REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS
PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
SEPTEMBER 13-14, 2011, MEETING

***KEY ITEMS*.**

1. **Review of Proposals Sponsored by and Forwarded to the Cabinet for the 2011-12 Cycle**. The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet reviewed 34 legislative proposals related to recruiting and athletics personnel that will be considered by the Division I membership in the 2011-12 legislative cycle. As a result of its review of the proposals it sponsored in June, the cabinet also modified three proposals and withdrew one from the legislative cycle.

2. **Priority Item – Consideration of Proposals Related to Limitations on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members.** The cabinet continued its discussion related to a request from the NCAA Division I Legislative Council to explore potential revisions or alternatives to pending proposals which would establish limits on noncoaching staff with responsibilities related to football and basketball. The cabinet believes that NCAA Division I Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C, 2010-18-C-1, 2010-20-C and 2010-C-1 appropriately address competitive equity and financial resource issues. Although there may be challenges related to implementation of the proposals, if the membership focuses on the intent of the legislation and uses established processes for necessary interpretations or clarifications, the benefits realized will outweigh any burden related to monitoring and enforcement. The cabinet noted that the proposals were sponsored with delayed effective dates, which was intended to allow time for education and to address implementation issues. The cabinet reaffirmed its support for the amendments it sponsored (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C-1 and 2010-20-C-1), as currently constituted and for the adoption of the base proposals (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-18-C and 2010-20-C) as they would be amended. In addition, as noted in its review of Proposal No. 2011-22, the cabinet expressed support for the concept of a limit on the number of individuals in the bench area during men's basketball competition.

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

1. **Legislative.**

   - **NCAA Division I Bylaw 13.6.2.2 – Number of Official Visits – Prospective Student-Athlete Limitation.**

     (1) Recommendation. That the Legislative Council adopt noncontroversial legislation to specify that the limitation of five expense paid visits per prospective student-athlete shall apply only to official visits to Division I institutions.

(2) Effective Date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. In January 2011, Division II adopted legislation that eliminated the limitation on the number of official visits that a prospective student-athlete may make to Division I and Division II institutions. The current difference in the legislation between the two divisions is likely to cause confusion for prospective student-athletes during the recruiting process and is an unnecessary burden for Division I institutions to track the number of official visits a prospective student-athlete makes to Division II institutions.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Modifications to Cabinet Sponsored Proposals in the 2011-12 Legislative Cycle.

a. Proposal No. 2011-31 – Recruiting – Telephone Calls – No Limits After First Permissible Date. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2011-31 to specify that, in all sports, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) on or after July 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Establishing a single date on which to begin all communication with a prospective student-athlete will bring uniformity and simplicity to the legislation. In the interest of such principles, sport programs should be expected to adjust their recruiting practices accordingly. In addition, the burden and expenditure of resources related to rules monitoring will be greatly decreased.

b. Proposal No. 2011-37 – Recruiting – Recruiting Materials – Electronic Correspondence – All Forms of Direct Correspondence Permitted. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2011- 37 to specify that, in all sports, an institution may provide recruiting materials, including electronic correspondence, to an

individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) on or after July 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Establishing a single date on which to begin all communication with a prospective student-athlete will bring uniformity and simplicity to the legislation. In the interest of such principles, sport programs should be expected to adjust their recruiting practices accordingly. In addition, the burden and expenditure of resources related to rules monitoring will be greatly decreased.

c. Proposal No. 2011-57 – Recruiting – Recruiting-Person Days and Recruiting Calendar – Field Hockey. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2011-57 to specify that the limit of 75 recruiting-person days shall apply to all contact and evaluation periods during the calendar year (as opposed to the academic year), measured from August 1 through July 31. This modification was initiated and requested by the National Field Hockey Coaches Association.

d. Proposal No. 2011-59 – Recruiting – Recruiting Calendars – Men's Ice Hockey. The cabinet agreed to withdraw Proposal No. 2011-59 based on a request from the American Hockey Coaches Association.

2. Legislative – Comments on Proposals to be initially considered by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council in January 2012. The cabinet's positions and comments related to recruiting and athletics personnel legislation sponsored by other NCAA governance entities and conferences in the 2011-12 legislative cycle are included in the Attachment to this report.

