A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

NCAA National Office
Indianapolis, Indiana

June 8-9, 2010

1. Welcome.

2. Review NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet roster. [Supplement No. 1]

3. Report from the February 3-4, 2010, cabinet meeting. [Supplement No. 2]

4. Report from the March 23, 2010, NCAA Division I Leadership Council meeting. [Supplement No. 3]

5. Report from the April 12-13, 2010, NCAA Division I Legislative Council meeting. [Supplement No. 4]

6. Report from the April 21, 2010, NCAA Division I Communications and Coordination Committee teleconference. [Supplement No. 5]

7. Report from the April 11, 2010, NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee teleconference. [Supplement No. 6]

8. Review cabinet's guiding principles. [Supplement No. 7]

9. Review cabinet priorities. [Supplement No. 8]

10. Update from the NCAA enforcement staff. [Supplement No. 9]

***Background*:** The staff will provide an update and summary of recent infractions cases involving athletics personnel and recruiting issues, specifically in regard to topics that the cabinet will discuss during this meeting.

***Anticipated Action*:** None.

11. Cabinet priority – examination of recruiting model. [Supplement No. 10]

***Background*:** The cabinet will examine the current recruiting model in the areas of communication methods and frequency, evaluations, campus visits and offers of athletics aid. The cabinet directed the staff to develop alternative recruiting models, based on its discussion in February, for each of the four areas addressed and to solicit feedback from the membership, including conferences and coaches' associations, regarding the models.

***Anticipated Action*:** The cabinet will discuss the feedback and consider sponsoring legislation for the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

12. Cabinet priority – Discuss limits on noncoaching staff with sport specific responsibilities survey results. [Supplement No. 11]

***Background*:** During the June meeting, the cabinet directed the staff to develop alternative models regarding limits on noncoaching staff members in men's and women's basketball and football and to solicit feedback from the membership, including institutional directors of athletics, coaching staff members in the specified sports and respective coaches' associations, regarding those models.

***Anticipated Action*:** The cabinet will discuss the feedback and consider sponsoring legislation for the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

13. Bylaw 11 and 13 Proposals/Concepts Related to Women's Sand Volleyball. [Supplement No. 12]

Background: With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women to August 1, 2011, proposals related to the structure of the sport that were sponsored in 2009 were tabled by the Legislative Council. Those proposals and any new concepts related to the sport are to be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. This item provides the cabinet with the opportunity to review the application of the previously sponsored proposals and consider new or additional concepts related to the administration of the sport.

***Anticipated Action*:** The cabinet will discuss and consider potential options, including the option of sponsoring additional legislation for the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

14. Transportation from Airport or Bus or Train Station for Official Visits. [Supplement No. 13]

Background: An institution has requested that the cabinet review an issue related to Bylaw 13.5.2.4 and whether it should be permissible for the institution to transport a prospective student-athlete on an official visit from an airport that is near the institution, but is farther away than its nearest major airport without triggering the start of the 48-hour official visit period.

***Anticipated Action*:** The cabinet will discuss the issue and consider potential options, including the option of sponsoring legislation for the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

15. Standards for a Prospective Student-Athlete's Involvement in Voluntary Summer Conditioning. [Supplement No. 14]

Background: The legislation establishing the standards for a prospective student-athlete's involvement in voluntary summer conditioning (i.e., Bylaws 13.11.3.8, 13.11.3.9, 13.11.3.10 and 13.11.3.11) was adopted for different sports at different times for different reasons; however, monitoring the standards for different sports has become unnecessarily burdensome. The cabinet recently noted the differences in the standards during in its opposition to NCAA Proposal No. 2008-29, which established the standards for sports other than football and basketball.

***Anticipated Action*:** The cabinet will discuss the issue and consider potential options, including the option of sponsoring legislation for the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

Competition Against Prospective Student-Athletes – National Service Academies Exception – Bowl Subdivision Football. [Supplement No. 15]

Background: The service academies have requested that the cabinet consider sponsoring legislation to establish an exception to the tryout rule by which a national service academy's subvarsity football team may compete against a two-year college team, a high school team or a preparatory school team, provided no payment or other inducement (e.g., guarantee) is provided to such a team and no recruiting activities occur with members of such a team in conjunction with such competition.

***Anticipated Action*:** The cabinet will discuss the issue and consider potential options, including the option of sponsoring legislation for the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

16. Other business.

17. Future agenda items.

18. Key takeaways.

19. Future meetings.

    a. September 28-29, 2010, – Indianapolis, Indiana.
    b. February 2011 – Indianapolis, Indiana.

20. Adjournment.

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET FEBRUARY 3-4, 2010, MEETING

***KEY ITEMS*.**

1. **Legislative Proposals.** The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet commented on four legislative proposals related to the area of recruiting that were introduced in the 2009-10 legislative cycle after the cabinet's September meeting. The proposals were forwarded by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council to the membership at its January meeting and will be voted on by the Legislative Council at its April Meeting. Two of the proposals opposed by the cabinet relate to men's basketball camps and clinics.

2. **Priority Item – Examination of the Recruiting Model.** The cabinet engaged in a comprehensive discussion regarding the current recruiting model, including but not limited to: communication methods and frequency, evaluations, campus visits and verbal and written offers of athletics aid. The cabinet directed the staff to develop alternative recruiting models as a result of the discussion. The cabinet agreed to obtain feedback from the NCAA membership on such models prior to sponsoring appropriate legislation at its June meeting for consideration as part of the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

3. **Priority Item – Discussion on Limits of Noncoaching Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities.** The cabinet reviewed survey results obtained from the membership pertaining to noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities. The cabinet directed the staff to develop alternative proposals that would place numerical limitations on such personnel in the sports of football and men's and women's basketball. The cabinet agreed to seek additional feedback from institutions and the coaches' associations regarding such proposals prior to sponsoring appropriate legislation at its June meeting for consideration as part of the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

***INFORMATIONAL ITEMS*.**

1. **Legislative – Comments on Proposals to be considered in June 2010.**

   a. **NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2009-32-B – Recruiting – Telephone Calls – Time Period for Telephone Calls – Exception – Unlimited During Contact Period – Sports with Defined Recruiting Calendars other than Football.** The cabinet supports this proposal. The cabinet agrees with the sponsor's rationale and noted the significant institutional compliance resources spent on monitoring current telephone call limitations. In addition, the cabinet noted the many forms of communication available in today's culture with electronic means increasingly becoming the preferred method among high school students. The cabinet also

noted the importance of prospective student-athletes (and their parents and/or legal guardians) sharing the responsibility to communicate with coaches if the amount of telephone calls received becomes overwhelming or burdensome. Finally, the cabinet noted this legislation has existed in football for several years without raising concerns about being too intrusive to prospective student-athletes and, accordingly, believes it is appropriate for all sports.

b. **Proposal No. 2009-47-B – Recruiting – Letter of Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements – Written Offer of Aid Before Signing Date.** The cabinet opposes this proposal. As noted during its September 2009 meeting, the cabinet is currently examining issues regarding early offers of athletics aid in order to better assess the implication of current and suggested legislative changes and believes it would be premature to adopt legislation regarding offers of aid prior to that discussion.

c. **Proposal No. 2009-100 – Recruiting – Tryouts – Nonscholastic Practice, Contest or Event – Men's Basketball.** The cabinet acknowledged the potential recruiting advantages gained by institutions that host nonscholastic practices or events, but expressed concern that the proposal would eliminate the opportunity for many institutions' auxiliary departments (e.g., recreation and athletics departments) to generate revenue by conducting such events on campus.

d. **Proposal No. 2009-102 – Recruiting – Sports Camps and Clinics – Employment at Institution's Camps and Clinics – Enrolled Students and Institutional Staff Members Only – Men's Basketball.** The cabinet opposes this proposal. The cabinet believes that restricting employment at institutions' camps and clinics only to enrolled students and/or institutional staff members would severely limit the institution's ability to effectively and efficiently organize and conduct such camps and clinics. The cabinet also noted that the elimination of hiring scholastic coaches could disproportionately affect those institutions located in smaller communities that depend on local recruiting efforts and could negatively affect institutions' relationships with those scholastic coaches.

2. **Nonlegislative.**

a. **Priority Item – Examination of Recruiting Model.** As noted during its September 2009 meeting, the cabinet believed that a comprehensive examination of the entire recruiting model was necessary and critical to understand how existing legislation aligns or conflicts with the realities of today's environment. The cabinet's discussion included: a review of communication methods and

frequency, evaluations, campus visits and offers of athletics aid. The cabinet directed the staff to develop alternative recruiting models, based on its discussion, for each of the four areas addressed and to solicit feedback from the membership, including conferences and coaches' associations, regarding those proposed recruiting models. The cabinet will discuss that feedback during its June 2010 meeting and accordingly propose legislation for a revised recruiting model for consideration by the membership as part of the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

b. **Priority Item – Discussion on Limits of Noncoaching Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities.** The cabinet reviewed the results of a survey submitted to the membership in December 2009 regarding noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities. The cabinet noted the high survey response rate (76 percent) as well as the overall response from the membership indicating a desire for limits on noncoaching staff members in the sports of men's and women's basketball and football (80.9 percent). The cabinet directed the staff to develop alternative proposals regarding limits and to solicit feedback from the membership, including institutional directors of athletics, coaching staff members in the specified sports and respective coaches' associations, regarding those proposals. The cabinet will discuss that feedback during its June 2010 meeting and accordingly propose legislation to limit the number of noncoaching staff members for consideration by the membership as part of the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

c. **Priority Item – Review of Graduate Assistant Coaches.** As part of a continuing review pertaining to the limits on the number of athletics personnel (countable coaches, graduate assistant coaches, noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities, etc.), the cabinet engaged in a thorough discussion regarding the role of graduate assistant coaches. The cabinet will more closely examine issues regarding the graduate assistant coaching category to determine if such a position should continue to exist in its current form in the sports of Football Bowl Subdivision football and women's rowing. The cabinet agreed to solicit feedback from the Collegiate Commissioners Association regarding this issue prior to proposing potential legislative changes.

d. **Update from Basketball Focus Group.** The Basketball Focus Group provided the cabinet an update regarding its work and noted that its previous work focused on the funneling of money to the handlers of prospective student-athletes as well as the employment of such handlers at institutional camps and clinics. The group reported that its current review includes prospective student-athletes' unofficial visit expenses and the failure of third parties who are associated with prospective

student-athletes (e.g., handlers, relatives) to cooperate with enforcement staff investigations.

e. Definition of a Recruited Student-Athlete. At the request of the NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, the cabinet continued its review of whether the current definition of a recruited student-athlete remains appropriate within the current state of recruiting. The Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet solicited this feedback as it continues to review the current financial aid model, including the legislation related to determining counter status. The cabinet acknowledged the concept that the current definition may detrimentally impact an individual's ability to accept financial aid. However, given the impact that any modifications would have on other areas of legislation (e.g., eligibility and transfer status), the cabinet believes it is more appreciate for the Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet to address the financial aid issues by proposing an alternative definition of a counter that is no longer tied to the definition of a recruited student-athlete set forth in NCAA Bylaw 13.

Cabinet Chair: ***Petrina Long, University of California, Los Angeles, Pacific-10 Conference***
Staff Liaison(s): ***Jeremiah Carter, Academic and Membership Affairs***
Steve Mallonee, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jackie Thurnes, Enforcement Services

REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I LEADERSHIP COUNCIL MARCH 23, 2010, MEETING

1. ACTION ITEMS.

- **Division I Membership Standards**. The Leadership Council reviewed a final draft of recommendations for Division I membership standards.

  Recommendation: That the Board of Directors endorse the attached report of recommendations for Division I membership standards for review by Division I membership groups and conferences during the spring 2010 and that in August 2010, the Board sponsor necessary legislative proposals for implementation of the recommendations beginning in August 2011. (See Attachment)

2. INFORMATION ITEMS.

a. **Update on President's search**. Jim Isch, interim NCAA president, updated the group on the search for the next NCAA president and noted that the process appears to be on track to have the new president in place by the start of the 2010-11 academic year.

b. **Update on Media Contract**. Greg Shaheen, NCAA senior vice president of basketball and business strategies, discussed the status of the Association's media contract. Shaheen reviewed information related to past contracts and options as the Association moves forward. Shaheen informed the Council of discussions related to expansion of the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship and the factors being considered as expansion is evaluated.

c. **Litigation Report.** Elsa Cole, NCAA general counsel, reported on the legal activity in which the Association is involved.

d. **Cost Savings Initiatives**. The Leadership Council reviewed a list of possible cost savings measures recommended by various Division I cabinets, as well as a number of financial recommendations discussed by the IA Athletics Directors Association. The Council noted that many of the recommendations appear to address "low-hanging fruit" and it may be wiser to take a more global approach when attempting to address spending in intercollegiate athletics. The Council recommended that staff collect additional data regarding actual spending areas and, with the possible help of consultants, develop a set of principles that could guide a review of spending. The Council suggested a two-pronged approach involving the evaluation of costs on campus as well as a review of the Association's spending related to the activities of the national office.

e. **Legislative items in the 2009-10 cycle of potential interest to the Leadership Council.** The Council received a report on the two legislative proposals (Proposal No. 2009-22 and Proposal No. 2009-51-B) that received the requisite number of requests for an override vote. The Council was informed of the status of the two proposals and the options for action by the Legislative Council during its April meeting.

f. **Report of the January 14, 2010, Leadership Council meeting**. The Council approved the report of its January 14, 2010, meeting. (Unanimous voice vote)

g. **Report from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.** Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee chair Nick Fulton presented a report of the committee's recent meeting and priorities for the upcoming year.

h. **Future Meetings**.

a. October 5, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.
b. January 15, 2011, San Antonio, Texas.

Leadership Council chair: Damon Evans, University of Georgia
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
Kevin Lennon, academic and membership affairs

NCAA Leadership Council Final Report Regarding Division I Membership Criteria

Background.

On August 9, 2007, the Division I Board of Directors adopted Legislative Proposal 2007-10, which established a four-year a moratorium that prohibited consideration of any new institutional, conference or multi-divisional Division I members until August 2011.

