A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

The Westin
Indianapolis, Indiana

September 28-29, 2010

1. Welcome.

2. Review NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet roster. [Supplement No. 1]

3. Report from the June 8-9, 2010, cabinet meeting. [Supplement No. 2]

4. Report from the August 12, 2010, NCAA Division I Board of Directors meeting. [Supplement No. 3]

5. Report from the August 26, 2010, NCAA Division I Communications and Coordination Committee teleconference. [Supplement No. 4]

6. Report from the July 15-18, 2010, NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee meeting. [Supplement No. 5]

7. Review cabinet's guiding principles. [Supplement No. 6]

8. Update from the NCAA enforcement/basketball focus group staff.

   ***Background*:** The staff will provide an update and summary of recent infractions cases involving athletics personnel and recruiting issues, specifically in regard to topics that the cabinet will discuss during this meeting.

   ***Anticipated Action*:** None.

9. Review 2010-11 legislative proposals forwarded to the cabinet. [Supplement No. 7]

Background: The cabinet will review 26 legislative proposals in the 2010-11 cycle that have been forwarded to the cabinet and relate to NCAA Bylaw 11 and/or Bylaw 13.

***Anticipated Action*:** The cabinet will discuss the merits and potential issues related to the application of the proposals and will take action to support or oppose. In addition, the cabinet may take action to recommend modifications to the proposals and/or sponsor alternative proposals.

10. Proposals/Concepts Related to Women's Sand Volleyball. [Supplement No. 8]

Background: With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women to August 1, 2011, proposals related to the structure of the sport that were sponsored in 2009 were tabled by the Legislative Council. Those proposals and any new concepts related to the sport are to be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. This cabinet has been asked to review the application of the previously sponsored proposals and consider new or additional concepts related to the administration of the sport.

***Anticipated Action*:** The cabinet will discuss the merits and potential issues related to the application of the proposals and consider sponsoring alternative proposals for the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

11. Review 2010-11 legislative proposals sponsored by the cabinet. [Supplement No. 9]

Background: The cabinet will review the legislative proposals it sponsored in the 2010-11 cycle and any feedback or recommendations for modifications.

***Anticipated Action*:** The cabinet will discuss potential issues related to the application of the proposals. The cabinet may take action to modify the proposals and/or alternative proposals.

12. Updates on current/continuing issues and review of priorities. [Supplement No. 10]

***Background*:** The staff will provide an update on items that the cabinet has discussed and will continue to review and discuss during upcoming meetings. In addition, the cabinet will re-examine its priorities to assess progress and determine if other issues should be addressed.

***Anticipated Action*:** None.

13. Other business.

14. Future agenda items.

15. Key takeaways.

16. Future meetings.

    a. February 8-9, 2011 – Indianapolis, Indiana.
    - Monday, February 7 – travel (if necessary).
    - Tuesday, February 8 – travel. Meeting 3 to 8 p.m. (working dinner)
    - Wednesday, February 9 – Meeting 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. Return travel after 3 p.m.

    b. June 22-23, 2011 – Indianapolis, Indiana.
    - Tuesday, June 21 – travel (if necessary).
    - Wednesday, June 22 – travel. Meeting 3 to 8 p.m. (working dinner)
    - Thursday, June 23 – Meeting 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. Return travel after 3 p.m.

17. Adjournment.

NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
MEMBER ROSTER

SUPPLEMENT NO. 1

Member	E-mail	Conference	Term Expiration
Barber, Mr. Jeff Director of Athletics Liberty University 1971 University Boulevard Lynchburg, VA 24502 **Phone:** 434/582-2100 **Fax:** 434/582-2205 **Assistant:** Karen Goodwin **Phone:** 434/582-2100	jbarber2@liberty.edu	Big South	1-Jul-12
Brewer, Mr. Matt Assistant Athletics Director for Compliance Colorado State University 304 McGraw Athletic Center Fort Collins, Colorado 80523-0120 **Phone:** 970/491-5459 **Fax:** 970/491-3226 **Assistant:** **Phone:**	matt.brewer@colostate.edu	Mountain West	1-Jul-14
Brown, Mr. Scott Faculty Athletics Representative University of Connecticut Gentry 208 249 Glenbrook Road, Unit 2064 Storrs, Connecticut 06269-2048 **Phone:** 860/486-0181 **Fax:** 860/486-8325 **Assistant:** **Phone:**	scott.brown@uconn.edu	Big East	1-Jul-14
Daniels, Mr. Eugene Colorado State University 1113 West Plum Street, Apartment 203-A Fort Collins, Colorado 80521 **Phone:** 970-481-9261 **Assistant:** **Phone:**	Eugene.daniels89@gmail.com	NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee	
Doggett, Ms. Jana Senior Associate AD for External Affairs/SWA Utah State University 7400 Old Main Hill Logan, Utah 84322-7400 **Phone:** 435/757-9183 **Fax:** 435/797-1800 **Assistant:** Ronda Christoffersen **Phone:** 437/797-2060	jana.doggett@usu.edu	Western Athletic Conference	1-Jul-14

NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
MEMBER ROSTER

SUPPLEMENT NO. 1

Member	E-mail	Conference	Term Expiration
Gannon, Mr. Nicholas Senior Associate Athletics DirectorDrexel UniversityDepartment of Athletics3141 Chestnut StreetPhiladelphia, Pennsylvania 19104**Phone:** 215-895-1589**Fax:** 215-895-2037**Assistant:** Kathy Kalatsantonakis**Phone:** 215/895-1978	gannon@drexel.edu	Colonial Athletic Association	1-Jul-11
Hamilton, Mr. Michael Director of Men's Athletics University of Tennessee, Knoxville P.O. Box 15016 Knoxville, Tennessee 37901-5016 **Phone:** 865/974-1224 **Fax:** 865/974-2060 **Assistant:** Rita Wilson **Phone:** 865/974-4886	mhamilton@tennesse.edu	Southeastern	1-Jul-14
Hutchinson, Mr. Brian Director of Athletics Morehead State University 195 Academic-Athletic Center Morehead, Kentucky 40351 **Phone:** 606/783-2089 **Fax:** 606/783-5035 **Assistant:** Sherry Adkins **Phone:** 606/783-2089 **E-Mail:** sc.adkins@moreheadstate.edu	b.hutchinson@moreheadstate.edu	Ohio Valley	1-Jul-11
Kido, Ms. Erin Assistant Director of Athletics Xavier University 3800 Victory Parkway Cincinnati, Ohio 45207-6114 **Phone:** 513/745-2855 **Fax:** 513/745-3733 **Assistant:** Janet O'Brien **Phone:** 513/745-3727 **E-Mail:** obrien@xavier.edu	kidoe@xavier.edu	Atlantic 10	1-Jul-12
Long, Ms. Petrina Senior Associate Athletics Director University of California, Los Angeles PO Box 24044 325 Westwood Plaza Los Angeles, California 90095-1639 **Phone:** 310/206-6149 **Fax:** 310/206-7047 **Assistant:** Martha Jameson **Phone:** 310/794-4875	plong@athletics.ucla.edu mjameson@athletics.ucla.edu	Pacific-10	1-Jul-14

NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
MEMBER ROSTER

SUPPLEMENT NO. 1

Member	E-mail	Conference	Term Expiration
Martin, Ms. Bridget Associate Athletic Director McNeese State University P.O. Box 90375 Lake Charles, Louisiana 70609 **Phone:** 337/475-5296 **Fax:** 337/475-5297 **Assistant:** Penny Brown **Phone:** 337/562-4165	bmartin@mail.mcneese.edu	Southland	1-Jul-12
McCaw, Mr. Ian Director of Athletics Baylor University 1500 S. University Parks Drive Waco, Texas 76707 **Phone:** 254/710-1222 **Fax:** 254/710-2823 **Assistant:** Wendy Leighty **Phone:** 254/710-1222	Ian_McCaw@Baylor.edu	Big 12	1-Jul-14
Perkins, Mr. Louis "Skip" Director of Athletics University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff 1200 L.A. Davis Drive Mail Slot 4981 Pine Bluff, Arkansas 71601 **Phone:** 870/575-8675 **Fax:** 870/575-6721 **Assistant:** Shirley Sanders **Phone:** 807/575-7880	perkinsl@uapb.edu	Southwestern Athletic	1-Jul-11
Prettyman, Mr. Ron Director of Athletics Indiana State University ISU Arena Suite 104 A Terre Haute, Indiana 47809 **Phone:** 812/237-4089 **Fax:** **Assistant:** Debbie Nelson **Phone:** 812/237-4089	ron.prettyman@indstate.edu dnelson@indstate.edu	Missouri Valley	1-Jul-11

NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
MEMBER ROSTER

SUPPLEMENT NO. 1

Member	E-mail	Conference	Term Expiration
Radakovich, Mr. Dan Athletics Director Georgia Institute of Technology 150 Bobby Dodd Way, NW Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0455 **Phone:** 404/894-5411 **Fax:** 404/894-8864 **Assistant:** Stephanie Pippin **Phone:** 404/894-5411	drad@gatech.edu SPippin@at.gtaa.gatech.edu	Atlantic Coast	1-Jul-14
Reed, Mr. Shondell Sr. Associate Athletics Director Colgate University 13 Oak Drive Hamilton, New York 13346 **Phone:** 315/228-7605 **Fax:** 315/228-7008 **Assistant:** Cindy Chamberlain **Phone:** 315/228-7611	sreed@colgate.edu cchamberlain@colgate.edu	Patriot League	1-Jul-12
Sexton, Ms. Alison Senior Associate Athletics Director Fairfield University 1073 North Benson Road Fairfield, CT 06824 **Phone:** 203/254-4000 **Fax:** 203/254-4270 **Assistant:** **Phone:**	asexton@fairfield.edu	Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	1-Jul-12
Stull, Mr. Robert Athletics Director University of Texas at El Paso Athletics Department El Paso, Texas 79968-0579 **Phone:** 915/747-5891 **Fax:** 915/747-5247 **Assistant:** Rita Lerma **Phone:** 915/ 747-6822	rstull@utep.edu rlerma@utep.edu	Conference USA	1-Jul-14
Verlander, Mr. C. Alan Athletics Director Jacksonville University 2800 University Blvd. North Jacksonville, Florida 32211 **Phone:** 904/256-7401 **Fax:** 904/256-7424 **Assistant:** Nikki Swanson **Phone:** 904/256-7400	cverlan@ju.edu	Atlantic Sun	1-Jul-11

Member	E-mail	Conference	Term Expiration
Weston, Ms. Marcy Senior Woman Administrator University of Central Michigan Rose Center 100C Mt. Pleasant, Michigan 48859-0001 **Phone:** 989/774-6689 **Fax:** 989/774-5391 **Assistant:** **Phone:**	marcy.weston@cmich.edu	Mid-American	1-Jul-14
Wiggins, Ms. Nonie Faculty Athletics Representative Arkansas State University-Jonesboro 505 Melton Circle Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401 **Phone:** 870/972-3385 **Fax:** **Assistant:** **Phone:**	nwiggins@astate.edu	Sun Belt	1-Jul-14
Willis, Ms. Miechelle The Ohio State University 227 St. John Arena 410 Woody Hayes Drive Columbus, Ohio 43210 **Phone:** 614/292-7572 **Fax:** 614/292-0506 **Assistant:** **Phone:**	Willis.102@osu.edu	Big Ten	1-Jul-14

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET JUNE 8-9, 2010, MEETING

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Priority Item - Examination of the Recruiting Model.** The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Personnel Issues Cabinet continued its ongoing examination of the Division I recruiting model. The cabinet discussed the feedback that was received from the three models that were distributed to Division I member institutions, conferences and other constituent groups. The cabinet sponsored four proposals for the 2010-11 legislative cycle in the areas of verbal offers of financial aid, communication and post-National Letter of Intent activities. Further, the cabinet identified the need for all sports to develop recruiting calendars and recruiting-person days as the first step to help bring more uniformity to the legislation. The cabinet will continue to refine the concepts identified in the three recruiting models during the 2010-11 academic year and anticipates sponsoring additional legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle.

2. **Priority Item - Limits on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members with Sport-Specific Responsibilities.** The cabinet reviewed feedback received from two surveys administered to the membership and other constituent groups in January and March 2010 related to the number of noncaoching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities employed by Division I institutions. The data indicated that a majority of the membership favored establishing numerical limits on these positions. Based on the information received and concern expressed regarding the proliferation of these individuals in basketball and football, the cabinet sponsored three proposals for the 2010-11 legislative cycle to establish limits on the number of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities in basketball and football.

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Legislative – Cabinet Sponsored Proposals for the 2010-11 Legislative Cycle.**

   a. **Athletics Personnel - Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches - Football Bowl Subdivision - Noncoaching Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities.**

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to establish a maximum of four noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities in Football Bowl Subdivision.

(2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.

(3) Rationale. Based on the cabinet's examination of the number of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and feedback received from surveys administered in January and March 2010 to the membership and other constituents, the cabinet agreed it is necessary to establish limitations on the number of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities in football. The membership and NCAA Division I Board of Directors have expressed significant concern regarding the proliferation of these individuals and the impact on competitive equity. Further, this proposal will reaffirm a fundamental objective of the Association, which is to preserve and promote fair competition in intercollegiate athletics and reorient the programs into their traditional role as amateur sports as part of the educational mission of the institution. The cabinet also noted football and basketball are the sports in which a majority of institutions reported currently employing one or more noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities. Eighty-eight percent of survey respondents favored a limit of one to six noncoaching staff members in football and the limit of four was the option most favored by the directors of athletics. The cabinet believes that four is the most appropriate and practical limit when considering the number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by football programs in Football Bowl Subdivision. Some common examples of individuals who would be included in the proposed numerical limitations include, but are not limited to, directors of operations, video coordinators, quality control personnel, directors of player development and directors of community relations. Individuals who may report to another unit or department within the department of athletics or outside the department of athletics, such as sports information directors, academic advisors, athletic trainers, equipment managers and marketing staff, would not be included in the proposed numerical limitations even if these individuals have sport-specific responsibilities. Additionally, clerical staff (e.g., secretaries) and managers that work with specific sports programs would also not be included in the proposed numerical limitations.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by an institution.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

b. Athletics Personnel - Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches – NCAA Football Championship Subdivision - Noncoaching Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to establish a maximum of two noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities in Football Championship Subdivision.

(2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.

(3) Rationale. Based on the cabinet's examination of the number of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and feedback received from surveys administered in January and March 2010 to the membership and other constituents, the cabinet agreed it is necessary to establish limitations on the number of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities in football. The membership and Board of Directors have expressed significant concern regarding the proliferation of these individuals and the impact on competitive equity. Further, this proposal will reaffirm a fundamental objective of the Association, which is to preserve and promote fair competition in intercollegiate athletics and reorient the programs into their traditional role as amateur sports as part of the educational mission of the institution. The cabinet noted that football and basketball are the sports in which a majority of institutions reported currently employing one or more noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities. Eighty-eight percent of survey respondents favored a limit of one to six noncoaching staff members in football and the limit of two was the option most favored by the directors of athletics. The cabinet believes that two is the most appropriate and practical limit when considering the number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by football programs in Football Championship Subdivision. Some common examples of individuals who would be included in the proposed numerical limitations include, but are not limited to, directors of operations, video coordinators, quality control personnel, directors of player development and directors of community relations. Individuals

who may report to another unit or department within the department of athletics or outside the department of athletics, such as sports information directors, academic advisors, athletic trainers, equipment managers and marketing staff, would not be included in the proposed numerical limitations even if these individuals have sport-specific responsibilities. Additionally, clerical staff (e.g., secretaries) and managers that work with specific sports programs would also not be included in the proposed numerical limitations.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by an institution.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

c. Athletics Personnel - Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches - Basketball - Noncoaching Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to establish a maximum of one noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities in basketball.

(2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.

(3) Rationale. Based on the cabinet's examination of the number of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and feedback received from surveys administered in January and March 2010 to the membership and other constituents, the cabinet agreed it is necessary to establish limitations on the number of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities in basketball. The membership and Board of Directors have expressed significant concern regarding the proliferation of these individuals and the impact on competitive equity. Further, this proposal will reaffirm a fundamental objective of the Association, which is to preserve and promote fair competition in intercollegiate athletics and reorient the programs into their traditional role as amateur sports as part of the educational mission of the institution. The cabinet noted football and basketball are the sports in which a majority of institutions reported currently employing one or more noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities. Eighty-eight percent of survey respondents favored a limit of one to three noncoaching staff members in men's basketball and eighty-nine percent of

survey respondents favored a limit of one to three noncoaching staff members in women's basketball. Moreover, the limit of one was the option most favored by the directors of athletics surveyed for both men's and women's basketball. The cabinet believes that one is the most appropriate and practical limit when considering the number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by men's and women's basketball programs. Some common examples of individuals who would be included in the proposed numerical limitations include, but are not limited to, directors of operations, video coordinators, quality control personnel, directors of player development and directors of community relations. Individuals who may report to another unit or department within the department of athletics or outside the department of athletics, such as sports information directors, academic advisors, athletic trainers, equipment managers and marketing staff, would not be included in the proposed numerical limitations even if these individuals have sport-specific responsibilities. Additionally, clerical staff (e.g., secretaries) and managers that work with specific sports programs would also not be included in the proposed numerical limitations.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by an institution.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

d. Recruiting - Tryouts - Competition Against Prospective Student-Athletes - Football Bowl Subdivision - Service Academy Exception.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to establish an exception for a national service academy's football subvarsity team to compete against a two-year college team, a high school team or a preparatory school team, provided no payment or other inducement (e.g., guarantee) is provided to such a team and no recruiting activities occur with members of such a team in conjunction with such competition.

(2) Effective Date. August 1, 2011.

(3) Rationale. NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2009-49 (FBS) (adopted in January 2010) prohibits varsity and subvarsity teams in Football Bowl Subdivision from competing against any team that includes prospective student-athletes. The service academies, however, are somewhat unique

in that "redshirting" is not a viable option. The service academies are structured around a congressionally approved eight-semester program. All service academy students are expected to complete their undergraduate degree in four years and then serve on active duty in the armed forces. As a result, service academy student-athletes have only four years in which to complete their eligibility. Many service academy football student-athletes participate on a subvarsity team for one year and on the varsity team for three years. If not for this subvarsity opportunity, most of them would graduate and begin active duty service after using only three years of eligibility. Subvarsity competition against teams that include prospective student-athletes is necessary in order to have a viable schedule. This proposal recognizes and addresses the chief concerns expressed by the sponsor of Proposal No. 2009-49 (i.e., recruiting advantage) while preserving the participation opportunities afforded by junior varsity competition at the service academies. Such contests should be permitted as long as there is no payment (or other inducement) or recruiting activity in conjunction with these contests. Failure to adopt this proposal will result in lost participation opportunities at the service academies.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None when compared to legislation prior to Proposal No. 2009-49; increase in costs compared to current prohibition.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

e. Recruiting - Time Period for Telephone Calls - Sports Other Than Football.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to permit an institution to make one telephone call per month to a prospective student-athlete, parent or legal guardian on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school in sports other than football. Beginning August 1 prior to the individual's senior year in high school, an institution is permitted to make two telephone calls per week to a prospective student-athlete parent or legal guardian. Lastly, the institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to a two-year or four-year college prospective student-athlete, parent or legal guardian.

(2) Effective Date. August 1, 2011.

(3) Rationale. This proposal applies the current telephone call rule in men's basketball to all sports, except football. Based on the cabinet's examination of the current recruiting model and feedback received from the membership, this proposal will help to bring uniformity to the legislation regarding telephone calls for all sports. In excluding football, the cabinet noted that the current telephone call legislation in that sport is specific to the spring evaluation period. The cabinet noted this proposal will provide coaches the opportunity to communicate on a limited basis with prospects at an earlier time to better assess their academic preparedness and provide guidance regarding their high school academic curriculum. Such access can assist both institutions and prospects in making more sound recruiting evaluations and decisions. The cabinet noted that with the introduction of legislative proposals restricting verbal offers of athletics aid until July 1 following the completion of an individual's junior year in high school, additional opportunities will exist to build a coach/player relationship and obtain key information about a prospective student-athlete prior to making any verbal or written offers of financial aid.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

f. Recruiting - Official Visits After National Letter of Intent (NLI) Signing All Sports.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to prohibit a prospective student-athlete from making an official visit to the institution in which the prospective student-athlete has signed a NLI. Further, for an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete not eligible to sign a NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), a prospective student-athlete is prohibited from making an official visit to the institution after the prospect has signed an acceptance of a written offer of admission and/or financial aid.

(2) Effective Date. August 1, 2011.

(3) Rationale. The primary purpose of an official visit is to provide the prospective student-athlete and the institution an appropriate time and venue to evaluate one another as part of the recruiting process. Once a prospective student-athlete has signed a NLI, the recruiting process has

concluded. Therefore, an official visit after a prospective student-athlete has signed with an institution is an unnecessary evaluation. Prospective student-athletes that have signed an NLI would still be permitted to make unofficial visits to the institution.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. Potential cost savings for the institution.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

g. Recruiting - Letter of Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements - Verbal Offer of Aid.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal which would specify that prior to July 1 following a prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school, an institution shall not provide a verbal offer of athletically related financial aid to a prospective student-athlete, or a prospect's parent or legal guardian or any individual associated with the prospect as a result of the prospect's athletics participation. Further, to specify that the institution must have a minimum of a five-semester or seven-quarter high school transcript on file at the institution prior to extending the verbal offer of financial aid.

(2) Effective Date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. This proposal addresses the growing concern expressed by the Board of Directors and feedback from the Division I membership regarding coaches making verbal offers of financial aid to individuals who have not yet produced an academic history indicating admissibility to a collegiate institution. The cabinet noted the need for increased opportunities to tie recruiting activities to academic evaluations, specifically prior to offers of financial aid being issued by an institution. The cabinet believes that the requirement for a five-semester or seven-quarter high school transcript provides a reasonable time period to assess a prospective student-athlete's academic profile prior to making any verbal commitment to the prospect. Further, the cabinet noted that a specific date would relieve potential pressure on high school employees to provide the most updated transcript immediately on completion of an academic term.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

h. Personnel and Recruiting - Communication Limitations - Exception - After Receipt of Financial Deposit or Signing a National Letter of Intent.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal which would remove all restrictions on the forms and frequency of communication between any institutional administrators or coaching staff members and a prospective student-athlete, the prospect's parents or legal guardians the calendar day after any of the following has occurred:

(a) Prospect signs a NLI or for an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete not eligible to sign a NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer) a signed acceptance of a written offer of admission and/or financial aid agreement; or

(b) The institution has received a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission regardless of the date that it occurs.