3. Nonlegislative.

a. Consideration of Proposals Related to Limitations on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. The cabinet continued its discussion related to a request from the Legislative Council to explore potential revisions or alternatives to pending proposals which would establish limits on noncoaching staff with responsibilities related to football and basketball. Specifically, the cabinet discussed whether the pending proposals appropriately address competitive equity and financial resource issues and concerns related to monitoring and enforcement. The cabinet noted that restricting the activities of noncoaching staff members (e.g., attending practice) has the potential for unintended consequences, such as eliminating a noncoaching staff member's access to the coaching staff for administrative or student-athlete well-being purposes. In addition, such restrictions may limit a

noncoaching staff member's ability to gain professional development. The cabinet noted that previous feedback received from the membership indicated support for the limits identified in the current proposals. The cabinet believes that Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C, 2010-18-C-1, 2010-20-C and 2010-C-1 appropriately address competitive equity and financial resource issues. Although there may be challenges related to implementation of the proposals, if the membership focuses on the intent of the legislation and uses established processes for necessary interpretations or clarifications, the benefits realized will outweigh any burden related to monitoring and enforcement. The cabinet noted that the proposals were sponsored with delayed effective dates, which was intended to allow time for education and to address implementation issues. The cabinet reaffirmed its support for the amendments it sponsored (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C-1 and 2010-20-C-1), as currently constituted and for the adoption of the base proposals (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-18-C and 2010-20-C) as they would be amended. In addition, as noted in its review of Proposal No. 2011-22, the cabinet expressed support for the concept of a limit on the number of individuals in the bench area during men's basketball competition.

b. Priority Item – Football Recruiting Issues. The cabinet continued its review of issues related to the current football recruiting environment. The cabinet noted the ongoing concerns regarding (1) the involvement of scholastic and nonscholastic third-party individuals in the recruiting process; (2) 7-on-7 events being hosted at institutional facilities; (3) permitting unofficial visits in conjunction with "tours" made by 7-on-7 programs; and (4) hiring nonscholastic coaches at institutional camps. Regarding 7-on-7 events at institutional facilities, and concerns related to 7-on-7 club programs, the cabinet supported two proposals submitted by the Southeastern Conference (SEC) (Proposal Nos. 2011-46 and 2011-47) which begin to address issues surrounding 7-on-7 events and the inclusion of third-party individuals in the recruiting process. Regarding the issue of hiring nonscholastic coaches at institutional camps, the cabinet noted the possibility of considering legislation similar to the current legislation applicable to men's basketball. The cabinet agreed to seek feedback from the membership and other involved entities (e.g., coaches association, high school associations) and to continue to discuss ways to specifically address the concerns. The cabinet will discuss this matter further at its February 2012 meeting.

c. Revisions of Terms Associated with Recruiting Periods. The cabinet continued its review of a request by the SEC to sponsor legislation related to the revision of terms associated with recruiting periods. The cabinet is supportive of the concept to redefine the current recruiting periods (i.e., contact, evaluation, quiet and dead), as off-campus, on-campus and dead, in an effort to clarify the types of activities

d. that are permissible during each period. The cabinet noted that additional information and feedback is needed prior to sponsoring legislation to determine support or opposition as well as potential unintended consequences. The cabinet agreed to seek feedback from coaches associations of sports that are most likely to be affected by the concept. The cabinet will discuss this matter further at its February 2012 meeting.

e. Men's Basketball Recruiting Model. At the request of the NCAA Division I Leadership Council, the cabinet reviewed the council's preliminary draft of the men's basketball recruiting model. The cabinet noted that many of the recommendations in the areas of communication with prospective student-athletes, off-campus contacts, official visits and tryouts are similar to the concepts the cabinet reviewed in its examination of the recruiting model for all sports. In the area of communication with prospective student-athletes, the cabinet prefers to establish July 1 at the beginning of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school as the permissible start date for communication. The cabinet noted that the July 1 date would only eliminate one phone call in the month of June as currently proposed in the council's model and still provides an opportunity for coaches to communicate with prospective student-athletes prior to their participation in summer certified events. Further, in the area of off-campus contacts, the cabinet is generally supportive of permitting contacts during a prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school. However, it recommends that the council consider establishing a separate number of permissible contacts that may occur in the junior year in addition to the three contacts that may occur in the senior year, as opposed to a maximum of three contacts combined during the junior and senior years. The cabinet believes that in order to effectively use the additional time permitted for contacts during the junior year, institutions should also be afforded additional contact opportunities.

