A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association
Division I Presidential Advisory Group

NCAA National Office — April 25, 2012
Indianapolis, Indiana — 8 a.m. to noon

1. Opening remarks.

2. Report of the January 9, 2012, Division I Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) conference call and highlights from the January 14, 2012, Division I Board of Directors meeting. (Supplement Nos. 1A and 1B.) **[Anticipated Action Item.]**

3. Report of the January 13, 2012, meeting of the NCAA Executive Committee. (Supplement No. 2)

4. Report from NCAA President.

5. Discussion of Selected Items from the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups. (Supplement No. 3)

   a. Student-Athlete Well Being – Miscellaneous Expense Allowance. (Supplement No. 4)

   b. Resource Allocation – Reduction in scholarships in Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) football. (Supplement No. 5) **[Anticipated Action Item.]**

6. NCAA Division I Football Championship Expansion. (Supplement No. 6)

7. Update from the Division I Governance Structure.

   a. News from the Division I governance substructure.

      (1) Report of the April 12, 2012 Leadership Council meeting. (Supplement No. 7)

      (2) Report of the April 16-17, 2012 Legislative Council meeting. (Supplement No.8]

      (3) Results of the Override Vote on Proposal No. 2011-97.

   b. Other governance or legislative matters of interest to the group.

8. Review of Division I and Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) nominees for 2012 Division I cabinet and council vacancies. (Supplement No. 9)

9. Review of the agenda for the April 26, 2012, Board of Directors meeting. (Supplement No. 10)

    a. Report from the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance. (Supplement No. 11)

    b. Update on Review of NCAA Division I Athletics Certification Program. (Supplement No. 12)

10. Discussion of other issues of interest to the group.

11. Other business.

12. Future meeting dates and times.

    a. Monday, July 30, 2012, 3 p.m. (Eastern Time), conference call.

    b. Monday, October 29, 2011, Indianapolis, Indiana.

    c. Monday, January 14, 2013, 3 p.m. (Eastern Time), conference call.

    d. Wednesday, May 1, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana.

    e. Monday, August 5, 2013, 3 p.m. (Eastern Time), conference call.

    f. Tuesday, October 29, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana.

    g. Monday, January 13, 2014, 3 p.m. (Eastern Time), conference call.

13. Adjournment.

REPORT OF THE JANUARY 9, 2012, MEETING OF THE NCAA DIVISION I PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY GROUP

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Report of October 26, 2011, Meeting.** The Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) approved the report of its October 26, 2011, meeting.

2. **Representation on the NCAA Division I Board of Directors.** Several PAG members expressed concern that not all Division I multisport conferences are represented on the Board of Directors. The group suggested that discussion begin between members of the Board of Directors and PAG in order to promote collaboration on this issue.

3. **President's Report.** President Emmert briefly reported on recent activities of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups and participated in PAG's subsequent discussions of that work and the working group recommendations that will be reviewed by the Board during its upcoming meeting.

4. **Update on Presidential Retreat Initiatives.**

   a. NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance. The group was informed that the committee will meet on January 12, 2012, to discuss implementation of the minimum academic standard required for access to postseason competition, as well as the waiver process for the new Academic Performance Program (APP) penalty structure.

   b. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group. The group was informed that Proposal Nos. 2011-96 – "Miscellaneous Expense Allowance" and 2011-97 – "Multi-year Grants in Aid" received more than 75 requests for an override vote, which now requires this legislation to be reconsidered by the Board of Directors at its next regularly scheduled meeting on January 14, 2012. PAG discussed the working group's proposed modifications to Proposal No. 2011-96 – "Miscellaneous Expense Allowance," which would modify the provisions for the $2,000 miscellaneous expense allowance and rescind the portion of the proposal that provided that all nonathletics financial aid will no longer count toward team limits. The working group also recommended that the Board of Directors reaffirm its original action to adopt Proposal No. 2011-97 – "Multi-year Grants in

Aid," which would result in an online override vote by the Division I active member institutions and conferences.

c. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Resource Allocation Working Group. The group discussed the Board of Directors Consent Package of Action Items from the Resource Allocation Working Group. Concern was expressed regarding several of the recommendations, particularly the recommendation to eliminate institutional foreign tours.

d. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Rules Working Group. The group was informed that guided by the October 2011 resolution endorsed by the Board of Directors, the Rules Working Group has continued its discussion regarding a new regulatory culture and review of specific principle-based outcomes and operational bylaws. The working group will request that the Board take the following actions at its January 14, 2012, meeting: 1) Support the general approach outlined for a new regulatory approach; 2) Endorse a moratorium on legislation for the 2012-13 legislative cycle (unless part of the presidential reform agenda); and 3) Support the creation of a new group to address issues of institutional integrity.

e. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Enforcement Working Group. The group was informed that the Enforcement Working Group will update the Board on its work regarding a violation and penalty structure, as well as the enforcement process and concept of shared responsibility.

5. **Review of Legislative Process and Discussion of Proposals that are of Potential Interest to the Presidential Advisory Group and the Board of Directors.** The group was reminded that during its January meeting, the NCAA Division I Legislative Council generally considers, and ultimately votes on, all legislative proposals being considered in the annual legislative cycle. This year, the Rules Working Group has asked that the Legislative Council table a number of the proposals in this year's legislative cycle until the working group has moved closer to completing its work. The hope is that this will maintain some order to the work being done while also leaving open the opportunity to consider some necessary legislation.

6. **2012 NCAA Convention Schedule.** The PAG members were informed of events of interest at the 2012 NCAA Convention.

7. Future Meeting Dates and Times.

(a) April 25, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

[Note: The Division I Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) will meet with the Division I and FCS commissioners on Wednesday, April 25, 2012, from 8 a.m. – 12 p.m. There will be a joint meeting of the PAG and the Board of Directors on Wednesday, April, 25, 2012, from 1-5 p.m. to review and discuss reports of several of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups. The Board of Directors will convene its regular meeting on Thursday, April 26, 2012.]

(b) August 6, 2102, 3 p.m. (Eastern time), conference call.

(c) Monday, October 29, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

(d) January 14, 2013, 3 p.m. (Eastern time), conference call.

Subcommittee chair: William Meehan, Jacksonville State University
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

Division I Presidential Advisory Group January 9, 2012, Teleconference	
ATTENDEES	**ABSENTEES**
James Ammons, Florida A&M University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	John Hurley, Canisius College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference
William Beauchamp, University of Portland, West Coast Conference	David Skorton, Cornell University, Ivy league
John Bravman, Bucknell University, Patriot League	
John Broderick, Old Dominion University, Colonial Athletic Association	
David Chicoine, South Dakota State University, Summit League	
Greg Dell'Omo, Robert Morris University, Northeast Conference	

Philip Dubois, University of North Carolina, Charlotte, Atlantic 10 Conference	
Joanne Glasser, Bradley University, Missouri Valley Conference	
Walter Harrison, University of Hartford, America East Conference	
David Hopkins, Wright State University, Horizon League	
Penelope Kyle, Radford University, Big South Conference	
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference	
Ann Millner, Weber State University, Big Sky Conference	
Kenneth Peacock, Appalachian State University, Southern Conference	
Kerry Romesburg, Jacksonville University, Atlantic Sun University	
Randall Webb, Northwestern State University, Southland Conference	
Timothy White, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference	
George C. Wright, Prairie View A&M University, Southwestern Athletic Conference.	
NCAA staff liaisons participating on the call: David Berst, Jacqueline Campbell	
Other NCAA staff members who participated on the teleconference: Diane Dickman, Mark Emmert, Michelle Hosick, Jim Isch, Kevin Lennon, Jarrett Newby, Wallace Renfro, Greg Shaheen and Naima Stevenson.	

REPORT OF THE JANUARY 14, 2012, MEETING OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS

1. **Report of the October 27, 2011, Board of Directors Meeting. The Board approved the report of its October 27, 2011, meeting.** (Unanimous voice vote)

2. **President's Report.** NCAA President Mark Emmert reported the following:

   a. **Men's College Basketball Officiating, LLC (MCBO) Sportsmanship Initiative**. The Board was informed that the Competition Committee of the MCBO has developed a plan to address on-court sportsmanship and behavior of coaches, players and officials in an effort to elevate the image of the sport and positively impact the game environment.

   b. **Institutional Integrity and Shared Responsibility**. President Emmert noted the need to address institutional integrity and shared responsibility, particularly in determining the appropriate role for decentralizing rules and the nature of the rules for which institutions should be held accountable. William Powers, president of the University of Texas, joined the meeting and suggested that in order to address institutional integrity issues, risk management programs should be applied across university campuses and include the athletics department. He noted that a modern risk enterprise and sentencing guidelines approach could assist institutions in addressing many of the compliance and enforcement issues facing athletics departments today. President Emmert noted that at the request of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model – Rules and Enforcement Working Groups, he plans to create a new working group to address institutional integrity and shared responsibility.

3. **Representation on the Division I Board Directors**. Greg Dell'Omo, vice-chair of the Northeast Conference President's Council and member of the NCAA Division I Presidential Advisory Group, raised with the Division I Board of Directors the issue of full representation on the Board. Several of the nonFootball Bowl Subdivision (FBS) members of the Board expressed concern that without full representation, there are a significant number of conferences that do not feel they have a voice in the current governance structure. President Emmert noted that he will bring back to the Board in August a proposal that will suggest how presidents can engage in a conversation about governance models.

4. <u>Presidential Retreat Initiatives</u>.

a. NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance. Walt Harrison, chair of the Committee on Academic Performance, presented the following recommendations:

(1) That the Board of Directors reinstate Proposal No. 2011-65 – Eligibility – Two-Year College Transfers – Year of Academic Readiness at Two-Year College to the 2011-12 legislative cycle and table it.

BOARD ACTION: **The Board agreed to reinstate the proposal and then moved to tabled it.** (Unanimous Voice Vote)

(2) That the Board approve the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) Penalty Waiver Directive. [See Attachment A for details of the APP Penalty Waiver Directive.]

BOARD ACTION: **The Board approved the APP Penalty Waiver Directive.** (Unanimous Voice Vote)

(3) That the Board approve an amendment to the APP policies and procedures providing an additional waiver appeal opportunity for teams that do not meet the postseason competition academic requirements.

BOARD ACTION: **The Board approved the amendment to the APP policies and procedures as recommended.** (Unanimous Voice Vote)

b. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group. Sidney McPhee, chair of the Student-Athlete Well-Being Group, presented the following recommendations based upon reconsideration of the legislation by the working group and comments and discussion by membership groups during the 2012 NCAA Convention:

(1) That in its reconsideration of Proposal No. 2011-97 – "Multi-Year Grants in Aid," the Board of Directors should reaffirm its original action to adopt the proposal.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to reaffirm its original action to adopt Proposal No. 2011-97. [For 13 (Adams, Albrecht, Beauchamp, Genshaft, Hatch, Hopkins, McPhee, Millner, Peters, Ray, Schmidly, Simon, White), Against 2 (Bailey, Meehan).] As a result of this action, the proposal will proceed to an on-line one vote per active member institution and multi-

sport conference override vote. It is anticipated that the vote will take place in February.

(2) That in its reconsideration of Proposal No. 2011-96 " Miscellaneous Expense Allowance," the Board should take the following actions:

(a) Rescind the portion of the proposal that provided that all nonathletics financial aid will no longer count toward team limits.

(b) Charge the Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group with developing an alternative proposal that includes the $2,000 miscellaneous expense allowance and considers the options below, as well as a need-based component, for review by the Board at its April meeting. It was noted that the proposal would apply to grants-in-aid, effective for the 2013-14 academic year.

i. Increase the "denominator" of a full and equivalency (value) "grants-in-aid" in effect by $2,000 (not to exceed the institution's cost of attendance).

ii. Maintain a value of a full grant-in-aid at its current level, and establish an "exempt" category of miscellaneous expense funds that are designated on team squad lists for use at the institution's discretion to award up to $2,000 (not to exceed cost of attendance) for full grant recipients, as well as to provide up to the proportionate amount of applicable funds to any or all equivalency grant recipients.

BOARD ACTION: The Board reaffirmed its support for the $2,000 miscellaneous expense allowance, but directed the Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group to come back to the Board in April with an alternative proposal that considers the issues above as well as recommendations for implementation. [For 11 (Bailey, Beauchamp, Genshaft, Hatch, Hopkins, McPhee, Meehan, Ray, Schmidly, Simon, White), Against 4 (Adams, Albrecht, Millner, Peters).] This action renders Proposal No. 2011-96 moot and a new legislative proposal as described above will be considered by the Board during its April meeting, which then will subject such new legislation to a 60-day request for override vote period

c. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Resource Allocation Working Group. Mike Adams, chair of the Resource Allocation Working Group, presented the following recommendations:

(1) That the Board adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2014, for the elimination of institutional foreign tours that occur at any time. Signed contracts dated previous to January 14, 2012, for scheduled institutional foreign tours will be honored.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to defeat the legislation as recommended. [For 2 (Adams, Millner), Against 13 (Albrecht, Bailey, Beauchamp, Genshaft, Hatch, Hopkins, McPhee, Meehan, Peters, Ray, Schmidly, Simon, White).]

(2) That the Board adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2012, to reduce the maximum number of Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) scholarships (counters) from 85 to 80, with a proportional decrease in the maximum number of scholarships (equivalencies) in Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) from 63 to 60, with 80 overall counters.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to defeat legislation to reduce scholarships in FBS football. [For 4 (Adams, Albrecht, Peters, Schmidly), Against 6 (Bailey, Genshaft, Hatch, McPhee, Ray, Simon).]

[Note: The recommendation for a reduction in FCS scholarships will be reviewed by the FCS presidents of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group in April, inasmuch as that group has final authority to act on legislation specific to FCS football.]

(3) That the Board adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2014, to reduce women's basketball scholarships from 15 to 13.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to defeat legislation to reduce scholarships in women's basketball. [For 7 (Adams, Albrecht, Beauchamp, Millner, Peters, Schmidly, White), Against 8 (Bailey, Genshaft, Hatch, Hopkins, McPhee, Meehan, Ray, Simon).]

(4) That the Board adopt a resolution, which specifies:

- That an immediate moratorium be instituted to cap the number of contests/dates of competition at the levels that currently exist in all sports;

- That the NCAA Division I Board of Directors commission a study to determine the maximum number of contests/dates of competition of the playing season (championship and nonchampionship segments) that is essential to the success of each NCAA sport. In addition, the Working

Group recommends that the scope of this study specifically examine how basketball contests are counted, and

- That once the study is completed and the appropriate contest/dates of competition limits are in place for each sport, that those limits remain in place for 10 years.

BOARD ACTION: The Board adopted the resolution. (Unanimous voice vote)

(5) That the Board adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2013, that limits the number of noncoaching staff members in the sports of football and men's basketball as follows:

(a) In football, a limit of 12 noncoaching staff members, whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity, including third-party contractors that may be employed by an institution. The 12 noncoaching staff members shall not include athletics trainers, academic support and compliance staff members.

