NCAA Division I Board of Directors adopted new initial-eligibility standards effective August 2016. The new standards require prospective student-athletes to meet higher academic standards in order to compete during their first year at an NCAA Division I institution. This fall, the high school freshman class will be the first class subject to the new standards, increasing the importance of educational outreach.

The NCAA staff is coordinating an educational outreach effort regarding new initial-eligibility requirements, helping to ensure the education of all key constituents (e.g., prospects, parents, high school coaches). This outreach effort will necessitate the involvement of Division I member institution's personnel in helping reach high school personnel in their local and recruiting regions. Moreover, current recruiting legislation limits the amount and type of engagement college coaches can have in the education of prospective student-athletes. Thus, the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance is seeking input regarding a broadening of current legislation to allow college coaches to have contact and communication with prospect age and younger individuals for the sole purpose of educating them on the new academic requirements. The committee is not interested in expanding actual recruiting opportunities, but rather only in loosening restrictions around college coaches helping to educate prospects on the new initial-eligibility standards. The committee is also examining the role noncoaching personnel play in these educational efforts.

The purpose of this communication is to solicit feedback regarding modification to current recruiting rules aimed at enhancing coaches' opportunities to help educate prospective student-athletes regarding the new initial-eligibility standards.

N a t i o n a l C o l l e g i a t e A t h l e t i c A s s o c i a t i o n

An association of over 1,200 members serving the student-athlete

Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer

MEMORANDUM

Page No. 2

Initial input from your membership is requested by **September 10, 2012**. It is anticipated that the Committee on Academic Performance will discuss this topic and review feedback during its October 2012, meeting, with the intention of making a recommendation to the Board for consideration during its October 2012, meeting.

Attached with this letter are materials that outline the specific discussion items. Please provide feedback from your association or conference to Jenn Fraser, NCAA Director of Academic and Membership Affairs (jfraser@ncaa.org) not later than September 10, 2012.

Thank you in advance for your thoughtful feedback.

WH:alg

Enclosures

cc: Select NCAA Staff

Please provide feedback from your membership to Jenn Fraser (jfraser@ncaa.org) with the NCAA staff not later than **September 10, 2012**.

Guiding Principles:

1. A successful outreach and education initiative regarding the newly adopted initial-eligibility standards to prospective student-athletes and younger individuals is paramount.
2. A shared outreach effort between the NCAA and member institutions, including coaching and noncoaching staff personnel, will have the most significant impact in reaching prospective student-athletes.
3. Current legislation and interpretations inhibit the involvement of institutional personnel in educating prospect-aged and younger individuals about the newly adopted standards.
4. The intent behind broadening current legislation is for the sole purpose of educating prospects on the new academic requirements and not to permit earlier recruiting access.

Questions for Consideration:

1. Do you agree with the guiding principles on which legislative recommendations would be based? If not, why? What other principles, if any, should be added?
2. Should institutional personnel be permitted to use only educational materials created by the NCAA to educate or should institutions be permitted to create unique materials for the purpose of introducing the newly adopted standards?
3. Should outreach and education in the form of presentations not be restricted by the recruiting calendar or location?
4. Should the less restrictive recruiting rules permit only additional written/electronic communication and not in-person or “live” communication?
5. Any additional comments or suggestions your association/conference has for the committee as it considers this matter?

Outreach and Education Options for New Initial-Eligibility Standards

WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE (e.g., letters, email)	Grade 8/Earlier	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
<i>Current Legislation Coaches and Administrators</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No initiated contact.May respond to prospect’s request but no recruitment information.Send NCAA documents at any time.		Men’s Basketball/ Men’s Ice Hockey General correspondence June 15 after sophomore year (mail or email).*	All Other Sports General correspondence September 1 of junior year (mail or email).	
<i>Options to Consider</i>	May respond to prospect’s requests generally with NCAA documents that may be amended to include an introduction and salutation but no recruitment information.	Institutions may send material created by the NCAA in institutionally branded envelopes. OR			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none">Coaches/administrators may initiate and send education documents created by institution, electronically or hard copy, to local sports clubs/teams and prospects (e.g., admissions standards, initial-eligibility requirements, and prerequisites).Design is at institution’s discretion; may include logo, letterhead, color, etc.Correspondence may include attachments of institutional educational documents and video. Video created for athletics to educate prospective student-athletes on requirements; no recruiting information, but coach/administrator may participate.			
PRESENTATIONS (in-person educational sessions)		Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
<i>Current Legislation Coaches and Administrators</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No off-campus contacts before July 1 following junior year or opening day of senior year classes.**Coaches may speak at meeting if initiated by educational institution and no recruiting presentation; coach may not have contact and no evaluations (general rule, more restrictive sport specific not included).				
				Men’s Basketball <ul style="list-style-type: none">Off-campus contacts shall not be made with an individual (or his relatives or legal guardians) before the opening day of his junior year of high school.Contacts that occur during the junior year during recruiting periods other than the April recruiting period may only occur at the prospective student-athlete’s educational institution.During the April recruiting period of a prospective student-athlete’s junior year, contacts may occur at either the prospective student-athlete’s educational institution or residence.	
<i>Options to Consider</i>	Proactive educational presentations at local events (e.g., state high school basketball championships, local team) so long as no recruiting exists and initiated by institution or organization.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No contact restrictions if presentation is for education only (e.g., may have one-on-one contact with parent with additional questions) and no recruiting conversations occur.Permissible at any venue and at any time including prospective student-athlete’s educational institution.Initiation does not have to be from educational institution – institution may initiate (e.g., help educate local organizations or high school).			