The current limitations regarding contacts or communication with prospective student-athletes on the day of prospective student-athletes' competition, visits to prospect's educational institutions and publicity related to a prospect's signing would continue to apply.

(2) Effective Date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. This proposal reflects the membership's increased comfort level with and gradual move toward deregulation of communication after the generally recognized conclusion of the recruiting process.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

a. Review of U.S. Department of Justice Investigation into Limitation on Grant-in-Aid. The cabinet received an update from the NCAA staff regarding the ongoing investigation by the U.S. Department of Justice into the NCAA financial aid regulations limiting an athletics grant-in-aid to a one-year period. The cabinet expressed serious concerns about the impact on the landscape of intercollegiate

athletics if the grant-in-aid is expanded beyond a single year. Further, the cabinet noted the mode for awarding athletics aid is consistent with the model in higher education for awarding other types of nonathletics aid. Most academic or other merit-based awards within higher education are renewed on a single-year basis and specific renewal requirements must be met on a year-to-year basis.

b. **Review of California Assembly Bill No. 2079.** The cabinet discussed California Assembly Bill No. 2079, which addresses recruitment of student-athletes. The bill provides its own definition of "student-athlete," which is much broader than the current NCAA definition and would require collegiate athletics recruiters to provide in writing a "disclosure letter" or, in the case of a student-athlete who has not yet entered grade 11, direction to a disclosure letter posted on the institution's Web site. The disclosure letter would be required to contain information about athletics scholarships (including renewals), athletically related medical expenses and athletics releases. In addition to the disclosure letter, the bill also contains requirements for providing a written offer of aid within a prescribed time period after making a verbal offer, and a few other items. If enacted, the bill would be effective January 1, 2011.

During its review, the cabinet noted that much of the information addressed in the bill is currently available and provided to prospective student-athletes on request. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's goal of transparency; however, the cabinet noted that the bill, as written, would in many instances force NCAA institutions to choose between complying with NCAA legislation and breaking California state law, or vice versa. The cabinet believed that this issue is best addressed by a national governing body that has jurisdiction of members across all states. In addition, the cabinet noted that this legislation could place member institutions and prospective student-athletes within the state of California at a disadvantage nationally compared with their peers in other states.

c. **Enforcement Update.** The enforcement staff provided an update on the Division I major infractions cases for January to April 2010 involving violations of recruiting communication legislation. The cabinet requested the NCAA staff to include the penalties in future enforcement updates.

d. **Transportation from Airport or Bus or Train Station for Official Visits.** Based on a request from the membership, the cabinet reviewed the legislation regarding the provision of transportation of prospects from an airport or bus or train station on official visits that is not nearest to the institution. Specifically, the cabinet reviewed a situation involving an institution located in a major metropolitan area with multiple airports. If an institution selects an airport which

is not the closest major airport, they are required to begin the 48-hour period of the official visit. The cabinet was requested to review whether to propose legislation to permit an institution to select any airport within a specific-mile radius based on cost without starting the 48-hour period. The staff noted that at this time, no waivers to permit such action have been requested to the NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief. The cabinet noted that because no waivers have been requested, it was not necessary to propose a legislative change and suggested that the Subcommittee for Legislative Relief waiver process is the most appropriate way to address this potential issue.

e. **NCAA Bylaws 11 and 13 Proposals/Concepts Related to Women's Sand Volleyball.** The cabinet received an update regarding the 2009-10 proposals related to athletics personnel and recruiting for sand volleyball. The cabinet was reminded that the original proposals will be considered during the 2010-11 legislative cycle which will allow for further discussion and development of additional or alternative legislation. Additionally, the cabinet reviewed the application guide and points to consider document regarding the sand volleyball proposals and was informed that a working group of the Collegiate Commissioners Association Compliance Administrators has been created to study the current proposals and may provide alternative concepts for the cabinet to consider at its September meeting.

f. **Priority Item - Examination of Recruiting Model.** The cabinet continued its ongoing comprehensive examination of the recruiting model in the areas of academic and athletics evaluations, communication, campus visit and verbal and written offers of financial aid. The cabinet reviewed the feedback from Division I conferences, institutions and coaches associations. The cabinet noted a lack of consensus within the membership for any single model; however, the valuable feedback received allowed the cabinet to set priorities and laid the foundation for future shifts in recruiting legislation. Based on the feedback received, the cabinet agreed to sponsor four legislative proposals intended to begin to shift the culture and emphasis of recruiting in Division I. In addition to the sponsored legislation, the cabinet requested that the NCAA staff begin work with sport-specific groups and coaches associations to develop recruiting calendars and establishing recruiting-person days for each sport. The intent of this action is to bring all sports under the same general model of recruiting, while retaining the ability for variation between sports. It is anticipated that additional proposals will be developed to be placed into the 2011-12 legislative cycle.

g. **Future Agenda Items**. The cabinet identified as priority items for the upcoming year, the proliferation of strength and conditioning coaches and a broad

examination of recruiting materials in order to ensure consistency of the legislation and possible deregulation.

Cabinet Chair: ***Petrina Long, University of California, Los Angeles, Pacific-10 Conference***
Staff Liaison(s): ***Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs***
Jeremiah Carter, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jen Daniels, Academic and Membership Affairs
Charnele Kemper, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jackie Thurnes, Enforcement Services

REPORT OF THE AUGUST 12, 2010, MEETING OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

1. **Report of the April 29, 2010, Board of Directors meeting. The Board approved the report of its April 29, 2010, meeting.** (Unanimous Voice Vote.) [Reference Supplement No. 1.]

2. **Report of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group**. The Board received a report from Ann Millner, chair of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group (PAG), regarding the group's August 9, 2010, conference call. The Board was informed of PAG's view regarding various Board agenda items as they were considered by the Board, including actions by the Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) members of PAG to sponsor legislation, regarding membership requirements for the FCS. [Reference BOD Supplement No. 2.]

3. **Report from the Interim President.** NCAA Interim President Jim Isch reported on the following items:

   a. **Head Coaches Academic Performance Rate (APR) Portfolio**. Isch noted the recent release of the single-year APR scores for Division I head coaches in six sports, including football and basketball. It was noted that the database was designed to create more transparency in the Academic Performance Program and strengthen the accountability of coaches for the academic performance of their student-athletes.

   b. **Agents**. Isch noted recent news stories about agents and their involvement with student-athletes and prospective student-athletes. The Board was informed that appropriate discussions concerning relationships involving student-athletes and agents have already begun in the NCAA structure. It is expected that the agent issue will be reviewed by various governance bodies, along with feedback from the membership, before the Board would be expected to act.

   c. **Values-Based Decision Making**. Wally Renfro, vice president and senior advisor to the president, described the work of the Values-Based Decision Making Group and a draft of a white paper that attempts to examine values as a balance point between self-interest and self-denial in decision making. The Board was asked to provide feedback that can be used by the Values-Based Decision Making Group as it continues this project.

   d. **Athletics Finances**. Todd Petr, NCAA managing director of research, presented the Board with revenue and expense data related to six-year trends in Division I athletics finances, with a focus on the Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS). The group discussed the trends evidenced by the data and noted some of the differences across the

subdivisions. A request was made for the research staff to incorporate non-FBS data into the FBS quartile data that was presented in order to compare similarities and differences.

4. **Knight Commission Report**. The Board heard a presentation from Brit Kirwan and Gerald Turner, co-chairs of the Knight Commission, regarding its 2010 report "Restoring the Balance" in intercollegiate athletics. The Board and the co-chairs engaged in considerable discussion about the commission's recommendations and a process to continue communication of the commission's recommendations and follow up in the NCAA governance structure.

5. **National Association of Basketball Coaches Report (NABC).** Jim Haney, executive director of the NABC, informed the Board of the NABC's views on ways to improve men's basketball APR and graduation rates, as well as challenges faced by men's basketball in this area. The Board received a copy of the NABC Communications Strategy for Coaches, which is a document that includes suggested best practices for coaches to enhance communications at the campus, conference and national level. The Board endorsed the plan and agreed to encourage Division I institutions to consider and implement some of these strategies.

6. **Legislative Issues**. The Board reviewed the following legislative recommendations:

   a. **Football Academic Working Group**. The Board reviewed the working group's recommendation that it sponsor legislation that would require football student-athletes who do not successfully complete nine semester or eight quarter credit hours or earn the fall semester or quarter APR eligibility point to be ineligible for participation in the first four games of the following football season. However, if the student-athlete has successfully completed 27 credit hours or 40 quarter hours prior to the beginning of the following fall term, he would be ineligible for only two games.

   b. **Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group**. The Board considered a recommendation that it sponsor legislation that would establish a summer academic preparation and college acclimatization model for designated men's basketball student-athletes.

   c. **Division I Membership Standards**. The Board considered a recommendation that it sponsor legislation to implement new Division I membership standards.

BOARD ACTION: The Board agreed to sponsor the recommended legislation in Item Nos. 6-a through 6-c above in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. (Unanimous voice vote.) [Reference BOD Supplement Nos. 5, 6 and 7.]

d. **Concussion Management.** The Board was asked to use its authority to adopt emergency legislation that would require Division I institutions to have a concussion management plan on file, as specified in the proposal.

BOARD ACTION: The Board used its authority to adopt emergency legislation as requested above. (Unanimous voice vote.) [Reference Proposal No. 2010-4.]

e. **Basketball Focus Group.** The Board was asked to use its authority to adopt emergency legislation that would specify the eligibility consequences and notice requirements for violations in the men's basketball enforcement initiative involving camp employment, camp operation and men's basketball staff involvement in the operation of nonscholastic events. It was noted that the Board adopted the concept during its October 2009 meeting; however, this action was necessary to include these provisions in the NCAA Manual.

BOARD ACTION: The Board agreed to adopt emergency legislation that would specify the eligibility consequences and notice requirements for the violations specified above. (Unanimous voice vote.) [Reference Proposal No. 2010-5.]

7. **Update on Legislative Council's Consideration of Board of Director's April Resolution.** Shane Lyons, associate commissioner at the Atlantic Coast Conference and chair of the Division I Legislative Council, reviewed a discussion document submitted in response to the Board's April Resolution requesting that the Legislative Council develop a draft of a policy statement that would provide better guidance regarding consideration of proposed NCAA legislation. The discussion document provided information to assist the Board in its understanding of the dynamics of the current legislative cycle and identified several concepts relating to the legislative process that will be discussed further by the Legislative Council during its October meeting. It was noted that the Board will receive an update from the council during the Board's October meeting.

8. **Committee on Academic Performance**. The Board received a report of the June 28-30, 2010, meeting of the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP). The Board asked that during its October meeting the CAP report on its examination of the Academic Performance Rate (APR) calculation and whether a score of 925 remains the appropriate benchmark.

9. **Litigation Update.** Elsa Cole, NCAA General Counsel, provided this report to the Board.

10. **Division I Committee on Infractions.** The Board reviewed a recommendation from the Committee on Infractions to revise NCAA Bylaw 32.7.1 to remove the prohibition that the summary disposition process shall not be used to adjudicate a case involving a repeat violator.

    BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the recommended revision to Bylaw 32.7.1. (Unanimous voice vote.) [Reference PP-2010-1.]

11. **Election of New Chair.** The Board voted to select Judy Genschaft, president of the University of South Florida, to serve as the new chair of the Board of Directors. (Unanimous voice vote.)

12. **Future meeting Dates**.

    a. October 28, 2010, The Westin Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana.

    b. January 15, 2011, NCAA Convention, San Antonio, Texas.

    c. April 28, 2011, The Westin Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana

    d. August 11, 2011, The Westin Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana

    e. October 27, 2011, The Westin Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana

Board of Directors chair: Edward Ray, Oregon State University, acting chair for this meeting
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

NCAA DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS
AUGUST 12, 2010, MEETING ATTENDANCE

Board members in attendance:
Stanley Albrecht, Utah State University, Western Athletic Conference
Guy Bailey, Texas Tech University, Big 12 Conference
Charles Bantz, Indiana University-Purdue University of Indianapolis, Summit League
William Beauchamp, University of Portland, West Coast Conference
Greg Dell'Omo, Robert Morris University, Northeast Conference
Nathan Hatch, Wake Forest University, Atlantic Coast Conference
Sidney McPhee, Middle Tennessee State University, Sun Belt Conference
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference
Ann Millner, Weber State University, Big Sky Conference
John Peters, Northern Illinois University, Mid-American Conference
Edward Ray, Oregon State University, Pacific-10 Conference, acting chair
David Schmidly, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference
Lou Anna Simon, Michigan State University, Big Ten Conference
Lee Todd, University of Kentucky, Southeastern Conference
Steadman Upham, University of Tulsa, Conference USA

Board members not in attendance:
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida, Big East Conference
William R. Harvey, Hampton University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference
Kevin Mullen, Siena College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference

NCAA staff Liaisons in attendance:
S. David Berst, NCAA
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA, recording secretary

Guests from other Division I governance bodies:
Shane Lyons, Atlantic Coast Conference, chair of the Division I Legislative Council

Other Guests:
Jim Haney, National Association of Basketball Coaches
Brit Kirwan, Knight Commission
Amy Perko, Knight Commission
Gerald Turner, Knight Commission

Other NCAA staff members in attendance: Erik Christianson, Elsa Cole, Joni Comstock, Mark Emmert, Bernard Franklin, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Jim Isch, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Keith Martin, Delise O'Meally, Tom Paskus, Todd Petr, Bill Regan, Wallace Renfro, Greg Shaheen, Robert Vowels, Wendy Walters, Charlotte Westerhaus, Bob Williams and Brandon Wright.

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
August13, 2010 SDB/JGC:vlm

REPORT OF
THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I COMMUNICATIONS AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE
AUGUST 26, 2010, CONFERENCE CALL

Participants: August 26, 2010

Sarah Bobert, Marquette University – (Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet)
Carolyn Callahan, University of Virginia – (Academic Cabinet)
Nick Fulton, Big Ten Conference, (Division I Student-Advisory Committee)
Rob Halvaks, Big West Conference – (Administration Cabinet)
Petrina Long, University of California, Los Angeles – (Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet)
Shane Lyons, Atlantic Coast Conference – (Legislative Council)
Jane Miller, University of Virginia – (Championships/Sports Management Cabinet- vice chair)
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA – recording secretary
Mike Rogers, Baylor University – (Amateurism Cabinet) and Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte -- (Championships/Sports Management Cabinet) were unable to participate on the call.

NCAA staff: David Berst, Angela Cretors, Jennifer Daniels, Diane Dickman, Lynn Holzman, Steve Mallonee, Binh Nguyen, Kris Richardson, Maricela Shukie, Alex Smith, Jennifer Strawley, Sharon Tufano and Brandon Wright also participated on the call.

The conference call was called to order at 3:04 p.m. by Jacqueline Campbell. All were present as noted above.

1. **Comments Regarding Cabinets' Key Items.** The cabinet chairs briefly shared the following June meeting highlights:

   a. Academic Cabinet. The cabinet met jointly with the Division I Committee on Academic Performance and continued its discussion of initial-eligibility and two-year college transfer requirements. The cabinet also discussed non-traditional coursework and agreed to sponsor two proposals that would simplify the legislation regarding the use of nontraditional coursework to meet full-time enrollment and progress-toward-degree requirements. In September, the cabinet plans to continue its discussion and evaluation of enhanced eligibility models.

   b. Administration Cabinet. During its June meeting, the cabinet agreed to sponsor Proposal No. 2010-11, which would remove the requirement that override votes take place at the annual NCAA Convention. The cabinet also conducted its annual review of institutions in the Division I provisional/reclassification process, advancing two

institutions to active membership and several others to the next year of the process. The cabinet reviewed regional alignments of 10-member/eight-region sport committees and recommended that the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet consider sponsoring legislation that would reduce the number of regions for these committees, which would offer broader representation opportunities. During the September meeting, the cabinet will consider several committee appointments and discuss its role in the new reclassification process included should Proposal No. 2010-100 be adopted to implement new Division I membership standards.

c. Amateurism Cabinet. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation in the 2010-11 legislative cycle that would modify the current regulations related to the use of a student-athlete's name, picture or likeness in promotional activities. The cabinet also agreed to sponsor non-controversial legislation to allow student-athletes prior to collegiate enrollment to receive performance-based awards while participating on outside amateur teams, provided the value of the awards do not exceed actual and necessary expenses. The cabinet began a discussion regarding agents and advisors, and their relationships with prospective and current student-athletes, as well as the pre- and post-draft structures in various sports. During its September meeting, the cabinet plans to continue its discussion of agents/advisors, as well as embarking on the topic of earmarked funds received by prospective student-athletes through fundraising activities.

d. Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet. The cabinet agreed to sponsor ten proposals in the 2010-11 legislative cycle that would provide student-athletes with an opportunity to receive additional sources of financial aid without impacting team limits. The cabinet also reaffirmed its support for the current regulation that requires financial aid awarded based on athletics ability not to exceed a one-year period. Looking forward to the September meeting, the cabinet will review departure/return expense legislation, participation awards limitations and Bylaw 16 waivers.

e. Championships/Sports Management Cabinet. During its June meeting, the cabinet continued its Bylaws 17 and 31 review and the impact of possible cost-containment measures. The cabinet agreed to sponsor two proposals that would prohibit missed class time for competition during the non-championship segment for certain sports and lodging in conjunction with home competition for the Football Championship Subdivision (FCS). In September, the cabinet will review legislative proposals for which its feedback has been requested, as well as conduct its regular review of recommendations from the various sports committees.

f. Recruiting/Athletics Personnel Cabinet. The cabinet reviewed feedback from the membership regarding the various proposed recruiting models. The cabinet agreed to sponsor proposals in the 2010-11 legislative cycle that would: 1) prohibit official

visits for prospective student-athletes that have signed a National Letter of Intent; 2) limit the number of noncoaching staff members in the sports of men's and women's basketball and football, and 3) prohibit a verbal offer of athletics financial aid prior to July 1 following the prospect's junior year in high school, with an additional requirement that the institution must receive a five-semester transcript prior to making the verbal offer. In September, the cabinet will continue its discussion of the various recruiting models, review legislative proposals for which its feedback has been requested and explore the development of recruiting calendars for all sports.

2. Brief Updates from Board of Directors and Legislative/Leadership Councils.

a. Board of Directors. The Board of Directors met twice since this committee's last call. The April meeting immediately followed the signing of the new media contract as well as the hiring of the new NCAA president. The Board approved expansion of the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship field from 65 to 68 teams. In August, the Board agreed to sponsor legislation that would: 1) establish a fall academic term requirement for competition for football student-athletes; 2) establish a summer academic preparation and college acclimatization model for designated men's basketball student-athletes; and 3) implement new Division I membership requirements. The Board also adopted emergency legislation requiring Division I institutions to maintain a concussion management plan. Lastly, the Board selected Judy Genshaft, president of the University of South Florida, as its new chair.

b. Leadership Council. During its April meeting, the Leadership Council finalized its recommendation for new Division I membership standards and recommended that the Board of Directors sponsor legislation to implement those standards. In October, the Council will spend considerable time discussing agents and their relationships with student-athletes, as well as a possible collaboration between the NCAA and Major League Baseball. The Council also will discuss a referral from the Administration Cabinet regarding the application of a Football Bowl Subdivision requirement that requires institutions to award at least 90 percent of the maximum permissible overall financial aid grants over a rolling two-year period.

c. Legislative Council. During its April meeting, the Council reviewed Proposal Nos. 2009-22 and 2009-51-B, which both received the requisite number of override vote requests. The Council modified its previous action and delayed the effective date of Proposal No. 2009-22 and revised Proposal No. 2009-51-B to apply only to the sport of basketball. The Council modifications were accepted by the membership and, therefore, there will be no Division I business session at the 2011 NCAA Convention for the purpose of voting on Division I legislation. During its October meeting, the

Council will review approximately 100 legislative proposals and will consider the Board of Directors' April Resolution that the Council develop a policy statement that would provide better guidance regarding consideration of proposed NCAA legislation.

3. **Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Update.** The chair of the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) updated the committee regarding the Division I SAAC's work over the past several months. The Division I SAAC has spent considerable time discussing commercialism and the use of a student-athlete's name, picture or likeness in promotional activities. In November, the committee will conduct its annual review of proposed legislation and determine those proposals for which it would like to establish and communicate a position.

4. **Update on the Division I Governance Collaboration Zone.** The committee received a brief demonstration of the Division I Governance Collaboration Zone. The committee was asked for feedback regarding use of the collaboration zone and what features would assist cabinet/council members in their governance work.

5. **2011 NCAA Convention.** The committee was informed that there will be no Division I business session at the 2011 Convention. The staff requested feedback on ways to increase the division's attendance at the Convention.

6. **Proposed Future Call Dates.** The staff noted that the next expected call date would be in early to mid-November following the fall governance meetings. The committee will be sent a calendar in September to indicate availability for the November call.

7. **Adjournment.** The call was adjourned at 4:03 p.m.

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE JULY 16-18, 2010

***KEY ITEMS*.**

1. **Commercial Activity in Intercollegiate Athletics.** Scott Bearby, NCAA associate general counsel, and Angie Cretors, associate director of agents, gambling and amateurism, updated the committee regarding the continuing analysis of commercial activities in intercollegiate athletics. Bearby indicated that the NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (Division I SAAC) memo regarding aspects of commercialism related to student-athlete well-being contributed to the forthcoming legislative proposal on the topic. Bearby solicited additional feedback from the committee regarding thoughts on the use of student-athletes in promotional activities and with commercial entities.

2. **Multi-Year Awards**. Kris Richardson, associate director of academic and membership affairs, and Elsa Cole, general counsel, reviewed the current one-year period of award legislation and the concept of multi-year awards. The committee expressed preliminary opposition to multi-year awards in part because it felt such awards would restrict opportunities for student-athletes in equivalency sports to earn larger athletics grants in the future, particularly student-athletes who achieve their peak performance level after their initial award. The committee expressed support for the current legislation because there is an annual opportunity for student-athletes in equivalency sports to increase their award. The committee will seek additional feedback from conference and campus SAACs prior to continuing the discussion at its November meeting.