(b) In men's basketball, a limit of six noncoaching staff members, whose duties include support of the men's basketball program in any capacity, including third-party contractors that may be employed by the institution. The six noncoaching staff members shall not include athletics trainers, academic support and compliance staff members.

BOARD ACTION: The Board expressed interest in taking action to address this matter, but voted to table these recommendations for reconsideration at its April meeting, and asked that additional information and feedback be gathered from the membership regarding appropriate number limitations. [For 13 (Adams, Bailey, Beauchamp, Genshaft, Hatch, Hopkins, McPhee, Meehan, Peters, Ray, Schmidly, Simon, White), Against 2 (Albrecht, Millner).]

d. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Rules Working Group. Kevin Lennon, NCAA vice president of academic and membership affairs, presented the following Rules Working Group recommendations:

(1) That the Board support the general approach outlined for a new regulatory structure, which includes developing principle-based outcomes to ensure that NCAA rules are value-based, meaningful, enforceable and supportive of the

collegiate model of sport. The Board noted its support for the new regulatory structure.

(2) That the Board endorse a moratorium on new legislation for the 2012-13 legislative cycle (unless part of presidential reform agenda).

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to apply a moratorium on new legislation for the 2012-13 legislative cycle, unless it is part of the presidential agenda. (Unanimous voice vote)

e. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Enforcement Working Group. Ed Ray, chair of the Enforcement Working Group, and Julie Roe Lach, NCAA vice president of enforcement, updated the Board on the working group's progress with creating a multi-level NCAA rules violation structure, an enhanced penalty structure for NCAA rules infractions and re-establishing a sense of shared responsibility among the interested individuals and entities in intercollegiate athletics.

5. Division I Governance Structure Update.

a. **Report of the January 12, 2012, Meeting of the Leadership Council**. Mike Alden, chair of the Division I Leadership Council, reported on the January 12 meeting of the Council and presented the following recommendations:

(1) Summer Access to Men's Basketball Student-Athletes. That the Board take action to adopt a summer access model that permits institutional staff members to conduct or supervise summer athletics activities in accordance with specified requirements (i.e., enrollment in summer school, meeting opt-out academic benchmarks). [Note: See Attachment B for details of the summer access model.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to adopt the summer access model as recommended, effective immediately. (Unanimous voice vote.)

(2) Men's Basketball Recruiting Model – On Campus Evaluations. That the Board of Directors take final action to adopt provisions for on-campus evaluations (OCE) of prospective student-athletes. [Note: See Attachment C for provisions of OCEs.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to adopt the provisions for on-campus evaluations as recommended, effective immediately. (Unanimous voice vote.)

b. Report of the January 11-12, 2012, Meeting of the Division I Legislative Council. Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, chair of the Division I Legislative Council, noted that at the request of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Rules Working Group, the Legislative Council tabled 49 proposals. In addition, the Council took the following actions:

(1) Voted to adopt Proposal No. 2011-23 -- Amateurism -- Definitions And Applications -- Agent, which specifies that an agent is any individual who, directly or indirectly, represents or attempts to represent an individual for the purpose of marketing his or her athletics ability or reputation for financial gain, or seeks to obtain any type of financial gain or benefit from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an educational institution or from a student-athlete's potential earnings as a professional athlete.

(2) Voted to adopt Proposal No. 2011-45 -- Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice Or Competition And Noninstitutional Camps And Clinics -- Women's Basketball, which specifies that in women’s basketball, an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which women's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs, and to establish limited exceptions, as specified; further, specifies that the use of an institution's facilities for noninstitutional camps is limited to the months of June, July and August; finally, to prohibit evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.

(3) Voted to adopt Proposal No. 2011-46 -- Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice Or Competition And Noninstitutional Camps Or Clinics – Football, which specifies that in the sport of football, an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.

(4) Voted to defeat Proposal No. 2011-64 -- Eligibility -- Seasons Of Competition: Five-Year Rule -- Five Seasons Of Eligibility – Football, which specifies that a student-athlete in the sport of football shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition.

6. **Supplemental Distribution.** Ed Ray, chair of the Executive Committee, informed the Board that the Finance Committee recommended that the Executive Committee approve a supplemental distribution of approximately $36,000,000 to be dispensed to the Division I membership.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to approve the recommendation of the Finance Committee and authorized distribution of a Division I supplemental distribution of $36,000,000 to mutli-sport conferences. (Unanimous voice vote) [Note: Ann Millner was not present.]

7. **Status Report on Review of NCAA Division I Athletics Certification Program.** Troy Arthur, NCAA director of academic and membership affairs, updated the Board on the work of the NCAA Division I Athletics Certification Committee in its review of the Division I Athletics Certification program. The Board received information regarding proposed name changes to the certification program and the committee, system development, organizational changes and the accountability spectrum.

8. **NCAA Membership – Accreditation Policy.** The Board reviewed a proposed Association-wide membership accreditation policy that shall be used to assist in determining whether an active or provisional NCAA member institution meets the applicable membership requirements regarding accreditation as further set forth in Article 3 of the NCAA Constitution.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to endorse the policy. (Unanimous voice vote) [Note: Ann Millner was not present.]

9. **Future Meeting Dates**.

a. April 25-26, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

[Note: There will be a joint meeting of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group and the Board of Directors on Wednesday, April, 25, 2012, from 1-5 p.m. to review and discuss reports of several of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups. The Board of Directors will convene its regular meeting on Thursday, April 26, 2012.]

b. August, 2, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

c. Tuesday, October 30, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

d. January 19, 2013, Grapevine, Texas.

Board of Directors chair: Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

Division I Board of Directors January 14, 2012, Meeting	
ATTENDEES	**ABSENTEES**
Michael Adams, University of Georgia, Southeastern Conference (alternate)	William R. Harvey, Hampton University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference
Stanley Albrecht, Utah State University, Western Athletic Conference	Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina, Southeastern Conference
Guy Bailey, Texas Tech University, Big 12 Conference	David Skorton, Cornell University, Ivy League
William Beauchamp, University of Portland, West Coast Conference	Steadman Upham, University of Tulsa, Conference USA
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida, Big East Conference, chair	
Nathan Hatch, Wake Forest University, Atlantic Coast Conference	
David Hopkins, Wright State University, Horizon League	
Sidney McPhee, Middle Tennessee State University, Sun Belt Conference	

William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference	
Ann Millner, Weber State University, Big Sky Conference	
John Peters, Northern Illinois University, Mid-American University	
Edward Ray, Oregon State University, Pacific-12 Conference	
David Schmidly, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference	
Lou Anna Simon, Michigan State University, Big Ten Conference	
Timothy White, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference	
NCAA staff liaisons in attendance: David Berst, Jacqueline Campbell	
Other Guests: William Powers, University of Texas	
Other NCAA staff members in attendance for portions of the meeting: Troy Arthur, Erik Christianson, Joni Comstock, Diane Dickman, Amy Dunham, Mark Emmert, Bernard Franklin, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Jim Isch, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Kathleen McNeely, Jarrett Newby, Delise O'Meally, Stacey Osburn, Tom Paskus, Todd Petr, Denny Poppe, Donald Remy, Wallace Renfro, Julie Roe Lach, Ronnie Ramos, Crissy Schluep, Dave Schnase, Greg Shaheen, Jennifer Strawley, Robert Vowels, Wendy Walters, Bob Williams and Niu Xiaomu.	

NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance Academic Performance Program Access to Postseason and Penalty Waiver Directive

Background.

The central purpose of the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) is to ensure that the NCAA Division I membership is dedicated to providing student-athletes with an exemplary education and intercollegiate athletics experience in an environment that recognizes and supports the primacy of the academic mission of its member institutions while enhancing the ability of student-athletes to earn a degree.

When a team's academic performance, measured by the multiyear NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR), falls below 930, that team becomes ineligible for postseason competition and is subject to penalties. The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance has established a transition period in which the APP penalty benchmark will be 900 in 2011-12 and 2012-13. In addition, filters are applied to teams' data to account for improvement and resources in the penalty calculation. NCAA Division I Bylaws 18.4.2.3.1, 23.2.2.3 and 23.3 provide for waivers of APP penalties or access to postseason competition. The committee has approved this directive to provide guidance to the NCAA staff and the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance Subcommittee on Appeals in reviewing APP penalty waiver requests.

Guiding Principles.

1. Requests to waive ineligibility for postseason and APP Level One, Two and Three penalties will be considered independently. The requests are filed simultaneously, but the access to postseason competition and APP penalties have different standards for relief.

2. APP penalty and postseason waiver requests involve a review of the entire athletics team's overall academic performance. The APR is a team rate and not based on the academic performance of a single student-athlete. Therefore, the review of waiver requests shall consider all student-athletes included in the team's multiyear APR. This approach considers the loss of all APR points, not just those of select students. This approach could be referred to as the "top-down approach" (e.g., start at an APR of 1000 and explain the loss of all points).

3. APR Improvement Plans are reviewed with the waiver request. Plans should be designed to assist teams in achieving APRs above the penalty benchmarks in a reasonable time by identifying and addressing issues impacting a team's APR with measurable goals, steps to achieve the stated goals and a timetable for implementation.

4. The identification of academically under-performing teams that are subject to an APP penalty includes consideration of resource level and squad size. Therefore, the staff/subcommittee/committee will generally not consider these elements in its review of APP penalty waiver or loss of access to postseason waiver requests.

Waivers of Ineligibility for Postseason Competition.

1. First Occasion Team is Ineligible for Postseason Competition. Institutions are permitted to submit a waiver request the first occasion a team is subject to postseason competition ineligibility. The committee has established a high threshold for relief in these cases and generally relief will not be provided.

   - Factors to be Considered. The staff/subcommittee will consider the following factors in reviewing such a request:

     (1) Extraordinary Mitigating Circumstances. The institution's cited mitigation must be clearly out of the control of the institution, the athletics department and the team's student-athletes. It must pertain to matters not previously addressed in the APP (e.g., small squad size, institutional mission). Finally it must have impacted the team over the multiple years that make up the four-year APR. An example of mitigation that would be considered extraordinary is a natural disaster that impact a team's APR over multiple years. An example of mitigation that would not be considered extraordinary would be head coaching change, significant leadership change at the institution, or institutional reclassification.

     (2) APR Improvement Plan. An institution's commitment to improving the penalized team's academic performance as outlined in the APR Improvement Plan must be considered in reviewing a waiver requesting relief from postseason competition ineligibility. Plans will be reviewed for focus on critical areas impacting academic success as well as other components demonstrating the institution's accountability toward meeting the stated goals of the APP (e.g., graduating student-athletes).

(3) Academic Factors. A waiver request must include a comprehensive review of the team's historical academic trends to determine if the team's placement in the APP penalty structure is the result of habitual underperformance or the result of some anomaly event (see the list on Page No. 4). Trending will also be evaluated to determine if the team is making progress with respect to the penalty benchmark.

(4) Alternative Penalty Options. An institution may request that an alternative penalty be imposed in lieu of postseason ineligibility. The institution must include an explanation of how the alternate penalty equates to a loss of postseason access.

2. Second Occasion Team is subject to Loss of Postseason Competition. Institutions are permitted to request a waiver of a team's loss of access to postseason competition.

- Factors to be Considered. The second or subsequent time a team loses access to postseason competition, the staff/subcommittee/committee will review the request using the same factors used in considering an APP penalty waiver (see Page Nos. 4 – 7 for more information). If a team has demonstrated meaningful improvement and some of the factors in item 3-b below are present, the level of mitigation required to receive relief may be less stringent than what is required the first occasion a team was ineligible for postseason competition.

3. Notes on Outcomes. A loss of access to postseason competition waiver request will be approved, conditionally approved or denied.

a. First occasion loss of access to postseason waiver requests should be denied if the institution cannot demonstrate extraordinary mitigating circumstances as described on Page No. 2. Other factors listed in item number one above will be examined, but absent extraordinary mitigation are not likely to result in an approval.

b. Second and subsequent waivers of loss of access to postseason competition should be denied if the institution cannot demonstrate:

(1) Significant academic improvement that is sustainable;

(2) Mitigating circumstances as defined on Page No. 7;

(3) An APR Improvement Plan that demonstrates the institution's commitment to improving the team's academic performance by identifying critical issues that have impacted the team's APR and addressing those issues with clear steps and measurable goals; and

(4) An expectation that the team will achieve an APR of 930 or higher in a reasonable period of time.

APP Penalty Waivers.

Institutions are permitted to request a waiver of a team's APP penalties. The staff/subcommittee/committee will consider the following factors in reviewing such a request:

1. Academic Factors. Evaluating a team's academic performance is an important part of the APP penalty waiver process. The staff/subcommittee/committee's review of a team's academic performance may include consideration of the following elements:

   a. A comprehensive review of the team's historical APP performance, including any penalty history and academic trends to determine if the team's placement in the APP penalty structure is the result of habitual underperformance or the result of some anomaly event. Trending will also be evaluated to determine if the team is making sustainable progress toward the penalty benchmark.

   b. The team's Graduation Success Rate and Federal Graduation Rate, if available.

   c. Eligibility and Retention. The team's eligibility and retention will be compared against the following:

      (1) All other Division I teams in the same sport.

      (2) The institution's teams.

   d. The team's academic profile including hours earned, grade-point average, eligibility and retention points.

e. The academic profile of the team when admitted to the member institution, including admissions status as compared to the general student-body and/or other student-athletes at the institution.

f. The number of graduates the team has generated over the four years that make up the multiyear rate.

g. The number of student-athletes who were not academically eligible and not retained included in the multiyear APR.

h. Other academic data elements that may be relevant to the case.

2. Other Factors. The staff/subcommittee/committee's review of a team's academic performance may also include consideration of the following elements:

a. Size of variance between the team's APR and the applicable APP penalty benchmark (930).

b. The team's single-year APRs that comprise the multiyear rate. Specifically, the number of single-year APRs above or below the penalty benchmarks (930).

c. The institution's history of implementation of its APR Improvement Plan as well as the current plan's ability to address critical issues.

d. Mitigating circumstances that have affected the team's APR (see below).

e. An institution may request that an alternative penalty be imposed in lieu of the assigned APP penalty or a penalty option from the menu offered at Level Three. Should an institution offer an alternative penalty, the staff/committee/subcommittee would consider the various factors as well as the alternate penalty in the decision. The institution must demonstrate how the alternate penalty equates to the penalty it would replace.

f. Teams asserting that they have advanced in the penalty structure due to corrections to APP data identified in an APP data review must demonstrate that the current penalty is due to a lost opportunity for the institution to identify academic issues impacting the teams academic performance; to develop an appropriate APR Improvement Plan and to have an opportunity to rectify academic issues. If the institution can demonstrate this lost opportunity to identify and correct academic issues

affecting the team's academic performance the staff and/or subcommittee/committee may consider this a mitigating circumstance warranting relief from a penalty, however all such requests will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis to allow for other factors to be reviewed as well.