The Board concluded that the time was right, following similar moratoriums in Divisions II and III, for the Division I membership to assess and study the impact of membership migration and to develop reasonable philosophical expectations and legislative requirements for membership in the division. The Board requested the Leadership Council to conduct this study and to make appropriate recommendations regarding possible NCAA legislation.

A preliminary report of recommendations was provided to the Board of Directors in April 2009 and the Division I governance structure, member conferences and institutions were asked to provide reactions. The Leadership Council has continued discussions and submits the following report of recommendations to the Board of Directors for its April 29, 2010 meeting.

Excerpt from the Division I Manual--Division I Philosophy (Bylaw 20.9).

Athletics programs in Division I are guided by a philosophy statement that among other things:

- Emphasizes high standards of academic quality and breadth of academic opportunity;
- Strives for regional and national excellence and prominence and recognizes the dual objective of serving both the institutional community and the general public;
- Provides for extensive opportunities for participation in varsity intercollegiate athletics for both men and women (consistent with the requirements of Title IX) and sponsors at the highest feasible level of intercollegiate competition, men's and women's basketball and football, recognizing subdivisional designations in football;
- Advocates scheduling athletics contests primarily among Division I institutions;
- Emphasizes maintaining institutional control over all funds supporting athletics; and that
- Understands, respects, and supports the programs and philosophies of other membership divisions.

Additional Standards and Assumptions Relied Upon by the Leadership Council in its discussions included that:

- Applicants for membership in Division I should be required to meet, over a period of time, prescribed criteria in order to assure compatibility and compliance with the principles and program objectives of Division I.
- Central to the mission of intercollegiate athletics in Division I is the academic and athletic success of student-athletes, as well as protection of the student-athletes' health and well-being.
- Division I members should be expected to demonstrate a meaningful program-wide financial commitment across its entire athletics program, including for financial aid, number of sports sponsored, recruiting, athletics facilities, rules compliance, coaching, team travel and academic support services.
- Membership standards should promote conference stability, provide access to Division I for qualifying institutions and treat institutions fairly in determining their status as members of Division I.
- The number and nature of multisport conferences in Division I are fundamental considerations in establishing the level, structure and scope of Division I championship competition, the allocation of financial benefits and access to services and governance of the division.
- The multisport conference is the foundation of the NCAA DI governance structure, championships structure, and compliance structure and is rightly positioned in the proposed Division I membership process as a gatekeeper in the new membership model.
- A more comprehensive definition of a multisport conference is appropriate.
- There is a finite level of resources, benefits and good will available to current members of the division, which should be maintained or enhanced through any further expansion of membership.
- Potential new members of Division I should be expected to demonstrate a sustained commitment to Division I standards, assumptions and the Division I philosophy statement before election to membership in the division.
- Current voting ratios/percentages by conference and subdivision within Division I should be maintained through appropriate mathematical adjustments in the event the membership increases.
- The Division I brand has a value that can be approximated through a calculation of the value of direct and indirect services and benefits, as well as of the "image" of Division I and its subdivisions.

Recommendations.

The Council requests that the Board of Directors endorse the following recommendations for further discussion and debate by Division I membership groups and conferences during the spring 2010 and that in August 2010, the Board sponsor appropriate legislative proposals for membership consideration in January and April 2011.

1. **Potential new members of Division I shall enter the division through a four-year "reclassification" process following no less than five-years of membership in Division II. Further, the process described in Bylaw 20.5 shall apply, and an application for membership in Division I will be accepted only after presenting a bona fide offer of membership from a Division I multisport, voting conference. The sponsoring conference will be expected to provide appropriate guidance and counsel to the transitioning new member, assist with scheduling, monitor student-athlete well being and enhance accountability during the transition process.**

   Comment: Requiring conference sponsorship and prior membership in Division II is intended to promote the long-term stability of the Association. There should be a check list to assist conferences and transitioning institutions to ensure that institutions are meeting their appropriate benchmarks. The Administration Cabinet should provide additional thought to the timing of when various benchmarks should apply.

2. **The "provisional" process provided for in Bylaw 20.3.1, which now permits an institution outside the NCAA to become a Division I member through a seven-year process, shall be eliminated.**

   Comment: Multisport conferences within the Division I championships and governance structure are well suited to determine the needs of conferences and thereby the division. Experience in Division II serves as an appropriate platform to demonstrate the traits necessary to become a productive Division I member.

3. **An application fee shall be required before entering the "reclassification" process, which should either be commensurate with; (1) the estimated annual average value of direct benefits through distributions and championships made available to Division I members - - currently approximately $1,300,000, or (2) the "median" annual value of direct benefits through distributions and championships - - currently approximately $900,000.**

   Comment: This requirement should be data-driven and will change from time to time. This calculation does not include the costs of services or costs to administer the reclassification program. Upon election to Division I membership, the application fee shall be deposited in the NCAA Student Athlete Opportunity Distribution Fund. In the event an institution withdraws during the reclassification process, the application fee would be returned to the institution on a prorated basiss—75% after year one, $50% after year two, 30% after year three and 0% thereafter. It should also be emphasized that conferences may require separate fees for joining the conference. Discussion occurred regarding alternatives where the application fee would be analogous to a business model tied to "brand" value or

possibly tied to the educational and administrative costs of the process. It was concluded that as a higher-education association, data based on direct benefits received would be a simple and clear method and also would demonstrate a meaningful commitment from the prospective member.

4. **Upon beginning the "reclassification" process, the institution must meet Division I sports sponsorship requirements (i.e., 50 percent of the grants-in-aid maximums in the conference sponsored sports in which the institution will participate). In addition, the alternative means of satisfying this requirement now set forth in Bylaw 20.9.1.2-(b) (c) and (d) would continue to apply.**

   Comment: This is the current requirement and no change is recommended. Data reviewed regarding a possible increase to these minimums did not appear to support a change.

5. **A prospective member institution may not be elected to active membership in Division I if it is subject to a "historical penalty" under the APR program.**

   Comment: The Leadership Council believes a demonstrated commitment to academics should be required. The Committee on Academic Performance recommends that a numerical standard (e.g., 925) be avoided in deference to a "category" of academic penalties.

6. **A preliminary NCAA certification shall be required in year 1 of the four-year "reclassification" period and a full compliance review shall be required before final election to Division I membership. (See Proposed new Division I Reclassification Process beginning on page 8.)**

   Comment: There should be an expectation that the sponsoring conference will assist the institution in this process and that special attention should be given to rules compliance, academic support services, recruiting, coaches, team travel and facilities. Meeting standards early in the process and then demonstrating the ability to sustain such standards is important. The review process must make it clear that reclassification is an evolutionary process so that there is no confusion that receiving positive marks during the certification process does not guarantee eventual Division I membership.

7. **A member institution in Division I will qualify to begin receiving revenue distributions related to sports sponsorship and grants-in-aid upon serving three**

calendar years as an "active" Division I member. The institution would qualify in year one of active membership to receive Student-athlete Opportunity, Special Assistance and Academic Enhancement Funds, as well as basketball grant funds as determined by its sponsoring conference.

Comment: This change ensures that sustainability in the division is not based solely on receipt of NCAA revenues.

8. **The multi-divisional practice that permits a Division II or III institution to designate one men's and one women's sport in Division I shall be discontinued upon the completion of the 2010-11 academic year, except in a sport where no championship is conducted in its division. Division II and III institutions that sponsor sports teams in Division I in 2010-11 may continue to do so in the sports so designated but will forfeit this opportunity permanently if it fails to conduct the sport in Division I in any ensuing academic year. No change is recommended to qualify for participation in national collegiate championships.**

   Comment: It appears there is agreement to discontinue multidivisional competition, but teams that currently conduct such division I programs should be "grandfathered."

9. **A multisport conference in Division I may not receive voting privileges, conference grant funds or committee service positions in the Division I governance structure until legislation is enacted that names the conference among those identified in applicable sections of Constitution 4 related to representation and voting ratios on the Division I Board of Directors, Legislative and Leadership Councils and the five cabinets. (Refer to No. 13 below.)**

   Comment: This is the current requirement in Division I. Refer to Constitution 3.3.3.2.

10. **No change in criteria is recommended for designation in the Football Championship Subdivision, although it is recommended that the FBS subdivision consider legislation that would require a bona fide invitation for membership in an FBS conference before and an FCS institution may change subdivisions.**

    Comments: The FCS conferences do not appear to support additional membership requirements for its subdivision. However, it was noted that the bowl structure of the FBS should not be expected to support an expanded membership in that subdivision without conference sponsorship and support.

11. The role of the Administration Cabinet and the benchmarks for the four-year reclassification process shall be reviewed by the Administration Cabinet to ensure coordination by the cabinet with sponsoring conferences.

Comments: Through coordination with conferences in providing guidance and assistance to potential new member institutions, some procedural functions performed now by the Administrative Cabinet could be transferred to conference offices.

12. Constitution 3.2.3.1 shall be amended to provide that the vote to elect an institution to Division I active membership upon recommendation by the Administration cabinet shall be taken by the Board of Directors, rather than the Leadership Council.

Comments: This should be noncontroversial legislation and is recommend by the Leadership Council.

13. NCAA Division I – Recommended Multisport Conference Standards and Definition.

a. Institutional Members.

(1) A multisport conference shall have a minimum of seven (7) active Division I members.
(2) A multisport conference shall have a minimum of seven (7) active members participate in both men's and women's basketball.

b. Conference Sports Sponsorship.

(1) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of 12 NCAA Division I sports.
(2) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of six men's sports. In each of these six sports, a minimum of six active members shall compete.
(3) A multisport conference shall sponsor a minimum of six women's sports. In each of these six sports, a minimum of six active members shall compete, or a minimum of five active members for an emerging sport.
(4) In addition to men's basketball, a multisport conference shall, at a minimum, sponsor either football, with a minimum of six active members competing in football, or sponsor two additional men's team sports, with a minimum of six active members participating in each of these two sports.
(5) In addition to women's basketball, a multisport conference shall sponsor at least two additional women's team sports, with a minimum of six active members participating in each of these two sports.

c. Regular Season Conference Competition.

(1) Basketball: Basketball teams shall participate in a regular season conference schedule of a double round robin, or a minimum of 14 regular season conference contests.
(2) Minimum Required Team Sports, Other Than Basketball [b- (4) and (5) above]: In the team sports sponsored to meet the minimum team sports sponsorship requirement, teams shall compete in a minimum regular season conference schedule of five contests. A minimum of five regular season conference contests must be hosted by one of the two competing teams at its home site venue.

d. Officiating. A multisport conference shall provide oversight of the officiating programs for selecting, training and assigning officials for its men's and women's basketball programs.

e. Compliance. A multisport conference shall have a comprehensive compliance program.

f. Continuity. A multisport conference must meet the institutional membership requirement (a. above) before it may be considered for continuity. To establish continuity, a multisport conference must meet the sports sponsorship and regular season competition requirements (b. and c. above) for a period of eight consecutive years. If a conference establishes continuity, it may be considered for multisport membership in Division I.

g. Core. A multisport conference that is recognized in the NCAA Governance Structure as a result of legislation [see No. 9 above] shall be considered a core conference. A core conference shall:

(1) Have representation and voting standing in the NCAA Division I Governance Structure.
(2) Be eligible to submit nominees for and have its delegates serve on NCAA Division I committees.
(3) Be eligible to receive AQ into NCAA championships, provided the conference competes with a minimum of six active participants in the sport.
(4) Receive conference grant funds.
(5) Receive, on behalf of its members, Special Assistance/Student-Athlete Opportunity Funds.

Proposed New Division I Reclassification Process.

The following information outlines the membership reclassification process for any Division II or non-NCAA institution seeking to reclassify its entire athletics program to Division I membership. It should be noted that multidivisional membership (i.e., classifying one men's and or one women's sport other than football and basketball in Division I) will be discontinued following the 2010-11 academic year for any additional Division II or III institutions.

General Requirements.

The following general requirements must be satisfied prior to an institution entering the reclassification process.

- The reclassifying institution must have been an active NCAA Division II member for the preceding five years.
- The institution must be meeting applicable Division I minimum financial aid and sports-sponsorship membership requirements.
- The institution must have been extended a bona fide offer of membership by an active DI multisport voting conference.
- The institution and conference must complete an application form accompanied by an application fee (based on a yet to be determined figure based on either the average or mean of the Division I revenue distributions and championship benefits in the year of application) no later than June 1 preceding entry into year one of the reclassification process that is approved by the Division I Administration Cabinet. The institution must provide notice if it is currently involved in an investigation, an infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.
- The institution must submit a skeletal strategic plan to the Administration Cabinet that addresses the Division I philosophy statement (see Bylaw 20.9) and athletics certification operating principles (see Bylaw 22.2) approved by the conference office no later than June 1 preceding entry into year one of the reclassification process.

[Note: The Administration Cabinet shall have oversight responsibilities and shall monitor each institution's progress through the reclassification process. The cabinet shall have the authority to decline moving an institution to the ensuing year of the process if it deems that deficiencies warrant that the institution repeat a year].

Year One.

- Attendance at an orientation session conducted by the national office staff related to basic Division I operating rules and membership requirements. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session (if such a session is conducted). Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Apply all Division I legislation except scheduling requirements and continuing eligibility requirements (e.g., progress-toward-degree, five year rule) for student-athletes who are completing their final season of competition and were enrolled at the institution at least one year prior to entering year one of the reclassification process.
- Completion of a preliminary NCAA athletics certification orientation (conference representatives will also be required to be in attendance).
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.

Year Two.

- Full compliance with all Division I legislation and membership requirements.
- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session (if applicable). Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Completion of a compliance review conducted by the national office and submission of a report with an institutional response to the findings and recommendations.
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.

Year Three.

- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session (if applicable). Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.

- Completion of an athletics certification orientation.
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.

Year Four.

- Successfully complete an NCAA athletics certification and evaluation visit.
- Attendance at the NCAA Convention Division I Issues Forum and business session (if applicable). Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president (or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution), the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Attendance at a regional rules seminar conducted by the NCAA. Institutional representatives required to attend are the chancellor or president [or an individual appointed by the chancellor or president with executive status at the institution], the director of athletics, the senior woman administrator, the faculty athletics representative and the senior compliance administrator.
- Process institutional and individual student-athlete violations of Division I legislation through the Division I enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement processes. The institution shall be subject to any and all sanctions for violations of Division I legislation.
- Submit an annual report and an updated strategic plan by June 1 based on feedback from the previous year's plan. The institution shall report all violations to the Administration Cabinet as part of the annual report and provide notice if the institution is currently involved in an investigation, infractions case or on probation with its current governing body.
- A prospective member institution may not be elected to active membership if it is subject to a historical penalty under the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program.