3. **Recruiting Models**. Jeremiah Carter, associate director of academic and membership affairs, addressed the committee regarding proposed recruiting legislation and solicited feedback from the committee regarding the National Letter of Intent program, official visits, telephone contacts and offers of financial aid.

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

1. **Legislative Items**.

   None.

2. **Nonlegislative Items**.

a. **Selection of NCAA Division I SAAC members**. The Division I SAAC recommends that the Division I Administration Cabinet appoint the following student-athletes as Division I SAAC members:

(1) Big Sky Conference, Brittani Jones, Women's Golf, Montana State University - Bozeman.

(2) Big West Conference, Amanda Sims, Women's Basketball, Long Beach State University.

(3) Horizon League, Cassandra Lloyd, Women's Track, Wright State University.

(4) Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Kaitlyn Carew, Women's Swimming, Siena College.

(5) Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Carvell Copeland, Baseball, North Carolina A&T State University.

(6) Southern Conference, Chris Everett Jr., Football, Western Carolina University.

(7) Southwestern Athletic Conference, Taylour Smith, Softball, Grambling State University.

b. **Selection of Student-Athletes to NCAA Division I and Association-Wide Committees**. The committee appointed the following student-athletes to specified Association-wide and divisional cabinets and committees:

(1) Committee on Women's Athletics: Patriot League, Zack Solomon, Men's Soccer, American University.

(2) Minority Opportunity and Interests Committee: Atlantic 10 Conference, Darius Law, Men's Track and Field, University of North Carolina at Charlotte.

(3) Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct Committee: Atlantic Sun Conference, Carolyn Boyd, Softball, Stetson University.

(4) Championships and Sports Management Cabinet: Pacific 10 Conference, Jonathan Hackett, Men's Volleyball, University of Southern California.

(5) Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: Mountain West Conference, Eugene Daniels, Football, Colorado State University.

(6) Division I Administration Cabinet: Mid-American Conference, Kaitlyn Vincek, Women's Volleyball, Eastern Michigan University.

(7) Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports Committee: Big South Conference, Matt Horn, Men's Soccer, Winthrop University.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Guest Speakers**. The committee welcomed the following guest speakers:

a. Kris Richardson, associate director of academic and membership affairs, reviewed proposed financial aid legislation with the committee, including proposals regarding federal and state need-based financial aid, financial aid from outside sources and exemptions for institutional financial aid from team limits, specifically noting these proposals were developed by the NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet (ABEFA) as a means to provide student-athletes further access to financial aid funds that are not countable in their team limits.

b. LuAnn Humphrey, director of enforcement, reviewed the history and progress of the enforcement staff's basketball focus group and discussed the current men's basketball recruiting environment and recent legislation regarding recruitment.

c. Greg Shaheen, senior vice president of basketball and business strategies, discussed expansion of the men's basketball championship, including the NCAA's new contract with Turner Sports and CBS, as well as commercial activities in intercollegiate athletics.

d. Jean Perry, vice president of the Division I Faculty Athletics Representative Association, addressed the committee regarding the role of Faculty Athletics Representatives (FAR) on each campus, communication between student-athletes and FARs and various academic issues including course registration and balancing academic and athletics schedules. The committee provided feedback regarding academic advising, course registration, transportation methods, budget cuts and time devoted to academics.

e. Jaime Fluker, assistant director of education affairs, spoke to the committee regarding the National Student-Athlete Development Forum and the new format of the Regional Leadership Conferences.

f. Andy Louthain, associate director of academic and membership affairs, addressed the committee regarding the NCAA Academic Performance Program, including Academic Progress Rate, Graduation Success Rate and Facilitating Learning and Achieving Graduation program.

2. **Cabinet and Committee Reports**. The committee was updated regarding Division I and association-wide cabinets and committees on which Division I SAAC members serve. Regarding Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports, the committee briefly discussed supplements and banned substances and will continue reviewing the topic going forward.

3. **NCAA Legislative Council**. The committee was updated regarding the Legislative Council's April meeting and action taken on particular legislative proposals related to student-athlete well-being and amateurism, including Proposal 2009-22 regarding participation on a professional team and participation in organized competition prior to initial full-time enrollment.

4. **NCAA Board of Directors**. The committee received an update regarding the Board of Directors April meeting, including the expansion of the men's basketball championship and Division I membership criteria.

5. **Initial Review of 2010-11 Proposed Legislation**. The committee reviewed and began discussion of several proposed legislative items for the 2010-11 legislative cycle. The committee will review these proposals in-depth during its fall webinar. The committee discussed how to provide more support regarding education of legislative proposals to help campus and conference SAAC members better understand the current and proposed legislation. Members were reminded of responsibilities and resources currently available (e.g., conference and campus compliance officials) to assist in education and discussion efforts.

6. **Joint Meeting of Divisional Student-Athlete Advisory Committees**. During the joint meeting of the three divisional SAACs, updates were given from divisional SAACs and from association-wide committees including: the NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics, the NCAA Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee, the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports, Olympic Sports Liaison Committee and the NCAA Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct Committee. The committees also received presentations from Dave Klossner, director of health and safety, regarding sickle cell testing and concussions; Curtis Holloman, associate director of education affairs, regarding the Student-Athlete Leadership Development Forum; and Tiese Roxbury, assistant director of research, who administered and solicited feedback from student-athletes regarding a student-affairs survey.

7. **Media Team.** The committee was updated regarding the media team's initiatives including the Division I SAAC Facebook, Twitter and new blogsite, which includes a link to resources for prospective student-athletes. The committee discussed the website's target audience, how to improve content and ways to increase visitors to the site.

8. **2010 Gender Equity and Issues Forum**. The committee was updated by Division I SAAC attendees Eugene Daniels and Monique Carroll regarding the Gender Equity and Issues Forum, which took place April 25-27 in Chicago, Illinois.

9. **Division I SAAC Award of Excellence.** The committee announced the latest winners of the Division I SAAC Award of Excellence, including Sacred Heart University and a joint award for Georgetown University, Howard University and George Washington University.

10. **Green Team.** The committee was updated regarding the Green Team's initiatives and supported the suggestion of including a green initiative component to the Division I SAAC Award of Excellence.

11. **Knight Commission**. The committee was updated regarding a conference call with the Knight Commission in which the Division I SAAC chair and vice-chair participated. During that call, the group discussed the most recent report related to the financial state of college athletics.

12. **Division I Service Project**. The committee discussed pursuing an annual service project for Division I and selected a service team to pursue and coordinate discussion of the idea.

13. **Attendance.** Attendance for the July meeting is as follows:

Conference	School	Name	Sport	Present
America East Conference	Binghamton University	Robert Nolte	Men's Diving	Yes
Atlantic 10 Conference	University of North Carolina at Charlotte	Darius Law	Men's Track and Field	Yes
Atlantic Coast Conference	North Carolina State University	CJ Williams	Men's Basketball	Yes
Atlantic Sun Conference	Stetson University	Carolyn Boyd	Softball	Yes
Big 12 Conference	Baylor University	Logan Roberts	Men's Track and Field	Yes
Big East Conference	Georgetown University	Norah Swanson	Women's Soccer	Yes
Big South Conference	Winthrop University	Matthew Horn	Men's Soccer	Yes
Big Sky Conference	California State University, Sacramento	Anne Morrison	Women's Rowing	Yes
Big Ten Conference	University of Wisconsin, Madison	Nick Fulton	Men's Swimming	Yes
Big West Conference	University of the Pacific	Danielle Neault	Field Hockey	Yes
Colonial Athletic Association	James Madison University	Matthew Goff	Football	Yes

Conference	School	Name	Sport	Present
Conference USA	East Carolina University	Jarrett Newby	Men's Track and Field	Yes
Horizon League	Cleveland State University	Audrey Piotrowski	Women's Cross Country	Yes
Ivy Group	Columbia University-Barnard College	Natalia Christenson	Women's Tennis	Yes
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	Loyola College	Eryn Crane	Women's Swimming	Yes
Mid-American Conference	Eastern Michigan University	Kaitlyn Vincek	Women's Volleyball	Yes
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	Hampton University	Aminah Charles	Women's Volleyball	Yes
Missouri Valley Conference	Illinois State University	Scott Krapf	Men's Track and Field	Yes
Mountain West Conference	Colorado State University	Eugene Daniels	Football	Yes
Northeast Conference	Fairleigh Dickinson University, Metropolitan	Lauren Chapman	Women's Golf	Yes
Ohio Valley Conference	Jacksonville University	Chelsea Pelletier	Women's Soccer	Yes
Pacific -10 Conference	University of Southern California	Jonathan Hackett	Men's Volleyball	Yes
Patriot League	American University	Zack Solomon	Men's Soccer	Yes
Southeastern Conference	Mississippi State University	DJ Looney	Football	Yes
Southern Conference	Western Carolina University	Kendra Eaton	Women's Basketball	Yes
Southland Conference	Northwestern State University	Yaser Elqutub	Football	Yes
Southwestern Athletic Conference	University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff	Monique Carroll	Women's Volleyball/Track	Yes
The Summit League	Western Illinois University	Eric Anerino	Men's Cross Country/Track	Yes
Sun Belt Conference	University of Arkansas, Little Rock	Rachael Maina	Women's Soccer	Yes
West Coast Conference	University of Portland	Natalie Hemphill	Women's Cross Country/Track	Yes

Conference	School	Name	Sport	Present
Western Athletic Conference	University of Hawaii	Jessica Stacy	Women's Swimming	Yes
Others:				
Legislative Council	Texas State University – San Marcos, Associate Athletic Director	Tracy Shoemake	Southland Conference	Yes
Leadership Council	Pepperdine University, Director of Athletics	John Watson	West Coast Conference	No
Leadership Council	Miami University (Ohio), Faculty Athletic Representative	Susan Lipnickey	Mid-American Conference	Yes

13. **Future Meeting Schedule.**

a. Legislative webinar, October 3, 2010.

b. November 19-21, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

c. January 11-15, 2011, in conjunction with the NCAA convention, San Antonio, Texas.

d. Spring teleconference (TBD).

Committee Chair: Nick Fulton, University of Wisconsin Madison, Big Ten Conference
Committee Vice-Chair: Scott Krapf, Illinois State University, Missouri Valley Conference
Staff Liaisons: Kelly Groddy, Academic and Membership Affairs
Tim Nevius, Enforcement
Abigail Renaker, Academic and Membership Affairs
Maricela Shukie, Educational Affairs

NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues
Guiding Principles

NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet's guiding principles identified, in order of significance, for consideration when reviewing legislative proposals or other recruiting issues:

a. Adherence to the principle governing recruiting set forth in NCAA Constitution 2.11. Specifically, that the recruiting process involves a balancing of the interests of prospective student-athletes, their educational institutions and the Association's member institutions and that recruiting regulations be designed to promote equity among member institutions in its recruiting of prospective student-athletes and to shield them from undue pressures that may interfere with the scholastic or athletics interests of the prospective student-athletes or their educational institutions;

b. Commitment to student-athlete well-being and coaches' quality of life (e.g., time commitment) issues;

c. Ensure legislation is written in a simple, clear and direct manner;

d. Consideration of cost implications to institutions;

e. Consideration of whether or not sport-specific legislative proposals can be expanded to include other sports;

f. Consideration of the amount of time legislation has been in effect in order to assess the full impact before supporting a legislative change to the same bylaws;

g. Whenever possible ensure feedback has been solicited from appropriate external groups (e.g., coaches associations, national governing bodies) on issues, particularly when reviewing legislative proposals that are sport specific;

h. Examine potential unintended consequences when reviewing legislation, particularly proposals designated as noncontroversial or emergency;

i. Consideration of flexibility with regard to issues involving communication (e.g., telephone calls, written and electronic correspondence, contacts) with prospective student-athletes who have signed an National Letter of Intent (NLI) or for those institutions not using the NLI, a written offer of financial aid and/or offer of admission; and

j. Consideration of legislative proposals that focus recruiting practices on scholastic activities.

2010-11 NCAA Division I Proposal Review Chart

Note: All proposals in the 2010-11 Publication of Proposed Legislation are listed in this proposal review chart. Cabinets or committees that sponsored or recommended legislation have an opportunity to review their proposals and recommend any changes during the sponsor modification period (July 15 - October 26, 2010).

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Groups Responsible for Review
2010-14	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL AND WOMEN'S ROWING -- INCIDENTAL EXPENSES AT NCAA CHAMPIONSHIPS AND LICENSED BOWL GAMES	Atlantic Coast Conference	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet NCAA Division I Football Issues Committee Women's Rowing Committee
2010-15	PERSONNEL -- COMPENSATION AND REMUNERATION -- INCOME IN ADDITION TO INSTITUTIONAL SALARY - CONSULTANT FOR OR ENDORSEMENT OF NONINSTITUTIONAL ATHLETICS EVENTS INVOLVING PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	NCAA Division I Championship/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Basketball Issues Committee) (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
2010-17	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER OF COACHES -- FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION -- FOUR GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACHES	Big East Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Football Issues Committee
2010-19	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATION ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION -- WEIGHT OR STRENGTH COACH -- LIMIT OF FIVE	Big East Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Football Issues Committee
2010-21	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN BASKETBALL -- JUNE, JULY AND AUGUST	Big 12 Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Groups Responsible for Review
2010-22	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- BASEBALL -- JUNE, JULY AND AUGUST	Atlantic Coast Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Baseball Committee
2010-27	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND TELEPHONE CALLS -- TIME PERIOD FOR OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS AND TELEPHONE CALLS	Southeastern Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
2010-28	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- HEAD COACH RESTRICTIONS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL - ELIMINATION OF RESTRICTIONS ON ASSISTANT COACH PUBLICLY DESIGNATED AS NEXT HEAD COACH	Big 12 Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Football Issues Committee
2010-29	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- HEAD COACH RESTRICTIONS -- ASSISTANT COACH PUBLICLY DESIGNATED AS NEXT HEAD COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- APPLICATION TO PRIOR DESIGNATIONS	Atlantic Coast Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Football Issues Committee
2010-32	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- AFTER WRITTEN COMMITMENT OR RECEIPT OF FINANCIAL DEPOSIT -- ON OR AFTER SECOND WEDNESDAY OF NOVEMBER	Big 12 Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
2010-33	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- RECRUITING OPPORTUNITIES -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- SEVEN OPPORTUNITIES	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Groups Responsible for Review
2010-34	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- CERTIFIED NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS DURING APRIL CONTACT PERIOD	Pacific-10 Conference and Big East Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Men's Basketball Issues Committee
2010-35	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NONSCHOLASTIC EVALUATIONS DURING ACADEMIC YEAR -- NATIONAL STANDARDIZED TESTING WEEKENDS	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
2010-36	RECRUITING -- FOOTBALL EVALUATIONS -- SCHOLASTIC AND NONSCHOLASTIC ACTIVITIES -- OTHER EVALUATION EVENTS -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Southern Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Football Issues Committee
2010-37	RECRUITING -- FOOTBALL EVALUATIONS -- SCHOLASTIC AND NONSCHOLASTIC ACTIVITIES -- OTHER EVALUATION EVENTS ORGANIZED OR SANCTIONED SCHOLASTIC ATHLETICS ASSOCIATION -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Northeast Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Football Issues Committee
2010-38	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ATHLETICS PUBLICATIONS -- NO MEDIA GUIDES TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES VIA DIGITAL STORAGE DEVICE OR E-MAIL	Southeastern Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
2010-39	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- MEDIA GUIDES AND VIDEO/AUDIO MATERIALS -- METHODS OF DELIVERY TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	Ivy Group	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Groups Responsible for Review
2010-41	RECRUITING -- UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT -- ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS -- GENERAL RESTRICTIONS -- NONTRADITIONAL FAMILY	Sun Belt Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
2010-44	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- TRYOUT EVENTS -- PROHIBITION ON HOSTING, SPONSORING OR CONDUCTING NONINSTITUTIONAL INSTRUCTIONAL EVENTS -- FOOTBALL	Big East Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Football Issues Committee
2010-45	RECRUITING AND PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- TRYOUT EXCEPTIONS AND OUT OF SEASON RESTRICTIONS -- RECOGNIZED TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	Ivy Group	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Championships/Sports Management Cabinet
2010-46	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- TRYOUT EXCEPTIONS -- HIGH SCHOOL, PREPARATORY-SCHOOL AND TWO-YEAR COLLEGE CONTESTS -- CONDUCTED BY INSTITUTION OR SPONSORED WITH AN OUTSIDE ORGANIZATION	Big 12 Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
2010-47	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- VIDEO EXCHANGE SERVICES	Southeastern Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Men's Basketball Issues Committee Women's Basketball Issues Committee Football Issues Committee

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Groups Responsible for Review
2010-48	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- LIST OF PERMISSIBLE RECRUITING SERVICES -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	Southeastern Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Men's Basketball Issues Committee
2010-49	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL -- APRIL CONTACT PERIOD	Atlantic 10 Conference	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Men's Basketball Issues Committee
2010-50	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S EVENTS AND MEN'S SUMMER EVENTS -- PRICE OF ADMISSION	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Basketball Issues Committee) (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
2010-85	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND RECRUITING -- VOLUNTARY WORKOUTS -- STRENGTH AND CONDITIONING COACH FIRST AID/CPR CERTIFICATION AND AUTHORITY OF SPORTS MEDICINE STAFF -- SPORTS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports)	Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Proposal Number: 2010-14

Title: PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL AND WOMEN'S ROWING -- INCIDENTAL EXPENSES AT NCAA CHAMPIONSHIPS AND LICENSED BOWL GAMES

Intent: In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, to permit a graduate assistant coach to receive cash to cover unitemized incidental expenses during travel and practice for NCAA championship events or licensed postseason bowl contests in accordance with the parameters by which student-athletes may receive such expenses.

Bylaws: Amend 11.01.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and Division I, divided vote]

11.01.3 Coach, Graduate Assistant -- Bowl Subdivision Football and Women's Rowing.

[11.01.3-(a) through 11.01.3-(f) unchanged.]

(g) The individual may receive cash to cover unitemized incidental expenses during travel and practice for NCAA championship events or licensed postseason bowl contests in accordance with the parameters by which student-athletes may receive such expenses pursuant to Bylaw 16.8.1.6.

[11.01.3-(g) through 11.01.3-(h) relettered as 11.01.3-(h) through 11.01.3-(i), unchanged.]

Source: Atlantic Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Graduate assistant coaches in football and women's rowing are specifically limited by legislation regarding compensation and benefits they may receive from an institution. These individuals are students, but the legislation relating to student-athletes does not always apply to them. Similarly, although they are coaches, the legislation that applies to full-time coaches does not always apply to them either. Under current legislation, all squad members may receive $20 per day to use for unitemized incidental expenses during NCAA championship events or postseason bowl contests. Other institutional staff members may receive additional stipends from the institution for incidental expenses during such events. Graduate assistant coaches may be the only members of a travel party who are not provided additional funds to cover incidental expenses. Due to the fact that the legislation limits the number of graduate assistant coaches, the additional cost of providing these individuals with the incidental expense money is minimal.

Budget Impact: $20 per day per coach for applicable events.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 13, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-15

Title: PERSONNEL -- COMPENSATION AND REMUNERATION -- INCOME IN ADDITION TO INSTITUTIONAL SALARY -- CONSULTANT FOR OR ENDORSEMENT OF NONINSTITUTIONAL ATHLETICS EVENTS INVOLVING PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES

Intent: To specify that an athletics department staff member may not serve as a consultant for a noninstitutional athletics event that primarily involves prospective student-athletes and may not endorse or promote such an event in any way, including permitting the use of his or her name, picture or quotations.

Bylaws: Amend 11.3.2, as follows:

11.3.2 Income in Addition to Institutional Salary.

[11.3.2.1 through 11.3.2.6 unchanged.]

11.3.2.7 Consultant for or Endorsement of Noninstitutional Athletics Events Involving Prospective Student-Athletes. An athletics department staff member may not serve as a consultant for a noninstitutional athletics event that primarily involves prospective student-athletes and may not endorse or promote such an event in any way, including permitting the use of his or her name, picture or quotations.

Source: NCAA Division I Championship/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Basketball Issues Committee) (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation precludes athletics department staff members from endorsing, serving as consultants for or participating on advisory panels for any recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes and prohibits institutional coaching staff members from promoting a noninstitutional camp or clinic. Current legislation, however, does not preclude athletics department staff members from endorsing, serving as a consultant for or promoting a noninstitutional athletics event primarily involving prospective student-athletes. Often, these athletics events are conducted by recruiting or scouting services and are designed to provide evaluation opportunities of prospective student-athletes. In order to minimize any potential recruiting advantage and to promote equity in the recruitment of prospective student-athletes, institutional staff members should be precluded from any involvement in endorsing, promoting or serving as a consultant for a noninstitutional athletics event primarily involving prospective student-athletes. In addition, this prohibition will eliminate a perception that institutions receive a recruiting advantage if a coach or other athletics department staff member is involved in any way with such events.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
May 03, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

May 11, 2010: Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

May 25, 2010: Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 16, 2010: Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Proposal Number: 2010-17

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER OF COACHES -- FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION -- FOUR GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACHES

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to increase, from two to four, the limit on graduate assistant coaches.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.7.2 Football Bowl Subdivision. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and ~~*two*~~ **four** graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football.

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation requires that in order for a graduate assistant coach to be appointed, he or she must have either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years. It is now more likely that the graduate-assistant coach position will once again allow a recent graduate to be involved in coaching activities while continuing what should be the primary pursuit of the position -- a postgraduate education. This proposal is intended to establish two additional opportunities for individuals to advance their educational and career pursuits, while also possibly providing additional minority coaching opportunities.

Budget Impact: The cost to fund two additional graduate assistant coach positions.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 12, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-19

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATION ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION -- WEIGHT OR STRENGTH COACH -- LIMIT OF FIVE

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that not more than five weight or strength coaches are permitted to work with a football program in any capacity, including all workouts (required or voluntary), practices and game-related activities.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.7.2 Football Bowl Subdivision. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football.