3. Mitigating Circumstances. Circumstances will be considered as compelling mitigating factors if the institution can demonstrate that it had a direct correlation to the team's ability to earn eligibility/graduation and/or retention points supported by objective documentation. The institution may reference mitigation that was considered in a previous waiver request if the impact of the mitigation is evidenced in the current APR. Waiver decisions will analyze those circumstances that may be unique events resulting in academically low performing year(s) versus habitually underperforming teams. A team's APR that is negatively affected by a unique one-time circumstance, but otherwise is not historically an underperforming team, should be treated differently than a team that has a history and pattern of academic underachievement.

Circumstances not considered compelling mitigation may include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. Unreasonable reliance by a student-athlete on misinformation from an institutional staff member (e.g., misadvisement);

b. Institutional lack of understanding regarding the APP;

c. Failure to develop and implement an APR Improvement Plan;

d. Conferences and/or institutions with more stringent academic standards than NCAA Division I progress-toward-degree requirements; or

e. Circumstances submitted in a request to receive an adjustment of an individual student-athlete's APR retention and/or eligibility or graduation point if the institution received relief for those circumstances by way of an APR adjustment.

Institutions are limited to submitting information concerning mitigating circumstances only for the academic years used to calculate that team's current multiyear APR. However, the staff, subcommittee and committee reserve the right to consider any relevant information that would explain the team's historical performance.

4. Level Three Penalty Options. An institution with a team subject to Level Three penalties must self-impose penalties from a list of menu options. These penalties are in addition to the prescribed penalties. The institution may also elect to request an alternative penalty be imposed. The staff/committee will consider the institution's self-imposed penalty elements in the waiver decision. The staff/committee can also prescribe additional penalties for the team.

5. Notes on Outcomes. An APP penalty waiver request will be partially or fully approved or conditionally approved, or denied. Requests will likely be denied if the institution cannot demonstrate:

   a. Significant academic improvement that is sustainable; OR

   b. The team is performing well academically but for one or more years of APP data impacted by compelling, documented mitigating circumstances;

   c. An APR Improvement Plan that demonstrates the institution's commitment to improving the team's academic performance by identifying critical issues that have impacted the team's APR and addressing those issues with clear steps and measurable goals;

   d. An expectation that the team will achieve an APR of 930 or higher in a reasonable period of time; and

   e. Appropriate self-imposed penalties at Level Three.

Use of Conditional Approvals.

Waivers of APP penalties and ineligibility for postseason competition may receive conditional approval. A waiver that is conditionally approved does not waive the team's penalty unless the team satisfies the stated condition(s). These conditions may include, but are not limited to:

1. Acceptable implementation of the institution's written APR Improvement Plan;

2. Attendance at mandatory educational sessions;

3. Meeting or maintaining single-year eligibility and retention goals and/or, meeting or maintaining a specified single-year APR without the inclusion of delayed-graduation points;

4. Requiring the institution to implement certain elements of its APR Improvement Plan determined to be "critical" to improved academic performance;

5. Requiring the institution to demonstrate it has satisfied its commitment of resources to enhance academic support initiatives that are part of the institution's APR Improvement Plan or cited in its APP penalty waiver/hearing rationale;

6. Requiring the institution to impose limits, restrictions or penalties that are part of its APR Improvement Plan or cited in its waiver/hearing rationale (e.g., withhold a head coach from contests);

7. Requiring an institution to use the NCAA Facilitating Learning and Achieving Graduation/Graduation Risk Overview program; and

8. Requiring an institution comply with identified minimal academic profiles for entering student-athletes that are part of its APR Improvement Plan or its waiver/hearing rationale. *(Revised: 10/2008;10/2010)*

An institution/team that fails to meet the stated condition(s) by the given timeframe shall have the waiver decision converted to a denial and the APP penalty must be applied to the team. The institution must impose the applicable penalty within the prescribed period of time.

Review of Conditionally Approved Penalty Waivers.

At some point established by the committee, such as the start of the academic year following the year in which the waiver was conditionally approved, the staff verifies that all established conditions were met (e.g., single-year APR, acceptable implementation of APR Improvement Plan). If the staff concludes that the team has not satisfied the condition(s) of the penalty waiver, the subcommittee and staff may consider mitigating circumstances presented by the institution. Such reviews shall occur on a case-by-case basis, and shall include consideration of any mitigation for the team's failure to reach the target APR, as well as the totality of the team's circumstances with regard to the imposed conditions. In such cases, the staff will review factors including improvement in the single-year and multiyear APR, how close the team came to meeting the target and mitigating circumstances. This action does not change an institution's opportunity to explain why it failed to meet the condition(s) or to appeal a decision by the staff to the subcommittee. The subcommittee will hear such appeals via teleconference. The subcommittee's decision is final.

Finally, if it is determined that a conditional waiver is not satisfied and the waiver is denied, the institution must impose the resulting penalties in the time period prescribed by the committee, but generally the academic year following denial of the appeal or, if there was no appeal, following determination that the conditions were not met (e.g., determined condition was not met in 2011-12 results in the imposition of the penalties in 2012-13).

If the team is subject to a penalty in the next academic year, the team is potentially subject to the penalty level that was conditionally waived and the next penalty level if it fails to meet the conditions of the waived penalty.

APR Improvement Plans.

APR Improvement Plans are meant to encourage institutions that have a team with an APR below 930 to implement strategies to improve the academic performance, retention and graduation of the student-athletes on the team. Institutions seeking relief from an APP penalty are expected to demonstrate that the team's academic performance will improve and will achieve an APR of 930 in a reasonable period of time. Therefore, APR Improvement Plans submitted with a waiver will be reviewed as follows:

1. Institutions/teams will be accountable for identifying issues impacting the penalized team's ability to move its APR above the established penalty benchmark. The following shall be addressed:

    a. Identify any issues impacting the academic performance, retention and graduation of the team's student-athletes and develop specific and measurable goals to address the issues, steps to meet the goals, timetable for implementation and persons responsible for each step outlined in the plan.

    b. Identify specific target APR goals for the team(s) for the current academic year that will assist the team in meeting the 930 benchmark in a reasonable period of time.

    c. Identify all steps the institution has taken toward implementation of any previous APR Improvement Plan and progress toward the measurable goals.

2. If an institution fails to create and submit an acceptable APR Improvement Plan, as defined by the committee, there is a presumption that any penalty waiver request will be denied.

ATTACHMENT B
SUPPLEMENT NO. 1B
DI Presidential Advisory Group 4/12

Summer Access to Men's Basketball Student-Athletes

	Incoming Freshman, and Two-Year and Four-Year College Transfers	**Student-Athletes Following Completion of the First Year of Collegiate Enrollment**	**Student-Athletes Following Completion of the Second Year of Collegiate Enrollment**	**Student-Athletes Following Completion of the Third Year of Collegiate Enrollment**
Requirements for Summer Access to Student-Athletes	Must be enrolled in summer school. *For incoming freshmen at national service academies participating in basic training programs, enrollment in summer school would not be necessary.	Must be enrolled in summer school **OR** If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 grade point average (GPA) and have successfully completed 30 semester/45 quarter credit hours.	Must be enrolled in summer school **OR** If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 GPA and shall have completed successfully at least 50 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program	Must be enrolled in summer school **OR** If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 GPA and shall have completed successfully at least 75 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program
Summer Access = Eight hours per week of weight training, conditioning and skill instruction (two-hour limit on skill instruction) for a maximum of eight weeks. Summer access may occur only when student-athlete is enrolled in summer school (e.g., six-week summer school session would permit participation in athletics activities for only six weeks), unless student-athlete meets the specified opt-out academic benchmarks.				
Effective Date: Immediate.				

On-Campus Evaluations (OCE) -- Men's Basketball Prospective Student-Athletes
Effective Date: Immediate

Who may Participate	The OCE shall involve only high-school seniors and two-year college prospects who have exhausted eligibility or four-year transfer student-athletes.
When	• The OCE shall not be conducted prior to the conclusion of the prospect's season and may be conducted no later than the opening day of the institution's fall term. • The OCE shall be conducted during a prospect's official or unofficial visit.
Details of OCE	• The OCE may be no longer than two hours in duration and may involve the institution's enrolled student-athletes. The OCE must be included in the institution's 20-hours of countable athletically related activities if it occurs during the institution's playing season or during the institution's two hour of skill instruction (as part of the eight hours per week) if conducted outside the playing season. • Current prohibitions regarding activities for enrolled student-athletes one week prior to final exams would remain applicable.
How Many	• An institution may provide only one OCE per prospect. • The rules governing OCEs apply separately to the time period in which a prospect completes high school eligibility and to the time period after the prospect enrolls in a collegiate institution.
Health and Safety Issues	Additional regulations related to the health and safety of the OCE participants (e.g., medical examinations) that currently exist in the Division II tryout model also will apply.

REPORT OF THE
NCAA EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
JANUARY 13, 2012

KEY ITEMS.

None.

ACTION ITEMS.

None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Welcome and announcements.** Ray welcomed staff and members. He presented service awards to William Beauchamp, Ann Millner and David Schmidly from Division I; Drew Bogner and Rick Cole from Division II; and Jim Bultman from Division III. President Emmert presented a service award to Ray.

2. **Approval of August 11, 2011, meeting minutes.** The minutes of the August 11, 2011, Executive Committee meeting were approved as distributed.

3. **Discussion with student-athlete advisory committee leadership.**

4. **NCAA President report.**

a. Risk, integrity and ethics.

b. Inclusion Summit and strategic initiatives.

5. **NCAA Executive Committee Finance Committee report.**

a. Fiscal year 2010-11 audited financial statements.

The Finance Committee met Tuesday, December 20, with the audit firm of Deloitte and staff to review fiscal year 2010-11 financial statements and conducted the required audit communications and review. Deloitte issued an unqualified opinion for the fiscal year statements ending August 31, 2011. A few highlights on page three of the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position are:

(1) The Association's total net assets increased by approximately $55 million, which was $12 million more than the prior year. The increase was primarily in investment earnings from the quasi-endowment and operating funds, which rebounded in fiscal year 2011 with $33.4 million in investment earnings. In addition, the amount available for media rights and championships was greater than planned.

(2) Accounts receivable increased from $11.7 million to $29.3 million. This increase was a result of the amount outstanding from the state of Texas and Reliant Park related to the Final Four in Houston in the amount of $15 million. Expenses are required to be submitted from the Houston local organizing committee to the state's comptroller's office.

(3) The contributions receivable amount of $35.5 million represents the value of the 50-year lease on the existing building and the additional land for the new office facility. This is a valuation of the state's contribution to the NCAA office facilities and land for $1.00 a year rent over the 50-year time period.

(4) The $16 million decrease in goodwill and intangible assets is the result of an impairment analysis performed on the NIT, Arbiter and eOfficials. This is a change in accounting principle and a new requirement for nonprofits. Goodwill will no longer be amortized over a useful life, but rather an annual impairment analysis will be performed.

(5) The increase in NCAA properties is the result of the new construction in progress related to the new office expansion.

(6) Deferred revenue increased at $2.5 million represents advance ticket sales for the 2012 Division I men's basketball championship.

(7) The NIT payable decrease from $19.2 million to -0- reflects early payoff of the amount outstanding in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011.

(8) All allocations to the respective reserves, per Executive Committee policy, have been made.

A few highlights on page three of the Consolidated Statement of Activities are:

(1) The Association had an overall increase in revenue of $96 million this past year primarily related to the increases in television and marketing rights fees as well as an increase of $21 million in championship revenues.

(2) The increase in investment income is a result of realized gains of $5 million and an increase in unrealized gains of $4 million.

(3) The contributions revenue increase of $17 million reflects an adjustment to the valuation in the prior year compounded by a modified lease with the existing building and the new land lease.

(4) On the expense side, the distribution to Division I represents an eight percent increase in regularly scheduled distribution and a 2.6 percent increase in the supplemental distribution, or a total increase of $45.4 million over the prior year.

(5) Division I championships increase of $6.7 million is the result several factors. The FCS expansion of bracket to 20 teams resulted in an increase of $700,000. Division I baseball had an increase of $1.0 million, men's basketball had an increase of $1.0 million in travel and there was an additional $2.8 million in game expenses primarily related to the fan events at the Final Four. The Association did have corresponding revenue from the media rights contract to offset the increased expenses.

(6) Association-wide programs had a one-time $17.2 million settlement to a third party over the use of March Madness. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in amortization expenses for intangibles that are no longer recorded as a result in the change in accounting principal which was mentioned earlier.

(7) The increase management and general is the result of new building project expenses, bond interest expenses, IT-related software maintenance and consulting costs, and expenses as a result of having a permanent President position filled year round.

(8) There was a change in accounting principle on goodwill and intangibles. The required impairment analysis resulted in a one-time write-down of approximately $16 million for NIT tournaments, Arbiter and eOfficials. Please see Note 6 for details.

(9) Excess revenues over expenses for the year were approximately $55 million.

The Finance Committee moves approval of the fiscal year 2010-11 audited financial statements.

b. Recommended uses of unallocated net assets.

Fiscal year 2010-11 year ended with the Association having $47 million in unrestricted net assets available for allocation. The Finance Committee recommends the approval of the uses of unallocated net assets as follows:

(1) $36 million supplemental distribution to Division I. This is $9 million higher than the supplemental distribution that occurred last January, and if approved will be distributed by the end of the month.

(2) $1.6 million for health and safety initiatives. The NCAA is planning on offering a grant(s) with the objective of collecting data on concussion activity with college student-athletes. In addition, the national office is discussing ways to identify current practices and compliance with the 2010 concussion legislation with the goal of identifying and sharing best practices. Both of these initiatives will require use of external independent contractors with an expected cost of somewhere between $1 and $1.6 million.

(3) $2.7 million allocated to fund initiatives related to transforming intercollegiate athletics. These initiatives, combined with the change in athletics certification, will require extensive system development hours. In addition, in order to meet the aggressive timeline established by the Division I Board, third party contractors will be used for engagements such as rewriting of the rules and any updates in NCAA technology systems.

(4) $1.4 million for IT hardware, wireless in NCAA facilities and laptop replacement.

(5) $5 million for the NCAA facilities reserve. With the completion of the new facility, the building reserve will be depleted to around $1.5 million. The recommendation is to put a new renewal and replacement funding process in place that includes a one percent of market value or $900,000 annual addition to the fund. In addition, the national office would like to jump start this fund with a $5 million allocation to the fund financed with unallocated net assets.

The Finance Committee moves approval of the proposed unallocated net assets allocations.

c. Recommended budget allocations for FY 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15.

The Finance Committee is recommending the following budget increases for the fiscal years 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15:

(1) Division I Revenue Distribution. The recommendations for increases for Division I align with the contractual increases expected from media rights and commitments made to the student assistance funds through 2012-13 as a result of the White Case settlement:

(a) 3.6 percent increase for 2012-13.

(b) 2.8 percent increase for 2013-14.