Post-Reclassification.

- After completion of the four year reclassification process, the Board of Directors, on the recommendation of the Division I Administration Cabinet, shall have the authority to elect the institution to active Division I status.
- The institution must submit a progress report to the Committee on Athletics Certification regarding its progress on any plans for improvement (as previously recommended by the committee) no later than the conclusion of its second year of active Division I membership.
- A new Division I institution will qualify to receive revenue distributions related to sports sponsorship and grants-in-aid after serving three calendar years as an active Division I member.
- A new Division I institution will qualify immediately to receive Student-Athlete Opportunity, Special Assistance and Academic Enhancement Funds, as well as basketball grant funds as determined by the institution's conference.

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL MEETING APRIL 12-13, 2010

***ACTION ITEM*.**

- None.

***INFORMATIONAL ITEMS*.**

1. Legislative Actions. A list of the NCAA Division I Legislative Council's legislative actions may be found in Attachment A and detailed voting results may be found in Attachment B.

[Note: Per NCAA Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.1, legislation adopted by the Legislative Council shall be subject to possible review by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors at its next meeting. At its discretion, the Board of Directors may ratify, amend or defeat legislation adopted by the Legislative Council. Further, per Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.2, the Board of Directors may resurrect a proposal defeated on final review by the Legislative Council and consider the proposal on its merits. The Board also may amend such a proposal.]

2. Report of NCAA Division I Legislative Review/Interpretations Committee.

a. The Legislative Council approved the minute from the November 19, 2009, teleconference (No. 27) as distributed.

b. The Legislative Council heard and considered an appeal of an interpretive decision issued March 18, 2010, by the Legislative Review and Interpretations Committee related to the application of the effective date of NCAA Proposal No. 2009-31. The council upheld the committee's decision.

3. Report of the NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief. (For additional information, see Supplement No. 10 of the Legislative Council meeting materials and the NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief page on the NCAA Web site.)

a. The Legislative Council approved a revision to the guidelines for review of and the required information standards for waiver requests involving NCAA Bylaw 14.2.3.2 (delayed enrollment sports -- other than men's ice hockey, skiing and tennis) and 14.2.3.2.2 (tennis) and international mandatory military service. The revisions were made to account for the adoption of Proposal No. 2009-22.

b. The Legislative Council approved revisions to the review thresholds for waiver requests processed using the streamlined review process. The revisions were made to account for the adoption of Proposal No. 2009-22.

c. The Legislative Council approved the inclusion of four additional waivers to the current list of previously approved waivers.

d. The Legislative Council approved revisions to guidelines for waiver requests related to Bylaw 14.5.5.4 (four-year college transfers – eligibility for institutional financial aid) that involve assertions of misinformation or lack of information and the establishment of guidelines for waiver requests related to Bylaw 15.3.3.1 (one-year period) that involve assertions of misinformation or lack of information.

4. Election of Chair. Shane Lyons, representative of the Atlantic Coast Conference, was elected to serve as chair of the council.

5. Future Meeting Dates.

a. October 18-19, 2010, Indianapolis, Indiana.

b. January 2011, San Antonio in conjunction with the NCAA Convention.

c. April 11-12, 2011, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Council Chair: *Joseph D'Antonio, Big East Conference*
Council Liaisons: *Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs*
Steve Mallonee, Academic and Membership Affairs
Binh Nguyen, Academic and Membership Affairs
Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

ATTACHMENT A

NCAA Division I Legislative Council January 2010 Legislative Actions

1. Actions Related to Proposals Subject to Override Vote.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-22	AMATEURISM AND ELIGIBILITY -- INVOLVEMENT WITH PROFESSIONAL TEAMS -- EXCEPTION -- PRIOR TO INITIAL FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT -- DELAYED ENROLLMENT -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S ICE HOCKEY	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	For sections A-D: August 1, 2010; applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2010. For section E, as it applies to sports other than tennis: August 1, 2011; applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2011. For section E, as it applies to tennis: August 1, 2012, applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012.	In sports other than men's ice hockey and skiing, to specify that prior to initial full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may enter into an agreement to compete on a professional team and compete on a professional team, provided the agreement does not guarantee or promise payment (at any time) in excess of actual and necessary expenses to participate on the team; further, in sports other than men's ice hockey, skiing, tennis, swimming and diving and women's volleyball, to specify that a student-athlete who does not initially enroll full-time in a collegiate institution within one year (six months for tennis) or the next opportunity to enroll following the high school graduation date of the prospective student-athlete's class and participates in organized events after the specified time period shall be charged with a season of intercollegiate competition for each year of participation and shall fulfill an academic year in residence (one year for each year of competition in tennis) on matriculation at the certifying institution before being eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate competition.	Amended the effective date for section E, as it applies to sports other than tennis to August 1, 2011; applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2011. For section E, as it applies to tennis: August 1, 2012, applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012. Adopted, as amended.
The council noted that the delayed effective date will address issues related to notice and will provide ample time for conferences and other constituencies to consider and develop potential adjustments that may be fully vetted through the legislative process during the 2010-11 legislative cycle. In addition, the council noted that the Amateurism Cabinet plans to sponsor legislation to address issues related to prospective student-athletes who delay enrollment in order to participate in Olympic and national team training programs that include competition.					

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-51-B	RECRUITING -- CAMPS AND CLINICS -- INSTITUTION'S SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- LOCATION RESTRICTION -- BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate; a contract signed before September 17, 2008, for men's basketball camps may be honored; a contract signed before September 16, 2009, for women's basketball camps may be honored.	In basketball, to specify that an institution's camp or clinic shall be conducted on the institution's campus or within a 100-mile radius of the institution's campus.	Amended the proposal to apply only to men's and women's basketball. Adopted, as amended.

2. Proposals Recommended as Emergency or Noncontroversial Legislation.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2010-2	COMMITTEES -- STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE -- TERM OF OFFICE	NCAA Division I Legislative Council (Student-Athlete Advisory Committee)	Immediate	To specify that the term of office of a member of the Student-Athlete Advisory Committee shall commence on the first day of July following the member's appointment.	Supported as noncontroversial legislation. Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2010-3	COMMITTEES -- COMMITTEES WITH CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION AND SPORTS ISSUES RESPONSIBILITIES -- MEN'S ICE HOCKEY COMMITTEE -- COMPOSITION	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To specify that the Men's Ice Hockey Committee shall consist of one member from each conference that is eligible for and applies for automatic qualification into the Division I Men's Ice Hockey Championship.	Supported as noncontroversial legislation. Adopted.

3. Actions Related to Modifications of Wording.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
M-2010-1	AMATEURISM -- INVOLVEMENT WITH PROFESSIONAL TEAMS -- TRYOUTS AND PRACTICE WITHOUT COMPETITION	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	August 1, 2010; applicable to student-athletes who initially enroll full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2010.	In sports other than men's ice hockey and skiing, to clarify that prior to initial full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may try out with a professional athletics team and participate in practice sessions without competition conducted by a professional team, provided he or she does not receive more than actual and necessary expenses to participate.	Approved.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
M-2010-2	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES -- BASKETBALL -- ON-CAMPUS ATHLETICS EVENT	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	In basketball, to clarify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities shall not attend an on-campus athletics event involving prospective student-athletes, unless the staff member is an immediate family member or legal guardian of one of the participants in the activity.	Approved.
M-2010-3	RECRUITING AND PERSONNEL -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- PERMISSIBLE CALLERS -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS AND NONCOUNTABLE COACHES -- AFTER RECEIPT OF FINANCIAL DEPOSIT	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To clarify that on or after May 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, noncoaching staff members and noncountable coaches are permitted to make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from the prospective student-athlete, provided the institution has received a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.	Approved.
M-2010-4	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- INDIVIDUAL ANALYSIS REQUIRED	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To clarify that individual analysis beyond demographic information or rankings for each prospective student-athlete for whom information is disseminated must be provided by a recruiting or scouting service in order for an institution to subscribe to such a service.	Approved.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
M-2010-5	ELIGIBILITY -- TWO-YEAR AND 4-2-4 COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITY COURSES -- TRANSFERABLE DEGREE CREDIT AND GRADE-POINT AVERAGE REQUIREMENTS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	August 1, 2010; applicable to two-year college transfer student-athletes who enroll full-time as transfer students at a Division I institution on or after August 1, 2010.	In men's basketball, to clarify that not more than two credit hours of physical education activity courses may be used to fulfill the two-year and 4-2-4 college transfer transferable degree credit and grade-point average requirements.	Approved.
M-2010-6	LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY AND PROCESS -- PROCESS FOR ADOPTION OR AMENDMENT OF LEGISLATION -- AMENDMENT BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ON FINAL REVIEW	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To clarify that during its final review of a legislative proposal, the Legislative Council may amend a proposal by a three-fourths majority vote of the Legislative Council members present and voting, provided the amendment does not increase the modification specified in the original proposal.	Approved.

