REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS
PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
SEPTEMBER 28-29, 2010, MEETING

***KEY ITEMS*.**

1. **Review of Proposals Sponsored by and Forwarded to the Cabinet for the 2010-11 Cycle**. The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet reviewed 26 legislative proposals related to recruiting and athletics personnel that will be considered by the Division I membership in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. The cabinet also modified four proposals originally sponsored by the cabinet. The proposals relate to limits on the number of noncoaching staff with sport specific responsibilities in basketball and football and evaluation days in women's sand volleyball. Finally, the cabinet sponsored three alternative proposals. The alternative proposals relate to limits on the number of noncoaching staff with sport specific responsibilities in basketball and football.

2. Priority Item – Examination of Recruiting Models. The cabinet discussed its ongoing comprehensive examination of the recruiting models. The cabinet reviewed a memorandum that will be distributed to sport specific groups and coaches associations regarding the development of recruiting calendars and establishing recruiting person or evaluation days in all sports to which such provisions currently do not apply. The cabinet will review the feedback during the February 2011 meeting with the goal of sponsoring legislation regarding communication, contacts and evaluations for the 2011-12 legislative cycle.

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

- None.

***INFORMATIONAL ITEMS*.**

1. **Modifications to Cabinet Sponsored Proposals in the 2010-11 Legislative Cycle and Alternative Proposals Sponsored.**

   a. **NCAA Proposal No. 2009-39 – Recruiting – Limitations on Number of Evaluations – Evaluations Days – Women's Sand Volleyball.** The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2009-39 to limit an institution that only sponsors women's sand volleyball to 80 evaluation days. Further, the proposal would permit an institution that sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball 80 evaluation days for women's volleyball and 20 additional evaluation days specific to prospective student-athletes engaging in sand volleyball

competition only (not practice or other athletics activities associated with the competition). Further, other types of evaluations such as academic or nonsand volleyball athletics evaluations (e.g., evaluating at a track meet) would be prohibited during the 20 additional evaluation days. In addition, this proposal specifies that women's sand volleyball is subject to the women's volleyball recruiting calendar.

b. **Proposal No. 2010-16-A – Personnel – Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches – Noncoaching Staff with Sport Specific Responsibilities – Basketball – Limit of Two.** The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2010-16 to specify that there shall be a limit of two noncoaching staff members (two in men's basketball and two in women's basketball) whose responsibilities are specific to basketball and who work directly for the basketball program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution. Feedback provided by the membership suggests that a limit of two noncoaching staff members with basketball specific responsibilities is appropriate and practical.

c. **Proposal No. 2010-16-B – Personnel – Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches – Noncoaching Staff with Sport Specific Responsibilities – Basketball – Limit of One.**

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor an alternative proposal to specify that there shall be a limit of one noncoaching staff member (one in men's basketball and one in women's basketball) whose responsibilities are specific to basketball and who works directly for the basketball program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution and to exclude video coordinators from counting against the limit. Further, the proposal would specify that clerical staff and managers who work exclusively for the men's or women's basketball program are exempt from the application of the limitation.

(2) Rationale. Video coordinators whose responsibilities are specific to basketball and who work directly for basketball programs fill increasingly critical roles for their programs. In addition, the cabinet noted the potential for different applications of the rule to different institutions depending on whether a video coordinator works directly for the basketball program. Therefore, video coordinators should be treated similarly to other positions, such as athletic trainers and sport information

directors, in that these individuals typically perform highly specific responsibilities and are not engaged in other general administrative responsibilities related to the sport. In addition, membership feedback suggests that a limit of one, while exempting video coordinators, is an appropriate and practical standard.

(3) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. Variable. Potential cost savings or increase based on the current number of noncoaching staff with sport specific responsibilities, including video coordinators, employed by an institution.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

d. **Proposal No. 2010-18-A – Personnel – Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches – Noncoaching Staff with Sport Specific Responsibilities – Bowl Subdivision Football – Limit of Six.** The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2010-18 to specify that, in bowl subdivision football, there shall be a limit of six noncoaching staff members whose responsibilities are specific to football and who work directly for the football program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution. Feedback provided by the membership suggests that a limit of six noncoaching staff members with football specific responsibilities is appropriate and practical in bowl subdivision football.

e. **Proposal No. 2010-18-B – Personnel – Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches – Noncoaching Staff with Sport Specific Responsibilities – Bowl Subdivision Football – Limit of Five.**

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor an alternative proposal to specify that, in bowl subdivision football, there shall be a limit of five noncoaching staff members whose responsibilities are specific to football and who work directly for the football program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution and to exclude video coordinators from counting against the limit. Further, the proposal would specify that clerical staff and managers who work exclusively for the football program are exempt from the application of this limitation.