(c) 2.9 percent increase for 2014-15.

(2) A Division I championships per diem increase of $1.3 million has been allocated to offset lodging and meal costs over the next three years.

(3) Division II and III allocations reflect 4.37 percent and 3.18 percent respectively of budgeted revenue per bylaw.

(4) All other expenditures are to be budgeted using a 3 percent inflationary measure.

(5) An allocation of $750,000 a year has been allocated to address the President and Executive Committee priorities.

The Finance Committee moves approval of the proposed budget increases.

d. First quarter fiscal year 2012-12 budget-to-actual.

The Association has limited financial activity in the first quarter with the majority of revenues and expenditures occurring in the second half of the year related to championship activity and revenue distributions. Television and marketing rights revenue received is 15 percent of the budget and is consistent with the prior year. Championships revenue is 6.7 percent of plan, which is higher than prior year as preliminary ticket sales for men's and women's basketball increased $3 million over prior year first quarter. The Association's total operating expenses are approximately 7.3 percent of the total budget for the first quarter, which is lower than prior year because of a $17.2 million settlement with a third party that occurred in 2010 related to the March Madness trademark. Association-wide expenses are 24.4 percent of plan for the first quarter, which is slightly lower than the same period in prior years; primarily due to timing.

e. White Case Settlement Former Student-Athlete Fund.

The NCAA staff presented a plan for the use of residual funds left from the White Case Settlement. Per the settlement at the end of the three year period, any portion of

the original $10 million not paid is subject to distribution by the NCAA over a three year period.The Executive Committee Audit Committee approved for fiscal years 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14 the use of $1.0 million per year to be used to augment the Division I supplemental support fund for low-resourced institutions to enhance their current student-athletes academic programs.

The committee asked staff to bring to the April finance meeting a recommendation of how much of the remaining $5.8 million should be allocated to low-resourced institutions over the next three years versus distributed to Division I conferences based on the prior year's allocation to the student assistant funds. In addition, the committee requested that metrics be established to measure the success of these funds being allocated to the low-resourced institutions.

The Finance Committee moves approval of the $3.0 million to be used for augmentation of the Division I supplemental support fund for low-resourced institutions.

6. NCAA Division I Board of Directors and Divisions II and III Presidents Councils reports.

a. Division I Board of Directors. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division I Board of Directors that included the following:

b. Division II Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division II Presidents Council that included the following:

c. Division III Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division III Presidents Council. These included:

7. Election of Executive Committee chair.

8. Future meetings. The Committee reviewed its future meetings schedule.

Committee Chair: Edward Ray, Oregon State University.
Staff Liaison: Bernard W. Franklin, MSAA

Michael Alden, University of Missouri, Columbia
William Beauchamp, University of Portland

Drew Bogner, Molloy College
James Bultman, Hope College
Rick Cole Jr., Dowling College
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida
Nathan Hatch, Wake Forest University
Chris Martin, College Conference of Illinois and Wisconsin
Sidney McPhee, Middle Tennessee State University
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University
Ann Millner, Weber State University
J. Patrick O'Brien, West Texas A&M University
Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina, Columbia
John Peters, Northern Illinois University
Edward Ray, Oregon State University, chair
David Schmidly, University of New Mexico
James Schmotter, Western Connecticut State University
Lou Anna Simon, Michigan State University

Also in attendance were: Gary Brown, director of NCAA News; Joni Comstock, senior vice president of championships/senior woman administrator; Jim Isch, chief operating officer; Julie Lach, vice president of enforcement services; Kevin Lennon, vice president of academic and membership affairs; Kathleen McNeely, vice president of administration and chief financial officer; Karen Morrison, director of gender inclusion; Todd Petr, managing director of research; Donald Remy, vice president of legal affairs/general counsel; Wallace Renfro, vice president and chief policy advisor; Greg Shaheen, interim executive vice president of championships and alliances; Robert Vowels, vice president of student-athlete affairs and leadership development programs and resources; Bob Williams, vice president of communications; David Berst, Daniel Dutcher and Mike Racy, NCAA governance vice presidents; and Jackie Campbell, Leah Kareti and Terri Steeb, NCAA governance directors.

Reform Efforts: Key Issues

1. ***NCAA Presidential Retreat Initiatives and what has been learned from the process to date.***
   - Coming out of an August 2011 retreat, Division I presidents created and charged working groups to affect change in the areas of student-athlete well-being, academics, NCAA bylaws, enforcement/penalties and fiscal sustainability.
   - The reform effort is intended to move both quickly and thoughtfully. The membership expects opportunities to comment on ideas and concepts discussed by the working groups. The best source for information is NCAA.org/working groups.
   - The working groups are presidentially led, and also include coaches, athletics directors, faculty, conference representatives, compliance administrators, representatives of affiliate organizations and student-athletes.
   - Multiyear scholarships were adopted by the Board in October. This legislation was part of the override process, but did not receive the requisite override votes (i.e., 62.5 % of votes cast) to be defeated and remains effective for the 2012-13 year.
   - The National Letter of Intent signing periods in 2011-12 have included offers of multi-year grants for 2012-13 and renewals in the summer of 2012 for returning student-athletes may include them as well.
   - The conditions for nonrenewal or cancellation of a scholarship are unchanged and thus an institution may cancel a scholarship for poor academic performance, disciplinary matters, etc. As before, schools may also include specific non-athletic conditions in the scholarship agreement.
   - Although we do not plan to devote a full year to traverse the future legislative process, care will be taken to ensure time for debate/discussions by conferences and stakeholder groups.

2. ***Committee on Academic Performance and implementation of new eligibility standards.***
   The DI Board of Directors adopted in October a package of proposals that emphasize the primacy of academics, including a minimum academic expectation for postseason competition, increased initial eligibility standards and increased two-year college transfer requirements.

   APR.
   - A phased approach begins during the 2012-13 academic year for a requirement that teams must graduate 50% of their student-athletes for participation in postseason competition.
   - A 50% graduation success rate approximates to a 930 APR, which will be the postseason competition benchmark. For the 2012-13 and 2013-14 postseasons: either a 900 four-year average or a 930 average over the most recent two years. For 2014-15: either a 930 four-year average or a 940 average over the most recent two years. In 2015-16 and beyond, teams must earn a 930 four-year average.
   - The current data collection and penalty announcement process remains in place.

   Initial Eligibility.
   - New initial eligibility standards establish a higher standard for competition in a student-athlete's first year of enrollment based on demonstrated academic success in high school.
   - Student-athletes who achieve the current minimum eligibility standard will be eligible for financial aid during the first year of enrollment and practice during the first term.
   - To compete as a freshman, incoming student-athletes must achieve a higher GPA and test score combination. Student-athletes who do not meet the competition standard will serve an academic redshirt year.
   - Prospects must earn 10 of the 16 total required core courses before their senior year.
   - In order to educate prospective student-athletes on the change, the legislation was intended to take effect no earlier than August 2015, but we expect the Board of Directors to act in April to delay implementation until 2016 in order to provide additional notice and education to high schools and prospects concerning the new requirements.

Two-four transfer rules.

- Data show that two-year college transfer student-athletes have difficulties meeting Division I academic expectations. In aggregate, these student-athletes graduate at lower rates and exhibit significantly lower APRs.
- Under new rules that go into effect in August 2012, two-year college transfers must achieve a 2.5 transferrable GPA and transfer only two PE activity courses.
- Student-athletes who don't qualify academically out of high school also will be required to complete a core curriculum of English, math and science courses.
- The "academic year in readiness" concept, which would allow student-athletes to receive aid and practice at the two-year institution (but not compete), while delaying the start of their five-year clock, has been tabled.

3. ***Update on Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group and timeline.***
   - Purpose: Make the enforcement process more efficient (process cases faster) and more effective (institute strong penalties that are predictable).
   - Current recommendations: a four-level (instead of two) violation structure; more Committee on Infractions (COI) members serving on panels vs. the entire COI hearing every case; a penalty structure with guidelines for imposing core penalties. Membership is requested to visit NCAA.org/working groups to review/comment on the recommendations.
   - Early April: review membership comments on recommendations to refine concepts for the DI Board of Directors' initial review. May-June: working group and its liaisons will meet with conferences, affiliated groups and member institutions seeking additional feedback on refined proposals for the August 2012 Board meeting, with an effective date of August 2013.

4. ***Update on Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group and timeline.***
   - Purpose: To review and amend the Division I Manual to reduce the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support our enduring values, and instead place emphasis on the most strategically important.
   - Rewritten bylaws will be meaningful, enforceable and supportive of student-athlete success. In addition, the governance system will be strengthened so that future changes to the rules are limited to those that meet this standard as well.
   - On March 15, the working group posted a series of materials (including concepts on Bylaws 11 (Athletics Personnel), 13 (Recruiting) and 16 (Awards and Benefits)) at NCAA.org/working groups for membership comment. Comments are due April 20 to inform the next round of materials (Bylaws 12 & 14) to be posted for membership feedback in May.

5. ***Update on $2,000 Miscellaneous Expense Allowance for student-athletes and timeline for consideration of alternative proposal.***
   - Purpose: To assist student-athletes in meeting the full cost-of-attendance not covered by the current NCAA financial aid model, which covers tuition, room, board, and required books and fees but not the additional miscellaneous expenses (non-required books, travel, etc.) to attend college. No school is required to offer the benefit.
   - The DI Board of Directors is committed to allowing schools to voluntarily enhance the grant-in-aid to cover additional educationally related expenses above the full grant.
   - The working group is seeking feedback on several possible options including exempt grants, a need-based option or an institutional supplement to its Student-Athlete Opportunity Fund. The eventual implementation solution will consider Title IX and applying the legislation in equivalency sports.

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

P.O. Box 6222
Indianapolis, Indiana 46206
Telephone: 317/917-6222

Shipping/Overnight Address:
1802 Alonzo Watford Sr. Drive
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

www.ncaa.org

MEMORANDUM

February 24, 2012

TO: Division I Conference Commissioners.

FROM Sidney McPhee, Middle Tennessee State University president
NCAA Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group, chair.

SUBJECT: Possible modifications of former Miscellaneous Expense Allowance legislation.

The purpose of this memorandum is to facilitate evaluation of potential alternatives to former Proposal No. 2011-96 that could be used to supplement financial aid for student-atheles. The Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group hopes the attached information will assist conferences, constituent groups and governance structure groups in continuing discussion of this initiative.

Following receipt of 160 override requests regarding Proposal No. 2011-96 last fall, and based upon comments received from delegates and student-athletes during the 2012 NCAA Convention, the Division I Board of Directors rescinded the original proposal, but charged the Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group to continue its consideration of methods to enhance the value of a grant-in-aid. The working group has attempted to address many of the membership concerns in the attached models and this memorandum is addressed to commissioners for possible use in upcoming meetings in conjunction with conference basketball tournaments.

In addition, the working group invites comments or new alternatives from all constituent groups for the eventual consideration of the Board of Directors. The working group plans to report to the Board on April 26, but no vote on this initiative is anticipated until at least the Boards' August 2 meeting. This timeline anticipates opportunities for the working group to obtain information from a variety of spring and summer meetings. The working group also plans to conduct a focus group meeting of selected financial aid officers, directors of athletics, faculty athletics representatives, compliance officers, student-athlete advisory committee members, senior women administrators and commissioners in an effort to evaluate the practical and administrative burdens that would be expected

in the implementation of the models, as well as to ensure that gender equity interests are fully addressed.

Please note that neither the working group nor the Board intends to adopt any option that would be effective earlier than the 2013-14 academic year, and the working group's staff liaison, David Berst (dberst@ncaa.org), has been asked to coordinate staff resources to assist membership groups as needed in their consideration of this topic over the next several months. In that regard, three possible models and power point slides are included with this memorandum to help facilitate membership discussions.

Please note that the working group remains committed to the view that the value of a grant-in-aid should be increased, and it is hoped that a method that is reasonably acceptable to the Division I membership can be identified for Board consideration in August 2012.

Your assistance in the consideration of this important topic is appreciated.

SM:vlm

cc: Mr. Dutch Baughman, Division I-A ADs
Mr. Floyd Keith, BCA
Mr. Alan Hauser, FARA
Ms. Kate Hickey, NAAC
Mr. Ken Kavenough, Division I-AAA ADs
Ms. Marilyn McNeil, Division I-AA ADs
Ms. Kathryn Olson, WSF
Ms. Patti Phillips, NACWAA
Ms. Jo Potuto, Division I-A FARs
Mr. Bob Vecchione, NACDA
Coaches Association CEO's
NCAA Division I Board of Directors
NCAA Division I Council chairs
NCAA Division I Cabinet chairs
NCAA Division I Presidential Advisory Group
Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group
Selected NCAA Staff Members

Model No. 1

Miscellaneous Expense Allowance (MEA)

Outline:

- Maintain current definition of a full grant-in-aid (i.e. tuition and fees, room and board, and required course related books).
- Maintain current regulations and exemptions concerning individual financial aid limits regarding cost of attendance.
- Permit up to $2,000 of "exempt aid" not to exceed cost of attendance when all sources of aid countable toward individual limits are included for any student-athlete who receives a full or equivalency grant-in-aid.
- It is unnecessary to demonstrate financial need in order to qualify for receipt of a "miscellaneous expense allowance" and a full $2,000 not to exceed cost of attendance may be provided to both full and equivalency grant recipients. [An alternate plan would be to limit MEA for an equivalency grant based on proportionality. For example, schedule might be: Grant-in-aid = .33 or less = $500; .34 - .66 = $1,000; .67 - .99 = $1,500; 1.0 = $2,000. Another possible option would be to fully exempt Pell Grant from counting toward the individual cost of attendance limits, which would permit most Pell recipients to receive the full $2,000.

Examples for 3 student-athletes: COA=$25,000:

1. Full Grant = 19,000; Pell Grant = $5,500; Other Grants = $0. An exempt Miscellaneous Expense Allowance of $500 could be provided to reach COA.

   [Note: If Pell were exempt from counting toward individual cost of attendance limits, $2,000 could be provided.]

2. Equivalency Grant = $2,000; Pell Grant = $0; Other Grants = $3,000. An exempt Miscellaneous Expense Allowance of $2,000 could be provided toward COA.

   [Note: If the allowance were limited to a proportionate schedule as described above, the amount would be determined by the amount of the equivalency grant, $2,000, divided by Full Grant $19,000 = $500 miscellaneous expense allowance].

3. Full Grant = $19,000; Pell Grant = $0; other Grants = $0. A Miscellaneous Expense Allowance of $2,000 could be provided toward COA.

Model 2

Unmet Need Grant (UNG)

Outline:

- Maintain the existing definition of a full grant-in-aid (i.e. tuition and fees, room and board, and required course related books).
- Maintain current regulations and exemptions concerning individual financial aid limits regarding cost of attendance.
- In addition, permit receipt of up to $2,000 based upon "need", not to exceed cost of attendance when all sources of grant and gift aid are included, for any student-athlete who receives a full or equivalency grant-in-aid.