11.7.2.1 No individual other than coaches designated to fill the coaching categories set forth in Bylaw 11.7.2 may participate in any manner in the coaching of the intercollegiate team of a member institution during any football game, practice or other organized activity, with the following exceptions

11.7.2.1.1 A weight (strength and conditioning) coach may conduct flexibility, warm-up and physical conditioning activities prior to any game and prior to or during any practice or other organized activities without being included in the limitations on number of coaches. **Not more than five weight or strength coaches are permitted to work with a football program in any capacity, including all workouts (required and voluntary), practices and game-related activities.**

[Remainder of 11.7.2 unchanged.]

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet recently concluded that it is necessary to establish limitations on the number of noncoaching staff members with sport specific responsibilities in football. Recently, the membership and the NCAA Division I Board of Directors have expressed concern regarding the proliferation of these individuals and the impact on competitive equity within the sport. Similar competitive equity concerns exist as it relates to the use of weight or strength coaches. Accordingly, whether during the academic year or during the summer, there should be a limit on the total number of weight or strength coaches who are permitted to work with the football program, even if the weight or strength coaches perform duties on a department-wide basis.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 13, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-21

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN BASKETBALL -- JUNE, JULY AND AUGUST

Intent: In sports other than basketball, to specify that during June, July and August, a coach replaced for the purpose of off-campus recruiting activities is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided no more than the permissible number of off-campus recruiters in the particular sport engage in recruiting activities each day.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.4.3, as follows:

11.7.4.3 Off-Campus Recruiting -- At Any One Time. It is permissible for a coach to leave campus to engage in off-campus contact or evaluation before another coach who is off campus actually returns to campus, provided the total number of coaches recruiting on behalf of the institution at any time does not exceed the permissible number. The coach being replaced must complete his or her recruiting activities before another coach may begin any off-campus recruiting activity. Further, the replaced coach may not engage in additional recruiting activities until after he or she has returned to the institution's campus.

[11.7.4.3.1 unchanged.]

11.7.4.3.2 Exception -- Sports Other Than Basketball -- June, July and August. In sports other than basketball, during June, July and August, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided no more than the permissible number of off-campus recruiters in the particular sport engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day (see Bylaw 11.7.4).

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Consistent with the value-based legislation that was adopted in 2009 for basketball, other sports can benefit from similar legislation. It should be noted that when the NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet considered the proposal for basketball, it also discussed whether the proposal should be expanded to other time periods and other sports to provide flexibility and possible cost savings in travel expenses. Given the positive application of the legislation to basketball, the exception should be extended to other sports during June, July and August.

Budget Impact: Potential decrease in recruiting travel expenses.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jun 30, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-22

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- BASEBALL -- JUNE, JULY AND AUGUST

Intent: In baseball, to specify that during June, July and August, a coach replaced for the purpose of off-campus recruiting activities is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided no more than two coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.4.3, as follows:

11.7.4.3 Off-Campus Recruiting -- At Any One Time. It is permissible for a coach to leave campus to engage in off-campus contact or evaluation before another coach who is off campus actually returns to campus, provided the total number of coaches recruiting on behalf of the institution at any time does not exceed the permissible number. The coach being replaced must complete his or her recruiting activities before another coach may begin any off-campus recruiting activity. Further, the replaced coach may not engage in additional recruiting activities until after he or she has returned to the institution's campus.

[11.7.4.3.1 unchanged.]

11.7.4.3.2 Exception -- Baseball -- June, July and August. In baseball, during June, July and August, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided no more than two coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.

Source: Atlantic Coast Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Baseball programs, much like basketball programs, use multiple staff members to evaluate and recruit during the months of June, July and August. Often, the coaching staff is trying to evaluate prospective student-athletes in many different areas of the country and within a short amount of time. This exception could result in a significant amount of time and cost savings for baseball programs.

Budget Impact: Cost savings by not requiring coaches to travel back to campus before continuing to recruit.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 14, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-27

Title: RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND TELEPHONE CALLS -- TIME PERIOD FOR OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS AND TELEPHONE CALLS

Intent: To permit off-campus recruiting contacts with and telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) on or after July 1 following completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.1, as follows:

13.1.1 Contactable Individuals.

13.1.1.1 Time Period for Off-Campus Contacts -- General Rule. Off-campus recruiting contacts shall not be made with an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school (July 7 after the junior year in high school in women's ice hockey and July 15 after the junior year in high school in women's gymnastics)**, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier**. U.S. service academy exceptions to this provision are set forth in Bylaw 13.16.1.

[Remainder of 13.1.1 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- General Rule. Telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school (subject to the exceptions below)**, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier**; thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week.

[Remainder of 13.1.3 unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Prospective student-athletes complete coursework at various types of educational institutions (e.g., foreign, domestic, traditional, home school, Internet, correspondence). These institutions have varying academic calendars, with some students not completing their junior year of high school until after July 1. This results in a period of time during which it is not permissible for a coaching staff member to initiate telephone contact or have in-person off-campus contact with a prospective student-athlete, even though he or she may be a senior. There are current interpretive exceptions for a prospective student-athlete who is on an accelerated path to graduation, if he or she is designated as a senior by the educational institution. However, a prospective student-athlete who attends a school that follows a nontraditional academic calendar is not afforded the same exception.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 14, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-28

Title: RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- HEAD COACH RESTRICTIONS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL - ELIMINATION OF RESTRICTIONS ON ASSISTANT COACH PUBLICLY DESIGNATED AS NEXT HEAD COACH

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to eliminate the restriction that specifies that an institution's assistant coach who has been publicly designated by the institution to become the next head coach shall be subject to the recruiting restrictions applicable to the institution's head coach.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.2.6, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

13.1.2.6 Head Coach Restrictions -- Bowl Subdivision Football.

~~*13.1.2.6.1 Assistant Coach Publicly Designated as Institution's Next Head Coach. An institution's assistant coach has been publicly designated by the institution to become its next head coach shall be subject to the recruiting restrictions applicable to the institution's head coach.*~~

[13.1.2.6.2 renumbered as 13.1.2.6.1, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7.4.1, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

13.1.7.4.1 Head Coach Restriction -- Spring Evaluation Period. In bowl subdivision football, during the April 15 through May 31 evaluation period, the head coach ~~*[and any assistant coach who has been publicly designated by the institution to become the next head coach (see Bylaw 13.1.2.6.1)]*~~ shall not engage in off-campus recruiting activities, participate in an off-campus coaching clinic, visit a prospective student-athlete's educational institution for any reason or meet with a prospective student-athlete's coach at an off-campus location.

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The designation of an assistant coach as the next head coach may occur several years before the departure of the current head coach. Under the current rule, such a designation prohibits the assistant coach from fully performing his duties during the spring evaluation period and other periods of time. Such restrictions come with unintended consequences, such as the delegation of additional duties to other coaching staff members. Whether an institution chooses to designate a head coach in waiting is not a issue of national significance. Therefore, legislation restricting the activities of a head coach in waiting is neither necessary nor appropriate.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History

Jul 02, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-29

Title: RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- HEAD COACH RESTRICTIONS -- ASSISTANT COACH PUBLICLY DESIGNATED AS NEXT HEAD COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- APPLICATION TO PRIOR DESIGNATIONS

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that an assistant coach who was publicly designated before August 14, 2009, by the institution to become its next head coach is not subject to the recruiting restrictions applicable to the institution's head coach.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.2.6.1, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

13.1.2.6.1 Assistant Coach Publicly Designated as Institution's Next Head Coach. An institution's assistant coach who ~~*has been*~~ **was** publicly designated **on or after August 14, 2009,** by the institution to become its next head coach shall be subject to the recruiting restrictions applicable to the institution's head coach. **An assistant coach who was publicly designated before August 14, 2009, by the institution to become its next head coach is not subject to the recruiting restrictions applicable to the institution's head coach.**

Source: Atlantic Coast Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: With the adoption of NCAA Proposal No. 2009-31, an assistant coach who had been publicly designated by an institution to become its next head coach is now subject to the recruiting restrictions applicable to the head coach. Institutions that designated a "head coach-in-waiting" before August 14, 2009, did not anticipate that such a legislative action would, effectively, result in them being placed in a recruiting disadvantage by limiting the recruiting activities of the assistant coach. To avoid these unfair effects, this proposal specifies that those coaches who were designated as the next head coach prior to August 14, 2009, would be permitted to continue to recruit as assistant coaches. Institutions that publicly designate an assistant coach as the next head coach after August 14, 2009, would be subject to the head coach recruiting restrictions since those institutions would have an opportunity to evaluate the consequences of such a designation. This proposal, at its core, is strictly about fundamental fairness and notice.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 13, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-32

Title: RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- AFTER WRITTEN COMMITMENT OR RECEIPT OF FINANCIAL DEPOSIT -- ON OR AFTER SECOND WEDNESDAY OF NOVEMBER

Intent: To specify that on or after the second Wednesday of November of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, there shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by an institution to the prospective student-athlete and there shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent by an institution to the prospective student-athlete, provided the prospective student-athlete has signed the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or the institution has received a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.3.4, as follows:

13.1.3.3.4 Telephone Calls **After Written Commitment or** Receipt of Financial Deposit. On or after ~~*May 1*~~ **the second Wednesday of November** of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, there shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by an institution to **the prospective student-athlete, provided the prospective student-athlete has signed the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or** the institution has received a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1.2.2, as follows:

13.4.1.2.2 Exception -- Electronic Transmissions **After Written Commitment or** Receipt of Financial Deposit. On or after ~~*May 1*~~ **the second Wednesday of November** of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, there shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent by an institution to the prospective student-athlete, provided **the prospective student-athlete has signed the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or** the institution has received a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal is intended to establish some consistency in the application of restrictions on communication with a prospective student-athlete after he or she has committed to an institution. Currently, an institution may make an unlimited number of telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete and use unlimited forms of electronic correspondence, if he or she has signed a National Letter of Intent (NLI). A signed acceptance of an institution's offer of financial aid or admission or submission of a financial deposit in response to an offer of admission demonstrates a commitment to enroll at the institution. The same flexibility in the legislation regarding communication with a prospective student-athlete who has signed an NLI should apply to a prospective student-athlete who has signed an offer of admission or financial aid, or has submitted a financial deposit in response to an offer of admission. Establishing the second Wednesday of November of a prospective student-athlete's senior year as the date on which the exceptions begin apply is reasonable. That date is consistent with the early NLI signing period. Finally, this proposal provides reasonable flexibility, which has been a general trend with recruiting legislation in recent years.

Budget Impact: Minimal.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 12, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-33

Title: RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- RECRUITING OPPORTUNITIES -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- SEVEN OPPORTUNITIES

Intent: In women's basketball, to increase, from five to seven, the number of recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations).

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.5.4, as follows:

13.1.5.4 Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to ~~*five*~~ **seven** recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete; however, during the prospective student-athlete's senior year, the institution is limited to not more than three in-person, off-campus contacts (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7.6, as follows:

13.1.7.6 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, each institution shall be limited to ~~*five*~~ **seven** recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) during the academic year per prospective student-athlete; however, during the prospective student-athlete's senior year, the institution is limited to not more than three in-person, off-campus contacts (see Bylaws 13.1.5.4 and 13.1.5.5). Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7.8, as follows:

13.1.7.8 Basketball Evaluations.

[13.1.7.8-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, each institution is limited to ~~*five*~~ **seven** recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

[Remainder of 13.1.7.8 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal promotes equity among sports by allowing women's basketball the same number of recruiting opportunities afforded to coaches in all other sports (except football). The number of recruiting-person days will not increase as a result of this recommendation. The Women's Basketball Coaches Association supports and has requested this legislative change.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History

May 03, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

May 11, 2010: Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 16, 2010: Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Proposal Number: 2010-34

Title: RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- CERTIFIED NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS DURING APRIL CONTACT PERIOD

Intent: In men's basketball, to specify that a coaching staff member may evaluate prospective student-athletes at certified nonscholastic events on Saturdays and Sundays during the April contact period.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.6.2.2, as follows:

13.1.6.2.2 Additional Restrictions -- Men's and Women's Basketball. In men's and women's basketball, the following additional restrictions shall apply:

(a) In men's basketball, contact shall not be made with a prospective student-athlete at any basketball event during the academic year that is not part of a prospective student-athlete's normal high school, preparatory school or two-year college season, ~~*or*~~ any event that is not approved, sanctioned, sponsored or conducted by the applicable state high school or two-year college association, National Federation of State High School Associations or the National Junior College Athletic Association**, or any nonscholastic event that occurs on a Saturday and/or Sunday of the April contact period that is not certified per Bylaw 13.18**.

[Remainder of 13.1.6.2.2 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7.8, as follows:

13.1.7.8 Basketball Evaluations.

(a) Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, each institution is limited to 130 recruiting-person days (see Bylaw 13.02.8) during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

[13.1.7.8-(a)-(1) and 13.1.7.8-(a)-(2) unchanged.]

(3) March and April Contact Periods. ~~*Evaluations at nonscholastic events are prohibited during these periods.*~~ Evaluations of live athletics activities during these periods shall be limited to:

(i) Regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; ~~*and*~~

(ii) Regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur~~.~~ **; and**

(iii) Nonscholastic events certified per Bylaw 13.18 that occur on a Saturday and/or Sunday of the April contact period.

[13.1.7.7.8-(a)-(4) and 13.1.7.8-(a)-(5) unchanged.]

[13.1.7.8-(b) unchanged.]

(c) Coaches' Attendance at Basketball Events. Violations of this bylaw shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1 and shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.

(1) Men's Basketball. Institutional coaching staff members are restricted during the academic year to attending regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices**,** ~~*and*~~ regular scholastic activities involving student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur**and nonscholastic events certified per Bylaw 13.18 that occur on a Saturday and/or Sunday of the April contact period**. During the summer evaluation period, a member of an institution's

basketball coaching staff may attend institutional basketball camps per Bylaw 13.12.1.1; and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments, festivals) that are certified per Bylaw 13.18. It is permissible for coaches to attend organized team practices in preparation for a certified event only if such practice time has been designated by the organizer as part of the event. The basketball event certification program is not applicable to National Basketball Association (NBA) official predraft camps or noninstitutional organized events that are approved, sponsored or conducted by an applicable state, national or international governing body (e.g., intrastate and interstate high school basketball games, state high school all-star games, international competitions and practices associated with such contests).

[13.1.7.8-(c)-(2) unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.18, as follows:

13.18 ~~*SUMMER*~~ BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL. In men's basketball, in order for a ~~*summer*~~ basketball event (e.g., camp, league, tournament or festival) to be certified, a certification application form must be submitted each year to the NCAA national office 45 days before the start of the event. An event review form for each event also must be submitted to the national office not later than three months after the event sessions. The following criteria must be met by each event in order to be certified:

[13.18-(a) through 13.18-(q) unchanged.]

Source: Pacific-10 Conference and Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Nonscholastic basketball events continue to be held in April, despite current restrictions that preclude men's basketball coaches from attending. Permitting evaluations on Saturdays and Sundays during the contact period in April would allow coaches to observe high-caliber prospective student-athletes in competition against each other. Such circumstances will provide opportunities for coaches to make more effective talent evaluations at a reduced cost. In addition, permitting coaches to evaluate prospective student-athletes at April nonscholastic certified events would also help to reduce recruiting costs. By restricting evaluations to only Saturdays and Sundays, prospective student-athletes will be less likely to miss a significant amount of class time in order to participate. The requirement that the nonscholastic events must be certified will provide appropriate standards and safeguards.

Budget Impact: The proposal may provide greater efficiencies and; therefore, cost savings in recruiting. Resources for the national office to certify additional nonscholastic events.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 13, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-35

Title: RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NONSCHOLASTIC EVALUATIONS DURING ACADEMIC YEAR -- NATIONAL STANDARDIZED TESTING WEEKENDS

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that evaluations at nonscholastic events during the academic year evaluation period shall not occur during any weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) during which the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT national standardized tests are administered; further, to specify that if such a test is administered on a date that conflicts with the fall nonscholastic evaluation weekend, evaluations at nonscholastic events shall be permissible during the first full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall/winter evaluation period; and that if such a test is administered on a date that conflicts with the spring nonscholastic evaluation weekend, the five day evaluation period in April shall shift to the second Friday following the initial date of the spring National Letter of Intent signing period through the following Tuesday.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7.8, as follows:

13.1.7.8 Basketball Evaluations.

[13.1.7.87-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, each institution is limited to five recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

(1) Academic Year Evaluation Period. Evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year may occur at regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and pick-up games and open gyms. Evaluations at nonscholastic events during the women's basketball prospective student-athlete's academic year shall only occur during the last full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall contact period and the Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the spring evaluation period.

(i) National Standardized Testing Weekends. Evaluations at nonscholastic events during the academic year evaluation period shall not occur during any weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) during which the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT national standardized tests are administered. If the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT is administered on a date that conflicts with the fall nonscholastic evaluation weekend, evaluations at nonscholastic events shall be permissible during the first full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall/winter evaluation period. If the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT is administered on a date that conflicts with the spring nonscholastic evaluation weekend, the five day evaluation period in April shall shift to the second Friday following the initial date of the spring National Letter of Intent signing period through the following Tuesday.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.17.3, as follows:

13.17.3 Women's Basketball. The following recruiting periods shall apply to women's basketball:

[13.17.3-(a) unchanged.]

(b) September 16 through October 6 **[except for (1) and (2) below]**: Contact Period

(1) Evaluations at nonscholastic events shall not occur during any weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) during which the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT national standardized tests are administered.

(2) If the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT is administered on a date that conflicts with the fall nonscholastic evaluation weekend, evaluations at nonscholastic events shall be permissible during the first full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall/winter evaluation period.

[13.17.3-(c) through 13.17.3-(e) unchanged.]

(f) Friday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent through the following Tuesday **[except for (1) and (2) below]**: Evaluation Period

(1) Evaluations at nonscholastic events shall not occur during any weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) during which the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT national standardized tests are administered.

(2) If the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT is administered on a date that conflicts with the spring nonscholastic evaluation weekend, the five-day evaluation period shall shift to the second Friday following the initial date of the spring National Letter of Intent signing period through the following Tuesday.

(g) Wednesday of the week following the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent through July 5 **[except when Bylaw 13.17.3-(f)-(2) applies]**: Quiet Period

[Remainder of 13.17.3 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The current women's basketball recruiting calendar permits evaluations at nonscholastic events during the prospective student-athlete's academic year during the last full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall contact period and the Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the spring evaluation period. There have been instances in which these designated time periods have conflicted with national standardized testing dates and, in some instances, resulted in prospective student-athletes facing undue pressure to forego taking a standardized test in order to participate in a nonscholastic event. If a national standardized testing date occurs during a weekend when it is permissible to evaluate prospective student-athletes participating in nonscholastic events in the fall, designating the following weekend as the nonscholastic evaluation weekend is a simple and appropriate solution. Similarly, if a conflict occurs in the spring, it is appropriate to shift the five evaluation days (which include the nonscholastic weekend) in April to the following week. This solution maintains the same amount of nonscholastic evaluation opportunities during the academic year. Finally, Proposal No. 2009-36 was withdrawn from the 2009-10 legislative cycle so this concept could be further developed as represented in this proposal. The WBCA initiated and supports this legislative change.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

May 10, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

May 11, 2010: Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 16, 2010: Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Proposal Number: 2010-36

Title: RECRUITING -- FOOTBALL EVALUATIONS -- SCHOLASTIC AND NONSCHOLASTIC ACTIVITIES -- OTHER EVALUATION EVENTS -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL

Intent: In championship subdivision football, to specify that an institutional staff member may attend a recruiting event in which information (e.g., athletics or academic credentials, highlight or combine videos) related to prospective student-athletes is presented or otherwise made available.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7.9, as follows:

[Federated provision, FCS only]

13.1.7.9 Football Evaluations.

[13.1.7.9.1 through 13.1.7.9.4 unchanged.]

13.1.7.9.5 Scholastic and Nonscholastic Activities -- Championship Subdivision Football. In championship subdivision football, live athletics evaluations may be conducted at scholastic or nonscholastic athletics activities, provided there is no institutional involvement in arranging or directing such activities. An institutional staff member ~~*shall not*~~ **may** attend a recruiting event (other than a permissible live athletics event) in which information (e.g., athletics or academic credentials, highlight or combine video) related to prospective student-athletes is presented or otherwise made available.

Source: Southern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Current legislation, which precludes an institutional staff member from attending a recruiting event in which information (e.g., athletics or academic credentials, highlight or combine videos) related to prospective student-athletes is presented or otherwise made available, makes it difficult to effectively evaluate prospective student-athletes in a cost-effective manner. Championship subdivision institutions can be more efficient in their management of recruiting resources if they are able to evaluate prospective student-athletes from multiple educational institutions in a single location (e.g., high school recruiting fair conducted in a metropolitan area).

Budget Impact: Potential for more efficient use of institutional recruiting resources.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 14, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-37

Title: RECRUITING -- FOOTBALL EVALUATIONS -- SCHOLASTIC AND NONSCHOLASTIC ACTIVITIES -- OTHER EVALUATION EVENTS ORGANIZED OR SANCTIONED SCHOLASTIC ATHLETICS ASSOCIATION -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL

Intent: In championship subdivision football, to specify that a coach may attend a recruiting event in which information (e.g., athletics or academic credentials, highlight or combine video) related to prospective student-athletes is presented or otherwise made available, provided the event is organized or sanctioned by the applicable state high school athletics association, state preparatory school association or state or national junior college athletics association.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7.9, as follows:

[Federated provision, FCS only]

13.1.7.9 Football Evaluations.

[13.1.7.9.1 through 13.1.7.9.4 unchanged.]

13.1.7.9.5 Scholastic and Nonscholastic Activities -- Championship Subdivision Football. In championship subdivision football, live athletics evaluations may be conducted at scholastic or nonscholastic athletics activities, provided there is no institutional involvement in arranging or directing such activities. An institutional staff member ~~*shall not*~~ **may** attend a recruiting event (other than a permissible live athletics event) in which information (e.g., athletics or academic credentials, highlight or combine video) related to prospective student-athletes is presented or otherwise made available**, provided the event is organized or sanctioned by the applicable state high school athletics association, state preparatory school association or state or national junior college athletics association.**

Source: Northeast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The issues related to attendance at recruiting events that led to the adoption of the current legislation are associated primarily with Football Bowl Subdivision recruiting. The current legislation, which limits evaluations of football prospective student-athletes to live athletics events, makes it difficult to efficiently evaluate championship subdivision-level football prospective student-athletes. Institutions can be more efficient in their management of recruiting resources if they are able to receive information that allows for the evaluation prospective student-athletes in central locations. Furthermore, mandating these events that are sanctioned or organized by a high school athletic association prohibits private entities from profiting off of such recruiting events.