Cabinet Chair: *Ian McCaw, Baylor University, Big-12 Conference*
Staff Liaisons: *Charnele Kemper, Academic and Membership Affairs*
Jennifer Roe, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jackie Thurnes, Enforcement Services
Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

ATTACHMENT

NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
LEGISLATIVE POSITIONS AND COMMENTS ON PROPOSALS IN THE 2011-12 LEGISLATIVE CYCLE

The following chart sets forth positions and comments related to recruiting and athletics personnel legislation sponsored by other NCAA governance entities and conferences in the 2011-12 legislative cycle. Please note that a position of support with no additional comment reflects that the cabinet supported the rationale provided by the sponsor of the proposal.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-12	PERSONNEL – DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS – GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH – BASKETBALL	In basketball, to permit an institution to employ one graduate assistant coach.	Oppose • Noted that given the current squad sizes and the legislative financial aid limits, current coaching limitations [four head or assistant coaches, four undergraduate student coaches, strength and conditioning coach(s)], are sufficient. • Expressed concern that an additional coaching position only reinforces the perception identified by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors regarding the increased number of bench personnel. • Expressed concern with additional costs for institutions.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-13	PERSONNEL – DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS – GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH—BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL—NO PREVIOUS FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach must have either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual must not have not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a Football Bowl Subdivision institution or in a professional football league.	Oppose • Believes that the underlying issues are not nationally significant and that the potential impact on competitive equity is minimal. It is likely that isolated incidents lead to the underlying restriction [i.e., receipt of first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years]. • Recommends potential deregulation of the underlying restriction.
2011-14	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL AND WOMEN'S ROWING – NO PREVIOUS COLLEGIATE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach shall have no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach.	Oppose • Believes that the underlying issues are not nationally significant and that the potential impact on competitive equity is minimal. It is likely that isolated incidents lead to the underlying restriction [i.e., receipt of first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years]. • Recommends potential deregulation of the underlying restriction.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-15	PERSONNEL – DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS – STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH – FULL-TIME GRADUATE STUDENT WITHIN FIVE-YEAR PERIOD OF ELIGIBILITY	To permit a full-time graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to serve as a student assistant coach, provided he or she meets additional criteria, as specified.	Support
2011-16	PERSONNEL – DEFINTIONS AND APPLICATIONS – UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH – EXCEPTION—NONPARTICIPANT--FOOTBALL	To specify that in football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the remaining criteria applicable to an undergraduate student assistant coach, as specified.	Oppose • Potential unintended consequences of outside individuals being involved with the program. • Isolated incidents may be addressed through the waiver process. • May decrease opportunities for student-athletes to participate based on limited number of opportunities.
2011-17	PERSONNEL – CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS – ATHLETICALLY RELATED INCOME – PART-TIME OR VOLUNTEER STAFF WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES	To specify that contractual agreements between a part-time or volunteer athletics department staff member with sport-specific responsibilities and an institution shall include the stipulation that the staff member is required to provide a written detailed account annually to the president or chancellor for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution.	Oppose • Not an issue of national significance. • Recommends potential deregulation of the underlying outside income regulations.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-18	PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING – RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS – TELEPHONE CALLS—RECEIPT OF CALLS FROM PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	To eliminate the restriction on the receipt of telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches) that requires such calls to be received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations.	Support • Reduces monitoring. • Appropriate deregulation. • Many consider the current rule to be unenforceable.
2011-19	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- FOOTBALL – SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that all nine assistant coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period; further, in championship subdivision football, to specify that all 11 coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.	Support
2011-20	PERSONNEL – LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES-- OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITING—WOMEN’S BASKETBALL—NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS DURING SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	In women's basketball, to specify that four coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time at nonscholastic events during the spring evaluation period.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-21	PERSONNEL – LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME—EXCEPTION—FOOTBALL—CONTACT PERIOD	In football, to specify that during a contact period, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than seven coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.	Support