The amount of unmet need shall be calculated as follows: The student-athlete shall file a Free Application for Student Financial Assistance (FAFSA), or if ineligible to do so, shall follow the institution's uniform or institutional methodology to establish the student-athlete's Estimated Family Contribution (EFC).

COA –EFC---GIA—other gift or grant aid=Unmet Need.
[Note: Outside gift aid and exempt institutional aid that is not counted toward an individual's cost of attendance, nevertheless, is included when determining a student-athlete's unmet need]

An "Unmet Need Grant" of up to $2,000 not to exceed cost of attendance may be provided when the Grant-in Aid plus Estimated Family Contribution plus Pell and other exempted financial aid does not exceed cost of attendance. Unmet Need Grants would not be countable toward team financial aid limits.

[Note: Student Athlete Opportunity Funds are not considered financial aid under NCAA rules.]

Examples for 3 student-athletes: COA =$25,000:

1. EFC = $0; Full Grant = $19,000; Pell Grant = $5,500; Other Grants = $0. Unmet Need is $500 and therefore an Unmet Need Grant of up to $500 may be provided.

2. EFC = $10,000; Equivalency Grant = $2,000; Pell Grant = $0; Other Grants = $3,000.
   Unmet Need is $10,000 and therefore an Unmet Need Grant of up to $2,000 may be provided.

3. EFC = $20,000; Full Grant =$19,000; Pell Grant =$0; Other Grants = $0.
   Unmet Need is $0 and therefore the student-athlete is not eligible to receive an Unmet Need Grant.

Model 3

Institutional Supplement to Student Athlete Opportunity Fund (SAOF)

Outline:

- Permit institutions to supplement institutional SAOF funds by up to the total of $2,000, multiplied by the total number of grants-in-aid awarded by the institution (i.e. number of full grants and number of full equivalencies in effect).
- Continue to prohibit use of SAOF toward any portion of "countable" athletics aid, except for summer school, but permit use for up to a $2,000 "Miscellaneous Expense Allowance," not to exceed cost of attendance, when combined with other sources of financial assistance that count toward individual limits.
- Permit institutions to continue to use SAOF funds for direct benefits to student-athletes, and in addition, permit up to $2,000 for miscellaneous expense allowances, at the discretion of the institution and its conference.

Examples:

1. An Expense Allowance of up to $2,000, not to exceed cost of attendance, may be provided any student-athlete.

2. The new allocation of funds is not restricted to use for MEA's and may also be used for other direct benefits to student-athletes as currently permitted.

Excerpt from the Board of Directors Consent Package of Action Items from the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Resource Allocation Working Group
January 14, 2012

Reduction in scholarships – Football and Women's Basketball.

a. Adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2014, to reduce Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) football scholarships from 85 to 80, with proportional decrease in scholarships for the Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) from 63 to 60 equivalencies, with 80 overall counters.

b. Adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2014, to reduce women's basketball scholarships from 15 to 13.

[Note: The proposed scholarship numbers allow for continued success of football and women's basketball programs while providing institutions with the opportunity to reallocate dollars to other initiatives that benefit student-athletes. The proposed scholarship reductions also will allow for athletics talent to be dispersed across more intercollegiate athletics programs.]

Because the FCS members of the Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) have the authority to act of behalf of FCS members of the Board of Directors on legislative issues that relate to FCS football, there is one remaining action to be taken regarding the recommendation to decrease scholarships for the Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) from 63 to 60 equivalencies, with 80 overall counters.

FCS Bracket Expansion

Background. In October, President Emmert and the Division I Board of Directors established an FCS Working Group and charged it with, among other things, developing options for expanding access to the FCS championship from 20 teams to up to 24 teams. Over the course of the last several months, the working group conducted multiple conference calls, provided a status report to the FCS community in January in conjunction with the championship game and received input from FCS head coaches as well as the football championship committee.

Recommendation. Effective for the 2013 football season, expand the championship to 24 teams using a traditional balanced bracket format, with the top 8 teams being seeded and receiving a first-round bye.

1. Rationale. The working group believes that this bracket model most closely adheres to four primary goals: (1) most effectively determine the best team in FCS football – the national champion; (2) provide equitable and fair championship participation opportunities by all interested FCS institutions and conferences; (3) provides a high-quality championship experience for participating student-athletes, institutions and their fans; and (4) ensures a fiscally reasonable bracket and championship for the Association and participating teams.

   Additionally, this recommended bracket model has the following merits:

   a. It allows for host-protection for top seeds while still offering flexibility in other bracket pairings using geographic proximity.

   b. All eight teams receiving a first round bye would be seeded; which is more logical than limiting seeds to the current championship policy of 25% (only six teams).

   c. It is more fiscally reasonable than a model seeding all 24 teams and has more national competitive integrity than a regionalized bracket (akin to Division II).

   Other championship bracket models considered, but not advanced by the working group include the following:

   a. Seed All 24 Teams. This model is the most expensive option; realistically, it is very difficult to make fair/accurate seed decisions for all 24 teams; no conference-protection in the first round; less incentive for hosts to make competitive bids (revenues/expenses).

   b. Regional Bracket. This model (competition within four regions until semifinals) makes it difficult (if not impossible) to protect conference matchups in the first round; region-to-region competitive imbalances adversely impacting teams during selections and in-championship advancement; imbalances in the number of teams in each region.

c. Final Four. Advancing all four semifinal teams to one championship site would generate a significant increase in cost; create potential field condition issues on natural grass surfaces; likely poor semifinal attendance compared to on-campus semifinals; negative schedule/calendar implications.

d. Pre-Determined Semifinal Sites. While this allows for the more advanced planning operationally, it may be difficult to sell tickets outside of the local markets of participating teams; increased expenses/decreased revenue for semifinal games; taking some of the most compelling and highest rated championship football off campuses and out of local markets.

2. Estimated Budget Impact. $503,880 in additional championship deficit net receipts, for a total estimated deficit the first year of expansion of $1,056,839.

Note: the model seeding all 24 teams has an estimated budget impact of $707,830 in additional championship deficit net receipts and the regional bracket has an estimated budget impact of $432,480 in additional championship deficit net receipts. Also, the 2010-11 championship (the first year using a 20-team bracket) operated at a net receipt deficit of $552,959.

3. Student-Athlete Impact. The expanded bracket provides for an addition four teams (240 student-athletes) to participate in the championship. The expanded bracket also ensures that all eligible conferences applying for championship automatic qualification can receive and AQ (presently only 10 of the 11 applicant conferences receive an AQ).

REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I LEADERSHIP COUNCIL April 12, 2012, MEETING

ACTION ITEM.

- None.

INFORMATION ITEMS.

1. **Report of January 12, 2012, Leadership Council Meeting**. The Leadership Council approved the report of its January 12, 2012, meeting.

2. **Update on Presidential Retreat Initiatives**. The Leadership Council received an update regarding the work of the four Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups.

   a. Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group. The Council was informed of the Board of Directors' request that the Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group consider alternative proposals for a miscellaneous expense allowance. The working group developed three alternatives that have been circulated for review and comment. It is anticipated that conferences will discuss these alternatives during their upcoming spring meetings and provide feedback to the working group. The Board is not expected to take further action concerning the matter until at least August for possible implementation for 2013-14.

   b. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Resource Allocation Working Group. The Council was informed that most of the recommendations of the Resource Allocation Working Group were defeated by the Board of Directors in January. The issue of possible limitations on the number of noncoaching personnel was referred to the Rules Working Group in its review of Bylaw 11. The issue of contest limitations in all sports, as well as other Bylaw 17 topics, will be reviewed by the Rules Working Group in conjunction with the NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet.

   c. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Rules Working Group. The Council received an update on the progress of the Rules Working Group, and the communication and outreach plans to keep the membership informed and involved in the process. The approach to a new regulatory structure was reviewed with the Council, noting the goal of establishing rules that are meaningful, enforceable and contribute to student-athlete success. The Council was informed of the timeline of the three phases of review and which bylaws would be reviewed in each phase.

d. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Enforcement Working Group. The Council was informed of the Enforcement Working Group's progress in creating a multi-level NCAA rules violation structure, an enhanced penalty structure, a more efficient process structure and re-establishing a sense of shared responsibility amongst the interested individuals and entities in intercollegiate athletics.

3. **Women's Basketball Recruiting Model.** The Leadership Council Women's Basketball Recruiting Subcommittee informed the Council of the concepts under consideration for a women's basketball recruiting model. The subcommittee noted that its discussions have focused on communication with prospects (e.g., modes, start date, frequency), official visits, event certification, on-campus evaluations and summer access. The subcommittee also has discussed the summer recruiting evaluation period and noted the women's basketball coaches support for reducing the number of days they are off-campus recruiting in July. The current legislative cycle includes a proposal (Proposal No. 2011-54) that would modify the July 6-31 recruiting period to consist of, consecutively, a seven-day evaluation period, a 10-day dead period, a seven-day evaluation period and a two-day dead period. The subcommittee asked that the Council endorse the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-54, which will be considered by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council during its April 16-17 meeting. The Council unanimously endorsed the proposal with an August 1, 2012, effective date. The subcommittee will continue to discuss the concepts under consideration for a women's basketball recruiting model, with plans to seek further input from coaches, the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) and the National Federation of State High School Associations (NFHS).

4. **Update from Leadership Council's Agent Subcommittee.** The Council was updated on the Agent Subcommittee's discussion of a national agent registration program that could serve as a resource for institutions and student-athletes that would assist in the agent/advisor education process. The Council raised a number of questions regarding the proposed program and suggested the subcommittee continue to discuss the elements of the program.

5. **Criteria for Conference Membership in Division I Governance/Championships Structure.** The Leadership Council received a presentation from several representatives of the Great West Conference regarding their request to seek a waiver or legislation that would immediately designate the Great West Conference as an active Division I multi-sport conference.

LEADERSHIP COUNCIL ACTION: **The Leadership Council voted not to support the Great West Conference's request.** (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

6. Report from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC). Eugene Daniels, chair of the Division I SAAC, presented a report of the committee's priorities for the upcoming year. In the coming year, the Division I SAAC will focus on:

a. Enhancing the student-athlete voice within the Association;

b. It's new community service initiative, a partnership with Samaritan's Feet; and

c. Increasing the overall awareness of SAAC, particularly amongst groups outside of the Association.

7. Update on Review of Division I Athletics Certification Program. The Council was updated on the work of the NCAA Division I Athletics Certification Committee in its review of the athletics certification program. The Council received information on the name and purpose of the new program, as well as system development, organizational changes and the planned request for a one-year delay in the implementation of the new program.

8. New Membership Communication Initiative. The Council was informed of the planned implementation of a membership communication initiative designed to enhance communication between the NCAA national office and the membership. The process will be piloted by individuals in the membership, as well as national office staff members, to ensure the outlined services are operating as designed.

9. Future Meetings.

- October 11, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Leadership Council chair: Mike Alden, University of Missouri
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
Kevin Lennon, academic and membership affairs

Division I Leadership Council April 12, 2012, Meeting	
ATTENDEES	**ABSENTEES**
Mike Alden, University of Missouri, Big 12 Conference	Peg Bradley-Doppes, University of Denver, Sun Belt Conference
Sandy Barbour, University of California, Pacific-12 Conference	
Harold Bardo, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Missouri Valley Conference	
Mike Bitter, Stetson University, Atlantic Sun Conference	
Dave Blank, Elon University, Southern Conference	
McKinley Boston, Jr., New Mexico State University, Western Athletic Conference	
Morgan J. Burke, Purdue University, Big Ten Conference	
Janet Cone, University of North Carolina, Asheville, Big South Conference	
Joan Cronan, University of Tennessee, Southeastern Conference	
Eugene Daniels, chair of the Division I SAAC	
Beth Debauche, Ohio Valley Conference	
Peter Fields, Montana State University-Bozeman, Big Sky Conference	
Chet Gladchuk, United States Naval Academy, Patriot League	
Robin Harris, Ivy League	
Jack Hayes, Hofstra University, Colonial Athletic Association	
Amy Huchthausen, America East Conference	
R.C. Johnson, University of Memphis, Conference USA	
Lisa Kelleher, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Mountain West Conference	
Myndee Kay Larsen, Summit League	
Jonathan LeCrone, Horizon League	
Susan Cross Lipnickey, Miami University, Mid-American Conference	

Janet Lucas, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference	
John Marinatto, Big East Conference	
Clyde McCoy, University of Miami, Atlantic Coast Conference	
John McCutcheon, University of Massachusetts, Atlantic 10 Conference	
Noreen Morris, Northeast Conference	
Derrick Ramsey, Coppin State University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	
John Ritschdorff, Marist College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	
Duer Sharp, Southwestern Athletic Conference	
Ky Snyder, University of San Diego, West Coast Conference	
Bobby Williams, Sam Houston State University, Southland Conference	
NCAA staff liaisons in attendance: David Berst, Jacqueline Campbell, Kevin Lennon	
Other Guests in attendance: Ed Grom, Great West Conference; Cindy Hartmann, Duke University and Women's Basketball Issues Committee; Mike Jacobsen, Utah Valley University; Lenny Kaplan, New Jersey Institute of Technology; Muffet McGraw, University of Notre Dame and Women's Basketball Issues Committee chair; Gwendolyn Packnett, ACE Fellow, and Jennifer Rizzotti, University of Hartford and WBCA.	
Other NCAA staff members who were in attendance for portions of the meeting: Angie Cretors, Diane Dickman, Jennifer Henderson, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, LuAnn Humphrey, Matt Maher, Steve Mallonee, Rachel Newman, Jobrina Perez, Ronnie Ramos, Donald Remy, Dave, Schnase, Naima Stevenson and Wendy Walters.	

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL MEETING APRIL 16-17, 2012

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Report of the January 14 NCAA Division I Board of Directors meeting and the January 12 NCAA Division I Leadership Council meeting.** The NCAA Division I Legislative Council received a report of the January 14 Board of Directors meeting and the January 12 Leadership Council meeting and took no action.

2. **Report of the January 13 NCAA Executive Committee meeting.** The council received a report of the January 13 Executive Committee meeting and took no action.

3. **Litigation update.** Scott Bearby, NCAA managing director of legal affairs and deputy general counsel, provided the update to the council.

4. **Report of the February 6-7 NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.

5. **Report of the February 8-9 NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.

6. R**eport of the February 16-17 NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.

7. **Report of the February 2 NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.

8. **Report of the February 15 NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.

9. **Report of the February 7-8 NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.

10. **Report of the NCAA Division I Legislative Review and Interpretations Committee.** The council approved the official interpretations issued by the Legislative Review and Interpretations Committee as distributed.

11. **Report of the March 9 NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief teleconference.** The council received the report and took no action.

12. **Report of the February 23-24 NCAA Division I Committee on Athletics Certification meeting.** The council received the report and took no action. The council expressed support for delaying the initial data collection from all NCAA Division I members to the fall of 2013.

13. **Report of the January 10-14 NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.