Budget Impact: May reduce budgets by allowing institutional recruiting resources to be used more efficiently.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History

Jul 01, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-38

Title: RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ATHLETICS PUBLICATIONS -- NO MEDIA GUIDES TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES VIA DIGITAL STORAGE DEVICE OR E-MAIL

Intent: To prohibit an institution from providing a media guide to a prospective student-athlete via digital media storage device or as an attachment to electronic mail.

Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1, as follows:

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, a member institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school. In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school.

[13.4.1.1 unchanged.]

13.4.1.1.2 Athletics Publication. An institution may produce a printed media guide; however, an institution shall not provide a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1 to a prospective student-athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student-athlete. It is not permissible to make a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1 available free of charge to a prospective student-athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student-athlete, even if such publications are available to other members of the general public free of charge. An institution may not create a printed portfolio of information (e.g., pictures) to be used in the recruiting process. ~~*An institution may provide a media guide to a prospective student-athlete via a digital media storage device (e.g., compact disc, flash drive).*~~

[13.4.1.1.2.1 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2 Electronic Transmissions. Electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.6.2.) All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited. Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires) ~~*and a media guide*~~. In addition, attachments shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence

[Remainder of 13.4.1 unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The overarching purpose of NCAA Proposal No. 2009-42 was to remove media guides from the recruiting process. Permitting media guides to be provided directly to a prospective student-athlete via electronic media maintains pressure to produce a media guide with a recruiting focus, rather than producing a guide that meets the needs of the media. Therefore, eliminating the electronic media guide exception will return these guides to their originally intended purpose, which is to provide information to the media.

Budget Impact: Savings in costs of media storage devices, postage and media staff production time

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 14, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-39

Title: RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- MEDIA GUIDES AND VIDEO/AUDIO MATERIALS -- METHODS OF DELIVERY TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES

Intent: To specify that an institution may provide a media guide and permissible video or audio material to a prospective student-athlete via an electronic mail hyperlink, an electronic mail attachment or an electronic media storage device (e.g., compact disc, flash drive); further, to specify that an institution is limited to providing a media guide or video or audio material to a prospective student-athlete via electronic media storage device one time.

Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1, as follows:

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, a member institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school. In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school.

[13.4.1.1 unchanged.]

[13.4.1.1.1 unchanged.]

13.4.1.1.2 Athletics Publication. An institution may produce a printed media guide; however, an institution shall not provide a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1 to a prospective student-athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student-athlete. It is not permissible to make a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1 available free of charge to a prospective student-athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student-athlete, even if such publications are available to other members of the general public free of charge. An institution may not create a printed portfolio of information (e.g., pictures) to be used in the recruiting process. An institution may provide a media guide to a prospective student-athlete via **an electronic mail hyperlink, an electronic mail attachment or** a digital media storage device (e.g., compact disc, flash drive). **An institution is limited to providing a media guide to a prospective student-athlete via electronic media storage device one time.**

[13.4.1.1.2.1 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2 Electronic Transmissions. Electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.6.2.) All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited. Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires) and ~~a~~ **an electronic** media guide**, and video and audio materials as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5**. ~~*In addition,*~~ **Other** attachments shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence.

[13.4.1.2.1 through 13.4.1.2.3 unchanged.]

[13.4.1.3 through 13.4.1.4 unchanged.]

13.4.1.5 Video/Audio Materials. An institution may not produce video or audio materials to show to, play for or provide to a prospective student-athlete except as specified in this section. **Permissible video or audio material may be provided to a prospective student-athlete via an electronic mail hyperlink, an electronic mail attachment or an electronic media storage device (e.g., compact disc, flash drive). An institution is limited to providing video or audio material to a prospective student-athlete via electronic media storage device one time.**

[Remainder of 13.4.1 unchanged.]

Source: Ivy Group

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Current legislation allows institutions to send an unlimited number of storage devices containing video materials to prospective student-athletes but prohibits such video materials from being sent as attachments to electronic mail. Sending video materials via electronic mail is a more cost-efficient means of delivering these materials. Furthermore, the limitation on electronic media storage devices would reduce costs.

Budget Impact: Will reduce the cost of sending video and other materials to prospective student-athletes.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 15, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-41

Title: RECRUITING -- UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT -- ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS -- GENERAL RESTRICTIONS -- NONTRADITIONAL FAMILY

Intent: To specify that if a prospective student-athlete is a member of a nontraditional family (e.g., divorce, separation), an institution may provide up to two additional complimentary admissions to the prospective student-athlete in order to accommodate the parents accompanying the prospective student-athlete (e.g., stepparents) to attend a home athletics event during an unofficial visit.

Bylaws: Amend 13.7.2.1, as follows:

13.7.2.1 General Restrictions. During an unofficial visit, the institution may not pay any expenses or provide any entertainment except a maximum of three complimentary admissions (issued only through a pass list) to a home athletics event at any facility within a 30-mile radius of a member institution's main campus in which the institution's intercollegiate team practices or competes. Such complimentary admissions are for the exclusive use of the prospective student-athlete and those persons accompanying the prospective student-athlete on the visit and must be issued on an individual-game basis. Such admissions may provide seating only in the general seating area of the facility used for conducting the event. Providing seating during the conduct of the event (including intermission) for the prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's parents (or legal guardians) or spouse in the facility's press box, special seating box(es) or bench area is specifically prohibited.

13.7.2.1.1 Exception -- Nontraditional Family. If a prospective student-athlete is a member of a nontraditional family (e.g., divorce, separation), the institution may provide up to two additional complimentary admissions to the prospective student-athlete in order to accommodate the parents accompanying the prospective student-athlete (e.g., stepparents) to attend a home athletics event.

[13.7.2.1.1. through 13.7.2.1.7 renumbered 13.7.2.1.2 through 13.7.2.1.8, unchanged.]

Source: Sun Belt Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal is intended to make complimentary admissions for unofficial visits consistent with complimentary admissions for official visits. It is difficult to anticipate every family situation that may exist. This proposal would provide institutions the flexibility needed to exercise common sense when dealing with each individual prospective student-athlete to accommodate his or her family situation.

Budget Impact: Increased cost of complimentary admissions provided to a limited number of prospective student-athletes.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 15, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-44

Title: RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- TRYOUT EVENTS -- PROHIBITION ON HOSTING, SPONSORING OR CONDUCTING NONINSTITUTIONAL INSTRUCTIONAL EVENTS -- FOOTBALL

Intent: In football, to specify that an institution or conference shall not host, sponsor or conduct a noninstitutional camp, clinic, group workout or combine event, at any location, that provides instruction to prospective student-athletes.

Bylaws: Amend 13.11.1.8, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

13.11.1.8 Tryout Events. A member institution or conference may not host, sponsor or conduct a tryout camp, clinic, group workout or combine (e.g., combination of athletics skill tests or activities) devoted to agility, flexibility, speed or strength tests for prospective student-athletes at any location. An institution or conference shall not host, sponsor or conduct any portion (e.g., instructional clinic) of an event that also includes agility, flexibility, speed or strength tests for prospective student-athletes that are conducted at a separate location. In sports other than football, a member institution's staff members may only attend (subject to sport-specific restrictions) such an event sponsored by an outside organization if the event occurs off the institution's campus and is open to all institutions (see Bylaws 13.1.7.9.4 and 13.1.7.9.5).

13.11.1.8.1 Noninstitutional Instructional Camp, Clinic, Group Workout or Combine Event -- Football. In football, an institution or conference shall not host, sponsor or conduct a noninstitutional camp, clinic, group workout or combine event, at any location, that provides instruction to prospective student-athletes.

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Current legislation prohibits institutions and conferences from hosting, sponsoring or conducting a tryout camp, clinic, group workout or combine devoted to agility, flexibility, speed and strength tests for prospective student-athletes at any location. In the sport of football, noninstitutional entities have been able to circumvent this legislation by holding "instructional camps" at member institutions. Institutions that have the resources to host these instructional camps are gaining a distinct recruiting advantage over institutions that are not able to do so. This proposal would eliminate the recruiting advantage that is currently being gained by institutions that are able to host noninstitutional instructional camps.

Budget Impact: Institutions may lose revenue as a result of not being able to host these types of events.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 13, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-45

Title: RECRUITING AND PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- TRYOUT EXCEPTIONS AND OUT OF SEASON RESTRICTIONS -- RECOGNIZED TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

Intent: To specify that in order for an athletics department staff member or coach to participate in state, regional, national and international training programs involving prospective student-athletes, the staff member must be selected by the applicable governing body and the participants are selected by an authority or a committee of the applicable governing body that is not limited to athletics department staff members affiliated with one institution; further, to specify that Olympic and national team development programs may involve a coach and current student-athletes from the same institution, provided (in addition to existing criteria) a committee or other authority of the national governing body, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution, selects the involved participants and the national governing body funds the program.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.11.3.3, as follows:

13.11.3.3 State, Regional, National or International Training Programs. Participation by an institution's athletics department staff member in recognized state, regional, national or international training programs or competition organized and administered by the applicable governing body ~~*or athletics authority*~~ shall not be considered tryouts, **provided the athletics department staff member is selected by the applicable governing body and the participants are selected by an authority or a committee of the applicable governing body that is not limited to athletics department staff members affiliated with one institution.** A member institution's coaching staff member may not participate only in noncoaching activities (e.g., consultant, on-site coordinator, participant selection), except as provided in Bylaws 13.11.3.3.1, 13.11.3.3.2 and 13.11.3.3.3.

B. Bylaws: Amend 17.2.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.2.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

C. Bylaws: Amend 17.4.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.4.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

D. Bylaws: Amend 17.5.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.5.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

E. Bylaws: Amend 17.6.9.1.2.2, as follows:

17.6.9.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

F. Bylaws: Amend 17.7.9.1.2.2, as follows:

17.7.9.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

G. Bylaws: Amend 17.8.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.8.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

H. Bylaws: Amend 17.10.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.10.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

I. Bylaws: Amend 17.11.9.1.2.2, as follows:

17.11.9.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

J. Bylaws: Amend 17.12.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.12.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

K. Bylaws: Amend 17.13.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.13.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

L. Bylaws: Amend 17.14.9.1.2.2, as follows:

17.14.9.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

M. Bylaws: Amend 17.15.9.1.2.2, as follows:

17.15.9.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

N. Bylaws: Amend 17.16.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.16.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

O. Bylaws: Amend 17.18.9.1.2.2, as follows:

17.18.9.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

P. Bylaws: Amend 17.19.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.19.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

Q. Bylaws: Amend 17.20.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.20.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

R. Bylaws: Amend 17.21.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.21.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

S. Bylaws: Amend 17.22.9.1.2.2, as follows:

17.22.9.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

T. Bylaws: Amend 17.23.8.1.2.2, as follows:

17.23.8.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

U. Bylaws: Amend 17.24.9.1.2.2, as follows:

17.24.9.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

V. Bylaws: Amend 17.25.13.1.2.2, as follows:

17.25.13.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

W. Bylaws: Amend 17.26.9.1.2.2, as follows:

17.26.9.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

X. Bylaws: Amend 17.27.9.1.2.2, as follows:

17.27.9.1.2.2 Olympic and National Team Development Program. There are no limits on the number of student-athletes from the same institution who may participate in Olympic and national team development programs. Such programs may also include a coach and student-athlete from the same institution, provided:

(a) The national governing body (NGB) conducts and administers the developmental program;

(b) The NGB selects coaches involved in the developmental program; ~~*and*~~

(c) ~~*The*~~ **A committee or other authority of the** NGB**, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution,** ~~*or the selected coaches select*~~ **selects** the involved participants~~.~~**; and**

(d) The NGB funds the program.

Source: Ivy Group

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Current NCAA rules appropriately permit college coaches to coach Olympic and national teams, including Olympic and national development teams; however, the parameters under which coaches may work with prospective student-athletes and current student-athletes as part of these development teams need to be more narrowly defined so as not to permit circumvention of the tryout and playing and practice season restrictions.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History

Jul 15, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-46

Title: RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- TRYOUT EXCEPTIONS -- HIGH SCHOOL, PREPARATORY-SCHOOL AND TWO-YEAR COLLEGE CONTESTS -- CONDUCTED BY INSTITUTION OR SPONSORED WITH AN OUTSIDE ORGANIZATION

Intent: To revise the requirements by which a high school, preparatory school or two-year college athletics contest or match, conducted by a member institution or sponsored jointly with an outside organization and held on the campus of a member institution, shall not be considered a tryout, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 13.11.3, as follows:

13.11.3 Tryout Exceptions.

[13.11.3.1 through 13.11.3.3 unchanged.]

13.11.3.4 High School, Preparatory School and Two-Year College Contests. Regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year-college athletics contests or matches, conducted by a member institution or sponsored jointly with an outside organization and held on the campus of a member institution, shall not be considered tryouts, provided the following conditions are met [see Bylaw 13.2.2-(c) for restrictions related to the provision of awards at such contests]:

(a) **Team Sports.**

(1) The opportunity to participate in the event is not limited to specific ~~*prospective student-athletes or*~~ educational institutions and all ~~*individual prospective student-athletes or*~~ educational institutions in a specific geographical area are eligible to compete (limited only by number, by institutional classification or on the basis of some objective standard of performance);

~~*(b)*~~ **(2)** The event ~~*appears on the schedules of prospective student-athletes'*~~ **occurs during the season in which the** educational institutions **may compete pursuant to the rules of the local, state, or national authority governing the sports programs of the educational institutions, which must be confirmed in writing by the head coach of each participating team**; ~~*and*~~

~~*(c)*~~ **(3) Each participant represents his or her educational institution in the event (no nonscholastic team representation); and** ~~*The event is conducted by a national sports federation of which the NCAA is a member or it has been approved prior to the season by the appropriate state or national authority.*~~

(4) No financial compensation (e.g., transportation, expenses, guarantee, percentage of income) from the event may be provided to the educational institutions.

(b) Individual Sports.

(1) The opportunity to participate in the event is not limited to specific prospective student-athletes or educational institutions and all individual prospective student-athletes or educational institutions in a specific geographical area are eligible to compete (limited only by number, by institutional classification or on the basis of some objective standard of performance);

(2) A participant may compete as an individual or may represent his or her educational institution or a nonscholastic team;

(3) The event has been approved by the applicable state or national governing body; and

(4) No financial compensation (e.g., transportation expenses, guarantee, percentage of income) from the event may be provided to an individual, educational institution or nonscholastic team.

~~*13.11.3.5 High School, Preparatory School and Two-Year College Practice and Competition. The regular or emergency use of a member institution's facilities by a high school, preparatory school or two-year college athletics team for its normal practice activities (conducted solely by its coaches) or for regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school or two-year college contests (conducted solely by those participating institutions) shall not be considered tryouts, provided the following conditions are met:*~~

~~*(a) The competition is approved by the appropriate state or national authority; and*~~

~~*(b) The member institution and representatives of its athletics interests are not involved in any way in the invitation or selection of participating schools or individuals.*~~

[13.11.3.6 through 13.11.3.11 renumbered as 13.11.3.5 through 13.11.3.10, unchanged.]

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The legislation related to hosting high school, preparatory school, or two-year college contests and practices has remained largely unchanged for decades. The current legislation includes requirements (e.g., competition must appear on each institution's schedule) that are difficult to monitor or confirm for many sports. The requirement that such competition be approved by a state or local governing body is not applied in a consistent manner and is more appropriately a concern left to the involved educational institutions. The cultures and concerns of team versus individual sports are different and should be addressed separately. Unattached competition and representation of nonscholastic teams would be permitted in individual sports. For team sports, educational institutions could only participate at times when they are allowed to do so by the applicable state or national authority. Bylaw 13.11.3.5 is unnecessary because Bylaw 13.11.3.2 already addresses the issue of activities occurring on an institution's campus when the activities are conducted by individuals other than athletic staff or boosters.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jun 29, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-47

Title: RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- VIDEO EXCHANGE SERVICES

Intent: To specify that an institution is permitted to use or subscribe to a video exchange service that only provides video of prospective student-athletes and does not provide information about or analysis of prospective student-athletes, subject to the criteria that permits an institution to subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service, except that the video exchange service is not required to disseminate information about prospective student-athletes at least four times a year and is not required to provide individual analysis for each prospective student-athlete in the information it disseminates.

Bylaws: Amend 13.14.3, as follows:

13.14.3 Recruiting or Scouting Services. An institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the institution does not purchase more than one annual subscription to a particular service and the service:

[13.14.3-(a) through 13.14.3-(g) unchanged.]

13.14.3.1 Video Exchange Services. An institution is permitted to use or subscribe to a video exchange service that only provides video of prospective student-athletes and does not provide information about or analysis of prospective student-athletes. Use of or a subscription to such a service is subject to the provisions of Bylaw 13.14.3, except for subsections (c) and (e).

[13.4.3.1 renumbered as 13.14.3.2, unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Prior to the adoption of the current recruiting or scouting services legislation, it was permissible for an institution to subscribe to a video exchange service. Video exchange services typically provide a cost and time-effective method for obtaining video of prospective student-athletes. Exchange services are not the recruiting services that were operating outside of the intent of the legislation as described in the rationale of Proposal No. 2009-56. Most video exchange services now merely provide a way for institutions to obtain video of high school contests over the Internet without the mailing costs and time-consuming preparation needed to request film from high school coaches. However, current legislation does not allow an institution to subscribe to an online video exchange, which appears to be an unintended consequence.

Budget Impact: Costs associated with use of or subscription to video exchange services.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 14, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-48

Title: RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- LIST OF PERMISSIBLE RECRUITING SERVICES -- MEN'S BASKETBALL

Intent: In men's basketball, to specify that the NCAA national office shall publish a list, on a quarterly basis, of men's basketball recruiting or scouting services deemed to meet the required standards for subscription.

Bylaws: Amend 13.14.3, as follows:

13.14.3 Recruiting or Scouting Services. An institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the institution does not purchase more than one annual subscription to a particular service and the service:

[13.14.3-(a) through 13.14.3-(g) unchanged.]

13.14.3.1 List of Permissible Recruiting Services -- Men's Basketball. The NCAA national office shall publish a list, on a quarterly basis, of men's basketball recruiting or scouting services deemed to meet the standards of Bylaw 13.14.3.

[13.14.3.1 renumbered as 13.14.2, unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The NCAA Men's Basketball Focus Group has engaged in research related to numerous recruiting services, and it would benefit all Division I member institutions to have access to the results of the NCAA's research regarding recruiting or scouting services that fulfill the provisions of NCAA Bylaw 13.4.3. Further, access to a list of permissible services would eliminate the costs associated with multiple institutions conducting their own research into recruiting or scouting services. An additional benefit would be the reduction of problems with questionable recruiting services and efficiencies due to anticipated reduction in the number of subscriptions to recruiting services.

Budget Impact: Anticipated cost savings for institutions due to reduced research and fewer subscriptions to recruiting services. Operational and logistical costs for national office.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 14, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-49

Title: RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL -- APRIL CONTACT PERIOD

Intent: In men's basketball, to revise the recruiting calendar by extending the contact period in April by nine days, but designating the weekends in April after the Division I Men's Basketball Championship as quiet periods.

Bylaws: Amend 13.17.2, as follows:

13.17.2 Men's Basketball. The following recruiting periods shall apply to men's basketball:

[13.17.2-(a) through 13.17.2-(f) unchanged.]

(g) Noon on the Thursday immediately after the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship game through ~~*seven*~~ **16** days following the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent [except for (1) **and (2)** below]:	Contact Period
(1) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent:	Dead Period
(2) Saturdays and Sundays that occur prior to May 1:	**Quiet Period**
(h) The ~~*eighth*~~ **17th** day after the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent through July 5 [except for (1) below]:	Quiet Period

[Remainder of 13.17.2 unchanged.]

Source: Atlantic 10 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Currently, the April contact period provides a limited number of days in which evaluations are permissible. Men's basketball coaches generally do not engage in evaluation activities on Saturdays and Sundays since classes are not in session and many prospective student-athletes are traveling with their nonscholastic teams. In addition, there are several days that are part of quiet or dead periods that occur on weekdays -- days during which it is customary for regular scholastic activities to occur. This proposal would change the current recruiting calendar by designating three or four weekend days as quiet periods (depending on the year) and by adding seven weekdays to the contact period. The likely result of this change will be that more college coaches will be able to engage in contacts and evaluations at scholastic institutions in April. This change would provide opportunities for coaches to evaluate prospective student-athletes in regular scholastic activities and potentially strengthen the role of scholastic administrators (e.g., high school coaches, teachers and principals) in the men's basketball recruiting process.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jul 15, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Proposal Number: 2010-50

Title: RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S EVENTS AND MEN'S SUMMER EVENTS -- PRICE OF ADMISSION

Intent: In basketball, to specify that in order for a women's event or men's summer event to be certified, the price of admission to the event must be listed on the event certification application and the price must be made available to coaches prior to their arrival at the event.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.18, as follows:

13.18 SUMMER BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL

In men's basketball, in order for a summer basketball event (e.g., camp, league, tournament or festival) to be certified, a certification application form must be submitted each year to the NCAA national office 45 days before the start of the event. An event review form for each event also must be submitted to the national office not later than three months after the event sessions. The following criteria must be met by each event in order to be certified:

[13.18-(a) through 13.18-(o) unchanged.]

(p) The price of event packets **and the price of admission to the event** must be listed on the event certification application and the ~~*price*~~ **prices** must be made available to coaches prior to their arrival at the event; and

[13.18-(q) unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.19, as follows:

13.19 BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL

In women's basketball, in order for a basketball event (e.g., camp, league, tournament or festival) to be certified, a certification application form must be submitted each year to the NCAA national office 45 days prior to the start of the event. An event review form for each event also must be submitted to the NCAA national office not later than three months following the event sessions. The following criteria must be met by each event in order to be certified:

[13.19-(a) through 13.19-(n) unchanged.]