(2) Rationale. Video coordinators whose responsibilities are specific to football and who work directly for football programs fill critical roles for their programs. In addition, the cabinet noted the potential for different applications of the rule to different institutions depending on whether a

video coordinator works directly for the football program. Therefore, video coordinators should be treated similarly to other positions, such as athletic trainers and sport information directors, in that these individuals typically perform highly specific responsibilities and are not engaged in other general administrative responsibilities related to the sport. In addition, membership feedback suggests that a limit of five, while exempting video coordinators, is an appropriate and practical standard.

(3) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. Variable. Potential cost savings or increase based on the current number of noncoaching staff with sport specific responsibilities, including video coordinators, employed by an institution.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

f. Proposal No. 2010-20-A – Personnel – Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches – Noncoaching Staff with Sport Specific Responsibilities – Championship Subdivision Football – Limit of Four. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2010-20 to specify that, in championship subdivision football, there shall be a limit of four noncoaching staff members whose responsibilities are specific to football and who work directly for the football program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution. Feedback provided by the membership suggests that a limit of four noncoaching staff members with football specific responsibilities is appropriate and practical in championship subdivision football.

g. Proposal No. 2010-20-B – Personnel – Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches – Noncoaching Staff with Sport Specific Responsibilities – Championship Subdivision Football – Limit of Three.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor an alternative proposal to specify that, in championship subdivision football, there shall be a limit of three noncoaching staff members whose responsibilities are specific to football and who work directly for the football program who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution and to exclude video coordinators from counting against the limit. Further, the proposal would specify that clerical staff and managers who work exclusively for the football program are exempt from the application of this limitation.

(2) Rationale. Video coordinators whose responsibilities are specific to football and who work directly for football programs fill critical roles for their programs. In addition, the cabinet noted the potential for different applications of the rule to different institutions depending on whether a video coordinator works directly for the football program. Therefore, video coordinators should be treated similarly to other positions, such as athletic trainers and sport information directors, in that these individuals typically perform highly specific responsibilities and are not engaged in other general administrative responsibilities related to the sport. In addition, membership feedback suggests that a limit of three, while exempting video coordinators, is an appropriate and practical standard.

(3) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. Variable. Potential cost savings or increase based on the current number of noncoaching staff with sport specific responsibilities, including video coordinators, employed by an institution.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. Legislative – Comments on Proposals to be initially considered by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council in January 2011. The cabinet's positions and comments related to recruiting and athletics personnel legislation sponsored by other NCAA governance entities and conferences in the 2010-11 legislative cycle are included in Attachment A.

3. Nonlegislative.

a. Priority Item – Examination of Recruiting Models. The cabinet has directed the NCAA staff to request that sport-specific committees of sports that do not have recruiting calendars and/or do not use recruiting person or evaluation days work with their applicable coaches associations to develop recruiting calendars and establish recruiting person or evaluation days for each sport. The cabinet will review the feedback it receives from sport-specific groups and coaches associations at its February 2011 meeting with the goal of sponsoring legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle. The cabinet will also continue its review of recruiting models as they relate to recruiting communication, contacts and evaluations at its February and June 2011 meetings with the view toward sponsoring legislation in those areas for the 2011-12 legislative cycle.

b. Update by Basketball Focus Group. The cabinet received an update regarding the current initiatives by the Basketball Focus Group of the NCAA enforcement

staff, including continuing issues related to the funneling of money and issues related to permitting coaches to have greater access to student-athletes during the summer.

c. **Future Agenda Items.** The cabinet identified as priority items for the upcoming year: consideration of potential limit on the number of strength and conditioning coaches for football and other sports; a broad review of recruiting materials and technology to ensure the consistency of the legislation and possible deregulation; and a review of the legislation involving the scouting of opponents.