14. **Report of the April 10 NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee teleconference.** The council received the report and took no action.

15. **Report of the February 20 NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.

16. **Report of the February 22 NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics teleconference.** The council received the report and took no action.

17. **Report of the February 8-9 NCAA Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee.** The council received the report and took no action.

18. **Legislative Actions.** A list of the council's legislative actions may be found in Attachment A and detailed voting results may be found in Attachment B.

[Note: Per NCAA Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.1, legislation adopted by the Legislative Council shall be subject to possible review by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors at its next meeting. At its discretion, the Board of Directors may ratify, amend or defeat legislation adopted by the Legislative Council. Further, per Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.2, the Board of Directors may resurrect a proposal defeated on final review by the Legislative Council and consider the proposal on its merits. The Board of Directors also may amend such a proposal.]

19. Presidential Retreat Working Groups updates. The council received information and engaged in discussions related to the latest meetings and actions taken by the various Presidential Retreat Working Groups. The council offered feedback regarding various commitments and concepts. The feedback, along with feedback compiled from other governance entities and the NCAA membership, will be provided to the appropriate working groups and shared with the membership. No action was taken.

20. Division I Leadership Council Women's Basketball Recruiting Model. The council received a report related to the content and status of the women's basketball recruiting model and took no action.

21. Election of Chair and Vice Chair. Greg Sankey, representative of the Southeastern Conference, was elected to serve as chair of the Legislative Council, effective July 1. Matt Banker, representative of the Ohio Valley Conference, was elected to serve as vice chair of the Legislative Council, effective July 1.

Council Chair: *Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League*
Council Liaisons: *Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs*
Steve Mallonee, Academic and Membership Affairs
Binh Nguyen, Academic and Membership Affairs
Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

April 16-17, 2012	
Attendees	Absentees
Alicia Alford, Sacred Heart University, Northeast Conference	Brad Hostetter, Atlantic Coast Conference
Matt Banker, Ohio Valley Conference	
Jeff Bolin, Purdue University, Big Ten Conference	
Paul Bowden, George Mason University, Colonial Athletic Conference	
Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League	
Kim Capriotti, Jacksonville University, Atlantic Sun Conference	
Joseph D'Antonio Jr., Big East Conference	
Meredith Eaker, Liberty University, Big South Conference	
Shaney Fink, University of San Diego, West Coast Conference	
David Flores, Big 12 Conference	
Jean Gee, University of Montana, Big Sky Conference	
Beth Goode, Stanford University, Pac-12 Conference	
Jackie Mynarski, Mid-American Conference (alternate)	
Kathy Heylens, South Dakota State University, Summit League	
Elaine Jacobs, Youngstown State University, Horizon League	
Richard Johnson, Wofford College, Southern Conference	
Scott Connors, Sun Belt Conference (alternate)	
Bill Maher, Canisius College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	
Cindy Masner, Long Beach State University, Big West Conference	
Stephanie McDonald, Southland Conference	
Kaitlyn McKittrick, Lafayette College, Patriot League	
Mary Mulvenna, America East Conference	
Robert Philippi, Conference USA	
Jill Redmond, Atlantic 10 Conference (alternate)	
Ashley Robinson, Prairie View A&M University, Southwestern Athletic Conference	
Janice Ruggiero, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference	
Greg Sankey, Southeastern Conference	
Curtis Schickner, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee	
Rob Spear, University of Idaho, Western Athletic Conference	
Greg Walter, Missouri Valley Conference	
Quintin Wright, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	
Other Participants: Scott Bearby, David Berst, Kelly Brooks, Jackie Campbell, Jennifer Henderson, Michelle Hosick, Charnele Kemper, Kevin Lennon, Jobrina Perez, Bill Regan, Kris Richardson, Dave Schnase, Geoff Silver	

ATTACHMENT A
SUPPLEMENT NO. 8
DI Presidential Advisory Group 4/12

NCAA Division I Legislative Council April 2012 Legislative Actions

1. Modifications of Wording.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
M-2012-1	AMATEURISM -- FINANCIAL DONATIONS FROM PROFESSIONAL SPORTS ORGANIZATIONS -- RECIPROCAL MARKETING AGREEMENTS	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To clarify that, in sports other than football and men's basketball, an institution's marketing department may enter into a reciprocal contractual relationship with a professional sports organization for the specific purpose of marketing and promoting an institutionally sponsored sport.	Approved.
M-2012-2	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- EQUIVALENCY COMPUTATIONS -- CALCULATION OF BOOKS -- ENROLLMENT FOR LESS THAN A FULL ACADEMIC YEAR	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	August 1, 2012	To clarify that if a student-athlete is enrolled for less than a full academic year (e.g., one semester, one or two quarters) and receives any portion of a book allowance, the institution must use the amount in the numerator that is proportionate to the number of terms of enrollment ($400 for semester systems, $534 or $267 for quarter systems).	Approved.

2. Proposals Recommended as Emergency or Noncontroversial Legislation.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2012-4	EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR CHAMPIONSHIPS -- INELIGIBILITY FOR USE OF BANNED DRUGS -- MANIPULATION OF DRUG TEST SAMPLE	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports)	August 1, 2012, for tests occurring on or after August 1, 2012	To increase the penalty for a student-athlete who is involved in a case of clearly observed manipulation of an NCAA drug test sample to the loss of a minimum of two seasons of competition in all sports, as specified.	Supported as noncontroversial legislation. Adopted.
2012-5	ELIGIBILITY -- INITIAL ELIGIBILITY -- COMMON PROVISIONS -- DIVISION I AND DIVISION II – COLLEGE COURSES AND MULTIPLE HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE	NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet	Immediate	To change the voting line for bylaws related to initial eligibility from federated to common for Division I and Division II, as specified.	Supported as noncontroversial legislation. Adopted.
2012-6	ELIGIBILITY -- FRESHMAN ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL AID, PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- QUALIFIER -- CORE-COURSE REQUIREMENTS -- EXCEPTION -- INTERNATIONAL PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETE	NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet	August 1, 2015, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2015*	To specify that a prospective student-athlete whose initial-eligibility certification is based entirely on international credentials shall not be required to complete ten of the required 16 core courses before the start of his or her seventh semester (or the equivalent) of high school.	Supported as noncontroversial legislation. Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2012-7	ELIGIBILITY -- PROGRESS-TOWARD-DEGREE REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR PRACTICE DURING EACH TERM OF THE INITIAL YEAR IN RESIDENCE	NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet	August 1, 2015, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2015*	To specify that an academic redshirt must successfully complete nine semester or eight quarter hours of academic credit during each applicable regular academic term of his or her initial year in residence in order to be eligible for practice in the immediately subsequent term of his or her initial year in residence.	Supported as noncontroversial legislation. Adopted.

*If the effective date of NCAA Proposal No. 2011-94 is delayed, the effective date of this proposal will also be delayed, consistent with such action.

3. Actions Related to 2010-11 Legislative Cycle Proposals.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-18	PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING -- RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- RECEIPT OF CALLS FROM PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To eliminate the restriction on the receipt of telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches) that requires such calls to be received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-24	AMATEURISM -- AMATEUR STATUS -- EXPENSES FROM A SPONSOR FOR PRACTICE OR COMPETITION IN INDIVIDUAL SPORTS PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	Immediate	In individual sports, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletics event and practice immediately preceding the event, from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than an agent, a member institution or a representative of an institution's athletics interests.	Adopted.
2011-25	AMATEURISM -- EXCEPTIONS TO AMATEURISM RULE -- PRIZE MONEY PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT -- TENNIS -- $10,000 PER YEAR	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	Immediate	In tennis, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in open athletics events, not to exceed $10,000 per calendar year; further, to specify that once the individual has reached the $10,000 limit, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per-event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-28-A	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- RELATIVES OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To specify that contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled home athletics event shall be permissible.	Rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-28-B.
2011-28-B	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- ON-CAMPUS CONTACT WITH RELATIVES OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	Big East Conference	Immediate	To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians accompanying the prospective student-athlete and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete shall be permissible.	Adopted.
2011-29-A	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS DURING AN UNOFFICIAL VISIT	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.	Rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-29-B.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-29-B	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To specify that off-campus, in-person contact between an enrolled student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete is permissible, provided such contact does not occur at the direction of an institutional staff member.	Adopted.
2011-32	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- PERMISSIBLE CALLERS -- EXCEPTIONS -- PRIOR TO COMMITMENT -- COMPLIANCE ADMINISTRATORS	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	Immediate	To permit compliance administrators to make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) with no limit on the timing or number of such calls, provided the calls relate only to compliance issues.	Adopted.
2011-40	RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT -- ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS ON OFFICIAL VISIT -- STUDENT HOST -- ENTERTAINMENT ALLOWANCE	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	To increase, from $30 to $40, the allowance that an institution may provide a student host for each day of a prospective student-athlete's official visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete; further, to increase, from $15 to $20, the additional allowance an institution may provide the student host per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-44	RECRUITING -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- SUBMISSION OF TRANSCRIPT TO ELIGIBILITY CENTER BEFORE SIGNING	NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet	August 1, 2012	To specify that an institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete (other than a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country) to sign a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of athletically related financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment.	Defeated.
2011-48	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL	Big South Conference	Immediate	In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's coach to engage in recruiting conversations with a prospective student-athlete during the institution's camps or clinics.	Adopted.
2011-53	RECRUITING -- PRECOLLEGE EXPENSES -- DONATION OF ATHLETICS EQUIPMENT -- ELIMINATION OF 30-MILE RADIUS	West Coast Conference	August 1, 2012	To eliminate the restriction that precludes an institution from donating athletics equipment to a bona fide youth organization outside a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-54	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- JULY EVALUATION AND DEAD PERIODS	Atlantic Coast Conference	August 1, 2012	In women's basketball, to specify that during the time period of July 6-31, the recruiting calendar shall consist of, consecutively, a seven-day evaluation period, a 10-day dead period, a seven-day evaluation period and a two-day dead period.	Approved an amendment to change the effective date from immediate to August 1, 2012. Adopted, as amended.
2011-74	FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES -- PROPORTIONALITY RESTRICTION -- EXHAUSTED ELIGIBILITY EXCEPTION	Big 12 Conference	Immediate	To specify that the summer aid proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in course work acceptable toward his or her degree requirements.	Adopted.
2011-77	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- BASEBALL LIMITATIONS -- MINIMUM EQUIVALENCY VALUE -- EXCEPTION -- FINAL YEAR OF ELIGIBILITY AND NOT PREVIOUSLY AIDED	Big South Conference and Southern Conference	August 1, 2012	In baseball, to specify that an institution may provide less than 25 percent of an equivalency to a student-athlete, provided the student-athlete is in the final year of eligibility and has not previously received athletically related financial aid in baseball.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-79	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES FOR STUDENT-ATHLETE'S FRIENDS AND RELATIVES -- PERMISSIBLE EXPENSES -- LIFE-THREATENING INJURY OR ILLNESS -- EXPENSES FOR ANY STUDENT-ATHLETE	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that the institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for any student-athlete to be present in situations in which a student-athlete or a family member or legal guardian of a student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness, or in the event of a student-athlete's or student-athlete's family member or legal guardian's death.	Adopted.
2011-80-A	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)	August 1, 2012	To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.	Rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-80-B.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-80-B	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS -- IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	Immediate	To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.	Adopted.
2011-81	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- OTHER TRAVEL EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION -- CONFERENCE-SPONSORED LIFE SKILLS PROGRAM	Big 12 Conference	Immediate	To permit an institution or conference to pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution).	Adopted.
2011-82	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- PROVISION OF EXPENSES BY INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS OTHER THAN THE INSTITUTION -- BENEFITS, GIFTS, AND SERVICES -- MISCELLANEOUS BENEFITS -- FUNDRAISERS FOR STUDENT-ATHLETES OR IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that proceeds from fundraisers for student-athletes (or their immediate families) due to extreme circumstances beyond the student-athlete's control (e.g., life-threatening illness, natural disaster) may be given directly to the beneficiaries, with receipt kept on file by the institution, which must include the amount of expenses incurred and the total amount received.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-84-A	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- ELIMINATION OF 5 P.M. START TIME ON FIRST PERMISSIBLE PRACTICE DATE	NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	August 1, 2012	In men's basketball, to eliminate the 5 p.m. start time on the first permissible practice date.	On initial consideration, defeated. On reconsideration, tabled.
2011-85	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- 30 DAYS OF COUNTABLE ACTIVITIES WITHIN 40 DAYS PRIOR TO FIRST CONTEST	Big South Conference	August 1, 2012	In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest; further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities prior to its first regular-season contest.	On initial consideration, defeated. On reconsideration, tabled.

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	Package of LRIC Minutes	M-2012-1	M-2012-2	2012-4 as non-controversial	2012-4	2012-5 as non-controversial	2012-5	2012-6 as non-controversial	2012-6	2012-7 as non-controversial	2012-7	2011-18	2011-24	2011-25	2011-28-B	2011-29-B	2011-32	2011-40
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2		Y	Y	A	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N
Atlantic 10 -- J. Redmond	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic Coast -- B. Hostetter*	FBS	3																		
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3		Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	APPROVED	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mid-American -- J. Mynarski	FBS	1.5		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pac-12 -- B. Goode	FBS	3		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2		Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N
Sun Belt -- S. Connors	FBS	1.5		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pioneer League -- E. Adkins	FCS	1																		
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1																		
Adopt/Yes (Y)				48	48	41.4	43.8	48	48	48	48	45.6	48	48	44.4	36.6	48	43.8	48	42.9
Defeat/No (N)				0	0	5.4	4.2	0	0	0	0	2.4	0	0	3.6	11.4	0	4.2	0	5.1
Abstain				0	0	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No Vote Cast (Blank)				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total				48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48

*** Was unable to attend in-person meeting.**

NCAA Division I Legislative Council
April 16-17, 2012

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	2011-44	2011-46 FCS (override process)	2011-48	2011-53	Amend 2011-54 (effective date)	2011-54 as amended	2011-74	2011-77	2011-79	2011-80-B	2011-81	2011-82	2011-85	2011-84-A	Reconsider 2011-85	Table 2011-85	Reconsider 2011-84-A	Table 2011-84-A
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2	A		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic 10 -- J. Redmond	DI	1.2	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic Coast -- B. Hostetter*	FBS	3																		
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	A	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	A	Y	Y	Y	Y
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	N		Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
Mid-American -- J. Mynarski	FBS	1.5	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pac-12 -- B. Goode	FBS	3	N		Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	A	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3	N		Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N
Sun Belt -- S. Connors	FBS	1.5	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	A	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pioneer League -- E. Adkins	FCS	1		N																
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1		N																
Adopt/Yes (Y)			12	0	48	39.6	48	48	48	48	46.8	46.8	46.8	48	21	21	39	42.9	40.2	43.2
Defeat/No (N)			35	12	0	8.4	0	0	0	0	1.2	1.2	1.2	0	22	24	9	5.1	7.8	4.8
Abstain			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0
No Vote Cast (Blank)			0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			48	13	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48