4. Actions Related to 2009-10 Legislative Cycle Proposals.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-10-1	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE-ASSISTANT COACH - NO PREVIOUS FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Big Ten Conference	Immediate; for new appointments of graduate assistant coaches	To amend Proposal No. 2009-10 to specify that, in bowl subdivision football, a graduate-assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and has not previously coached at a four-year collegiate institution or in a professional football league.	Defeated.
2009-10	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE-ASSISTANT COACH -- EMPLOYMENT WITHIN SEVEN YEARS OF GRADUATION OR EXHAUSTING ELIGIBILITY -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	Immediate; for new appointments of graduate assistant coaches	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate-assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-12-A	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT-ASSISTANT COACH	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To permit a student-athlete to serve as an undergraduate student-assistant coach at the institution at which the student-athlete most recently participated in intercollegiate athletics, provided the student-athlete is currently enrolled at the institution as a full-time undergraduate student who has exhausted his or her eligibility in the sport or has become injured to the point that he or she is unable to practice or compete ever again; further, to specify that the limit of undergraduate student-assistant coaches in each sport shall be the same as the limit of countable coaches permitted in the sport.	Adopted.
2009-14-A	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- MANAGER -- FORFEITURE OF ELIGIBILITY IN BASEBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To establish criteria by which an individual may serve as a manager, as specified.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-19-A	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To specify that an institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball shall have a limit of two coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time; further, to specify that an institution that sponsors women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball shall have a limit of four coaches who may be employed and a limit of two coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off-campus at any one time.	Tabled. With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-19-B	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF COACHES AND OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	August 1, 2010	In women's sand volleyball, to specify that the limit on the number of coaches who may be employed is two and the limit on the number of coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time is two.	Tabled. With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-29	RECRUITING AND ELIGIBILITY -- FOUR-YEAR PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- PERMISSION TO CONTACT AND TRANSFER RELEASE -- RESPONSE TO REQUEST AND HEARING OPPORTUNITY	Big South Conference	August 1, 2010	To specify that if an institution receives a written request from a student-athlete to permit another institution to contact a student-athlete about transferring or a request for a release in conjunction with the application of the one-time transfer exception, the institution shall grant or deny a request within seven business days of receipt of the request; further, to specify that if the request is denied, the institution shall conduct a hearing and provide written results within 15 business days of receipt of the student-athlete's written request and that the student-athlete shall be provided the opportunity to appear in-person or via telephone and actively participate in the hearing. To also specify that if the institution fails to respond to the student-athlete's written request or fails to conduct the hearing or provide written results within the specified time period, permission to contact or the transfer release shall be granted by default and the institution shall provide the written permission or release to the student-athlete.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-30-B	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS WITH SPORT SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To specify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities (excluding clerical staff) shall not attend an on- or off-campus athletics event in the staff member's sport that involves prospective student-athletes (e.g., high-school contest, sports camp) unless the staff member is an immediate family member or legal guardian of one of the participants in the activity; further, to establish conditions by which a staff member who is an immediate family member or legal guardian of a participant may attend such an event, as specified.	On initial consideration, defeated an amendment to specify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities shall not attend an on- or off-campus athletics event in the staff member's sport that involves prospective student-athletes (e.g., high-school contest, sports camp); on reconsideration, adopted the amendment. Adopted an amendment to exclude sport-specific clerical staff. Adopted, as amended.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-32-B	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- TIME PERIOD FOR TELEPHONE CALLS -- EXCEPTION -- UNLIMITED DURING CONTACT PERIOD -- SPORTS WITH DEFINED RECRUITING CALENDARS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL	Big Ten Conference	August 1, 2010	In sports with defined recruiting calendars other than football, to specify that during a contact period, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.	On initial consideration, adopted; on reconsideration, adopted.
2009-35	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- VISIT TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETE'S EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION -- VISITS DURING EVALUATION PERIOD -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Big East Conference	Immediate	In football, to specify that not more than two coaches per institution may visit a prospective student-athlete's educational institution on any one calendar day during an evaluation period.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-39	RECRUITING -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS -- EVALUATION DAYS -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To specify that an institution that sponsors only women's sand volleyball or sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball is limited to 100 evaluation days (measured August 1 through July 31); further, to specify that if an institution sponsors both women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball, a coach's involvement outside a volleyball contact or evaluation period with a local sports club (volleyball or sand volleyball) per Bylaw 13.11.2.3 shall count toward the limit.	Tabled. With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.
2009-40	RECRUITING -- PRINTED RECRUITING MATERIALS -- GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE -- INSTITUTIONAL LETTERHEAD	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2010	To establish additional provisions governing general correspondence that an institution may send to prospective student-athletes, their parents or legal guardians, their coaches or any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student-athlete is involved, as specified.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-41	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ATHLETICS PUBLICATIONS -- NO PRINTED MEDIA GUIDES OR RECRUITING BROCHURES	Pacific-10 Conference	Immediate	To specify that an institution shall not produce (or arrange for or authorize a third party to produce) a media guide, recruiting brochure or any similar athletics publication printed in a hard copy format other than a game program; further, to specify that an institution may post a media guide or recruiting brochure on its Web site, but may not print such items and provide them to a prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians).	Defeated.
2009-42	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ATHLETICS PUBLICATIONS -- NO PRINTED PUBLICATIONS TO PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2010	To specify that an institution shall not provide a printed media guide or any other printed athletics publication not listed in Bylaw 13.4.1.1 to a prospective student-athlete, his or her parents or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's educational institution or any individual involved in the coaching of a prospective student-athlete.	Defeated an amendment to specify that an institution may not provide a media guide to a prospective student-athlete via a digital media storage device (e.g., compact disc, flash drive). On initial consideration, defeated; on reconsideration, adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-47-A	RECRUITING -- LETTER OF INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- WRITTEN OFFER OF AID BEFORE SIGNING DATE – CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Big East Conference	August 1, 2010	In championship subdivision football, to specify that prior to August 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, an institution shall not provide a written offer of athletically related financial aid or indicate in writing to the prospective student-athlete that an athletically related grant-in-aid will be offered by the institution.	Defeated.
2009-47-B	RECRUITING -- LETTER OF INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- WRITTEN OFFER OF AID BEFORE SIGNING DATE	Ivy Group	August 1, 2010	To specify that prior to August 1 of a prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school, an institution shall not provide a written offer of athletically related financial aid or indicate in writing to the prospective student-athlete that an athletically related grant-in-aid will be offered by the institution.	Adopted.
2009-49-1	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- COMPETITION AGAINST PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PAYMENT OR RECRUITING	Ivy Group	August 1, 2010	To amend Proposal No. 2009-49 (FCS) to specify that, in championship subdivision football, an institution's varsity team may compete against a two-year college team and its subvarsity team may compete against a two-year college team, a high school team or a preparatory school team, provided no payment or other inducement (e.g., guarantee) is provided to such a team and no recruiting activities occur with members of such a team in conjunction with such competition.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-49	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- COMPETITION AGAINST PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES – FOOTBALL -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2010	In championship subdivision football, to prohibit an institution's varsity and subvarsity intercollegiate teams from competing against any team that includes prospective student-athletes.	Adopted, as amended by Proposal No. 2009-49-1.
2009-50	RECRUITING AND ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS -- ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS -- QUIET PERIODS -- WOMEN'S SOCCER	Big 12 Conference	Immediate	In women's soccer, to specify that during a dead or quiet period, institutional coaching staff members may not coach a local sports club team at an off-campus competition where prospective student-athletes are present; further, to establish quiet periods in women's soccer, as specified.	Defeated.
2009-58	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- MEN'S SOCCER	Big West Conference	August 1, 2010	In men's soccer, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Defeated.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-61	ELIGIBILITY -- FULL TIME ENROLLMENT -- REQUIREMENT FOR PRACTICE -- WAIVER -- U.S. OLYMPIC COMMITTEE/NATIONAL GOVERNING BODY -- FORMER STUDENT ATHLETE AT ANOTHER INSTITUTION -- INDIVIDUAL SPORTS AND WOMEN'S ROWING	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)	August 1, 2010	In individual sports and women's rowing, in a case in which the U.S. Olympic Committee or national governing body in the sport has recommended the individual's participation, to permit a former student-athlete who has graduated and has no eligibility remaining to participate in organized practice sessions at an institution other than the one he or she previously attended.	Adopted.
2009-62	ELIGIBILITY -- FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- REQUIREMENT FOR PRACTICE -- WAIVER -- U.S. OLYMPIC COMMITTEE/NATIONAL GOVERNING BODY -- FORMER STUDENT-ATHLETE -- DURATION OF WAIVER -- INDIVIDUAL SPORTS AND WOMEN'S ROWING	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)	August 1, 2010	In individual sports and women's rowing, in a case in which the U.S. Olympic Committee or national governing body (NGB) in the sport has recommended the individual's participation, to specify that a former student-athlete's participation in organized practice sessions shall be limited to the number of years that allows the individual to practice with the institution's team in preparation for two consecutive Olympic Games following exhaustion of eligibility or completion of degree, whichever is earlier.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-70	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits and Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	August 1, 2010	In sand volleyball, to establish the maximum equivalency and counter limitations, as specified.	Tabled. With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.
2009-74	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- BENEFITS, GIFTS AND SERVICES -- OCCASIONAL MEALS -- LOCATION OF MEAL PROVIDED BY REPRESENTATIVE OF ATHLETICS INTERESTS	Horizon League	Immediate	To permit a representative of the institution's athletics interests to provide an occasional meal to a student-athlete or the entire team in a sport on campus or in a facility that is regularly used for home competition.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-75-B-1	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND RECRUITING -- MANDATORY MEDICAL EXAMINATION -- SICKLE CELL SOLUBILITY TEST -- OPTION TO DECLINE AND SIGN WRITTEN RELEASE	Ivy Group	August 1, 2010	To amend Proposal No. 2009-75-B to specify that the required medical examination or evaluation that student-athletes who are beginning their initial season of eligibility and students who are trying out for a team must undergo prior to participation in voluntary summer conditioning or voluntary individual workouts pursuant to the safety exception, practice, competition or out-of-season conditioning activities shall include a sickle cell solubility test (SST), unless documented results of a prior test are provided to the institution or the individual declines the test and signs a written release.	Adopted.
2009-75-B	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND RECRUITING -- MANDATORY MEDICAL EXAMINATION -- SICKLE CELL SOLUBILITY TEST -- DOCUMENTED RESULTS OF PRIOR TEST	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	August 1, 2010	To specify that the required medical examination or evaluation that student-athletes who are beginning their initial season of eligibility and students who are trying out for a team must undergo prior to participation in voluntary summer conditioning or voluntary individual workouts pursuant to the safety exception, practice, competition or out-of-season conditioning activities shall include a sickle cell solubility test (SST), unless documented results of a prior test are provided to the institution.	Adopted, as amended by Proposal No. 2009-75-B-1.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-77	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- 30 DAYS OF COUNTABLE ACTIVITIES WITHIN 40 DAYS PRIOR TO FIRST CONTEST	Southeastern Conference and Big East Conference	August 1, 2010	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest; further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities prior to its first regular-season contest.	Adopted.
2009-78	PLAYING AND PRACTICES SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- MAXIMUM LIMITATIONS	Atlantic Coast Conference	August 1, 2011	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall limit its total regular-season playing schedule with outside competition to 26 contests and one qualifying regular-season multiple team event or 28 contests during a playing season in which the institution does not participate in a qualifying regular-season multiple team event.	Defeated.
2009-79-B	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT -- TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS -- CROSS COUNTRY, FIELD HOCKEY, SOCCER, SOFTBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL -- EXCEPTION -- 400-MILE RADIUS	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet	August 1, 2010	In cross country (for institutions without indoor or outdoor track and field), field hockey, soccer, softball and women's volleyball, to specify that team travel to competition in the nonchampionship segment shall be restricted to ground transportation, unless there are no other Division I institutions located within 400 miles of the institution.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-79-A	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT -- TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS -- CROSS COUNTRY, FIELD HOCKEY, SOCCER, SOFTBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2010	In cross country (for institutions without indoor or outdoor track and field), field hockey, soccer, softball and women's volleyball, to specify that team travel to competition in the nonchampionship segment shall be restricted to ground transportation.	Rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2009-79-B.
2009-79-C	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT -- TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS -- CROSS COUNTRY, FIELD HOCKEY, SOCCER, SOFTBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL -- HAWAII EXCEPTION	Western Athletic Conference	August 1, 2010	In cross country (for institutions without indoor or outdoor track and field), field hockey, soccer, softball and women's volleyball, to specify that an institution located in Hawaii may travel by air for nonchampionship segment competition; further, to specify that an institution located outside Hawaii may travel by air for nonchampionship segment competition in Hawaii against a Division I institution located in Hawaii.	Defeated.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-83	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS AND DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- REGULATIONS FOR PLAYING SEASON AND MINIMUM CONTEST REQUIREMENTS FOR SPORTS SPONSORSHIP -- WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL	Sun Belt Conference	August 1, 2010	In women's sand volleyball, to establish the playing and practice season and the minimum number of contests necessary for sports sponsorship purposes, as specified.	Tabled. With the delay to the effective date for the addition of women's sand volleyball to the list of emerging sports for women, current proposals and any new concepts related to the sport will be considered in the 2010-11 legislative cycle.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-88	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- FOREIGN TOURS AND COMPETITION -- ELIGIBILITY OF STUDENT-ATHLETES -- INCOMING-STUDENT PARTICIPATION -- BASKETBALL	Atlantic 10 Conference	August 1, 2010	In basketball, to permit an incoming student-athlete (freshman or transfer) to represent the institution on a foreign tour that occurs during the summer prior to his or her initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution and participate in practice prior to departure for the foreign tour, provided: (a) he or she has earned at least three hours of acceptable degree credit during the summer term at the certifying institution; and (b) he or she is eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate competition during the academic year immediately following the tour; further, to specify that a basketball student-athlete shall not participate in more than one foreign tour for a particular institution.	Adopted.
2009-94	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- GEOGRAPHIC REQUIREMENT	NCAA Division I Board of Directors [(Championships/Sports Management Cabinet) (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)]	September 1, 2010	In women's basketball, to specify that in order for a basketball event to be certified, participants on nonscholastic teams in a certified event must be legal residents of the state in which the team is located or a geographically adjoining state and not more than a total of three prospective student-athletes from adjoining states may participate on any one nonscholastic team.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-97	FINANCIAL AID -- COUNTERS -- AID AFTER DEPARTURE OF HEAD COACH -- NONCOUNTER -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors (Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group)	August 1, 2010	In men's basketball, to specify that a student-athlete who receives athletically related institutional financial aid in academic years following the departure of a head coach from the institution is not a counter, provided: (a) The student-athlete participated in basketball and received athletically related institutional financial aid during the coach's tenure at the institution; and (b) The student-athlete does not participate in basketball during the later academic years at the institution; further, to specify that if the student-athlete later participates in basketball at the institution, the student-athlete shall become a counter for all years during which athletically related institutional aid was received.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-98	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- PRESEASON PRACTICE MODEL -- MISSED CLASS-TIME POLICIES -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors (Men's Basketball Academic Enhancement Group)	For all provisions other than section C as it relates to the maximum number of contests: August 1, 2010 For section C as it relates to the maximum number of contests: August 1, 2011 (Contracts signed before 8/6/09 that cause noncompliance with the maximum contests limitation may be honored.)	In men's basketball, to establish a preseason practice model, as specified.	Section A: Adopted. Section B: Adopted. Section C, preseason practice: Defeated. Section C, maximum number of contests: Defeated.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-100	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE, CONTEST OR EVENT -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors	Immediate; a contract signed before October 29, 2009 may be honored.	In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice, contest or event in which men's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.	Referred to Men's Basketball Issues Committee. The proposal remains in the legislative process and will be considered again in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. If the proposal is adopted, as noted in the effective date, a contract signed before October 29, 2009, may be honored. If the legislation is adopted, actions contrary to the legislation that are taken pursuant to contracts signed on or after October 29, 2009, will result in violations.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-101-1	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	Ivy Group	Immediate	To amend Proposal No. 2009-101 to specify that, in men's basketball, it is permissible for an institution's men's basketball coaches to engage in recruiting conversations with prospective student-athletes during the institution's men's basketball camps or clinics.	Adopted.
2009-101	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors	Immediate	In men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's men's basketball coaches to recruit prospective student-athletes during the institution's basketball camps and clinics.	Adopted, as amended by Proposal No. 2009-101-1.
2009-102	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EMPLOYMENT AT INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- ENROLLED STUDENTS AND INSTITUTIONAL STAFF MEMBERS ONLY -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors	September 1, 2010	In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall only employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) enrolled students and/or institutional staff members in any capacity at its camps and clinics.	Defeated.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2009-103	ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS -- POSTSEASON BOWL LICENSING -- CONTEST STATUS -- DESERVING TEAM -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	NCAA Division I Board of Directors (Big 12 Conference)	August 1, 2010	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that for the purpose of postseason bowl eligibility, a "deserving team" shall be defined as one that has won a number of games against Football Bowl Subdivision opponents that is equal to or greater than the number of its overall losses.	Adopted.