2011-22	PERSONNEL – BENCH PERSONNEL RESTRICTION – MEN'S BASKETBALL	In men's basketball, to specify that during a contest against outside competition, institutional bench personnel shall be limited to four coaches, one director of basketball operations (or similar position) and two additional individuals (e.g., athletic trainer, team physician, manager).	Oppose as written. Recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to match the bench limitations for the NCAA Men's Basketball Championship [i.e., 22 individuals in bench area (including student-athletes), 17 on bench (or 17 chairs) during competition]. In the event the sponsor does not support the suggested modification, the cabinet shall sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet supports the concept of limiting the number of individuals in the bench area during men's basketball competition but believes that an institution should use its discretion to determine who may occupy the bench area.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-26	AMATEURISM, RECRUITING, ELIGIBILITY AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES – WORLD UNIVERSITY CHAMPIONSHIPS	To include the World University Championships in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games.	Support
2011-28	RECRUITING—PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS—GENERAL EXCEPTIONS—PARENTS OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's parents (or legal guardians) and the parents (or legal guardians) of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled on-campus athletics event shall be permissible.	Support
2011-29	RECRUITING—PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS—STUDENT-ATHLETE—OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS DURING AN UNOFFICIAL VISIT	To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-30	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE – NON LIMITS ON OR AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE	To deregulate the restrictions on telephone calls and electronically transmitted correspondence, as specified.	Oppose • Supports the concept of the proposal but prefers Proposal No. 2011-31. • Recommends that the sponsor withdraw proposal and support Proposal Nos. 2011-31 and 2011-37.
2011-35	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL AND MEN'S ICE HOCKEY – JUNE 15 AT CONCLUSION OF SOPHOMORE YEAR	In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, to specify that an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school.	Oppose • Supports concept of the proposal but prefers Proposal No. 2011-37. • Recommends that the sponsor withdraw the proposal and support Proposal No. 2011-37.
2011-36	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – ELECTRONIC CORRESPONCE – ALL FORMS PERMITTED ON OR AFTER SEPTEMBER 1 OF JUNIOR YEAR	To specify that an institution shall not send electronic correspondence (e.g., email, chat, instant messages, text messages) to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school.	Oppose • Supports concept of the proposal but prefers Proposal No. 2011-37. • Recommends that the sponsor withdraw the proposal and support Proposal No. 2011-37.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-38	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- EXCEPTION – SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS—AUTOMATED NOTIFICATIONS	To specify that automated electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete from a social media platform as the result an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting a "friend" request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.	Support • Appropriate deregulation.
2011-39	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – ADVERTISEMENTS AND PROMOTIONS – CAMP OR CLINIC ADVERTISEMENTS – CAMP OR CLINIC BROCHURES AVAILABLE AT EVENT VENUE	To specify that an institution may make institutional camp or clinic brochures available at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes.	Support
2011-40	RECRUITING – OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT—ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS ON OFFICIAL VISIT—STUDENT HOST – ENTERTAINMENT ALLOWANCE	To increase, from $30 to $40, the allowance that an institution may provide a student host for each day of a prospective student-athlete's official visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete; further, to increase, from $15 to $20, the additional allowance an institution may provide the student host per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-41	RECRUITING – UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT—FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT	To specify that a prospective student-athlete may not make an athletically-related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15th at the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's freshman year of high school	Oppose • Believes such a restriction to be inconsequential and unenforceable. • Would add additional monitoring requirements. • Would likely result in inadvertent violations.
2011-42	RECRUITING – ENTERTAINMENT, REIMBURSEMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OF HIGH SCHOOL/COLLEGE-PREPARATORY SCHOOL/TWO-YEAR COLLEGE COACHES AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- EXCEPTION – NONATHLETICS PERSONNEL	To permit an institutional department outside the athletics department (e.g., president's office, admissions) to host nonathletics high school, preparatory school or two-year college personnel (e.g., guidance counselors, principals) at a home intercollegiate athletics event and may provide such individuals food, refreshments, room expenses and a nominal gift, provided the visit is not related to athletics recruiting and there is no involvement by the institution's athletics department in the arrangements for the visit, other than providing (in accordance with established policy) free admissions to an athletics event.	No position. • The concept should be reviewed to determine whether the underlying restriction on benefits and entertainment provided to nonathletics personnel is appropriate based on the review of legislation through the lenses of significance and enforceability.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-43	RECRUITING AND FINANCIAL AID – LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS – LETTER-OF-INTENT RESTRICTIONS—LIMIT ON NUMBER OF SIGNINGS—BOWL SUBDVISIONS FOOTBALL	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be an annual signing limit of 25 on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or institutional offer of financial aid from December 1 through May 31; further to specify that a prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.	Support
2011-44	RECRUITING – LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS – SUBMISSION OF TRANSCRIPT TO ELIGIBILITY CENTER BEFORE SIGNING	To specify that an institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete (other than a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country or a home-schooled prospective student-athlete) to sign a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment.	Support • Noted concern related to placing the burden on institutions to prompt the prospective student-athlete to submit transcripts. Recommends exploring options to increase efficiencies in the process (e.g., upon registration the high school is prompted by the NCAA Eligibility Center to submit transcripts).