*** Was unable to attend in-person meeting.**

2012 Nominations

Council/Cabinet	Am East	Atlantic 10	Big Sky	Big South	Big West	Horizon	IVY	MAAC	Patriot	Southland
Leadership Council	Amy Huchthausen, America East F/EM	Bernadette McGlade, Atlantic 10 F	Jaynee Nadolski, Big Sky Conference F	Jeff Barber, Liberty M/AD	Janet Lucas, Cal Riverside F	Ken Bothof, Wisconsin-Green Bay M/AD	Gary Walters, Princeton M/AD	Bill Maher, Canisius M/AD	John Hardt, Bucknell M/AD	Robert Bernardi, Nicholls State M/AD/EM
Legislative Council	Mary Mulvenna, America East F	Erin Kido, Xavier F/EM	Steven Perez, Cal State Sacramento M/FAR/EM	Herman Holt, Liberty M/FAR/EM	Erica Monteabaro, Big West F	Rod Perry, Wright State M/EM	Amy Backus, Yale F	Lisa Archbold, St Peters F	Kaity McKittrick, Lafayette F	Stephanie McDonald, Southland Conf. F/EM
Championships/ Sports Management Cabinet	Bob Corran, Vermont M/AD	Chris May, St. Louis M/AD	Amy Crosbie, Weber State F	Craig Keilitz, High Point M/AD	Brenda Vogel, LBSU F/FAR	Virnette House-Browning, Cleveland State F/EM	Harry Sheehy, Dartmouth M/AD	Eugene Marshall, Iona M/AD/EM	Vicki Chun, Colgate F/EM	Thomas McClelland, McNeese State M/AD
Academic Cabinet	Jim Stark, Binghampton M/FAR		Jay Hinrichs, Northern Colorado M/AD		Barbara Martinez, Cal Poly F/EM	Tonya McGowan, UIC F/EM	Jackie Blackett, Columbia F/EM			
Amateurism Cabinet	Michael Lynch, Boston M/AD		Beth Vechinski, Northern Arizona F		Brian Quinn, Cal State Fullerton M/AD	Mark Adams, Valparaiso M/FAR	Megan McHugo, Ivy League F			
Administration Cabinet		Ed Pasque, Atlantic 10 M		Meredith Eaker, Liberty F				Rich Ensor, MAAC M	Dr. Christine Copper, Navy F/FAR	Bradley Teague, Central Arkansas M
Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	Donna Woodruff, Stony Brook F		Craig Oberg, Weber State M/FAR		Rick Mazzuto, Cal State Northridge M/AD	Bob Grant, Wright State M/AD	Alanna Shannahan, Penn F			
Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet		Jill Redmond, Atlantic 10 F/EM		Chuck Burch, Gardner-Webb M/AD				Rachel Held, Canisius F	Jessica Hegmann, American F	Helene Thill, Lamar F
Totals	AD: 2 FAR: 1 Minority: 1 Female: 3	AD: 1 FAR: Minority: 2 Female: 3	AD: 1 FAR: 2 Minority: 1 Female: 3	AD: 3 FAR: 1 Minority: 1 Female: 1	AD: 2 FAR: 1 Minority: 1 Female: 4	AD: 2 FAR: 1 Minority: 3 Female: 2	AD: 2 FAR: Minority: 1 Female: 4	AD: 2 FAR: Minority: 1 Female: 2	AD: 1 FAR: 1 Minority: 1 Female: 4	AD: 2 FAR: Minority: 2 Female: 2

A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association
Division I Board of Directors

NCAA National Office — April 26, 2012
Indianapolis, Indiana — 9 a.m. – 3 p.m.

1. Opening remarks.

2. Report of the January 14, 2012, Board of Directors meeting. (Supplement No. 1) [**Anticipated Action Item]**

3. Report of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group April 25, 2012, meeting. (Supplement No. 2 will be distributed at the meeting.) [No action anticipated.]

4. Report from NCAA President Mark Emmert.

5. Discussion of reports from the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups. (Supplement No. 3)

   a. Student-Athlete Well Being. (Supplement No. 4)

   b. Resource Allocation.

   c. Rules. (Supplement No. 5)

   d. Enforcement. (Supplement No. 6 will be distributed prior to the meeting.)

6. Report from the Division I Bowl Licensing Task Force. (Supplement No. 7 will be distributed prior to the meeting.) [**Anticipated Action Item]**

7. Report from the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance. (Supplement No. 8 will be distributed at the meeting.) [Walter Harrison, chair] [**Anticipated Action Item]**

8. Conference/institutional television networks.

9. NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions. (Supplement Nos. 9A and 9B) [**Anticipated Action Item]**

   - Bylaw 19 revisions.

   - Committee appointment and reappointments.

10. NCAA Division I Infractions Appeals Committee (Supplement No. 10) [**Anticipated Action Item]**

    - Committee reappointments.

11. Division I Governance Structure Update.

    a. Report from the April 12, 2012, Division I Leadership Council meeting. (Supplement No. 11)

    b. Report of the April 16-17, 2012, Division I Legislative Council meeting. (Supplement No. 12 will be posted in advance of the meeting.)

    c. Report on Results of the Override Vote on Proposal No. 2011-97.

12. Final Report on Division I Athletics Certification Program. (Supplement No. 13) [**Anticipated Action Item]**

13. Shifting of Interpretative Authority for Basketball Issues to Academic and Membership Affairs. (Supplement No. 14 will be distributed prior to the meeting.) [**Anticipated Action Item]**

14. Governance transitional issues. **[Anticipated Action Items.]**

    a. Appointment of new members to the Division I Board of Directors. (Supplement No. 15)

b. Appointment of Division I and Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) members to councils and cabinets. (Supplement No. 16)

c. Appointments to the NCAA Executive Committee.

15. Governmental relations report. (Supplement No. 17) [No action anticipated – for information only.]

16. Other business.

17. Future meeting dates.

a. August 2, 2012, NCAA National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

b. Tuesday, October 30, 2012, NCAA National Office, Indianapolis, Indiana.

c. Saturday, January 19, 2013, Grapevine, Texas (In conjunction with the 2013 NCAA Convention.)

d. Thursday, May 2, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana.

e. Thursday, August 8, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana.

f. Wednesday, October 30, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana.

g. Saturday, January 18, 2014, San Diego, California (In conjunction with the 2014 NCAA Convention.)

18. Adjournment

Executive Summary
NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance Meeting, April 23-25, 2012

Non Action Items:

Preview of NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) data which will be released in June: Attachment A provides a summary previewing the national and sport-group APR averages, trends and penalties for the 2007-08 through 2010-11 academic years.

Action Items:

1. **NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2011-94 Eligibility – Freshman Academic Requirements – Eligibility for Financial Aid, Practice and Competition – Core Course Requirements and Initial Eligibility Index.** The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance recommends the NCAA Division I Board of Directors amend the effective date of Proposal Nos. 2011-94, 2012-6 and 2012-7 to August 1, 2016, for student-athletes initialing enrolling full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2016. Feedback from the NCAA membership indicates general support for delaying the effective date from August 2015 to August 2016. Specifically, since current freshmen in high school are impacted by the August 2015 effective date, and significant elements of the detailed education plan have not been realized, a one-year delay provides opportunity for increased education for prospective student-athletes prior to beginning high school.

2. **NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2011-69 Eligibility – Transfer Regulations -- 2-4 AND 4-2-4 College Transfers**. The Committee on Academic Performance recommends that the Board seek membership input on a possible one-year delay in effective date for Proposal 2011-69. Specifically, the two-year college community has requested this delay in order to provide a longer period of education for the two-year community. If approved the Committee on Academic Performance would seek membership input prior to its July 2012 meeting with a possible recommendation for consideration by the Board August 2012. In addition, the committee seeks further input regarding Proposal 2011-65 (Year of Academic Readiness) and possible amendments.

3. **Amendments to the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) for Limited-Resource Institutions.** The Committee on Academic Performance recommends the Board amend the transition to the new 930 APR benchmark for APP penalties and access to postseason competition for limited-resource institutions as fully detailed in Attachment B. In summary:

   a. Establish a longer transition timeline to 930 APR for limited-resource institutions. Specifically, 900 APR would be the penalty benchmark for ineligibility for postseason competition and Level One through Level Three penalties taken in 2012-13 and 2013-14; 910 in 2014-15; and 920 in 2015-16.

   b. For ineligibility for postseason competition and APP penalties taken in 2012-13 through 2015-16, permit the use of the improvement filter that was part of the previous APP penalty determination process.

   c. Require a meaningful APR improvement plan in order to access the longer transition timeline or the noted improvement filter.

   d. For the purposes of this recommendation, limited-resource institutions will be defined as teams in the bottom 15 percent of all Division I member institutions in resources (using the same formula the committee uses for other purposes), excluding all Football Bowl Subdivision institutions.

4. **Committee on Academic Performance Policies and Procedures** – The Committee on Academic Performance recommends the Board approve its policies and procedures for the 2012-13 academic year. The policies were updated consistent with the amendments to the APP that were adopted throughout the past year. NCAA legislation requires the Board to approve APP policies and procedures annually.

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE MARCH 14-15, 2012, TELECONFERENCES

***KEY ITEMS*.**

- **Timing and Announcement of the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) Penalties.** The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance determined that the current data collection process will remain in place for postseason ineligibility and penalties imposed during the 2012-13 academic year.

- **NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2011-94 Eligibility -- Freshman Academic Requirements -- Eligibility for Financial Aid, Practice and Competition -- Core Course Requirements and Initial-Eligibility Index.** The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance is discussing noncontroversial legislation to amend the effective date of NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2011-94 to August 1, 2016; for students initially enrolling full time in a college institution on or after August 1, 2016. This would be a one-year delay from the current 2015 date. The committee seeks membership input and comment on this issue prior to its April meeting. The committee may seek NCAA Division I Board of Directors approval during the Board's April meeting.

***ACTION ITEMS*.**

1. Nonlegislative Items.

- **Amendments to the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program for Limited-Resource Institutions.**

  (1) Recommendation. The Committee on Academic Performance recommends the Board of Directors amend the transition to the new 930 NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) benchmark for APP penalties and access to postseason competition for limited-resource institutions (as defined in this item). Specifically, the amendments would:

    (a) Establish a longer transition timeline to 930 APR for limited-resource institutions. Specifically, 900 APR would be the penalty benchmark for ineligibility for postseason competition and Level-One through -Three penalties taken in 2012-13 and 2013-14; 910 in 2014-15; and 920 in 2015-16. For ineligibility for postseason competition and Level-One through -Three penalties taken in 2016-17 the penalty benchmark would be 930. As a frame of reference, all other Division I member institutions are subject to the new 930 APR requirements for postseason access and Level-One through -Three penalties taken in 2014-15.

(b) For ineligibility for postseason competition and APP penalties taken in 2012-13 through 2015-16 permit use of the improvement filter that was part of the previous APP penalty determination process. Application of the improvement trending test provides limited-resource institutions relief from ineligibility for postseason competition and penalties based on making significant improvement toward the 930 benchmark. The improvement filter requires a team to satisfy one of the five improvement tests and have the following minimum single-year APR to avoid postseason ineligibility and penalties: 2010-11 – 900 APR; 2011-12 – 900 APR; and 2012-13 and beyond – 930 APR.

(c) Require a meaningful APR improvement plan in order to access the longer transition timeline or the noted improvement filter. Review, approval and the appeal process for such determinations shall be as follows:

i. The staff shall collect the institution's most recent improvement plan along with information regarding implementation of the prior year's plan, if applicable, in the fall (e.g., fall of 2012 for ineligibility for postseason competition and penalties served during 2013-14 academic year).

ii. NCAA staff will evaluate each plan to determine if the following established criteria is met:

- The institution has a history of implementation of APR improvement plans if a plan was previously required;
- The institution has identified critical issues supported by data;
- The institution has developed meaningful initiatives that address the critical issues;
- Development and assessment of the plan included broad-based campus participation;

- This plan has been approved by the chancellor or president; and

- The team's projected single-year APR targets elevate the team out of the penalty structure by the end of the four-year transition period.

iii. Staff will provide written notice to the institution advising the institution of the staff's determination (i.e., whether the plan meets the established criteria).

iv. If the institution's plan and/or implementation of the prior plan do not meet the committee's criteria, staff will advise the institution and it may appeal the staff's assessment of the plan and/or implementation of prior year's plan. The institution's appeal of the staff's determination will be heard as part of its request to waive the applicable penalties, not separately.

For the purposes of this recommendation, limited-resource institutions will be defined as teams in the bottom 15 percent of all Division I member institutions in resources (using the same formula the committee uses for other purposes), excluding all Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) institutions. FBS institutions/teams shall not be eligible for this longer transition timeline or additional improvement filters regardless of resource level.

Finally, the committee recommends the Board support the following additional recommendations from the Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Limited-Resource Institutions Advisory Group:

(a) That the HBCU and Limited-Resource Institutions Advisory Group engage presidents serving on the advisory group to help engage other presidents in the HBCU community regarding APP matters;

(b) That the staff conduct visits to some limited-resourced campuses to meet with presidents, senior management teams, directors of athletics and other appropriate staff for the purpose of engaging in dialog and education regarding APP matters;

(c) That the HBCU and Limited-Resource Institutions Advisory Group work with the staff to help engage directors of athletics through conference initiatives;

(d) That the HBCU and Limited-Resource Institutions Advisory Group and the staff encourage enhancement and greater use of the Limited-Resource Collaboration Zone;

(e) That the HBCU and Limited-Resource Institutions Advisory Group develop a partnership with the National Association of Academic Advisors for Athletics (N4A) to establish a program for peer review of academic support programs and policies to assist limited-resource institutions in meeting benchmarks;

(f) That the staff establish a best practices document for transitional periods on campus;

(g) That the HBCU and Limited-Resource Institutions Advisory Group and the Committee on Academic Performance be updated by staff annually on the progress of limited-resource institutions moving toward the 930 benchmark;

(h) That the HBCU and Limited-Resource Institutions Advisory Group identify one presidential member of the group to serve as an ad hoc member of the Committee on Academic Performance during policy meetings; and

(i) That the staff provide education to limited-resource institutions regarding initial eligibility and two-year college transfer legislative changes and encourage limited-resource institutions to share educational materials with prospect age student-athletes.

(2) Effective Date. Immediate. This would include postseason access and penalties otherwise applicable in 2012-13.

(3) Rationale. The HBCU and Limited-Resource Institutions Advisory Group group was formed late last fall based on a recommendation from the committee and has been working to provide recommendations regarding the APP's impact on limited-resource institutions. The advisory group provided recommendations to the committee based on concerns that limited-resource institutions are at a disadvantage due to the amount of

resources available to make academic changes to increase the APR. The proposed transition recommendation allows limited-resource institutions more time to make meaningful change for academically underperforming teams. The other recommendations support the ongoing APR improvement of limited-resource institutions and facilitate working towards meeting the established benchmark of 930 for all teams.