(o) The price of an event packet **and the price of admission to the event** must be listed on the event certification application and the ~~*price*~~ **prices** must be made available to coaches prior to their arrival at the event;

[13.19-(p) through 13.19-(q) unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Basketball Issues Committee) (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)

Effective Date: May 1, 2011; for events occurring on or after May 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Current basketball event certification criteria include the requirement that the price of an event packet must be listed on the event certification application and the price must be made available to coaches prior to their arrival at the event. In addition to event packets, operators also often charge admission for coaches to attend the event. Requiring advance notice of the cost of admissions will assist coaches in planning which events to attend. This change is requested as noncontroversial legislation, inasmuch as broader consultation and debate are unlikely to

improve the proposal in any substantial way, significant disagreement or alternative points of view are not likely generated and there does not appear to be a significant impact on existing or proposed legislation. Adopting this proposal as noncontroversial legislation will also allow for appropriate notice to event operators as applications are submitted for events occurring on or after May 1, 2011. This proposal was initiated and supported by the Women's Basketball Coaches Association.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

May 03, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

May 10, 2010: Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval as Noncontroversial Legislation

May 25, 2010: Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval as Noncontroversial Legislation

Jun 16, 2010: Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Jun 16, 2010: Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Approval as Noncontroversial Legislation

Proposal Number: 2010-85

Title: PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND RECRUITING -- VOLUNTARY WORKOUTS -- STRENGTH AND CONDITIONING COACH FIRST AID/CPR CERTIFICATION AND AUTHORITY OF SPORTS MEDICINE STAFF -- SPORTS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL

Intent: In sports other than football, to specify that a strength and conditioning coach who conducts voluntary weight-training or conditioning activities is required to maintain certification in first aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation; further, to specify that if a member of the institution's sports medicine staff (e.g., athletic trainer, physician) is present during voluntary conditioning activities conducted by a strength and conditioning coach, the sports medicine staff member must be empowered with the unchallengeable authority to cancel or modify the workout for health and safety reasons, as he or she deems appropriate.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.11.3, as follows:

13.11.3 Tryout Exceptions.

[13.11.3.1 through 13.11.3.8 unchanged.]

13.11.3.9 Voluntary Summer Conditioning -- Basketball. In basketball, a prospective student-athlete may engage in voluntary summer workouts conducted by an institution's strength and conditioning coach with department-wide duties and may receive workout apparel (on an issuance and retrieval basis), provided he or she:

[13.11.3.9-(a) through 13.11.3.9-(b) unchanged.]

[13.11.3.9.1 unchanged.]

13.11.3.9.2 Strength and Conditioning Coach First Aid/CPR Certification and Authority of Sports Medicine Staff. A strength and conditioning coach who conducts voluntary weight-training or conditioning activities is required to maintain certification in first aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation. If a member of the institution's sports medicine staff (e.g., athletic trainer, physician) is present during voluntary conditioning activities conducted by a strength and conditioning coach, the sports medicine staff member must be empowered with the unchallengeable authority to cancel or modify the workout for health and safety reasons, as he or she deems appropriate.

13.11.3.10 Voluntary Summer Conditioning -- Sports Other Than Football and Basketball. In sports other than football and basketball, a prospective student-athlete may engage in voluntary summer workouts conducted by an institution's strength and conditioning coach with department-wide duties and may receive workout apparel (on an issuance and retrieval basis), provided he or she is enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to the student's initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution.

[13.11.3.10.1 unchanged.]

13.11.3.10.2 Strength and Conditioning Coach First Aid/CPR Certification and Authority of Sports Medicine Staff. A strength and conditioning coach who conducts voluntary weight-training or conditioning activities is required to maintain certification in first aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation. If a member of the institution's sports medicine staff (e.g., athletic trainer, physician) is present during voluntary conditioning activities conducted by a strength and conditioning coach, the sports medicine staff member must be empowered with the unchallengeable authority to cancel or modify the workout for health and safety reasons, as he or she deems appropriate.

[13.11.3.11 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 17.1.6.2.1, as follows:

17.1.6.2.1 Institutional Vacation Period and Summer.

17.1.6.2.1.1 Sports Other Than Championship Subdivision Football. In sports other than championship subdivision football, a student-athlete may not participate in any countable athletically related activities outside the playing season during any institutional vacation period and/or summer. Strength and conditioning coaches who are not countable coaches and who perform such duties on a department-wide basis may design and conduct specific workout programs for student-athletes, provided such workouts are voluntary and conducted at the request of the student-athlete.

[17.16.2.1.1.1 through 17.1.6.2.1.1.2 unchanged.]

17.1.6.2.1.1.3 Strength and Conditioning Coach First Aid/CPR Certification and Authority of Sports Medicine Staff -- Sports Other Than Football. A strength and conditioning coach who conducts voluntary weight-training or conditioning activities is required to maintain certification in first aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation. If a member of the institution's sports medicine staff (e.g., athletic trainer, physician) is present during voluntary conditioning activities conducted by a strength and conditioning coach, the sports medicine staff member must be empowered with the unchallengeable authority to cancel or modify the workout for health and safety reasons, as he or she deems appropriate.

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports)

Effective Date: May 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: Since the summer conditioning legislation was adopted for football, other sports have added voluntary workout opportunities, but have not added similar certification requirements for strength and conditioning coaches. The same requirements should be applicable to all sports. Requiring strength and conditioning coaches to be certified in cardiopulmonary resuscitation and first aid results in better preparation for an emergency response. Further, although the current legislation does not require the attendance of sports medicine staff (e.g., athletic trainer, physician) at the voluntary workouts in sports other than football, if sports medicine staff members are present during such workouts, they should have the same authority as they do during football workouts, namely, the unchallengeable authority to cancel or modify the workout for health and safety reasons.

Budget Impact: Potential cost of first aid and CPR certification.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jun 07, 2009: Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports, Recommends Approval

Sep 16, 2009: Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Jun 30, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

SUPPLEMENT NO. 8

Summary of the NCAA Division I Collegiate Commissioners Association Compliance Administrators and Legislative Council Sand Volleyball Working Group

Background.

During its January 2010 meeting, the NCAA Division I Board of Directors postponed the addition of sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women from August 1, 2010 to August 1, 2011. Thereafter, the NCAA Division I Legislative Council tabled five legislative proposals related to sand volleyball at its April 2010 meeting. The intent of the actions taken by the Board and Legislative Council was to provide the membership and governance structure the opportunity to develop the legislative framework for the sport in the 2010-11 cycle prior to sand volleyball being introduced as an emerging sport for women. To that end, at the direction of the Legislative Council, a working group of Legislative Council and Collegiate Commissioners Association Compliance Administrators representatives was formed to assist in the development of that framework and to provide feedback related to the already proposed legislative concepts to the various cabinets for their consideration.

The working group conducted five teleconferences to consider concepts in the following topical areas:

1. Personnel and Recruiting;

2. Financial Aid;

3. Playing and Practice Seasons; and

4. Membership Requirements.

The following individuals comprised the working group:

Ms. Kathleen Batterson	Colonial Athletic Association
Ms. Carolyn Campbell-McGovern	Ivy Group
Ms. Jennifer Condaras	Big East Conference
Ms. Lori Ebihara	Big 12 Conference
Mr. Mike Matthews	Pacific-10 Conference
Ms. Stephanie McDonald	Southland Conference
Ms. Sara Wilhelmi	West Coast Conference
Mr. TJ Meagher	University of Houston/American Volleyball Coaches Association

Executive Summary of Recommendations.

The following information sets forth the working group's recommendations, which will be provided to the cabinets for their fall meetings and to the Legislative Council at its October 2010 meeting.

1. Personnel and Recruiting.

a. Supports NCAA Proposal No. 2009-19-B over Proposal No. 2009-19-A as it relates to limitations on the number of coaches and off-campus recruiters in sand volleyball.

b. Supports modifications to Proposal No. 2009-39:

(i) To permit 80 evaluation days for women's volleyball and 20 additional evaluation days if an institution also sponsors sand volleyball. The 20 additional evaluation days would be limited to the evaluation of prospective student-athletes engaging in sand volleyball competition (not practice or other athletics activities associated with the competition). No other types of evaluations such as academic or nonsand volleyball athletics evaluations (e.g., evaluating at a track meet) would be permitted during the additional evaluation days;

(ii) To limit an institution that sponsors only sand volleyball to 80 evaluation days; and

(iii) To use the current women's volleyball recruiting calendar for both sports.

2. Financial Aid.

a. Recommends a modification to Proposal No. 2009-70 to include a provision that if a student-athlete who only participates (practices or competes) and is a counter in sand volleyball during her first year of collegiate enrollment at the certifying institution, but who later participates in women's volleyball during her second year of enrollment, that the institution must consider the student-athlete also as a counter in women's volleyball for her first year of enrollment. A sand volleyball student-athlete would not be permitted to practice or engage in any skill instruction with the women's volleyball team in season or out of season, unless the student-athlete is a counter in women's volleyball. An exception would be allowed for student-athletes in both sports to engage in weight-training and

conditioning conducted by the strength and conditioning coach with department wide duties at the same time.

b. Supports the financial aid concepts detailed in Proposal No.2009-70, which would create a phased-in approach for financial aid maximum institutional team limitations for institutions that sponsor both sports.

3. Playing and Practice Seasons.

- Recommends an alternative proposal to Proposal No. 2009-83 to be sponsored to limit the total dates of competition in sand volleyball to 16, with no more than 14 in the championship segment.

4. Membership Requirements.

- The working group supported the minimum contest requirements (i.e., eight) necessary for sports sponsorship recommended in section B of Proposal No. 2009-83.

Recommendations.

1. Personnel and Recruiting.

The working group supports the coaching limitations outlined in Proposal No. 2009-19-B, which limits the number of coaches and off-campus recruiters in sand volleyball to two. Pursuant to this proposal, if a coach was to coach both sports or was to serve as an off-campus recruiter for both sports, he or she would count toward the limit on the number of coaches in each sport. This proposal would address concerns with costs as it would not be absolutely necessary for an institution to employ one additional coach if it sponsors sand volleyball. Further, no potential advantage would be gained by institutions that sponsor both sports over those that only sponsor women's volleyball.

The working group supported the concept of using the current women's volleyball recruiting calendar for both women's and sand volleyball and agreed that the development of a separate recruiting calendar for sand volleyball is not warranted at this time. The working group did consider adding contact periods to the current women's volleyball recruiting calendar, to account for time periods when there may be a higher number of sand volleyball events occurring. However, the working group recommended no changes as the frequency of those events at this time are unknown. Although such

events may become more prevalent in the future, whereby the recruiting calendar could be adjusted at that time, and the current recruiting calendar should be able to accommodate any evaluation days needed for sand volleyball recruiting purposes.

The working group engaged in lengthy discussions regarding the appropriate number of evaluation days for sand volleyball and considered the following options if an institution sponsors both sports:

a. Eighty evaluation days for women's volleyball and 20 additional evaluation days if an institution sponsors sand volleyball.

b. Eighty evaluation days for women's volleyball and 20 additional evaluation days limited to the evaluation of prospective student-athletes engaging in sand volleyball competition (not practice or other athletics activities associated with the competition). No other types of evaluations such as academic evaluations or nonsand volleyball athletics evaluations (e.g., evaluating at a track meet) would be permitted during the additional evaluation days.

c. Eighty evaluation days that must be shared between women's and sand volleyball with a re-evaluation after two to three years of having sand volleyball as an emerging sport for women.

d. One hundred evaluation days that must be shared between women's and sand volleyball.

Ultimately, the working group supported option "b" noted above as this option allows institutions that sponsor both sports to have 20 additional evaluation days and the additional days pose little to no recruiting advantage over those institutions opting to not sponsor sand volleyball. Further, the additional days will assist in the growth of the sport as new sand volleyball events may be created corresponding to the evaluation periods within current volleyball recruiting calendar to accommodate the 20 additional sand-volleyball-specific evaluation days. .

The working group also supported a modification to Proposal No. 2009-39 that if an institution only sponsors sand volleyball and does not sponsor women's volleyball, the institution would be limited to a total of 80 evaluation days.

2. Financial Aid.

The working group recommended a modification to Proposal No. 2009-70 to add a provision that if a student-athlete who only participates (practices or competes) and is a

counter in sand volleyball during her first year of collegiate enrollment at the certifying institution, but who later participates in women's volleyball during her second year of enrollment, that the institution must consider the student-athlete also as a counter in women's volleyball for her first year of enrollment. The working group further recommended that a sand volleyball student-athlete is not permitted to practice or engage in any skill instruction with the women's volleyball team in season or out of season, unless the student-athlete is a counter in women's volleyball. An exception would allow student-athletes in both sports to engage in weight-training and conditioning conducted by the strength and conditioning coach with department-wide duties at the same time.

This recommendation addresses competitive equity concerns regarding institutions who sponsor both sand volleyball and women's volleyball from stockpiling or "hiding" student-athletes on its sand volleyball team with the intention of the student-athlete being a fully contributing member of the women's volleyball team by her second year of enrollment and thereafter. Under current financial aid regulations, a student-athlete who does not compete (e.g., "redshirt") or is ineligible for the women's volleyball team is still considered a counter for the women's volleyball team if she receives athletically related financial aid. For institutions that sponsor both sports, there may be the inclination to have the same student-athlete participate (practice and compete) only for the sand volleyball team her first year of enrollment if she is not ready to be a full contributing member of the women's volleyball team thereby opening a counter opportunity on the women's volleyball team. Creating this one-year participation window provides protections against this inappropriate roster management and stockpiling concerns while also encouraging institutions to sponsor legitimate sand volleyball programs and participation opportunities for student-athletes who wish to play the sport at the intercollegiate level. The working group noted the critical importance of emphasizing the application of this provision through various educational initiatives for the membership.

The working group also supported the financial aid concepts detailed in Proposal No. 2009-70, which would create a phased-in approach for financial aid maximum institutional team limitations for institutions that sponsor both sports. Limiting the equivalencies to six among 14 total counters for institutions that sponsor both the sand and women's sports is appropriate based on the anticipated playing rules for women's sand volleyball, which would permit five two-person units to compete as a team in competition. During sand volleyball's emergence, it is anticipated that there will be a high number of student-athletes who will participate in both sports. Limiting the total number of counters will assist with maintaining competitive balance. Institutions that sponsor sand volleyball only will be limited to eight equivalencies and 14 counters.

3. Playing and Practice Seasons.

The working group recommended sponsorship of an alternative proposal to Proposal No. 2009-83 to include the following provision:

- A total of 16 dates of competition with no more than 14 in the championship segment.

Lowering the maximum permissible dates of competition is appropriate due to the available number of weeks in the championship segment and minimizing possible missed class time due to those dates of competition. This approach provides flexibility for institutions to shift additional dates of competition to the nonchampionship segment but also maintaining competitive equity concerns by limiting the overall number of dates of competition in the championship segment to 14. It is expected that the dates of competition will be achieved either through head-to-head competition or tournament play.

The working group also acknowledged the work of the task force of the NCAA Division I Leadership Council and NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, which is exploring cost-savings initiatives including the possible elimination of competition in the nonchampionship segment. If the legislative recommendations relating to elimination of competition in the nonchampionship segment are approved, this proposal will need to be amended in order to be in line with those recommendations.

4. Membership Requirements.

The working group supported the minimum contest requirements (i.e., eight) necessary for sports sponsorship recommended in section B of Proposal No. 2009-83 and suggested no modification to this portion of the proposal. The proposed minimum contest requirement of eight appears appropriate to establish sand volleyball as a sport and is based upon trends in the number and dates of competition completed at the club level.

Proposal Number: 2009-19-A

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL

Intent: To specify that an institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball shall have a limit of two coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time; further, to specify that an institution that sponsors women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball shall have a limit of four coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.4, as follows:

11.7.4 Limitations on Number of Coaches and Off-Campus Recruiters. There shall be a limit on the number of coaches who may be employed by an institution and who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time in each sport as follows:

Sport	Limit on Number of Coaches	Limit on Off-Campus Recruiters
Sand Volleyball, Women's (Without Women's Volleyball)	**2**	**2**
Sand Volleyball, Women's (With Women's Volleyball)	**4**	**2**
Volleyball, Women's **(Without Women's Sand Volleyball)**	3	2
Volleyball, Women's (With Women's Sand Volleyball)	**4**	**2**

[All other sports unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2010

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: This proposal reflects an increase of one to the current women's volleyball countable coach maximum limitations if an institution sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball. Due to an overlap in the women's volleyball championship segment and women's sand volleyball nonchampionship segment, and vice versa, this increase is necessary to allow coaches to have an appropriate work/life balance and to provide an opportunity for women's sand volleyball student-athletes who do not participate in women's volleyball to work with their coaches during the fall nonchampionship segment. Further, a maximum limit of two coaches for institutions sponsoring only women's sand volleyball is appropriate to coach and manage the anticipated squad size of 10 to 14 student-athletes. Finally, these concepts include the input and examination of this issue by the American Volleyball Coaches Association in developing and recommending this proposal.

Budget Impact: Costs associated with hiring additional countable coaches and costs related to off-campus recruiting if an institution does not sponsor women's volleyball, but will sponsor women's sand volleyball.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History

Jun 08, 2009: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 09, 2009: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Oct 19, 2009: Proposal renumbered as Proposal No. 2009-19-A. An alternative is Proposal No. 2009-19-B.

Jan 13, 2010: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 17, 2010: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 17, 2010: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 0, Oppose = 2, Abstain = 0)

Apr 13, 2010: Leg Council Final Review, Tabled; With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

Proposal Number: 2009-19-B

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL

Intent: In women's sand volleyball, to specify that the limit on the number of coaches who may be employed is two and the limit on the number of coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time is two.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.4, as follows:

11.7.4 Limitations on Number of Coaches and Off-Campus Recruiters. There shall be a limit on the number of coaches who may be employed by an institution and who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time in each sport as follows:

Sport	Limit on Number of Coaches	Limit on Off-Campus Recruiters
Sand Volleyball, Women's	**2**	**2**

[All other sports unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: August 1, 2010

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: NCAA Proposal No. 2009-19-A would permit an institution that sponsors women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball to hire one additional coach who could work with both sports. Concern has been expressed that such a model would provide an advantage for institutions that sponsor both sports over those that only sponsor women's volleyball. Pursuant to this alternative proposal (Proposal No. 2009-19-B), if a coach were to coach both sports or serve as an off-campus recruiter for both sports, he or she would count toward the limit on the number of coaches in each sport.

Budget Impact: Costs associated with hiring additional countable coaches and costs related to off-campus recruiting.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Oct 19, 2009: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 19, 2009: Legislative Council, Sponsored; Sponsored as an alternative to Proposal No. 2009-19-A.

Jan 13, 2010: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 17, 2010: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 17, 2010: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 0, Oppose = 1, Abstain = 0)

Apr 13, 2010: Leg Council Final Review, Tabled; With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

Proposal Number: 2009-39

Title: RECRUITING -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS -- EVALUATION DAYS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL

Intent: To specify that an institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball or sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball is limited to 100 evaluation days (measured August 1 through July 31); further, to specify that if an institution sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball, a coach's involvement outside a volleyball contact or evaluation period with a local sports club (volleyball or sand volleyball) per Bylaw 13.11.2.3 shall count toward the limit.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.8, as follows:

13.1.8 Limitations on Number of Evaluations.

[13.1.8.1 through 13.1.8.12 unchanged.]

13.1.8.13 Evaluation Days -- Women's Sand Volleyball. An institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball or sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball is limited to 100 evaluation days (measured August 1 through July 31) per Bylaw 13.02.6.2. If an institution sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball, a coach's involvement outside a volleyball contact or evaluation period with a local sports club (volleyball or sand volleyball) per Bylaw 13.11.2.3 shall count toward the limit.

[13.1.8.13 through 13.1.8.20 renumbered as 13.1.8.14 through 13.1.8.21, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2010

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal will provide institutions that sponsor both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball with 20 additional evaluation days. It is expected that in the initial years of sponsorship, a large number of women's sand volleyball participants will also be women's volleyball participants. Due to the currently limited opportunities to evaluate prospective student-athletes competing in sand volleyball events, it is reasonable to expect that the evaluations for women's sand volleyball prospective student-athletes will be conducted during women's volleyball competition. These same challenges will be faced by institutions that sponsor only women's sand volleyball. Therefore, a limit of 100 evaluation days is also appropriate for such institutions, rather than an unlimited number as suggested by the American Volleyball Coaches Association. Finally, the number of permissible evaluation days shall remain at 80 for institutions that sponsor only women's volleyball.

Budget Impact: Additional costs associated with increase in number of permissible evaluation days for institutions that sponsor women's sand volleyball.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jun 09, 2009: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Jul 16, 2009: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jan 13, 2010: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 17, 2010: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 17, 2010: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 0, Oppose = 1, Abstain = 0)

Apr 13, 2010: Leg Council Final Review, Tabled; With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

Proposals Sponsored by the Cabinet

Proposal Number: 2010-16

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- NONCOACHING STAFF WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES -- BASKETBALL -- LIMIT OF ONE

Intent: In basketball, to specify that there shall be a limit of one noncoaching staff member (one in men's basketball and one in women's basketball) whose responsibilities are specific to basketball and who works directly for the basketball program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further, to specify that clerical staff and managers who work exclusively for the men's or women's basketball program are exempt from the application of this limitation.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.1.1.1.1, as follows:

11.7.1.1.1.1 Noncoaching Activities. Institutional staff members involved in noncoaching activities (e.g., administrative assistants, academic counselors) do not count in the institution's coaching limitations, provided such individuals are not identified as coaches, do not engage in any on- or off-field coaching activities (e.g., attending meetings involving coaching activities, analyzing video involving the institution's or an opponent's team), and are not involved in any off-campus recruitment of prospective student-athletes or scouting of opponents.

11.7.1.1.1.1.1 Exception -- Noncoaching Staff Member with Sport-Specific Responsibilities. A noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities (e.g., director of operations, administrative assistant) may participate in organized activities involving only the coaching staff or may perform administrative duties (e.g., attend meetings involving coaching activities, analyze video of the institution's or an opponent's team, track statistics during practice or competition). However, such an individual is prohibited from participating in instructional activities with student-athletes and any on-court or on-field activities (e.g., assist with drills, throw batting practice), and is prohibited from participating with or observing student-athletes in the staff member's sport who are engaged in nonorganized voluntary athletically related activities (e.g., pick-up games).