ATTACHMENT B

Conference/Delegate	Sub-division	Voting Weight	Amend 2009-22	Amend 2009-51-B	2010-2 as non-controversial	2010-2	M-2010-1	M-2010-2	M-2010-3	M-2010-4	M-2010-5	M-2010-6	2009-10-1 (FBS only)	2009-10 (FBS Only)	2009-12-A	2009-14-A	Table women's sand volleyball proposals	2009-29	Amend 2009-30-B (Sport specific)	Amend 2009-30-B (Exclud clerical staff)	Reconsider Amendment to 2009-30-B (Sport-specific)
America East/C. Doyle	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic 10/E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Atlantic Coast/S. Lyons	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic Sun/B. Breedlove	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Big 12/L. Ebihara	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y
Big East/J. D'Antonio	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big Sky/J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big South/M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big Ten/B. Jaffee	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big West/C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CAA/S. Groff	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
C-USA/R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Horizon/E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ivy/C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	A	Y			Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
MAAC/W. Maher	DI	1.2	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Mid-American/J. Mynarski	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
MEAC/S. Stills	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Missouri Valley/M. Mulvenna	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Mountain West/J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Northeast/M. Hefferan	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ohio Valley/M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pacific-10/K. Koong	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Patriot League/P. Muffley	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SEC/G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y
Southern/D. King	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southland/T. Shoemake	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SWAC/A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sun Belt/K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Summit/A. Torain	DI	1.2																			
West Coast/K. Peters	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y
WAC/R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Missouri Valley FB/A. Woodrick	FCS	1																			
Pioneer League/B. Collier	FCS	1																			
Adopt/Yes			49.8	48.6	49.8	49.8	48.6	49.8	49.8	49.8	45.6	46.8	3	18	37.8	40.8	48.3	36.9	36	47.4	49.8
Defeat/No			0	1.2	0	0	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	24	9	12	9	1.5	12.9	13.8	2.4	0
Abstain			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Present			1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	4.2	4.2	24	24	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Total			51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51

ATTACHMENT B

Conference/Delegate	Amend 2009-30-B (Sport-specific)	2009-30-B, as amended	2009-32-B	Reconsider 2009-32-B	2009-32-B	2009-35 (FBS only)	2009-40	2009-41	Amend 2009-42 (no digital media storage devices)	2009-42	Reconsider 2009-42	2009-42	2009-47-A (FCS only)	2009-47-B	2009-49-1 (FCS only)	2009-49 (FCS only), as amended	2009-50	2009-58	2009-61	2009-62
America East/C. Doyle	Y	Y	Y	N	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y		Y			N	N	Y	Y
Atlantic 10/E. Pasque	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	N	N	N	Y	N		N			Y	A	Y	Y
Atlantic Coast/S. Lyons	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y			N	N	N	Y
Atlantic Sun/B. Breedlove	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y			N	N	N	Y
Big 12/L. Ebihara	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N		N			A	N	Y	Y
Big East/J. D'Antonio	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N		Y			N	N	Y	Y
Big Sky/J. Gee	Y	Y	Y	N	Y		N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N
Big South/M. Eaker	Y	Y	N	Y	Y		Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N
Big Ten/B. Jaffee	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N		Y			N	N	N	Y
Big West/C. Masner	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y		N			N	N	N	N
CAA/S. Groff	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y
C-USA/R. Philippi	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y		N			N	N	Y	N
Horizon/E. Jacobs	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y			Y	N	N	N
Ivy/C. Campbell-McGovern	Y	N	N	Y	N		Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N
MAAC/W. Maher	Y	Y	N	N	Y		Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y			N	N	N	Y
Mid-American/J. Mynarski	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y		N			N	N	N	N
MEAC/S. Stills	Y	Y	N	Y	N		N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	A	N	N
Missouri Valley/M. Mulvenna	Y	Y	N				N	N	N	N				N			N	N	Y	N
Mountain West/J. Ruggiero	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		N			Y	Y	Y	Y
Northeast/M. Hefferan	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Ohio Valley/M. Banker	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N
Pacific-10/K. Koong	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N		Y			Y	N	Y	Y
Patriot League/P. Muffley	Y	Y	N	Y	N		N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N
SEC/G. Sankey	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N		N			Y	A	Y	Y
Southern/D. King	Y	Y	N	Y	N		Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N
Southland/T. Shoemake	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	A	Y	Y
SWAC/A. Robinson	Y	Y	N	N	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y
Sun Belt/K. Keene	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N		Y			N	N	Y	N
Summit/A. Torain				Y	N						Y	Y								
West Coast/K. Peters	Y	N	N	Y	N		N	Y	N	N	Y	Y		Y			N	N	N	N
WAC/R. Spear	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y		Y			Y	A	N	N
Missouri Valley FB/A. Woodrick													N		Y	Y				
Pioneer League/B. Collier													N		Y	Y				
Adopt/Yes	48.3	41.4	28.5	27	30.9	21	31.8	20.1	29.1	24.6	25.5	27.3	0	31.8	13	13	16.2	2.7	27.6	29.1
Defeat/No	1.5	8.4	21.3	22.8	18.9	6	18	29.7	20.7	25.2	24.3	22.5	13	18	0	0	30.6	39	22.2	20.7
Abstain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8.1	0	0
Not Present	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	24	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	0	1.2	0	0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Total	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	13	51	13	13	51	51	51	51

ATTACHMENT B

Conference/Delegate	2009-74	2009-75-B-1	2009-75-B (as amended)	2009-77	2009-79-B	2009-79-C	2009-88	2009-94	2009-97	2009-98 Section A	2009-98 Section B	2009-98 Section C (preseason practice)	2009-98 Section C (maximum number of contests)	2009-78	Refer 2009-100 to Men's Basketball Issues Committee	2009-101-1	2009-101, as amended	2009-102	2009-103 (FBS only)	2010-3 as non-controversial	2010-3
America East/C. Doyle	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y
Atlantic 10/E. Pasque	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N		A	A
Atlantic Coast/S. Lyons	N	A	A	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic Sun/B. Breedlove	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y
Big 12/L. Ebihara	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	A	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Big East/J. D'Antonio	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Big Sky/J. Gee	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	A
Big South/M. Eaker	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y
Big Ten/B. Jaffee	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y
Big West/C. Masner	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N		Y	A
CAA/S. Groff	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y
C-USA/R. Philippi	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	A	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Horizon/E. Jacobs	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y
Ivy/C. Campbell-McGovern	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N		Y	Y
MAAC/W. Maher	Y	Y	A	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y
Mid-American/J. Mynarski	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
MEAC/S. Stills	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y
Missouri Valley/M. Mulvenna	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	A	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	A	N	N			
Mountain West/J. Ruggiero	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	A	A	N	N	Y	Y	A	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Northeast/M. Hefferan	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y
Ohio Valley/M. Banker	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y
Pacific-10/K. Koong	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	A	Y	N	Y	A	Y
Patriot League/P. Muffley	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y
SEC/G. Sankey	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Southern/D. King	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y
Southland/T. Shoemake	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	A
SWAC/A. Robinson	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y
Sun Belt/K. Keene	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y
Summit/A. Torain																				Y	Y
West Coast/K. Peters	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y
WAC/R. Spear	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	A	Y
Missouri Valley FB/A. Woodrick																					
Pioneer League/B. Collier																					
Adopt/Yes	29.1	28.5	34.5	35.1	34.8	21.9	41.7	47.4	37.5	25.5	33.9	19.5	11.4	17.7	49.8	34.2	43.2	9.6	22.5	39.9	45
Defeat/No	20.7	18.3	11.1	14.7	15	27.9	6.9	2.4	12.3	22.8	14.4	30.3	35.4	32.1	0	6.9	6.6	40.2	4.5	3	0
Abstain	0	3	4.2	0	0	0	1.2	0	0	1.5	1.5	0	3	0	0	8.7	0	0	0	5.7	4.8
Not Present	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	24	2.4	1.2
Total	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51

SUPPLEMENT NO. 5

REPORT OF
THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I COMMUNICATIONS AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE
CONFERENCE CALL

Participants: April 21, 2010

Grace Calhoun, Indiana University – (Awards, Benefits Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet)
Carolyn Callahan, University of Virginia – (Academic Cabinet)
Joseph D'Antonio, Big East Conference – (Legislative Council)
Damon Evans, University of Georgia – (Leadership Council)
Nick Fulton, chair of the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee
Rob Halvaks, Big West Conference – (Administration Cabinet)
Mike Rogers, Baylor University – (Amateurism Cabinet)
Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte (Championships/Sports Management Cabinet)
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA – recording secretary

Petrina Long, UCLA – (Recruiting/Athletics Personnel Cabinet) was unable to participate on the call.

NCAA Staff: David Berst, Matt Burgemeister, Jeremiah Carter, Shauna Cobb, Angie Cretors, Julie Cromer, Vanessa Fuchs, Lynn Holzman, Steve Mallonee, Carol Reep, Kris Richardson, Dave Schnase, Carl Segura, Maricela Schukie, Jackie Thurnes, Sharon Tufano and Leeland Zeller also participated on the call.

The conference call was called to order at 3:07 p.m. by Damon Evans, chair. All were present as noted above.

1. Brief Updates from Leadership and Legislative Councils.

a. Leadership Council. The Leadership Council completed its work on the new Division I membership standards and forwarded a final report to the Board of Directors for review during its April 29 meeting. The Council also spent time reviewing possible cost savings measures recommended by various Division I cabinets. The Council recommended that staff collect additional data regarding actual spending areas and, with the possible help of consultants, develop a set of principles that could guide a review of spending. The Council suggested a two-pronged approach involving the evaluation of costs on campuses as well as a review of the Association's spending related to the activities of the national office.

b. Legislative Council. The Legislative Council reconsidered Proposal Nos. 2009-22 and 2009-51-B, which were subject to override requests from the membership. The

Council amended the effective date of section E of Proposal No. 2009-22 to August 1, 2011, for sports other than tennis, and August 1, 2012, for tennis. In reviewing Proposal No. 2009-51-B, the Council amended the proposal to apply only to men's and women's basketball. The Council's actions, relative to these proposals, will be reviewed by the Board of Directors during its April 29 meeting.

2. Comments Regarding Cabinets' Key Items. The cabinet chairs briefly shared the following meeting highlights:

a. Academic Cabinet. The cabinet continued its review of initial- and transfer-eligibility requirements, with the goal of aligning the requirements to ensure the academic success of student-athletes. In June, the cabinet will discuss the pros and cons of possible enhancements to the current initial- and transfer-eligibility standards. The cabinet also will meet jointly with the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) in June.

b. Administration Cabinet. During its February meeting, the cabinet engaged in its second cycle of committee appointments. During its June meeting, the cabinet will conduct its annual evaluation of institutions in the Division I membership reclassification process. The cabinet continues its work with the NCAA research staff to develop a governance assessment tool to determine if the new governance structure is achieving its stated goals and objectives.

c. Amateurism Cabinet. The cabinet continued its review and examination of commercial activity in intercollegiate athletics. During its June meeting, the cabinet expects to review legislative proposals regarding the use of student-athletes' names, pictures and likenesses that will be introduced into the 2010-11 legislative cycle. The cabinet also plans to discuss the implications of Proposal No. 2009-22 on elite athletes who participate in Olympic and national team training programs.

d. Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet. The cabinet continued its review of proposed changes to the financial aid model, which was shared with the membership through a discussion document. The cabinet reviewed feedback from the membership and will be sending out an updated document for membership review and comment during upcoming conference meetings.

e. Championships/Sports Management Cabinet. During its February meeting, the cabinet continued its discussion of possible cost savings concepts related to the administration of championships and playing and practice seasons. The cabinet plans to continue its discussions in June.

f. Recruiting Cabinet. During its February meeting, the cabinet discussed the current recruiting model and developed three alternative models for membership review and feedback. The models recently were circulated to the membership for review and comment during upcoming conference meetings. The cabinet also reviewed the results of a survey pertaining to noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and directed staff to draft proposals that include numerical limitations on such personnel in the sports of men's and women's basketball and football. The proposals will be circulated to the membership and the appropriate coaches' associations for review and feedback to be used by the cabinet in its consideration of legislation for the 2010-11 cycle.

3. Expected Traffic Flow of Issues on the Division I agenda. The committee was informed of the possible proliferation of legislation in the Division I cycle during the upcoming year. Legislation likely to move forward this year includes proposals in the areas of commercial activities, Division I membership requirements, financial aid, football and basketball academic working groups, and possibly cost savings. Legislation related to a new recruiting model and changes to the initial- and transfer-eligibility requirements may not be ripe until the next legislative cycle, unless membership feedback and academic data dictate otherwise. The staff noted that all of these areas include complex concepts that will require focus and debate by the membership. Therefore, the staff has encouraged conferences to be selective in submitting legislative proposals that add bulk but little substance to this year's legislative process.

4. Appointment of New Cabinet and Council members.

a. FBS Members of Cabinets and Councils. The committee was reminded that the terms of the Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) representatives on all the cabinets and councils expire this summer; however, all of them have the opportunity for reappointment. The Board of Directors will approve the FBS nominations during its upcoming meeting, provided they meet the new diversity requirements endorsed by the Board in January.

b. Chairs of Cabinets and Councils. The staff noted that NCAA legislation mandates that chairs of the Division I cabinets and councils serve in that role for no longer than two years, which means the terms of all chairs expire this summer. In order to maintain continuity on this committee, the staff will recommend to the Board that the terms of half of the chairs be extended for one year. The staff will keep the chairs informed of the Board's action regarding this matter.

5. **Update on Division I Governance Collaboration Zone.** The staff noted that it continues to work internally to have the Division I Governance Collaboration Zone (CZ) fully operational prior to the fall cabinet and council meetings. The cabinets will receive a brief demonstration of the CZ during their June meetings. Additional information and instructions regarding use of the CZ will be forwarded to cabinet and council members this summer.

6. **Proposed Future Call Dates**. The staff noted that the expected next call date would probably be in mid-August following the Board of Directors meeting. The committee will be sent calendars to indicate their availability sometime in early summer.

7. **Adjournment**. The call was adjourned at 3:53 p.m. EDT.

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

1. Legislative Items.

- **Adjustment of Terms of Service.**

  (1) Recommendation. The NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee recommends that the NCAA Division I Legislative Council sponsor and adopt as noncontroversial legislation an amendment to NCAA Bylaw 21.7.6.2.2 to specify that the term of service for a member of the Student-Athlete Advisory Committee commence on the first day of July following the member's appointment as opposed to the first day of September.

  (2) Rationale. A July 1 term start date will create a smoother transition for committee members and provide for better preparation by the committee within the existing legislative cycle. Also, based on the current meeting schedule, this change will enable new members to attend an in-person meeting prior to the September legislative teleconference and the November in-person meeting. In addition, a July 1 term of service start date is consistent with the current term start dates for cabinet appointments.

  (3) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

  (4) Effective Date. Immediate, to be achieved through normal attrition beginning with those members whose current terms expire September 1, 2011, or thereafter.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

Committee Chair: *Nick Fulton, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Big Ten Conference*
Committee Vice Chair: *Scott Krapf, Illinois State University, Missouri Valley Conference*
Staff Liaison(s): *Kelly Groddy, Academic and Membership Affairs*
Tim Nevius, Enforcement
Abigail Renaker, Academic and Membership Affairs
Maricela Shukie, Educational Affairs

NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
Guiding Principles

NCAA Division I Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet guiding principles identified, in order of significance, for consideration when reviewing legislative proposals or other recruiting issues:

a. Adherence to the principle governing recruiting set forth in NCAA Constitution 2.11. Specifically, that the recruiting process involves a balancing of the interests of prospective student-athletes, their educational institutions and the Association's member institutions and that recruiting regulations be designed to promote equity among member institutions in its recruiting of prospective student-athletes and to shield them from undue pressures that may interfere with the scholastic or athletics interests of the prospective student-athletes or their educational institutions;

b. Commitment to student-athlete well-being and coaches' quality of life (e.g., time commitment) issues;

c. Ensure legislation is written in a simple, clear and direct manner;

d. Consideration of cost implications to institutions;

e. Consideration of whether or not sport-specific legislative proposals can be expanded to include other sports;

f. Consideration of the amount of time legislation has been in effect in order to assess the full impact before supporting a legislative change to the same bylaws;

g. Whenever possible ensure feedback has been solicited from appropriate external groups (e.g., coaches associations, national governing bodies) on issues, particularly when reviewing legislative proposals that are sport specific;

h. Examine potential unintended consequences when reviewing legislation, particularly proposals designated as noncontroversial or emergency;

i. Consideration of flexibility with regard to issues involving communication (e.g., telephone calls, written and electronic correspondence, contacts) with prospective student-athletes who have signed an National Letter of Intent (NLI) or for those institutions not using the NLI, a written offer of financial aid and/or offer of admission; and

j. Consideration of legislative proposals that focus recruiting practices on scholastic activities.

NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
Strategic Plan – Priorities
Last Updated: May 12, 2010

Priority	***Progress and Status***	***Future Action***	***Timeline***
Recruiting materials and technology	• Sponsored NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2009-44 to deregulate video/audio materials. (June 2009) • Supported a restriction on media guides for recruiting purposes. (June 2009)	• Related issues will be addressed in examination of current recruiting model.	June 2010
Coaching limits and noncoaching staff	• Provided discussion document for membership review. (April 2009) • Reaffirmed existing coaching limits, sponsored legislative proposals regarding managers and noncoaching staff members. (June 2009) • Continue to discuss graduate assistants. • Continue to collect feedback and data regarding staff size.	• Continue to discuss graduate assistants. • Review Second survey results specific to limitations on MBB, WBB and FB.	June 2010
Early offers of athletics aid	Incorporated into broader examination of the current recruiting model.	Examine current recruiting communication methods and timing.	June 2010

Priority	***Progress and Status***	***Future Action***	***Timeline***
Legislative review of "dated legislation"	• Modified at request of NCAA Division I Board of Directors to include review of potential cost savings. • List generated at June 2009 meetings.	Continued discussion of how amending legislation could provide cost savings.	9/10 Awaiting further guidance from Board of Directors.
Coaching Clinics and speaking engagements	No cabinet action to date.		
Scouting of opponents – film exchange	No cabinet action to date.		
Delayed employment of assistant coaches	No cabinet action to date		

SUPPLEMENT NO. 9-a

NCAA Division I Major Infractions Cases for January to April 2010
Involving Violations of Recruiting Communication Legislation

As previously shared with the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, there have been **11 major infractions cases that involved impermissible communication** with prospective student-athletes between January 1, 2005, and December 31, 2009. Of those 11 cases, **nine involved impermissible telephone contact and three involved impermissible text messages.**

The information presented below is a summary of two additional cases that were decided since January 1, 2010, that include **impermissible telephone contact and text messages**. Those two cases were the only cases decided since January 1 involving NCAA Bylaws 11 or 13 violations and relate to the work of the cabinet.

University of Central Florida

Sport: Football

Legislative cite(s): Bylaws 13.1.3 (Impermissible Phone Calls) and 13.4.1.2 (Electronic Transmissions)

Summary: Between June 2007 and January 2009, two noncoaching football staff members (a recruiting administrator and a director of player personnel) placed numerous impermissible telephone calls and text messages to prospective student-athletes and/or their parents. This case was considered major in nature because the sheer volume of impermissible telephone calls and text messages placed by the involved individuals (at least 300) clearly reflected that the violations were neither isolated nor inadvertent. Moreover, the impermissible telephone calls and text messages occurred over an extended period of time (i.e., almost 18 months) and involved a significant number of prospects (27).

Note of interest: This was the fifth summary disposition case involving either impermissible text messaging, electronic mail or telephone calls that the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions has reviewed in the last year. [University at Albany (January 27, 2009); University of New Hampshire (July 23, 2009); University of Richmond (November 5, 2009); College of the Holy Cross (December 17, 2009).]

University of Texas, Pan American

Sport: Men's basketball

Legislative cite(s): Bylaws 13.1.3.1.2 (Impermissible Phone Calls) and 13.02.13-(d), 13.2.1, 13.2.1.1-(g) (Impermissible Contact and Recruiting Inducements)

Summary: From August 2006 through the 2007-08 academic year, members of the men's basketball coaching staff made a total of 44 impermissible phone calls to 13 prospective student-athletes. Further, during the summer and fall of 2006, members of the coaching staff provided

inducements to a prospective student-athlete and made a visit to his home during a noncontact period. Starting at approximately the same time and continuing through the spring of 2007, a volunteer men's basketball strength and conditioning coach at the institution, who was also a representative of the institution's athletics interests, prepared and supervised workouts for the prospective student-athlete.

Note of interest: This became the sixth summary disposition case involving either impermissible text messaging, electronic mail or telephone calls that the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions has reviewed in the last year. [University at Albany (January 27, 2009); University of New Hampshire (July 23, 2009); University of Richmond (November 5, 2009); College of the Holy Cross (December 17, 2009); University of Central Florida (February 11, 2010).]

NCAA Division I Secondary Self-Report Statistics for January 2010 to April 2010
Involving Violations of Recruiting Communication
and Countable Coaching Limits Legislation

The information presented below is a summary of NCAA Division I self-reported secondary infractions cases involving NCAA Bylaws 11 and 13 between January 1 and April 16, 2010. Specifically, there were **27 self-reports involving impermissible telephone contact, the number of permissible off-campus recruiters and countable coaching limits.** Of the 27 self-reports, **four involved noncoaching staff members (impermissible callers) placing calls to prospective student-athletes**. None of the self-reports involving impermissible telephone contact included excessive calls to prospective student-athletes.

Of the 27 self-reports, **seven involved sport programs exceeding countable coaching limits** by permitting individuals who were not countable coaches to perform coaching activities. The sport of **baseball was involved in four of the seven self-reports**.

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
May 20, 2010 JAT:jlm

MEMORANDUM

April 16, 2010

To: NCAA Division I Conferences
Selected Coaches' Associations.

From: Petrina Long, Chair
NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet.

Subject: Feedback Request for Division I Recruiting Model.

The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet is seeking feedback from the Division I membership for its June 2010 meeting regarding the Division I recruiting model for all sports. The cabinet has been engaged in a thorough, lengthy review of the current recruiting model due to concerns raised by the membership, such as early offers of aid and the burdens placed on institution to monitor recruiting activities. Each legislative cycle has seen an increase in the number of recruiting related proposals, many of which are fragmented by sport and piecemeal by nature. Attached to this memorandum are three recruiting models that propose changes to the current legislation. The models are broad-based concepts created by the cabinet to generate discussion within the membership. The cabinet would like to stress that the models are not being presented or intended to be fully developed legislative proposals, rather they are to help determine the future direction the membership is most comfortable with for recruiting.

The models are identified in three distinct categories: restrictive, moderate (opt-in), and open (opt-out). The models include the four main areas of recruiting: (1) evaluations (academic and athletics); 2) communications (telephone calls, text messages, contacts and correspondence); (3) campus visits (official, unofficial and tryouts); and (4) offers of aid (verbal and written). The cabinet recognizes that each sport has its own unique recruiting environment; however, the cabinet believes there is merit in considering the same base recruiting model for each sport, with targeted areas of differentiation (e.g., number of recruiting person days).

The cabinet believes that each model is a single viable future direction for recruiting, however, the cabinet seeks constructive feedback regarding each model, including comments related to parts of each of the models that may or may not be acceptable solutions. The cabinet recognizes that the final recruiting model may well reflect a combination using parts of all three models. Feedback is requested not later than **Friday May 28, 2010**.

The cabinet will review the feedback it has received from conferences and coaches' associations at its June 8 and 9, 2010, meeting with the goal of sponsoring legislation for the 2010-11 legislative cycle. The cabinet understands the membership's concern for timely action on several segments of the current recruiting model; however, the cabinet's priority has always been the development of a well constructed and thoroughly vetted model prior to sponsoring legislation.

The cabinet hopes to be able to propose legislation stemming from feedback and discussion after its June meetings. However, if no consensus is determined, the cabinet will narrow options and request additional feedback on a single model for the fall meeting schedule.

Questions regarding the recruiting models should be directed to Jeremiah Carter (jcarter@ncaa.org) at the national office who serves as a staff liaison to the cabinet.

Finally, on behalf of the cabinet, thank you in advance for your participation in this important initiative.

JC:kas

Model 1 - Restrictive

	Evaluations		Communication			Campus Visits			Offers of Aid	
	Academic	Athletics	Phone Calls and Text	Contacts	Correspondence	Official	Unofficial	Tryouts	Verbal	Written
Freshman/ Sophomore	Five evaluations – no communication in association with evaluation.		Current rule, response permissible per NCAA Bylaw 13.4.1.4.			Current rule.	No athletically pre-arranged visits (e.g., Junior Day).	Current rule.	Not permitted.	
Junior	Seven evaluations total. (Minimum of two must be academic if using three or more evaluations.)		No change from current legislation.			Current rule.		Current rule.	Not permitted.	
Senior	Five evaluations.		No change from current legislation.			Current rule.	Current rule.	Current rule.	Permitted only after the six-semester high school academic record is on file with the institution. Written offer can only come from institution's financial aid office.	
Post-NLI	Current rule.		Unlimited activities and individuals.			Not Permitted.	Current rule.	Current rule.	N/A	N/A

NCAA/4/12/10/jc:kas

Model 2 – Middle of the Road

	Evaluations		Communication (Opt-in Model)			Campus Visits			Offers of Aid	
	Academic	Athletics	Phone Calls and Text	Contacts	Correspondence	Official	Unofficial	Tryouts	Verbal	Written
Freshman/ Sophomore	Five evaluations – no communication in association with evaluation.		**Current rule response permissible per NCAA Bylaw 13.4.1.4.**			Current rule.	Current rule.	Current rule.	Not permitted.	Not permitted.
Junior	Seven evaluations total. (Minimum of two must be academic if using three or more evaluations.)		*One call per month (basketball model) expanded to all sports. *Starting January 1 of junior year allow: (1) the opportunity to have unlimited calls with written permission from PSA (via form on NCAA.org); (2) Edit Bylaw 13.4.1.2 – electronically transmitted correspondence (not medium specific, non-verbal direct communication) allowed beginning during junior year; and (3) one in-person contact.			After January 1 of junior year, one permissible per PSA based on established minimum academic requirements (TBD). (Counts against five maximum.)	Current rule.	Permissible limit of five tryouts per prospect. Set limits similar to DII model (e.g., required physical, no more than one per institution).	Permitted only after the four-semester high school academic record is on file with institution.	
Senior	Five evaluations.		***If unlimited permission has not been received, institution will be limited to one call per month and electronic and printed recruiting will be limited to Bylaw 13.4.1.1 (c-f). Three in-person contacts permitted during senior year.			Five per SA total. (Minus one if taken during junior year.)	Current rule.			
Post-NLI	Current rule.		Unlimited activities and individuals.			Not permitted.	Current rule.	Not permitted.	N/A	N/A

NCAA/4/12/10/jc:kas

Model 2 – Middle of the Road

Bylaw 13.4.1.2 - Electronic Transmissions.

All ~~E~~**electronically** transmitted correspondence ~~that~~ may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians~~) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.7.2.)~~, **provided it is sent directly to and is only viewable by the prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians).** ~~All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited~~. Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires). In addition, attachments shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence.

Model 3 – Open Recruiting Model

	Evaluations		Communication (Opt- out Model)			Campus Visits			Offers of Aid	
	Academic	Athletics	Phone Calls and Text	Contacts	Correspondence	Official	Un-Official	Tryouts	Verbal	Written
Freshman/ Sophomore	No limit. Requires establishment of recruiting person days in each sport and a limitation on the number of times you can enter a high school (limit once per week).		**No outgoing calls or electronic communication from coaching staffs. One letter sent from institutions to PSAs, including the recruiting rules. *No PSA initiation requirement.**			Current rule.			Current rule.	Current rule.
Junior	No Limit. Requires establishment of recruiting person days in each sport and a limitation on the number of times you can enter a high school (limit once per week).		One call per month (basketball model). *August 1 before junior year allow for the opportunity to have unlimited until PSA requests institution to stop (via form on NCAA.org). * Three in-person contacts permitted. * Edit NCAA Bylaw 13.4.1.2 – electronically transmitted correspondence (not medium specific, non-verbal direct communication).			Five total visits per PSA. Visits could begin during junior year if PSA meeting minimum progress standards (TBD). Allow institutions to provide expenses to parent/legal guardian to reduce outside influences.	Current rule.	Permissible, with a limit of seven tryouts per prospect with a maximum of five during the junior year. Set limits similar to DII model (e.g., required physical, no more than one per institution).	Current rule.	Current rule.
Senior	No Limit. Requires establishment of recruiting person days in each sport and a limitation on the number of times you can enter a high school (limit once per week).		*Unlimited model for senior year (beginning August 1). Allow for PSAs to opt-out of unlimited model. *Allow for unlimited electronically transmitted correspondence. *Five in-person contacts permissible.				Current rule.		Current rule.	Current rule.
Post-NLI	Current rule.		Unlimited activities and individuals.			Not permitted.	Current rule.	None.	N/A	N/A

NCAA/4/12/10/JC:kas

Model 3 – Open Recruiting Model

Bylaw 13.4.1.2 - Electronic Transmissions.

All ~~E~~electronically transmitted correspondence ~~that~~ may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians~~) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.7.2.)~~, **provided it is sent directly to and is only viewable by the prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians).** ~~All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited~~. Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires). In addition, attachments shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence.

Division II Legislation Display - 1 Cite

Title:13.11.2.1 - Tryouts.

Previous Cite: 13.11.2 Permissible Activities.

Next Cite: 13.11.2.2 Preseason Practice and Competition.