In defining limited resource for this purpose, the committee believes it is appropriate to exclude Football Bowl Subcommittee (FBS) institutions. These institutions have made a substantial financial commitment to their athletics department in order to be members of FBS, including sponsoring the necessary number of sports and provision of increased athletics scholarships. As such, these institutions should be in a position to be able to provide the resources necessary to increase the academic performance of student-athletes similar to other Division I institutions.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Timing and Announcement of APP Penalties. The Committee on Academic Performance continued its discussion of an alternative process for collection and finalizing of APRs to expedite the announcement of APRs and application of penalties and/or postseason ineligibility. The committee determined that the current data collection process will remain in place for ineligibility for postseason competition and penalties taken during the 2012-13 academic year. Currently, data are submitted each fall, waivers and appeals are conducted in the winter and spring and the announcement is made after the last postseason event each year. Ineligibility for postseason competition and any penalties assessed are taken in the next academic year (e.g., data years for eligibility for postseason in 2012-13 will be 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11). During its discussion regarding timing of data collection the committee noted that it will consider any possible enhancements to the waiver directive during its summer meeting after it has had one full year to understand and evaluate the waiver directive.

2. Division I Proposal No. 2011-94 Eligibility -- Freshman Academic Requirements -- Eligibility for Financial Aid, Practice and Competition -- Core Course Requirements and Initial-Eligibility Index. The Committee on Academic Performance is considering making a recommendation to the Board of Directors that it sponsor

noncontroversial legislation to amend the effective date of Proposal No. 2011-94 to August 1, 2016; for students initially enrolling full time in any college institution on or after August 1, 2016. Specifically, the original effective date of August 1, 2015, would hold those prospective student-athletes that are currently freshmen in high school to the new initial-eligibility standards. Concerns have been raised that the timing of the adoption of this legislation may not provide sufficient opportunity to educate prospective student-athletes regarding the changes. The committee invites membership comment on this matter prior to its April meeting.

Additionally, the committee supports providing the membership with an opportunity to provide input into possible enhancements to the initial-eligibility legislation. The Committee on Academic Performance would consider any enhancements suggested by the membership during its July meeting and report to the Board in August.

3. **Other Recommendations Submitted by the HBCU and Limited-Resource Institutions Advisory Group.** The committee considered two other recommendations submitted by the advisory group and recommended further study on both recommendations. The specific recommendations were consideration of filters beyond the transition time period, with specific consideration of the retention point and greater faculty athletics representative involvement in assisting institutions in implementing improvement plans and working toward the 930 benchmarks.

4. **February Report of the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance.** The committee reviewed the report.

5. **Newly Appointed Committee Members.** The committee was informed of the most recent committee appointments, effective September 1, 2012.

Committee Chair: Walter Harrison, University of Hartford, America East Conference
Staff Liaisons: Diane Dickman, Academic and Membership Affairs
Kevin Lennon, Academic and Membership Affairs
Todd Petr, Research
Bill Regan, Academic and Membership Affairs
John Shukie, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jennifer Strawley, Academic and Membership Affairs

March 14-15, 2012	
Attendees	Absentees
Jan Blade, Delaware State University	
Jerry Bovee, Weber State University	
Jennifer Brown, Western Carolina University	
Michael Cross, Bradley University	
Jack Evans, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill	
Kenneth Ferguson, University of Missouri, Kansas City	
David Jamison, Robert Morris University	
Melvin Johnson, Tennessee State University	
Barbara Luebke, University of Rhode Island	
Lisa Melz, Western Illinois University	
Roderick McDavis, Ohio University	
John Morris, University of Washington	
Vince Nicastro, Villanova University	
Brennan O'Donnell, Manhattan College	
Greg Sankey, Southeastern Conference	
Other Guests in Attendance:	
Other NCAA Staff Members in Attendance: Andy Cardamone, Michelle Hosick, Andy Louthain, Tom Paskus, Todd Petr, Wally Renfro, Tiese Roxbury, Naima Stevenson, Katy Yurk.	

April 2012 NCAA Division I Committee on Athletics Certification Recommendations to the NCAA Division I Board of Directors for Institutional Performance Program

During its April 2011 meeting the NCAA Division I Board of Directors charged the NCAA Division I Committee on Athletics Certification with developing a new, streamlined, technology driven institutional review program that emphasized the student-athlete experience. This report represents action items and information items for the board review.

ACTION ITEM

- **Request for Delay.**

Recommendation: The committee recommends the Board support a one year delay in implementing the new NCAA Division I Institutional Performance Program (IPP) (previously named athletics certification) with a staggered roll-out as outlined. Additionally, this recommendation includes the requirement that all Division I members be required to implement the school's athletics certification cycle two or three plans for improvement.

2012-13: Solicit membership input on concepts to date, possible accountability measures and use of a pilot group of institutions to start collecting data. The pilot institutions would participate voluntarily to help inform the process and ensure ease of use when the full membership is required to submit data.

January 2013: Recommend that the Board approve legislation the committee will recommend that provides a basic framework for the new IPP including establishing an oversight committee, purpose of the program, and the required data collection for all Division I members. The committee intends to circulate this legislation in advance to the membership for comment prior to the January requested action.

Spring/Fall 2013: Continued membership feedback.

2013-14: All Division I members would be required to submit data in the areas of academics and inclusion, which includes gender and diversity.

August 2014: Data available for the membership to review. Each subsequent August, additional data will be available to the membership.

2014-15: Begin required data collection for student-athlete experience and fiscal components from all Division I members. Begin discussions about possible benchmarks.

2015-16: Finalize decisions regarding benchmarks. If benchmarks and accountability measures or penalties are determined to be appropriate, enabling legislation could be put forward in this legislative cycle for membership consideration.

2016-17: Possible benchmark requirements implemented.

Rationale: Originally the timeline provided for initial data collection from all Division I members was fall 2012. Delaying the implementation for one year would result in the collection of data beginning in fall 2013. Such a delay would allow time for additional membership input on possible benchmarks, measures and the accountability measures. The committee recommends this delay include the requirement that all Division I members be required to implement the school's athletics certification cycle two or three plans for improvement. Below is a tentative schedule for implementation of the IPP:

Informational and Discussion Items.

- **IPP – Issues for Board and Membership Discussion.**

The following issues and decisions will help determine the immediate and long-term direction for the new IPP. The committee seeks the Board and membership input on these matters as it continues to develop the program.

1. **With what frequency should dashboard data be analyzed by the NCAA staff and provided to each Division I member?**

   Options may include:

   a. Annually;

   b. Once every two years;

   c. Less frequently; or

   d. Could vary by area (e.g., inclusion could be annual; academic could be every three years).

2. **What Level of Accountability is Appropriate in the New IPP?** Options include:

a. **Information and campus-driven review model**: Initially, no "penalties" or committee "decisions" would be made or assessed. The program would provide dashboard data to member institutions for their use and provide NCAA staff and the Division I committee on athletic certification assistance in areas only when requested by the institution's president. In several years after data has been collected, consideration could be given to establishing benchmarks and other accountability measures. Additionally, under this model, schools could be held accountable for their gender/diversity plans approved by the president and the committee in cycle three or previous cycles of athletics certification. This caveat would ensure that, in these critical areas, Division I institutions will continue to make progress as previously outlined in their plans for improvement;

b. **Phase-in benchmarks over several years**: Initially establish benchmarks in the area of inclusion, which includes gender and diversity. Other areas could have established benchmarks implemented over a five year phase-in approach. Item three below outlines an example timeline under this approach;

c. **Immediate benchmark requirements in all areas**: With the launch of new IPP, include from the very beginning benchmark requirements, penalties associated with failing to meet minimum requirements and required campus visits in underperforming areas as determined by the committee. This could include immediate benchmarks in all areas; or

d. **Benchmarks in some area(s) only:** Some of the four key areas may warrant benchmarks and accountability measures (e.g., inclusion), while other areas may not lend themselves to such requirements (e.g., fiscal). Other areas already have significant accountability measures (e.g., academics). The membership may conclude after extensive review that benchmarks and accountability measures at the national level are only needed in some of the areas, but not all.

REPORT OF THE APRIL 25, 2012, MEETING OF THE DIVISION I PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY GROUP

1. **Report of October 26, 2011, Meeting.** The Presidential Advisory Group (PAG) approved the report of its January 9, 2012, teleconference.

2. **Selected Items from the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups**.

   a. Student-Athlete Well-Being. PAG was informed that the working group has distributed various models for a possible miscellaneous expense allowance (MEA) toward total cost of attendance for review and comment by Division I conferences and constituent groups. It also was noted that the working group plans to conduct a focus group meeting of selected financial aid officers, directors of athletics, faculty athletics representatives, compliance officers, student-athlete advisory committee members, senior woman administrators and commissioners in an effort to evaluate the practical and administrative burdens in the implementation of the models, as well as to ensure that gender equity interests are fully addressed. It was suggested that the working group consider applying the models to data collected from several institutions to determine the impact of each model.

   b. Resource Allocation. PAG considered a remaining recommendation from the Resource Allocation Working Group that legislation be adopted effective August 1, 2014, to reduce Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) football scholarships from 63 to 60 equivalencies, with 80 overall counters.

      PAG ACTION: PAG defeated the recommendation to reduce FCS football scholarships. [For 8, Against 0, Abstain 1 (Skorton)] [Note: The form of the actual motion and vote was to "not support a reduction in grants," but is reported here in a manner to respond directly to the original recommendation.]

3. **NCAA Division I Football Championship Expansion.** PAG was informed that the FCS Working Group has been meeting over the course of the past couple of months to discuss options for expanding access to the NCAA Division I Football Championship from 20 to 24 teams. After much discussion and feedback from various stakeholders, the FCS Working Group has agreed to recommend that effective for the 2013 football season, the championship expand to 24 teams using a traditional balanced bracket format, with the top eight teams being seeded and receiving a first-round bye. The recommendation will be processed through the Association's triennial budget process with an expected final approval by the NCAA Executive Committee in August 2012.

4. **Report from NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance (CAP).** PAG received a preliminary report regarding Academic Progress Rate (APR) data that will be released in June. A summary was provided regarding national and sport-group averages, trends and penalties. PAG also was informed of the following action items that will be recommended to the Board of Directors:

   a. That the Board amend the effective date of Proposal No. 2011-94 – Eligibility – Freshman Academic Requirements – Eligibility for Financial Aid, Practice and Competition – Core Course Requirements and Initial Eligibility Index to August 1, 2016. The sense of the group was to support a one-year delay in the effective date.

   b. That the Board seek membership input on a possible one-year delay in the effective date of Proposal No. 2011-69 – Eligibility -- Transfer Regulations – 2-4 and 4-2-4 College Transfers. There were comments from several PAG members suggesting that the concerns expressed may not warrant a delay in the effective date.

   c. That the Board approve amendments to the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) for Limited-Resource Institutions that would amend the transition to the new 930 APR benchmark for APP penalties and access to postseason competition for limited-resource institutions. This appeared to be a consensus item.

   d. That the Board approve CAP policies and procedures for the 2012-13 academic year. This appeared to be a consensus item.

5. **Update on Review of Division I Athletics Certification Program.** PAG was updated on the status of the review of the athletics certification program and the timeline for review moving forward. PAG also was informed that the NCAA Division I Committee on Athletics Certification is recommending that the Board of Directors support a one-year delay in the implementation of a new certification program, which would include the requirement that all Division I institutions be required to implement the school's athletics certification cycle two and three plans for improvement. Comments were generally supportive of the proposed process, but continue to be mixed regarding appropriate elements and enforcement.

6. **Future Meeting Dates and Times.**

   (a) Monday, July 30, 2102, 3 p.m. (Eastern Time), conference call.

   (b) Monday, October 29, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

(c) Monday, January 14, 2013, 3 p.m. (Eastern Time), conference call.

(d) Wednesday, May 1, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana

(e) Monday, August 5, 2013, 3 p.m. (Eastern Time), conference call.

(f) Tuesday, October 29, 2013, Indianapolis, Indiana.

(g) Monday, January 13, 2014, 3 p.m. (Eastern Time), conference call

Subcommittee chair: William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference
Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

Division I Presidential Advisory Group April 25, 2012, Meeting	
PAG ATTENDEES	**PAG ABSENTEES**
William Beauchamp, University of Portland, West Coast Conference	James Ammons, Florida A&M University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference
John Broderick, Old Dominion University, Colonial Athletic Association	John Bravman, Bucknell University, Patriot League
David Chicoine, South Dakota State University, Summit League	Joanne Glasser, Bradley University, Missouri Valley Conference
Greg Dell'Omo, Robert Morris University, Northeast Conference	Penelope Kyle, Radford University, Big South Conference
Philip Dubois, University of North Carolina, Charlotte, Atlantic 10 Conference	David Hopkins, Wright State University, Horizon League
Walter Harrison, University of Hartford, America East Conference	Kerry Romesburg, Jacksonville University, Atlantic Sun University
John Hurley, Canisius College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	Randall Webb, Northwestern State University, Southland Conference
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference	Timothy White, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference
Ann Millner, Weber State University, Big Sky Conference	
Kenneth Peacock, Appalachian State University, Southern Conference	

David Skorton, Cornell University, Ivy league	
George C. Wright, Prairie View A&M University, Southwestern Athletic Conference.	
Commissioners in Attendance	**Commissioners Not In Attendance**
Tom Burnett, Southland Conference	Bernadette McGlade, Atlantic 10 Conference
Beth DeBauche, Ohio Valley Conference	
Tom Douple, Summit League	
Rich Ensor, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	
Dennis Ferrell, Big West Conference	
Doug Fullerton, Big Sky Conference	
Carolyn Schlie Femovich, Patriot League	
Ted Gumbart, Atlantic Sun Conference	
Robin Harris, Ivy League	
Amy Huchthausen, America East Conference	
John Imarino, Southern Conference	
Kyle Kallander, Big South Conference	
Jon LeCrone, Horizon League	
Noreen Morris, Northeast Conference	
Duer Sharp, Southwestern Athletic Conference	
Dennis Thomas, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	
Patty Viverito, Missouri Valley Conference	
Tom Yeager, Colonial Athletic Association	
Jamie Zaninovich, West Coast Conference	
Future PAG member attending as observers:	
Rita Cheng, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Missouri Valley Conference Kay Norton, University of Northern Colorado, Big Sky Conference	
NCAA staff liaisons in attendance: David Berst, Jacqueline Campbell	
Other NCAA staff members who were in attendance for all or portions of the meeting: Troy Arthur, Diane Dickman, Mark Emmert, Bernard Franklin, Michelle Hosick, Jim Isch, Kevin Lennon, Mark Lewis, Steve Mallonee, Kathleen McNeely, Tom Paskus, Todd Petr, Bill Regan, Donald Remy, Wallace Renfro, Jennifer Strawley and Bob Williams.	