Cabinet Chair: ***Petrina Long, University of California, Los Angeles, Pacific-10 Conference***
Staff Liaisons: ***Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs***
Jen Daniels, Academic and Membership Affairs
Charnele Kemper, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jackie Thurnes, Enforcement Services

NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET LEGISLATIVE POSITIONS AND COMMENTS ON PROPOSALS IN THE 2010-11 LEGISLATIVE CYCLE

The following chart sets forth positions and comments related to recruiting and athletics personnel legislation sponsored by other NCAA governance entities and conferences in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. Please note that a position of support with no additional comment reflects that the cabinet supported the rationale provided by the sponsor of the proposal.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2009-19-A	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	To specify that an institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball shall have a limit of two coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time; further, to specify that an institution that sponsors women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball shall have a limit of four coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time.	Prefers Proposal No. 2009-19-B over Proposal No. 2009-19-A. Noted concern regarding competitive equity issues between institutions that sponsor both sports and institutions that only sponsor one sport.
2009-19-B	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	In women's sand volleyball, to specify that the limit on the number of coaches who may be employed is two and the limit on the number of coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time is two.	Support. Prefers Proposal No. 2009-19-B over Proposal No. 2009-19-A

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-14	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL AND WOMEN'S ROWING -- INCIDENTAL EXPENSES AT NCAA CHAMPIONSHIPS AND LICENSED BOWL GAMES	In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, to permit a graduate assistant coach to receive cash to cover unitemized incidental expenses during travel and practice for NCAA championship events or licensed postseason bowl contests in accordance with the parameters by which student-athletes may receive such expenses.	Support.
2010-15	PERSONNEL -- COMPENSATION AND REMUNERATION -- INCOME IN ADDITION TO INSTITUTIONAL SALARY -- CONSULTANT FOR OR ENDORSEMENT OF NONINSTITUTIONAL ATHLETICS EVENTS INVOLVING PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that an athletics department staff member may not serve as a consultant for a noninstitutional athletics event that primarily involves prospective student-athletes and may not endorse or promote such an event in any way, including permitting the use of his or her name, picture or quotations.	Support.
2010-17	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER OF COACHES -- FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION -- FOUR GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACHES	In bowl subdivision football, to increase, from two to four, the limit on graduate assistant coaches.	Support.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-19	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATION ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION -- WEIGHT OR STRENGTH COACH -- LIMIT OF FIVE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that not more than five weight or strength coaches are permitted to work with a football program in any capacity, including all workouts (required or voluntary), practices and game-related activities.	Took no position. Will review potential limit on number of strength and conditioning coaches in football and other sports beginning in February 2011. Further, noted there is no data to support the proposed limit of five.
2010-21	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN BASKETBALL -- JUNE, JULY AND AUGUST	In sports other than basketball, to specify that during June, July and August, a coach replaced for the purpose of off-campus recruiting activities is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided no more than the permissible number of off-campus recruiters in the particular sport engage in recruiting activities each day.	Support. Prefers Proposal No. 2010-21 over Proposal No. 2010-22.
2010-22	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- BASEBALL -- JUNE, JULY AND AUGUST	In baseball, to specify that during June, July and August, a coach replaced for the purpose of off-campus recruiting activities is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided no more than two coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.	Support. Prefers Proposal No. 2010-21 over Proposal No. 2010-22. Noted the application to only one sport.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-27	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND TELEPHONE CALLS -- TIME PERIOD FOR OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS AND TELEPHONE CALLS	To permit off-campus recruiting contacts with and telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) on or after July 1 following completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.	Support.
2010-28	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- HEAD COACH RESTRICTIONS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL - ELIMINATION OF RESTRICTIONS ON ASSISTANT COACH PUBLICLY DESIGNATED AS NEXT HEAD COACH	In bowl subdivision football, to eliminate the restriction that specifies that an institution's assistant coach who has been publicly designated by the institution to become the next head coach shall be subject to the recruiting restrictions applicable to the institution's head coach.	Oppose. Noted current legislation has only been in effect since January 2010. Further, noted the potential recruiting advantage for institutions that publicly designate an assistant coach as the next head coach if the assistant coach is not restricted to the same activities as the current head coach.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-29	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- HEAD COACH RESTRICTIONS -- ASSISTANT COACH PUBLICLY DESIGNATED AS NEXT HEAD COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- APPLICATION TO PRIOR DESIGNATIONS	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that an assistant coach who was publicly designated before August 14, 2009, by the institution to become its next head coach is not subject to the recruiting restrictions applicable to the institution's head coach.	Oppose. Noted this proposal would permit an inconsistent application of the legislation. Further, noted affected institutions already have received a one-year waiver of the current restrictions to provide opportunity to realign responsibilities of assistant coaches who have been publicly designated as the next head coach.