A member institution may conduct a tryout of a prospective student-athlete only on its campus or at a site at which it normally conducts practice or competition and only under the following conditions (see Bylaw 17.02.13 for tryouts of currently enrolled students): *(Revised: 1/13/98 effective 8/1/98, 1/11/00 effective 8/1/00)*

(a) No more than one tryout per prospective student-athlete per institution per sport shall be permitted;

(b) The tryout may be conducted only for high school seniors who are enrolled in a term other than the term(s) in which the prospective student-athlete's high school's traditional season in the sport occurs or who have completed high school eligibility in the sport; for a two-year college student, after the conclusion of the sport season or anytime, provided the student has exhausted his or her two-year college eligibility in the sport; and for a four-year college student, after the conclusion of the sport season, provided written permission to contact the prospective student-athlete (per Bylaw 13.1.1.2) has been obtained; *(Revised: 1/11/94, 1/9/96 effective 8/1/96, 1/12/04, 1/10/05 effective 8/1/05, 4/11/06)*

(c) Prior to participation in a tryout, a prospective student-athlete is required to undergo a medical examination or evaluation administered or supervised by a physician (e.g., family physician, team physician). The examination or evaluation must be administered within six months prior to participation in the tryout. The medical examination or evaluation may be conducted by an institution's regular team physician or other designated physician as a part of the tryout; *(Revised: 7/24/07 effective 8/1/07)*

(d) The tryout may include tests to evaluate the prospective student-athlete's strength, speed, agility and sport skills. Except in the sports of football, ice hockey, lacrosse and wrestling, the tryout may include competition. In the sport of football, the prospective student-athletes shall not wear helmets or pads; *(Revised: 1/10/05)*

(e) Competition against the member institution's team is permissible, provided such competition occurs during the academic year and is considered a countable athletically related activity per Bylaw 17.02.1; *(Adopted: 1/10/91, Revised: 1/11/94)*

(f) The time of the tryout activities (other than the physical examination) shall be limited to the length of the institution's normal practice period in the sport but in no event shall it be longer than two hours; and

(g) The institution may provide equipment and clothing on an issuance-and-retrieval basis to a prospective student-athlete during the period of the tryout.

Date Printed: April 7, 2010

SUPPLEMENT NO. 11-a

2010 NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet Legislative Concepts Survey Results: Executive Summary

The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet has reviewed issues regarding the number of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and the impact on competitive equity throughout the past year based on concerns raised by the membership and the NCAA Division I Board of Directors. In January 2010, the Cabinet conducted a survey of all NCAA Division I member institutions regarding the number, role and specific duties of noncoaching staff with sport-specific responsibilities in order to assist the cabinet in determining the appropriate number of personnel needed to effectively and efficiently manage and lead a Division I intercollegiate athletics sports program and what, if any, limits are warranted.

Based on the results of the initial survey, the Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet requested that surveys be developed to obtain feedback from directors of athletics at each Division I member institution, the National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC), the American Football Coaches Association (AFCA) and the Women's Basketball Coaches Association (WBCA) in regards to legislative concepts that will be discussed at its June 2010 meeting with consideration for developing proposal(s) for the 2010-11 legislative cycle. The results from this survey seek to provide the committee with detailed information on each institution's opinions regarding these legislative concepts.

Four survey instruments were developed for response to the various legislative options provided by the committee.

The following constructs were captured:

- Preferences of legislative options for countable coach and noncoaching limits.
- Comments regarding the legislative concepts for FBS and FCS football and men's and women's basketball.

This report focuses on the preferred results of the proposed legislative options.

Methods

Sampling

The sample used for this survey consisted of e-mail lists provided by NCAA staff containing Division I directors of athletics at each Division I institution. For the membership of the NABC, AFCA and WBCA, each executive director from the respective association was prompted to gather information from their association membership and respond to the survey once.

Survey Administration

Notifications were sent prior to the administration of the survey to identify the type of information being requested. Survey administration involved an online survey tool. Each participant received an e-mail containing an individualized Web link requesting participation. Detailed instructions were provided on the instrument, in addition to a reminder of the definition of noncoaching staff members.

Participants

Respondents included representation from the following Division I institutions; 82 FBS, 63 FCS and 59 Division I without football, for a total of 204 responses. Overall there was a 62 percent response rate for Division I. The response rate for each subdivision is 69 percent for FBS institutions, 53 percent for FCS institutions and 62 percent response for Division I institutions without football.

The NABC and WBCA responded to the survey solicitation. The AFCA failed to respond to the survey request despite multiple efforts to solicit response.

Note: It is important to keep in mind that the majority of the Division I membership indicated having zero coaching staff members in the various sports. Therefore, those institutions that do not have noncoaching staff members might not be inclined to respond to a survey regarding legislation of noncoaching staff members.

Analysis

Responses were converted into SPSS format at the NCAA national office. The data were checked for errors and inconsistencies. The SPSS library of statistical packages was used to compile the descriptive rankings reported in this summary.

Results

FBS Football

- The ***most preferred*** option was to retain current limit of 12 countable coaches (one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches), which would permit a maximum of four noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities.

- The ***least preferred*** option was to retain current limit of 12 countable coaches (one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches), which permit a maximum of six noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities.

FCS Football

- The ***most preferred*** concept was to retain current limit of 11 countable coaches and permit a maximum of two noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities.

- The ***least preferred*** concept was to retain current limit of 11 countable coaches and permit a maximum of four noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities.

Men's Basketball

- For all subdivisions, the ***most preferred*** concept was to retain current limit of four countable coaches and permit a maximum of one noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities.

- Division I FCS and Division I without men's football indicated that retaining the current limit of four countable coaches and permit a maximum of two noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities was their ***least preferred*** legislative concept.

- Division I FBS equally indicated the options to remove distinction between responsibilities of countable coaches and noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and permit a maximum of six staff members with sport-specific responsibilities, all duties of the six staff members would be determined at the institution's discretion and the limit on the number of permissible off-campus recruiters at any one time would remain at three AND to retain current limit of four countable coaches and permit a maximum of two noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities as their ***least preferred*** option.

- The NABC had divergent responses to the directors of athletics of our member institutions. The NABC indicated their ***most preferred*** option was to remove distinction between responsibilities of countable coaches and noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and permit a maximum of six staff members with sport-specific responsibilities; all duties of the six staff members would be determined at the institution's discretion, and the limit on the number of permissible off-campus recruiters at any one-time would remain at three. This was FBS directors of athletics least preferred option, while FCS and Division I without football directors of athletics indicated it as their secondary option.

- According to their CEO, these results were calculated from Division I head coaches' responses during their convention in Indianapolis.

Women's Basketball

- All Division I subdivisions indicated their ***most preferred*** option was to retain current limit of four countable coaches and permit a maximum of one noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities.

- All Division I subdivisions indicated their ***least preferred*** option was to retain current limit of four countable coaches and permit a maximum of two noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities.

- The WBCA had conflicting responses to the directors of athletics of our member institutions. The WBCA indicated their ***most preferred*** was to retain current limit of four countable coaches and permit a maximum of two noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities which was the directors of athletics ***least preferred*** option.

- According to their CEO, these results were based on a focus group of 30 WBCA conference captains. Concepts one and two were very close, with concept three being well behind. Therefore, the WBCA's second most preferred option was the directors of athletics most preferred option.

2010 NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: Unedited Legislative Concepts Survey Comments

Comments regarding the legislative concepts for FBS football:

- 1 Head, 9 Assistants. 6 more who can coach but cannot recruit off campus.
- Costs must be controlled. In addition to the extraordinary compensation increases - now there is an explosion of staff.
- Do not want ANY increase in number of staff members.
- easier to monitor, utilizes each employee as needed and according to abilities
- Either punish those who are abusing the current parameters with non-coaching staff or open it up to level the playing field.
- I am concerned about the additional compensation that would inevitably occur if we eliminated the graduate assistant positions or if we set a maximum on the number of non-coaching staff. As we all the know the maximum quickly becomes the minimum. Therefore, if we currently do not employ that many non-coaching staff we will soon be expected to comply with that number.
- I do not like any of these choices. I am not in favor of any of these concepts and feel we should limit non-coaching postions to 2 graduate assistants and one operations person.
- Increasing the duties and size are only going to lead to additional costs and exventually a coaching staff of 16-18 people. It is not necessary
- Limits are a good start, but there has to be clear demarcation for involvement. More so than what is currently available in the manual even after the recently passed legislation.
- Our institution is strongly opposed to Option 3, in large part because it would seriously hinder the development opportunities of young coaches. We are also opposed to Option 1; limiting the noncoaching staff members to 4 would limit the ability of football personnel to organize community service and other player development functions. Although we are comfortable with the current rule, Option 2 is the most feasible of these options.
- Putting limit and definition on these positions is critical.
- restricting # levels the playing field
- The proliferation of non-coaching positions is very troubling. I favor the most restrictive policy in regard to numbers but with expanded responsibilities. Too many non-coaching employees are pushing the limit on what is permissible.
- Unable to comprehend parameters. What is the definition of sport specific responsibilities and who this includes i.e. secretary, quality contral, operations, strength training, video.....

- We favor restrictions on the number of non-student-athlete personnel permitted in the sideline area and press box coaching booth as well as travel limitations on such personnel. Preventing the "quasi-coaches/interns" etc from having access and visibility in the game setting reduces the appeal of the jobs. Additionally enforcing the limits would be much easier than regulating what happens behind closed doors or on the practice field.
- When this is finally decided, please make sure it is wriiten in such a way that it is abundanlty clear and does not allow for "loopholes" in the interpretation.
- While we support the concept in options #1 & #2, Four noncoaching staff members with sport specific responsibilities does not appear to be a sufficient number. Are clerical/secretarial staff excluded?

Comments regarding the legislative concepts for FCS football:

- As it stands we only have 5 full time coaches and no full time non coaching staff members for our FCS football team.
- Do not favor any of these...would rather just see 11 coaches period.
- I don't think we need 11 countable coaches--cut it back to 10 coaches with 2 non-coaching members.
- It is important to protect competitive equity of the less resourced while providing possible entry level positions and administrative assistance.
- My preference would be to only permit 11 staff members rather than 14
- Require a limit on additional personnel
- Take a coach away
- This creates more staffing for schools that have greater resources, thus, overweighting the playing field in their favor. this imbalance is anti-competitive and money driven.
- We should look to limit, not expand sport specific personnel in football.

Comments regarding the legislative concepts for men's basketball:

- 1. There are currently smaller schools in NCAA Division I that struggle to fully fund four countable coaches. Conversely, there are a number of higher level Division I schools with budgets that can support in excess of four non-coaching staff members. Given economic realities, it is easier to create a level competitive playing field by mandating a smaller paid staff.
  2. There is not enough legitimate non-coaching activity for multiple non-coaching staff members. When there are motivated, but under-utilized people in these positions it is much too easy for them to use their free time for nefarious purposes.
- 4 coaches, 2 sport specific who can coach but cannot recruit off campus.
- 6 coaches for a 13 scholarship team is way too many. We need to be thinking about keeping the limit of all positions at 4 total.
- again, this creates an unfair ability to hire more coaches and non-coaching personnel based on financial resources.
- basketball staff have grown too large-the use of new titles etc enables schools circumvent the rules
- Continues to assist the big money programs only.

- Do not believe there should be limits, but if any we'd prefer to remove the distinction and open it up. This may present an increase in salaries for the staff if you are expanding the normal duties of non-coaching staff members.
- Do not favor any of these - would like to see four countble coaches, period.
- Favor six staff members
- I believe that 3 full-time coaches is appropriate and only they should recruti off campus. I would then permit 2 noncoaching staff members.
- I believe we have too many slots already. Four coaches and a GA is enough for a squad of 13 players.
- I do not favor any of these. Four fulltime coaches working with 12-15 athletes is enough.
- I have a hard time understanding why a program with 13 student-athletes needs more than five to six full-time staff members to function in a successful manner.
- I think a maximum of 4 staff members with no distinction between coaches and non-coaches is more than sufficient for managing 13 players and the program.
- I would oppose the third idea because that will lead to coaches being hired specifically for recruiting and no other purpose, and lead to the hiring of individuals with logical ties to specific prospects. Having individuals on staff whose sole function is to recruit off campus is not a good idea as far as I am concerned.
- I would prefer four countable coaches with all four with sport specifice responsibilities
- It is difficult to justify from either a budgetary or educational perspective the 'need' for 5 full-time professionals to manage the activities of 15 student-athletes; but that horse is already out of the barn. Please don't add to the problem
- Keep the number of coaches at 5 countable coaches with sport specific responsibilities and can perform on court duties at practice/game.
- Like the way it is now - less is more. Need to control staffing in this area as a measure of effective cost-containment.
- Limits are completely necessary and clear lines of demarcation for involvement would even be more effective.
- M<ust be compliance friendly
- My preference would be for 5 staff members with the duties to be determined by the institutions.
- Need to control costs.
- Same response per the Football question.
- the abuse in this sport is ridiculous.
- The expanded staffs are not needed
- The idea to add more coaches to the slate in basketball is ludicrous
- The sport only includes max of 13-15 players.
- There are far too many people staffing basketball programs. I would prefer limiting the sport to 4 total staff members - with no distinction between coaching and administrative duties.
- There are more than enough "suits" already on the sidelines. The Basketball Operations individual can handle things quite well with the rest of the assistants.

- There are too many coaches for basketball. The limit should be a total of three coaches and a maximum of one non-coaching staff positions (inclusive of administrative asst.)
- There definitely needs to a restrictions but it also need to be clear so that it is not a compliance nightmare
- We have enough coaches. There are more suits than players, which doesn't happen in any other sport.
- We suggest implementing strict limits on the number of non-student-athlete personnel permitted in bench area during all (regular and post-season) competition as well as team travel limitations for basketball support personnel.
- When considering coaches to athletes ratio in this sport versus several other sports, it makes me incredulous to think that 4 coaches and one administrator (not counting secretaries and managers) could not get the job done in an efficient way!
- While I support removing the sham titles that are presently being presented..how many others will be added to the personnel roster with "non-coaching" resposibilities to replace the two who can now coach?
- You did not give sufficient choices above. Teams should be permitted the current allotment of countable coaches and no other positions. None of the choices you provided offered an opportunity to shrink which should be part of the debte.