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-46	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS – NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS--FOOTBALL	In football, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.	Support
2011-47	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS – LOCAL SPORTS CLUB -- FOOTBALL	In football, to prohibit a coach or a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities from being involved in any capacity in a football club that includes prospective student-athletes.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-48	RECRUITING – SPORTS CAMP AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS – EXCEPTION—SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL	In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's coaches to engage in recruiting conversations with prospective student-athletes during the institution's camps or clinics.	Support
2011-49	RECRUITING – SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS – EMPLOYMENT AT CAMP OR CLINIC – ATHLETICS STAFF MEMBERS – NONINSTITUTIONAL, PRIVATELY OWNED CAMPS OR CLINICS—BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL—HEAD COACH EXCEPTION—CHARITABLE OR NONPROFIT CAMP OR CLINIC	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that an institution's head coach may participate as a volunteer (e.g. counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) on one day in June or July outside the designated two periods of 15 consecutive days at a charitable or nonprofit camp or clinic, as specified.	Oppose • Isolated incidents may be addressed through waivers. • Institutions have control over their designated two periods of 15 consecutive days.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-50	RECRUITING – USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS – RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES – CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. In basketball and football, to eliminate the restriction on subscribing to a service that includes access to nonscholastic video.	Support
2011-51	RECRUITING – USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS – RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES – CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION—SPORTS OTHER THAN BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video.	Oppose • Prefers Proposal No. 2011-50.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-52	RECRUITING – USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS – RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES – CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION—NCAA APPROVAL—BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	In basketball and football, to specify that an institution shall not subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service unless the service has been approved by the NCAA pursuant to an annual approval process.	Support
2011-53	RECRUITING—PRECOLLEGE EXPENSES—DONATION OF ATHLETICS EQUIPMENT—ELIMINATION OF 30-MILE RADIUS	To eliminate the restriction that precludes an institution from donating athletics equipment to a bona fide youth organization outside a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus.	Support • Appropriate deregulation. • Noted that institutions not located within a metropolitan area may not have access to youth organizations within 30-mile radius that have need for athletics equipment.
2011-54	RECRUITING—RECRUITING CALENDARS—WOMEN'S BASKETBALL—JULY EVALUATION AND DEAD PERIODS	In women's basketball, to specify that during the time period of July 6-31, the recruiting calendar shall consist of, consecutively, a seven-day evaluation period, a 10-day dead period, a seven-day evaluation period and a two-day dead period.	No position. • Noted that the NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee intends to discuss the concept in the next several months in relation to its development of the women's basketball recruiting model.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-61	RECRUITING—BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION—WOMEN'S BASKETBALL—NO EMPLOYMENT OF CURRENT STUDENT-ATHELTES	In women's basketball, to specify that a certified event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete.	Oppose • Noted that women's basketball student-athletes should have a variety of permissible employment opportunities, such as those associated with these types of events (e.g., scorekeeping). • This proposal would eliminate a viable option. Some student-athletes work these events to gain experience for further professional career aspirations (e.g., coaching, event management).