2010-32	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- AFTER WRITTEN COMMITMENT OR RECEIPT OF FINANCIAL DEPOSIT -- ON OR AFTER SECOND WEDNESDAY OF NOVEMBER	To specify that on or after the second Wednesday of November of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, there shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by an institution to the prospective student-athlete and there shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent by an institution to the prospective student-athlete, provided the prospective student-athlete has signed the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or the institution has received a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.	Support. Prefers Proposal No. 2010-31 over Proposal No. 2010-32. Noted this proposal only impacts telephone calls and electronic communication. Further, noted this proposal is more restrictive as it relates to when the exceptions become applicable.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-33	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- RECRUITING OPPORTUNITIES -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- SEVEN OPPORTUNITIES	In women's basketball, to increase, from five to seven, the number of recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations).	Support.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-34	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- CERTIFIED NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS DURING APRIL CONTACT PERIOD	In men's basketball, to specify that a coaching staff member may evaluate prospective student-athletes at certified nonscholastic events on Saturdays and Sundays during the April contact period.	Support. Supports recommendation to reduce the number of days during the July evaluation periods in order to avoid an increase in recruiting at nonscholastic events. Recommends further consideration by the sponsors, the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Issues Committee (MBIC) and the NCAA Division I Legislative Council regarding the specific days that should be removed from the July period. Supports recommendation from the MBIC to eliminate observation of scholastic activities during the April contact period, except regular scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices. Recommends further consideration by the sponsors, the MBIC and the Legislative Council.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-35	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NONSCHOLASTIC EVALUATIONS DURING ACADEMIC YEAR -- NATIONAL STANDARDIZED TESTING WEEKENDS	In women's basketball, to specify that evaluations at nonscholastic events during the academic year evaluation period shall not occur during any weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) during which the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT national standardized tests are administered; further, to specify that if such a test is administered on a date that conflicts with the fall nonscholastic evaluation weekend, evaluations at nonscholastic events shall be permissible during the first full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall/winter evaluation period; and that if such a test is administered on a date that conflicts with the spring nonscholastic evaluation weekend, the five day evaluation period in April shall shift to the second Friday following the initial date of the spring National Letter of Intent signing period through the following Tuesday.	Support.
2010-36	RECRUITING -- FOOTBALL EVALUATIONS -- SCHOLASTIC AND NONSCHOLASTIC ACTIVITIES -- OTHER EVALUATION EVENTS -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	In championship subdivision football, to specify that an institutional staff member may attend a recruiting event in which information (e.g., athletics or academic credentials, highlight or combine videos) related to prospective student-athletes is presented or otherwise made available.	Oppose. Noted concern regarding the potential lack of oversight of nonscholastic events.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-37	RECRUITING -- FOOTBALL EVALUATIONS -- SCHOLASTIC AND NONSCHOLASTIC ACTIVITIES -- OTHER EVALUATION EVENTS ORGANIZED OR SANCTIONED SCHOLASTIC ATHLETICS ASSOCIATION -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	In championship subdivision football, to specify that a coach may attend a recruiting event in which information (e.g., athletics or academic credentials, highlight or combine video) related to prospective student-athletes is presented or otherwise made available, provided the event is organized or sanctioned by the applicable state high school athletics association, state preparatory school association or state or national junior college athletics association.	Support. Expressed marginal support, but noted that the current legislation that restricts attendance at these types of events has been effective for only one year.
2010-38	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ATHLETICS PUBLICATIONS -- NO MEDIA GUIDES TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES VIA DIGITAL STORAGE DEVICE OR E-MAIL	To prohibit an institution from providing a media guide to a prospective student-athlete via digital media storage device or as an attachment to electronic mail.	Oppose as written. Recommends sponsor modify proposal to permit an institution to provide a media guide to a prospective student-athlete as an attachment to electronic mail. Noted general support of providing materials to prospects via electronic methods that have little or no cost. If the sponsor does not modify the proposal, the cabinet will sponsor an alternative proposal.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-39	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- MEDIA GUIDES AND VIDEO/AUDIO MATERIALS -- METHODS OF DELIVERY TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that an institution may provide a media guide and permissible video or audio material to a prospective student-athlete via an electronic mail hyperlink, an electronic mail attachment or an electronic media storage device (e.g., compact disc, flash drive); further, to specify that an institution is limited to providing a media guide or video or audio material to a prospective student-athlete via electronic media storage device one time.	Oppose as written. Recommends sponsor modify proposal to prohibit an institution from sending a media guide or video or audio material to a prospective student-athlete via electronic storage media device. Noted general support of providing materials to prospects via electronic methods that have little or no cost. If the sponsor does not modify the proposal, the cabinet will sponsor an alternative proposal.