11.7.1.1.1.1.1.1 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, there shall be a limit of one noncoaching staff member whose responsibilities are specific to men's basketball and who works directly for the men's basketball program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution. Clerical staff and managers who work exclusively for the men's basketball program are exempt from the application of this limitation.

11.7.1.1.1.1.1.2 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, there shall be a limit of one noncoaching staff member whose responsibilities are specific to women's basketball and who works directly for the women's basketball program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution. Clerical staff and managers who work exclusively for the women's basketball program are exempt from the application of this limitation.

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: The membership and the Board of Directors have expressed significant concern regarding the proliferation of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and its impact on competitive equity. In a membership

survey regarding noncoaching staff members, 88 percent of respondents favored a limit of one to three noncoaching staff members in men's basketball and eighty-nine percent of respondents favored a limit of one to three in women's basketball. The limit of one was the option most favored by the directors of athletics surveyed for both sports. One is an appropriate and practical limit in consideration of the number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by basketball programs. Some common examples of individuals who would be included in the proposed numerical limitations include, but are not limited to, directors of operations, video coordinators, quality control personnel, directors of player development and directors of community relations. Individuals who may report to another unit or department within the department of athletics or outside the department of athletics, such as sports information directors, academic advisors, athletic trainers, equipment managers and marketing staff, would not be included in the proposed numerical limitations, even if these individuals have basketball-specific responsibilities.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Jun 08, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 09, 2010: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Proposal Number: 2010-18

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- NONCOACHING STAFF WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES --BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- LIMIT OF FOUR

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be a limit of four noncoaching staff members whose responsibilities are specific to bowl subdivision football and who work directly for the football program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further, to specify that clerical staff and managers who work exclusively for the football program are exempt from the application of this limitation.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.2, as follows:

[Federated provisions, FBS only]

11.7.2 Football Bowl Subdivision. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football. **In addition, there shall be a limit of four noncoaching staff members whose responsibilities are specific to bowl subdivision football and who work directly for the football program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution. Clerical staff and managers who work exclusively for the football program are exempt from the application of this limitation.**

[Remainder of 11.7.2 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: The membership and the Board of Directors have expressed significant concern regarding the proliferation of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and its impact on competitive equity. In a membership survey regarding noncoaching staff members, 88 percent of respondents favored a limit of one to six noncoaching staff members in bowl subdivision football. The limit of four was the option most favored by the directors of athletics surveyed. Four is an appropriate and practical limit in consideration of the number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by bowl subdivision football programs. Some common examples of individuals who would be included in the proposed numerical limitations include, but are not limited to, directors of operations, video coordinators, quality control personnel, directors of player development and directors of community relations. Individuals who may report to another unit or department within the department of athletics or outside the department of athletics, such as sports information directors, academic advisors, athletic trainers, equipment managers and marketing staff, would not be included in the proposed numerical limitations, even if these individuals have football-specific responsibilities.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History

Jun 08, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 09, 2010: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Proposal Number: 2010-20

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- NONCOACHING STAFF WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- LIMIT OF TWO

Intent: In championship subdivision football, to specify that there shall be a limit of two noncoaching staff members whose responsibilities are specific to championship subdivision football and who work directly for the football program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further to specify that clerical staff and managers who work exclusively for the football program are exempt from the application of this limitation.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.3, as follows:

[Federated provisions, FCS only]

11.7.3 Championship Subdivision Football. There shall be a limit of 11 coaches of any type who may be employed by an institution in championship subdivision football. **In addition, there shall be a limit of two noncoaching staff members whose responsibilities are specific to championship subdivision football and work directly for the football program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution. Clerical staff and managers who work exclusively for the football program are exempt from the application of this limitation.**

[Remainder of 11.7.3 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: The membership and the Board of Directors have expressed significant concern regarding the proliferation of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and its impact on competitive equity. In a membership survey regarding noncoaching staff members, 88 percent of respondents favored a limit of one to six noncoaching staff members in championship subdivision football. The limit of two was the option most favored by the directors of athletics surveyed. Two is an appropriate and practical limit in consideration of the number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by championship subdivision football programs. Some common examples of individuals who would be included in the proposed numerical limitations include, but are not limited to, directors of operations, video coordinators, quality control personnel, directors of player development and directors of community relations. Individuals who may report to another unit or department within the department of athletics or outside the department of athletics, such as sports information directors, academic advisors, athletic trainers, equipment managers and marketing staff, would not be included in the proposed numerical limitations, even if these individuals have football-specific responsibilities.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jun 08, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 09, 2010: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Proposal Number: 2010-30

Title: RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- TIME PERIOD FOR TELEPHONE CALLS -- SPORTS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL

Intent: In sports other than football, to specify that an institution may make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the individual's junior year in high school, two telephone calls per week beginning August 1 prior to the individual's senior year in high school, and one telephone call per week to a two-year or four-year college prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians); further, in sports other than football for which a defined recruiting calendar applies, to specify that during a contact period that occurs on or after August 1 before an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- ~~*General Rule*~~ **Sports Other Than Football**. ~~*Telephone*~~ **In sports other than football, telephone** calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before ~~*July 1 following the completion of his or her junior*~~ **June 15 of the individual's sophomore** year in high school ~~*(subject to the exceptions below); thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week*~~. **Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:**

(a) One telephone call per month on or after June 15 of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 following the individual's junior year in high school.

(b) Two telephone calls per week beginning August 1 prior to the individual's senior year in high school.

(c) One telephone call per week to a two-year or four-year college prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians).

(d) In sports for which a defined recruiting calendar applies (see Bylaw 13.17), during a contact period that occurs on or after August 1 before an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.

~~*13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross-country/track and field, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.2 Exception -- Football. In football, one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may be made from April 15 through May 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Additional telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before September 1 of the beginning of the individual's senior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone contact is limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.3 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 of the individual's*~~

~~*sophomore year in high school through July 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Thereafter, outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make two telephone calls per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 before the individual's senior year in high school. Outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to a two-year or four-year college prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians). During a contact period that occurs after August 1 prior to an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.4 Exception -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, telephone calls may be made to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:*~~

~~*(a) One telephone call during the month of April of the individual's junior year in high school on or after the Thursday after the conclusion of the NCAA Division I Women's Final Four.*~~

~~*(b) One telephone call during the month of May of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(c) One telephone call on or after June 1 through June 20 of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(d) One telephone call on or after June 21 through June 30 of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*(e) Three telephone calls during the month of July following the individual's junior year in high school, with not more than one telephone call per week [see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.2 (c)].*~~

~~*(f) Thereafter, one telephone call per week outside a contact period and unlimited telephone calls during a contact period.*~~

13.1.3.1~~*.3.1*~~ Additional Restrictions -- Additional Communication With a Parent or Legal Guardian Who Serves on Staff of a Nonscholastic Team **-- Women's Basketball**. ~~*An*~~ **In women's basketball, an** institution may not have any additional communication with a parent or legal guardian of a prospective student-athlete who serves on the staff of his or her daughter's nonscholastic team unless the parent or legal guardian also serves as a head coach of his or her daughter's scholastic team and the additional communication is unrelated to recruitment of his or her daughter.

13.1.3.~~*1.3*~~.2 Additional Restrictions -- July Evaluation Periods **-- Women's Basketball**. In women's basketball, during the July evaluation periods, all communication with a prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's coach or any individual associated with the prospective student-athlete as a result of the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, directly or indirectly, is prohibited.

13.1.3~~*.1.3*~~.2.1 Exception -- Communication After National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Written Commitment **-- Women's Basketball**. In women's basketball, an institution may communicate with a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives, legal guardians or coach) during the July evaluation periods, provided the prospective student-athlete has graduated from high school and signed a National Letter of Intent with the institution. For an institution not using the National Letter of Intent in women's basketball or for those prospective student-athlete's not eligible to sign the National Letter of Intent, communication may occur during the July evaluation periods, provided the prospective student-athlete has graduated from high school and signed the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid.

~~*13.1.3.1.5 Exception -- Men's Ice Hockey. In men's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the individual's junior year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the*~~

~~*individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school.*~~

~~*13.1.3.1.6 Exception -- Women's Ice Hockey. In women's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or guardians) who is a resident of a foreign country on or after July 7 through July 31 following the completion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning July 7 following completion of the individual junior year in high school.*~~

[13.1.3.1.7 through 13.1.3.1.8 unchanged.]

13.1.3.2 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- Football. In football, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before April 15 of the individual's junior year in high school. One telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may be made from April 15 through May 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Additional telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before September 1 of the beginning of the individual's senior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone contact is limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

[Remainder 13.1.3 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal applies the current telephone call rule in men's basketball to all sports other than football. Based on the examination of the current recruiting model and feedback received from the membership, this proposal will help to bring uniformity to the telephone calls legislation. The proposal excludes football due to the fact that the current legislation is specific to the spring evaluation period. This legislative change will provide the opportunity, in most sports, for communication on a limited basis with prospective student-athletes at an earlier time. Such communication may be used to better assess a prospective student-athlete's academic preparedness and provide guidance regarding his or her high school academic curriculum. Such access would assist both institutions and prospective student-athletes in making sound recruiting evaluations and decisions. With the introduction of legislative proposals restricting verbal offers of athletics aid until July 1 following the completion of an individual's junior year in high school, additional opportunities are necessary to assist in developing a relationship between a coach and a prospective student-athlete and for a coach to obtain key information about a prospective student-athlete prior to making any verbal or written offers of financial aid.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jun 08, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 09, 2010: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Proposal Number: 2010-31

Title: PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING -- RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS -- CONTACTS, TELEPHONE CALLS AND RECRUITING MATERIALS -- EXCEPTIONS -- COMMUNICATION AFTER COMMITMENT

Intent: To specify that the restrictions on the forms and frequency of communication between institutional administrators or coaching staff members and a prospective student-athlete (or prospective student athlete's relatives or legal guardians) shall no longer apply beginning the calendar day after: (1) the prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or, for an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete not eligible to sign a NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), a signed acceptance of a written offer of admission and/or financial aid agreement; or (2) the institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

A. Bylaws: Amend 11.7.1.2, as follows:

11.7.1.2 Recruiting Coordination Functions. The following recruiting coordination functions (except related routine clerical tasks) must be performed by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4:

(a) Activities involving athletics evaluations and/or selection of prospective student-athletes; and

(b) Making telephone calls to or receiving telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches).

[11.7.2.1 unchanged.]

11.7.2.2 Exceptions -- Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches.

[11.7.1.2.2-(a) unchanged.]

(b) After Receipt of Financial Deposit. ~~*On or after May 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, a*~~ **A** noncoaching institutional staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may perform the functions set forth in Bylaw 11.7.1.2-(b)~~*, provided*~~ **after the calendar day on which** the institution ~~*has received*~~ **receives** a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

[11.7.2.2-(c) and 11.7.1.2.2-(d) unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.02.5.4.2, as follows:

13.02.5.4.2 Exception -- Unofficial Visit During Dead Period After ~~*National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Written*~~ Commitment. ~~*A prospective student-athlete who has signed a National Letter of Intent (NLI) is permitted to make an unofficial visit during a dead period to the institution with which he or she has signed the NLI. For an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete who is not eligible to sign the NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), a prospective student-athlete is permitted to make an unofficial visit during a dead period, provided he or she has signed the institution's written offer of admission or financial aid, or the institution has received a financial deposit from the prospective student-athlete in response to an offer of admission.*~~ **A prospective student-athlete is permitted to make an unofficial visit during a dead period beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:**

(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or, for an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or a prospective student-athlete not eligible to sign a NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), a signed acceptance of a written offer of admission and/or financial aid agreement; or

(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- General Rule. Telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school (subject to the exceptions below); thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week.

[13.1.3.1.1 through 13.1.3.1.3 unchanged.]

13.1.3.1.4 Exception -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, telephone calls may be to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:

[13.1.3.1.4-(a) through 13.1.3.1.4-(f) unchanged.]

13.1.3.1.4.2 Additional Restrictions -- July Evaluation Periods. In women's basketball, during the July evaluation periods, all communication with a prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's coach or any individual associated with the prospective student-athlete as a result of the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, directly or indirectly, s prohibited.

13.1.3.1.4.2.1 Exception -- Communication ~~*After National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Written*~~ Commitment. In women's basketball, an institution may communicate with a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives, legal guardians or coach) during the July evaluation periods, provided the ~~*prospective student-athlete has graduated from high school and signed a National Letter of Intent with the institution. For an institution not using the National Letter of Intent in women's basketball or for those prospective student-athletes not eligible to sign the National Letter of Intent, communication may occur during the July evaluation periods, provided the prospective student-athlete has graduated from high school and signed the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid.*~~ **communication does not occur until the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:**

(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or, for an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete not eligible to sign a NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), a signed acceptance of a written offer of admission and/or financial aid agreement; or

(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

[13.1.3.1.5 through 13.1.3.1.8 unchanged.]

[13.1.3.2 unchanged.]

13.1.3.3 Exceptions.

[13.1.3.3.1 through 13.1.3.3.2 unchanged.]

13.1.3.3.3 Telephone Calls ~~*After National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Written*~~ Commitment. ~~*After the calendar day on which a prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent, there shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by the institution with which the prospective student-athlete has signed. For an institution not using the National Letter of Intent in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete who is not eligible to sign a National Letter of Intent (e.g., four-year college transfer), there shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal*~~

~~*guardians by that institution after the calendar day on which the prospective student-athlete signs the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid.*~~ **There shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by the institution to a prospective student-athlete (or prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occur:**

(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or, for an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete not eligible to sign a NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), a signed acceptance of a written offer of admission and/or financial aid agreement; or

(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

~~*13.1.3.3.4 Telephone Calls After Receipt of Financial Deposit. On or after May 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, there shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by an institution to the prospective student-athlete, provided the institution has received a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.*~~

[13.1.3.3.5 renumbered as 13.1.3.3.4, unchanged.]

13.1.3.4 Permissible Callers.

13.1.3.4.1 Institutional Coaching Staff Members -- General Rule. All telephone calls made to and received from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians or coaches) must be made and received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4 (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2). In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, such telephone calls also may be made and received by a graduate assistant coach, provided the coach has successfully completed the coaches' certification examination per Bylaw 11.5.1.1.

[13.1.3.4.1.1 unchanged.]

13.1.3.4.1.2 Additional Exceptions -- Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches **-- After Commitment**.

~~*(a) After National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Written Commitment. After the calendar day on which a prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent, a noncoaching institutional staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from the prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians). For an institution not using the National Letter of Intent in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete who is not eligible to sign a National Letter of Intent (e.g., four-year college transfer), a noncoaching institutional staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4 may make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) after the calendar day on which the prospective student-athlete signs the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid.*~~

~~*(b) After Receipt of Financial Deposit. On or after May 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, a noncoaching institutional staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from the prospective student-athlete, provided the institution has received a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.*~~

A noncoaching institutional staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from the prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or

legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:

(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or, for an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete not eligible to sign a NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), a signed acceptance of a written offer of admission and/or financial aid agreement; or

(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

D. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.5, as follows:

13.1.5 Contacts.

[13.1.5.1 through 13.1.5.3 unchanged.]

13.1.5.4 Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to five recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete; however, during the prospective student-athlete's senior year, the institution is limited to not more than three in-person, off-campus contacts (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

[13.1.5.4.1 unchanged.]

13.1.5.4.2 Additional Restrictions -- July Evaluation Periods. In women's basketball, during the July evaluation periods, all communication with a prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's coach or any individual associated with the prospective student-athlete as a result of the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, directly or indirectly, is prohibited

13.1.5.4.2 Exception -- Communication After Commitment. In women's basketball, an institution may communicate with a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives, legal guardians or coach) during the July evaluation periods, provided the communication does not occur until the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:

(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or for an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete not eligible to sign an NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), a signed acceptance of a written offer of admission and/or financial aid agreement; or

(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

[13.1.5.5 through 13.1.5.7 unchanged.]

13.1.5.8 Contacts After ~~*National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Written*~~ Commitment ~~*-- Sports Other Than Women's Basketball and Football. In sports other than women's basketball and football, after the calendar day on which a prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent, there shall be no limit on the number of contacts by the institution with which the prospective student-athlete has signed. For an institution not using the National Letter of Intent in a particular sport, there shall be no limit on the number of contacts with the prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians by that institution after the calendar day of the prospective student-athlete's signed acceptance of the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid*~~. **There shall be no limit on the number of contacts by the institution with a prospective student-athlete (or prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:**

(1) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or, for an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete not eligible to sign a NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), a signed acceptance of a written offer of admission and/or financial aid agreement; or

(2) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

13.1.5.8.1 Exceptions. ~~*However, the*~~ **The** following conditions continue to apply:

(a) Any contact at the prospective student-athlete's educational institution in men's basketball shall be confined to the permissible contact period and shall not exceed one visit per week;

(b) In football, contact at the prospective student-athlete's educational institution shall be confined to the permissible contact period and the spring evaluation period (see Bylaw 13.17.4). Contact at the educational institution during the contact shall not exceed one visit per week. A visit to the educational institution during the spring evaluation period that only includes contact with a signed prospective student-athlete shall be considered one of the institution's two permissible days at the institution (see Bylaw 13.1.7.9.2);

(~~*b*~~**c**) No in-person, off-campus contact may be made during a "dead period;"

(~~*c*~~**d**) No on- or off-campus contact (including correspondence and telephone calls) may be made by a representative of the institution's athletics interests except those involving permissible pre-enrollment activities (e.g., a discussion of summer employment arrangements); and

(~~*d*~~**e**) Contact at the site of a prospective student-athlete's competition shall continue to be governed by the provisions of Bylaw 13.1.6.2. Note: Contact with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians at the site of the prospective student-athlete's competition shall be permitted (see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.2).

~~*13.1.5.8.1 Contacts After National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Commitment -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, after the calendar day on which the prospective student-athlete signs the National Letter of Intent (NLI), there shall be no limit on the number of contacts by the institution with which the prospective student-athlete has signed. For an institution not using the NLI in women's basketball or for those prospective student-athletes not eligible to sign the NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), there shall be no limit on the number of contacts with the prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians by that institution after the calendar day of the prospective student-athlete's signed acceptance of the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid. However, the following conditions continue to apply:*~~

~~*(a) No in-person, on- or off-campus contact may be made during a "dead period;"*~~

~~*(b) No on- or off-campus contact (including correspondence and telephone calls) may be made by a representative of the institution's athletics interests except those involving permissible pre-enrollment activities (e.g., discussion of summer employment arrangements); and*~~

~~*(c) Contact at the site of a prospective student-athlete's competition shall continue to be governed by the provisions of Bylaw 13.1.6.2. Note: Contact with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians at the site of the prospective student-athlete's competition shall be permitted (see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.2).*~~

~~*13.1.5.8.2 Contacts After National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Written Commitment -- Football. In football, after the calendar day on which a prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent, there shall be no limit on the number of contacts by the institution with which the prospective student-athlete has signed. For an institution not using the National Letter of Intent in football, there shall be no limit on the number of contacts with the prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians by that*~~

~~*institution after the calendar day of the prospective student-athlete's signed acceptance of the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid. However, the following conditions continue to apply:*~~

~~*(a) Contact at the prospective student-athlete's educational institution shall be confined to the permissible contact period and the spring evaluation period (see Bylaw 13.17.4). Contact at the educational institution during the contact period shall not exceed one visit per week. A visit to the educational institution during the spring evaluation period that only includes contact with a signed prospective student-athlete shall be considered one of the institution's two permissible days at that institution (see Bylaw 13.1.7.9.2);*~~

~~*(b) No in-person, on- or off-campus contact may be made during a "dead period;"*~~

~~*(c) No on- or off-campus contact (including correspondence and telephone calls) may be made by a representative of the institution's athletics interests except those involving permissible pre-enrollment activities (e.g., discussion of summer employment arrangements); and*~~

~~*(d) Contact at the site of a prospective student-athlete's competition shall continue to be governed by the provisions of Bylaw 13.1.6.2. Note: Contact with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians at the site of the prospective student-athlete's competition shall be permitted.*~~

[13.1.5.8.3 renumbered as 13.1.5.8.2, unchanged.]

[Remainder of 13.1.5 unchanged.]

E. Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1, as follows:

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, a member institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school. In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school.

[13.4.1.1 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2 Electronic Transmissions. Electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.6.2.) All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited. Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires) and a media guide. In addition, attachments shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence.

13.4.1.2.1 Exception -- Electronic Transmissions After ~~*National Letter of Intent Signing or Other Written*~~ Commitment. ~~*After the calendar day on which a prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent, there shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence sent to the prospective student-athlete or his or her parents or legal guardians by the institution with which the prospective student-athlete has signed. For an institution not using the National Letter of Intent in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete who is not eligible to sign a National Letter of Intent (e.g., four-year college transfer), there shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete or his or her parents or legal guardians by that institution after the calendar day on which the prospective student-athlete signs*~~

~~*the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid.*~~ There shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete (or prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:

(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or, for an institution not using the NLI in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete not eligible to sign a NLI (e.g., four-year college transfer), a signed acceptance of a written offer of admission and/or financial aid agreement; or

(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

~~*13.4.1.2.2 Exception -- Electronic Transmissions After Receipt of Financial Deposit. On or after May 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, there shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent by an institution to the prospective student-athlete, provided the institution has received a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.*~~

[13.4.1.2.3 renumbered as 13.4.1.2.2, unchanged.]

[Remainder of 13.4.1 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal reflects the membership's increased comfort level with and gradual move toward deregulation of communication after the generally recognized conclusion of the recruiting process.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jun 08, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 09, 2010: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Proposal Number: 2010-40

Title: RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT -- LIMITATIONS ON OFFICIAL VISITS -- NO VISIT AFTER NATIONAL LETTER OF INTENT OR OTHER WRITTEN COMMITMENT

Intent: To specify that after signing a National Letter of Intent, a prospective student-athlete shall not make an official visit to the institution with which he or she has signed; further, to specify that for an institution not using the National Letter of Intent in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete who is not eligible to sign a National Letter of Intent (e.g., four-year college transfer), the prospective student-athlete shall not make an official visit to the institution after he or she has signed the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid.