Comments regarding the legislative concepts for women's basketball:

- 6 "coaches" is too many even with 15 scholarships. I feel a limit of 4 total is reasonable and should be the direction we are headed.
- 6 who can coach, but 2 cannot recruit off campus.
- Again, need to control costs.
- compliance friendly
- Focus group of 30 head women's coaches.
- four countable coaches each with sport specific responsibilities
- I do not favor any of these alternatives, 4 fulltime coaches working with 12-15 athletes is enough staff.
- I would prefer the number to be 5 in the third selection.
- I would prefer to limit the number of staff members dedicated to women's basketball to 4. I would remove the distinction between coaching and administrative duties. I would also eliminate volunteer coaches.
- Keep the limit of countable coaches at five and allow 5 to participate in coaching duties
- Limitations are a good start, but there will be a need for clearer language pertaining to involvement.
- needs to limits but something that can be direct and easy to monitor.
- The number that we currently have is one to many
- The staffs are too big.
- There are too many coaches in basketball. The limit should be three coaches, a total of four positions when including non-coaching staff members.

- We still believe there could be abuse and interpretive issues prevalent with the administrative positions even if we open up the non-coaching positions, but it's a start.
- We suggest implementing strict limits on the number of non-student-athlete personnel permitted in bench area during all (regular and post-season) competition as well as team travel limitations for basketball support personnel.
- While safeguarding gender equity, cost containment needs to remain the order of the day.
- Why are there no choices allowing us to shrink? This should have been included as an option.

Sand Volleyball Bylaw 11 and 13 Proposals
Application Guide/Points to Consider

Model A Proposal No(s). 2009-19-A and 2009-39	Model B Proposal No(s). 2009-19-B and 2009-39	Model C Proposal No(s). 2009-19-B, change to 2009-39, consider establish a recruiting calendar for women's sand volleyball
Limit on the number of coaches. If an institution sponsors: Women's Volleyball only: 3 (no change to current legislation) Women's Sand Volleyball only: 2 Both: 4 Limit on number of evaluation days. If an institution sponsors: Women's Volleyball only: 80 Women's Sand Volleyball only: 100 Both: 100	Limit on the number of coaches: Women's Volleyball: 3 (no change to current legislation) Women's Sand Volleyball: 2 Limit on number of evaluation days. If an institution sponsors: Women's Volleyball only: 80 Women's Sand Volleyball only: 100 Both: 100	Limit on the number of coaches: Women's Volleyball: 3 (no change to current legislation) Women's Sand Volleyball: 2 Limit on number of evaluation days. If an institution sponsors: Women's Volleyball: 80 Women's Sand Volleyball: 80
Institutions that sponsor both sports may use the services of 4 coaches in both sports. There may be competitive equity concerns between institutions that sponsor both sports and institutions that only sponsor one. For example, if an institution sponsors only women's volleyball, it will only have three coaches working with its student-athletes; however, an institution that sponsors both sports could have four coaches working with women's volleyball student-athletes.	Increased cost for hiring two additional coaches for sand. (Proposal No. 2009-19-A would only permit one additional coach for institutions that sponsor both sports).	Addresses concerns about increase in number of evaluation days for institutions that sponsor both sports.
There is no way to ensure that institutions that sponsor both sports will use the additional 20 evaluation days for recruiting sand volleyball prospective student-athletes.	Institutions that sponsor both sports will not gain competitive advantage in number of coaches over those that sponsor only one sport.	Consider what the appropriate number of evaluation days is for institutions that only sponsor sand volleyball. 80? 100? Or something else?

Model A Proposal No(s). 2009-19-A and 2009-39	Model B Proposal No(s). 2009-19-B and 2009-39	Model C Proposal No(s). 2009-19-B, change to 2009-39, consider establish a recruiting calendar for women's sand volleyball
Consider change to current recruiting calendar to establish May as an evaluation period for sand volleyball events only.	There is no way to ensure that institutions that sponsor both sports will use the additional 20 evaluation days for recruiting sand volleyball prospective student-athletes.	Addresses potential competitive or recruiting advantages for institutions that sponsor both sports if limits are separate for coaching and evaluation days.
Consider requiring that the 20 additional days apply to sand volleyball events only.	Consider implementation of sand volleyball recruiting calendar since there would be separate coaching limits for each sport.	Consider potential impact on other Bylaws if women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball are considered separate sports for personnel and recruiting.
This model provides flexibility and would allow an institution that sponsors both sports to save the expense of hiring two additional coaches.	Consider separate limit on number of evaluation days for each sport and eliminate the provision of 100 days for institutions that sponsor both sports since there would be separate coaching limits for each sport.	

Question/Answer

Question: May an institution appoint a director of operations for women's sand volleyball or women's volleyball if the individual is a countable coach in the other sport?

Answer: Yes, provided the individual does not engage in any coaching activities or off-campus recruiting activities in the sport in which he or she is not designated as a countable coach. The individual would not be permitted to report back to the coaching staff in the sport in which he or she serves as director of operations any information regarding observations of PSAs while engaged in off-campus recruiting for the sport in which he or she is a countable coach.

Transportation - Providing Prospective Student-Athletes Transportation during an Official Visit from the Nearest Airport, Bus or Train Station (I)

Background

NCAA legislation regarding the transportation of prospective student-athletes from an airport or a bus or train station for an official visit is governed by NCAA Division I Bylaw 13.5.2.4. If a prospective student-athlete is transported by an institution's staff member from an airport or a bus or train station other than the major airport or bus or train station nearest the institution, the 48-hour official visit period begins with the initiation of the ground transportation by the institution's staff member.

Currently, institutions have to request an NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief waiver if they want to use an airport, bus or train station that is not considered the one nearest their campus without starting the 48-hour official visit period. There have been no waivers filed under this bylaw to date.

The recent and continuing economic situation present a unique opportunity to examine issues related to providing transportation from an airport, bus or train station that is not the nearest major airport, bus or train station without triggering the start of the 48-hour official visit period for institutions that are located in areas in which there are multiple major airports.

Example:

- Miami International Airport is the nearest major airport for the University of Miami.
- Fort Lauderdale - Hollywood International Airport is 28-miles from the University of Miami.
- Flights at Fort Lauderdale - Hollywood International Airport are often cheaper than the flights at Miami International Airport.
- The University of Miami would have to file a Subcommittee for Legislative Relief waiver in order provide a prospective student-athlete with transportation from the airport in Fort Lauderdale to keep from triggering the start of the 48-hour official visit period.

Current Legislation

Bylaw 13.5.2.4 - From Airport or Bus or Train Station

During the official visit, any member of an institution's athletics department staff may provide ground transportation for a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents, relatives or legal guardians between the campus and any bus or train station or airport. If a prospective student-athlete is transported by a member of the institution's athletics department from an airport or bus or train station other than the major airport or bus or train

station nearest the institution, the 48-hour official visit period begins with the initiation of the ground transportation by the member of the institution's athletics department staff. (Revised: 4/28/05, 7/27/07)

Points to Consider

1. In light of today's cost concerns and flight availability, is it reasonable to permit an institution to use other airports or bus or train stations that are not considered the nearest to its campus without triggering the start of the 48-hour official visit period?

2. What would be an acceptable mileage limitation (e.g., 30, 50, 75 or 100)?

3. How would any potential change to the legislation impact remote institutions that may have a local regional airport but the nearest major airport may be over 100-miles away?

Potential Options

1. Propose legislation to modify Bylaw 13.5.2.4 to permit an institutional staff member to transport a prospective student-athlete from an airport or bus or train station within a specific mileage restriction (e.g., 30-miles, 50-miles or 75-miles) of its campus without starting the 48-hour official visit period.

2. Propose legislation to modify Bylaw 13.4.2.4 to permit institutional staff members to transport prospective student-athletes from an airport, bus or train station within a specific mileage restriction (i.e., 30-miles, 50-miles or 75-miles) of its campus without starting the 48-hour official visit period provided the institution can demonstrate that the cost of the flight is cheaper at the airport not nearest to campus or limited flight availability at the airport nearest the campus.

3. Recommend that staff or LRIC issue an interpretation that the 48-hours does not have to begin if the institution picks up the prospect at any airport within a 30-mile radius of the institution.

4. Remain status quo. Institutions can continue to file a Subcommittee for Legislative Relief waiver if they have extenuating circumstances that warrant relief.

Review of Requirements for Participation in Voluntary Summer Conditioning Activities Conducted by a Strength and Conditioning Coach

Background

Currently, there are three different requirements that govern prospective student-athletes' (PSAs) participation in voluntary summer conditioning activities that are conducted by strength and conditioning coaches. In football, a PSA must have either signed a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or a written offer of admission and/or financial if the institution does not use the NLI or if the PSA is a four-year transfer; or be enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment. In basketball, a PSA must have either signed an NLI; or be enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment. In sports other than football and basketball, a PSA must be enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to enrollment.

The legislation was adopted for different sports at different times for slightly different reasons; however, monitoring the three standards for different sports has become unnecessarily burdensome. In addition, the NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet noted the differences in the requirements during its recent review of Proposal No. 2008-29.

Current Legislation

Bylaw 13.11.3.8.1 - Voluntary Summer Conditioning -- Bowl Subdivision Football.

In bowl subdivision football, a PSA may engage in voluntary summer workouts conducted by an institution's strength and conditioning coach with department-wide duties and may receive workout apparel (on an issuance and retrieval basis), provided he: *(Adopted: 4/24/03 effective 5/1/03, Revised: 4/29/04, 5/31/06, 12/15/06, 1/14/08)*

(a) Has signed an NLI or, for those institutions not using the NLI or in the case of a four-year college PSA, the PSA has signed the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or

(b) Is enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to the student's initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution (see Bylaws 13.2.7.1 and 17.9.6).

Bylaw 13.11.3.8.2 - Voluntary Summer Conditioning -- Championship Subdivision Football.

In championship subdivision football, a PSA may engage in voluntary summer workouts conducted by an institution's strength and conditioning coach with department-wide duties or a countable coach who is a certified strength and conditioning coach, and may receive workout apparel (on an issuance and retrieval basis), provided he: *(Adopted: 4/24/03 effective 5/1/03, Revised: 4/29/04, 5/31/06, 12/15/06, 1/14/08, 5/4/09)*

Has signed an NLI or, for those institutions not using the NLI or in the case of a four-year college PSA, the PSA has signed the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or

(a) Is enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to the student's initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution (see Bylaws 13.2.7.2 and 17.9.6).

Bylaw 13.11.3.9 - Voluntary Summer Conditioning -- Basketball.

In basketball, a prospective student-athlete may engage in voluntary summer workouts conducted by an institution's strength and conditioning coach with department-wide duties and may receive workout apparel (on an issuance and retrieval basis), provided he or she: *(Adopted: 4/29/04, Revised: 7/20/04, 1/14/08)*

(a) Has signed a National Letter of Intent; or

(b) Is enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to the student's initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution (see Bylaw 13.2.8).

Bylaw 13.11.3.10 - Voluntary Summer Conditioning -- Sports Other Than Football and Basketball.

In sports other than football and basketball, a PSA may engage in voluntary summer workouts conducted by an institution's strength and conditioning coach with department-wide duties and may receive workout apparel (on an issuance and retrieval basis), provided he or she is enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to the student's initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution. *(Adopted: 4/30/09)*

Points to Consider

1. Is it necessary for football, basketball and all other sports to have different requirements regarding PSA's participation in voluntary summer conditioning activities conducted by a strength and conditioning coach?

2. If all sports should have the same requirements, what should those requirements be?

Potential Options

1. Propose legislation to permit PSAs in sports other than football to participate in voluntary summer conditioning activities conducted by a strength or conditioning coach provided the PSA has signed a NLI or, for those institutions not using the NLI or in the case of a four-year college PSA, the PSA has signed the institution's written offer of admission or financial aid.

2. Propose legislation to permit PSAs in sports other than basketball to participate in voluntary summer conditioning activities conducted by a strength and conditioning coach provided the PSA has signed an NLI.

3. Propose legislation to permit PSAs in basketball and football to participate in voluntary summer conditioning activities only if the PSA is enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution.

4. Remain status quo.

RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- COMPETITION AGAINST PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL – SERVICE ACADEMY EXCEPTION

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a national service academy's subvarsity team may compete against a two-year college team, a high school team or a preparatory school team, provided no payment or other inducement (e.g., guarantee) is provided to such a team and no recruiting activities occur with members of such a team in conjunction with such competition.

Bylaws: Amend 13.11.1, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

13.11.1 Prohibited Activities. A member institution, on its campus or elsewhere, shall not conduct (or have conducted on its behalf) any physical activity (e.g., practice session or test/tryout) at which one or more prospective student-athletes (as defined in Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and 13.11.1.2) reveal, demonstrate or display their athletics abilities in any sport except as provided in Bylaws 13.11.2 and 13.11.3.

> [13.11.1.1 through 13.11.1.3 unchanged.]
>
> 13.11.1.4 Competition Against Prospective Student-Athletes -- Bowl Subdivision Football. In bowl subdivision football, an institution's varsity and subvarsity intercollegiate teams shall not compete against any team that includes prospective student-athletes.
>
> > **13.11.1.4.1 Exception -- National Service Academy Subvarsity Team. A national service academy's subvarsity team may compete against a two-year college team, a high school team or a preparatory school team, provided no payment or other inducement (e.g., guarantee) is provided to such a team and no recruiting activities occur with members of such a team in conjunction with such competition.**

[Remainder of 13.11.1 unchanged.]

Source:

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Proposal No. 2009-49 (FBS) (adopted in January 2010) prohibits varsity and subvarsity teams in bowl subdivision football from competing against any team that includes prospective student-athletes. This current proposal recognizes and addresses the chief concerns expressed by the sponsor of Proposal No. 2009-49 (i.e., recruiting advantage) while preserving the participation opportunities afforded by junior varsity competition at the service academies. The service academies are somewhat unique in that “redshirting” is not a viable option. The service academies are structured around a congressionally approved eight-semester program. All service academy students are expected to

complete their undergraduate degree in four years and then serve on active duty in the armed forces. As a result, service academy student-athletes have four years (as opposed to five) in which to complete their eligibility. Many service academy football student-athletes participate on a subvarsity team for one year and on the varsity team for three years. If not for this subvarsity opportunity, most of them would graduate and begin active duty service after using only three years of eligibility. Subvarsity competition against teams that include prospective student-athletes is necessary in order to have a viable schedule. Such contests should be permitted as long as there is no payment (or other inducement) or recruiting activity in conjunction with these contests. Failure to adopt this proposal will result in lost participation opportunities at the service academies.

Estimated Budget Impact: None when compared to legislation prior to Proposal No. 2009-49; increase in costs compared to current prohibition.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time: None.