2010-41	RECRUITING -- UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT -- ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS -- GENERAL RESTRICTIONS -- NONTRADITIONAL FAMILY	To specify that if a prospective student-athlete is a member of a nontraditional family (e.g., divorce, separation), an institution may provide up to two additional complimentary admissions to the prospective student-athlete in order to accommodate the parents accompanying the prospective student-athlete (e.g., stepparents) to attend a home athletics event during an unofficial visit.	Support.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-44	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- TRYOUT EVENTS -- PROHIBITION ON HOSTING, SPONSORING OR CONDUCTING NONINSTITUTIONAL INSTRUCTIONAL EVENTS -- FOOTBALL	In football, to specify that an institution or conference shall not host, sponsor or conduct a noninstitutional camp, clinic, group workout or combine event, at any location, that provides instruction to prospective student-athletes.	Oppose. Noted concern related to potential unintended consequence of limiting access to legitimate instructional opportunities for all football prospective student-athletes based on limited availability of adequate facilities.
2010-45	RECRUITING AND PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- TRYOUT EXCEPTIONS AND OUT-OF-SEASON RESTRICTIONS -- RECOGNIZED TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	To specify that in order for an athletics department staff member or coach to participate in state, regional, national and international training programs involving prospective student-athletes, the staff member must be selected by the applicable governing body and the participants are selected by an authority or a committee of the applicable governing body that is not limited to athletics department staff members affiliated with one institution; further, to specify that Olympic and national team development programs may involve a coach and current student-athletes from the same institution, provided (in addition to existing criteria) a committee or other authority of the national governing body, which is not limited to coaches affiliated with one particular institution, selects the involved participants and the national governing body funds the program.	Support.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-46	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- TRYOUT EXCEPTIONS -- HIGH SCHOOL, PREPARATORY-SCHOOL AND TWO-YEAR COLLEGE CONTESTS -- CONDUCTED BY INSTITUTION OR SPONSORED WITH AN OUTSIDE ORGANIZATION	To revise the requirements by which a high school, preparatory school or two-year college athletics contest or match, conducted by a member institution or sponsored jointly with an outside organization and held on the campus of a member institution, shall not be considered a tryout, as specified.	Support. Noted potential to further simplify the application of the exception.
2010-47	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- VIDEO-ONLY SERVICES	To specify that an institution is permitted to use or subscribe to a video service that only provides video of prospective student-athletes and does not provide information about or analysis of prospective student-athletes, subject to the criteria that permits an institution to subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service, except that the video-only service is not required to disseminate information about prospective student-athletes at least four times a year and is not required to provide individual analysis for each prospective student-athlete in the information it disseminates.	Support.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-48	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- LIST OF PERMISSIBLE RECRUITING SERVICES -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	In men's basketball, to specify that the NCAA national office shall publish a list, on a quarterly basis, of men's basketball recruiting or scouting services deemed to meet the required standards for subscription.	Oppose. Agreed with comments from the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Issues Committee. Also, noted that current legislation was only recently adopted and the cost and burden to institutions regarding research of recruiting/scouting services should be significantly reduced as services continue to adjust to the new requirements. Noted the NCAA staff has worked with services and institutions regarding interpretative questions and will continue to do so.
2010-49	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL -- APRIL CONTACT PERIOD	In men's basketball, to revise the recruiting calendar by extending the contact period in April by nine days, but designating the weekends in April after the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship as quiet periods.	Oppose if Proposal No. 2010-34 is adopted; support if Proposal No. 2010-34 is defeated.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2010-50	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S EVENTS AND MEN'S SUMMER EVENTS -- PRICE OF ADMISSION	In basketball, to specify that in order for a women's event or men's summer event to be certified, the price of admission to the event must be listed on the event certification application and the price must be made available to coaches prior to their arrival at the event.	Support.
2010-85	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND RECRUITING -- VOLUNTARY WORKOUTS -- STRENGTH AND CONDITIONING COACH FIRST AID/CPR CERTIFICATION AND AUTHORITY OF SPORTS MEDICINE STAFF -- SPORTS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL	In sports other than football, to specify that a strength and conditioning coach who conducts voluntary weight-training or conditioning activities is required to maintain certification in first aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation; further, to specify that if a member of the institution's sports medicine staff (e.g., athletic trainer, physician) is present during voluntary conditioning activities conducted by a strength and conditioning coach, the sports medicine staff member must be empowered with the unchallengeable authority to cancel or modify the workout for health and safety reasons, as he or she deems appropriate.	Support.