Bylaws: Amend 13.6.2, as follows:

13.6.2 Limitations on Official Visits.

[13.6.2.1 through 13.6.2.6 unchanged.]

13.6.2.7 After Signing National Letter of Intent or Other Written Commitment. After signing a National Letter of Intent, a prospective student-athlete shall not make an official visit to the institution with which he or she has signed. For an institution not using the National Letter of Intent in a particular sport, or for a prospective student-athlete who is not eligible to sign a National Letter of Intent (e.g., four-year college transfer), the prospective student-athlete shall not make an official visit to the institution after he or she has signed the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid.

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The primary purpose of an official visit is to provide the prospective student-athlete and the institution an appropriate time and venue to evaluate one another as part of the recruiting process. Once a prospective student-athlete has signed a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or, for those institutions not using the NLI or for prospective student-athletes not eligible to sign, accepted a written offer of admission or financial aid, the recruiting process has concluded. Therefore, an official visit after a prospective student-athlete has signed with an institution is an unnecessary evaluation. A prospective student-athlete who has signed a National Letter of Intent or other written commitment would still be permitted to make an unofficial visit to the institution.

Budget Impact: Potential cost savings for institutions.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History
Jun 08, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 09, 2010: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Proposal Number: 2010-42

Title: RECRUITING -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- REQUIREMENTS FOR VERBAL OFFER OF ATHLETICALLY RELATED FINANCIAL AID

Intent: To specify that an institution shall not make a verbal offer of athletically related financial aid to an individual, directly or indirectly, before July 1 following his or her junior year in high school; further, to specify that an institution must have a high school transcript (official or unofficial) on file that includes the results of the individual's first five semesters or seven quarters of high school enrollment before extending a verbal offer of financial aid.

Bylaws: Amend 13.9, as follows:

13.9 LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS**, OFFERS OF FINANCIAL AID**

13.9.1 Requirements for Verbal Offer of Athletically Related Financial Aid. An institution may make a verbal offer of athletically related financial aid to an individual, subject to the following requirements:

(a) Such an offer shall not be made to the individual, directly or indirectly, before July 1 following the individual's junior year in high school; and

(b) The institution must have a copy of the individual's high school transcript (official or unofficial) on file that includes the results of the individual's first five semesters or seven quarters of high school enrollment.

13.9.~~1~~**2** Requirements for **Written** Offer of Athletically Related Financial Aid. The following requirements must be met before an institution may provide a written offer of athletically related financial aid (per Bylaw 15.3.2.3) to a prospective student-athlete:

[13.9.1-(a) through 13.9.1-(c) renumbered as 13.9.2-(a) through 13.9.2-(c), unchanged.]

[13.9.2 through 13.9.3 renumbered as 13.9.3 through 13.9.4, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal addresses the growing concern expressed by the Board of Directors and feedback from the Division I membership regarding coaches making verbal offers of financial aid to individuals who have not yet produced an academic history indicating admissibility to a collegiate institution. There is a need for increased opportunities to tie recruiting activities to academic evaluations, particularly prior to offers of financial aid being issued by an institution. The requirement for a five-semester or seven-quarter high school transcript provides a reasonable time period to assess a prospective student-athlete's academic profile prior to making any verbal offer of athletically related financial aid. Further, a specific date (July 1 after the junior year) will relieve potential pressure on high school personnel to provide the most updated transcript immediately on completion of an academic term.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)
none

History

Jun 08, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 09, 2010: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Proposal Number: 2010-43

Title: RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES -- COMPETITION AGAINST PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- SERVICE ACADEMY EXCEPTION

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a national service academy's subvarsity team may compete against a two-year college team, a high school team or a preparatory school team, provided no payment or other inducement (e.g., guarantee) is provided to such a team and no recruiting activities occur with members of such a team in conjunction with the competition.

Bylaws: Amend 13.11.1, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

13.11.1 Prohibited Activities. A member institution, on its campus or elsewhere, shall not conduct (or have conducted on its behalf) any physical activity (e.g., practice session or test/tryout) at which one or more prospective student-athletes (as defined in Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and 13.11.1.2) reveal, demonstrate or display their athletics abilities in any sport except as provided in Bylaws 13.11.2 and 13.11.3.

[13.11.1.1 through 13.11.1.3 unchanged.]

13.11.1.4 Competition Against Prospective Student-Athletes -- Bowl Subdivision Football. In bowl subdivision football, an institution's varsity and subvarsity intercollegiate teams shall not compete against any team that includes prospective student-athletes.

13.11.1.4.1 Exception -- National Service Academy Subvarsity Team. A national service academy's subvarsity team may compete against a two-year college team, a high school team or a preparatory school team, provided no payment or other inducement (e.g., guarantee) is provided to such a team and no recruiting activities occur with members of such a team in conjunction with the competition.

[Remainder of 13.11.1 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Current legislation (adopted in January 2010) prohibits FBS varsity and subvarsity teams from competing against any team that includes prospective student-athletes. This proposal recognizes and addresses the recruiting advantage concerns addressed by the current legislation while preserving the participation opportunities afforded by junior varsity competition at the service academies. The service academies are somewhat unique in that "redshirting" is not a viable option. The service academies are structured around a congressionally approved eight-semester program. All service academy students are expected to complete their undergraduate degree in four years and then serve on active duty in the armed forces. Consequently, service academy student-athletes have four years (as opposed to five) in which to complete their eligibility. Many service academy football student-athletes participate on a subvarsity team for one year and on the varsity team for three years. If not for this subvarsity opportunity, most of them would graduate and begin active duty service after using only three years of eligibility. Subvarsity competition against teams that include prospective student-athletes is necessary in order to have a viable schedule. Such contests should be permitted as long as there is no payment (or other inducement) or recruiting activity in conjunction with these

contests.

Budget Impact: None when compared to legislation prior to Proposal No. 2009-49; increase in costs compared to current prohibition.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Jun 01, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 09, 2010: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
Strategic Plan – Priorities
Last Updated: September 7, 2010

Priority	***Progress and Status***	***Future Action***	***Timeline***
Review of overall recruiting models	• Recommendation to establish recruiting calendars and recruiting person days and/or evaluation days in all sport that do not use such legislation.	• Review feedback from sports that do not currently have recruiting calendars and do not use recruiting person days and/or evaluation days. • Consider sponsoring legislation.	February and June 2011
Recruiting materials and technology	• Sponsored NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2009-44 to deregulate video/audio materials. (June 2009) • Supported a restriction on media guides for recruiting purposes. (June 2009)	• Review Proposal Nos. 2010-38 and 2010-39; related to delivery of media guides and audio/video. • Related issues will be addressed in examination of current recruiting model.	September 2010 February 2011

Priority	***Progress and Status***	***Future Action***	***Timeline***
Coaching limits and noncoaching staff	• Provided discussion document for membership review. (April 2009) • Reaffirmed existing coaching limits, sponsored legislative proposals regarding managers and noncoaching staff members. (June 2009) • Continue to discuss graduate assistants. • Sponsored NCAA Division I Proposal Nos. 2010-16, 2010-18 and 2010-20 to limit the numbers of noncoaching staff with sport specific responsibilities in basketball and football. (June 2010)	• Review Proposal Nos. 2010-17 and 2010-19; related to the number of football graduate assistant coaches and the number of weight or strength coaches. • Continue to discuss graduate assistants. • Continue to discuss strength and conditioning coaches.	September 2010 February 2011
Early offers of athletics aid	• Initial discussion revealed need to engage in broader examination of the current recruiting model. (February 2009) • Sponsored NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2010-42 to prohibit verbal offers before July 1 following the junior year. (June 2010)	Review feedback related to Proposal No. 2010-42.	September 2010

Priority	***Progress and Status***	***Future Action***	***Timeline***
Legislative review of "dated legislation"	• Modified at request of NCAA Division I Board of Directors to include review of potential cost savings. • List generated at June 2009 meetings.	Continued discussion of how amending legislation could provide cost savings.	February 2011
Coaching Clinics and speaking engagements	No cabinet action to date.		
Recruiting and video services	• Supported Proposal No. 2009-56, which established criteria for scouting/recruiting services.	• Review Proposal Nos. 2010-47 and 2010-48, regarding video exchange services and establishing a list of permissible services for men's basketball.	September 2010
Scouting of opponents – film exchange	No cabinet action to date.		
Delayed employment of assistant coaches	No cabinet action to date		

MEMORANDUM

P.O. Box 6222
Indianapolis, Indiana 46206
Telephone: 317/917-6222

Shipping/Overnight Address:
1802 Alonzo Watford Sr. Drive
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

www.ncaa.org

September 7, 2010

To: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet.

From: Jen Daniels

Charnele Kemper

Leeland Zeller.

Subject: Recommendation of Establishing Recruiting Calendars and Recruiting Person or Evaluation Days in All Sports.

The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet has requested that the NCAA staff begin working with sport-specific groups and coaches associations to facilitate the potential development of recruiting calendars and establishment recruiting-person days for each sport. The cabinet has been engaged in a thorough, lengthy review of the current recruiting model due to concerns raised by the membership, such as early offers of aid and the burdens placed on institutions to monitor recruiting activities. Each legislative cycle includes a significant number of recruiting related proposals, many of which are fragmented by sport and piecemeal by nature. The intent of this recommendation is to bring all sports under the same general model of recruiting, while retaining the ability for variation between sports.

Attached to this memorandum are: (1) A list of sports that do not have a specifically legislated recruiting calendar; (2) The current default recruiting calendar for these sports; (3) A list of sports that currently do not use recruiting person days or evaluation days; and (4) A sample of recruiting person days and evaluation days from the sports that currently use them. The cabinet recognizes that each sport has its own unique recruiting environment; however, the cabinet believes there is merit in considering the same base recruiting model for each sport, with targeted areas of differentiation. Specifically, the establishment of a recruiting calendar for each sport will provide greater flexibility to all institutions to devise a calendar that best fits the needs of each particular sport program as well as to enhance the quality of life for institutional staff members. In addition, the recruiting person/evaluation day approach permits efficient use of time and travel resources by only requiring use of recruiting opportunities during days when such a need exists while ensuring a proper balance between necessary access and unreasonable intrusion into the lives of prospective student-athletes.

Therefore, the cabinet requests each sport-specific group to work with its coaches association to determine the appropriate parameters of a recruiting calendar and the number of recruiting person/evaluation days that would be appropriate.

Feedback is requested not later than Friday, December 3. The cabinet will review the feedback it has received from sport-specific groups and coaches associations at its February 2011 meeting with the goal of sponsoring legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle.

Feedback and questions regarding the recruiting calendar and recruiting person days should be directed to Jen Daniels at jdaniels@ncaa.org.

Finally, on behalf of the cabinet, thank you in advance for your participation in this important initiative.

JD/CK/LZ:dks

Attachments

ATTACHMENT NO. 1

NCAA Division I Sports for Which No Recruiting Calendar Has Been Established

Women's Bowling
Women's Equestrian
Women's Field Hockey
Women's Rowing
Women's Rugby
Women's Squash

Men's and Women's Fencing
Men's and Women's Golf
Men's and Women's Gymnastics
Men's and Women's Ice Hockey
Men's and Women's Rifle
Men's and Women's Skiing
Men's and Women's Soccer
Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving
Men's and Women's Tennis
Men's and Women's Water Polo

Men's Volleyball
Wrestling

ATTACHMENT NO. 2

NCAA Division I Dead and Quiet Periods in Sports For Which No Specific Recruiting Calendar Has Been Established

August 1, 2010 through July 31, 2011

(See NCAA Division I Bylaw 13.17.11 for complete listing of recruiting calendars)

Women's Gymnastics Dead Periods	• November 8-11, 2010, (during the period Monday through Thursday of the initial week for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent). • April 11-14, 2011, (during the period Monday through Thursday of the initial week for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent). • April 13-18 (noon), 2011, (the day before the first day of the Division I Women's Gymnastics Championships to noon on the day after the championships).
Men's Ice Hockey Dead Periods	• November 8-11, 2010, (during the period Monday through Thursday of the initial week for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent). • April 11-14, 2011, (during the period Monday through Thursday of the initial week for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent). • April 6-10 (noon), 2011, (Wednesday prior to the Division I Men's Ice Hockey Championship to noon on the Sunday after the game).
Women's Ice Hockey Dead and Quiet Periods	• November 8-11, 2010, (during the period Monday through Thursday of the initial week for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent) • March 17-21 (noon), 2011, (the day prior to the Division I Women's Ice Hockey Championship to noon on the day after the game). • April 11-14, 2011, (during the period Monday through Thursday of the initial week for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent). • April 25 through May 31 2011, is considered a quiet period. [Monday prior to the American Hockey Coaches Association Convention (April 28 through May 1)].

Field Hockey Dead Periods	• November 17-22 (noon), 2010, (Wednesday prior to the Division I Field Hockey Championship to noon on the day after the game). • January 31 through February 3, 2011, (during the period Monday through Thursday of the initial week for the signing of the National Letter of Intent).
Soccer and Men's Water Polo Dead Periods	January 31 through February 3, 2011, (during the period Monday through Thursday of the initial week for the signing of the National Letter of Intent).
All Other Sports Dead Periods	• November 8-11, 2010, (during the period Monday through Thursday of the initial week for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent). • April 11-14, 2011, (during the period Monday through Thursday of the initial week for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent).
Exceptions: U.S. Diving National Championships (Bylaw 13.17.11.1.1)	When the dead period for recruiting occurs during the U.S. Diving National Championships, it shall be permissible for authorized coaching staff members to observe prospective student-athletes participating in that event.
North American Cup Fencing Championship (Bylaw 13.17.11.1.2)	When the dead period for recruiting occurs during the North American Cup Fencing Championship, it shall be permissible for authorized coaching staff members to observe prospective student-athletes participating in that event.

ATTACHMENT NO. 3

NCAA Division I Sports That Currently Do Not Use Recruiting Person/Evaluation Days

Baseball
Men's Volleyball
Wrestling

Women's Bowling
Women's Equestrian
Women's Field Hockey
Women's Rowing
Women's Rugby
Women's Squash

Men's and Women's Cross Country and Track and Field
Men's and Women's Fencing
Men's and Women's Golf
Men's and Women's Gymnastics
Men's and Women's Ice Hockey
Men's and Women's Lacrosse
Men's and Women's Rifle
Men's and Women's Skiing
Men's and Women's Soccer
Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving
Men's and Women's Tennis
Men's and Women's Water Polo

ATTACHMENT NO. 4

Samples of Recruiting-Person Days

Bylaw: 13.02.8 - Recruiting-Person Days -- Men's Basketball.

In men's basketball, a recruiting-person day is defined as one coach engaged in an off-campus recruiting activity of a men's basketball prospective student-athlete, including a prospective student-athlete who has signed a National Letter of Intent (or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid), on one day (12:01 a.m. to midnight); two coaches engaged in recruiting activities on the same day shall use two recruiting-person days. Men's basketball staff members shall not exceed 130 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

Bylaw: 13.02.8.1 - Tournament Application.

Each day of a tournament or tier of a tournament in which a coach engages in off-campus evaluation activity shall count as a separate recruiting-person day.

Bylaw: 13.02.9 - Recruiting-Person Days -- Women's Basketball.

In women's basketball, a recruiting-person day is defined as one coach engaged in an off-campus recruiting activity of a women's basketball prospective student-athlete, including a prospective student-athlete who has signed a National Letter of Intent (or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid), on one day (12:01 a.m. to midnight); two coaches engaged in recruiting activities on the same day shall use two recruiting-person days. Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

Bylaw: 13.02.7.2 - Evaluation Days -- Football, Softball and Women's Volleyball.

An evaluation day is defined as one coach engaged in the evaluation of any prospective student-athlete on one day (12:01 a.m. to midnight). Two coaches making evaluations on the same day shall use two evaluation days. The combined total of such days for all staff members shall not exceed 210 in football (270 for U.S. service academies) [42 during the fall evaluation period (54 for U.S. service academies) and 168 during the spring evaluation period (216 for U.S. academies)], 50 in softball and 80 in women's volleyball.

MEMORANDUM

August 30, 2010

TO: S. David Berst, Jacqueline Campbell, and Steve Mallonee.

FROM; Brian Quinn, Director of Athletics, California State University, Fullerton;
President of Division I-AAA Athletics Directors Association.

SUBJECT: Cost Containment.

This in reference to the request by the Leadership Council to the I-AAA Athletics Directors Association for input on the issue of cost containment that the Council is reviewing. In July, the I-AAA's Executive Committee authorized The Compliance Group (TCG) to conduct a survey of the I-AAA members. The purpose was to solicit general opinions on the application of cost containment strategies on the I-AAA level and to obtain specific ideas on cost containment initiatives in the areas of personnel; recruiting; financial aid; benefits and awards; and practice and competition.

OVERVIEW OF RESULTS

The respondents believed that cost containment at the I-AAA level was relevant and important. The members acknowledged that at the I-AAA level, many institutions already had undertaken significant cost-containment measures. Nevertheless, it was noted that cost containment is a Division I-wide initiative and that initiatives should affect all Division I institutions equally to the extent possible. It also was mentioned that any measures that are enacted should have significant impact since previous measures have been averted in various ways by athletics departments.

Of the five areas reviewed, the areas of recruiting, personnel, and practice and competition seasons were denoted as having the most potential for cost containment. In the recruiting area, the belief was that additional restrictions could be imposed on off-campus recruiting, primarily in the time periods for such recruiting and the number of recruiters off campus.

Regarding personnel, most viewed non-coaching staff members as an area that had the most significant potential. A concern was expressed that reducing administrative staff may affect student-athlete welfare. Regarding practice and competition seasons, the most significant possible reduction was the reduction in contests in the non-championship segment.

Nearly all respondents believed initiatives in the area of financial aid to student-athletes should not be considered.

The survey listed possible specific cost-containment initiatives under each of the five areas. Below is an overview of the general information reported in the survey concerning each of those five areas and a chart listing the respondents' attitudes or beliefs on each of the specific cost containments. Each respondent was asked to rate the initiative on the following scale:

1 - I strongly agree this is an area to implement cost containment initiatives
2 - I agree this is an area to implement cost containment initiatives
3 - I disagree this is an area to implement cost containment initiatives
4 - I strongly disagree this is an area to implement cost containment initiatives

SPECIFIC AREAS

Concerning personnel, many believed that men's and women's basketball had the potential for a reduction in the number of non-coaching staff members. It also was believed that the majority of the other sports are sufficiently staffed, primarily due to budget constraints, and reductions in those areas could hurt student-athlete welfare.

The following averages resulted from the ratings of the specific initiatives within the personnel area:

Reduce # of Sport-Specific Non-Coaching Staff Members	1.32
Eliminate Off-Campus Scouting in All Sports	2.18
Reduce # of Coaches Off-Campus Recruiting	2.24
Reduce # of Coaches	2.34
Reduce # of Strength and Conditioning Coaches	2.89

Concerning recruiting, the most significant concern was the rising cost of travel. The belief was that the recruiting budgets at most I-AAA institutions were not extensive. The administrators believed that on-campus recruiting activities (e.g., official visits, unofficial visits, etc.) should not be changed. The belief was that off-campus recruiting activities (e.g., time periods, number of contacts and evaluations, etc.) could be reviewed for cost containment.

The following specific initiatives were rated within the recruiting area:

Reduce Amount of Printed Media Guides	1.26
Create Recruiting Calendars for All Sports	1.76
Eliminate Overnight Mail Delivery for NLI	2.08
Reduce # of contacts and evaluations	2.34
Allow Text Messages (Reduces # of Telephone Calls)	2.58
Reduce # of Official Visits Per Sport	2.58
Reduce # of Official Visits Per Prospect	2.63
Reduce # of Telephone Calls	2.74
Reduce Amount of Host Money on Official Visits	2.95

Concerning financial aid, nearly all believed that no reductions in the number or amount of scholarships should be undertaken. A few mentioned the possibility of "loosening" NCAA legislation in other areas that could increase the opportunity for funds for student-athletes that could reduce the necessity for the amount devoted to athletics scholarships.

The following specific initiatives were rated within the financial aid area:

Reduce the Amount of Athletics Aid by Team	2.53
Allow Open Competition During Summer for All Sports	2.79
Award Tuition-Only Scholarships	2.82
Award Need-Based Aid Only	3.08
Eliminate 5th Year Aid Assuming Student-Athlete has no Eligibility Remaining	3.21

Concerning benefits, awards, and expenses, many believed that while several possibilities existed, these would not result in significant reductions. Possibilities exist in reducing the number of student-athletes and staff that travel to away competition. The sense was that many student-athletes who travel do not

compete and that the host institution could provide some services to the visiting institution in the areas of training services, sports information, etc.

The following specific initiatives were rated within the benefits, awards, and expenses area:

Establish Travel Party Limits in All Sports	1.74
Eliminate Hotel Stay Night Before Competition	2.08
Reduce # of Complimentary Admissions	2.58
Reduce/Eliminate Conference Gifts and Awards	2.66
Reduce/Eliminate Student-Athlete Award Banquets	2.95

Concerning practice and competition seasons, the most frequently mentioned initiative was in the reduction in competitions in the non-championship segment. Several respondents mentioned that this competition places a tremendous strain on support staff in the training room, media relations, and facilities size.

The following specific initiatives were rated within the practice and competition seasons area:

Reduce # of Competitions in Non-Championship Season	1.74
Eliminate Travel for Preseason Practice Activities	1.82
Reduce Length of Non-Championship Season	1.87
Reduce # of Foreign Tours	2
Reduce/Eliminate Charter Aircraft for Team Travel	2.03
Reduce # of Competitions in Championship Season	2.26
Reduce Length of Championship Season	2.42

The survey also contained a question whether certain areas should be “off limits”. Many reiterated their belief about no reductions in the number of financial aid awards. However, several mentioned that if a thorough analysis of this area is undertaken, the study should not initially eliminate any particular area.

CONCLUSION

The I-AAA appreciates the opportunity for this input. The Association has 102 members, including eight conferences. The I-AAA believes that any discussions on cost containment should consider the opinions and beliefs of its members.